

Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G-protein and *β***-arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human** *µ***-opioid receptor**

Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse,

Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Meinhart H. Zenk

To cite this version:

Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse, et al.. Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G-protein and *β*-arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor. Biochemical Pharmacology, 2011, 10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001 μ . hal-00690183

HAL Id: hal-00690183 <https://hal.science/hal-00690183>

Submitted on 22 Apr 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_i -protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor

Authors: Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse, Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Meinhart H. Zenk

Please cite this article as: Frölich N, Dees C, Paetz C, Ren X, Lohse MJ, Nikolaev VO, Zenk MH, Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_i protein and ?-arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor, *Biochemical Pharmacology* (2010), doi[:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

r pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G₁-protei

and β-arrestin signaling pathways activated by

the human μ-opioid receptor

sine Frölich', Christian Dees', Christian Paetz³, Xuan Ren⁴, Martin J. Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_{i-}protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human µ-opioid receptor

Nadine Frölich¹, Christian Dees¹, Christian Paetz³, Xuan Ren⁴, Martin J. Lohse^{1,2},

Viacheslav O. Nikolaev^{1,2,*}, Meinhart H. Zenk⁴

¹Institute of Pharmacology, University of Würzburg, Versbacher Str. 9, D-97078, Germany

²Rudolf Virchow Center, DFG-Research Center for Experimental Biomedicine, University of

Würzburg, Versbacher Str. 9, D-97078, Germany

³Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll-Str. 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany

Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, 975 North Warson Road, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA

*Present address, also for correspondence:

Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Ph.D. Emmy-Noether Group of the DFG, Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, Georg-August University Medical Center, Robert-Koch-Str. 40, D-37075 Göttingen, Germany Phone: +49-551-39-10965; Fax: +49-551-39-6389 E-mail: viacheslav.nikolaev@med.uni-goettingen.de

Biochemical Pharmacology. Category: Pharmacokinetics and Drug Metabolism

Abstract

ceptor (MOR). When administered to humans, complex metabolic pathway
generation of many metabolites, nine of which may be considered maj
tes. While the properties of the two main compounds, morphine-
ide and morphine-3-gl Morphine and several other opioids are important drugs for the treatment of acute and chronic pain. Opioid-induced analgesia is predominantly mediated by the μ opioid receptor (MOR). When administered to humans, complex metabolic pathways lead to generation of many metabolites, nine of which may be considered major metabolites. While the properties of the two main compounds, morphine-6 glucuronide and morphine-3-glucuronide, are well described, the activity of other morphine metabolites is largely unknown. Here we performed an extensive pharmacological characterization by comparing efficacies and potencies of morphine and its nine major metabolites for the two main signaling pathways engaged by the human MOR, which occur via G_i-protein activation and β-arrestins, respectively. We used radioligand binding studies and FRET-based methods to monitor MORmediated Gi-protein activation and β-arrestin recruitment in single intact 293T cells. This approach identified two major groups of morphine metabolites, which we classified into "strong" and "weak" receptor ligands. Strong partial agonists morphine, morphine-6-glucuronide, normorphine, morphine-6-sulfate, 6-acetylmorphine and 3 acetylmorphine showed efficacies in the nanomolar range, while the weak metabolites morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate, morphine-3-glucuronide and pseudomorphine activated MOR pathways only in the micromolar range. Interestingly, three metabolites, normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine and morphine-6 glucuronide, had lower potencies for Gi-protein activation but higher potencies and efficacies for β -arrestin recruitment than morphine itself, suggesting that they are biased towards β -arrestin pathways.

Key words: morphine, metabolite, FRET, G-protein, β-arrestin

1. Introduction

are also relevant for drug abuse. Analgesic effects of opioids are achieve
ia activation of μ -opioid receptors (MOR) [1, 2]. MOR is a G-protein-couple
(GPCR), which can activate several cellular signaling pathways. The Morphine is an important alkaloid from opium poppy and serves as the prototypical analgesic drug. Morphine and some other opioids, for example 3,6-di-acetylmorphine (heroin) are also relevant for drug abuse. Analgesic effects of opioids are achieved largely via activation of μ -opioid receptors (MOR) [1, 2]. MOR is a G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), which can activate several cellular signaling pathways. The first pathway is mediated by the activation of inhibitory pertussis-toxin sensitive Gproteins (G_{i/o}-proteins). The second pathway is induced by the recruitment of β arrestins to the receptor, which leads to subsequent activation of other, so-called "non-classical" signaling cascades such as the mitogen activated protein kinase pathway [3, 4].

