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In the context of the prediction of noise levels in vibroacoustic systems, numerical models or analytical models can be developed. Generally, numerical models are adapted to the low and medium frequency ranges and analytical models to the medium and high frequency ranges. For analytical models, a classical approximation consists in modelling the multilayer system by an equivalent acoustic impedance. This paper deals with a multilayer system constituted of a porous medium inserted between two thin plates. Part I of this paper is devoted to the experiments performed and to the development of a probabilistic algebraic model for the equivalent acoustic impedance. In the present Part II, an analytical method is constructed for this multilayer system. This method consists in introducing the unbounded medium in the plane directions $x_1$ and $x_2$ while the medium is bounded in the $x_3$ direction. A two-dimensional space Fourier transform introducing the wave vector coordinates $k_1$ and $k_2$ is used. For a given frequency and for $k_1$ and $k_2$ fixed, the boundary value problem in $x_3$ is constituted of 12 differential equations in $x_3$ whose coefficients depend on $k_1$ and $k_2$, with boundary conditions. This system of equations is solved by using adapted algebraic calculations. By inverse Fourier transform with respect to $k_1$ and $k_2$, the equivalent acoustic impedance is deduced. The method which is proposed is not usual. Finally, a comparison of this analytical approach is compared with the experimental results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soundproofing schemes usually used for acoustic insulation are constituted of a porous medium layer or several ones inserted between plates. Many researches have already devoted to the vibro-acoustics of simple structures such as beams, plates, circular cylindrical shells, coupled with internal or external acoustic fluids, by analytical methods (see for instance [1-8]) and to the vibro-acoustics of general structures by numerical methods (see for instance [5,9]). Concerning the vibro-acoustics of multilayer systems without porous medium, a large number of works were published (see for instance [2,5,10-16]). The difficulties to model multilayer systems are due to the problems induced by the acoustic behaviour of porous media and by the coupling between the layers constituting the system. The porous medium is a complex material constituted of two phases: one fluid and one solid. The dissipation inside a porous medium is induced by viscous effects (friction of the fluid phase to the solid phase on the pore walls), by thermal effects (absorption of the remaining heat from the fluid phase by the solid phase) and by structural damping related to the viscoelasticity of the solid phase. A porous medium can be modelled by an equivalent fluid model [17-19] if the assumption of a motionless solid phase can be
justified. If the fluid phase is strongly coupled with the solid phase or, if the porous medium is coupled with a vibrating structure, one needs to take into account the motion of the solid phase [1,20-29]. The coupling between the different layers of a multilayer system is obtained by the construction of the interface conditions between the layers (see for instance [24,30-32]).

Acoustic transmission through porous media or multilayer systems included porous media have been recently studied using finite element numerical method. In this context, the entire system includes porous media which are discretised by finite elements [33-41]. These methods are very efficient in the low frequency range, have to be adapted to be efficient in the medium frequency range and can not be used in the high frequencies in the state of the art. For simple structures, analytical methods can be used for the medium and high frequency ranges (see for instance [24,42-48]).

In this paper, for the medium and high frequency ranges, one presents the modelling of a multilayer system with porous medium by an analytical approach in order to construct an equivalent acoustic impedance of this multilayer system. Such an acoustic impedance model can be used for the prediction of vibro-acoustic responses of complex systems, allowing such a soundproofing scheme to be modelled. The multilayer system under consideration is constituted of a three dimensional porous medium made of an open polyurethan foam inserted between two thin plates. This system is described in part I [49] of the paper.

Concerning the three dimensional porous medium, a three dimensional formulation is used and is based on the Biot theory which introduces the displacement fields associated with the solid and the fluid phases. The motion of the solid phase can not be neglected because the porous medium is inserted between two vibrating plates. Equations are written in the general case of a homogeneous anisotropic porous medium whose solid phase is viscoelastic. In order to solve the equations, the two inplane components of the fluid phase displacement are eliminated and the third one component relative to the thickness direction is preserved. The boundary value problem of the multilayer system is constructed by using the local equations of plates, for bending and membrane deformations, coupled with the three dimensional porous medium. Since the multilayer system corresponds to one three-dimensional medium coupled with two two-dimensional media, the interface conditions between the layers have to be constructed. Such a method was presented in [50] for the case of a plate in bending mode coupled
with a three-dimensional elastic media. In this paper, an extension to the case of the above multilayer system is presented [51].

For the multilayer system under consideration, the construction of the acoustic impedance operator and its local approximation (introduced in part I [49]) is carried out using an analytical method for which the finite reference-plane of the mechanical system is replaced by an infinite reference-plane. This kind of approximation is \textit{a priori} usable for the medium and high frequency ranges. This analytical method uses the spatial coordinate corresponding to the thickness direction and uses a two-dimensional spatial Fourier transform for the two directions corresponding to the reference-plane which is infinite. For a given frequency and for each wave vector associated with the reference-plane directions, a system of differential equations with respect to the thickness direction with appropriate boundary conditions has to be solved. This system is constituted of twelve coupled equations: three for each plate (two for the membrane deformation and one for the bending deformation), six for the porous medium (three for the solid phase and three for the fluid phase). This system of equations is partially degenerated. Consequently, the elimination of the two inplane phase fluid displacement can be performed and, one then deduced ten coupled equations. This system of equations has a bad numerical conditioning induced by the presence of terms which exponentially increase in the thickness direction of the porous medium. An adapted method has specifically been developed in order to solve this kind of such a system of differential equations.

Concerning the validation of this analytical method by using the experimental results introduced in part I [49], the mechanical properties corresponding to the experiment are used. Consequently, one has to consider a homogeneous isotropic porous medium with a viscoelastic solid phase and two homogeneous viscoelastic isotropic plates. The analytical method is then developed in this context. The parameters of the porous medium introduced in the Biot theory applied in the context of acoustic problems [22-24] were measured ([52,53]). The complete set of the anisotropic porous medium parameters has not been measured. Consequently, the measured parameters only allow the isotropic and transverse isotropic case to be described. The main objective of this paper is the experimental validation of the analytical model for a porous medium modelled by a homogeneous isotropic porous medium with a viscoelastic solid phase. The transverse isotropic viscoelastic solid phase is studied in
Nevertheless, a comparison between the isotropic and transverse isotropic cases is presented for the local acoustic impedance.

In Section 2, the boundary value problem is given considering the general case of a homogeneous anisotropic viscoelastic porous medium coupled with two homogeneous orthotropic viscoelastic plates in membrane and bending deformations. In Section 3, using the two-dimensional inplane space Fourier transform, the boundary value problem is deduced. Section 4 deals with the construction of the equivalent acoustic impedance. The experimental validation is presented in Section 5.

