



**HAL**  
open science

## **An unloading foam model to constrain Etna's 11-13 January 2011 lava fountaining episode**

S. Calvari, G.G. Salerno, L. Spampinato, M. Gouhier, A. La Spina, E. Pecora,  
Andrew J.L. J.L. Harris, Philippe Labazuy, E. Biale, E. Boschi

### ► **To cite this version:**

S. Calvari, G.G. Salerno, L. Spampinato, M. Gouhier, A. La Spina, et al.. An unloading foam model to constrain Etna's 11-13 January 2011 lava fountaining episode. *Journal of Geophysical Research : Solid Earth*, 2011, 116, pp.B11207. 10.1029/2011JB008407 . hal-00682572

**HAL Id: hal-00682572**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00682572>**

Submitted on 19 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

## An unloading foam model to constrain Etna's 11–13 January 2011 lava fountaining episode

S. Calvari,<sup>1</sup> G. G. Salerno,<sup>1</sup> L. Spampinato,<sup>1</sup> M. Gouhier,<sup>2</sup> A. La Spina,<sup>1</sup> E. Pecora,<sup>1</sup> A. J. L. Harris,<sup>2</sup> P. Labazuy,<sup>2</sup> E. Biale,<sup>1</sup> and E. Boschi<sup>1</sup>

Received 31 March 2011; revised 25 July 2011; accepted 9 September 2011; published 18 November 2011.

[1] The 11–13 January 2011 eruptive episode at Etna volcano occurred after several months of increasing ash emissions from the summit craters, and was heralded by increasing SO<sub>2</sub> output, which peaked at ~5000 megagrams/day several hours before the start of the eruptive activity. The eruptive episode began with a phase of Strombolian activity from a pit crater on the eastern flank of the SE-Crater. Explosions became more intense with time and eventually became transitional between Strombolian and fountaining, before moving into a lava fountaining phase. Fountaining was accompanied by lava output from the lower rim of the pit crater. Emplacement of the resulting lava flow field, as well as associated lava fountain- and Strombolian-phases, was tracked using a remote sensing network comprising both thermal and visible cameras. Thermal surveys completed once the eruptive episode had ended also allowed us to reconstruct the emplacement of the lava flow field. Using a high temporal resolution geostationary satellite data we were also able to construct a detailed record of the heat flux during the fountain-fed flow phase and its subsequent cooling. The dense rock volume of erupted lava obtained from the satellite data was  $1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ; this was emplaced over a period of about 6 h to give a mean output rate of  $\sim 55 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . By comparison, geologic data allowed us to estimate dense rock volumes of  $\sim 0.85 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  for the pyroclastics erupted during the lava fountain phase, and  $0.84\text{--}1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  for lavas erupted during the effusive phase, resulting in a total erupted dense rock volume of  $1.7\text{--}2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  and a mean output rate of  $78\text{--}117 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The sequence of events and quantitative results presented here shed light on the shallow feeding system of the volcano.

**Citation:** Calvari, S., G. G. Salerno, L. Spampinato, M. Gouhier, A. La Spina, E. Pecora, A. J. L. Harris, P. Labazuy, E. Biale, and E. Boschi (2011), An unloading foam model to constrain Etna's 11–13 January 2011 lava fountaining episode, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, B11207, doi:10.1029/2011JB008407.

### 1. Introduction


[2] Explosive basaltic eruptions span weakly explosive, low volume, emissions such as the persistent explosive activity typical of Stromboli volcano [e.g., *Patrick, 2007; Patrick et al., 2007*], to more energetic and higher volume lava fountains which feed columns of scoria, bombs and ash, with jets of molten rock, to heights of tens to hundreds meters [e.g., *Swanson et al., 1979; Heliker and Mattox, 2003*]. The weakest end-member of types of this repeated explosive activity at a basaltic system is gas-pistoning [e.g., *Ferrazzini et al., 1991; Johnson et al., 2005*] and gas puffing [e.g., *Harris and Ripepe, 2007*].

[3] *Parfitt and Wilson [1995]* have suggested that the primary difference between the so-called Strombolian and Hawaiian events lies in the ability of bubbles to coalesce and

grow. They argue that in Hawaiian eruptions there is little coalescence due to fast ascent rates, so that eruptive activity is controlled by the exsolution of many small bubbles at the fragmentation surface. In contrast, they propose that Strombolian activity is fed by the bursting of large gas bubbles, or slugs, at the magma free surface, where the slugs can form by coalescence in more slowly ascending magma. This model differs from that of *Vergnolle and Jaupart [1986]*, who explain the transition from Strombolian and Hawaiian activity using a series of conduit flow regimes, which change depending on gas content, bubble size, and magma viscosity. They suggested that, rather than remaining as a homogeneous flow, Hawaiian lava fountaining may involve transitions from bubbly flow to annular flow, in which there is a central stream of gas bounded by liquid moving along the conduit walls. *Vergnolle and Jaupart [1986]* also argued that Strombolian eruptions involve transitions from bubbly flow to slug flow, in which large bubbles of gas develop and rise through the residual bubble-poor melt. Using a combination of theoretical models and laboratory experiments, *Jaupart and Vergnolle [1988]* also showed that ascending bubbles can form a foam layer at the roof of a magma reservoir. When the foam

<sup>1</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Etno, sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont Ferrand, France.



**Figure 1.** (a) Map of the SE flank of Etna [modified after Behncke *et al.*, 2009] showing the location of the INGV-CT thermal (red circles) and visible (yellow circles) camera stations (see Table 1 for details). Blue circles indicate the position of UV-scanner stations of the FLAME network. Labels are as follows: EMOT, EMOV = thermal and visible cameras located at La Montagnola; ESV = visible camera located at Schiena dell'Asino; EMV = visible camera located at Milo; ENT, ENV = thermal and visible cameras located at Nicolosi; ECV = visible camera located at CUAD. The black triangle indicates the position of the summit craters (magnified in Figure 1b), and the rectangle is the area effected by the 11–13 January 2011 eruption and represented in Figure 6. (b) Map of southern Italy, showing the position of Sicily and Mount Etna. (c) The summit craters of Mount Etna [modified after Neri *et al.*, 2008]. Labels are as follows: NEC = NE-Crater; VOR = Voragine; NW BN: NW pit of Bocca Nuova; SE BN: SE pit of Bocca Nuova; SEC: SE-Crater.

layer reaches a threshold thickness, the bubbles coalesce and the foam collapses, generating a slug that enters and ascends the conduit to burst at the free surface. After some time, a new foam layer forms, thickens and collapses to repeat the cycle. Analyses of magmatic gas measurements during lava fountain events at Etna volcano suggest activity is fed by a gas bubble layer that accumulates prior to the event at a depth of about 1.5 km below the erupting crater [Allard *et al.*, 2005]; thus supporting a gas-melt separation model rather than a bulk degassing (rise-speed-dependent) model [Parfitt, 2004]. This has been confirmed by other recent multidisciplinary data comprising petrochemistry of ejecta, gravimetry, seismicity, ground and deformation measurements [Andronico and Corsaro, 2011; Bonaccorso *et al.*, 2011a, 2011b].

[4] Etna's activity recently moved toward more explosive styles of eruption, which have characterized activity especially since 2000 [e.g., Behncke *et al.*, 2006; Andronico and Corsaro, 2011; Harris *et al.*, 2011]. Thus, the need to understand, recognize and predict such explosive activity is becoming increasingly important at this basaltic system. In this paper we present an integration of remote sensing data collected from a ground-based camera network installed on Etna by Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia of Catania (INGV-CT), with that collected by satellite-based sensors. The ground-based cameras provide both thermal and visible images and, for our study, were supplemented by use of thermal images collected during ground-based surveys carried out to map the lava flows. The satellite data are taken from MSG's SEVIRI sensor, a sensor that provides infrared data every 15 min and allows us to obtain the time-averaged discharge rates (TADRs) during short-lived lava fountain events [Harris *et al.*, 2011; Vicari *et al.*, 2011]. In addition, SO<sub>2</sub> released by open vent degassing at the summit craters, and recorded by the FLAME scanning ultraviolet spectrometer network [Salerno *et al.*, 2009a, 2009b] were available, along with FTIR measurements collected before and after the eruptive phase. These near-infrared-to-ultraviolet remote sensing measurements were used to estimate degassing rates and the volume of magma intruded within the system, as well as to track the gas flux and composition before, during, and after the 11–13 January 2011 lava fountain event. This integrated remote sensing data set allowed us to reconstruct the eruptive sequence, quantify the erupted volume, and compare the erupted and intruded magma volumes, thus allowing us to constrain the eruptive processes taking place in the feeder conduit and to improve our ability to forecast and track future explosive events.