When administered to humans, morphine and other opiate alkaloids undergo extensive biotransformation by various metabolic pathways. The predominant metabolic pathway involves conjugation with glucuronic acid, which leads to the major metabolites morphine-3-glucuronide and morphine-6-glucuronide [5]. Other metabolic pathways include conjugation with sulfonic acid, leading to morphine-6 sulfates and morphine-3-sulfates, acetylation to 3- and 6-acetylmorphines, oxidation to morphine-N-oxide and demethylation to normorphine [6, 7] (Fig. 1). Among all known morphine metabolites, the pharmacological properties have been best studied for morphine-6-glucuronide and morphine-3-glucuronide, which are generally considered as active and inactive metabolites, respectively [8]. Much less is known about the activity of other metabolites, since no systematic pharmacological analysis has so far been performed, and only rare single reports on these compounds are

available. Lack of information about opiate metabolites does not allow definitive conclusions about their roles in analgesia and in adverse effects of morphine [6, 7].

s, including MOR, we have developed a new real-time approach to monit
n activation in intact cells by using biosensors which are based on Först
ce energy transfer (FRET) [9, 10, 11]. In addition, we have develope
techniqu To study the pharmacological properties of various ligands for G protein-coupled receptors, including MOR, we have developed a new real-time approach to monitor Gi-protein activation in intact cells by using biosensors which are based on Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) [9, 10, 11]. In addition, we have developed another technique to monitor β -arrestin recruitment to various receptors by measuring FRET between a receptor and β -arrestin [12, 13]. These approaches allow us to study pharmacological properties of different receptors and their ligands in single intact cells with high temporal and spatial resolution [14, 15].

Here we used these FRET assays together with radioligand binding studies to perform a systematic analysis of the pharmacological properties of all major morphine metabolites at the human MOR. We found that morphine metabolites exert either strong or weak signaling effects, often comparable to morphine itself, and that some metabolites show a previously unrecognized β -arrestin-biased behavior and are more efficacious in recruiting β -arrestin to the receptor than morphine itself.

Page 4 of 31

2. Material and methods

2.1 Substances

Morphine, morphine-6-glucuronide, morphine-3-glucuronide and DAMGO ([D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany). Normorphine, 3-acetylmorphine and 6-acetylmorphine were from Lipomed GmbH (Weil am Rhein, Germany).

s, morphine-6-glucuronide, morphine-3-glucuronide and DAMGO ([D-Ala", 1

Gly-ol]-enkephalin) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofe

y). Normorphine, 3-acetylmorphine and 6-acetylmorphine were from Lipome

Weil am R Morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate, morphine-6-sulfate and pseudomorphine were synthesized as follows. Morphine-N-oxide and pseudomorphine were prepared as described by Garrido et al. [16]. Morphine-3-sulfate was prepared via 6 acetylmorphine as previously described [17] and further sulfonated and deprotected by a procedure described by Mori et al. [18]. Morphine-6-sulfate was synthesized from morphine hydrochloride according to Preechagoon et al. [19]. Except where noted, all other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Plasmids

Human MOR-1A cDNA was obtained from imaGenes GmbH (Berlin, Germany) and subcloned into the pcDNA3 expression vector (Invitrogen) using HindIII and XbaI restriction sites. All fluorescent G_i -protein subunits used to monitor G_i activation by FRET have previously been described [9, 10]. Briefly, the rat PTX-insensitive $G_{\alpha i1}$ protein (C351I mutant) was labeled with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) between positions 91 and 92. Human G_{v2} was C-terminally tagged with enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (CFP), and the untagged human G_{B1} cDNA was co-

expressed. For the FRET-based measurements of β-arrestin-2 recruitment, the plasmids encoding the human MOR-1A C-terminally tagged with CFP, bovine βarrestin-2 C-terminally tagged with YFP, and untagged human G protein-coupled receptor kinase (GRK) 2 were used [12].

2.3 Cell culture and transfections

culture and transfections

ells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEn

ented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicill

mg/ml streptomycin (all from Pan Biotech GmbH, Aide 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin (all from Pan Biotech GmbH, Aidenbach, Germany) at 37°C and 7% CO₂. Cells were seeded onto poly-D-lysine (Sigma) coated glass cover slips for FRET experiments. Transient transfections were performed with Effectene Transfection reagent (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and FRET measurements were done 48 h after transfection.

To monitor Gi-protein activation, the following plasmids were transfected (µg DNA per 6-well plate): MOR 0.7, G_{011} -YFP 0.8, G_{01} 0.5, G_{v2} -CFP 0.2. To measure β-arrestin recruitment, cells were transfected with (µg DNA per 6-well plate): MOR-CFP 0.3, GRK2 0.2, β-arrestin-2-YFP 0.2). For receptor binding studies, 1.9 µg MOR plasmid were transfected per 90 mm cell-culture dish.