2. EXPRESSION OF THE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

The Love-Kirchhoff model is used for the bending motions of the two plates called $P_1$ and $P_2$ and the membrane motions are considered. Let $\Omega$ be the three-dimensional bounded region occupied by the porous material. The interfaces between the porous medium and the plates $P_1$ and $P_2$ are denoted by $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ (see Figure 1). A pressure field $p$ is applied to $\Sigma_0$. Let $S_1$ and $S_2$ be the mid-planes of the plates $P_1$ and $P_2$. The coordinates $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of a point belonging to the porous medium are given in the cartesian system whose origin belongs to the reference-plane $S$ of the multilayer system which is chosen as the coupling interface $\Sigma_1$. Consequently, surface $S$ coincides with surface $\Sigma_1$. The $x_3$ coordinate of the coupling interface $\Sigma_1$ (or $\Sigma_2$) is $0$ (or $H$) (in which $H$ is the thickness of the porous medium). In this paper, if $T$ is any quantity depending on the coordinates $x_1, x_2$ and $x_3$, $T_{,k}$ denotes the partial derivative $\partial T / \partial x_k$ and $T' = \partial T / \partial x_3$, $T'' = \partial^2 T / \partial x_3^2$. The Kronecker symbol denoted by $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$ is such that $\delta_{\alpha \beta} = 1$ for $\alpha = \beta$, $\delta_{\alpha \beta} = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

2.1. MEMBRANE AND BENDING VIBRATIONS EQUATIONS FOR PLATES $P_1$ AND $P_2$

Using thin plate classical hypotheses for plate $P_\ell$, $\ell = 1, 2$ and since the Love-Kirchhoff model is used for the bending motions of the two plates and the membrane motions are added, the displacement field of plate $P_\ell$, $\ell = 1, 2$, is defined by $(v_1^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2), v_2^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2), w^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2))$ where $v_1^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2)$ and $v_2^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2)$ are the inplane displacements (membrane displacements) of plate $P_\ell$ and $w^{P_\ell}(x_1, x_2)$ is its transverse displacement. Plate $P_1$ is submitted to a pressure field $p$. The boundary value problem
is written as follows. The dynamical equations of plate $P_1$ for bending and membrane motions are expressed as

$$-\omega^2 \rho P_1 h P_1 v^{P_1}_\alpha - N^{P_1}_{\alpha \beta, \beta} = \sigma^{1s}_{\alpha 3} \text{ on } S_1 ,$$

($^\text{eq1 ST}$)

and

$$-\omega^2 \rho P_1 h P_1 w^{P_1} - M^{P_1}_{\alpha \beta, \alpha \beta} = \sigma^{1s}_{33} + \sigma^{1f}_{33} + h P_1 \sigma^{1s}_{\alpha 3, \alpha} / 2 + p \text{ on } S_1 ,$$

($^\text{eq2 ST}$)

in which the solid part stress tensor components $\sigma^{s}_{ij}$ and the fluid part stress tensor components $\sigma^{f}_{ij}$ are defined by

$$\sigma^{1s}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma^{s}_{ij}(x_1, x_2, 0) , \quad \sigma^{1f}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma^{f}_{ij}(x_1, x_2, 0) .$$

($^\text{def hat}$)

The dynamical equations of plate $P_2$ for bending and membrane motions are given by

$$-\omega^2 \rho P_2 h P_2 v^{P_2}_\alpha - N^{P_2}_{\alpha \beta, \beta} = -\sigma^{2s}_{\alpha 3} \text{ on } S_2 ,$$

($^\text{eq7 ST}$)

and

$$-\omega^2 \rho P_2 h P_2 w^{P_2} - M^{P_2}_{\alpha \beta, \alpha \beta} = -\sigma^{2s}_{33} - \sigma^{2f}_{33} + h P_2 \sigma^{2s}_{\alpha 3, \alpha} / 2 \text{ on } S_2 ,$$

($^\text{eq8 ST}$)

in which

$$\sigma^{2s}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma^{s}_{ij}(x_1, x_2, H) , \quad \sigma^{2f}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma^{f}_{ij}(x_1, x_2, H) .$$

($^\text{def hat hat}$)

The right-hand side of Eqs. ($^\text{eq1 ST}$), ($^\text{eq2 ST}$), ($^\text{eq7 ST}$) and ($^\text{eq8 ST}$) correspond to the coupling effects of the three dimensional porous medium on the plates. For orthotropic plate $P_\ell$ with $\ell = 1, 2$, the components of the bending moment tensor $M^{P_\ell}_{\alpha \beta}$ and the components of the inplane force tensor $N^{P_\ell}_{\alpha \beta}$ are written as
\[
M^{P_t}_{\alpha\beta} = -(1 + i \omega a^{P_t}_1(\omega)) D^{f}_{P_t} \left[ \nu^{P_t} w^{P_t}_{\gamma\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + (1 - \nu^{P_t}) w^{P_t}_{\alpha\beta} \right], \quad \text{('\(M\ complexeb\))}
\]

\[
N^{P_t}_{\alpha\beta} = (1 + i \omega a^{P_t}_1(\omega)) D^{m}_{P_t} \left[ \nu^{P_t} v^{P_t}_{\gamma\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \nu^{P_t}) (v^{P_t}_{\alpha\beta} + v^{P_t}_{\beta\alpha}) \right], \quad \text{('\(N\ complexeb\))}
\]

in which \(D^{f}_{P_t} = E^{P_t} h^{3}_{P_t}/[12 (1 - \nu^{P_t} 2)]\), \(D^{m}_{P_t} = E^{P_t} h_{P_t}/[1 - \nu^{P_t} 2]\).

2.2. THREE DIMENSIONAL VIBRATIONS OF THE POROUS MEDIUM

Let \(u^s = (u^s_1, u^s_2, u^s_3)\) and \(u^f = (u^f_1, u^f_2, u^f_3)\) be the displacement field of the solid phase and the fluid phase respectively for the porous medium. The dynamical equations of the porous medium for the solid part and the fluid part are the Biot equations [22-24] and are written as

\[
-\omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{11} u^s_i - \omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{12} u^f_i - i \omega \Phi^2 \left[ k^{-1} \right]_{ij} (u^f_j - u^s_j) - \tilde{\sigma}_{i,j,j}^s = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega ,
\]

\(\text{('eq3 ST')}\)

\[
-\omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{22} u^f_i - \omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{12} u^s_i + i \omega \Phi^2 \left[ k^{-1} \right]_{ij} (u^f_j - u^s_j) - \tilde{\sigma}_{i,j,j}^f = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega ,
\]

\(\text{('eq4 ST')}\)

in which \(k\) is the permeability tensor relative to viscous effects and \(\Phi\) is the porosity. The coefficients \(\tilde{\rho}_{11}, \tilde{\rho}_{22}\) and \(\tilde{\rho}_{12}\) are the density of the solid phase, the density of the fluid phase and the coupling density between the solid and the fluid phases, respectively. For \(k\) and \(l\) equal to 1 or 2, \(\tilde{\rho}_{kl}\) is defined by [35]

\[
\tilde{\rho}_{kl}(\omega) = \rho_{kl} + \Delta \rho_{kl}(\omega) ,
\]

\(\text{('\(\text{def des rho pr PL kl}\))}\)

in which an expression of \(\Delta \rho_{kl}(\omega)\) is given in Section 3 and where

\[
\rho_{11} = \rho_1 + \Phi \rho_f (\alpha - 1) , \quad \rho_{22} = \Phi \rho_f \alpha , \quad \rho_{12} = -\Phi \rho_f (\alpha - 1) ,
\]

\(\text{('\(\text{def des rho pr PL10}\))}\)
with \( \rho_1 = (1 - \Phi) \rho_s \) and where \( \alpha \) is the tortuosity, \( \rho_f \) the fluid mass density and \( \rho_s \) the solid material mass density.