## 2. Recent Eruptive Events at Etna

[5] The recent eruptive history of Etna volcano has been characterized by frequent effusive episodes, with more than 40 flank eruptions occurring during the 20th century [e.g., Andronico and Lodato, 2005; Branca and Del Carlo, 2005]. Explosive eruptions have also been common, and are of some concern due to the hazard posed by the associated ash plumes: the 2001 and 2002–2003 eruptions both caused severe disruption to Catania's international airport. During both events eruptive columns spread ash all over southern Italy [Research Group of the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia-Sezione di Catania, Italy, 2001; Behncke and Neri, 2003; Andronico *et al.*, 2005], with some ash reaching Cefalonia in Greece, 500 km away [Dellino and Kyriakopoulos, 2003]. The last effusive event occurred in 2008–2009, and comprised an initial phase of lava fountaining that fed an eruptive column, and was accompanied by lava flows that spread within the Valle del Bove (VdB), reaching over 6 km in length [Bonaccorso *et al.*, 2011a, 2011b]. Following this eruption, the volcano remained largely quiescent until 2010, during which time its summit craters were actively degassing. A first explosive phase occurred at the SE-Crater (SEC; Figure 1c) on 8 April 2010, and produced an ash plume that covered the uppermost NE sector of the volcano with ash, and a small pyroclastic flow. On 25 August 2010 another intense explosive phase occurred at the Bocca Nuova crater (Figure 1c). This was characterized by an ash emission that lasted several

**Table 1.** Details on the INGV-CT Network of Monitoring Cameras<sup>a</sup>

Location	Acronym	Kind	Elevation	Distance From Etna's Summit	Field of View/Range (m)
La Montagnola	EMOT	Thermal	2600 m a.s.l.	3 km	18.8° (v) - 25° (h)
La Montagnola	EMOV	Visible	2600 m a.s.l.	3 km	3° to 47.5°(h)/170 to 2860 m (h)
Schiena dell'Asino	ESV	Visible	1985 m a.s.l.	4.9 km	2.8° to 48°(h)/260 to 4720 m (h)
Milo	EMV	Visible	770 m a.s.l.	10.75 km	3° to 47.5°(h)/592 to 9944 m (h)
Nicolosi	ENT	Thermal	730 m a.s.l.	15 km	18° (v) - 24° (h)
Nicolosi	ENV	Visible	730 m a.s.l.	15 km	3° to 47.5°(h)/785 to 13,200 m (h)
Catania - CUAD	ECV	Visible	35 m a.s.l.	26.7 km	3° to 47.5°(h)/1388 to 23,320 m (h)

<sup>a</sup>Here (v) = vertical; (h) = horizontal. See Figure 1 for site location.

seconds and spread ash over the uppermost flanks of the volcano. Weaker explosive phases were recorded during December 2010 from a depression (pit crater) on the east flank of SEC at 3050 m a.s.l. (Figure 1c), and on 2 January 2011 a further explosive event was observed at this site. Explosions continued until 3 January, and were characterized by pulsating gas bursts, red-glowing at night and sometimes accompanied by small ash emissions. This explosive activity stopped on 3 January and resumed on 11 January, when a short but intense eruptive phase began at SEC. The eruptive activity that occurred between 11 and 13 January is the topic of the present paper.

### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Thermal and Visible Camera Network

[6] Mount Etna's camera network consists of thermal and visible cameras that allow continuous, real-time ground-based imaging of the volcano activity every 1–2 s [e.g., *Andò and Pecora*, 2006; *Behncke et al.*, 2006, 2009]. The network consists of two thermal cameras, EMOT and ENT, and five visible cameras: EMOV, ENV, EMV, ESV, and ECV (see Figure 1 and Table 1 for locations).

[7] EMOT and ENT are equipped with an A320 and an A40M Thermovision Forward Looking InfraRed (FLIR Systems) camera, respectively. Both record in the 7.5 and 13  $\mu\text{m}$  spectral range, providing  $320 \times 240$  pixel images with a spatial resolution of 1.3  $\mu\text{rad}$ . The A320 and A40M have thermal sensitivities of 70 mK at 30°C, and 80 mK at 25°C, respectively. While EMOT thermal images are displayed with a fixed color scale that ranges between –20 and 60°C, ENT images are displayed with a fixed color scale with a range of –10 and 60°C. Radiometric data, recorded between 0 and 500°C, are processed in real-time by custom written code (NewSaraterm) [*Behncke et al.*, 2009]. The visible cameras at EMOV, ENV, ECV, and EMV consist of a Canon VC-C4 with a  $16 \times$  optical zoom lens. This camera provides a horizontal field of view (FOV) of between  $\sim 3$  and 47.5° (Table 1). The visible camera at ESV is a Sony FCBEX 480 CP with FOV of between  $\sim 2.8$  and 48° (Table 1). Given the variable focus of the visible cameras (Table 1), to calculate the size of any object within the FOV we used reference distances between known targets within each image. For nighttime images recorded by ECV, we used the vertical distance between the pit crater and the Rifugio Sapienza tourist facility (1920 m a.s.l.; Figure 1). This yielded a vertical distance of 1130 m and was used to estimate the ash column height as well as the length of the lava flows spreading toward the east until they reached  $\sim 2170$  m a.s.l. At this elevation the VdB rim hides the lava flows from the ECV camera view.


[8] EMOT (Figure 2), EMV (Figure 3) and ECV (Figures 4d–4f) provided the best quality information and images during the 11–13 January eruptive event. In particular, using images from EMOT we derived the frequency of the Strombolian activity by manually counting the number of explosions across 15 min time windows (the duration of each archived video clip). The frequency of Strombolian bursts increased to a point at which the discrete bursts became uncountable. At this point we measured the height, width and area of the saturated portion of the explosive cloud. Images provided by EMV allowed us to derive the area covered by the upper portion of the lava flow field (up to  $\sim 2200$  m a.s.l.) and to track its stagnation and cooling (Figures 3a–3h and 5b). They also allowed us to observe the ash emissions that followed the end of the fountaining event (Figure 3i). Images from EMOT and ECV allowed tracking of the explosive activity (Figure 5a), with ECV providing an almost complete view of the ash column emitted by the lava fountain. ENT also showed both the lava fountains and associated ash columns, as well as dust clouds rising above the active lava flow fronts (Figures 4g–4j).

#### 3.2. Thermal Surveys


[9] Ground-based thermal surveys, carried out on 13 and 14 January 2011, allowed imaging of the lower part of the lava flow field, i.e., that spreading below 2200 m a.s.l. within VdB (Figure 6). The camera used was a FLIR SC660 handheld thermal camera. This camera consists of a  $640 \times 480$  uncooled microbolometer-detector array sensitive across the 7.5–13  $\mu\text{m}$  spectral range. It has a  $18 \times 24^\circ$ FOV, records temperature with a precision of  $\pm 1\%$  ( $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) and has a sensitivity of  $0.08^\circ\text{C}$  at 30°C. The camera allows recording of images in three temperature ranges: –40 to 120°C, 0 to 500°C and 350 to 1500°C, at time steps of up to 30 Hz. Thermal imagery of the lava flow field was collected using the middle range (0–500°C) at a frame rate of 4 images per sec. Air temperature and relative humidity were recorded simultaneously with thermal imagery and used to apply a first-order correction for atmospheric effects. For emissivity we have used 0.98 [*Buongiorno et al.*, 2002]. See *Spampinato et al.* [2011] for full review of thermal camera operation and data processing for volcanological applications.

#### 3.3. Gas Flux Measurements

[10]  $\text{SO}_2$  flux at Mount Etna is measured by the Flux Automatic Measurement (FLAME) network of scanning ultraviolet spectrometers [*Salerno et al.*, 2009a]. The network consists of eight stations spaced  $\sim 7$  km apart and installed at an altitude of  $\sim 900$  m a.s.l. on Etna's southern, eastern and northern flanks (Figure 1). During daylight, each device scans



**Figure 2.** (a–l) Thermal images recorded from EMOT (see Figure 1). The saturated portion of the eruptive vent and lava fountain is displayed in white. On the black line below each image we give the date (dd-mm-yyyy) and UTC time (hh:mm:ss:00). Note the eastward (right) shift of the vent as apparent by comparing Figures 2b and 2l, and which occurred between Figures 2e (21:52:43) and 2f (22:06:02).



**Figure 3.** Visible images collected from EMV and giving a view from the east, over a 11 km distance, of the eruption site. (a) The brightest spot represents the Strombolian activity from the pit crater on the east flank of the SE Crater, the smaller spot on the left being the lava flow from the lower rim of the pit crater. (b) Strombolian activity increases, and the lava flow spreads SE. (c) Low lava fountaining starts, with a small ash plume dispersed SE (left) and lava flow extending down the upper Valle del Bove. (d–g) Lava fountaining increases in intensity and lava flow field grows. (h) Decreasing explosive phase and declining lava output. (i) Daytime view on 13 January, showing red ash emission from the pit crater, and the inactive lava flow field in the upper Valle del Bove is clearly visible, although partially obscured to the left by a tree. Date and time formats are as in Figure 2.





Figure 4



**Figure 5.** (a) Number of explosions occurring in 15 min time windows during 11–12 January 2011. The gray shaded area lacks data due to clouds obscuring the summit. (b) Time evolution of active lava flow area (blue line) and lava fountain area (red line), as seen from EMV.

the sky in a vertical plane over  $156^\circ$  (almost horizon-to-horizon) intersecting the plume at a distance of  $\sim 14$  km from the summit region. In each scan, 104 open-path ultraviolet spectra are collected.  $\text{SO}_2$  slant column densities are reduced from each spectrum following the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) methodology [e.g., Platt and Stutz, 2008] using a modeled reference spectrum [Salerno et al., 2009b].  $\text{SO}_2$  column densities are then transmitted by Free Wave radio-modem to INGV-CT, where  $\text{SO}_2$  mass flux (in megagram per day,  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$ ) is computed in real-time.

