



HAL
open science

Non Gaussian matrix-valued random fields for nonparametric probabilistic modeling of elliptic stochastic partial differential operators

Christian Soize

► **To cite this version:**

Christian Soize. Non Gaussian matrix-valued random fields for nonparametric probabilistic modeling of elliptic stochastic partial differential operators. 9th ASCE Joint Speciality Conference on Probabilistic Mechanics and Structural Reliability, Sandia National Laboratory, Jul 2004, Albuquerque, New Mexico, United States. pp.1-6. hal-00688125

HAL Id: hal-00688125

<https://hal.science/hal-00688125>

Submitted on 16 Apr 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Non Gaussian Matrix-Valued Random Fields for Nonparametric Probabilistic Modeling of Elliptic Stochastic Partial Differential Operators

C. Soize
 University of Marne-la-Vallée, Paris, France
 soize@univ-mlv.fr

Abstract

This paper deals with the construction of a non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random field whose mathematical properties allow elliptic stochastic partial differential operators to be modeled. Such a matrix-valued random field can directly be used for modeling random uncertainties in computational sciences with a stochastic model having a small number of parameters. For instance, in three-dimensional linear elasticity, the fourth-order elasticity tensor of a random non homogeneous anisotropic elastic material is constituted of 21 dependent random fields which have to be such that the positive-definiteness property of this fourth-order tensor be verified in a given probabilistic sense. If the usual parametric probabilistic approach is used, then the identification of such a probabilistic model by using experimental data seems to be difficult. The non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random field presented in this paper allows such a probabilistic model of the fourth-order tensor-valued random field to be constructed and depends only of 4 scalar parameters: three spatial correlation lengths and one parameter allowing the level of the random fluctuations to be controlled. Such a model can directly be used in the stochastic finite element method.

1. Introduction

A great challenge is the construction of construct stochastic representations for uncertain parameters for which probabilistic data are known and can be identified by using experimental data. Such a probabilistic model is useful in computational sciences and in particular for stochastic finite elements (Kleiber *et al.*, 1992; Ghanem and Spanos, 2003). For instance, consider the following deterministic elliptic partial differential operator \underline{A} on a bounded open domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 , related to the three-dimensional linear elasticity for a non homogeneous anisotropic elastic material,

$$\underline{A} \underline{\mathbf{u}} = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{e}^i \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ \sum_{k,h=1}^3 \underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x}) \varepsilon_{kh}(\underline{\mathbf{u}}) \right\} \quad , \quad (1)$$

in which $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\mathbf{e}^1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}^2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{e}^3 = (0, 0, 1)$ are the vectors of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 and where $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\underline{u}_1(\mathbf{x}), \underline{u}_2(\mathbf{x}), \underline{u}_3(\mathbf{x}))$ is a twice differentiable function from Ω into \mathbb{R}^3 . The second-order strain tensor is such that $\varepsilon_{kh}(\underline{\mathbf{u}}) = (1/2) (\partial \underline{u}_k / \partial x_h + \partial \underline{u}_h / \partial x_k)$. The fourth-order elasticity tensor $\underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})$ has to verify the symmetry property $\underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{c}_{jikh}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{c}_{ijhk}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{c}_{khij}(\mathbf{x})$ and, for all symmetric second-order real tensors $\{z_{ij}\}_{ij}$, has to verify the positive-definiteness property, $\sum_{i,j,k,h=1}^3 \underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x}) z_{kh} z_{ij} \geq \underline{c}_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^3 z_{ij}^2$, in which \underline{c}_0 is a positive constant independent of \mathbf{x} . For a random medium, for all \mathbf{x} fixed in Ω , tensor $\{\underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$ is replaced by a fourth-order tensor-valued random variable $\{C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$ whose mean value is $\{\underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$ and which has to verify the symmetry and the positive-definiteness properties in a probabilistic sense which has to be defined. Nevertheless, for the random case, the deterministic constant \underline{c}_0 (introduced above) cannot generally be justified from a probabilistic modeling point of view. Finally, $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \{C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$ is a fourth-order tensor-valued random field indexed by Ω , constituted of 21 mutually dependent random fields and the stochastic partial differential operator \mathbf{A} associated with operator \underline{A} written as

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{U} = - \sum_i^3 \mathbf{e}^i \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ \sum_{k,h=1}^3 C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x}) \varepsilon_{kh}(\mathbf{U}) \right\} . \quad (2)$$