The boundary conditions between the porous medium and plate \( P_\ell \) are given by writting the continuity of the normal displacement for the fluid phase and the continuity of the displacement field for the solid phase, that is to say

\[
\begin{align*}
& u^s_\alpha = v^P_\alpha - \frac{h^P}{2} w^P_\alpha \quad , \quad u^s_3 = w^P_1 \quad , \quad u^f_3 = w^P_2 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_1 , \\
& u^s_\alpha = v^P_\alpha + \frac{h^P}{2} w^P_\alpha \quad , \quad u^s_3 = w^P_1 \quad , \quad u^f_3 = w^P_2 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_2 .
\end{align*}
\]

Since the porous medium is assumed to be viscoelastic and anisotropic, the constitutive equations are given by

\[
\sigma^s_{ij}(x, \omega) = (L^s_{ijkh}(\omega) + i \omega D^s_{ijkh}(\omega)) \varepsilon^s_{kh} + L^f_{ijkh}(\omega) \varepsilon^f_{kh} , \quad (\text{tloi de cpt visco sigma part1 chp3 0})
\]

\[
\sigma^f_{ij}(x, \omega) = L^f_{ijkh}(\omega) \varepsilon^f_{kh} + L^s_{ijkh}(\omega) \varepsilon^s_{kh} , \quad (\text{tloi de cpt visco sigma part2 chp3 0})
\]

\[
L^s_{ijkh}(\omega) = A_{ijkh}(\omega) + M(\omega) [B_{ij} B_{kh} - \Phi(B_{ij} \delta_{kh} + \delta_{ij} B_{kh}) + \Phi^2 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kh}] ,
\]

\[
L^f_{ijkh}(\omega) = M(\omega) \Phi^2 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kh} , \quad L^s_{ijkh}(\omega) = L^f_{ijkh}(\omega) = M(\omega) \Phi (B_{ij} \delta_{kh} - \Phi \delta_{ij} \delta_{kh}) , \quad (\text{tLijkhs visco iso})
\]

in which \( \varepsilon^s_{kh} \) and \( \varepsilon^f_{kh} \) are the strain tensors relative to the solid phase and the fluid phase, respectively. The components \( A_{ijkh}(\omega) \) and \( D_{ijkh}(\omega) \) are relative to the elastic stress tensor and to the damping stress tensor, respectively. The two tensors have the usual symmetric properties and are positive definite [9]. If one considers a hysteretic damping, the components \( D_{ijkh}(\omega) \) can be written as \( D_{ijkh}(\omega) = a_1(\omega) A_{ijkh}(\omega) \) in which \( a_1(\omega) \) is a real positive constant which depends on \( \omega \). The \( B_{ij} \) components are relative to the coupling of the solid phase with the fluid phase. The thermal effects
are expressed by $M(\omega)$ which is defined by $M(\omega) = K_e(\omega)/\Phi$ in which $K_e(\omega)$ is the frequency
dependent equivalent complex bulk modulus of air [24] and is given by

$$K_e(\omega) = \frac{K_a}{\gamma - 1} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{1 + 8 \eta_f/(i \Lambda^2 P_r \omega \rho_f)} G'(\omega)$$

where $K_a$ is the bulk modulus of the fluid (in case of air, $K_a = \gamma p_f$ where $p_f$ is the pressure), $\eta_f$, the
fluid viscosity, $P_r$, the Prandtl number, $\Lambda'$, the thermal characteristic length [24,39] which has to be
identified experimentally, $G'(\omega)$, the complex factor defined by [24]

$$G'(\omega) = \sqrt{1 + i \omega/\omega_{Tt}}$$

with $\omega_{Tt} = 16 \eta_f/(P_r \Lambda'^2 \rho_f) > 0$ is real.

3. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM IN THE FOURIER SPACE

As explained in Section 1, and in order to compare this analytical prediction with the experiments,
the porous medium is considered as a homogeneous viscoelastic isotropic medium and the plates are
considered as homogeneous viscoelastic isotropic media. This model can easily be extended to the
case of an anisotropic porous medium whose equations are given in Section 2. The method proposed
for the construction of the equivalent acoustic impedance is based on the spectral method relative to the
infinite plane $(x_1 O x_2)$ containing the reference-plane $S$ of the multilayer system. Since the thickness
$H$ is finite, the coordinate $x_3$, corresponding to the thickness direction, is preserved (see Figure 2). In
order to simplify the notation, a function and its Fourier transform are denoted by the same symbol
and differ by their arguments. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ be the point in reference-plane $S$ of the multilayer
system, $k = (k_1, k_2)$, $d\tilde{x} = dx_1 dx_2$ and $dk = dk_1 dk_2$. The Fourier transform $g(k, \omega)$ of a function
$\tilde{x} \mapsto g(\tilde{x}, \omega)$ with respect to $\tilde{x}$ is such that

$$g(k, \omega) = \int_{R^2} e^{i k \tilde{x}} g(\tilde{x}, \omega) d\tilde{x} \quad , \quad g(\tilde{x}, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^2} \int_{R^2} e^{-i k \tilde{x}} g(k, \omega) dk$$
with $k\hat{x} = k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2$. The derivative $\partial w/\partial x_3$ is denoted by $w'$. The Fourier transforms with respect to $(x_1, x_2)$ of the dynamical equations for the solid and the fluid phases of the porous medium are

$$
-\omega^2 \rho_{11} u_i^s - \omega^2 \rho_{12} u_i^f - i \omega b (u_i^f - u_i^s) + i k_1 \sigma_{i1}^s + i k_2 \sigma_{i2}^s - \sigma_{i3,3}^s = 0,
$$

$$
-\omega^2 \rho_{22} u_i^f - \omega^2 \rho_{12} u_i^s + i \omega b (u_i^f - u_i^s) - \sigma_{i3,3}^f = 0,
$$

in which $b(\omega) = \Phi^2 / \mathcal{K}(\omega)$ where $\mathcal{K}(\omega)$ is the permeability for the isotropic case. The coefficient $b(\omega)$ is relative to the viscous effects and is written as [22-24,35]