[11] HCl and HF fluxes were calculated by combining the  $\text{SO}_2$  flux with the molar ratios of  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HF}$  measured during daily surveys. Ratios were determined from solar occultation open-path Fourier Transform InfraRed (FTIR) spectra, in which the infrared source was the sun and the gas plume was interposed between the sun and the spectrometer, following the methods of Francis et al. [1998]. Spectra were collected with a Bruker OPAG-22 spectrometer with a ZnSe beam splitter and a  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  resolution. The detector was a liquid nitrogen-cooled Mercury-Cadmium-Telluride (MCT) sensitive between  $1000$  and  $6000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The gas column amounts were retrieved using a nonlinear least square fitting program based on the Rodgers optimal estimation algorithm [Rodgers, 2000] and the Oxford Reference Forward Model (RFM) radiative transfer model (<http://www.atm.ox.ac.uk/RFM/>), using line parameter data from the HITRAN96 molecular spectroscopic database [Rothman et al., 1998]. Solar occultation mode provides information on the concentrations of  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF, which are three gas species with negligible concentrations in the free tropo-


sphere, but which are abundant within volcanic plumes [e.g., Sparks et al., 1997]. The uncertainty on retrieved gas amounts was calculated using the residual of the least square fitting, and was  $\sim 4\%$ . Ratios were determined by measuring 100 or more spectra. The retrieved amounts of  $\text{SO}_2$  were then plotted against HCl and HF. The gradient of the resulting linear regression plots give the ratios of  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HF}$  [e.g., La Spina et al., 2010].

### 3.4. Satellite Data

[12] The satellite time series comprised the full archive of MSG-SEVIRI data acquired during the lava fountaining phase by the direct reception capability at the Observatoire de Physique du Globe de Clermont-Ferrand (OPGC, Clermont Ferrand, France). The SEVIRI (Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager) sensor is flown on the Meteosat Second Generation (MSG) satellite. This flies in a geostationary orbit above the Equator over Africa at an altitude of 35,000 km. From its equatorial location, SEVIRI can image Etna once every 15 min. Thus we built a time series of 96 images for the 24 h period spanning the main lava fountaining phase. We use data collected in SEVIRI's IR3.9 ( $3.48\text{--}4.36 \mu\text{m}$ ) and IR12 ( $11.00\text{--}13.00 \mu\text{m}$ ) channels. While the wavelength of the IR3.9 channel is sensitive to sub-pixel hot spots, that of IR12 is useful for characterizing the temperature of the ambient background [e.g., Wright and Flynn, 2004]. Both channels have a spatial resolution of 3 km. Radiance data from all hot spots identified in the IR3.9 channel, corrected for atmospheric, surface emissivity and reflection effects, were used to estimate the heat flux and lava discharge rate for the active, and cooling, flow field following a modified version of the

**Figure 4.** Figures 4a–4f show the view of the summit of Mt. Etna from the south over a distance of  $\sim 27$  km (from ECV). (a) A horizontal scale (for the location of SEC) of 800 m is displayed. (b) The ash column is forming over the lava fountaining, and lava flow is spreading east toward the Valle del Bove. (c) Both the height of the eruptive column, lava fountaining and length of the lava flows increase, and a dust cloud is forming above the lava flow front. (d) The ash column spreads both laterally (upper part) and southward, partially obscuring the lava fountaining. (e) The lava flows become brighter, and also the dust cloud from the flow front spreads laterally. (f) The lava flows expand within the Valle del Bove; the flow fronts are now hidden behind its south rim. The ash plume spreading south hides the lava fountaining. (g–i) Thermal images from Nicolosi (ENT) showing lava fountaining (white-red) and the associated ash plume (bluish to purple). The dust plume to the right is from the lava flow fronts. (j) Graph of the apparent temperature recorded by ENT and detected through the NewSaraterm software, showing the thermal signals associated with the transitional explosive phase, the lava fountain, and the final ash explosion.





**Figure 6.** Photo of the 12–13 January 2011 lava flow field taken from the east during an airplane survey on 13 January. The yellow dotted line marks the boundary of the lava flow field, and the red dotted line shows the SSW dispersed ash erupted during the lava fountaining episode. The red circle displays the location of the pit crater on the eastern flank of the SEC that gave rise to the 11–13 January eruptive activity, and the black dotted square shows the area framed by EMV and displayed in Figure 3. La Montagnola is the location of EMOT and EMOV cameras (~3 km from the SEC, see Figure 1). Photo courtesy of Alfio Amantia.

methodology of Harris *et al.* [1997]. The full methodology as applied to the SEVIRI data is described by Gouhier *et al.* [2011] and Vicari *et al.* [2011].

#### 4. The 11–13 January Eruptive Episode

[13] Figure 6 shows the main area impacted by the eruption, showing the SEC, the pit crater responsible for the eruptive events described here, the lava flow field emplaced within the VdB, and the summit area of Mount Etna (in the background). The eruptive activity began at the pit crater on 11 January, though poor weather conditions permitted its observation only from EMOT and then only after 17:50 (all times reported are UTC). The eruption fed Strombolian activity that was initially confined to the pit crater (Figure 6), as evident from observed glow (red in Figure 2a). Between 20:30 and 21:45, the number of explosions increased and the frequency became quite regular (Figure 5a), with explosions reaching a height of ~30 m above the pit rim at 23:30. After this peak, both the frequency and intensity of the events decreased to a lower, but steady level, before decreasing further between 00:45 and 01:30 on 12 January. The frequency of explosions increased again later in the morning (especially between 09:45 and 10:00; Figures 2a and 5a) with spatter being erupted from two vents. Strombolian activity intensified further between 17:45 and 18:00 with ejecta being emitted in several directions and bombs falling well beyond the pit crater rim (Figures 2b and 5a). By 18:38 we could not distinguish the vents; this suggested that the temperature within the pit crater was so high that the two vents had formed a unique saturated area as visible from EMOT (Figure 2c). After ~19:15 the lower sector of the pit also began to produce occasional explosions, with activity at up

to three explosive vents. At 20:20, lava began to flow from the lower rim of the pit crater (Figure 3a), which slowly spread toward the SE. At 20:49 a second lava flow covered the upper part of the lava channel feeding the initial flow, and the explosion frequency and ejecta height increased (Figure 3b).

[14] After 21:15 explosions became almost continuous (Figures 2c–2d), suggesting shift from Strombolian to a transitional eruptive style [e.g., Parfitt, 2004; Spampinato *et al.*, 2008]. At 21:27 explosive activity increased further (Figure 3c), and a third lava flow appeared, while spatter were covering the upper part of the lava flow field. About 15 min later, the new lava flow was followed by a fourth flow. This new flow spread over the uppermost portion of the channel that fed the previous flows, and large incandescent blocks detached from the flow front to roll-off downslope. Between 21:44 and 21:47, spatter began to cover most of the northern outer flank of the SEC. Coverage was sufficient so as to form a rheomorphic or rootless lava flow [Head and Wilson, 1989]. Meanwhile, the pit crater began to feed a fifth lava flow (Figure 3d). At this point, the velocity and forward propagation of the lava flow fronts was observed to increase steadily (Figure 5b), and the height of the ash column grew (Figures 4a–4b and Table 1).

[15] At ~21:50, spattering from the pit crater became steady and the emission style evolved to fountaining (Figures 2e, 4g, and 4j). Two minutes later, both the height of the lava fountains and ash column increased significantly, with most of the tephra spreading SSW (Figures 2e, 3e, and 4c). Increased explosive activity was accompanied by collapses on the southern flank of the SEC. By 21:59 a thick ash plume was apparent (Figures 2f, 3f, and 4e), and the lava flow field within the VdB continued to spread in three branches (Figure 3f). A second rootless flow also began to form due to

tephra remobilization in the same site as the previous rheomorphic flow (Figure 3f). Explosive activity peaked between 22:00 and 23:00 on 12 January when the maximum height of the lava fountains reached  $\sim 800$  m above the pit (compare Figures 2 and 3), and the apparent temperature recorded by ENT increased from  $\sim 80^\circ\text{C}$  to  $120\text{--}160^\circ\text{C}$  (Figure 4j). By 22:05 four lava flows were spreading down the western flank of the upper VdB. At this time, the lava fountains also expanded eastward (Figures 2e–2f) and became higher. Increased explosive and effusive activity was accompanied by erosion of the eruptive vent. By 22:20 lava fountains widened further and also became taller (Figures 2f–2g and 5b). At 22:29 two main jets could be distinguished suggesting that two explosive vents were still active within the pit crater (Figure 3g). Successively, more flows covered the upper part of the lava flow field which, between 22:00 and 23:30, reached its largest active area (Figure 5b). Three more lava flows erupted at  $\sim 22:42$ ,  $22:59$ , and  $23:13$ , to feed a final total of nine lava flows (Figures 2g–2i).

[16] After 23:10 the lava fountaining intensity decreased substantially (Figures 2j–2l, 3h, 4j, and 5b), with the last small vertical jet of lava showing an apparent eastward displacement of the explosive vent by  $\sim 110$  m to the east, due to widening of the pit rim. This modified vent location was also  $\sim 30$  m lower than that of the initial vent (Figures 2b–2l). This outline pit crater enlargement was directly observed during an overflight in the following days. After 23:24 lava output also declined and the distal portion of the lava flow field began to cool (Figures 3h, 4j, and 5b). Lava flows were still active on the north flank of SEC, though, probably fed by collapse of hot tephra emplaced during the lava fountaining phase. Slow movements of the lava flow fronts continued until  $\sim 23:59$ .

[17] At midnight, the eruptive activity returned to Strombolian style, with a few discrete explosions feeding small lava flows that covered the proximal lava flow field to the east and south. At  $\sim 00:25$  on 13 January shallow explosions at the pit crater were observed. These produced a hot gas cloud that rose several hundred meters. Collapses were apparent at the lava flow fronts of the shorter south and north flows emplaced on the flanks of the SEC. These were probably caused by destabilization of the eruptive products on the steep slopes of the SEC, as has often been observed at Etna after major explosive phases [e.g., Calvari and Pinkerton, 2002; Behncke et al., 2003].

