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#### Abstract

Cai and Yang initiated the systematic parameterized complexity study of the following set of problems around Eulerian graphs. For a given graph $G$ and integer $k$, the task is to decide if $G$ contains a (connected) subgraph with $k$ vertices (edges) with all vertices of even (odd) degrees. They succeed to establish the parameterized complexity of all cases except two, when we ask about - a connected $k$-edge subgraph with all vertices of odd degrees, the problem known as $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph; and - a connected $k$ - vertex induced subgraph with all vertices of even degrees, the problem known as $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph. We resolve both open problems and thus complete the characterization of even/odd subgraph problems from parameterized complexity perspective. We show that $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph is FPT and that $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is W[1]-hard.

Our FPT algorithm is based on a novel combinatorial result on the treewidth of minimal connected odd graphs with even amount of edges.
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## 1 Introduction

An even graph (respectively, odd graph) is a graph where each vertex has an even (odd) degree. Recall that an Eulerian graph is a connected even graph. Let $\Pi$ be one of the following four graph classes: Eulerian graphs, even graphs, odd graphs, and connected odd graphs. In [4], Cai and Yang initiated the study of parameterized complexity of subgraph problems motivated by Eulerian graphs. For each $\Pi$, they defined the following parameterized subgraph and induced subgraph problems:

[^0]

```
k-Edge \Pi Subgraph (resp. k-Vertex \Pi Subgraph)
    Instance: A graph G and non-negative integer }k\mathrm{ .
    Parameter: k.
    Question: Does G contain a subgraph with k edges from \Pi
    (resp. an induced subgraph on k vertices from \Pi)?
```

Cai and Yang established the parameterized complexity of all variants of the problem except $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph and $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph, see Table 1. It was conjectured that $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph is FPT and $k$ Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is W[1]-hard. We resolve these open problems and confirm both conjectures.

|  | Eulerian | Even | Odd | Connected Odd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$-Edge | FPT [4] | FPT [4] | FPT [4] | FPT Thm. 3 |
| $k$-Vertex | W[1]-hard Thm. 4 | FPT [4] | FPT [4] | FPT [4] |

Table 1 Parameterized complexity of $k$-Edge $\Pi$ Subgraph and $k$-Vertex $\Pi$ Subgraph.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide definitions and give preliminary results. In Section 3, we show that $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph is FPT. Our algorithmic result is based on an upper bound for the treewidth of a minimal connected odd graphs with an even number of edges. We show that the treewidth of such graphs is always at most 3 . The proof of this combinatorial result, which we find interesting in its own, is non-trivial and is given in Section 4. The bound on the treewidth is tight - complete graph on four vertices $K_{4}$ is a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges and its treewidth is 3 . In Section 5, we prove that $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is W[1]-hard and observe that the problem remains W[1]-hard if we ask about (not necessary induced) Eulerian subgraph on $k$ vertices. We conclude the paper in Section 6 with some open problems.

## 2 Definitions and Preliminary Results

Graphs. We consider finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. The vertex set of a graph $G$ is denoted by $V(G)$ and its edge set by $E(G)$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of pairwise adjacent vertices is called a clique. For a vertex $v$, we denote by $N_{G}(v)$ its (open) neighborhood, that is, the set of vertices which are adjacent to $v$. Distance between two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ (i.e., the length of the shortest $(u, v)$-path in the graph) is denoted by $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v)$. For a vertex $v$ and a positive integer $k, N_{G}^{(k)}[v]=\left\{u \in V(G) \mid \operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v) \leq k\right\}$. The degree of a vertex $v$ is denoted by $d_{G}(v)$, and $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of $G$. For a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G), G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$, and by $G-S$ we denote the graph obtained form $G$ by the removal of all the vertices of $S$, i.e. the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V(G) \backslash S$.

Parameterized Complexity. Parameterized complexity is a two dimensional framework for studying the computational complexity of a problem. One dimension is the input size $n$ and another one is a parameter $k$. It is said that a problem is fixed parameter tractable (or FPT), if it can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot n^{O(1)}$ for some function $f$. One of basic assumptions of the Parameterized Complexity theory is the conjecture that the complexity class $\mathrm{W}[1] \neq \mathrm{FPT}$,
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and it is unlikely that a W[1]-hard problem could be solved in FPT-time. We refer to the books of Downey and Fellows [6], Flum and Grohe [7], and Niedermeier [8] for detailed introductions to parameterized complexity.