$$
b(\omega) = \Phi^2 \sigma G_R(\omega),
$$

in which $G_R(\omega) > 0$ with $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ is the real part of $G(\omega) = \sqrt{1 + i P \hat{\omega}/2}$ which is the viscous corrective factor, and where $P = 8 \alpha k_0/(\Phi \Lambda^2)$ with $k_0 = \eta_f / \sigma$, the viscous static permeability, and where $\sigma > 0$ is the resistivity which has to be identified experimentally. The non dimensional factor $\hat{\omega}$ is defined by $\hat{\omega} = \omega \alpha \rho_f k_0/(\Phi \eta_f)$ and the viscous characteristic length $\Lambda$ has to be identified experimentally. The added mass $\Delta \rho_{kl}(\omega)$, introduced in Eq. ("\text{def des rho pr PL kl}"), due to viscous effects, is defined by Panneeton [35]

$$
\Delta \rho_{kl}(\omega) = (-1)^{k+l} \Phi^2 \sigma G_I(\omega)/\omega,
$$

where $G_I(\omega)$ is the imaginary part of $G(\omega)$ and which has to verify these following algebraic properties [51]

$$
G_I(\omega) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \omega > 0, \quad G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega), \quad \lim_{\omega \to 0} |G_I(\omega)/\omega| = C < \infty, \quad (\text{"\text{ptes GI}})
$$
in which $C$ is a finite constant. An expression of $G_I(\omega)$ is given by [24]

$$G_I(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\omega/\omega_{Tv}}{\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + (\omega/\omega_{Tv})^2}}} ,$$

(\ref{GI})

in which $\omega_{Tv} = \sigma^2 \Lambda^2 \Phi^2/(4 \alpha^2 \eta_s \rho_f)$ where the structural dissipation factor $\eta_s$ has to be identified experimentally. For the solid phase of the porous medium, the Fourier transforms with respect to $(x_1, x_2)$ of the constitutive equations are

$$\sigma_{11}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = A_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^s - i k_2 u_2^s + u_{3,3}^s\right) + B_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1\right) u_1^s + C_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^f - i k_2 u_2^f + u_{3,3}^f\right) ,$$

$$\sigma_{22}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = A_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^s - i k_2 u_2^s + u_{3,3}^s\right) + B_F(\omega) \left(-i k_2\right) u_2^s + C_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^f - i k_2 u_2^f + u_{3,3}^f\right) ,$$

$$\sigma_{33}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = A_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^s - i k_2 u_2^s + u_{3,3}^s\right) + B_F(\omega) u_{3,3}^s + C_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^f - i k_2 u_2^f + u_{3,3}^f\right) ,$$

$$\sigma_{12}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = -i B_F(\omega) \left(k_2 u_1^s + k_1 u_2^s\right)/2 ,$$

$$\sigma_{13}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = B_F(\omega) \left(u_{1,3}^s - i k_1 u_3^s\right)/2 ,$$

$$\sigma_{23}^s(k, x_3, \omega) = B_F(\omega) \left(u_{2,3}^s - i k_2 u_3^s\right)/2 ,$$

(\ref{loicptfourier1})

in which $A_F(\omega), B_F(\omega)$ and $C_F(\omega)$ are defined by

$$A_F(\omega) = (1 + i \omega a_1(\omega)) \nu E_/ [(1 + \nu)(1 - 2 \nu)] + M(\omega)(B - \Phi)^2 ,$$

$$B_F(\omega) = (1 + i \omega a_1(\omega)) E_/ (1 + \nu) ,$$

$$C_F(\omega) = \Phi M(\omega)(B - \Phi)$$

with $E_,$ the Young modulus and $\nu$ the Poisson coefficient of the solid phase. In acoustic problems, the coupling factor $B$ is such that $[22,24] B = 1$. For the fluid phase, the Fourier transforms with respect to $(x_1, x_2)$ of the constitutive equations are

$$\sigma_{11}^f(k, x_3, \omega) = E_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^f - i k_2 u_2^f + u_{3,3}^f\right) + C_F(\omega) \left(-i k_1 u_1^s - i k_2 u_2^s + u_{3,3}^s\right) ,$$

(\ref{loicptfourier2})
for $i=1, 2, 3$, and where $E_F(\omega) = \Phi^2 M(\omega)$. The plate $P_1$ is subjected to a point force applied to the origin of plate $P_1$ in the direction $x_3$ with the intensity $f(\omega)$. Therefore, the pressure field $p$ introduced in Eq. ("\eqref{eq2 ST}') is such that $p(\tilde{x}, \omega) = f(\omega) \delta_0(\tilde{x})$ in which $\delta_0(\tilde{x})$ is the Dirac function at point 0. For plates $P_1$ and $P_2$, the Fourier transforms with respect to $(x_1, x_2)$ of the dynamical equations are

$$-\omega^2 \rho_{P_1} h_{P_1} u^{P_1} + (1 + i \omega a_{P_1}^{P_1}(\omega)) \mathbf{K}_1(k) u^{P_1} = \mathbf{F}_1(k, \omega) + \mathbf{f}(\omega),$$

$$-\omega^2 \rho_{P_2} h_{P_2} u^{P_2} + (1 + i \omega a_{P_2}^{P_2}(\omega)) \mathbf{K}_2(k) u^{P_2} = \mathbf{F}_2(k, \omega),$$

("\eq plaque $P'$\)

in which $u^{P_1}(k, \omega) = (v_1^{P_1}(k, \omega), v_2^{P_1}(k, \omega), w^{P_1}(k, \omega))$ and $u^{P_2}(k, \omega) = (v_1^{P_2}(k, \omega), v_2^{P_2}(k, \omega), w^{P_2}(k, \omega))$, $\mathbf{f}(\omega)$ is such that $\mathbf{f}(\omega) = (0, 0, f(\omega))$, for $\ell=1$ or 2, $\mathbf{K}_{\ell}(k)$ is the stiffness matrix for plate $P_\ell$ and is such that

$$\mathbf{K}_{\ell}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{\ell}(k) & a_{12}^{\ell}(k) & 0 \\ a_{12}^{\ell}(k) & a_{22}^{\ell}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}^{\ell}(k) \end{bmatrix},$$

("\eq raideur\')

in which

$$a_{11}^{\ell}(k) = D_{P_1}^{\nu_\ell}((1 + \nu_\ell)k_1^2 + (1 - \nu_\ell)(k_1^2 + k_2^2))/2, \quad a_{12}^{\ell}(k) = D_{P_1}^{\nu_\ell}(1 + \nu_\ell)k_1k_2/2,$$

$$a_{22}^{\ell}(k) = D_{P_1}^{\nu_\ell}((1 + \nu_\ell)k_2^2 + (1 - \nu_\ell)(k_1^2 + k_2^2))/2, \quad a_{33}^{\ell}(k) = D_{P_1}^{\nu_\ell}(k_1^2 + k_2^2)^2.$$ ("\eq raideur 2'\)

The vectors $\mathbf{F}_1(k, \omega)$ and $\mathbf{F}_2(k, \omega)$ correspond to the forces induced by the porous medium on the plates $P_1$ and $P_2$ and are given by

$$\mathbf{F}_1(k, \omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^{\ell s} \\ \sigma_1^{\ell s + \tilde{\sigma}_{13}^{\ell f}} - i h_{P_1} (k_1 \sigma_1^{\ell s} + k_2 \sigma_2^{\ell s})/2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{F}_2(k, \omega) = \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma_3^{\ell s} \\ -\sigma_3^{\ell s + \tilde{\sigma}_{33}^{\ell f}} - i h_{P_2} (k_1 \sigma_1^{\ell s} + k_2 \sigma_2^{\ell s})/2 \end{bmatrix},$$

("\eq forces\).