[18] After 00:55 the flow field within the VdB displayed considerable surface cooling (Figure 5b), although the north and south flows on the SEC flanks were still slowly moving as the channels drained. At 01:00 glow from the pit crater also waned significantly. By 02:17, all lava flow movement had halted (Figure 5b), even if localized flows and collapses of the flow fronts were observed until  $\sim 06:00$ ; representing post-emplacment reorganization of the lava flow field. Explosive activity at the pit crater was over by 04:15.

[19] Between 06:15 and 06:45 only impulsive degassing (gas puffing) was observed, and between 07:22 and 07:35 pulsating dark ash plumes were emitted from the pit. These were likely from collapses inside the crater, but were possibly associated to deep explosive activity. After 08:17 ash became reddish and more dilute, with emission continuing until 09:22 and suggesting collapses within the pit crater following drainage [e.g., Bertagnini et al., 1990; Calvari and Pinkerton,

2004]. No further emissions from the pit crater were detected after  $\sim 13:00$  on 13 January.

## 5. Results


### 5.1. Gas Flux Between 2 and 19 January 2011

[20] Figure 7 shows both long and short-term variations in the 7-day-running mean of the  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF fluxes, with the long-term plot spanning May 2010 to January 2011. Overall, the three gas species showed correlated behavior, though sometimes they displayed decoupling. Note that, in Figure 7a, in order to plot both the HCl and HF fluxes together on the secondary  $y$  axis, we have had to multiply the HF flux by 5. Hence, in Figure 7a the real values of HF are actually a fifth of the fluxes plotted. Between May 2010 and July 2010,  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF fluxes showed trends which remained steadily confined within  $1300\text{--}2400$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$ ,  $130\text{--}260$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$ , and  $118\text{--}136$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  for the three species, respectively (Figure 7a). From the second half of July 2010, the three geochemical signals displayed pulsating but increasing trends, that concordantly climaxed in November 2010, when fluxes reached 4800, 963, and 640  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  for  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF, respectively (Figure 7a). After this period the three emission rates declined to values of 1500, 314, and 123  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  by January 2011 (Figure 7a).


[21] Figure 7b is a zoom that details the gas flux temporal variations between 2 and 19 January 2011, a period including the eruptive phase. During these 18 days of observations, the  $\text{SO}_2$  fluxes were constantly recorded, except on 1, 3 and 4 January when the wind (and thus plume) direction was toward a sector of the volcano not covered by the FLAME network. The daily averaged  $\text{SO}_2$  emission rates varied between a minimum of 500  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  (on 19 January) and a maximum of 3400  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  (on 8 January), with the mean daily  $\text{SO}_2$  emission rate being 2000  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  (standard deviation,  $1\sigma = 800$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$ ). Figure 7b shows three main peaks on 8, 11 and 13 January, when mean daily  $\text{SO}_2$  emission rates of over  $\sim 3000$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  were recorded. These are followed by a generally decreasing trend, with  $\text{SO}_2$  fluxes decreasing to  $\sim 500$   $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  by 19 January.

[22] The HCl and HF fluxes were obtained by FTIR measurements on 11 and 14 January, respectively (i.e., before and after the 11–13 January 2011 eruptive episode). The  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{SO}_2/\text{HF}$  molar ratios were 2.5 and 6.6 on 11 January, and 2.9 and 16.3 on 14 January, resulting in HCl and HF fluxes of 470 and 100  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  on 11 January, and 300 and 30  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  on 14 January. Both HCl and HF fluxes then show a marked decline between 11 and 14 January.

[23] Figure 7c displays a further zoom, plotting the daily averaged  $\text{SO}_2$  fluxes measured (during daytime) between 10 and 14 January, and thus recorded before and after the main eruptive event of 12 January. Over this period, the  $\text{SO}_2$  flux shows a cyclic pattern, with maxima recorded on 11 and 13 January (when values peaked at 5000 and 4200  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$ , respectively) and a minimum of 650  $\text{Mg d}^{-1}$  on 12 January (Figures 7c and 8). On 10, 12, 13, and 14 January the variance was approximately half that measured on 11 January. Knowing the total elemental sulphur released between 1 August 2010 and 10 January 2011 by  $\text{SO}_2$  flux measurements, the cumulative quantity of degassed magma was calculated following Allard [1997]. This yielded a volume of  $\sim 32 \times 10^6$   $\text{m}^3$ .



**Figure 7.** (a) Long-term variations in the 7-point-running mean SO<sub>2</sub> flux (red line; primary y axis) and discrete HCl and HF flux measurements (green and light blue lines, respectively; secondary y axis). Note that, to plot HF flux on the y axis, we have multiplied it by five. (b) Daily averaged SO<sub>2</sub> flux measured by the Flame network between 2 and 19 January 2011, together with the HCl (green stars) and HF (light blue triangles) fluxes measured on 11 and 14 January. (c) Magnified time-window showing the daytime SO<sub>2</sub> flux measured between 10 and 14 January 2011. Dotted-blue lines indicate the onset of the Strombolian (str) and lava fountain (lf) activity.



**Figure 8.** Number of explosions and daytime SO<sub>2</sub> flux recorded on 12 January 2011.


## 5.2. Strombolian and Lava Fountain Activity

[24] During the morning of 11 January, the pit crater on the east flank of the SEC started to show pulsating degassing, with explosive activity first observed at 17:50 the same day. Figure 5a displays the number of explosions with time. An overall generally increasing trend can be seen after 00:45 on 12 January, which is overprinted by cycles of waxing and waning activity lasting 3–4 h and increasing in wavelength with time (Figure 5a). No data were available between 10:30 and 16:00 due to obscuration by thick meteorological clouds. After 20:15 the Strombolian activity passed to transitional, with explosions being so continuous that they were almost uncountable (Figure 4j). After ~21:50 the transitional style changed to lava fountaining (Figures 2d–2e, 3d–3e, 4b–4c, and 4j). Thus, from 21:15 onwards we measured the height, width and area occupied by the lava fountains as seen from the two positions of EMV and EMOT (Figures 3c–3h, 5b, and 9a–9c). We note that, although not measuring exactly the same parameter, the heights measured from the two locations show comparable values, although at times EMOT recorded lower values. We interpret this as being due to ash fallout obscuring the fountain from the EMOT thermal camera view. Fountain activity ceased at 23:50, having lasted 2 h and 35 min. The maximum height reached by the lava fountains was between 750 m (measured from EMV) and 830 m (measured from EMOT) and occurred at 22:21 on 12 January (see also Figure 5b). Maximum fountain width was recorded at between 420 m (measured from EMV) and 516 m (measured from EMOT), with peaks of up to 550 m (Figure 9b). During the peaks the presence of fallout was adding to the apparent width of the eruptive column, making the EMOT measurement larger than the EMV measurement (which was not so affected by fall out). Between 21:56 and 22:59, the estimated height of the ash column rising above the fountain, measured from ECV, was ~6 km (Figures 4d–4f). This value has to be considered a minimum because this height marks the

upper limit of our FOV, and the ash continued to rise upwards and out of the camera FOV. The ash column then drifted SSW in the wind. By 23:00 the lava fountaining was declining, with heights to ~200 m. We selected 53 frames from EMV and the corresponding 53 frames recorded from EMOT at time intervals of 180 s. We then extracted the lava fountain heights from the mean value of each pair of frames. We use these values to estimate initial velocity at the vent ( $v_0$ ) to account for the measured height ( $h$ ), using

$$v_0 = \sqrt{2gh} \quad (1)$$

Derived velocities span 33 m s<sup>-1</sup> at 21:15 to 125 m s<sup>-1</sup> at 22:21. After this time there was a gradual decrease in the velocity until midnight, corresponding to the decline in the maximum height of the lava fountains. Using ground- and helicopter-based photos collected by INGV-CT during surveys following the eruptive episode, we estimated a diameter for the vent at the bottom of the pit crater of ~30 m. We used this value to estimate vent area assuming a circular shape which, with the exit velocity of the ejecta, allows us to calculate the volume flux of magma passing through the vent to feed the lava fountains. The total erupted volume of vesiculated material is then obtained from integrating these volume fluxes through time. Given that this was vesiculated material, and that the lava fountain jets comprised a mixture of pyroclasts and gases, to obtain the dense rock equivalent (DRE) erupted volume we assumed that the jet comprised 0.35% of magma. This value is suggested by Parfitt [2004] as being typical for lava fountains, such as those occurred at Kīlauea in 1983 [Wolfe *et al.*, 1988]. The resulting DRE volume erupted during the 2 h and 35 min of lava fountaining is ~0.85 × 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>, giving a mean output rate of ~92 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for just the pyroclastic portion of this eruptive event. This result is in good agreement with estimates obtained during previous lava fountaining events at Etna [e.g., Behncke *et al.*, 2006]




**Figure 9.** Graph of the (a) height, (b) width, and (c) area of the lava fountains calculated from the images of EMV (Milo) and EMOT (La Montagnola) against time during the fountaining episode of 12–13 January 2011.

and has to be considered a minimum value for the entire episode, because it does not take into account the tephra erupted during the 26 h of Strombolian activity that preceded the lava fountaining phase.

