

Differences in physiological response to increased seawater temperature in nearshore and offshore corals in northern Vietnam

Suzanne Faxneld, Tove Lund Jörgensen, Ngai D. Nguyen, Magnus Nyström,

Michael Tedengren

► To cite this version:

Suzanne Faxneld, Tove Lund Jörgensen, Ngai D. Nguyen, Magnus Nyström, Michael Tedengren. Differences in physiological response to increased seawater temperature in nearshore and offshore corals in northern Vietnam. Marine Environmental Research, 2011, 71 (3), pp.225. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.007. hal-00673200

HAL Id: hal-00673200 https://hal.science/hal-00673200

Submitted on 23 Feb 2012 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Differences in physiological response to increased seawater temperature in nearshore and offshore corals in northern Vietnam

Authors: Suzanne Faxneld, Tove Lund Jörgensen, Ngai D. Nguyen, Magnus Nyström, Michael Tedengren

PII: S0141-1136(11)00018-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.007

Reference: MERE 3503

To appear in: Marine Environmental Research

Received Date: 6 January 2011

Accepted Date: 24 January 2011

Please cite this article as: Faxneld, S., Jörgensen, T.L., Nguyen, N.D., Nyström, M., Tedengren, M. Differences in physiological response to increased seawater temperature in nearshore and offshore corals in northern Vietnam, Marine Environmental Research (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.01.007

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Differences in physiological response to increased seawater temperature in nearshore and offshore corals in northern Vietnam

Suzanne Faxneld^{a*}, Tove Lund Jörgensen^a, Ngai D. Nguyen^c, Magnus Nyström^{a,b}, Michael Tedengren^a

a) Department of Systems Ecology, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm,

Sweden

b) Stockholm Resilience Centre, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

c) Institute of Marine Environment and Resources, 246 Da Nang Street, Hai Phong City,

Vietnam

* Corresponding author. Department of Systems Ecology, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden. E-mail: <u>suzanne@ecology.su.se</u>, phone: +46 (0) 8 164277, fax: +46 (0) 8 158417

1 ABSTRACT

2	Effects of elevated seawater temperature show high spatial heterogeneity and variation
3	within and among coral species. The objective of this study was to investigate how two
4	coral species, Porites lutea and Galaxea fascicularis, from two high latitude reefs
5	differently exposed to chronic disturbance, respond to elevated seawater temperatures.
6	Corals were collected from reefs nearshore, (i.e. subjected to high sediment load, higher
7	chlorophyll α concentrations, turbidity etc.) and offshore, (i.e. less exposed). The corals
8	were exposed in the lab to gradually increasing temperatures (25.5 – 33.5 $^{\circ}$ C) for 72 h
9	after which they were allowed to recover to ambient temperature (25.5 $^{\circ}$ C) for 24 h.
10	Production and respiration were measured after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. The results show
11	that P. lutea from nearshore reefs suffered an initial decrease in gross primary
12	production/respiration (GP/R) ratio after 24 h, after only a moderate temperature increase
13	(+ 2 °C, from 25.5 to 27.5 °C), while there was no difference in GP/R ratio between heat-
14	exposed and controls the other days, indicating that the chronic disturbance in the
15	nearshore reef had no effect on their thermotolerance. Furthermore, P. lutea from the
16	offshore reef showed a decrease in GP/R ratio both after 24 h and 72 h (33.5 $^{\circ}$ C) of
17	exposure.
18	In comparison, <i>G. fascicularis</i> showed a decrease in GP/R ratio after 48 h, 72 h and 96 h
19	of exposure for the nearshore corals. Also, after 72 h these corals had withdrawn their
20	polyps. There were no differences between heat-treated and controls for the offshore G .
21	fascicularis. This implies that the chronically disturbed G. fascicularis had lower

22 thermotolerance when exposed to a temperature increase.

- 23 This study, hence, shows that the response of corals to elevated seawater
- 24 temperature varies with species and environmental background history.
- 25
- 26 Keywords: Increased water temperature, *Galaxea fascicularis*, *Porites lutea*, Disturbance,
- 27 Physiological responses, High latitude reefs, Vietnam
- 28
- 29

30 **1. Introduction**

31

32 Coral reefs have shown a remarkable persistence, despite reoccurring natural disturbance 33 events (Wood, 1995). However, reef-building corals have a very restricted thermal 34 tolerance (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999). If temperature exceeds a given thermal limit corals 35 may lose their colour, i.e. they "bleach". This decolouration is due to the expulsion of the 36 endosymbiotic microalgae (zooxanthellae) found in the polyp tissue, making the white 37 calcium carbonate skeleton underneath visible (Douglas, 2003). Since corals receive up to 38 95% of their energy from their zooxanthellae via photosynthesis (Muscatine, 1990) 39 bleaching may cause significant mortality, unless the coral host is repopulated with 40 symbionts, in due time (species specific) to allow recovery (Glynn, 1996; Diaz-Pulido 41 and McCook, 2002). Hence, in the face of climatic changes and increased sea surface 42 temperatures (IPCC, 2007) there is great concern for the future health of coral reefs 43 (Hughes et al., 2003; Sheppard and Rioja-Nieto, 2005; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). In 44 tandem coral reefs are exposed to direct human impacts, such as increased sedimentation, 45 increased nutrient loading, pollution etc., which may reduce their capacity to respond to environmental changes caused by changes in climate. 46

Extensive coral mortality due to climate-induced bleaching events (i.e. mass coral bleaching) has occurred repeatedly over the past decades across all oceans (Wilkinson, 2006). These events are predicted to increase in frequency and magnitude in the future (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Donner et al., 2005). The observed patterns of bleaching, however, show great spatial variability (e.g. McClanahan et al., 2007). A multitude of variables may explain different thermal tolerances, including coral morphology