12
Finally, the boundary value problem in $x_3$ is completely defined in adding the Fourier transform with respect to $(x_1, x_2)$ of the boundary conditions defined by equation Eq. ('cl pb aux lim'), i.e.

$$u_{\alpha}^s = v_{\alpha}^{P_1} + i h_{P_1} k_{\alpha} w^{P_1} / 2 , \quad u_3^s = w^{P_1} , \quad u_3^f = w^{P_1} , \quad \text{on } \Sigma_1 , \quad \alpha = 1, 2 ,$$

$$u_{\alpha}^s = v_{\alpha}^{P_2} - i h_{P_2} k_{\alpha} w^{P_2} / 2 , \quad u_3^s = w^{P_2} , \quad u_3^f = w^{P_2} , \quad \text{on } \Sigma_2 . \quad ('cl pb aux lim Fourier')$$

4. SOLVING THE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM AND CALCULATION OF THE EQUIVALENT ACOUSTIC IMPEDANCE

For $\omega$, $k_1$ and $k_2$ fixed, the boundary value problem in $x_3$, constructed in Section 3, is constituted of 12 coupled differential equations in $x_3$ whose coefficients depend on $\omega$, $k_1$ and $k_2$, and on boundary conditions. The acoustic impedance equivalent to the multilayer system being assumed homogeneous in plane $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, the pressure field $p$ applied to plate $P_1$ is related to the normal velocity jump $\Delta v(\tilde{x}', \omega) = v^{P_1}(\tilde{x}', \omega) - v^{P_2}(\tilde{x}', \omega)$, with $v^{P_1}(\tilde{x}', \omega) = i \omega w^{P_1}(\tilde{x}', \omega)$ and $v^{P_2}(\tilde{x}', \omega) = i \omega w^{P_2}(\tilde{x}', \omega)$, and is given by the following equation (see Part I of the paper)

$$p(\tilde{x}, \omega) = \int_{\tilde{x} \in S} z(\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}', \omega) \Delta v(\tilde{x}', \omega) dS_{\tilde{x}'} , \quad \tilde{x} \in S , \quad ('def Z TF ms 1')$$

where $dS_{\tilde{x}'} = dx_1' dx_2'$. The Fourier transform with respect to $\tilde{x}$ of Eq. ('def Z TF ms 1') is written as

$$p(k, \omega) = i \omega z(k, \omega) \Delta w(k, \omega) , \quad ('relation imped')$$

in which $\Delta w(k, \omega) = w^{P_1}(k, \omega) - w^{P_2}(k, \omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $\Delta w(\tilde{x}, \omega) = w^{P_1}(\tilde{x}, \omega) - w^{P_2}(\tilde{x}, \omega)$. An equation allowing the calculation of $p(k, \omega)$ as a function of $\Delta w(k, \omega)$ has to be constructed. Consequently, the unknowns $u^f$ and $u^s$ have to be eliminated from the system of
equations. Substituting Eqs. (‘eq sf Fourier 1’) and (‘eq sf Fourier 2’) into Eq. (‘eq sf Fourier 0’) yields

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X''(x_3) \\
Y''(x_3) \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11}(k) & B_{12}(k) \\
B_{12}(k)^T & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X'(x_3) \\
Y'(x_3) \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
C_{11}(k) & C_{12}(k) \\
C_{12}(k)^T & C_{22}(k) \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X(x_3) \\
Y(x_3) \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 0 ,
\]

(‘eq MP’)

for \(x_3\) in \([0, H]\), in which vectors \(X(x_3)\) and \(Y(x_3)\) are such that \(X(x_3) = (u_1(x_3), u_2(x_3), u_3(x_3), u_4(x_3))\) and \(Y(x_3) = (u_1'(x_3), u_2'(x_3))\). It should be noted that all quantities in Eq. (‘eq MP’) depend on \(\omega\). In order to simplify the notation, the dependence on \(k\) of \(X\) and \(Y\) is omitted. The matrices \(A_{11}, B_{11}(k), B_{12}(k), C_{11}(k), C_{12}(k)\) and \(C_{22}(k)\) are defined in Appendix A and \(A^T\) is the transpose of matrix \(A\). Since \(C_{22}(k)\) is invertible for \(\omega \neq 0\), \(Y\) can be eliminated from Eq. (‘eq MP’) by using the second line of this equation and yields

\[
A(k) X''(x_3) + B(k) X'(x_3) + C(k) X(x_3) = 0 ,
\]

(‘2eme eq syst en X bis’)

in which \(A(k), B(k)\) and \(C(k)\) are defined by

\[
A(k) = A_{11} - B_{12}(k) C_{22}^{-1}(k) B_{12}(k)^T ,
\]

\[
B(k) = B_{11}(k) - B_{12}(k) C_{22}^{-1}(k) C_{12}(k)^T - C_{12}(k) C_{22}^{-1}(k) B_{12}(k)^T ,
\]

\[
C(k) = C_{11}(k) - C_{12}(k) C_{22}^{-1}(k) C_{12}(k)^T .
\]

(‘def des matrices A B C’)

One introduces \(W(x_3) = (X'(x_3), X(x_3))\). As above, the dependence in \(k\) and \(\omega\) of \(W\) is omitted. The second-order differential Eq. (‘2eme eq syst en X bis’) is transformed into the following first order differential equation

\[
-\mathcal{A}(k) W''(x_3) + \mathcal{B}(k) W(x_3) = 0 ,
\]

(‘syst val p 0’)

in which complex symmetric matrices \(\mathcal{A}(k)\) and \(\mathcal{B}(k)\) are such that
B. Faverjon and C. Soize

\( A(k) = \begin{bmatrix} -A(k) & 0 \\ 0 & C(k) \end{bmatrix} \) and \( B(k) = \begin{bmatrix} B(k) & C(k) \\ C(k) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \).