Treewidth. A tree decomposition of a graph $G$ is a pair $(X, T)$ where $T$ is a tree and $X=\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in V(T)\right\}$ is a collection of subsets (called bags) of $V(G)$ such that:

1. $\bigcup_{i \in V(T)} X_{i}=V(G)$,
2. for each edge $\{x, y\} \in E(G), x, y \in X_{i}$ for some $i \in V(T)$, and
3. for each $x \in V(G)$ the set $\left\{i \mid x \in X_{i}\right\}$ induces a connected subtree of $T$.

The width of a tree decomposition $\left(\left\{X_{i} \mid i \in V(T)\right\}, T\right)$ is $\max _{i \in V(T)}\left\{\left|X_{i}\right|-1\right\}$. The treewidth of a graph $G$ (denoted as $\operatorname{tw}(G))$ is the minimum width over all tree decompositions of $G$.

Minimal odd graphs with even number of edges. We say that a graph $G$ is odd if all vertices of $G$ are of odd degree. Let $r$ be a vertex of $G$. We assume that $G$ is rooted in $r$. Let $G$ be a connected odd graph with an even number of edges. We say that $G$ is a minimal if $G$ has no proper connected odd subgraphs with an even number of edges containing $r$.

The importance of minimal odd subgraphs with even numbers of edges is crucial for our algorithm because of the following combinatorial result.

- Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges with $a$ root $r$. Then $\operatorname{tw}(G) \leq 3$.

For non-rooted graphs, we also have the following corollary.

- Corollary 2. For any minimal connected odd graph $G$ with an even number of edges, $\operatorname{tw}(G) \leq 3$.

Let us remark that the bound in Theorem 1 is tight-complete graph $K_{4}$ with a root vertex $r$ is a minimal odd graph with even number of edges and of treewidth 3. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 4. This proof is non-trivial and technical, and we find the combinatorial result of Theorem 1 to be interesting in its own. From algorithmic perspective, Theorem 1 is a cornerstone of our algorithm; combined with color coding technique of Alon, Yuster and Zwick in [1] it implies that $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph is FPT. We give this algorithm in the next section.

## 3 Algorithm for $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph

To give an algorithm for $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph, in addition to Theorem 1, we also need the following result of Alon, Yuster and Zwick from [1] obtained by a powerful color-coding technique.

- Proposition 1 ([1]). Let $H$ be a graph on $k$ vertices with treewidth $t$. Let $G$ be a $n$-vertex graph. A subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to $H$, if one exists, can be found in $O\left(2^{O(k)} \cdot n^{t+1}\right)$ expected time and in $O\left(2^{O(k)} \cdot n^{t+1} \cdot \log n\right)$ worst-case time.

We are ready to prove the main algorithmic result of this paper.

- Theorem 3. $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph can be solved in time $O\left(2^{O(k \log k)}\right.$. $\left.n^{4} \cdot \log n\right)$ for $n$-vertex graphs.

Proof. Let $(G, k)$ be an instance of the problem. We apply the following algorithm.
Step 1. If $k$ is odd and $\Delta(G) \geq k$, then return Yes. Else if $k$ is odd but $\Delta(G)<k$, then go to Step 3.

Step 2. If $k$ is even and $\Delta(G) \geq k$, then we enumerate all odd connected graphs $H$ with $k$ edges of treewidth at most 3 . For each odd graph $H$ of treewidth at most 3 and with $k$ edges, we use Proposition 1 to check whether $G$ has a subgraph isomorphic to $H$. The algorithm returns YES if such a graph $H$ exists. Otherwise, we construct a new graph $G$ by removing from the old graph $G$ all vertices of degree at least $k$.