It should be noted that the probability distribution of this fourth-order tensor-valued random field (that is to say the system of the marginal distributions) is required because the unknown solution of the stochastic boundary value problem is a nonlinear mapping of random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \{C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$. If the usual parametric probabilistic approach is used, then the identification of this probability model by using experimental data seems to be difficult. This paper deals with a nonparametric construction of a random field such as $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \{C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$. For that, an ensemble of non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random fields is constructed and studied which allows, for instance, the fourth-order tensor-valued random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \{C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})\}_{ijkh}$ to be modeled. Then, such a tensor-valued random field will depend only on 4 scalar parameters: three spatial correlation lengths and one parameter allowing the level of the random fluctuations to be controlled. With such a model, the inverse problem related to the experimental identification seems to be more feasible.

The following algebraic notations are used. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the usual inner product $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j$ and the associated norm $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle^{1/2}$. Let $\mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all the $(n \times m)$ real matrices, $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all the square $(n \times n)$ real matrices, $\mathbb{M}_n^S(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all the $(n \times n)$ real symmetric matrices and $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all the $(n \times n)$ real symmetric positive-definite matrices. We then have $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{M}_n^S(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$. We denote (i) the trace of the matrix $[A] \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ as $\text{tr}[A] = \sum_{j=1}^n [A]_{jj}$; (ii) the transpose of $[A] \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ as $[A]^T \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$; (iii) the operator norm of the matrix $[A] \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$ as $\|A\| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1} \|[A]\mathbf{x}\|$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, which is such that $\|[A]\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and if $m = n$, then $\|A\| = |\lambda_n|$, in which $|\lambda_n|$ is the largest modulus of the eigenvalues of $[A]$; (iv) for $[A] \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$, we note $\|A\|_F^2 = \text{tr}\{[A]^T[A]\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m [A]_{jk}^2$ and for $[A]$ in $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|$.

2. Construction and properties of the ensemble SFG^+ of homogeneous and normalized non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random fields

2.1. Random field U as the germ of ensemble SFG^+

Definition. Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\mathbf{x} \mapsto U(\mathbf{x})$ be a second-order centered homogeneous Gaussian random field, defined on probability space (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , indexed by \mathbb{R}^d , with values in \mathbb{R} . Let L_1, \dots, L_d be positive real numbers. Its autocorrelation function $R_U(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = E\{U(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta})U(\mathbf{x})\}$, defined for all $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$ in \mathbb{R}^d , is written as $R_U(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \rho_1(\eta_1) \times \dots \times \rho_d(\eta_d)$ in which, for all $j = 1, \dots, d$, we have $\rho_j(0) = 1$ and $\rho_j(\eta_j) = 4L_j^2/(\pi^2\eta_j^2) \sin^2(\pi\eta_j/(2L_j))$ for $\eta_j \neq 0$.

Properties. For all \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^d , $E\{U(\mathbf{x})\} = 0$ and $E\{U(\mathbf{x})^2\} = 1$. The random field U is mean-square continuous on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^d and let $d\mathbf{k} = dk_1 \dots dk_d$ be the Lebesgue measure. Then, there is a power spectral density function $\mathbf{k} \mapsto S_U(\mathbf{k})$ from \mathbb{R}^d into \mathbb{R}^+ , integrable, such that $\forall \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $R_U(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{k} \rangle} S_U(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$ which can be written as $S_U(\mathbf{k}) = s_1(k_1) \times \dots \times s_d(k_d)$ in which, for all $j = 1, \dots, d$, the function $k_j \mapsto s_j(k_j)$ from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R}^+ is defined by $s_j(k_j) = (L_j/\pi) q(k_j L_j/\pi)$. The function $\tau \mapsto q(\tau)$ is continuous from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R}^+ , has a compact support $[-1, 1]$ and is such that $q(0) = 1$, $q(-\tau) = q(\tau)$ and $q(\tau) = 1 - \tau$ for $\tau \in [0, 1]$. This means that

S_U has a compact support. Introducing L_j^U as the spatial correlation length relative to coordinate x_j and defined by $L_j^U = \int_0^{+\infty} |R_U(0, \dots, 0, \eta_j, 0, \dots, 0)| d\eta_j$, it can easily be deduced that $L_j^U = L_j$. Consequently, parameters L_1, \dots, L_d represent the spatial correlation lengths of random field U .