55	(McClanahan, 2000; Spencer et al., 2000; Edwards et al., 2001), taxa (McClanahan,
54	2004; McClanahan et al., 2004; Ulstrup et al., 2006), depth (Brown, 1997; Warner et al.,
55	1999; Spencer et al., 2000; Craig et al., 2001), distribution of zooxanthellae clades (i.e.
56	genotypes) (Glynn et al., 2001; Baker et al., 2004; Rowan, 2004), and local temperature
57	variations due to local current regimes (McClanahan et al., 2005). Furthermore, it has
58	also been suggested that reduced water quality can amplify the impacts of elevated
59	seawater temperature (Hughes et al., 2003; Pandolfi et al., 2007; Mora, 2008). For
60	example, experimental studies suggest that thermal tolerance in corals can be reduced by
61	exposure to heavy metals (Nyström et al., 2001), increased nutrients (Nordemar et al.,
62	2003) sedimentation (Lambo and Ormond, 2006) and decreased salinity (Faxneld et al.,
63	2010).
64	Besides climate change, increase in sediment load due to human activities, is
65	considered a major threat to coral reefs (Bryant et al., 1998; Hughes et al., 2003;
66	Wilkinson, 2004). Sedimentation can lead to reduced coral species diversity and
67	increased mortality, reduced calcification- and growth rates, decreased net production and
68	increased respiration (Rogers, 1990; Crabbe, 2005; Fabricius, 2005; Jupiter et al., 2008).
69	Approximately 50% of the reefs in Vietnam are threatened by sedimentation
70	(Burke et al., 2002). Tuan (2000) reported that the proportion of reefs with less than 25%
71	live coral cover increased from 25% before 1998 to 50% of the reefs by 1999 in the
72	Halong Bay and Cat Ba Island (the study area). This has mainly been attributed to
73	sedimentation (Chou et al., 2002). During that time, Acropora spp. suffered significant
74	mortality on many reefs while Galaxea fascicularis was basically unaffected. In 2002 G.
75	fascicularis was still the dominating species on many reefs in Halong Bay with up to 40%

of the benthic cover (Nguyen D Ngai unpubl. data), thus indicating that tolerance to
sedimentation varies among coral species.

78	The aim of this study was to investigate potential differences in physiological
79	responses to elevated temperature depending on exposure to earlier disturbance regimes.
80	For this purpose two common coral species, Porites lutea and Galaxea fascicularis were
81	used. Corals were collected from two nearshore reefs, more exposed to sedimentation,
82	high chlorophyll α concentrations and nutrients etc. (Fig. 2a and Table 1) and two
83	offshore (less disturbed) reefs (Fig.1). Two opposite outcomes were predicted
84	beforehand: (1) corals exposed to chronic disturbance will have reduced capacity to
85	withstand further thermal stress, since these corals may already be close to their
86	physiological tolerance limit or (2) corals exposed to chronic disturbance will have
87	enhanced capacity to withstand further stress, due to a more developed acclimatory
88	ability.
89	Differences in physiological responses within and between species from different
90	disturbance regimes can be relevant for identifying sensitive and tolerant coral species

91 when exposed to additional stress and may have implications when deciding future

92 establishment of marine protected areas.

93

- 94 **2. Material and methods**
- 95

96 2.1. Study area

97

98 Halong Bay

99	Halong Bay is a relatively shallow turbid bay exposed to high nutrient loading (Latypov,
100	2005). Most coral reefs in Halong Bay are also affected by sedimentation and coastal
101	pollution, especially nearshore reefs (Lang et al., 2004). Furthermore, shipping in and out
102	of the bay stirs up the sediments from the bottom thus affecting the reefs, more so in the
103	nearshore sites (Tran et al., 2004). Due to the high turbidity in the bay corals are
104	restricted to shallow waters and their maximum depth distribution is limited to 3-4 m on
105	nearshore- and to 5-7 m on offshore reefs (Lang et al., 2004). Water temperature
106	fluctuates dramatically over the year with a winter (December-February) minimum of 16
107	°C and normal summer (June-August) maximum around 31.5 °C. Halong Bay is a
108	relatively species-poor coral community, and it is dominated by massive coral species,
109	such as Galaxea fascicularis and Porites lutea. Branching genera, such as Acropora and
110	Pocillopora are relatively scarce (Latypov, 2005; Nguyen D Ngai unpubl.).

111

112 2.2. Collection of corals

113

Pieces of corals were collected at 2-3 m depth from two reef areas in May 2007 (Fig. 1). 114 The disturbed (i.e. nearshore) reef area (Cat Ba Island National Park) (including site A 115 116 and B) is situated close to the coast, in a semi-enclosed area with limited water exchange 117 and receives run-off water from several rivers, whereas the offshore area (Long Chau 118 Islands) (including site C and D) is located approximately 20 km south of the nearshore 119 reef area. The latter location is an open area with good water exchange and it is less 120 affected by land run-off water (e.g. less sediments, nutrients and lower chlorophyll α 121 concentrations (a proxy for water clarity, see LaJeunesse et al., 2010a and 2010b).

122	Although several environmental parameters differ between the near- and offshore area,
123	temperature and salinity regimes were almost identical between the areas throughout the
124	year (see Table 1 and Fig. 2a and 2b); and the ambient temperature and salinity during
125	collection was 25.5 °C and 32 psu at all sites. Corals from the two reef areas thus have
126	very similar thermal histories. Within each area, two reef sites were sampled. In the
127	nearshore area, Ba Trai Dao Island (site A, N 20°47' 20", E 107° 06' 13") and Van Boi
128	Island (site B, N 20° 45' 35", E 107° 04' 24") were selected and in the offshore area, coral
129	specimens were collected from Vung Cay Bang Island (site C, N 20° 37' 27", E 107° 09'
130	41") and Vung Tau Island (site D, N 20° 37' 21", E 107° 08' 08") (Fig 1). The corals were
131	collected using a hammer and chisel. Two massive species, Porites lutea and Galaxea
132	fascicularis, were selected and at each of the four sites 10 coral pieces (approximately 85
133	cm^2 and 120 cm^2 for <i>P. lutea</i> and <i>G. fascicularis</i> respectively) of each species were
134	collected, except for one nearshore site (Van Boi) where no G. fascicularis and only 6
135	pieces of <i>P. lutea</i> could be found. Hence, 36 pieces of <i>P. lutea</i> and 30 pieces of <i>G</i> .
136	fascicularis were collected in total. Immediately after collection, corals were transported
137	to the outdoor laboratory at the Tram Bien Research Station in Do Son under low light
138	condition and continuous aeration. After epiphytes and boring organisms had been
139	carefully removed, the corals were put in aerated aquaria (20 litre each) with filtered and
140	UV-treated seawater. The aquaria were placed in larger water-filled tanks (1 m ³) in order
141	to minimise temperature fluctuations (Nyström et al., 2001; Nordemar et al., 2003). The
142	corals were allowed to acclimate to the set control temperature of 25.5 $^{\circ}$ C and 32 psu
143	(ambient at site of collection) and thereafter kept at that temperature for 48 h before the
144	experiment was started.

