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# On small proofs of Bell-Kochen-Specker theorem for two, three and four qubits 

Michel Planat<br>Institut FEMTO-ST, CNRS, 32 Avenue de l'Observatoire, F-25044 Besançon, France.


#### Abstract

Bell-Kochen-Specker theorem (BKS) theorem rules out realistic noncontextual theories by resorting to impossible assignments of rays among a selected set of maximal orthogonal bases. We investigate the geometrical structure of small BKSproofs $v-l$ involving $v$ real rays and $l 2 n$-dimensional bases of $n$-qubits $(1<n<5)$. Specifically, we look at the parity proof $18-9$ with two qubits (A. Cabello, 1996 [3]), the parity proof $36-11$ with three qubits (M. Kernaghan \& A. Peres, 1995 [4]) and a newly discovered non-parity proof $80-21$ with four qubits (that improves a work at P. K Aravind's group in 2008 [5]). The rays in question arise as real eigenstates shared by some maximal commuting sets (bases) of operators in the $n$-qubit Pauli group. One finds universal signatures of the distances among the bases, that carry various symmetries in their graphs.


PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Fd, 03.65.Aa, 03.67.-a, 02.10.Ox, 02.20.-a
Keywords: Bell-Kochen-Specker theorem, quantum contextuality, multiple qubits.

## 1. Introduction

Contextuality is an important hallmark of quantum mechanics. In a contextual world, the measured value of an observable depends on which other mutually compatible measurements might be performed. In this line of thought, the Bell-Kochen-Specker (BKS) theorem is fundamental because it is able to rule out non-contextual hidden variable theories $[1,2]$ by resorting to mathematical statements about the coloring of rays located on maximal orthonormal bases in the $d$-dimensional Hilbert space ( $d \geq 3$ ).

A non-coloring BKS proof consists of a finite set of projectors that cannot be assigned truth values ( 1 for true, 0 for false) in such a way that (i) one member of each complete orthonormal basis is true and (ii) no two orthogonal (that is, mutually compatible) projectors are both true [2, p. 197]-[6]. The smallest state-independent proofs in three dimensions are a $31-17$ proof (31 rays sited on 17 orthogonal triads) and the closely related $33-16$ proof corresponding to a very symmetric arrangement of rays located on a cube of edge $\sqrt{2}$ [2, fig. 7.2, p. 198], see also [7]. The BKS theorem is intimately related to the coloring of a graph whose vertices are the rays and whose edges are the bases [8].

A parity proof of BKS theorem is a set of $v$ rays that form $l$ bases ( $l$ odd) such that each ray occurs an even number of times over these bases. The record BKS proof in dimension 4 (resp. 8) is a parity proof and corresponds to arrangements of real states arising from the two-qubit (resp. the three-qubit) Pauli group, more specifically as eigenstates of operators forming Mermin's square (2) (resp. Mermin's pentagram (8)) [9]. In the following, we shall investigate in detail the structure of the $18-9$ two-qubit proofs [3, 11], that of the $36-11$ three-qubit proofs [4], and the related small proofs. Moreover, we shall improve the earlier four-qubit $80-265$ proof [5] by simplifying it to a $80-21$ arrangement.

Our overall goal in this paper is to gain a deeper understanding of the algebraic and geometrical structure of the minimal BKS $n$-qubit proofs. This is not a straigthforward task because there exists a plethora of quantum states appearing as eigenstates shared by the maximal commuting sets of operators in the $n$-qubit Pauli group. The whole number of states is $d L$, where $d=2^{n}$ and $L=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+2^{i}\right)$ is the number of maximal ommuting sets, see for exemple [12, eq. (16)]. The number of real states is found to be $L_{R}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(2+2^{i}\right)$, corresponding to the sequence $\{4,24,240,4320, \cdots\}$ of kissing numbers in the Barnes-Wall lattice $B_{n}$ of dimension $2^{n}$. One can ultimately expect a deep relationship of the $n$-qubit BKS proofs and the $B_{n}$ 's (in the spirit of [13]) but our goal here is more modest. We shall restrict the reservoir of real states to those generated from the Mermin's square ( 24 states for two qubits), from Mermin's pentagram ( 40 states for three qubits) and the magic rectangle (14) found in [5] (80 states for four qubits) $\ddagger$.

Apart from the use of standard graph theoretical tools for characterizing the ray/base symmetries, we shall employ a useful signature of the proofs in terms of the Bengtsson's distance $D_{a b}$ between two orthonormal bases $a$ and $b$ defined as [14, eq. (2)]-[15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{a b}^{2}=1-\frac{1}{d-1} \sum_{i, j}^{d}\left(\left|\left\langle a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{d}\right)^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distance (1) vanishes when the bases are the same and is maximal (equal to unity) when the two bases $a$ and $b$ are mutually unbiased, $\left|\left\langle a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=1 / d$, and only then. We shall see that the bases of a BKS proof employ a selected set of distances which is a universal signature of the proof.