**5.3. Lava Flow Field**

[25] The emplacement of the upper lava flow field across the western headwall of the VdB was tracked and quantified

using images recorded by EMV (Figures 3a–3i and 5b). In carrying out this analysis, we have to bear in mind that the portion of the lava flow field that spread beyond the EMV FOV was not included in the image (Figure 3). Thus, the flow field area below the ~2200 m elevation was not accounted for in this analysis. Using NI Vision Assistant software we selected a fixed color threshold to crop the whole lava flow field in each image. We then used this to calculate the area




**Figure 10.** (a) Photo and (b) corresponding thermal image of the lava flow field emplaced in the Valle del Bove on 12–13 January 2011, taken from SE. Both images show that the lava flow field is cooling. The high temperature areas of the lava flow field relate to the front widening on Valle del Bove floor and to still moving lava due to channel drainage. Photograph in Figure 10a is courtesy of Stefano Branca.

covered by active lava flows, and thus to quantify the change in area covered by active lava flows with time (Figure 5b). The length of the active lava flows was obtained from ECV, which provided a side view until ~22:00, when the flows began spreading within the VdB. Thus, although the complete development of the lava flow field could not be observed, we do have a rather good description of the growth of the uppermost three-quarters of it (Figure 5b). The area covered by the active lava flows displays two peaks at 22:25 and 22:40. Considering that lava fountain area peaked at 22:15 and 22:24 (Figures 5b and 9c), it is thus possible that each peak in the lava fountain area is related to a subsequent peak in the lava flow field area. In fact, we observed that rapid accumulation of spatter on the upper part of the SEC cone, and on the upper portion of the lava flow field, was followed by remobilization of this loose material to form rootless flows which increased the supply to the flow field.


[26] The first lava flow emerged from the lowest point on the pit crater rim at 20:20 on 12 January, and lava flow fronts stopped final movement at 02:17 on 13 January, giving a total emplacement time of ~6 h. Ground-based thermal imagery collected during the mornings of 13 and 14 January 2011 from the south rim of the VdB showed that the stationary flow fronts were located at ~1650 m a.s.l. (Figures 6 and 10). At the time of the thermal surveys, the lava flow field displayed low temperatures, with maximum temperatures across the distal area being between 330° and 430°C on 13 January and ~160°C on 14 January. The higher temperatures recorded on 13 January were due to lava channel drainage that locally disrupted the lava crust. At that time the lava front also experienced lateral spreading, promoted by the low topographic gradient of the lower section of the VdB, as the flow field underwent post-emplacement reorganization before finally solidifying. Oblique thermal imagery of the proximal area recorded on 14 January showed maximum apparent temperatures of ~200°C. During both surveys, no explosive activity from the SEC pit crater was observed, and maximum temperatures recorded on the eastern flank of the SEC on 14 January did not exceed 170°C.

[27] The lava flow field displayed simple morphological structures, and lacked ephemeral vents, lava tubes or tumuli, in agreement with the morphology expected for short dura-

tion, high effusion rate flow [e.g., Calvari and Pinkerton, 1998, 1999; Duncan *et al.*, 2004]. During the initial stages of lava output, the lava flow spread at low rate, and displayed surface structures similar to large-scale folds. The supply to the lava flow field then increased due to the contribution of



**Figure 11.** Photo taken from the east during a 14 January 2011 overflight showing the depression on the east flank of the SE-Crater (pit crater) which was the source of explosive and effusive activity on 11–13 January 2011. Volcanic ash dispersal covering the snow toward the south (left in the picture) does not cover the summit of the SE-Crater, thus showing that this crater did not produce any explosive activity during the eruptive episode. Note the two lava flows extending from the depression on the east flank of the SEC toward the Valle del Bove, which were emplaced during the night of 12–13 January. The two rootless flows produced by the remobilization of tephra have been marked using a yellow dotted line. Photo courtesy of Alfio Amantia.



**Figure 12.** Power flux extracted from SEVIRI data showing the trends associated with lava emission, plume obscuration, saturation, and cooling. During the effusive phase power flux was converted to TADR using model parameters given [see Gouhier *et al.*, 2011]. In addition the cooling curve was used to estimate the total volume of erupted lava, allowing us to reconstruct the TADR curve as given at the right. For the TADR curve, TADRs calculated using unsaturated, cloud-free data are given by dark tones, and the “missing” volume obtained from the cooling curve is given by the light tones.

the fallout from the lava fountain (Figure 5b), as well as by overflow from the pit crater that eventually formed nine lava flow units that overlapped along the upper part of the south lava channel. These flow units overlapped with the rheomorphic or rootless flows resulting by flowage of the proximal spatter covering the flanks of SEC (Figure 11).

[28] Maximum (final) lava flow field area and length were derived using thermal and visible images obtained from a helicopter survey on 19 January, and were  $1.07 \text{ km}^2$  and  $4.3 \text{ km}$ , respectively [Behncke *et al.*, 2011] (Figures 6 and 10). Using this area, with a minimum and maximum bound on the mean flow field thickness of 1 and 2 m, and an average vesicularity of  $\sim 22\%$  [Harris *et al.*, 2005], we obtained a DRE volume of the lava flow field between  $\sim 0.83$  and  $1.77 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ , resulting in mean output rates for the lava flow field of between  $\sim 38$  and  $77 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Considering also the DRE volume of pyroclastics erupted ( $\sim 0.85 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ), we obtain a total erupted DRE volume (for all products: lava + pyroclasts) of between  $\sim 1.7$  and  $2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ , of which the pyroclastic component comprised  $\sim 20\%$ . The total volume yields a mean output rate for pyroclastics and lava at between  $78$  and  $116 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

#### 5.4. SEVIRI-Derived Heat Flux Trend and TADR Measurements

[29] The onset of effusive activity was apparent in the SEVIRI data from a hot spot that developed from 20:00 onwards on 12 January. The heat flux continued to wax

through 21:00 when the hot spot became obscured by the plume associated with the most explosive phase of the episode (Figure 12). By the time the plume cleared to allow the hot spot to be detected once more, lava flow activity had reached such an extent that the IR3.9 data were saturated. Termination of saturation at 01:00 coincides with the termination of supply to the lava flow field from the vent. Thereafter we recorded a cooling curve, as the flows stagnated and began to cool, with the hot spot becoming unresolvable by 11:00 on 13 January (Figure 12). This trend has also been reported by Vicari *et al.* [2011]. Perturbations in the otherwise smooth cooling curve, such as those apparent during 03:00 and 04:00, may be due to late stage flows as the lower sections of the channels drained and the flow field underwent a final re-organization.

[30] Converting the heat flux to a TADR for the eruptive period of the time series, i.e., between 20:00 and 01:00, yields a TADR that climbs to  $\sim 15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  during the first hour and a half of effusion (Figure 12). Thereafter, the record has a gap, during which time the flow field was obscured by the overlying plume until  $\sim 23:00$ . At this point we record a minimum possible value (capped by saturation) of  $\sim 30 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Obscuration by the plume, as well as saturation, during the period of peak discharge mean that time-integration of TADRs to obtain total effused volume will yield an underestimate [Gouhier *et al.*, 2011]. Therefore, we modified the approach of Wooster *et al.* [1997] to estimate the total effused volume. Wooster *et al.* [1997] integrated heat fluxes obtained from

satellite thermal data during the cooling phase of Etna's 1991–1993 flow field to obtain the total power generated by the lava during cooling. Given a cooling curve, we can thus integrate the heat flux through time to estimate the total power released (in Joules) by the cooling lava. This can, in turn, be converted to the mass or volume of lava that needs to be cooled in order to liberate that power [see Rowland *et al.*, 2003]. This conversion methodology, as applied to the SEVIRI data, is explained by Gouhier *et al.* [2011].

[31] By integrating the power under the cooling curve we obtain a value for the total power release during cooling of 460 GJ. Converting this to a volume of lava that needs to be cooled by 50°C, we obtain a lava volume of  $1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  [Gouhier *et al.*, 2011]. Distributing this volume over the period of effusion, and removing the volumes known to be erupted during the ash-cloud-free phase, we find that 83% (or  $10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) of the total volume was erupted during the period of peak effusion that spanned 21:30 – 01:00. This gives a TADR over this 3.5-h-long period of peak effusion of  $80 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . By comparison, ground-based thermal camera measurements yielded a total DRE volume of  $1.7\text{--}2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ , which is roughly in agreement with that calculated using the satellite data, as well as that calculated by Vicari *et al.* [2011] using the same SEVIRI data set. As discussed by Gouhier *et al.* [2011], the discrepancy may be explained by some uncertainties on parameters used at the input of the satellite-based retrieval scheme, or error in the thickness assumption used for the thermal-camera-based extraction. However, these results show that comparable volumes are obtained using three independent methods. This lends confidence to the measurement.