145

146 2.3. *Experimental setup*

147

148	The corals were evenly distributed in eight 20-litre aquaria using a randomized block
149	design. Four of the aquaria were then placed in a heating tank (1 m ³) representing
150	temperature "exposure" and the other four were placed in a control tank (1 m ³) with
151	ambient water temperature. Aeration pumps mixed the seawater in both the aquaria and
152	the tanks, thus maintaining high oxygen concentrations and distributing water
153	temperature evenly. The water temperature in the exposure tank was increased using
154	heating regulators (ZEBO 300W or FLUVAL Tronic 200W). The temperature was
155	recorded every hour during daytime (between 10 am to 6 pm) and once in the evening as
156	well as once in the early morning (at 9 pm and 6 am respectively) to verify constant
157	temperature throughout a 24 h period before the experiment was started. Throughout the
158	experiment, temperature was measured continuously during the physiological
159	measurements. Between measurements, temperatures were recorded every second hour
160	during daytime and once in the evening as well as once in the early morning (at 9 pm and
161	6 am respectively). The experiment lasted for 96 h (4 days) during which the temperature
162	was gradually increased for three days, to mimic a natural gradual temperature increase,
163	and then reduced to ambient for a 24-hour recovery period. It is well known that daily
164	temperatures can undergo drastic changes on nearshore reef flats and in coastal lagoons,
165	e.g. 25-33 °C within hours (Semesi et al., 2009). Normal summer temperature in Halong
166	Bay is 30-31.5 °C (Nguyen 2004; Latypov 2005), and studies have shown that large
167	increases (3-4

168	°C above normal summer maximum) over a few days can bleach corals (Glynn and
169	D'Croz 1990; Jokiel and Coles 1990). The temperature treatment in the exposure tank
170	was set to: day 1: (27.5 °C), day 2: (30.5 °C), day 3: (33.5 °C) and day 4: (25.5 °C). The
171	maximum exposure temperature of 33.5 °C in the present study was chosen since 2 °C
172	above expected summer maximum is known to induce physiological stress in most coral
173	species. It took approximately four hours before the new temperature was reached.
174	Hence, the corals were exposed in each temperature for 20 hours before the production
175	and respiration were measured. Temperature in the control tank was maintained at 25.5
176	°C throughout the study. Due to effective heaters and stirring we were able to keep the
177	temperatures very constant (deviation < 0.2 °C from set temperature) throughout the
178	experiment. The experiment was conducted outdoors in natural sunlight, under low light
179	conditions (414-529 $\mu E \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), corresponding to recorded light levels on shallow reefs
180	(e.g. Semesi et al., 2009). Production and respiration measurements were conducted each
181	day for both exposed and control corals.
182	

183 2.4. *Physiological measurements*

184

Before net primary production and respiration were measured, each coral was put in a 1.5 litre transparent plastic jar with a tight-fitting lid, without extra supplies of oxygen. Each jar contained water with a temperature identical to the treatment temperature. During the measurements, the temperatures also in the measurement jars were constant (set temp. \pm 0.2 °C). Changes in dissolved oxygen concentration in light (net primary production) and darkness (respiration) were measured according to Moberg et al. (1997) using an

191 oximeter (WTW OXI 330). An earlier pilot test showed that the most stable and reliable 192 values were obtained after a 30 minutes and 2.5 hours acclimation period in light and 193 darkness for production and respiration, respectively. Dissolved oxygen concentration 194 was initially measured in light and then again after 30 minutes. Corals were then placed 195 in darkness and after 2.5 h the oxygen concentration was measured once more and 196 respiration rates calculated. Before the statistical analyses, production and respiration 197 rates were converted to 1-hour values. Four water controls (jars containing only water) 198 were used for each measurement, two with control water temperature and two with a 199 temperature that corresponded to that of the exposed corals. Net primary production was 200 always measured during mid-day, at 1 pm. During the measurements, the oxygen 201 saturation levels never dropped below 75%. To compensate for volume dependent 202 differences in dissolved oxygen concentration, the volumes of the corals were measured 203 by submerging the coral into a graded beaker filled with a known volume of water. Live 204 coral surface area was determined using the aluminium foil method (March, 1970). Net 205 production- and respiration rates were expressed per hour and coral surface area (cm²). 206 Respiration rate (R) was added to the net production value in order to achieve gross 207 primary production rate (GP). Gross primary production rates were then corrected for 208 temporal differences in light intensities between measurements. This was done to 209 compensate for light dependent differences between measurements, since measurements 210 were performed for four consecutive days. In order to do this, the production values were 211 divided by the light intensity during measurement, and then multiplied with the average 212 light intensity during all measurements, assuming a linear relationship between light 213 intensity and primary production in the narrow light interval in this study. Due to lack of

laboratory facilities, data on coral biomass, zooxanthellae density and chlorophyll

215	concentration could not be obtained. Consequently gross primary production and
216	respiration rates are expressed as per cm ² coral surface area according to Moberg et al.
217	(1997). Gross primary production/respiration (GP/R) ratios were also calculated to get a
218	dimensionless estimate of the physiological status of the corals.
219	
220	2.5. Statistical analysis
221	
222	A two-way ANOVA was used to analyse the effect of site (i.e. nearshore site A vs. B and
223	offshore site C vs. D) and treatment (i.e. heat vs. control) on the gross primary production
224	rate, respiration rate and GP/R ratio of both species. Since there were no differences
225	between the two offshore or nearshore sites (the data from site A and B, and the data
226	from site C and D) the data were pooled into one offshore and one nearshore group for
227	each species. A General Linear Model (GLM) univariate one-way ANOVA was used to
228	analyse the effects of the four different treatments (i.e. offshore / heat, nearshore / heat,
229	offshore / control and nearshore / control) on gross primary production rate, respiration
230	rate and GP/R ratio for <i>G. fascicularis</i> and <i>P. lutea</i> respectively. The analyses were
231	carried out separately for each day and species. Where the one-way ANOVA showed
232	differences, Tukey HSD was used as a post hoc test ($p < 0.05$). All data were tested for
233	homogeneity of variances using Levene's test ($p < 0.05$) before the ANOVA was carried
234	out. When necessary, the data were log transformed. When Levene's test showed
235	differences in variance and no transformations proved efficient, the non-parametric

- 236 Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U test was used. For the statistical analyses SPSS
- 237 17.0 for Mac OS X was used.
- 238
- 239 **3. Results**
- 240
- 241 3.1. Galaxea fascicularis
- 242
- 243 There were significant differences when comparing heat-treated corals and controls in
- nearshore and offshore areas (Table 2). Corals from the nearshore area showed a
- significant decrease in GP/R ratio on day 2, 3 and 4 when exposed to elevated

temperature compared to the controls (p < 0.05 Tukey HSD, Fig. 3a), whereas no

- 247 significant differences were observed for heat-treated and controls for the offshore corals
- 248 (Fig. 3b). The differences in GP/R ratio for the nearshore corals were mainly caused by
- an increase in respiration rates compared to the control (Table 3a). However, on day 3 the
- 250 difference was also explained by a decrease in gross primary production rates compared
- to the control (Table 3b).
- 252 Sixty percent of the heat-treated Galaxea fascicularis from the nearshore area had
- 253 withdrawn their polyps (Fig. 4a) after exposure to 33.5 °C, i.e. on the third day of
- exposure. Heat-treated offshore- and control corals showed no such response (Fig. 4b).
- 255
- 256 3.2. Porites lutea
- 257