The next three sections 2, 3, and 4 specialize on two-, three- and four-qubit proofs built from the operators in the corresponding Pauli groups. We denote $X, Z$ and $Y$ the Pauli spin matrices in $x, y$ and $z$ directions, and the tensor product is not explicit, i. e. in (2) one denotes $Z_{1}=Z \otimes I, Z_{2}=I \otimes Z$ and $Z Z=Z \otimes Z$, in (8) one denotes $Z_{1}=Z \otimes I \otimes I$ and so on, with I the identity matrix of the corresponding dimension.

The symmetries underlying the proofs and the distances between the involved bases are revealed §. In some sense, quantum contextuality encompasses quantum $\ddagger$ The BKS theorem also admits many proofs with complex rays as already shown for the two-qubit case [17].
§ The notations we use are standard ones: the symbols $\times$ and $\rtimes$ mean the direct and semidirect
complementarity by having recourse, not only to the maximal distance corresponding to mutually unbiased bases, but also to another set of distances which is a signature of the proof. Knowing the particular set of distances used in a proof of a given type, one is able to derive all proofs of the same type and their overall structure (at least for two and three qubits).

## 2. The BKS parity proofs for two qubits

The simplification of arguments in favour of a contextual view of quantum measurements started with Peres' note [10] and Mermin's report [9]. Observe that in (2), the three operators in each row and each column mutually commute and their product is the identity matrix, except for the right hand side column whose product is minus the identity matrix. There is no way of assigning multiplicative properties to the eigenvalues $\pm 1$ of the nine operators while still keeping the same multiplicative properties for the operators $\|$. Parodying [10], the result of a measurement depends "in a way not understood, on the choice of other quantum measurements, that may possibly be performed".


The next step to be able to see behind the scene, and to reveal the simplest paradoxical/contextual set of rays and bases, was achieved by A. Cabello [3]. It is a $18-9$ BKS parity proof that can be given a remarkable diagrammatic illustration fitting the structure of a 24 -cell [11]. More generally, it has been known for same time that there exists four types of parity proofs arising from the 24 Peres' rays [2], that are $18-9,20-11,22-13$ and $24-15$ proofs.

For the list of the unnormalized eigenvectors (numbered consecutively) we use the same notation than [11]

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllll}
1:[1000], & 2:[0100], & 3:[0010], & 4:[0001], & 5:[1111], \\
7:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}], & 6:[11 \overline{1} \overline{1}] \\
13:[1100], & 14:[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1], & 9:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1}], & 10:[15:[0011], & 16:[001 \overline{1} 1], \\
16 & 17:[11 \overline{1} 1], & 12:[111 \overline{1}]
\end{array}\right]
$$

product of groups, $S_{n}$ is the $n$-letter symmetric group and $D_{n}$ is the $2 n$-element dihedral group. $\|$ It is intriguing that such a property can be given a ring geometrical illustration by seeing Mermin's square as the projective line over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{2} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}$ (where $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is the field with two elements) and the right hand side column as the locus for pairs of units or pair of zero divisors of $R$. Ultimately, the geometry of the 15 two-qubit operators in the Pauli group has been found to mimic the generalized quadrangle $G Q(2,2)$, see [12] and references therein.

$$
\begin{equation*}
19 ;[1010], \quad 20:[10 \overline{1} 0], \quad 21:[100 \overline{1}], \quad 22:[1001], \quad 23:[01 \overline{1} 0], \quad 24:[0110] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 24 complete orthogonal bases are as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1:\{1,2,3,4\}, 2:\{5,6,7,8\}, 3:\{9,10,11,12\}, 4:\{13,14,15,16\}, \\
& 5:\{17,18,19,20\}, 6:\{21,22,23,24\}, 7:\{1,2,15,16\}, 8:\{1,3,17,18\}, \\
& 9:\{1,4,23,24\}, 10:\{2,3,21,22\}, 11:\{2,4,19,20\}, 12:\{3,4,13,14\}, \\
& 13:\{5,6,14,16\}, 14:\{5,7,18,20\}, 15:\{5,8,21,23\}, 16:\{6,7,22,24\}, \\
& 17:\{6,8,17,19\}, 18:\{7,8,13,15\}, 19:\{9,10,13,16\}, 20:\{9,11,18,19\}, \\
& 21:\{9,12,22,23\}, 22:\{10,11,21,24\}, 23:\{10,12,17,20\}, 24:\{11,12,14,15\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Normalizing the rays (3), the finite set of Bengtsson distances (1) between the 24 bases is found to be

$$
D=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right\}=\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{12}}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{6}}, 1\right\} \approx\{0.577,0.763,0.816,0.912,1.000\} .
$$