## 6. Discussion

[32] Etna's 11–13 January 2011 eruptive phase was observed by a plethora of remote sensing techniques that allowed us to track and quantify the trends in the explosive and effusive activity before, during and after a lava fountain event. The mean output rates of  $78\text{--}116 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the 6-h-long fountain event are quite high when compared with those experienced during longer-duration flank and summit effusive eruptions at Etna [e.g., Calvari *et al.*, 1994; Harris *et al.*, 2000; Calvari *et al.*, 2003; Harris *et al.*, 2011]. However, they are consistent with rates estimated during other short-lived lava fountaining events at Etna, especially those that preceded the 2001 flank eruption [Harris and Neri, 2002; Behncke *et al.*, 2006]. In 2000, Etna witnessed 64 lava fountains each lasting no more than 30 min [Alparone *et al.*, 2003; Behncke *et al.*, 2006]. These culminated in the 2001 flank eruption [Research Group of the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia-Sezione di Catania, Italy, 2001; Behncke and Neri, 2003]. A similar activity pattern also preceded the 2002–2003, 2006, and 2008–2009 effusive eruptions [Andronico *et al.*, 2005; Neri *et al.*, 2006; Spampinato *et al.*, 2008; Bonaccorso *et al.*, 2011a, 2011b]. The occurrence of an intermittent phase of explosive activity prior to the aforementioned eruptive events, suggests that the January 2011 episode might represent the start of a new eruptive cycle. In fact, the sequence of eruptive events here

described is typical of many other eruptions at Etna [e.g., Alparone *et al.*, 2003; Allard *et al.*, 2005; Behncke *et al.*, 2006], when the intrusion of a gas-rich batch of magma into the shallow feeder system initiates a new cycle. Intrusion is followed by the renewal of explosive activity at one or more of the summit craters [e.g., Andronico *et al.*, 2005; Burton *et al.*, 2005].

[33] Intermittent explosive events at Etna are usually preceded and/or accompanied by major changes in the volcanic gas composition and flux rates [e.g., Caltabiano *et al.*, 1994, 2004; Andronico *et al.*, 2005; Burton *et al.*, 2005; Salerno *et al.*, 2009a]. Magma contains dissolved volatiles ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ , S, Cl, F, etc.) with different solubilities, each of which gradually reaches a saturation pressure and exsolves into a separate magmatic gas phase (bubbles) during magma ascent [e.g., Anderson, 1975; Carroll and Holloway, 1994; Oppenheimer, 2003]. Thus, during magma ascent toward the surface, the chemical composition of the gas phase changes following the pressure-controlled solubility of each volatile species, but also as a function of the dynamics of magma supply and ascent [Sparks, 2003]. Melt inclusion studies indicate that S, Cl and F start to exsolve at confining pressures of  $\sim 140$ , 100, and  $<10$  MPa, respectively. These pressure values are equivalent to depths of  $\sim 4\text{--}5$ , 3, and 1 km, respectively [e.g., Carroll and Webster, 1994; Spilliaert *et al.*, 2006]. At Etna, prior to the 11–13 January 2011 lava fountaining event, significant temporal changes were observed in  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF fluxes beginning in August 2010 (Figure 7a), with the daily mean  $\text{SO}_2$ , HCl and HF fluxes displaying an increasing trend that peaked in November 2010. The progressive increase in gas fluxes likely marked the gradual supply of gas-rich magma into the shallow feeding system (1–4 km depth). After the November peak, gas fluxes gradually decreased reflecting a decline in the magma supply rate and the end of intrusion of volatile-rich magma into the shallow feeding system [Allard *et al.*, 2006; La Spina *et al.*, 2010]. Over the following two months, gas exsolution and bubble nucleation in the stored batch of magma would have led to volatile saturation and overpressure, the January 2011 eruptive activity being the result.

[34] The January 2011 eruptive episode lasted  $\sim 32$  h, with the first 26 h being characterized by increasing Strombolian activity, passing into a six-hour-long transitional phase, to culminate with lava fountaining. Such behavior is quite common at Etna, and normally marks the gradual transition from a two-phase slug flow regime to annular flow [e.g., Jaupart and Vergnolle, 1989; Vergnolle and Mangan, 2000; Parfitt, 2004]. Combining acoustic and experimental data, Vergnolle and Ripepe [2008] proved that, at Etna's SEC, a lava fountain results from a closely spaced sequence of Strombolian explosions that reflect the dynamics of a foam trapped in the crater reservoir, which is located at a depth of  $\sim 2$  km [Allard *et al.*, 2005; Spilliaert *et al.*, 2006; La Spina, 2010], with more active foam coalescence occurring during lava fountaining when compared to Strombolian activity.

[35] The 11 January 2011 Strombolian phase was characterized by linear growth in the number of events (by  $\sim 20$  explosions every 4 h). The constant rate of growth in the explosive activity with time implies a steady increase in gas exsolution from the conduit magma. Following the onset of Strombolian activity, the  $\text{SO}_2$  flux showed a pulsing decline



until 11:00 on 12 January. This behavior was independent from the explosive activity observed at the surface, which passed to transitional at 21:15 of 11 January. The period of low SO<sub>2</sub> flux marked a phase of poor degassing efficiency of the feeding system, as previously observed during Etna's 2002–2003 south flank eruption [Spampinato *et al.*, 2008]. On that occasion, the November 2002 lava fountaining phase was preceded by a decrease of the SO<sub>2</sub> flux that then increased to record the highest peak ever measured at Etna only after the onset of lava fountaining [e.g., Andronico *et al.*, 2005; Spampinato *et al.*, 2008; Steffke *et al.*, 2011]. Similarly, in 2011 the eruptive activity climaxed with lava fountaining, which was followed by high SO<sub>2</sub> flux values recorded the next day (on 13 January). The lava fountaining phase, in fact, may have renewed the degassing efficiency of the system. Once the degassing regime came back to its equilibrium, the SO<sub>2</sub> flux, as well as the HCl and HF fluxes, gradually declined to the pre-eruptive rates levels. This model suggests that the January 2011 eruptive event was fed by a small batch of gas-rich magma that had been stored in the shallow feeding system for at least two months prior to the eruptive event.

[36] The 11–13 January fountain was also accompanied by lava output. This suggests that degassing of the stored magma also resulted in a volatile-depleted magma that accumulated in the shallow conduit. This magma was pushed out of the conduit, filled the pit crater and, eventually, overflowed from its lower rim. In fact, expansion of the lava volume stored in the shallow system, might have forced the degassed lava at higher levels in the conduit out of the vent and into the pit, in a process similar to that envisaged for gas-piston-related lava flow emplacement [Johnson *et al.*, 2005]. Unloading of the magma column may have been aided by further decompression of the shallow feeding system. This likely led to development of trains of more closely spaced slug sequences, that would have induced the change from Strombolian, to transitional and, then, to lava fountaining activity. Similar to other short-lived eruptive events at Etna, the final stage of the activity was then characterized by crater wall collapses to produce reddish ash emissions, revealing conduit drainage, removal of crater-wall support, and collapse.

[37] Based on previous eruptive cycles at Etna, the high intensity and the brief duration of the 11–13 January 2011 event leads us to propose that it was only the first episode of a sequence of paroxysmal events that will, if the pattern of previous cycles repeats itself, culminate in a longer effusive eruption. Our assessments are supported by an estimate of the volume of degassed magma available for eruption, and that actually erupted so far. The amount of magma degassed between 1 August 2010 and 10 January 2011, and thereby assumed resident in the shallow system and available for eruption, is  $\sim 32 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ . If we compare this with the total volume (lava + tephra) erupted during the 11–13 January 2011 event ( $1.5\text{--}2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ), we obtain a ratio between the intruded and erupted magma of between 10:0.5 and 10:1.0. Thus, only 5–10% of the available magma was erupted by the 11–13 January 2011 event, and  $\sim 32 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  remains stored in the volcano's shallow supply system. At Etna, Allard [1997] and Allard *et al.* [2006] showed that, over long time-scales, only a small part of the total degassed magma is erupted, with the long-term ratio between degassed to erupted magma of  $\sim 4$ . Hence, considering the difference between the intruded and erupted magma volumes estimated here we

propose that, in order to obtain the intruded/extruded ratio that characterizes Etna, further paroxysmal eruptive events and/or a longer eruptive phase are required.

## 7. Conclusive Remarks

[38] The extremely short duration of Etna's 11–13 January eruptive episode meant that remote sensing data collected at high temporal resolutions from ground-based cameras and geostationary satellites were ideal for tracking its explosive and effusive character. Using a range of remote sensing data sets, we calculated that a total volume of  $\sim 1.7\text{--}2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  DRE was erupted in  $\sim 6 \text{ h}$  of intense explosive and effusive activity, with a TADR in the range  $\sim 78\text{--}116 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The building, pulsing pattern of Strombolian activity observed in the preceding hours, suggested release of a small gas-rich batch of magma from a body previously intruded into the shallow system. The similarity between this event and others previously tracked on Etna, leads us to suggest that the January 2011 event may be the prelude to a new phase of activity that may terminate in a longer, higher volume eruption. This hypothesis was partially supported by three additional eruptive events, all characterized by an initial explosive phase and followed by a short-lived fountaining and lava flow that occurred on 18 February, 9–10 April, and 8–12 May 2011; while this manuscript was in review. These eruptive episodes were all fed by the same vent, i.e., the pit crater on the east flank of SEC. The January 2011 event was the strongest of these four events. If we make a rough estimate of the total erupted volume between January and May as being four times that erupted in January, we are still well below the  $\sim 4$  ratio proposed by Allard [1997] and Allard *et al.* [2006], and thus can still expect for further eruptive events to follow shortly (three occurred on 9, 18–19, and 24–25 July 2011 while this manuscript was undergoing final checking by the co-authors).

[39] **Acknowledgments.** The authors wish to thank Alfio Amantia for kindly providing his excellent airborne photos, Stefano Branca for the photo of Figure 9a, and Michele Prestifilippo for his assistance with the handling of camera videos and images. This paper benefited of discussions and data comparison with Alessandro Bonaccorso, Ciro Del Negro, Tania Ganci, and Anna Vicari. We acknowledge the precious work of the INGV-CT technical staff on the installation and maintenance of the camera network used here: Michele Prestifilippo and Francesco Ciancitto, and of those dealing with the FLAME network and FTIR measurements: Filippo Murè, Tommaso Caltabiano, and Daniele Randazzo. This manuscript benefited greatly from the encouragement, comments, and suggestions of Alessandro Bonaccorso, Thor Thordarson, an anonymous reviewer, and the Editor André Revil.