258	For <i>P. lutea</i> there were significant differences between heat-treated and control corals
259	from nearshore and offshore areas (Table 4). The GP/R ratio was significantly lower on
260	day 1 for nearshore heat-treated corals compared to the controls ($p < 0.05$ Tukey HSD,
261	Fig. 5a). This was explained by a significant increase in respiration rates that day (p $<$
262	0.01 Tukey HSD, Table 5a). Furthermore, the offshore heat-treated corals displayed a
263	significant decrease in GP/R ratio both on day 1 and day 3 ($p < 0.05$ Tukey HSD, Fig.
264	5b). This decrease in GP/R ratio was mainly explained by an increase in respiration rates
265	(Table 5a). There were no differences in gross primary production rates between
266	treatments (Table 5b).
267	
268	4. Discussion
269	
270	For Galaxea fascicularis the GP/R ratio differed between the offshore and nearshore area,
271	except for day 1. Heat-treated corals from nearshore reefs showed a decrease in GP/R
272	ratio throughout the study. On day 3 the corals had also withdrawn their polyps, which
273	might explain the large decrease in gross primary production rate observed that day. A
274	direct effect on zooxanthellae cannot be excluded although no counting of zooxanthellae
275	could be done. Other studies have shown that withdrawal of polyps is a stress response in
276	corals (Coyer et al., 1993; Brown et al., 1994; Jones et al., 2000).
277	The difference in response between nearshore and offshore G. fascicularis
278	suggests that corals pre-exposed to other stressors (i.e. nearshore corals) are more
279	sensitive to heat stress compared to the offshore (less disturbed) reefs. Similar findings
280	have been reported by Carilli et al. (2009), who investigated skeletal growth rates in
281	Montastrea faveolata before and after the 1998 bleaching event in reefs exposed to

282 different levels of local stress (e.g. sedimentation and nutrients). The authors found that 283 the growth rate was suppressed for longer time after the bleaching event at the reefs 284 exposed to higher levels of stress, thus they were less resilient to coral bleaching. 285 There were no significant differences in physiological response between *Porites* 286 *lutea* from offshore and nearshore reefs on any day of exposure (Fig. 5a and 5b). There 287 were only significant differences between heat-treated and control corals for the 288 nearshore P. lutea at day 1 of exposure (Fig. 5a) even though the temperature increase 289 was higher, with a maximum of 8 °C above ambient temperature on day 3. This indicates 290 that even a small increase in temperature can affect *P. lutea* initially, but that they are 291 able to "maintain" their physiological status (GP/R ratios indicating maintained 292 autotrophy) over the temperature range tested in the present study. Although all heat-293 treated Porites showed a relative decrease in GP/R ratio compared to the corresponding 294 controls, anthropogenic disturbances (e.g. land run-off, sedimentation) seem not to affect 295 their thermotolerance during heat stress. One possible explanation of this could be a more 296 rapid induction of cellular defence mechanisms (e.g. HSP 70 - see Brown, 1997) in these 297 chronically exposed specimens, something that has been demonstrated in marine mussels 298 exposed to heavy metal pollution followed by thermal stress (Veldhuizen-Tsoerkan et al., 299 1990). 300 Another explanation could be that the coral clades differ between *P. lutea* and *G.*

fascicularis and/or differ between the two areas, since it has been shown that different
corals may host different clades of *Symbiodinium* (LaJeunesse, 2002) and clades can also
differ between regions (Baker and Rowan, 1997; Garren et al., 2006). *G. fascicularis*have been found to harbour both clade C and D (LaJeunesse et al., 2004; Done et al.,

305	2009), while <i>P. lutea</i> is only hosted by clade C (Chen et al., 2005; Apprill et al., 2007;
306	Hennige et al., 2010), which generally is not associated with corals located in areas
307	exposed to high temperatures (e.g. Baker et al., 2004). Since no analyses of the clades
308	were conducted in this study, further research comparing clades between nearshore and
309	offshore reefs in the area would be of scientific interest. A further explanation to the
310	different responses between the two species and areas could be that the coral hosts are
311	genetically adapted to the local environmental conditions on these reefs, since the
312	environmental quality do differ between nearshore- and offshore reefs, although the
313	thermal history are identical between the areas in the study presented here.
314	Reduction of GP/R ratio has been recognized as a common stress response in
315	corals when exposed to elevated seawater temperature (Coles and Jokiel, 1977; Hoegh-
316	Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Fitt and Warner, 1995; Porter et al., 1999). The observed
317	decrease in GP/R ratio for both P. lutea and G. fascicularis was primarily a result of an
318	increase in respiration rate, which has been demonstrated by other authors (Hoegh-
319	Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Faxneld et al., 2010). However, for nearshore G. fascicularis
320	on day 3 the decrease in GP/R ratio was also explained by a decrease in primary
321	production rates, which also has been shown by other authors (Fitt and Warner, 1995;
322	Porter et al., 1999; Nyström et al., 2001). The higher respiration- and production rates,
323	observed for offshore G. fascicularis, controls and heat-treated, is likely to be attributed
324	to the fact that the offshore colonies were generally smaller – presumably younger
325	colonies – that do have higher metabolic activity.
326	None of the coral species showed signs of bleaching in our study, which supports
327	others studies showing that both G. fascicularis (Marshall and Baird, 2000; McClanahan

328	et al., 2004) and P. lutea (e.g. Riegl, 1999; Loya et al., 2001; Obura, 2001) are relatively
329	tolerant to elevated seawater temperatures, and suggests that the corals in the present
330	study were not exposed to lethal temperatures, although an increase by 2-3 °C in 4 hours
331	is in the upper range of what can be expected on shallow reefs in the study area.
332	It should be emphasized that of the temperatures tested here, the 27.5 and 30.5 $^{\circ}$ C
333	treatments are well below the thermotolerance threshold for most coral species and only
334	the 33.5 °C treatment is above the normal summer maximum.
335	
336	5. Conclusion
337	
338	This study shows that the response of corals to elevated seawater temperature varies with
339	species and environmental background history. The study suggests that the physiological
340	response in G. fascicularis was more pronounced in more disturbed nearshore areas
341	compared to their conspecifics from less disturbed environments, thus supporting the
342	hypothesis that corals in stressful environments (e.g. subjected to land-based pollution
343	and sedimentation) are less tolerant to elevated water temperature. An explanation for this
344	could be that nearshore corals are already closer to their physiological tolerance limit and
345	therefore more sensitive to additional disturbances (Paine et al., 1998). On the other hand,
346	the acclimatory ability may very well differ between coral species. For example, P. lutea
347	from nearshore reefs were not more physiologically affected by the temperature increase
348	than corals from offshore reefs. Consequently, it is difficult to predict general effects on
349	coral reefs if episodes of elevated seawater temperatures become more frequent in the
350	future, since these effects may be area and species specific. One likely consequence,