Representation of the random field U adapted to its numerical simulation. The spatial discretization of this random field will directly be related to the spatial discretization of the elliptic stochastic partial differential operator for which germ U will be used. In general, the problem is setted on an arbitrary bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^d and the finite element method is utilized. Consequently, U has to be simulated in N given points $\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^N$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (for instance, located in the integrating points of the finite elements of the finite element mesh of domain Ω). We then have to simulate realizations of the random vector $\mathbf{U} = (U(\mathbf{x}^1), \dots, U(\mathbf{x}^N))$. A first representation adapted to a large value of N is based on the usual numerical simulation of homogeneous Gaussian vector-valued random field U constructed with the stochastic integral representation of homogeneous stochastic fields. A second representation adapted to a small or moderate value of N consists in writing $\mathbf{U} = [L_U]^T \mathbf{V}$ in which $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_N)$ is an \mathbb{R}^N -valued random variable whose components V_1, \dots, V_N are N independent normalized Gaussian random variables ($E\{V_j\} = 0$ and $E\{V_j^2\} = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$) and where $[L_U]$ is the upper real triangular matrix corresponding to the Cholesky factorization $[C_U] = [L_U]^T [L_U]$ of the covariance matrix $[C_U]$ in $\mathbb{M}_N^+(\mathbb{R})$ such that $[C_U]_{ij} = R_U(\mathbf{x}^i - \mathbf{x}^j)$.

2.2. Ensemble SFG⁺

Defining the family of functions $\{u \mapsto h(\alpha, u)\}_{\alpha > 0}$. Let α be a positive real number. The function $u \mapsto h(\alpha, u)$ from \mathbb{R} into $]0, +\infty[$ is such that $\Gamma_\alpha = h(\alpha, U)$ is a gamma random variable with parameter α while U is a normalized Gaussian random variable ($E\{U\} = 0$ and $E\{U^2\} = 1$). Consequently, for all u in \mathbb{R} , we have $h(\alpha, u) = F_{\Gamma_\alpha}^{-1}(F_U(u))$ in which $u \mapsto F_U(u) = P(U \leq u)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the normalized Gaussian random variable U . The function $p \mapsto F_{\Gamma_\alpha}^{-1}(p)$ from $]0, 1[$ into $]0, +\infty[$ is the reciprocal function of the cumulative distribution function $\gamma \mapsto F_{\Gamma_\alpha}(\gamma)$ from $]0, +\infty[$ into $]0, 1[$ of the gamma random variable Γ_α with parameter α , which is such that, for all γ in \mathbb{R}^+ , $F_{\Gamma_\alpha}(\gamma) = \int_0^\gamma \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$ in which $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the gamma function.

Defining the ensemble SFG⁺ of the random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$. The ensemble SFG⁺ is defined as the set of all the random fields $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$, defined on the probability space (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , indexed by \mathbb{R}^d where $d \geq 1$ is a fixed integer, with values in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ where $n \geq 2$ is another fixed integer, and defined as follows: (i) Let $\{U_{jj'}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}_{1 \leq j \leq j' \leq n}$ be $n(n+1)/2$ independent copies of the random field $\{U(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$. Consequently, for $1 \leq j \leq j' \leq n$, we have $E\{U_{jj'}(\mathbf{x})\} = 0$ and $E\{U_{jj'}(\mathbf{x})^2\} = 1$ and the random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto U_{jj'}(\mathbf{x})$ is completely defined. (ii) Let δ be the real number, independent of \mathbf{x} and n , such that $0 < \delta < \sqrt{(n+1)(n+5)^{-1}} < 1$. This parameter will allow the dispersion of the random field to be controlled. (iii) For all \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^d , $[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})] = [\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]^T [\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ in which $[\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is the upper $(n \times n)$ real triangular random matrix defined as follows. The $n(n+1)/2$ random fields $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{jj'}$ for $1 \leq j \leq j' \leq n$, are independent. For $j < j'$, the real-valued random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{jj'}$, indexed by \mathbb{R}^d , is defined by $[\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{jj'} = \sigma_n U_{jj'}(\mathbf{x})$ in which σ_n is such that $\sigma_n = \delta(n+1)^{-1/2}$. For $j = j'$, the positive-valued random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{jj}$, indexed by \mathbb{R}^d , is defined by $[\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{jj} = \sigma_n \sqrt{2h(\alpha_j, U_{jj}(\mathbf{x}))}$ in which, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\alpha_j = (n+1)/(2\delta^2) + (1-j)/2$.