2.4 Receptor binding assays

MOR expressing 293T cells were homogenized in 5 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.4. The cell homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 1700xg, and the remaining

supernatant was centrifuged for 30 min at 50,000xg. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 50 mM of potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen. 20 µg of membrane protein were mixed with 0.1-1 nM of [H]naloxone (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc., St. Louis, USA), 100 µM GTP and various MOR ligand concentrations in 200 µl of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 h, then filtered through GF/F glass fiber filters (Millipore, Schwalbach, Germany) before liquid scintillation counting.

2.5 FRET measurements

ous MOR ligand concentrations in 200 µl of 50 mM potassium phospha

stamples were incubated at room temperature for 3 h, then filtered throught

stamples were incubated at room temperature for 3 h, then filtered throught
 FRET experiments were performed on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 inverted microscope with a PLAN-Neofluar oil immersion 100x objective and a dual emission photometric system as previously described [10]. Experiments were done at room temperature in a buffer containing 144 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3. Cells were excited using the monochromatic light from a Polychrome IV (Till Photonics, Gräfelfing, Germany) at 436 nm ± 10 nm and a frequency of 5 Hz. Emitted light was separated by the DCLP 505 beam splitter into two emission channels recorded at 480 \pm 20 nm (CFP) and 535 \pm 10 nm (FRET channel). The F_{535}/F_{480} emission ratio was offline corrected for bleedthrough, direct YFP excitation and photobleaching using Origin software in order to obtain corrected F_{YFP/CFP} ratios, which were used throughout the manuscript. Ratiometric traces were normalized to the initial ratio (set to 1).

3. Results

We analyzed the pharmacological properties of morphine and its nine major metabolites in three types of assays, namely radioligand binding studies, G-protein activation measurements and an assay to monitor β -arrestin recruitment to the opiate receptor. These experiments were designed to compare the action of morphine metabolites and their efficacies and potencies for two distinct signaling pathways engaged by human MOR.

3.1 Radioligand binding studies

These experiments were designed to compare the action of morphires
tes and their efficacies and potencies for two distinct signaling pathway
by human MOR.

Oligand binding studies

we determined the affinities of morphine Initially, we determined the affinities of morphine and its major metabolites (plus DAMGO as a reference agonist) for MOR in radioligand binding studies with cell membranes prepared from 293T cells expressing the receptor, using the antagonist [³H]naloxone as the radioligand. Competition displacement curves for morphine and DAMGO (Fig. 2) showed K_i-values, which were comparable to published values for these ligands at the human MOR [20, 21]. Next, we measured the *K*i-values of the major morphine metabolites (see Fig. 1). The radioligand displacement curves (Fig. 2) revealed two groups of metabolites, which we classified into "strong" and "weak" ligands. The "strong" metabolites, normorphine, 3- and 6-acetylmorphine, morphine-6-glucuronide and -6-sulfate showed affinities in the nanomolar range, while the "weak" ligands, pseudomorphine, morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-glucuronide and -3 sulfate, had micromolar *K*i-values (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

3.2 Gi-protein activation

Based on these affinity measurements we then set out to investigate the metabolites' functional effects. To monitor ligand-induced activation of inhibitory G-proteins, cells

expressing human MOR and fluorescently labeled G_i-protein subunits were treated with the different morphine metabolites (Fig. 3A). In this assay, receptor activation leads to a rapid and reversible decrease of the FRET ratio, which reflects structural rearrangements between the G-protein subunits, and [9]. In MOR expressing cells, we observed robust decreases of the FRET ratio upon morphine treatment, which were even further enhanced by the full receptor agonist DAMGO, suggesting that morphine acts only as a strong partial agonist at MOR (Fig. 3B). Addition of naloxone on top of these ligands led to a complete, relatively slow, deactivation of G-proteins, confirming that our system can be used to monitor specific pharmacological effects of receptor agonists, partial agonists and antagonists (Fig. 3B).

rved robust decreases of the FRET ratio upon morphine treatment, which
the further enhanced by the full receptor agonist DAMGO, suggesting the
acts only as a strong partial agonist at MOR (Fig. 3B). Addition of naloxor
of Next, we set out to compare FRET responses produced by morphine with those observed upon receptor activation with its various metabolites. Some of the metabolites, for example morphine-6-glucuronide, showed a strong G-protein activation signal, which had a similar amplitude as the response to morphine itself (Fig. 3C). Other metabolites, for example morphine-N-oxide, even at high concentrations, produced only partial effects, ranging from 70 to 90% of the morphine response (Fig. 3D).