Step 3. For each vertex $v$, check whether there is a connected odd subgraph $H$ with $k$ edges that contains $v$. To do it, we enumerate all connected subgraphs with $p=0, \ldots, k$ edges that include $v$ using the following observation. For every connected subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $p \geq 1$ edges such that $v \in V(H)$, there is a connected subgraph $H^{\prime}$ with $p-1$ edges such that $v \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $H$. Hence, given all connected subgraphs with $p-1$ edges, we can enumerate all subgraphs with $p$ edges by a brute-force algorithm. The algorithm returns Yes if a connected odd subgraph $H$ with $k$ edges exists for some vertex $v$, and it returns No otherwise. ${ }^{1}$

In what follows we discuss the correctness of the algorithm and evaluate its running time.
If $k$ is odd and $\Delta(G) \geq k$, then the star $K_{1, k}$ is a subgraph of $G$. Hence, $G$ has a connected odd subgraph with $k$ edges.

Let $k$ be even and let $r \in V(G)$ be a vertex with $d_{G}(r) \geq k$. If $G$ has a connected odd subgraph with $k$ edges containing $r$, then $G$ has a minimal connected odd subgraph $H$ with even number of edges rooted in $r$. Let $\ell=|E(H)|$. Graph $H$ contains at most $\ell$ vertices in $N_{G}(r)$. It follows that there are $k-\ell$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-\ell} \in N_{G}(r) \backslash V(H)$. Denote by $H^{\prime}$ the subgraph of $G$ with the vertex set $V(H) \cup\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-\ell}\right\}$ and the edge set $E(H) \cup\left\{r v_{1}, \ldots, r v_{k-\ell}\right\}$. Since $k$ and $\ell$ are even, we have that $H^{\prime}$ is an odd graph. By Theorem $1, \operatorname{tw}(H) \leq 3$. Graph $H^{\prime}$ is obtained from $H$ by adding some vertices of degree 1, and, therefore, $\operatorname{tw}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$. This means that when $G$ has a connected odd subgraph $H$ with $k$ edges containing $r$, then there is a connected odd subgraph $H^{\prime}$ with $k$ edges containing $r$ and of treewidth at most three. But then in Step 2, we find such a graph $H^{\prime}$ with $k$ edges.

If no connected odd subgraph with $k$ edges was found in Step 2, then if such a graph exist, it contains no vertex of degree (in $G$ ) at least $k$. Therefore all such vertices can be removed from $G$ without changing the solution. Finally, in Step 3, trying all possible connected subgraphs with $k$ edges in the obtained graph of maximum degree at most $k-1$, we can deduce if $G$ contains an odd subgraph with $k$ edges.

Concerning the running time of the algorithm. There are at most $\binom{k(k-1) / 2}{k}$ nonisomorphic graphs with $k$ edges, and we can find all connected odd graphs with $k$ edges in time $2^{O(k \log k)}$ and to check in time $O(k)$ if the treewidth of each of the graphs is at most three by making use of Bodlaender's algorithm [3]. The running time of this part can be reduced to $2^{O(k)}$, see e.g. [2]. Then for each graph $H$ of this type, to check whether $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, takes time $O\left(2^{O(k)} \cdot n^{4} \cdot \log n\right)$ by Proposition 1.

When we arrive at Step 3, we have that $\Delta(G) \leq k-1$. We show by induction that for any $p \geq 1$, there are at most $p!k^{p}$ connected subgraphs with $p$ edges that contain a given vertex $v$. Clearly, the claim holds for $p=1$. Let $p>1$. Any connected subgraph of $G$ with $p-1$ edges has at most $p$ vertices. Since there are at most $p k$ possibilities to add an edge to this subgraph to obtain a connected subgraph with $p$ edges, the claim follows. Therefore, for each vertex $v$, we can enumerate all connected subgraphs $H$ with $k$ edges that include $v$ in

[^1]time $O\left(k!k^{k}\right)$. Hence, Step 3 can be done in time $O\left(2^{O(k \log k)} \cdot n\right)$. We conclude that the total running time of the algorithm is $O\left(2^{O(k \log k)} \cdot n^{4} \cdot \log n\right)$.

## 4 Minimal connected odd graphs with even number of edges

In this section we give a high level description of the proof of Theorem 1, the main combinatorial result of this paper. The proof is inductive, and for the inductive step we identify specific structures in a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges.