Table 2 provides the histogram of distances for the various parity proofs $v-l$.

| proof $v-l$ | \# proofs | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $24-15$ | 16 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 54 | 6 |
| $22-13$ | 240 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 42 | 3 |
| $20-11$ | 240 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| $18-9$ | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 |

Table 1. The histogram of distances for the various parity proofs $v-l$ obtained from the Mermin's square. One can check the expected equality $2 \sum a_{i}=l(l-1)$ in each proof.

Let us first observe that the symmetry group of the Mermin's graph (2) is $G_{72}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} \rtimes D_{4}$.

The 16 proofs of the $18-9$ type intersect at 3 or 5 points. The corresponding crossing graph is that of the square (5) with aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{4} \rtimes G_{72}$. For the 16 proofs of the $24-15$ type, the symmetry is the same . Basically, still the same group controls the 240 proofs of the $20-11$ type (as well as the 240 proofs of the $22-13$ type) although there also exist some extra abelian symmetries. For a nice geometrical display of the proofs see [11]).

Table 1 and 2 contain several informations about the proofs. First, a proof of a given type possesses a universal signature in terms of the distances. Observe that the smallest proof does not contain any pair of mutually unbiased bases. Second, a given proof can be seen from its symmetry subsets, each one attached to a selected crossing graph (read the caption in table 1 and 2). Then, one can build a graph having the bases as vertices and an edge joining two vertices if the two bases are in the right range
of distances. The maximal cliques of the latter graph, of the selected odd size $l$, are candidates for a proof of the $v-l$ type, but indeed not all of them are proofs. By this way, we could explicit all the proofs, 16 proofs of the $18-9$ type (as for the $24-15$ type) and 240 proofs of the $20-11$ type (as for the $22-13$ type), as announced in [11, Table 2].

| \# crossing points | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bengtsson distance | $a_{5}, a_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |
| $24-15$ proof | $G_{72}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{9} \rtimes\left(D_{4} \times S_{6}\right)$ | $G_{72}$ |
| $22-13$ proof | $D_{4}$ | $G_{72} \times S_{4}$ | $D_{4}$ |
| $20-11$ proof | $D_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} \rtimes \mathcal{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{3} \rtimes \mathcal{P}_{1}$ |
| $18-9$ proof | $G_{72}$ | $G_{72}$ | no crossing |

Table 2. The symmetries involved in the various two-qubit parity proofs of the BKS theorem. The first row denotes the number of crossing points between the bases. The second row relates the number of crossing points between two bases to their Bengtsson distance. Among the building block symmetries are the group $G_{72}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} \rtimes D_{4}$ and the single qubit Pauli group $\mathcal{P}_{1} \cong D_{4} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, a group underlying the CPT symmetries of the Dirac equation [16].

The 16 proofs of the $18-9$ type can be displayed as the $4 \times 4$ square (5) in which two adjacent proofs intersect at a three bases. Observe that each $2 \times 2$ square of adjacent proofs has the same intersection base that is provided as an index (e.g. the upper left $2 \times 2$ square has index 7 and the lower right square has index 10). All four indices on each row and on each column corresponds to four disjoint bases that together partition the 24 rays.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 8 & 10 \\
13 & 14 & 16 \\
22 & 23 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 9 & 11 \\
14 & 15 & 18 \\
19 & 20 & 22
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 9 & 12 \\
16 & 17 & 18 \\
20 & 21 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{lll}
10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 15 & 17 \\
19 & 21 & 23
\end{array}\right)- \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & \left.\right|_{7} & 11 \\
16 & 17 & 18 \\
19 & 21 & 23
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & \left.\right|_{20} & 10 \\
13 & 15 & 17 \\
20 & 21 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{lll}
10 & 11 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 16 \\
19 & 20 & 22
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 9 & 12 \\
14 & 15 & 18 \\
22 & 23 & 24
\end{array}\right)- \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & \left.\right|_{17} & 12 \\
13 & 15 & 17 \\
19 & 20 & 22
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
10 & 11 & 12 \\
16 & 17 & 18 \\
22 & 23 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 8 & 10 \\
14 & 15 & 18 \\
19 & 21 & 23
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 9 & 11 \\
13 & 14 & 16 \\
20 & 21 & 24
\end{array}\right)-  \tag{5}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
10 & 11 \\
14 & 15 & 18 \\
20 & 21 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left.\right|_{12} \\
8 & 9 & 12 \\
13 & 14 & 16 \\
19 & 21 & 23
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & \left.\right|_{20} \\
73 & 15 & 17 \\
22 & 23 & 24
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 8 & 10 \\
16 & 17 & 18 \\
19 & 20 & 22
\end{array}\right)-
\end{align*}
$$

The 16 proofs of the $24-15$ type (not shown) also form a $4 \times 4$ square in which two proofs intersect at seven points, comprising a common part of the six reference bases $1-6$ and an isolated base.