To systematically analyze efficacies and potencies of all major morphine metabolites, we recorded concentration-response dependencies for the nine different compounds and compared them to morphine by normalizing their maximal FRET responses to the change of ratio evoked by the saturating morphine concentration of 10 µM. Again, these experiments identified the two groups of morphine metabolites that were seen in the radioligand binding experiments, i.e. the "strong" and "weak" ligands (Fig. 3E). The strong metabolites all showed not only potencies in the nanomolar range, but also efficacies at the MOR, which were comparable to morphine itself. These strong

partial MOR agonists were morphine-6-glucuronide, normorphine, morphine-6-sulfate and both 3- and 6-acetylmorphine. The second group of "weak" metabolites had much lower potencies and slightly lower efficacies compared to morphine. These metabolites, morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate and 3-glucuronide, as well as pseudomorphine, were able to activate Gi-proteins only in the micromolar concentration range, and their maximal effects ranged from 75 to 100% of those of morphine (Fig. 3E, Table 1).

3.3 -Arrestin recruitment

orphine, were able to activate G₁-proteins only in the micromolation range, and their maximal effects ranged from 75 to 100% of those e (Fig. 3E, Table 1).
 estin recruitment

tor the activity of the second important To monitor the activity of the second important group of signaling pathways activated by MOR, we studied the effects of morphine metabolites on the ligand-induced β arrestin translocation to this receptor. We chose the previously well established FRET-based approach which relies on the use of C-terminally CFP-tagged MOR and C-terminally YFP-tagged β -arrestin-2 [12], which were transfected into 293T cells together with the receptor kinase GRK2 to assure full agonist-triggered MOR phosphorylation. Ligand-dependent MOR activation leads to GRK-mediated receptor phosphorylation and subsequent β -arrestin-YFP recruitment, which can be monitored as an increase of FRET (Fig. 4A). Again, morphine showed the typical response of a partial agonist, which was ~30% smaller than the change of FRET ratio evoked by the full MOR agonist DAMGO (Fig. 4B). Compatible with the need of prior GRKmediated receptor phosphorylation [12], these responses were slower than those observed for Gⁱ (compare Figs. 3B and 4B; note the different time scales). Next, we continued to compare the FRET responses produced by morphine metabolites with their parent compound. Strikingly, we found that some of the "strong" metabolites, such as normorphine (Fig. 4C), morphine-6-glucuronide and 6-acetylmorphine,

ants, there were again the two groups of "strong" and "weak" partial agonist
cluded the same members. Morphine-N-oxide and morphine-3-glucuronic
dower potencies and significantly lower efficacies compared to DAMGO are
 $(Fig$ induced a significantly stronger β -arrestin recruitment than morphine itself. Therefore, we normalized all metabolite responses to the maximal FRET response produced by 10 µM of DAMGO and measured concentration-response dependencies for all nine morphine metabolites (Figs. 4D, E). As in the case of G_i -protein activation experiments, there were again the two groups of "strong" and "weak" partial agonists, which included the same members. Morphine-N-oxide and morphine-3-glucuronide showed lower potencies and significantly lower efficacies compared to DAMGO and morphine (Fig. 4D), while the other two weak metabolites were capable of translocating β -arrestin to the same extent as morphine, but at much higher concentrations (Fig. 4E and Table 1). Overall, the spread of efficacies was much greater in this assay than in the Gi-activation assay (compare Figs. 3E and 4E). Finally, we normalized the efficacies for all ligands measured in G_i - and β -arrestin assays to the maximal DAMGO response to graphically compare the extent of activation for each ligand and signaling pathway (Fig. 5)

4. Discussion

In this study, we performed a systematic analysis of the pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at the human MOR. We analyzed the ability of these substances to bind to the receptor and to activate the two major independent downstream signaling pathways, which are activation of G_i -proteins and β -arrestin recruitment. The information obtained in these assays allows to predict, which of these metabolites might play a role in MOR signaling *in vivo*.