251	1	• .1	. 1	11.00	•	1. /		1 .1.		1	•	c
11	however	1s fr	nat due	to differ	ences in	acclimate	orv cai	nahilitv	among cor	al c	nectes	reets
551	nowever,	10 11	iai auc	to uniter	chices in	accinitati	Ji y Cu	puonity	unions con	u b	peeres,	10015

- 352 may suffer losses in biodiversity (Loya et al., 2001), which in turn may impact the
- resilience of coral reefs (Nyström et al., 2000; Bellwood et al., 2004).
- 354

355 Acknowledgements

- 356 This work is supported by Sida/SAREC, and we also thank Stockholm Marine Research
- 357 Center (SMF) for providing financial support. We are grateful to Dr. Cu for allowing us

to use his facilities for conducting this experiment and all the helpful staff at Tram Bien

- 359 Research Station. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their pertinent and helpful
- 360 comments.
- 361

362 List of figures

363

Fig 1. Map showing the collection sites. The nearshore, more disturbed reefs (Ba Trai
Dao and Van Boi) are situated in the north and the offshore less disturbed reefs (Vung
Tau and Vung Cay Bang) ~ 20 km to the south.

367

368 Fig 2. a) Monthly averages of chlorophyll α concentrations (a proxy for water clarity)

- 369 from September 1997 to August 2010. The solid line shows the nearshore area and the
- 370 dotted line shows the offshore area.
- b) Monthly averages of temperature (°C) from July 2002 to July 2010. The solid line is
- 372 showing data for both the nearshore and the offshore areas, since the average
- 373 temperatures were identical.

374	The data were acquired from the Giovanni online data system
375	(http://gdata1.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/daac-bin/G3/gui.cgi?instance_id=ocean_month;
376	downloaded 1 November 2010), which was developed and is maintained by the NASA
377	Goddard Earth Sciences (GES) Data and Information Services Center (DISC).
378	
379	Fig 3. Galaxea fascicularis. GP/R ratio \pm SE. a) Nearshore corals a) Offshore corals. The
380	dark bars show the controls (exposed to 25.5 °C during the whole study) and the light
381	bars are the heat-exposed corals (heat-exposure: day 1=27.5 °C, day 2=30.5 °C, day
382	3=33.5 °C, day 4=25.5 °C). * p < 0.05.
383	
384	Fig 4. Galaxea fascicularis. Polyp extension. a) Nearshore corals b) Offshore corals when
385	exposed to 33.5 °C on day 3.
386	
387	Fig 5. Porites lutea. GP/R ratio \pm SE. a) Nearshore corals b) Offshore corals. The dark
388	bars show the controls (exposed to 25.5 °C during the whole study) and the light bars are
389	the heat-exposed corals (heat-exposure: day 1=27.5 °C, day 2=30.5 °C, day 3=33.5 °C,
390	day 4=25.5 °C). * p < 0.05.
391	
392	List of tables
393	
394	Table 1. Environmental data from the offshore (Long Chau Islands) and the nearshore

395 (Cat Ba) sites used in this study. Data from biannual surveys provided by The Institute of

396 Marine Environment and Resources, Hai Phong, Vietnam.

 Table 2. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. + depicts cases when Kruskal- Wallis was used. Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. 		
 offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. + depicts cases when Kruskal- Wallis was used. Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	398	Table 2. Galaxea fascicularis. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and
 significant differences between heat-treated and controls. + depicts cases when Kruskal- Wallis was used. Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	399	offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate
 Wallis was used. Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	400	significant differences between heat-treated and controls. + depicts cases when Kruskal-
 402 403 Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore 404 and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. 405 406 Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals 407 have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences 408 between heat-treated and controls. 409 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	401	Wallis was used.
 Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	402	
 and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	403	Table 3. <i>Galaxea fascicularis</i> . Mean \pm SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore
 405 406 Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals 407 have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences 408 between heat-treated and controls. 409 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	404	and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates.
 Table 4. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences between heat-treated and controls. Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 	405	
 407 have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences 408 between heat-treated and controls. 409 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea.</i> Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	406	Table 4. Porites lutea. Results from the ANOVA where all nearshore and offshore corals
 408 between heat-treated and controls. 409 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	407	have been compared to the controls. P-values < 0.05 demonstrate significant differences
 409 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	408	between heat-treated and controls.
 410 Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i>. Mean ± SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	409	
 411 offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** p < 0.01. 412 413 	410	Table 5. <i>Porites lutea</i> . Mean \pm SE for heat-treated and controls from nearshore and
	411	offshore reefs. a) Respiration rates b) Gross primary production rates. ** $p < 0.01$.
413	412	
	413	

414 **References**

- 415 Apprill, A.M., Bidigare, R.R., Gates, R.D., 2007. Visibly healthy corals exhibit variable pigment
- 416 concentrations and symbiont phenotypes. Coral reefs 26, 387-397
- 417 Baker, A.C., Rowan, R., 1997. Diversity of symbiotic dinoflagellates (zooxanthellae) in scleractinian corals
- 418 of the Caribbean and Eastern Pacific. Proceedings of 8th International Coral Reef Symposium 2, 1301-1306
- 419 Baker, A.C., Starger, C., McClanahan, T.R., Glynn, P.W., 2004. Coral's adaptive response to climate
- 420 change. Nature 430, 741
- 421 Bellwood, D.R., Hughes, T.P., Folke, C., Nyström, M., 2004. Confronting the coral reef crisis. Nature 429,
- 422 827-833
- Brown, B.E., 1997. Adaptations of reef corals to physical environmental stress. Advances in Marine
 Biology 31, 221-299
- 425 Brown, B.E., Le Tissier, M.D.A., Dunne, R.P., 1994. Tissue retraction in the scleractinian coral Coeloseris
- 426 mayeri, its effect upon coral pigmentation, and preliminary implications for heat balance. Marine Ecology
- 427 Progress Series 105, 209-218
- 428 Bryant, D., Burke, L., McManus, J., Spalding, M., 1998. Reefs at risk: a map-based indicator of threats to
- 429 the world's coral reefs. World resources institute, Washington DC.
- Burke, L., Selig, E., Spalding, M., 2002. Reefs at risk in Southeast Asia. World resources institute,
 Washington DC. p 45.
- 432 Carilli, J.E., Norris, R.D., Black, B.A., Walsh, S.M., McField, M., 2009. Local stressors reduce coral
- 433 resilience to bleaching. PLOS One 4, 1-5
- 434 Chen, C.A., Yang Y-W., Wei, N.V., Tsai, W-S., Fang L-S., 2005. Symbiont diversity in scleractinian corals
- 435 from tropical and subtropical non-reef communities in Taiwan. Coral Reefs 24, 11-22
- 436 Chou, L.M., Tuan, V.S., Philreefs, Yeemin, T., Cabanban, A., Suharsono, Kessna, I., 2002. Status of
- 437 Southeast Asian reefs. In: Wilkinson, C.P. (Ed.), Coral reefs of the world: 2002. Australian institute of
- 438 marine science. Townsville, Queensland, Australia, pp 123-152.
- 439 Coles, S.L., Jokiel, P.L., 1977. Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and respiration in hermatypic
- 440 corals. Marine Biology 43, 209-216