## Diagrams for the proofs

How can we account for the distance signature of a given proof? A simple diagram does the job.

The diagram for the $18-9$ proof is simply a $3 \times 3$ square. Below we explicit the first proof that corresponds to the upper left corner in (5). The 9 vertices of the graph are the 9 bases of the proof, the one-point crossing graph between the bases is the graph (6), with aut $=G_{72}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} \rtimes D_{4}$. There are 18 (distinct) edges that encode the 18 rays, a selected vertex/base of the graph is encoded by the union of the four edges/rays that are adjacent to it.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
11 & 12
\end{array}\right)-2-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 3 \\
16 & 17
\end{array}\right)-3-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
13 & 14
\end{array}\right)-1 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
8 & 9 \\
10 & 11
\end{array}\right)-8-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
7 & 8 \\
16 & 18
\end{array}\right)-7-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
7 & 9 \\
13 & 15
\end{array}\right)-9  \tag{6}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\right|_{13} & 5 \\
10 & 12 \\
\left.\right|_{12}
\end{array}\right)-5-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 6 \\
17 & 18
\end{array}\right)-6-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 & 6 \\
14 & 15
\end{array}\right)-4 \\
& \left.\right|_{17}
\end{align*}
$$

As for the distances between the bases, two bases located on the same row (or the same column) have distance $a_{2}=\sqrt{7 / 12}$ while two bases not on the same row (or column) have distance $a_{4}=\sqrt{5 / 6}>a_{2}$ as expected from Table 2 and the histogram in Table 1. Indeed any proof of the $18-9$ type has the same diagram than (6).

Similar diagrams can be drawn to reflect the histogram of distances in the proofs of larger size. Below we restrict to the case of a $20-11$ proof (in which the distance between two bases is made explicit, not the common rays between the bases)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
10 & 12 \\
17 & 20
\end{array}\right)-a_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
11 & 12 \\
14 & 15
\end{array}\right)-a_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 11 \\
21 & 24
\end{array}\right) \ldots a_{4}=\sqrt{5 / 6} \ldots \\
& \left|a_{2}=\sqrt{7 / 12} \quad\right| a_{2} \quad \mid a_{2} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
17 & 18
\end{array}\right)-a_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
15 & 16
\end{array}\right)-a_{2}-\quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 4 \\
23 & 24
\end{array}\right) . . a_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} . . \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4
\end{array}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \mid a_{2} \\
& \underset{\mid a_{2}}{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 7 \\
18 & 20
\end{array}\right)}-a_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 6 \\
14 & 16
\end{array}\right)-a_{2}-\quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 8 \\
21 & 23
\end{array}\right) . . . a_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} . . \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 6 \\
7 & 8
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The proof consists of 11 bases, 9 of them have the same mutual diagram than in (6) and their mutual distance is $a_{2}=\sqrt{7 / 12}$ (as shown) or $a_{4}=\sqrt{5 / 6}$ (not shown) depending if they are located on the same row (or the same column) of the $3 \times 3$ square. The extra two bases of the right hand side column are mutually unbiased (with distance $a_{5}=1$ ), their distance to any base of the same row is $1 / \sqrt{3}$ and their distance to any base of the first row is $a_{4}$ (as shown).

## 3. The BKS parity proofs for three qubits

Quantum contextuality of a three-qubit system is also predicted in Mermin's report [9] in terms of its famous pentagram. Below we display it in a sligthly different form in order to underline its kinship to the four-qubit "magic" rectangle (14). The Mermin's rectangle/pentagram (8) is encoded with the same (real) operators than [18] ब.


Following [9], (8) is a parity proof of the BKS theorem because mutually commuting operators in the four columns multiply to the identity matrix while operators in the single row multiply to minus the identity matrix. Since each operator appears twice in this reasoning, it is impossible to assign truth values $\pm 1$ to the eigenvalues while keeping the multiplicative properties of the operators.

