

Distribution of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of Porcine Circovirus Type 2 (PCV-2) in wild boars and domestic pigs in Germany

Gerald Reiner, Bastian Bronnert, Corinna Hohloch, Manfred Reinacher,

Hermann Willems

▶ To cite this version:

Gerald Reiner, Bastian Bronnert, Corinna Hohloch, Manfred Reinacher, Hermann Willems. Distribution of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of Porcine Circovirus Type 2 (PCV-2) in wild boars and domestic pigs in Germany. Veterinary Microbiology, 2011, 148 (2-4), pp.372. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.017 . hal-00671227

HAL Id: hal-00671227 https://hal.science/hal-00671227

Submitted on 17 Feb2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Distribution of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of Porcine Circovirus Type 2 (PCV-2) in wild boars and domestic pigs in Germany

Authors: Gerald Reiner, Bastian Bronnert, Corinna Hohloch, Manfred Reinacher, Hermann Willems

PII:	S0378-1135(10)00389-5
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.017
Reference:	VETMIC 4997
To appear in:	VETMIC
Received date:	9-2-2010
Revised date:	10-8-2010
Accepted date:	13-8-2010

weterinary Normal Andrewski Andre Andrewski An

Please cite this article as: Reiner, G., Bronnert, B., Hohloch, C., Reinacher, M., Willems, H., Distribution of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of Porcine Circovirus Type 2 (PCV-2) in wild boars and domestic pigs in Germany, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.017

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Short communication
2	Distribution of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of Porcine Circovirus Type 2 (PCV-2) in wild
3	boars and domestic pigs in Germany
4	
5	Gerald Reiner ^{1*} , Bastian Bronnert ¹ , Corinna Hohloch ² , Manfred Reinacher ² , Hermann
6	Willems ¹
7	
8	¹ Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Swine Diseases, Justus-Liebig-University,
9	35392 Giessen, Germany
10	² Institute for Veterinary Pathology, Justus-Liebig-University, 35392 Giessen, Germany
11	
12	
13	
14	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 641 38820; fax: +49 641 201854; E-mail address:
15	gerald.reiner@vetmed.uni-giessen.de
16	

17 Abstract

18

19 Porcine circovirus 2 (PCV-2), the essential infectious agent in PCVD (porcine circovirus 20 diseases) circulates at high rates among domestic pig and wild boar populations. Wild boars 21 may be viremic and shed the virus with excretions and secretions, and thus serve as a 22 reservoir for domestic pig PCV-2 infection. We hypothesize that PCV-2 strains circulating in 23 wild boars and in domestic pigs are significantly different and thus, partially independent. To 24 prove this hypothesis, the present study investigated by sequence analysis the distribution of 25 ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes of the PCV-2 genome within wild boars (n=40) and domestic 26 pigs (n=60) from overlapping greater areas of Germany. The genotypes were compared with 27 PCV-2 sequences from the Genbank database. The dominating genotype in domestic pigs was 28 PCV-2b (98.4% of infected pigs), while only 4.8% of them were infected with PCV-2a. The 29 corresponding prevalences of PCV-2a and -2b genotypes in wild boars were 58 and 70%, 30 respectively. When also ORF3 genotypes were taken into account, more than 50% of wild 31 boar PCV-2 genotypes were rare among German and European domestic pigs. In conclusion, 32 these data provide evidence for a certain independence of PCV-2 infections in both species 33 and a low chance for domestic pigs to be infected with PCV-2 of wild boar origin. On the 34 other hand, PCV-2 genotypes specific for domestic pigs are also common in wild boars, 35 although at lower frequencies, suggesting the spread of domestic pig PCV-2 to the wild boar population. 36

- 37
- 38 Keywords: PCV-2; Wild boars; Genotype; Prevalence
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42

43 **1. Introduction**

44

Porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV-2) is considered the essential infectious agent of PCVD 45 46 (porcine circovirus diseases) (Segalés et al., 2005) or PCVAD (porcine circovirus associated diseases) (AASV, 2007), including post-weaning multisystemic wasting syndrome (PMWS) 47 48 (Harding, 1996), respiratory (Pesch et al., 2000) as well as reproductive (Pensaert et al., 2001) 49 disorders, and it has been associated with porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome 50 (PDNS) (Segalés et al., 1998). PCVD is responsible for significant economic losses to the 51 swine industry worldwide (Armstrong and Bishop, 2004). PCV-2 is ubiquitous and 52 distributed worldwide within domestic pig populations. The virus has also been detected by 53 serological studies in wild boars from several countries (Belgium: Sanchez et al., 2001; Spain: 54 Vicente et al., 2004; Ruiz-Fons et al., 2006; Czech Republic: Sedlak et al., 2008), and by 55 direct detection of PCV-2 antigens or DNA (Spain: Vicente et al., 2004; Germany: Knell et 56 al., 2005; Reiner et al., 2010; Hungary: Csagola et al., 2006). Subclinically infected wild 57 boars may be viremic and shed the virus with excretions and secretions (Krakowka et al., 58 2005). Thus, while wild boars are considered a potential reservoir of viruses for domestic 59 pigs, such as classical swine fever virus and Aujeszky's disease virus (e.g. Albina et al., 60 2000), it is still unclear (Ruiz-Fons et al., 2008), if this situation applies also to PCV-2 61 (Vicente et al., 2004). Because the contact between domestic pigs and wild boars is very 62 limited and PCV-2 is more transmissible by direct contact (Dupont et al., 2009), we 63 hypothesize that PCV-2 strains circulating in wild boars and in domestic pigs are different and 64 not in a direct exchange. To prove this hypothesis, we investigated the distribution of PCV-2 65 genotypes within wild boar and domestic pig populations from overlapping greater areas of 66 Germany by sequence analysis.