In order to solve Eq. (syst val p 0) and since it can be proved that the complex matrix \( A(k)^{-1} B(k) \) is diagonalisable for any \( k \) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), the eigenvalue problem \( A(k)^{-1} B(k) \Phi(k) = \Phi(k) \Lambda(k) \) associated with this equation is introduced, in which \( \Lambda(k) \) is the diagonal matrix constituted of the eight complex eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_8 \). The complex square matrix \( \Phi(k) \) is constituted of the associated eigenvectors. Therefore, the general solution of Eq. (syst val p 0) is written as

\[
W(x_3) = G(k, x_3) W(0) , \quad G(k, x_3) = \Phi(k) \mathcal{D}(k, x_3) \Phi(k)^{-1} ,
\]

\[
[D(k, x_3)]_{jk} = \delta_{jk} e^{x_3 \lambda_j(k)} ,
\]

Taking \( x_3 = H \) in Eq. (solution W 2 2), the vector \((X'(H), X'(0))\) can be expressed as a function of the vector \((X(H), X(0))\) which is written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix} X'(H) \\ X'(0) \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_H(k, \omega) \begin{bmatrix} X(H) \\ X(0) \end{bmatrix} ,
\]

in which the construction of the complex matrix \( \mathcal{M}_H(k, \omega) \) is given in Appendix B. It should be noted that the construction of the matrix \( \mathcal{M}_H(k, \omega) \) cannot be obtained by a direct algebraic calculation. This matrix has to be constructed using a numerical calculation on an ill-conditioned numerical problem. Consequently, an adapted algebraic calculation has to be developed in order to avoid the bad numerical conditioning. This ill-conditioning is due to the four complex eigenvalues having positive real parts while the four other complex eigenvalues with negative real parts do not induce numerical problems. These numerical difficulties are induced by the fact that, for \( x_3 \) in \( ]0, H[ \), four diagonal terms of the matrix \( \mathcal{D}(k, x_3) \) are exponentionally increasing. In Appendix B, a well-conditioned formulation is constructed and consists in treating separately the two groups of eigenvalues. Using the second line
of Eq. (‘\texttt{eq MP}’) with $X'(0)$ and $X'(H)$, and substituting Eq. (‘\texttt{def MH}’) in the resulting equations yields

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
Y(H) \\
Y(0)
\end{bmatrix} = (C_B(k, \omega) M_H(k, \omega) + C_C(k, \omega))
\begin{bmatrix}
X(H) \\
X(0)
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(‘\texttt{def MH 2}’)

in which $C_B(k, \omega)$ and $C_C(k, \omega)$ are given by

$$
C_B(k, \omega) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{22}(k, \omega)^{-1} B_{12}(k, \omega)^T & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{22}(k, \omega)^{-1} B_{12}(k, \omega)^T
\end{bmatrix},
C_C(k, \omega) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{22}(k, \omega)^{-1} C_{12}(k, \omega)^T & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{22}(k, \omega)^{-1} C_{12}(k, \omega)^T
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(‘\texttt{def CC et CB}’)

The boundary conditions defined by Eq. (‘\texttt{cl pb aux lim Fourier}’) can be rewritten as

$$
X(0) = L_1(k) u^{P_1} \quad \text{and} \quad X(H) = L_2(k) u^{P_2},
$$

(‘\texttt{X0XH}’)

in which matrices $L_1(k)$ and $L_2(k)$ are defined by

$$
L_1(k) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & i h_{P_1} k_1/2 \\
0 & 1 & i h_{P_1} k_2/2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2(k) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -i h_{P_2} k_1/2 \\
0 & 1 & -i h_{P_2} k_2/2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(‘\texttt{def L1 L2}’)

Consequently, the vector $(F_2(k, \omega), F_1(k, \omega))$, in which $F_2(k, \omega)$ and $F_1(k, \omega)$ are defined by Eq. (‘\texttt{eq forces}’), can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
F_2(k, \omega) \\
F_1(k, \omega)
\end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{N}(k, \omega)
\begin{bmatrix}
u^{P_2}(k, \omega) \\
u^{P_2}(k, \omega)
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(‘\texttt{def AAP1 AAP2 0}’)

where the matrix $\mathcal{N}(k, \omega)$ is a $(6 \times 6)$ complex matrix which can easily be calculated [51]. Substituting Eq. (‘\texttt{def AAP1 AAP2 0}’) into Eq. (‘\texttt{eq plaque P}’) allows the vector $(u^{P_2}(k, \omega), u^{P_2}(k, \omega))$ to be calculated as a function of $f(\omega)$ by solving the linear matrix equation.
\[
P(k, \omega) \begin{bmatrix} u^{P_2}(k, \omega) \\ u^{P_1}(k, \omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f(\omega) \end{bmatrix},
\]
\(\text{('eq plaques 3')}\)

in which \(P(k, \omega)\) can easily be constructed. Knowing \(u^{P_1}(k, \omega)\) and \(u^{P_2}(k, \omega)\), one can deduce \(w^{P_1}(k, \omega)\) and \(w^{P_2}(k, \omega)\) and consequently, \(\Delta w(k, \omega)\) which is equal to \(w^{P_1}(k, \omega) - w^{P_2}(k, \omega)\) can be calculated by

\[
\Delta w(k, \omega) = h(k, \omega) f(\omega),
\]
\(\text{('\#wP fct p')}\)

in which \(h(k, \omega) = [P^{-1}(k, \omega)]_{66} - [P^{-1}(k, \omega)]_{36}\). Taking the inverse Fourier transform in \(k\) of Eq. ('\#wP fct p) yields

\[
\Delta w(\tilde{x}, \omega) = h(\tilde{x}, \omega) f(\omega) \quad \text{with} \quad h(\tilde{x}, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i k \tilde{x}} h(k, \omega) \, dk.
\]
\(\text{('\#TF-1 Delta w 22')}\)

The equivalent acoustic impedance of the multilayer system being assumed isotropic in reference-plane \(S\), then \(z(\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}', \omega)\) depends on \(||\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'||\) and consequently, the Fourier transform \(z(k, \omega)\) depends on \(||k||\). One then deduces that \(h(k, \omega)\) depends only on \(k = ||k||\) and is rewritten as \(h(k, \omega)\). Consequently, since the driving force is a point force applied to the origin, \(\Delta w(\tilde{x}, \omega)\) depends only on \(r = ||\tilde{x}||\) and is rewritten as \(\Delta w(r, \omega)\). Thus \(\omega \mapsto \Delta w(r, \omega)\) appears as the cross-frequency response function relative to two points distinct of \(r\). Using the classical formula of the Fourier transform in polar coordinates yields

\[
\Delta w(r, \omega) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_0^{+\infty} k J_0(kr) h(k, \omega) \, dk,
\]
\(\text{('\#def operateur h TF-1 polaire 2')}\)

in which \(J_0(kr)\) is the zero order Bessel function. Equation ('\#def operateur h TF-1 polaire 2) gives a continuous expression of \(\Delta w(r, \omega)\) as a function of the distance \(r\) between the origin in the reference-plane \(S\) and the point \(\tilde{x}\) in the reference-plane \(S\). In order to compare the present model with the
experiments (see Part I of the paper [49]), the \((25 \times 25)\) impedance matrix corresponding to the 25 driving and receiving points has to be constructed. Let \([\Delta W(\omega)]\) be the \((25 \times 25)\) symmetric complex matrix defined by

\[
[\Delta W(\omega)]_{jk} = \Delta w(r_{jk}, \omega),
\]

\(^{\text{('op discretise W')}}\)

in which \(r_{jk} = ||\tilde{x}_j - \tilde{x}_k||\) where \(\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_{25}\) are the 25 driving points with a unite force and the receiving points defined in Figure 2 of the part I of the paper. Consequently \([\Delta W(\omega)]\) can be viewed as the matrix-valued frequency response function relative to these points. Let \([Z(\omega)]\) be the corresponding \((25 \times 25)\) symmetric complex impedance matrix which is thus defined by

\[
[Z(\omega)] = \frac{1}{i \omega} [\Delta W(\omega)]^{-1}.
\]

\(^{\text{('op discretise Z')}}\)

For each \(\omega\) fixed, complex matrix \([Z(\omega)]\) is numerically calculated by using the analytical calculation presented above and in Appendices A and B. For \(\omega\) belonging to the frequency band of analysis, impedance matrix \([Z(\omega)]\) has to be compared to experimental impedance matrix \([Z^{exp}(\omega)]\) constructed in Part I of the paper [49].

5. EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISONS AND VALIDATION

In this section, the analytical model is compared to the experiment presented in Part I of the paper. The geometry and the material properties of the multilayer system are given in Appendix A of Part I of the paper [49].

5.1. EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISONS AND VALIDATION FOR THE EQUIVALENT ACOUSTIC IMPEDANCE

The right-hand side of equation \(^{\text{('def operateur h TF-1 polaire 2')}}\) is numerical calculated with a space step \(\Delta r = 6.28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}\) for \(r\) in \([0, 6.3]\) m.
5.1.1. Local acoustic impedance

The analytical model of the local equivalent acoustic impedance corresponds to the diagonal terms of the impedance matrix defined by Eq. (*\top discretise Z*). Figures 3 to 5 display the graphs of the real part and the imaginary part of the local equivalent acoustic impedance at the points 8, 15 and 16, defined in Figure 2 of Part I [49]. The solid lines are relative to the analytical model and the dashed lines to the experiments. There is a good agreement between the analytical model and the experimental results for these three points but also for all the 22 other points (see [51]), which are not presented in this paper. Since the results for all the 25 points cannot be given in the present paper, and taking into account that the graphs of all the real parts are similar and that the graphs of all the imaginary parts are similar, a part of the results can be synthesised in giving the real part and the imaginary part of the average local acoustic impedance defined as $\frac{1}{25} \sum_{j=1}^{25} [Z(\omega)]_{jj}$. Concerning this average local impedance, Figures 6-a and -b compare the analytical results with the experiments for the real part and for the imaginary part. On each figure, there is a good agreement between analytical result and experiment. In each Figure (3 to 6), it can be seen that the real part (see Figures 3-a, 4-a, 5-a and 6-a) and the imaginary part (see Figures 3-b, 4-b, 5-b and 6-b) of the impedance look like to the schematic graph given in Figure 6 of Part I, that is to say, for all $\omega \geq 0$, the real part is positive with a peak at 844 Hz, and the imaginary part has a vertical asymptote when $\omega$ goes to 0 and there is a zero crossing for a frequency equal to 400 Hz associated with the peak of the real part. The peak and the associated zero crossing seem to be due to a resonance phenomenon between the dilatational wave which preferentially propagates in the fluid phase of the porous medium and the bending waves in the two plates. In addition, Figure 6-a and -b compare the analytical results for an isotropic porous medium (solid line) with the analytical results for a porous medium having a transverse isotropic solid phase (dash-dot line) whose parameters have partially been measured [53]. One can observe that these two analytical models are very similar. Consequently, in this paper, one only presents results for the case of an isotropic porous medium. For the case of a transverse isotropic solid phase of the porous medium, theory details and results can be found in [51]. The robustness of the analytical method has been proved by performing a sensitivity analysis with respect to the parameters of the solid phase (mass density, Young modulus, dissipation factor and Poisson coefficient) and of the coupling between
the two phases (porosity, tortuosity, resistivity, viscous and thermal characteristic lengths). From this sensitivity analysis, it can be concluded that the analytical model weakly depends on these parameters. For instance, figures 7 and 8 show the results for the Poisson ratio \( \nu \) varying in \([0.25, 0.46]\) and for the resistivity \( \sigma \) varying in \([2000, 20000]\) N s m\(^{-4}\). Figures 7a and 8a display the real part of the impedance, figures 7b and 8b display its imaginary part.

It should be noted that, although the averaged impedance does not seem affected by the resistivity, others numerical simulations have been performed in [51]. For instance, the porous medium has been modelled by the fluid equivalent theory. Such a model does not give good results with respect to the experiments. In order to study the type of model for the porous medium, the parametric analysis performed in [51] shows that the complete 3D model of the porous medium presented in this paper is necessary to predict the experimental results with a good accuracy.

5.1.2. Off-diagonal terms of the acoustic impedance

The equivalent acoustic impedance tends to become local for frequencies greater than 300 Hz. Figures 9-a and -b display the equivalent acoustic impedance as a function of distance \( r \) between the driving and the receiving points. For a frequency equal to 1400 Hz, the analytical results (circle symbols) and the experimental results (cross symbols) are very close. This conclusion holds for the other frequencies (see [51]). The aspect of the real part (Figure 9-a) and of the imaginary part (Figure 9-b) of the equivalent acoustic impedance as a function of the distance \( r \) has been explained in Part I [49] (exponential decreasing, sinusoidal function, non zero phase for \( \omega = 0 \) for the imaginary part). For the other frequencies belonging to \([300, 1600]\) Hz, the results are very similar. There is a good agreement between the analytical results and the experiments.

5.2. EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISONS AND VALIDATION FOR THE CROSS-FREQUENCY RESPONSE FUNCTION

The analytical model of the cross response function between 2 points distinct of \( r \) is the mapping \( \omega \mapsto \Delta w(r, \omega) \). In this subsection, one compares the experiments with the analytical results for the
function $r \mapsto \Delta \omega(r, \omega)$ at a fixed frequency equal to 800 Hz. It should be noted that the choice of this frequency is arbitrary, but the quality of the comparisons is similar for any frequency on the frequency band of analysis. Figures 10-a and -b display the graphs of the real and imaginary parts of the function $r \mapsto \Delta \omega(r, \omega)$ at frequency 800 Hz. The comparisons between the experimental results (cross symbols) and the analytical model (solid line) are good. In addition, in these 2 figures, the circle symbols correspond to the average of the experimental values over the points having the same distance $r$.

CONCLUSIONS

The multilayer system constituted of porous media are relatively difficult to model in the medium and high frequency domain. The purpose of this paper has been to construct of an analytical expression of the equivalent acoustic impedance for a multilayer system, constituted of a three dimensional porous medium inserted between two thin plates, for the medium and high frequency ranges. For complex structural acoustic systems, this type of results can be used to model a soundproofing scheme by a wall acoustic impedance. The methodology proposed can be used to other similar multilayer systems. The boundary value problem of the multilayer system constituted of two dimensional media (thin plates) coupled with a three dimensional medium (porous medium) has been presented. The equations for the general case of a homogeneous anisotropic viscoelastic porous medium with homogeneous orthotropic viscoelastic plates have been presented. An analytical model of the equivalent acoustic impedance has been constructed using a spectral method and its validation has been obtained by comparisons with experiments. This construction is not self-evident and an adapted algebraic formulation has had to be developed. In the medium and high frequency ranges, the comparisons between the analytical model and the experiments are good enough either for the local impedance or for the cross impedance between the driving and the receiving points. The good agreement of these results gives an experimental validation, firstly, for the mechanical model, i.e., for the boundary value problem, and, secondly, for the analytical method used to the equivalent acoustic impedance. In addition, it should be noted that, similarly to the conclusions given in the Part I of the paper, the equivalent acoustic impedance tends to
be local for frequencies greater than 300 Hz and, in this case, the modulus of this impedance is a quasi exponential decreasing function with the distance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank ONERA which supports this research.