The list of (unormalized) eigenvectors coming from the five bases in (8) is (in the same notations than [4])

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1:[10000000], 2:[01000000], 3:[00100000], 4:[00010000], 5:[00001000], \\
& 6:[00000100], 7:[00000010], 8:[00000001], 9:[11110000], 10:[11 \overline{1} 10000], \\
& \quad 11:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 0000], 12:[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 10000], 13:[00001111], 14:[000011 \overline{1} \overline{1}], 15:[00001 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}], \\
& 16:[00001 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1], 17:[11001100], 18:[1100 \overline{1} \overline{1} 00], 19:[1 \overline{1} 001 \overline{1} 00], 20:[1 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 100], \\
& \quad 21:[00110011], 22:[001100 \overline{1} \overline{1}], 23:[001 \overline{1} 001 \overline{1}], 24:[001 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 1], 25:[10101010], \\
& 26:[1010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 0], 27:[10 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1} 0], 28:[10 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 010], 29:[01010101], 30:[01010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1}], \\
& 31:[010 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1}], 32:[010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 01], 33:[100101 \overline{1} 0], 34:[100 \overline{1} 0110], 35:[10010 \overline{1} 10], \\
& 36:[100 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} \overline{1} 0], 37:[0110 \overline{1} 001], 38:[01 \overline{1} 01001], 39:[01 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1}], 40:[0110100 \overline{1}] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

These rays form the 25 maximal orthogonal bases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1:\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}, 2:\{1,2,3,4,13,14,15,16\}, 3:\{1,2,5,6,21,22,23,24\}, \\
& 4:\{1,3,5,7,29,30,31,32\}, 5:\{1,4,6,7,37,38,39,40\}, 6:\{5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12\}, \\
& 7:\{9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16\}, 8:\{9,10,13,14,19,20,23,24\}, 9:\{9,11,13,15,27,28,31,32\},
\end{aligned}
$$

- In [18], it is shown that Mermin's pentagram corresponds to an ovoid of the three-dimensional projective space of order two, $P G(3,2)$, a reasoning that generalizes that given in the footnote on p . 3 of the present paper.
$10:\{9,12,14,15,34,36,38,39\}, 11:\{10,11,13,16,33,35,37,40\}, 12:\{10,12,14,16,25,26,29,30\}$,
$13:\{11,12,15,16,17,18,21,22\}, 14:\{3,4,7,8,17,18,19,20\}, 15:\{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24\}$,
$16:\{17,19,21,23,26,28,30,32\}, 17:\{17,20,22,23,35,36,37,39\}, 18:\{18,19,21,24,33,34,38,40\}$,
$19:\{18,20,22,24,25,27,29,31\}, 20:\{2,4,6,8,25,26,27,28\}, 21:\{25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32\}$,
$22:\{25,28,30,31,33,36,37,38\}, 23:\{26,27,29,32,34,35,39,40\}$,
$24:\{2,3,5,8,33,34,35,36\}, 25:\{33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40\}$.

| proof $v-l$ | \# proofs | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40-15$ | 64 | 20 | 30 | 55 |
| $38-13$ | 640 | 12 | 30 | 26 |
| $36-11$ | 320 | 4 | 30 | 21 |

Table 3. The histogram of distances for the various parity proofs $v-l$ obtained from the Mermin's pentagram. One can check the expected equality $2 \sum a_{i}=l(l-1)$ in each proof.

Observe that the symmetry group of Mermin's pentagram is $S_{5}$. Two proofs of the $36-11$ type intersect at $3,4,7$ or 8 or 9 points, with crossing graph aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{6} \rtimes S_{5}$, or at 5 or 6 points with crossing graph aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{14} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{5}\right)$.

Two proofs of the $40-15$ type intersect at $9,10,11$ or 12 points. The graphs corresponding to crossings at 9 or 11 points are complementary with aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{10} \rtimes$ $\left(A_{6}^{2} \rtimes D_{4}\right)$, the graph corresponding to crossings at 10 points is aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{32} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5} \rtimes S_{6}\right)$ and the graph corresponding to crossings at 12 points is aut $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{6} \rtimes S_{5}$.

| \# crossing points | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bengtsson distance | $a_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |
| $40-15$ proof | $S_{5}$ | $S_{5}^{2}$ | $S_{5}$ |
| $38-13$ proof | $D_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{5}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $36-11$ proof | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes S_{6}$ | $S_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6} \times S_{6}\right)$ |

Table 4. The symmetries involved in the various three-qubit parity proofs of the BKS theorem. The first row denotes the number of crossing points between the bases and the second row relates it bijectivally to the Bengtsson distance between the bases. The five-letter symmetric group $S_{5}$ is an important building block symmetry of the proofs.