n generally match well with the data obtained in classical GTP₇S bindi
10), they provide fast kinetic readouts and can be done in intact cells, i.
10), they provide fast kinetic readouts and can be done in intact cells, To analyze MOR activation, we used novel FRET-based approaches for real-time monitoring of G_i -protein activation and β -arrestin recruitment. These approaches provide pharmacological information at the single-cell level and directly at the initiating biochemical event. While the results of FRET measurements of G_i-protein activation generally match well with the data obtained in classical GTP_YS binding studies [10], they provide fast kinetic readouts and can be done in intact cells, i.e. under much more physiological conditions. Likewise, measurements of β -arrestin recruitment by FRET allow visualization of receptor/ β -arrestin interaction in real time. These novel assays thus reflect the pharmacodynamic properties of receptor ligands at their target intact cells, but without the pharmacokinetic constraints typical for *in vivo* experiments. For example, morphine-6-glucuronide, when directly injected into mice, has been shown to induce its behavioral effects much slower than morphine [22]. However, in our study, we observed similarly fast kinetics of Gi-protein activation as well as comparable efficacies and potencies for the two substances. The discrepancy between the results of the single-cell experiments and *in vivo* studies can be explained by differences in pharmacokinetics, which largely depend on the chemical structure and parameters such as hydrophobicity of the various metabolites. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that both morphine glucuronides are much slower at crossing the blood-brain barrier than morphine [23]. The single-cell pharmacological analyses presented in this study are capable of directly reporting dynamic properties of signaling at the level of target cells and therefore reflect the real pharmacodynamics of these compounds at their receptor.

There are two sources of morphine and its metabolites which are relevant for the human body. One is exogenous morphine and other analgesic opiates, which are

of producing high nanomolar concentrations of morphine from endogenoustic precursors [25, 26, 27]. Much is known about the metabolic pathway ad to morphine conjugation and biotransformation (see Fig. 1). 60-70% usly admini administered for pain therapy or during opiate abuse. In this case, the circulating opiate concentrations can reach relatively high micromolar peak levels, which gradually decrease through metabolic pathways [22, 24]. The second source of opiates in the human body is the endogenous morphine biosynthesis, which is capable of producing high nanomolar concentrations of morphine from endogenous biosynthetic precursors [25, 26, 27]. Much is known about the metabolic pathways which lead to morphine conjugation and biotransformation (see Fig. 1). 60-70% of exogenously administrated morphine is conjugated [5] to morphine-3-glucuronide (~55%) and morphine-6-glucuronide (~10%). After oral or parenteral morphine administration to patients, slightly higher plasma concentrations of morphine-6 glucuronide and ~10-times higher concentration of morphine-3-glucuronide can be measured for up to 12 hours [24]. Morphine-3-glucuronide is a very weak metabolite, whereas morphine-6-glucuronide can activate MOR almost as strongly as morphine. For morphine-3- and morphine-6-glucuronides we were able to confirm the previously observed differences [8] in their pharmacological properties. The former metabolite has been classified as a weak MOR ligand, while the latter glucuronide is a strong activator of MOR-induced signaling with potencies comparable to morphine.

Much less has been known about the pharmacological properties of other metabolites of morphine, which include normorphine, morphine-3- and morphine-6 sulfates, as well as 3- and 6-acetylmorphines. Normorphine is the N-demethylated metabolite of morphine which has been described as an active but slightly weaker opioid receptor ligand compared to morphine or morphine-6-glucuronide [28, 29]. In our study, we confirmed this observation and showed that normorphine activates Giproteins at slightly higher concentrations than morphine. Morphine-6-sulfate, similarly

d that morphine-6-sulfate has binding and signaling properties similar

a, so that the analgesic potency of this metabolite cannot be explained pharmacodynamic properties but must be pharmacokinetic in origi-

9-3-sulfate, to morphine-6-glucuronide, is a potent ligand, which requires \sim 10-50-times lower parenteral dose to achieve an analgesic effect comparable to morphine [30]. In contrast, morphine-3-sulfate is a weaker metabolite, which has been described to have much lower binding affinity and lower analgesic potency [31, 32]. In this study, we found that morphine-6-sulfate has binding and signaling properties similar to morphine, so that the analgesic potency of this metabolite cannot be explained by distinct pharmacodynamic properties but must be pharmacokinetic in origin. Morphine-3-sulfate, however, was much less potent and fell into the group of weak metabolites, which is compatible with earlier observations. The 3- and 6 acetylmorphines, which represent important heroin metabolites, have been reported to have similar or slightly less potent pharmacological effects than morphine [31] which was also the case in our single-cell experiments. Likewise, the weak analgesic morphine-N-oxide, which has been found to be 10-90 times less potent than morphine [33], showed almost 1000 times lower affinity and potencies in FRET assays.