REFERENCES


Substituting constitutive equations (‘loigle cp fourier 1’) and (‘loigle cp fourier 2’) of the porous medium into dynamical equations (‘eq sf Fourier 0’) yields Eq. (‘eq MP’) in which matrices $\mathbb{A}_{11}$, $\mathbb{B}_{11}(k)$, $\mathbb{B}_{12}(k)$, $\mathbb{C}_{11}(k)$, $\mathbb{C}_{12}(k)$ and $\mathbb{C}_{22}(k)$ are defined by

$$
\mathbb{A}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} -B_F/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_F/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -C_F - (A_F + B_F) & -C_F \\ 0 & 0 & -C_F & -E_F \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{12}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ i k_1 C_F & i k_2 C_F \\ i k_1 E_F & i k_2 E_F \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathbb{B}_{11}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i k_1 (A_F + B_F/2) & i k_2 C_F \\ i k_1 (A_F + B_F/2) & i k_2 (A_F + B_F/2) & 0 & i k_1 C_F \\ i k_1 C_F & i k_2 (A_F + B_F/2) & i k_2 C_F & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$
\[ \mathbb{C}_{11}(k) = -\omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\rho}_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\rho}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\rho}_{11} & \tilde{\rho}_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\rho}_{12} & \tilde{\rho}_{22} \end{bmatrix} + i \omega b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (A_F + B_F) k_1^2 + B_F k_2^2/2 \\ k_1 k_2 (A_F + B_F/2) \\ \kappa (A_F + B_F) k_2^2 + B_F k_1^2/2 \\ 0 & 0 & (k_1^3 + k_2^3) B_F/2 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \mathbb{C}_{12}(k) = -\omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + i \omega b \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + C_F \begin{bmatrix} k_1^2 & k_1 k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 & k_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \mathbb{C}_{22}(k) = -\omega^2 \tilde{\rho}_{22} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + i \omega b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + E_F \begin{bmatrix} k_1^2 & k_1 k_2 \\ k_1 k_2 & k_2^2 \end{bmatrix}. \]

**APPENDIX B : EXPRESSION OF MATRIX \( \mathbb{M}_H(k, \omega) \) RELATING VECTOR \( (X'(H), X'(0)) \) WITH VECTOR \( (X(H), X(0)) \).**

For \( x_3 \in [0, H] \), the matrix \( D(k, x_3) \) has four diagonal terms which are exponentially increasing and which yields a bad numerical conditioning of the problem. The solution retained consists in splitting \( D(k, x_3) \) into two matrices \( D^+(k, x_3) \) corresponding to the eigenvalues with positive real parts and \( D^-(k, x_3) \) corresponding to the eigenvalues with negative real parts, such that

\[ D(k, x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} D^+(k, x_3) & 0 \\ 0 & D^-(k, x_3) \end{bmatrix} \]

with \( [D^+(k, x_3)]_{jk} = \delta_{jk} e^{x_3 \lambda_j^+(k)} \) and \( [D^-(k, x_3)]_{jk} = \delta_{jk} e^{-x_3 \lambda_j^-(k)} \).

Defining \( T(x_3) = \Phi(k)^{-1} W(x_3) \), the first equation (solution W 2 2) in \( x_3 = H \) can be rewritten as \( T(H) = D(k, H) T(0) \). Splitting \( T(x_3) = (T^+(x_3), T^-(x_3)) \) yields \( T^-(H) = D^-(k, H) T^-(0) \) and \( T^+(0) = (D^+(k, H))^{-1} T^+(H) \). Introducing the matrix \( [D^-(k, H)] \) such that \( [D^-(k, H)] = [D^+(k, H)]^{-1} \), one then has \( [D^-(k, H)]_{jk} = \delta_{jk} e^{-x_3 \lambda_j^+(k)} \) for \( j \) and \( k \) in \( 1, ..., 4 \), thus, one obtains \( T^+(0) = D^-(k, H) T^+(H) \). The matrix \( \Phi(k, x_3) \) can be written as \( \Phi(k, x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{++}(k, x_3) & \Phi_{+-}(k, x_3) \\ \Phi_{-+}(k, x_3) & \Phi_{--}(k, x_3) \end{bmatrix} \)

Therefore, taking into account the definition of \( T(x_3) \), one obtains
\[
X'(H) = \Phi_{++}(k, H) T^+(H) + \Phi_{+-}(k, H) T^-(H), \\
X'(0) = \Phi_{++}(k, 0) T^+(0) + \Phi_{+-}(k, 0) T^-(0), \\
X(H) = \Phi_{-+}(k, H) T^+(H) + \Phi_{--}(k, H) T^-(H), \\
X(0) = \Phi_{-+}(k, 0) T^+(0) + \Phi_{--}(k, 0) T^-(0).
\]

Solving these four matrix equations yields a linear mapping between the vector \((X'(H), X'(0))\) and the vector \((X(H), X(0))\) such as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X'(H) \\
X'(0)
\end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_H(k, \omega) \begin{bmatrix}
X(H) \\
X(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
in which \(\mathcal{M}_H(k, \omega) = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{S}_{HH} & \mathcal{R}_{HH}^{-1} \\
\mathcal{S}_{H0} & \mathcal{S}_{HH}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{HH} \mathcal{R}_{H0}
\end{bmatrix}\), and where

\[
\mathcal{S}_{HH}(k, 0, H) = \Phi_{++}(k, H) - \Phi_{+-}(k, H) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1} \Phi_{-+}(k, 0) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H), \\
\mathcal{R}_{H0}(k, 0, H) = \Phi_{--}(k, H) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1}, \\
\mathcal{R}_{HH}(k, 0, H) = \Phi_{--}(k, H) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1} \Phi_{-+}(k, 0) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H), \\
\mathcal{S}_{H0}(k, 0, H) = \Phi_{-+}(k, H) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1}, \\
\mathcal{S}_{0H}(k, 0, H) = \Phi_{++}(k, 0) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H) - \Phi_{+-}(k, 0) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1} \Phi_{-+}(k, 0) \mathbb{D}^- (k, H), \\
\mathcal{S}_{00}(k, 0) = \Phi_{++}(k, 0) \Phi_{--}(k, 0)^{-1}.
\]

It should be noted that \(\mathcal{R}_{HH}(k, 0, H)\) is invertible.
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