- 441 Coyer, J.A., Ambrose, R.F., Engle, J.M., Carroll, J.C., 1993. Interactions between corals and algae on a
- temperate zone rocky reef: mediation by sea urchins. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
- 443 167, 21-37
- 444 Crabbe, M.J.C., Smith, D.J., 2005. Sediment impacts on growth rates of Acropora and Porites from
- 445 fringing reefs of Sulawesi, Indonesia. Coral reefs 24, 437-441
- 446 Craig, P., Birkeland, C., Belliveau, S., 2001. High temperatures tolerated by a diverse assemblage of
- 447 shallow-water corals in American Samoa. Coral reefs 20, 185-189
- 448 Diaz-Pulido, G., McCook, L.J., 2002. The fate of bleached corals: patterns and dynamics of algal
- 449 recruitment. Marine Ecology Progress Series 232, 115-128
- 450 Dong, Z-J., Huang, H., Huang, L-M., Li, Y-C., 2009. Diversity of symbiotic algae of the genus
- 451 Symbiodinium in scleractinian corals of the Xisha Islands in the South China Sea. Journal of Systematics
- 452 and Evolution 47, 321-326
- 453 Donner, S.D., Skirving, W.J., Little, C.M., Oppenheimer, M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 2005. Global
- 454 assessment of coral bleaching and required rates of adaptation under climate change. Global Change
- 455 Biology 11, 2251-2265
- 456 Douglas, A.E., 2003. Coral bleaching-how and why? Marine Pollution Bulletin 46, 385-392
- 457 Edwards, A.J., Clark, S., Zahir, H., Rajasuriya, A., Naseer, A., Rubens, J., 2001. Coral bleaching and
- 458 mortality on artificial and natural reefs in Maldives in 1998, sea surface temperature anomalies and initial
- 459 recovery. Marine Pollution Bulletin 42, 7-15
- 460 Fabricius, K.E., 2005. Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and
- 461 synthesis. Marine Pollution Bulletin 50, 125-146
- 462 Faxneld, S., Jörgensen, T.L., Tedengren, M., 2010. Effects of elevated water temperature, reduced salinity
- 463 and nutrient enrichment on the metabolism of the coral *Turbinaria mesenterina*. Estuarine, coastal and shelf
- 464 science 88, 482-487
- Fitt, W.K., Warner, M.E., 1995. Bleaching patterns in four species of Caribbean reef corals. Biological
 Bulletin 189, 298-307

- 467 Garren, M., Walsh, SM., Caccone, A., Knowlton, N., 2006. Patterns of association between Symbiodinium
- 468 and members of the *Montastrea annularis* species complex on spatial scales ranging from within colonies
- to between geographic regions. Coral reefs 25, 503-512
- 470 Glynn, P.W., 1996. Coral reef bleaching: facts, hypotheses and implications. Global change biology-2, 495-
- 471 509
- 472 Glynn, P.W., Maté, J.L., Baker, A.C., Calderón, O., 2001. Coral bleaching and mortality in Panama and
- 473 Ecuador during the 1997-1998 El Nino-Southern Oscillation event: spatial/temporal patterns and
- 474 comparisons with the 1992-1993 event. Bulletin of Marine Science 9, 79-109
- 475 Hennige, S.J., Smith, D.J., Walsh, S-J., McGinley, M.P., Warner, M.E., Suggett D.J., 2010. Acclimation
- 476 and adaptation of scleractinian coral communities along environmental gradients within an Indonesian reef
- 477 system. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 391, 143-152
- 478 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs.
- 479 Marine and Freshwater Research 50, 839-866
- 480 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell, C.D.,
- 481 Sale, P.F., Edwards, A.J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C.M., Iglesis-Prieto, R., Muthiga, N.,
- 482 Bradbury, R.H., Dubi, A., Hatziolos, M.E., 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean
- 483 acidification. Science 318, 1737-1742
- 484 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Smith, J.G., 1989. The effect of sudden changes in temperature, light and salinity on
- 485 the population density and export of zooxanthellae from the reef corals Stylophora pistillata Esper and
- 486 Seriatopora hystrix Dana. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 129, 279-303
- 487 Hughes, T.P., Baird, A.H., Bellwood, D.R., Card, M., Connolly, S.R., Folke, C., Grosberg, R., Hoegh-
- 488 Guldberg, O., Jackson, J.B.C., Kleypas, J., Lough, J.M., Marshall, P., Nyström, M., Palumbi, S.R.,
- 489 Pandolfi, J.M., Rosen, B., Roughgarden, J., 2003. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of
- 490 coral reefs. Science 301, 929-933
- 491 IPCC., 2007. Climate Change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group 1 to the
- 492 fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Solomon, S., Qin, M.,
- 493 Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L. (Eds.), Cambridge Univ Press,
- 494 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA

- 495 Jones, R.J., Ward, S., Amri, A.Y., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 2000. Changes in quantum efficiency of
- 496 Photosystem II of symbiotic dinoflagellates of corals after heat stress, and of bleached corals sampled after
- 497 the 1998 Great Barrier Reef mass bleaching event. Marine and Freshwater Research 51, 63-71
- 498 Jupiter, S., Roff, G., Marion, G., Henderson, M., Schrameyer, V., McCulloch, M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O.,
- 499 2008. Linkages between coral assemblages and coral proxies of terrestrial exposure along a cross-shelf
- 500 gradient on the southern Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 27, 887-903
- 501 LaJeunesse, T.C., 2002. Diversity and community structure of symbiotic dinoflagellates from Caribbean
- 502 coral reefs. Marine Biology 141, 387-400
- 503 LaJeunesse, T.C., Bhagooli, R., Hidaka, M., de Vantier, L., Done, T., Schmidt, G.W., Fitt, W.K., Hoegh-
- 504 Guldberg, O., 2004. Closely related Symbiodinium spp. differ in relative dominance in coral reef host
- 505 communities across environmental, latitudinal and biogeographic gradients. Marine Ecology Progress
- 506 Series 284, 147-161
- 507 LaJeunesse, T.C., Pettay, D.T., Sampayo, E.M., Phongsuwan, N., Brown, B., Obura, D.O., Hoegh-
- 508 Guldberg, O., Fitt, W.K., 2010a. Long-standing environmental conditions, geographic isolation and host-
- 509 symbiont specificity influence the relative ecological dominance and genetic diversification of coral
- 510 endosymbionts in the genus *Symbiodinium*. Journal of biogeography 37, 785-800
- 511 LaJeunesse, T.C., Smith, R., Walther, M., Pinzón, J., Pettay, D.T., McGinley, M., Aschaffenburg, M.,
- 512 Medina-Rosas, P., Cupul-Magaña, A.L., López Pérez, A., Reyes-Bonilla, H., Warner, M.E., 2010b. Host-
- 513 symbiont recombination versus natural selection in the response of coral-dinoflagellate symbioses to
- environmental disturbance. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 277, 2925-2934
- 515 Lambo, A.L., Ormond, R.F.G., 2006. Continued post-bleaching decline and changed benthic community of
- 516 a Kenyan coral reef. Marine Pollution Bulletin 52, 1617-1624
- 517 Lang, V.K., Dam, D.T., Dautova, T.N., Latypov, Y.Y., 2004. Sedimentation and water exchange on coral
- 518 reefs in the Ha Long Cat Ba area, Quang Ninh Province, North Viet Nam. In: Thanh, T.D. (Ed.), Marine
- 519 resources and environment, TOME XI. Vietnamese academy of science and technology, Institute of Marine
- 520 environment and resources, Haiphong, Vietnam, pp 181-193
- 521 Latypov, Y.Y., 2005. Reef-building corals of Vietnam as a part of the Indo-Pacific reef ecosystem. Russian
- 522 journal of marine biology 31, s34-s40

- 523 Loya, Y., Sakai, K., Yamazato, K., Nakano, Y., Sambali, H., van Woesik, R., 2001. Coral bleaching: the
- 524 winners and the losers. Ecology Letters 4, 122-131
- 525 March, J.A., 1970. Primary productivity of reef-building calcareous red algae. Ecology 51, 255-263
- 526 Marshall, P.A., Baird, A.H., 2000. Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef: differential
- 527 susceptibilities among taxa. Coral Reefs 19, 155-163
- 528 McClanahan, T.R., 2000. Bleaching damage and recovery potential of Maldivian coral reefs. Marine
- 529 Pollution Bulletin 40, 587-597
- 530 McClanahan, T.R., 2004. The relationship between bleaching and mortality of common corals. Marine
- 531 Biology 144:1239-1245
- 532 McClanahan, T.R., Ateweberhan, M., Graham, N.A.J., Wilson, S.K., Ruiz Sebastián, C., Guillaume, M.M.,
- 533 Bruggemann, J.H., 2007. Western Indian Ocean coral communities: bleaching responses and susceptibility
- to extinction. Marine Ecology Progress Series 337, 1-13
- 535 McClanahan, T.R., Baird, A.H., Marshall, P.A., Toscano, M.A., 2004. Comparing bleaching and mortality
- 536 responses of hard corals between southern Kenya and the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Marine Pollution
- 537 Bulletin 48, 327-335
- 538 McClanahan, T.R., Maina, J., Moothien-Pillay, R., Baker, A.C., 2005. Effects of geography, taxa, water
- 539 flow, and temperature variation on coral bleaching intensity in Mauritius. Marine Ecology Progress Series
- 540 298, 131-142
- 541 Moberg, F., Nyström, M., Kautsky, N., Tedengren, M., Jarayabhand, P., 1997. Effects of reduced salinity
- 542 on the rates of photosynthesis and respiration in the hermatypic corals Porites lutea and Pocillopora
- 543 damicornis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 157, 53-59
- Mora, C., 2008. A clear human footprint in the coral reefs of the Caribbean. Proceedings of the Royal
 Society B 275, 767-773
- 546 Muscatine, L. 1990. The role of symbiotic algae in carbon and energy flux in reef corals. In: Dubinsky, Z.
- 547 (Ed.), Ecosystems of the world 25: Coral reefs. Elsevier, Amsterdam Oxford New York Tokyo, pp 75-87
- 548 Nordemar, I., Nyström, M., Dizon, R., 2003. Effects of elevated seawater temperature and nitrate
- 549 enrichment on the branching coral *Porites cylindrica* in the absence of particulate food. Marine Biology
- 550 142, 669-677

- 551 Nyström, M., Folke, C., Moberg, F., 2000. Coral reef disturbances and resilience in a human-dominated
- environment. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 15, 413-417
- 553 Nyström, M., Nordemar, I., Tedengren, M., 2001. Simultaneous and sequential stress from increased
- temperature and copper on the metabolism of the hermatypic coral *Porites cylindrica*. Marine Biology 138,
- 555 1225-1231
- 556 Obura, D.O., 2001. Can differential bleaching and mortality among coral species offer useful indicators for
- assessment and management of reefs under stress? Bulletin of Marine Science 69, 421-442
- 558 Paine, R.T., Tegner, M.J., Johnson, E.A., 1998. Compounded perturbations yield ecological surprises.
- 559 Ecosystems 1, 535-545
- 560 Pandolfi, J.M., Jackson, J.B.C., Baron, N., Bradbury, R.H., Guzman, H.M., Hughes, T.P., Kappel, C.V.,
- 561 Michell, F., Ogden, J.C., Possingham, H.P., Sala, E., 2007. Are U.S. coral reefs on the slippery slope to
- 562 slime? Science 307, 1725-1726
- 563 Porter, J.W., Lewis, S.K., Porter, K.G., 1999. The effects of multiple stressors on the Florida Keys coral
- reef ecosystem: a landscape hypothesis and a physiological test. Limnology and Oceanography 44, 941-949
- 565 Riegl, B., 1999. Corals in a non-reef setting in the southern Arabian Gulf (Dubai, UAE): fauna and
- 566 community structure in response to recurring mass mortality. Coral Reefs 18, 63-73
- 567 Rogers, C.S., 1990. Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. Marine Ecology Progress
- 568 Series 62, 185-202
- 569 Rowan, R., 2004. Thermal adaptation in reef coral symbionts. Nature 430, 742
- 570 Semesi, I.S., Kangwe, J., Björk, M., 2009. Alterations in seawater pH and CO₂ affect calcification and
- 571 photosynthesis in tropical coralline alga, *Hydrolithon* sp. (Rhodophyta). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
- 572 Science 84, 337-341
- 573 Sheppard, C., Rioja-Nieto, R., 2005. Sea surface temperature 1871-2099 in 38 cells in the Caribbean
- 574 region. Marine Environmental Research 60, 389-396
- 575 Spencer, T., Teleki, K.A., Bradshaw, C., Spalding, M.D., 2000. Coral bleaching in the Southern Seychelles
- 576 during the 1997-1998 Indian Ocean warm event. Marine Pollution Bulletin 40, 569-586
- 577 Tran, D.T., Tran, D.L., Dang, H.N., Nguyen, T.K.A., 2004. An overview of the geological values and
- 578 sedimentary environment of Ha Long Bay. In: Tran, D.T. (Ed.), Marine resources and environment, TOME