To proceed with the inductive step, we need a stronger version of Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a graph and let $x \in V(G)$. We say that a graph $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by splitting $x$ into $x_{1}, x_{2}$, if $G^{\prime}$ is constructed as follows: for a partition $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of $N_{G}(x)$, we replace $x$ by two vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and join $x_{1}, x_{2}$ with the vertices of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ respectively. The following claim implies Theorem 1.

- Claim 1. Let $G$ be a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges with a root $r$. Then $\mathbf{t w}(G) \leq 3$.

Moreover, if $d_{G}(r)=1$ and $z$ is the unique neighbor of $r$, then at least one of the following holds:
i) there is a tree decomposition $(X, T)$ of $G$ of width at most three such that for any bag $X_{i} \in X$ with $z \in X_{i},\left|X_{i}\right| \leq 3$; or
ii) for any graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained from $G-r$ by splitting $z$ into $z_{1}, z_{2}, \operatorname{tw}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ and there is a tree decomposition $(X, T)$ of $G^{\prime}$ of width at most three such that there is a bag $X_{i} \in X$ containing both $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.

To describe the structures in the graph, we need a notion of a subgraph with terminals. Roughly speaking, a subgraph with terminals is connected to the remaining part of the graph only via terminals. More formally, let $H$ be a subgraph of graph $G$, and let $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r} \in V(H)$. We say that $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ with terminals $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$ if there is a subgraph $F$ of $G$ such that

- $G=F \cup H$;
- $V(F) \cap V(H)=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$; and
- $E(F) \cap E(H)=\emptyset$.

Thus every edge of $G$ having at least one endpoint in a non-terminal vertex of $H$, should be an edge of $H$. In particular, terminal vertices of $H$ separate non-terminal vertices of $H$ from other vertices of $G$. We also say that a subgraph $H$ with a given set of terminals is separating if the graph obtained from $G$ by the removal of all non-terminal vertices of $H$ and all the edges of $H$ (denoted $G-H)$ is not connected.

The specific structures we are looking for in the inductive step are the subgraphs isomorphic to graphs with terminals from the set $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, H_{4}, H_{5}, H_{6}\right\}$ shown in Fig. 1. We often say that $H_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$ is contained in graph $G$ (or $G$ has $H_{i}$ ) if $G$ has a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{i}$ with the terminals shown in Fig. 1. Notice that $H_{6}$ is a subgraph of $H_{4}$ and $H_{5}$, and we are looking for $H_{6}$ only if we cannot find $H_{4}$ or $H_{5}$.

The proof of Claim 1 is by induction on the number of edges. The basis case is a graph with 6 edges. Every connected odd graph with an even number of edges has at least 6 edges, and there are only two graphs with 6 edges that have these properties, these graphs are shown in Fig. 2. Trivially, Claim 1 holds for these graphs for any choice of the root. Then we assume that a minimal connected odd graph $G$ with an even number of edges has at least 8 edges.


Figure 1 The set $\mathcal{H}$.


Figure 2 The base of the induction: Minimal graphs with six edges.

If $G$ contains a subgraph $R$ with terminals $s_{1}, s_{2}$ shown in Fig. 3 such that $r \notin V(R) \backslash$ $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ and $s_{1} s_{2} \notin E(G)$, then we replace $R$ by edge $s_{1} s_{2}$. It is possible to show that the resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ is a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges. Since $G^{\prime}$ has less edges than $G$, we can use the inductive assumption. Furthermore we assume that $G$ has no $R$.


Figure 3 Replacement of $R$.

Next step is to prove that if $G$ has no subgraph from $\mathcal{H}$, then $G$ is one of the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ shown in Fig. 4. For each of these graphs the theorem trivially holds. Actually, we will need a stronger result, saying that if $G$ has no subgraph from $H_{2}, \ldots, H_{6}$ and every subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to $H_{1}$ is of specific form, namely, this subgraph is not separating and $r$ is not a non-terminal vertex of $H_{1}$, then even in this case, $G$ is one of the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ shown in Fig. 4. The proof of this claim is not straightforward. With this claim we can proceed further with an assumption that $G$ contains at least one graph from $\mathcal{H}$.