Basic properties. $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is a homogeneous second-order mean-square continuous

random field indexed by \mathbb{R}^d with values in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$. In addition, the trajectories of random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ are continuous from \mathbb{R}^d into $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ almost surely. For all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} < +\infty$ and $E\{[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]\} = [I_n]$. The parameter δ is such that $\delta = \left\{\frac{1}{n}E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})] - [I_n]\|_F^2\}\right\}^{1/2}$ which shows that $E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} = n(\delta^2 + 1)$. For all \mathbf{x} fixed in \mathbb{R}^d , the probability distribution on $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ of random matrix $[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is explicitly calculated in (Soize, 2001) and shows that, for all \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^d , the random variables $\{[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ are mutually dependent. The system of the marginal probability distributions of random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is well defined but cannot be explicitly calculated. Random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is non Gaussian. There exists a positive constant c_0 independent of n and independent of \mathbf{x} , but depending on δ , such that $E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\|^2\} \leq c_0 < +\infty$ for all $n \geq 2$ and for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We then have $E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\|_F^2\} \leq c_n < +\infty$ for all $n \geq 2$ and for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in which $c_n = n c_0$. It should be noted that, since $[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ belongs to $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ almost surely, then $[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}$ exists almost surely. However, since almost sure convergence does not yield mean-square convergence, the previous result cannot simply be deduced (see Soize, 2001).

Fundamental property. Let Ω be a bounded open domain of \mathbb{R}^d and let $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ be its closure in which $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω . We then have

$$E\left\{\left(\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}} \|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\|\right)^2\right\} = c_G^2 < +\infty \quad , \quad (3)$$

in which sup is the supremum and where $0 < c_G < +\infty$ is a finite positive constant.

Remark concerning the proof of Eq. (3). Let us consider the case $d = 1$ with $\overline{\Omega}$ be a compact interval of \mathbb{R} . Since the stochastic process $\{\|G_n(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\|, \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}\}$ is not a continuous local martingal with respect to an increasing family of σ -fields, the following fundamental Doob maximal inequality (Doob, 1953) $E\left\{\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}} \|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\|^2\right\} \leq 4 E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\|^2\}$ cannot be used. In addition, we have to consider the non Gaussian random field case $d \geq 2$. Consequently, there is no known result allowing a direct proof of Eq. (3) to be obtained and a complete proof of this fundamental result is given in (Soize, 2004).

3. Construction and properties of the ensemble SFE⁺ of non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random fields

3.1. Definition of the ensemble SFE⁺

Let $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ be two fixed integers. Let Ω be an open (or closed) bounded (or not) domain of \mathbb{R}^d (we can have $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$). Let $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ be a matrix-valued field from Ω into $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for all \mathbf{x} fixed in Ω , there is an upper triangular invertible matrix $[\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ in $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] = [\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]^T [\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]$. It is assumed that: (i) there is a real positive constant $0 < \underline{c}_0 < +\infty$ independent of \mathbf{x} such that, for all \mathbf{x} in Ω and for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq \underline{c}_0 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$; (ii) there is a real positive constant $0 < \underline{c}_1 < +\infty$ independent of \mathbf{x} such that, for all \mathbf{x} in Ω , we have $\|[\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]\| \leq \sqrt{\underline{c}_1}$ which yields $\langle [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \underline{c}_1 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$, for all \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^n and for all \mathbf{x} in Ω . Consequently, for all \mathbf{x} in Ω , we have $\|[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\| \leq \underline{c}_1$ and $\|[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F \leq \sqrt{n} \underline{c}_1$. The ensemble SFE⁺ is then defined as the set of all the random fields $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$, defined on probability space (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , indexed by Ω , with values in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \quad , \quad [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] = [\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})]^T [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})] [\underline{L}_n(\mathbf{x})] \quad , \quad (4)$$

in which $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is the random field in SFG⁺, defined on (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , indexed by \mathbb{R}^d and with values in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ (see Section 2.2).