The finite set of Bengtsson distances involved is

$$
D=\left\{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{7}}, \frac{\sqrt{9}}{\sqrt{14}}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{7}}\right\} \approx\{0.654,0.801,0.925\} .
$$

It contrast to the two-qubit case, there is none set of mutually unbiased bases appearing. Three types of parity proofs may be found, the $36-11$ type discovered in [4] and the two extra types $38-13$ and $40-15$. Table 3 and 4 gather the main properties. As in the two-qubit case, one uses the computer to construct a graph having the bases as vertices and an edge joining two vertices/bases at the right distances. Then one extracts all sets
of cliques, not necessarily maximal, of a given odd cardinality (that is eleven, thirteen and fifteen) and one keeps those having the desired property of being parity proofs of the BKS theorem. Doing this, one gets an explicit list of the 64 proofs of the $40-15$ type, the 640 proofs of the $38-13$ type and the 320 proofs of the $36-11$ type, a whole amount of $2^{10}$ distinct parity proofs.

Below, we provide a short list of $36-11$ proofs: the 16 proofs containing the bases 1, 2 and 3

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1:\{1,2,3,4,8,9,11,16,17,23,24\}, 2:\{1,2,3,4,8,9,11,18,19,22,24\}, \\
& 3:\{1,2,3,4,8,10,12,16,17,22,24\}, 4:\{1,2,3,4,8,10,12,18,19,23,24\}, \\
& 5:\{1,2,3,4,9,10,13,16,18,23,24\}, 6:\{1,2,3,4,9,10,13,17,19,22,24\}, \\
& 7:\{1,2,3,4,11,12,13,16,18,22,24\}, 8:\{1,2,3,4,11,12,13,17,19,23,24\}, \\
& 9:\{1,2,3,5,8,9,11,16,17,20,22\}, 10:\{1,2,3,5,8,9,11,18,19,20,23\}, \\
& 11:\{1,2,3,5,8,10,12,16,17,20,23\}, 12:\{1,2,3,5,8,10,12,18,19,20,22\}, \\
& 13:\{1,2,3,5,9,10,13,16,18,20,22\}, 14:\{1,2,3,5,9,10,13,17,19,20,23\}, \\
& 15:\{1,2,3,5,11,12,13,16,18,20,23\}, 16:\{1,2,3,5,11,12,13,17,19,20,22\} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

These 16 selected proofs intersect at $4,5,6,7$ or 8 bases. The 8 -base crossing graph is regular, of valency 5, with automorphism group aut $=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5} \rtimes G_{72}$, where $G_{72}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2} \rtimes D_{4}$ was already found as an important symmetry group of the two-qubit $36-11$ proofs.

## Diagrams for the proofs

To be more explicit, the first parity proof in (11) consists of the eleven 8-ray bases (12), where the four rays $12,18,25$ and 38 do not appear and the remaining ones occur 2 or 4 times each

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & :\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}, 2:\{10,11,13,16,33,35,37,40\}, \\
3 & :\{17,19,21,23,26,28,30,32\}, 4:\{17,20,22,23,35,36,37,39\}, \\
5 & :\{9,11,13,15,27,28,31,32\}, 6:\{1,3,5,7,29,30,31,32\}, \\
7 & :\{2,3,5,8,33,34,35,36\}, 8:\{1,2,3,4,13,14,15,16\}, \\
9 & :\{1,2,5,6,21,22,23,24\}, 10:\{26,27,29,32,34,35,39,40\}, \\
11 & :\{9,10,13,14,19,20,23,24\} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

As the previous section, a simple diagram illustrates how the distances are spread among the bases. Let us look at the $36-11$ parity proof (12). The 11 bases are displayed
as a pentagram (13) plus the isolated reference base 1.


4
5
Two adjacent bases of the pentagram intersect at two rays. The reference base intersects each of the bases on the horizontal line of the pentagram at four rays and is disjoint from any other base. One can further observe that each line of the pentagram shares a set of four rays that is disjoint from the set of four rays shared by another line. The automorphism group of this proof is $S_{5}$.

The maximal distance is between two disjoint bases as $a_{3}=\sqrt{6 / 7}$. The intermediate distance $a_{2}=\sqrt{9 / 14}$ occurs between two bases located on any line of the pentagram. Finally, the shortest distance $a_{1}=\sqrt{3 / 7}$ is between the reference base and each of the four bases on the horizontal line of the pentagram.

Similar diagrams are easily drawn for any proof.