For the case when $r$ is a non-terminal vertex of a subgraph $H \in \mathcal{H}$, we prove that $H=H_{1}$. We remove non-terminal vertices of $H$, identify terminals $s_{1}, s_{2}$, and add a new root vertex $r^{\prime}$ adjacent to the vertex obtained from $s_{1}, s_{2}$. Then we prove that this graph is a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges, and then we can apply the induction assumption on this graph, and derive our claim for $G$. The difficulty here is to ensure that the treewidth of the graph $G$ does not increase when we make the inductive step. This requires the assumptions i) and ii) in Claim 1 on the structure of tree decompositions. From this point, it can be assumed that $r$ is not a non-terminal vertex of a subgraph from $\mathcal{H}$ with the corresponding set of terminals.

All graphs $H_{2}, \ldots, H_{6}$ have even number of edges and every terminal vertex of such a graph is of even degree. This means, that $G$ cannot contain a non-separating graph $H$ from $\left\{H_{2}, \ldots, H_{6}\right\}$, because removing edges and non-terminal vertices of $H$, would result in a
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Figure 4 Graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$.
connected odd subgraph of $G$ with even number of edges, which is a contradiction to the minimality of $G$. Hence, if $G$ contains subgraphs from $\mathcal{H}$ but they are non-separating, $G$ can contain only $H_{1}$. Then as we already have shown, $G$ is one of the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ shown in Fig. 4.


Figure 5 The case $H=H_{4}$, the trees $F_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, F_{1}^{(4)}$ are formed by "bold" edges.

Thus we can assume that $G$ contains a separating subgraph $H$ from $\mathcal{H}$. Among all such separating subgraphs, we select $H$ such that the number of edges of the component $F_{1}$ of the graph $G^{\prime}=G-H$ containing $r$ is minimum. We prove that $G^{\prime}$ has exactly two components $F_{1}, F_{2}$, where $F_{1}$ is a tree. We consequently consider the cases $H=H_{1}, \ldots, H_{6}$ and argue as follows. If $H=H_{1}$, then $F_{1}=K_{2}$ and we apply induction for $F_{2}$ rooted in one of the terminals of $H$. If $H=H_{2}$, then we prove that $F_{1}=K_{2}$. If $F_{2}=K_{2}$, then the proof follows directly. Otherwise, we identify terminals $s_{1}, s_{3}$, and add a new root $r^{\prime}$ adjacent to the vertex obtained from $s_{1}, s_{3}$. It is possible to show that the constructed graph is a minimal connected odd graph with an even number of edges, and we can use the induction assumption for this graph. The arguments for the case $H=H_{3}$ are similar. If $H=H_{4}$, then we prove that $F_{1}$ is one of the trees $F_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, F_{1}^{(4)}$ shown in Fig. 5. For $F_{2}$, we prove that $\mathbf{t w}\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 2$, and use this fact to construct a tree decomposition of $G$ of width three. The case $H=H_{5}$ is similar. Finally, for $H=H_{6}$, we prove that it can be assumed that $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{4}, s_{5} \in V\left(F_{1}\right)$, $s_{3}, s_{6} \in V\left(F_{2}\right)$, and $F_{1}$ is the tree shown in Fig. 6. Then we apply for $F_{2}$ the same arguments as in the case $H=H_{4}$. In each of the cases, we succeed to reduce $G$ to a smaller minimal connected odd graph $G^{\prime}$ with even number of edges and show that $\mathbf{t w}(G) \leq \mathbf{t w}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, which completes the induction step.


Figure 6 The case $H=H_{6}$, the tree $F_{1}$ is induced by "bold" edges.

## 5 Complexity of $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph

In this section we prove that $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is $\mathrm{W}[1]$-hard.

- Theorem 4. The $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is W[1]-hard.