3.2. Properties of the random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$

Basic properties. For all \mathbf{x} in Ω , $[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is a random matrix with values in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$, the mean function is such that $\mathbf{x} \mapsto E\{[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]\} = [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] \in \mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $E\{\|[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} \leq E\{\|[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} \leq n \underline{c}_1^2 E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} \leq n \underline{c}_1^2 E\{\|[\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} < +\infty$ which proves that $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ is a second-order random field on Ω . In general, since $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ depends on \mathbf{x} , then the random field $\{[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})], \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$ is non homogeneous. Nevertheless, if $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] = [\underline{a}_n]$ is independent of \mathbf{x} , then the random field $\{[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] = [\underline{L}_n]^T [\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})] [\underline{L}_n], \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$ can be viewed as the restriction to Ω of a homogeneous random field indexed by \mathbb{R}^d . We have $E\{\|[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] - [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\} = \{\delta^2/(n+1)\}\{\|[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2 + (\text{tr}[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})])^2\}$. The dispersion parameter, defined by $\delta_{A_n}(\mathbf{x}) = \{E\{\|[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] - [\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\}/\|[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]\|_F^2\}^{1/2}$, is such that $\delta_{A_n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\delta/\sqrt{n+1})\{1 + (\text{tr}[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})])^2/\text{tr}\{[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]^2\}\}^{1/2}$.

Spatial correlation lengths for the homogeneous case. Then (see above), $\delta_{A_n}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{A_n}$ is independent of \mathbf{x} . Let $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d) \mapsto r^{A_n}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ be the function defined from \mathbb{R}^d into \mathbb{R} by $r^{A_n}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \text{tr} E\{([\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta})] - [\underline{a}_n])([\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] - [\underline{a}_n])\}/E\{\|[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] - [\underline{a}_n]\|_F^2\}$. We have $r^{A_n}(0) = 1$ and $r^{A_n}(-\boldsymbol{\eta}) = r^{A_n}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$. For all $j = 1, \dots, d$, the spatial correlation length $L_j^{A_n}$ of the homogeneous random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ indexed by \mathbb{R}^d , relative to coordinate x_j , can then be defined by $L_j^{A_n} = \int_0^{+\infty} |r^{A_n}(0, \dots, 0, \eta_j, 0, \dots, 0)| d\eta_j$.

4. Elliptic stochastic partial differential operator

The presentation is limited to the second-order stochastic differential operator defined by Eq. (2) on an open bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ is written as $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma$. On Γ_0 , there is a zero Dirichlet boundary condition. We introduce the real Hilbert spaces $H = (L^2(\Omega))^3$ and $V = \{\mathbf{u} \in (H^1(\Omega))^3, \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$ whose inner products are denoted by $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_H$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_V$ respectively, and where the associated norms are denoted by $\|\mathbf{u}\|_H$ and $\|\mathbf{u}\|_V$ respectively. Let $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\Theta, H)$ and $\mathbb{V} = L^2(\Theta, V)$ be the real Hilbert spaces of all the second-order random variables $\theta \mapsto \{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)\}$ defined on probability space (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , with values in H and V respectively, equipped with the inner products $\ll \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \gg_{\mathbb{H}} = E\{\langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \rangle_H\}$ and $\ll \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \gg_{\mathbb{V}} = E\{\langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \rangle_V\}$ respectively, and where the associated norms are denoted by $\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}$ respectively.

4.1. Weak formulation of the elliptic stochastic partial differential operator.

Let $n = 6$ and let us introduce the new indices I and J belonging to $\{1, \dots, 6\}$ such that $I = (i, j)$ and $J = (k, h)$ with the following correspondence: $1 = (1, 1), 2 = (2, 2), 3 = (3, 3), 4 = (1, 2), 5 = (2, 3)$ and $6 = (3, 1)$. Thus, for all \mathbf{x} in Ω , we introduce the matrix $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ in $\mathbb{M}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ such that $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{IJ} = \underline{c}_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})$ and the random $(n \times n)$ real matrix $[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ such that $[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]_{IJ} = C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})$. A nonparametric probabilistic model of the random fourth-order elasticity tensor $C_{ijkh}(\mathbf{x})$ consists in choosing the random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ in SFE^+ with the mean value $[\underline{a}_n(\mathbf{x})] = E\{[\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]\}$. The weak formulation of the stochastic partial differential operator defined by Eq. (2) leads the random bilinear form $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) \mapsto \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$ on $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ to be introduced, such that

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) = \int_{\Omega} \langle [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})), \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x})) \rangle d\mathbf{x} \quad , \quad (5)$$

in which $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{u}) = (\varepsilon_{11}(\mathbf{u}), \varepsilon_{22}(\mathbf{u}), \varepsilon_{33}(\mathbf{u}), 2\varepsilon_{12}(\mathbf{u}), 2\varepsilon_{23}(\mathbf{u}), 2\varepsilon_{31}(\mathbf{u}))$.