Interestingly, we found that three metabolites, normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine and morphine-6-glucuronide, showed lower potencies for Gi-protein activation but higher potencies and efficacies for β -arrestin recruitment than morphine (compare Fig. 2E) and Fig. 3E, see also Table 1 and Fig. 5). This finding suggests that such ligands might have a bias for β -arrestin over G-protein signaling. Although they induce weaker responses at G-proteins, these metabolites can activate β -arrestin signaling pathways much stronger – pathways, which have been mostly associated with the pharmacological effects of opioid peptides.

h high micromolar concentrations, unless high opioid amounts have bee
usly administrated. Several strong metabolites, such as normorphine an
heroin metabolites 3- and 6-acetylmorphine, are much more relevant f
activation. Based on the results of this study, one can predict whether various opioid ligands, especially morphine metabolites, can have pharmacological effects *in vivo*. In physiological settings, weak metabolites would not activate MOR, because they do not reach high micromolar concentrations, unless high opioid amounts have been exogenously administrated. Several strong metabolites, such as normorphine and the main heroin metabolites 3- and 6-acetylmorphine, are much more relevant for receptor activation. Endogenously synthesized morphine and its strong metabolites might be pharmacologically relevant only if they are present at high nanomolar concentrations. In addition, normorphine and 6-acetylmoprhine, together with morphine-6-glucuronide, can trigger previously unrecognized stronger activation of the β -arrestin signalling.

The most interesting aspect of this study is the observation that morphine metabolites show differential preference for the two major signalling pathways triggered by G_i -proteins and β -arrestins, respectively. A more detailed understanding of these two signalling pathways in eliciting the various pharmacological effects of morphine is certainly necessary before we might be able to exploit these differences for drug therapy. However, our data clearly show that there is such a potential for differential effects of morphine metabolites at the MOR.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB487), the European Research Council (TOPAS) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (5R21DA024418-02).

Abbreviations: DAMGO, [D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin; FRET, fluorescence (or: Förster) resonance energy transfer; GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; GRK, Gprotein-coupled-receptor kinase.

References

- tions: DAMGO, [D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin; FRET, fluorescence
ter) resonance energy transfer; GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; GRK, G
oupled-receptor kinase.
Coupled-receptor kinase.
Coupled-receptor kina [1] Corbett AD, Henderson G, McKnight AT, Paterson SJ. 75 years of opioid research: the exciting but vain quest for the Holy Grail. Br J Pharmacol 2006; 147 Suppl 1: S153-62.
- [2] Jordan B, Devi LA. Molecular mechanisms of opioid receptor signal transduction. Br J Anaesth 1998; 81: 12-9.
- [3] Dang VC, Napier IA, Christie MJ. Two distinct mechanisms mediate acute muopioid receptor desensitization in native neurons. J Neurosci 2009; 29: 3322- 7.
- [4] Lefkowitz RJ, Shenoy SK. Transduction of receptor signals by beta-arrestins. Science 2005; 308: 512-7.
- [5] Hasselstrom J, Sawe J. Morphine pharmacokinetics and metabolism in humans. Enterohepatic cycling and relative contribution of metabolites to active opioid concentrations. Clin Pharmacokinet 1993; 24: 344-54.

[6] Smith HS. Opioid metabolism. Mayo Clin Proc 2009; 84: 613-24.

- [7] Skarke C, Lötsch J. Morphine metabolites: clinical implications. Seminars in Anesthesia, Periaperative Medicine and Pain 2002; 21: 258-64.
- [8] Wittwer E, Kern SE. Role of morphine's metabolites in analgesia: concepts and controversies. AAPS J 2006; 8: E348-52.
- [9] Bünemann M, Frank M, Lohse MJ. Gi protein activation in intact cells involves subunit rearrangement rather than dissociation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100: 16077-82.
- nd controversies. AAPS J 2006; 8: E348-52.

Controversies. AAPS J 2006; 8: E348-52.

Controversies. AAPS J 2006; 8: E348-52.

Linemann M, Frank M, Lohse MJ. Gi protein activation in intact cells involve

bunit rearrangemen [10] Nikolaev VO, Boettcher C, Dees C, Bünemann M, Lohse MJ, Zenk MH. Live cell monitoring of mu-opioid receptor-mediated G-protein activation reveals strong biological activity of close morphine biosynthetic precursors. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 27126-32.
- [11] Lohse MJ, Nikolaev VO, Hein P, Hoffmann C, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Optical techniques to analyze real-time activation and signaling of G-proteincoupled receptors. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2008; 29: 159-65.
- [12] Krasel C, Bünemann M, Lorenz K, Lohse MJ. Beta-arrestin binding to the beta2-adrenergic receptor requires both receptor phosphorylation and receptor activation. J Biol Chem 2005; 280: 9528-35.
- [13] Klenk C, Vetter T, Zürn A, Vilardaga JP, Friedman PA, Wang B, et al. Formation of a ternary complex among NHERF1, beta-arrestin, and parathyroid hormone receptor. J Biol Chem 2010; 285: 30355-62.