## References

- Allard, P. (1997), Endogenous magma degassing and storage at Mount Etna, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *24*, 2219–2222, doi:10.1029/97GL02101.
- Allard, P., M. Burton, and F. Murè (2005), Spectroscopic evidence for a lava fountain driven by previously accumulated magmatic gas, *Nature*, *433*, 407–410, doi:10.1038/nature03246.
- Allard, P., B. Behncke, S. D'Amico, M. Neri, and S. Gambino (2006), Mount Etna 1993–2005: Anatomy of an evolving eruptive cycle, *Earth Sci. Rev.*, *78*, 85–114, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.04.002.
- Alparone, S., D. Andronico, L. Lodato, and T. Sgroi (2003), Relationship between tremor and volcanic activity during the Southeast Crater eruption on Mount Etna in early 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*(B5), 2241, doi:10.1029/2002JB001866.
- Anderson, A. T. (1975), Some basaltic and andesitic gases, *Rev. Geophys.*, *13*, 37–55, doi:10.1029/RG013i001p00037.

- Andò, B., and E. Pecora (2006), An advanced video-based system for monitoring active volcanoes, *Comput. Geosci.*, *32*, 85–91, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2005.05.004.
- Andronico, D., and R. A. Corsaro (2011), Lava fountains during the episodic eruption South-East Crater (Mt. Etna), 2000: Insights into magma-gas dynamics within the shallow volcano plumbing system, *Bull. Volcanol.*, doi:10.1007/s00445-011-0467-y, in press.
- Andronico, D., and L. Lodato (2005), Effusive activity at Mount Etna Volcano (Italy) during the 20th century: A contribution to volcanic hazard assessment, *Nat. Hazards*, *36*, 407–443, doi:10.1007/s11069-005-1938-2.
- Andronico, D., et al. (2005), A multi-disciplinary study of the 2002–03 Etna eruption: Insights into a complex plumbing system, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *67*, 314–330, doi:10.1007/s00445-004-0372-8.
- Behncke, B., and M. Neri (2003), The July–August 2001 eruption of Mt. Etna (Sicily), *Bull. Volcanol.*, *65*, 461–476, doi:10.1007/s00445-003-0274-1.
- Behncke, B., M. Neri, and R. Carniel (2003), An exceptional case of endogenous lava dome growth spawning pyroclastic avalanches: The 1999 Bocca Nuova eruption of Mt. Etna (Italy), *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *124*, 115–128, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00072-6.
- Behncke, B., M. Neri, E. Pecora, and V. Zanon (2006), The exceptional activity and growth of the Southeast Crater, Mount Etna (Italy), between 1996 and 2001, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *69*, 149–173, doi:10.1007/s00445-006-0061-x.
- Behncke, B., S. Falsaperla, and E. Pecora (2009), Complex magma dynamics at Mount Etna revealed by seismic, thermal, and volcanological data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *114*, B03211, doi:10.1029/2008JB005882.
- Behncke, B., S. Branca, E. De Beni, C. Proietti, L. Spampinato, and M. Coltelli (2011), Cronologia dell'evento eruttivo del Cratere di Sud-Est avvenuto il 12/13 gennaio 2011 e mappatura del campo lavico, *Internal Rep. UVVG2011/06*, 4 pp., Ist. Naz. di Geofis. e Vulcanol., Catania, Italy.
- Bertagnini, A., S. Calvari, M. Coltelli, P. Landi, M. Pompilio, and V. Scribano (1990), The 1989 eruptive sequence, in *Mt. Etna: The 1989 Eruption*, edited by F. Barberi, A. Bertagnini, and P. Landi, pp. 10–22, Giardini, Pisa, Italy.
- Bonaccorso, A., A. Bonforte, S. Calvari, C. Del Negro, G. Di Grazia, G. Ganci, M. Neri, A. Vicari, and E. Boschi (2011a), The initial phases of the 2008–2009 Mt. Etna eruption: A multi-disciplinary approach for hazard assessment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*, B03203, doi:10.1029/2010JB007906.
- Bonaccorso, A., A. Cannata, R. A. Corsaro, G. Di Grazia, S. Gambino, F. Greco, L. Miraglia, and A. Pistorio (2011b), Multi-disciplinary investigation on a lava fountain preceding a flank eruption: The 10 May 2008 Etna case, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, *12*, Q07009, doi:10.1029/2010GC003480.
- Branca, S., and P. Del Carlo (2005), Types of eruptions of Etna volcano AD 1670–2003: Implications for short-term eruptive behaviour, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *67*, 732–742, doi:10.1007/s00445-005-0412-z.
- Buongiorno, M. F., V. J. Realmuto, and D. Fawzi (2002), Recovery of spectral emissivity from thermal infrared multispectral scanner imagery acquired over a mountainous terrain: A case study from Mount Etna Sicily, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, *79*, 123–133, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00246-2.
- Burton, M. R., et al. (2005), Etna 2004–2005: An archetype for geodynamically controlled effusive eruptions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, L09303, doi:10.1029/2005GL022527.
- Caltabiano, T., R. Romano, and G. Budetta (1994), SO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements at Mount Etna (Sicily), *J. Geophys. Res.*, *99*, 12,809–12,819, doi:10.1029/94JD00224.
- Caltabiano, T., M. Burton, S. Giammanco, P. Allard, N. Bruno, F. Murè, and R. Romano (2004), Volcanic gas emission from the summit craters and flanks of Mt. Etna, 1987–2000, in *Mt. Etna Volcano Laboratory*, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 143, edited by S. Calvari et al., pp. 111–128, AGU, Washington, D. C., doi:10.1029/143GM08.
- Calvari, S., and H. Pinkerton (1998), Formation of lava tubes and extensive flow field during the 1991–93 eruption of Mount Etna, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *103*, 27,291–27,301, doi:10.1029/97JB03388.
- Calvari, S., and H. Pinkerton (1999), Lava tube morphology on Etna and evidence for lava flow emplacement mechanisms, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *90*, 263–280, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(99)00024-4.
- Calvari, S., and H. Pinkerton (2002), Instabilities in the summit region of Mount Etna during the 1999 eruption, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *63*, 526–535, doi:10.1007/s004450100171.
- Calvari, S., and H. Pinkerton (2004), Birth, growth and morphologic evolution of the “Laghetto” cinder cone during the 2001 Etna eruption, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *132*, 225–239, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00347-0.
- Calvari, S., M. Coltelli, M. Neri, M. Pompilio, and V. Scribano (1994), The 1991–93 Etna eruption: Chronology and lava flow field evolution, *Acta Volcanol.*, *4*, 1–14.
- Calvari, S., M. Neri, and H. Pinkerton (2003), Effusion rate estimations during the 1999 summit eruption on Mt. Etna, and growth of two distinct lava flow fields, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *119*, 107–123, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(02)00308-6.
- Carroll, M. R., and J. R. Holloway (Eds.) (1994), *Volatiles in Magmas*, *Rev. Mineral.*, *30*, 517 pp.
- Carroll, M. R., and J. D. Webster (1994), Solubility of sulphur, noble gases, nitrogen, chlorine, and fluorine in magmas, in *Volatiles in Magmas*, edited by M. R. Carroll and J. R. Holloway, *Rev. Mineral.*, *30*, 231–279.
- Dellino, P., and K. Kyriakopoulos (2003), Phreatomagmatic ash from the ongoing eruption of Etna reaching the Greek island of Cefalonia, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *126*, 341–345, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00154-9.
- Duncan, A. M., J. E. Guest, E. Stofan, S. Anderson, H. Pinkerton, and S. Calvari (2004), Development of tumuli in the medial portion of the 1983 aa flow-field, Mount Etna, Sicily, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *132*, 173–187, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00344-5.
- Ferrazzini, V., K. Aki, and B. A. Chouet (1991), Characteristics of seismic waves composing Hawaiian volcanic tremor and gas-piston events observed by a near-source array, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *96*, 6199–6209, doi:10.1029/90JB02781.
- Francis, P., M. R. Burton, and C. Oppenheimer (1998), Remote measurement of volcanic gas compositions by solar occultation spectroscopy, *Nature*, *396*, 567–570, doi:10.1038/25115.
- Gouhier, M., et al. (2011), Lava discharge during Etna's 11–13 January 2011 fire fountain event tracked using MSG-SEVIRI, *Bull. Volcanol.*, in press.
- Harris, A. J. L., and M. Neri (2002), Volumetric observations during paroxysmal eruptions at Mount Etna: Pressurized drainage of a shallow chamber or pulsed supply?, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *116*, 79–95, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(02)00212-3.
- Harris, A., and M. Ripepe (2007), Temperature and dynamics of degassing at Stromboli, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, B03205, doi:10.1029/2006JB004393.
- Harris, A. J. L., A. L. Butterworth, R. W. Carlton, I. Downey, P. Miller, P. Navarro, and D. A. Rothery (1997), Low-cost volcano surveillance from space: Case studies from Etna, Krafla, Cerro Negro, Fogo, Lascar and Erebus, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *59*, 49–64, doi:10.1007/s004450050174.
- Harris, A. J. L., J. B. Murray, S. E. Aries, M. A. Davies, L. P. Flynn, M. J. Wooster, R. Wright, and D. A. Rothery (2000), Effusion rate trends at Etna and Krafla and their implications for eruptive mechanisms, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *102*, 237–269, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00190-6.
- Harris, A. J. L., J. Bailey, S. Calvari, and J. Dehn (2005), Heat loss measured at a lava channel and its implications for down-channel cooling and rheology, *Spec. Pap. Geol. Soc. Am.*, *396*, 125–146.
- Harris, A. J. L., A. Steffke, S. Calvari, and L. Spampinato (2011), Thirty years of satellite-derived lava discharge rates at Etna: Implications for steady volumetric output, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*, B08204, doi:10.1029/2011JB008237.
- Head, J. W., III, and L. Wilson (1989), Basaltic pyroclastic eruptions: Influence of gas-release patterns and volume fluxes on fountain structure, and the formation of cinder cones, spatter cones, rootless flows, lava ponds and lava flows, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *37*, 261–271, doi:10.1016/0377-0273(89)90083-8.
- Heliker, C., and T. N. Mattox (2003), The first two decades of the Puu 'Ō'ō - Kūpaianaha eruption: Chronology and selected bibliography, in *The Puu 'Ō'ō - Kūpaianaha Eruption of Kīlauea Volcano, Hawaii: The First 20 Years*, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.*, *1676*, 29–52.
- Jaupart, C., and S. Vergnolle (1988), Laboratory models of Hawaiian and Strombolian eruptions, *Nature*, *331*, 58–60, doi:10.1038/331058a0.
- Jaupart, C., and S. Vergnolle (1989), The generation and collapse of a foam layer at the roof of a basaltic magma chamber, *J. Fluid Mech.*, *203*, 347–380, doi:10.1017/S0022112089001497.
- Johnson, J. B., A. J. L. Harris, and R. Hoblitt (2005), Thermal observations of gas pistonning at Kīlauea Volcano, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, B11201, doi:10.1029/2005JB003944.
- La Spina, A. (2010), The magmatic plumbing system of Mt. Etna: Insight by OP-FTIR observation, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Palermo, Palermo, Italy.
- La Spina, A., M. R. Burton, and G. G. Salerno (2010), Unravelling the processes controlling gas emissions from the central and northeast craters of Mt. Etna, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *198*, 368–376, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.09.018.
- Neri, M., B. Behncke, M. Burton, G. Galli, S. Giammanco, E. Pecora, E. Privitera, and D. Reitano (2006), Continuous soil radon monitoring