4.2. Ellipticity of the random bilinear form

Let $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) \mapsto \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$ be the bilinear form on $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ defined by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) = E\{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})\}$. If the following property was introduced: for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and for all \mathbb{R}^n -valued random

variable \mathbf{Y} defined on (Θ, \mathcal{T}, P) , $\langle [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})] \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle \geq c \|\mathbf{Y}\|^2$ a.s in which $0 < c < +\infty$ is independent of \mathbf{x} , then the bilinear form $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) \mapsto \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})$ on $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ would be coercive in \mathbb{V} (i.e. \mathbb{V} -elliptic) because, we would have $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) \geq cE\{\int_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}))\|^2 d\mathbf{x}\} \geq c_{\mathcal{K}} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2$ with $0 < c_{\mathcal{K}} < +\infty$. This property, which is generally not coherent with the available information which can be deduced from objective data, does not hold for the random field $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x})]$ belonging to SFE^+ and consequently, the usual analysis given above cannot presently be used. Another analysis has to be developed using the fundamental property defined by Eq. (3): it is proved (Soize, 2004) that, for all random field $\{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})\}$ in \mathbb{V} , we have

$$\sqrt{E\{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U})^2\}} \geq c_K \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2, \quad (6)$$

in which c_K is a positive finite real constant. It should be noted that Eq. (6) differs from equation $E\{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U})\} \geq c_{\mathcal{K}} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2$ due to the fact that the two positive-valued random variables $\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}} \|\mathbf{G}_n(\mathbf{x})\|^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U})$ are dependent.

4.3. Existence and uniqueness of a weak second-order stochastic solution for a stochastic BVP

Let $\mathbf{w} \mapsto f(\mathbf{w})$ be a given continuous linear form on V , that is to say such that $|f(\mathbf{w})| \leq c_f \|\mathbf{w}\|_V$ with $0 < c_f < +\infty$. Then, the following random problem: find a random field $\{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})\}$ in \mathbb{V} such that, for all $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) = f(\mathbf{W})$ a.s, has a unique stochastic solution $\{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})\}$ in \mathbb{V} .

The proof can easily be constructed. From equations $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) = f(\mathbf{W})$ and $|f(\mathbf{w})| \leq c_f \|\mathbf{w}\|_V$, we deduce that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}) \leq c_f \|\mathbf{U}\|_V$ and consequently, $E\{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U})^2\} \leq c_f^2 E\{\|\mathbf{U}\|_V^2\}$. Using Eq. (6) yields $c_K^2 \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^4 \leq c_f^2 \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2$ which can be rewritten as $\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathbb{V}} \leq c_U < +\infty$ with $c_U = c_f/c_K$ and yields the existence. Finally, the proof of the uniqueness is straightforward because, if \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{U}' are two solutions in \mathbb{V} , for all \mathbf{W} in \mathbb{V} , we have $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}', \mathbf{W}) = 0$ a.s and thus $E\{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}', \mathbf{W})^2\} = 0$. Taking $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}'$ and from Eq. (6) yield $\|\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}'\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}'$ in \mathbb{V} .

5. Conclusions

We have presented the mathematical construction of a non Gaussian positive-definite $(n \times n)$ real matrix-valued random field, indexed by any domain of \mathbb{R}^d , depending only on its mean function and on a smaller number of scalar parameters constituted of a dispersion parameter and d spatial correlation lengths. Such a random field is adapted to the inverse problem relative to the experimental identification. A fundamental mathematical property is proved and allows the ellipticity of stochastic partial differential operators to be obtained.

References

- Doob, J.L. (1953), *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (Wiley Classics Library Edition Published 1990).
- Ghanem, R. and P.D. Spanos (2003), *Stochastic Finite Elements: A spectral Approach*, revised edition, Dover Publications, New York.
- Kleiber, M., D.H. Tran and T.D. Hien (1992), *The Stochastic Finite Element Method*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Soize, C. (2001), "Maximum entropy approach for modeling random uncertainties in transient elastodynamics", *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.*, **109**(5), 1979-1996.
- Soize, C. (2004), "Non Gaussian positive-definite matrix-valued random fields for elliptic stochastic partial differential operators", *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, submitted in March 2004.