Page 17 of 31

- [14] Lohse MJ, Hein P, Hoffmann C, Nikolaev VO, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Kinetics of G-protein-coupled receptor signals in intact cells. Br J Pharmacol 2008; 153 Suppl 1: S125-32.
- [15] Lohse MJ, Hoffmann C, Nikolaev VO, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Kinetic analysis of G protein-coupled receptor signaling using fluorescence resonance energy transfer in living cells. Adv Protein Chem 2007; 74: 167-88.
- [16] Garrido JMPJ, Delerue-Matos C, Borges F, Macedo TRA, Oliveira-Brett AM. Voltammetric oxidation of drugs of abuse - I. Morphine and metabolites. Electroanal 2004; 16: 1419-26.
- [17] Wright CI. The enzymatic acetylation of heroin and related morphine derivatives by blood serum. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1941; 71: 164-77.
- [18] Mori M, Oguri K, Yoshimura H, Shimomura K, Kamata O. Chemical synthesis and analgesic effect of morphine ethereal sulfates. Life Sci I 1972; 11: 525-33.
- [19] Preechagoon D, Brereton I, Staatz C, Prankerd R. Ester prodrugs of a potent analgesic, morphine-6-sulfate: syntheses, spectroscopic and physicochemical properties. Int J Pharm 1998; 163: 177-90.
- nalysis of G protein-coupled receptor signaling using fluorescence resonancergy transfer in living cells. Adv Protein Chem 2007; 74: 167-88.

arrido JMPJ, Delerue-Matos C, Borges F, Macedo TRA, Oliveira-Brett Albitammetric [20] Befort K, Filliol D, Decaillot FM, Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Hoehe MR, Kieffer BL. A single nucleotide polymorphic mutation in the human mu-opioid receptor severely impairs receptor signaling. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 3130-7.
- [21] Spivak CE, Beglan CL, Seidleck BK, Hirshbein LD, Blaschak CJ, Uhl GR, et al. Naloxone activation of mu-opioid receptors mutated at a histidine residue lining the opioid binding cavity. Mol Pharmacol 1997; 52: 983-92.

- [22] Handal M, Grung M, Skurtveit S, Ripel A, Morland J. Pharmacokinetic differences of morphine and morphine-glucuronides are reflected in locomotor activity. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2002; 73: 883-92.
- [23] Bickel U, Schumacher OP, Kang YS, Voigt K. Poor permeability of morphine 3-glucuronide and morphine 6-glucuronide through the blood-brain barrier in the rat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1996; 278: 107-13.
- [24] Sawe J, Kager L, Svensson Eng JO, Rane A. Oral morphine in cancer patients: in vivo kinetics and in vitro hepatic glucuronidation. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1985; 19: 495-501.
- [25] Boettcher C, Fellermeier M, Drager B, Zenk MH. How human neuroblastoma cells make morphine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 8495-500.
- [26] Poeaknapo C, Schmidt J, Brandsch M, Drager B, Zenk MH. Endogenous formation of morphine in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 14091-6.
- glucuronide and morphine 6-glucuronide through the blood-brain barrier
e rat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1996; 278: 107-13.
awe J, Kager L, Svensson Eng JO, Rane A. Oral morphine in canc
atients: in vivo kinetics and in vitro he [27] Zhu W, Cadet P, Baggerman G, Mantione KJ, Stefano GB. Human white blood cells synthesize morphine: CYP2D6 modulation. J Immunol 2005; 175: 7357-62.
- [28] Sullivan AF, McQuay HJ, Bailey D, Dickenson AH. The spinal antinociceptive actions of morphine metabolites morphine-6-glucuronide and normorphine in the rat. Brain Res 1989; 482: 219-24.
- [29] Glare PA, Walsh TD. Clinical pharmacokinetics of morphine. Ther Drug Monit 1991; 13: 1-23.

Page 19 of 31

- [30] Zuckerman A, Bolan E, de Paulis T, Schmidt D, Spector S, Pasternak GW. Pharmacological characterization of morphine-6-sulfate and codeine-6-sulfate. Brain Res 1999; 842: 1-5.
- [31] Brown CE, Roerig SC, Burger VT, Cody RB, Jr., Fujimoto JM. Analgesic potencies of morphine 3- and 6-sulfates after intracerebroventricular administration in mice: relationship to structural characteristics defined by mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance. J Pharm Sci 1985; 74: 821-4.
- [32] Chen ZR, Irvine RJ, Somogyi AA, Bochner F. Mu receptor binding of some commonly used opioids and their metabolites. Life Sci 1991; 48: 2165-71.
- **Accepted** [33] Fennessy MR. The analgesic action of morphine-n-oxide. Br J Pharmacol 1968; 34: 337-44.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Metabolic pathways by which morphine undergoes biotransformation in the human body. The majority of the alkaloid is conjugated with glucuronic acid to form morphine-3- and -6-glucuronides. The remainder can be modified to form other metabolites such as sulfate or acetyl esters, normorphine, morphine-N-oxide and pseudomorphine (adapted from [7]).