- during the July 2006 Etna eruption, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L24316, doi:10.1029/2006GL028394.
- Neri, M., F. Mazzarini, S. Tarquini, M. Bisson, I. Isola, B. Behncke, and M. T. Pareschi (2008), The changing face of Mount Etna's summit area documented with Lidar technology, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, L09305, doi:10.1029/2008GL033740.
- Oppenheimer, C. (2003), Volcanic degassing, in *The Crust, Treatise Geochem.*, vol. 3, edited by R. L. Rudnick, H. D. Holland, and K. K. Turekian, pp. 123–166, Elsevier, Oxford, U. K., doi:10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/03020-6.
- Parfitt, E. A. (2004), A discussion of the mechanisms of explosive basaltic eruptions, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *134*, 77–107, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2004.01.002.
- Parfitt, E. A., and L. Wilson (1995), Explosive volcanic eruptions: IX. The transition between Hawaiian-style lava fountaining and Strombolian explosive activity, *Geophys. J. Int.*, *121*, 226–232, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1995.tb03523.x.
- Patrick, M. (2007), The gas content and buoyancy of Strombolian ash plumes, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *166*, 1–6, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2007.06.001.
- Patrick, M. R., A. J. L. Harris, M. Ripepe, J. Dehn, D. A. Rothery, and S. Calvari (2007), Strombolian explosive styles and source conditions: Insights from thermal (FLIR) video, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *69*, 769–784, doi:10.1007/s00445-006-0107-0.
- Platt, U., and J. Stutz (2008), *Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications*, *Phys. Earth Space Environ.* 1610–1677, Springer, Berlin.
- Research Group of the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia-Sezione di Catania, Italy (2001), Multidisciplinary approach yields insight into Mt. Etna 2001 eruption, *Eos Trans. AGU*, *82*(52), 653–656, doi:10.1029/01E000376.
- Rodgers, C. (2000), *Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding, Theory and Practice, Atmos., Oceanic and Planet. Phys. Ser.*, vol. 2, edited by F. W. Taylor, 256 pp., World Sci., Singapore.
- Rothman, L. S., et al. (1998), The HITRAN molecular spectroscopic database and HAWKS (HITRAN atmospheric workstation), 1996 Edition, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, *60*, 665–710, doi:10.1016/S0022-4073(98)00078-8.
- Rowland, S. K., A. J. L. Harris, M. J. Wooster, F. Amelung, H. Garbeil, L. Wilson, and P. L. Mouginis-Mark (2003), Volumetric characteristics of lava flows from interferometric radar and multispectral satellite data, *Bull. Volcanol.*, *65*, 311–330, doi:10.1007/s00445-002-0262-x.
- Salerno, G. G., M. R. Burton, C. Oppenheimer, T. Caltabiano, D. Randazzo, N. Bruno, and V. Longo (2009a), Three-years of SO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements of Mt. Etna using an automated UV scanner array: Comparison with conventional traverses and uncertainties in flux retrieval, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *183*, 76–83, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.02.013.
- Salerno, G. G., M. R. Burton, C. Oppenheimer, T. Caltabiano, V. I. Tsanev, and N. Bruno (2009b), Novel retrieval of volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> abundance from ultraviolet spectra, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *181*, 141–153, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.01.009.
- Spampinato, L., S. Calvari, C. Oppenheimer, and L. Lodato (2008), Shallow magma transport for the 2002–03 Mt. Etna eruption inferred from thermal infrared surveys, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *177*, 301–312, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.05.013.
- Spampinato, L., S. Calvari, C. Oppenheimer, and E. Boschi (2011), Volcano surveillance using infrared cameras, *Earth Sci. Rev.*, *106*, 63–91, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.003.
- Sparks, R. S. J. (2003), Dynamic of magma degassing, in *Volcanic Degassing*, edited by C. Oppenheimer, D. M. Pyle, and J. Barclay, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, *213*, 5–22.
- Sparks, R. S. J., M. I. Bursik, S. N. Carey, J. S. Gilbert, L. S. Glaze, H. Sigurdsson, and A. W. Woods (1997), *Volcanic Plumes*, 574 pp., John Wiley, New York.
- Spilliaert, N., P. Allard, N. Métrich, and A. V. Sobolev (2006), Melt inclusion record of the conditions of ascent, degassing, and extrusion of volatile-rich alkali basalt during the powerful 2002 flank eruption of Mount Etna (Italy), *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, B04203, doi:10.1029/2005JB003934.
- Steffke, A. M., A. J. L. Harris, M. Burton, T. Caltabiano, and G. G. Salerno (2011), Coupled use of COSPEC and satellite measurements to define the volumetric balance during effusive eruptions at Etna, Italy, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *205*, 47–53, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.06.004.
- Swanson, D. A., W. A. Duffield, D. B. Jackson, and D. W. Peterson (1979), Chronological narrative of the 1969–71 Mauna Ulu eruption of Kilauea Volcano, Hawai'i, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.*, *1056*, 1–55.
- Vergnolle, S., and C. Jaupart (1986), Separated two-phase flow and basaltic eruptions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *91*, 12,842–12,860, doi:10.1029/JB091iB12p12842.
- Vergnolle, S., and M. T. Mangan (2000), Hawaiian and Strombolian eruptions, in *Encyclopedia of Volcanoes*, edited by H. Sigurdsson et al., pp. 447–461, Academic, San Diego, Calif.
- Vergnolle, S., and M. Ripepe (2008), From Strombolian explosions to fire fountains at Etna Volcano (Italy): What do we learn from acoustic measurements?, in *Fluid Motions in Volcanic Conduits: A Source of Seismic and Acoustic Signals*, edited by S. J. Lane and J. S. Gilbert, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, *307*, 103–124.
- Vicari, A., G. Ganci, B. Behncke, A. Cappello, M. Neri, and C. Del Negro (2011), Near-real-time forecasting of lava flow hazards during the 12–13 January 2011 Etna eruption, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *38*, L13317, doi:10.1029/2011GL047545.
- Wolfe, E. W., C. A. Neal, N. G. Banks, and T. J. Duggan (1988), Geologic observations and chronology of eruptive events, in *The Puu Oo eruption of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii: Episodes 1 through 20, January 3, 1983, through June 8, 1984, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.*, *1463*, 1–98.
- Wooster, M. J., R. Wright, S. Blake, and D. A. Rothery (1997), Cooling mechanisms and an approximate thermal budget for the 1991–1993 Mount Etna lava, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *24*, 3277–3280, doi:10.1029/97GL03166.
- Wright, R., and L. Flynn (2004), Space-based estimate of the volcanic heat flux into the atmosphere during 2001 and 2002, *Geology*, *32*(3), 189–192, doi:10.1130/G20239.1.

E. Biale, E. Boschi, S. Calvari, A. La Spina, E. Pecora, G. G. Salerno, and L. Spampinato, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Etneo, sezione di Catania, Piazza Roma 2, I-95125 Catania, Italy. (sonia.calvari@ct.ingv.it)

M. Gouhier, A. J. L. Harris, and P. Labazuy, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Blaise Pascal, 5 Rue Kessler, F-63038 Clermont Ferrand, France.