## 4. The BKS proofs for four qubits

The BKS theorem for four qubits was investigated in [5]. The "magic" rectangle (14) (also shown in a pentagram form in (15)) is a parity proof similar to (2) and (8) because each operator appears twice, the mutually commuting operators in any column multiply to give the identity operator and the operators in the single row multiply to give minus the identity operator. There is no way of assigning the eigenvalues $\pm 1$ while still preserving the multiplicative properties of the operators.


$$
X_{2}, X_{4}
$$

$$
X_{2}, Z_{4}
$$

To investigate the state proof of the BKS theorem, we are faced with the following set of $5 \times 16=80$ rays (16) and the corresponding 625 maximal orthogonal bases
$1:[00000000001 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 1], 2:[0001000 \overline{1} 000 \overline{1} 0001], 3:[1 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 10000000000]$, 4 : [00010001000 $0000 \overline{1}], 5:[1010101000000000], 6:[11 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$, $7:[000000001010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 0], 8:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}], 9:[10001000 \overline{1} 0001000]$, $10:[01010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 00000000], 11:[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1}], 12:[0000000010 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1} 0]$, 13 : [0001000100010001], 14 : [11̄1111̄111̄11111̄11̄̄11], $15:[000000001 \overline{1} 001 \overline{1} 00]$, 16 : [010001000 $1000 \overline{1} 00], 17:[10 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1} 000000000], 18:[0000000001010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]$, $19:[1 \overline{1} 001 \overline{1} 0000000000], 20:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1}], 21:[001100 \overline{1} 100000000]$, $22:[11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1}], 23:[111 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 111], 24:[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1}]$, $25:[01000 \overline{1} 000 \overline{1} 000100], 26:[1100110000000000], 27:[1100 \overline{1} 10000000000]$, $28:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}], 29:[0011001100000000], 30:[11 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 11111 \overline{1} 1]$, $31:[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1], 32:[00000000001100 \overline{1} \overline{1}], 33:[1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1]$, $34:[1000100010001000], 35:[0000000010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 010], 36:[0010001000 \overline{1} 000 \overline{1} 0]$,
 $40:[001000 \overline{1} 0001000 \overline{1} 0], 41:[000000001100 \overline{1} 100], 42:[1111 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11111]$, $43:[111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 111], 44:[111 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}], 45:[0001000 \overline{1} 0001000 \overline{1}]$, $46:[10 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 01000000000], 47:[0000000010101010], 48:[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 111111 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1]$, 49 : [0100010001000100], $50:[1000 \overline{1} 0001000 \overline{1} 000], 51: ~[1000 \overline{1} 000 \overline{1} 0001000]$, 52 : [000000000011̄0011] , $53:[1 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1], 54:[1111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$, $55:[11 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 11], 56:[1111111111111111], 57:[01000 \overline{1} 0001000 \overline{1} 00]$, $58:[0010001000100010], 59:[001 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 100000000], 60:[1 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 11]$, 61 : [0000000001010101], 62 : [ $1 \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1} 11 \overline{1}], 63:[1010 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 000000000]$, 64 : [0000000011001100], 65 : [0000000000110011], 66 : [001000100010100010], 67 : [111 $\overline{1} 111 \overline{1} \overline{1} 111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1}], 68: ~[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1], 69:[11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11]$, 70 : [00000000010 $10 \overline{1} 01], 71:[010 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1} 00000000], 72:[001 \overline{1} 001 \overline{1} 00000000]$,

$76:[1 \overline{1} 1111 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1}], 77:[00000000010 \overline{1} 010 \overline{1}], 78:[0101010100000000]$, $79:[000000001 \overline{1} 00 \overline{1} 100], 80:[11 \overline{1} \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1} 11]$.

In [5], a non-parity proof $80-265$ was proposed. Here we find a smaller one $80-21$. Our strategy is as follows. Let us consider the set

$$
D=\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{\sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right\} \approx\{0.447,0.547,0.632,0.707,0.774,0.836,0.894\}
$$

that characterizes the allowed Bengtsson distances between the 625 bases. We randomly select a minimal set $B$ of $l$ bases within the 625 's such that (a) there is at least one distance of each type among the selected bases, (b) there is at least one subset of $B$ containing 5 bases partitioning the $5 \times 16=80$ rays (this criterion is taken in order to establish the BKS property with only $16^{5}=1048576$ checkings), (c) the set $B$ satisfies the BKS items (i) and (ii) of the introduction.

We found a mimimal cardinality $l=23$ for the set $B$. It was further simplified to $l=22$, a set still satisfying the criterion (b), then to $l=21$. The $80-21$ proof, given in (17), does not satisfy criterion (b), there is however a single set of four disjoint bases (the bases 1 to 4 ). The main properties of $80-23,80-22$ and $80-21$ proofs are summarized in tables 5 and 6 .

| proof $v-l$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $80-23$ | 1 | 3 | 17 | 19 | 76 | 69 | 68 |
| $80-22$ | 1 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 65 | 64 | 64 |
| $80-21$ | 1 | 1 | 14 | 19 | 60 | 64 | 51 |

Table 5. The histogram of distances for the various proofs obtained from the square of operators (14). One can check the expected equality $2 \sum a_{i}=l(l-1)$ in each proof.