- 579 XI. Vietnamese academy of science and technology, Institute of Marine environment and resources,
- 580 Haiphong, Vietnam, pp 38-64
- 581 Tuan, V.S., 2000. Reports on status of coral reefs in Vietnam: 2000. Proceedings of 9th International Coral
- 582 Reef Symposium 2, 891-894
- 583 Ulstrup, K.E., Berkelmans, R., Ralph, P.J., van Oppen, M.J.H., 2006. Variation in bleaching sensitivity of
- 584 two coral species across a latitudinal gradient on the Great Barrier Reef: the role of zooxanthellae. Marine
- 585 Ecology Progress Series 314, 135-148
- 586 Veldhuizen-Tsoerkan, M.B., Holwerda, D.A., van der Mast, C.A., Zandee, D.I., 1990. Effects of Cadmium
- 587 exposure and heat shock on protein synthesis in gill tissue of the sea mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. Comparative
- 588 Biochemistry and Physiology 96C, 419-426
- 589 Warner, M.E., Fitt, W.K., Schmidt, G.W., 1999. Damage to photosystem II in symbiotic dinoflagellates: a
- 590 determinant of coral bleaching. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 591 America. 96, 8007-8012
- 592 Wilkinson, C.P. (Ed.), 2004. Status of coral reefs of the world: 2004. Australian Institute of Marine
- 593 Science, Townsville, Queensland, Australia.
- 594 Wilkinson, C.P. (Ed.), 2006. Status of coral reefs of the world: 2006. Australian Institute of Marine
- 595 Science, Townsville, Queensland, Australia.
- 596 Wood, R., 1995. The changing biology of reef-building. Palaios 10, 517-529

Chronically disturbed *Galaxea fascicularis* respond negatively to temperature increase

Porites lutea not more affected by elevated temperature when chronically stressed

Temperature increase affects even coral species from the same area differently

AND AND CR.

List of tables

Table 1

	Offshore		Nearshore	
Average (year 2005-2008, n=4)	Dry season ^a	Rainy season ^b	Dry season ^a	Rainy season ^b
Salinity (psu)	32	26	32	25
рН	8.13	8.34	8.09	8.32
Sedimentation (g m ⁻² *day ⁻¹)	1.99±0.39	0.38±0.17	3.42±0.14	4.19±0.21
Visibility (m)	3.0	3.0	5.5	4.0
Nitrate* (µg*l ⁻¹)	86.2±2.5		135.5±11.8	

Numbers show mean±SD. ^aThe dry season lasts from October to May, ^b the rainy season lasts from June to September, * average of 2 measurements March-May 2003.

Table 2

	Day	df	F	р
GP/R	1	3	4.835 +	0.184
	2	3	8.677	0.000
	3	3	15.417 +	0.001
	4	3	8.305 +	0.040
R	1	3	7.947	0.001
	2	3	21.149	0.000
	3	З	22.454 +	0.000
	4	3	11.150	0.000
GP	1	3	4.459	0.012
	2	3	7.812	0.001
	3	3	6.357	0.002
	4	3	6.354	0.002

Table 3a

Nearshore			Offsh	ore			
Respiration	Control	Heat-treated	Control	Heat-treated			
Day 1	5.21±1.47	7.88±0.35	11.95±1.30	17.0 ± 2.15			
Day 2	4.44±1.29	7.16±0.56	11.49±1.13	20.3±2.21			
Day 3	2.78 ± 1.25	8.85±1.42	8.29±0.76	21.9±2.10			
Day 4	2.06 ± 0.97	7.68±0.89	6.06±1.03	15.7±2.30			

Table 3b

Nearshore			Offs	hore
Gross production Control		Heat-treated	Control	Heat-treated
Day 1	19.9±7.35	20.7±1.93	25.8±3.02	43.3±6.34
Day 2	28.2±18.7	21.5±2.43	27.1±3.06	54.9±6.49
Day 3	29.1±1.35	15.1±4.87	34.1±4.51	59.7±6.47
Day 4	26.8±1.00	28.8 ± 1.99	39.2±5.91	73.2±1.05

Table 4

	Day	df	F	р
GP/R	1	3	6.542	0.001
	2	3	1.469	0.243
	3	3	6.161	0.002
	4	3	1.605	0.217
R	1	3	6.911	0.001
	2	3	4.999	0.006
	3	3	3.666	0.023
	4	3	0.771	0.523
GP	1	3	1.536	0.224
	2	3	2.128	0.117
	3	3	3.855	0.018
	4	3	0.803	0.502
			F	

Table 5a

Nearshore			Offshore			
Respiration	Control	Heat-treated	Control	Heat-treated		
Day 1	6.29±0.79	15.9±2.16 **	5.99±0.92	9.61±2.39		
Day 2	5.74±0.49	14.3 ± 1.14	6.09±0.76	7.75±2.77		
Day 3	4.69 ± 0.98	9.08±1.56	3.21±0.79	$5.84{\pm}1.50$		
Day 4	3.63±0.51	4.81 ± 1.08	2.29 ± 0.54	2.94±0.53		

Table 5b

Nearshore			Offsl	nore
Gross production Control		Heat-treated	Control	Heat-treated
Day 1	21.7±3.97	31.3±4.62	19.9±2.12	22.6±4.79
Day 2	24.2±3.06	41.3±4.27	23.7±2.56	26.0±1.04
Day 3	32.5±5.31	48.2±7.12	21.8±3.15	22.6±7.85
Day 4	31.9±4.36	35.8 ± 5.62	25.8 ± 2.48	25.7 ± 7.88

