Proof. We reduce from the well-known W[1]-complete $k$-Clique problem (see e.g. [6]):

```
k-CliquE
    Instance: A graph G and non-negative integer k.
    Parameter: k.
    Question: Does G contain a clique with k vertices?
```

Notice that the problem remains W[1]-complete when the parameter $k$ is restricted to be odd. It follows immediately from the observation that the existence of a clique with $k$ vertices in a graph $G$ is equivalent to the existence of a clique with $k+1$ vertices in the graph obtained from $G$ by the addition of a universal vertex adjacent to all the vertices of $G$. From now it is assumed that $k>1$ is an odd integer.

Let $G$ be a graph. We construct the graph $G^{\prime}$ by subdividing edges of $G$ by $k^{2}$ vertices, i.e. each edge $x y$ is replaced by an $(x, y)$-path of length $k^{2}+1$. We say that $u \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is a branch vertex if $u \in V(G)$, and $u$ is a subdivision vertex otherwise. We also say that $u$ is a subdivision vertex for an edge $x y \in E(G)$ if $u$ is a subdivision vertex of the path obtained from $x y$. We claim that $G$ has a clique of size $k$ if and only if $G^{\prime}$ has an induced Eulerian subgraph on $k^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k^{3}+k$ vertices.

Suppose that $G$ has a clique $K$ with $k$ vertices. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $K$ and the subdivision vertices for all edges $x y$ with $x, y \in K$. It is easy to see that $H$ is a connected Eulerian graph on $k^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k^{3}+k$ vertices.

Let now $H$ be an induced Eulerian subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ on $k^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k^{3}+k$ vertices. Denote by $U$ the set of branch vertices of $H$, and let $p=|U|$. Let $A=\{x y \in E(G) \mid x, y \in$ $U$, and $H$ has a subdivision vertex for $x y\}$ and let $F=(U, A)$. Let also $q=|A|$. Since $H$ is connected, the graph $F$ is connected as well. Observe that if $u \in V(H)$ is a subdivision vertex for an edge $x y \in E(G)$, then all subdivision vertices for $x y$ are vertices of $H$ and $x, y \in V(H)$. It follows that $H$ has $p+q \cdot k^{2}=k^{\prime}$ vertices, and we have $p-k=\left(\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k-q\right) k^{2}$. Since $k^{2}$ is a divisor of $p-k, p \geq k$. Suppose that $p>k$. Then since $k^{2}$ is a divisor of $p-k$, $p \geq k^{2}+k$. Any connected graph with $p$ vertices has at least $p-1$ edges, and it means that $q \geq k^{2}+k-1>\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k$. We get that $0<p-k=\left(\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k-q\right) k^{2}<0 ;$ a contradiction. We conclude that $p=k$. Then $q=\frac{1}{2}(k-1) k$ and $U$ is a clique with $k$ vertices.

Recall that $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph asks about an induced Eulerian subgraph on $k$ vertices. For the graph $G^{\prime}$ in the proof of Theorem 4, any Eulerian subgraph is induced. It gives us the following corollary.

- Corollary 5. The following problem:

```
Instance: A graph G and non-negative integer k.
Parameter: k.
    Question: Does G contain an Eulerian subgraph
            with k vertices?
```

is $\mathrm{W}[1]$-hard.

## 6 Conclusion

We proved that $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph is FPT and $k$-Vertex Eulerian Subgraph is W[1]-hard. This completes the characterization of even/odd subgraph problems with exactly $k$ edges or vertices from parameterized complexity perspective. While it is trivial to decide whether a graph $G$ has a (connected) even or odd subgraph with at most $k$ edges or vertices, the question about a subgraph with at least $k$ edges or vertices seems to be much more complicated. For At Least $k$-Edge Odd Subgraph and At Least $k$-Vertex Odd Subgraph, following the lines of the proofs from [4] for $k$-Edge Odd Subgraph and $k$-Vertex Odd Subgraph, it is possible to show that these problems are in FPT. For other cases, the approaches used in [4] and in our paper, do not seem to work.

Cai and Yang in [4] also considered dual problems where the aim is to find an even or odd subgraph of a graph $G$ with $|V(G)|-k$ vertices or $|E(G)|-k$ edges respectively. Recently, these results were complemented by Cygan et al. [5]. However, the complexity of the dual problem to $k$-Edge Connected Odd Subgraph, namely, obtaining connected odd subgraph with $|E(G)|-k$ edges, remains open.
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