| \# crossing points | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bengtsson distance | $a_{7}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a 3$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |
| $80-23$ proof | $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | $D_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes\left(A_{7} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5} \times S_{18}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{21}$ |
| $80-22$ proof | $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | $D_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes S_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3} \rtimes S_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{20}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{20}$ |
| $80-21$ proof | $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes S_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3} \rtimes S_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{19}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times S_{19}$ |

Table 6. The symmetries involved in the four-qubit proofs of the BKS theorem. The first row denotes the number of crossing points between the bases and the second row provides the corresponding distance.
$1:\{1,3,13,21,27,31,32,34,41,49,58,59,74,76,79,80\}$,
2 : $\{2,5,7,12,17,25,35,45,46,47,57,61,63,71,77,78\}$,
3 : $\{4,9,10,16,18,28,36,37,40,50,51,56,60,66,67,70\}$,
4 : $\{15,19,20,22,26,29,33,43,44,48,52,64,65,69,72,73\}$,
$5:\{1,3,21,22,23,28,39,41,43,44,53,55,67,69,74,76\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6:\{1,3,6,24,30,31,38,42,54,56,59,60,62,75,79,80\}, \\
& 7:\{1,4,5,10,12,13,16,17,32,37,41,46,47,49,63,79\}, \\
& 8:\{2,7,9,16,25,29,34,35,45,46,49,52,57,63,65,72\}, \\
& 9:\{2,5,11,15,17,25,38,51,52,54,64,65,66,68,71,78\}, \\
& 10:\{2,3,4,9,13,16,27,34,36,40,41,45,49,58,66,79\}, \\
& 11:\{2,3,15,19,26,27,29,40,41,45,52,64,65,66,72,79\}, \\
& 12:\{2,3,5,27,40,41,45,47,60,61,66,67,76,78,79,80\}, \\
& 13:\{7,10,11,14,18,26,28,29,30,31,38,60,63,64,65,76\}, \\
& 14:\{5,7,10,12,17,18,35,37,46,47,61,63,70,71,77,78\}, \\
& 15:\{7,10,17,23,33,43,44,46,47,53,62,70,73,75,77,78\}, \\
& 16:\{8,11,15,24,26,30,33,39,43,48,53,54,62,65,69,72\}, \\
& 17:\{8,11,12,17,28,30,31,33,43,48,54,56,69,71,74,77\}, \\
& 18:\{6,13,14,16,20,22,23,34,36,38,39,42,44,62,68,73\}, \\
& 19:\{10,13,18,31,34,37,40,49,50,51,58,66,70,74,76,80\}, \\
& 20:\{4,9,13,16,20,25,33,34,36,44,45,49,50,58,66,69\}, \\
& 21:\{5,15,17,20,27,32,33,48,52,59,64,65,71,73,78,79\} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For completeness, the 22 -base and 23 -base proofs follow by adding to (17) the following two rays, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{6,8,11,14,23,24,30,38,39,42,53,54,55,62,68,75\}, \\
& \{1,3,17,21,22,23,41,43,44,47,53,62,69,75,77,78\} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

That (17) is a BKS proof of the four-qubit system can be easily checked with the help of a computer by checking that for all $64^{4} * 80=5242880$ possibilities of assigning the truth value 1 to a quintuple of rays $(i, j, k, l, m)$ with $i, j, k, l$ and $m$ the indices in bases 1, 2, 3 and 4 and in an arbitrary base of index $m$ of (17), at least one base does not satisfy the criterion (ii) of the introduction. The same conclusion holds for the set of 22 bases that contains the set of the 21's, and for the set of 23 bases that contains the set of the 22 's. No further simplification of the 21-base set could be obtained while keeping the BKS proof.

Observe from table 6 (column 2) that the proofs are quite random since the overall symmetry group $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ only contains the identity element. But remnant symmetries are present as one can see by looking at the other crossing graphs (in columns 3 to 8 of table 6).

## 5. Conclusion

We have performed a systematic investigation of the small state proofs of the BKS theorem involving the real rays of a few qubits. The proofs correspond to some sets of maximal orthogonal bases constructed from the Mermin's $3 \times 3$ square (for two qubits) and from Mermin's pentagram (for three and four qubits). These BKS states belong to a larger set of real states on an (Euclidean) Barnes-Wall lattice $B_{n}$. It would be desirable to discover the precise status of the KS sets on $B_{n}$. This is left for a future work.

Another ongoing work of ours concerns the BKS proofs with complex rays in the spirit of the work already published in [17]. Progress on the understanding of KS sets may be useful for the debate about the EPR local elements of reality, quantum complementarity, counterfactual compatibility and non-contextual inequalities [19, 20].
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