Figure 2. Competition radioligand displacement curves for $\int_0^3 H$ -naloxone measured with 293T cell membranes expressing human MOR and treated with various ligands. Data are presented as means \pm SE (n=4-8). Individual data points were fitted to a single binding site model, and the obtained *K*_{*r*}-values are presented in the Table 1.

e-3- and -6-glucuronides. The remainder can be modified to form othes such as sulfate or acetyl esters, normorphine, morphine-N-oxide are orphine (adapted from [7]).

2. Competition radioligand displacement curves for $[^3$ **Figure 3**. Real-time monitoring of the morphine metabolite-induced effects on MORmediated G_{i1} -protein activation. (A) The FRET system for real-time monitoring of G_{i1} protein activation in cells expressing MOR and fluorescently labeled G-protein subunits. FRET between CFP fused to the γ_2 -subunit of the $\beta\gamma$ -complex, and YFP on the α_{i1} -subunit shows high basal levels, which decrease upon receptor-mediated activation. (B) Effects of saturating morphine (10 μ M) and DAMGO (10 μ M) concentrations on the FRET signal (presented as the normalized YFP/CFP ratio). Morphine acts as a partial agonist at the receptor and Gi-protein when compared to DAMGO. The MOR antagonist naloxone can fully block this FRET response. (C) Morphine-6-glucuronide (10 µM) produces a rapid FRET signal, which is comparable in its magnitude to that of morphine. (D) A weak metabolite morphine-N-oxide, even

at high concentrations (300 µM), shows only a partial FRET signal. B-D are representative experiments (n=3-7). (E) Concentration-response dependencies for morphine and its nine major metabolites measured in the FRET assay reflecting MOR-mediated Gi-protein activation. Changes in FRET are normalized to the maximal response induced by 10 μ M morphine. Data are presented as means \pm SE $(n=3-7)$.

response induced by 10 μ M morphine. Data are presented as means \pm S.

Measurements of β -arrestin recruitment to the activated MOR by FRET. (

F. T system for real-time monitoring of receptor/ β -arrestin interac **Figure 4.** Measurements of β -arrestin recruitment to the activated MOR by FRET. (A) The FRET system for real-time monitoring of receptor/ β -arrestin interaction is based on using MOR, which is tagged with CFP on its C-terminus, and the YFP-tagged Barrestin-2. Recruitment of β -arrestin-2-YFP to the activated receptor results in an increase of FRET. (B) Effects of the partial agonist morphine (10 µM), full agonist DAMGO (10 µM) and MOR antagonist naloxone (10 µM) on the FRET signal. (C) Normorphine (10 μ M) induces a stronger β -arrestin recruitment compared to morphine (10 µM). (D) The weak metabolite morphine-N-oxide (300 µM) shows a partial effect on β -arrestin recruitment. B-D are representative experiments (n=4-7). (E) Concentration-response dependencies for DAMGO, morphine and its nine major metabolites for the MOR-mediated β -arrestin recruitment. Changes in FRET are normalized to the maximal response induced by 10 µM DAMGO. Data are presented as means \pm SE (n=4-7).

Figure 5. Correlation of efficacies which various opioid ligands have at activating MOR-dependent G-protein and B-arrestin signaling. Data are taken from Figures 3E and 4E and normalized to the maximal effects induced by 10 µM DAMGO. Several

ligands elicit comparable effects on both pathways (e.g. DAMGO, morphine, morphine-N-oxide), while others more prominently activate β -arrestin signaling (e.g. normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine, morphine-6-glucuronide).

Captal Manuscript

CCEPTED

Table 1. Pharmacological properties of various morphine metabolites at the human μ -opioid receptor (MOR) measured by radioligand binding and FRET assays. EC_{50} values for G_i-protein activation and β -arrestin recruitment in the FRET-based assays show concentrations at which a half-maximal response for each individual substance was observed. Data from competitive displacement experiments using ³[H]-naloxone and MOR-expressing cell membranes are presented as *Kⁱ* values. All data are means ± SE calculated from three to seven independent experiments.

ED ANUSCRII P) W

Figure 3

ANUSCRIPT B 파이 Е

Figure 4

- **DAMGO**
- Morphine (Mo)
- Normorphine
- 6-Acetyl-Mo
- Mo-6-glucuronide
- Mo-6-sulfate
- 3-Acetyl-Mo
- Mo-N-oxide
- Mo-3-sulfate
- Mo-3-glucuronide
- Pseudomorphine