- 67
- 68

69 **2. Materials and methods**

70

71 From 531 wild boars, hunted between November 2004 and March 2007, 40 samples from 17 72 hunting grounds situated in eleven federal states of Germany were randomly chosen for 73 sequence analysis. Domestic pig samples were taken from 60 apparently healthy (unrestricted at slaughter) 74 75 animals from 18 provenances located within 12 of the 14 federal states of Germany between 76 April and November 2007. DNA was extracted from lung, tonsils, spleen, Ln. bronchialis and Ln. mesentericus samples 77 78 as described elsewhere (Reiner et al., 2010). 79 ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes were detected by pyrosequencing on a PyroMark ID (Biotage, 80 Sweden) system and sequences were analyzed with the Pyro-Mark ID 1.0 Software (Biotage, 81 Sweden). PCR was performed in a total volume of 40 µl consisting of 20 µl of Multiplex 82 Mastermix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 4 µl of primermix (ORF2: Pyro-PCV2-F, Pyro-83 PCV2-R; ORF3: Orf3F, Orf3R; final concetration 0.4 µM; Tab.1), 12 µl of water and 4 µl of 84 template DNA. Cycling conditions were as follows: intitial activation of the DNA polymerase 85 for 15 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 52°C (ORF2) and 59°C (ORF3), respectively, for 90 seconds and extension at 72°C for 30 86 87 seconds, followed by final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Pyrosequencing was performed with 88 18 µl of PCR product using sequencing primers (Tab. 1) Pyro-PCV2-S (ORF2) and Orf3S 89 (ORF3) as described by the manufacturer. 90 PCV-2 genotypes were classified based on ORF2 sequences as described by Olvera et al. 91 (2007) and Segalés et al. (2008). ORF3 genotypes were defined from PCV-2 Genbank 92 database entries based on polymorphic sites at positions 310 to 304 of ORF3, which 93 corresponds to genomic positions 362 to 368.

- 94 Statistical analysis was performed with the "Statistical Package for Social Sciences" (SPSS,
- 95 version 15.0). Significances of differences in frequencies were tested with Chi^2 -test.
- 96 Differences between group means were calculated with ANOVA.
- 97
- 98
- 99 **3. Results**
- 100

101 Two ORF2 (Tab. 2) and nine ORF3 (Tab. 3) genotypes were detected in twelve combinations 102 (Tab. 4), with significant differences between wild boars and domestic pigs. There was no 103 significant effect of the hunting season. PCV-2b was the most common genotype in both 104 species. Almost 60% of the infected wild boars but only 4.8% of the infected domestic pigs 105 carried PCV-2a. ORF3 genotypes 1 and 3 had the highest prevalence, with ORF3 genotype 1 106 prevalence differing significantly between wild boars and domestic pigs. ORF3 genotypes 2 107 and 10 were detected 5 times more frequently in wild boars than in domestic pigs. Low 108 prevalence genotypes 5 and 9 were detected only in domestic pigs, genotypes 4 and 12 in wild 109 boars only. However, the effects of genotypes 2, 4, 10, and 12 were not significant because of 110 their overall low prevalence. Combined ORF2/ORF3 sequences in domestic pigs were 111 dominated by genotype combinations 2b/1 and 2b/3. Other combinations were rare (2b/2,112 2b/5, 2b/6, 2b/9, 2b/10, 2a/3 and 2a/10) or missing (2b/4, 2b/12, 2a/1, 2a/2). PCV-2 genotypes 113 of wild boars turned out to be more heterogeneous than those of domestic pigs. Thus, there 114 was a high prevalence for genotype combinations 2a/10, 2a/1, 2a/3, 2a/2, and 2b/2 that were 115 found significantly (except for 2b/2 and 2a/3) less frequently in PCV-2 from domestic pigs. 116 The distribution of PCV-2 genotypes differed significantly (P<0.05) between wild boars and 117 domestic pigs from the North, the East, and the Southwest of Germany (statistics not shown) 118 but not from Central Germany. Two different PCV-2 genotypes in one animal were identified 119 from 27% and 4% of wild boars and domestic pigs, respectively (P<0.001), and at least two

different PCV-2 genotypes were identified from 90% of hunting grounds and 50% of
domestic pig provenances with sequence information from at least two animals (P<0.01) (data
not shown).

123 ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes were assigned to 520 PCV-2 sequences extracted from the 124 Genbank database and compared to PCV-2 genotypes identified in this study (Tab. 5). Among 125 the database entries there were only five PCV-2 sequences from German domestic pigs from 126 1999/2000 which differed significantly from the domestic sequences of the present study and 127 from 124 sequences obtained from different European countries. However, European and 128 domestic pig PCV-2 sequences of the present study are in good agreement with 2b/1 and 2b/3 129 being the dominant genotypes. All other genotype combinations are infrequent in both groups. 130 Six sequences of European wild boars are available in the Genbank database. Although they 131 are derived from six individuals only, each representing a different genotype, these genotypes 132 agree with the major genotypes 2b/1, 2a/1, 2b/2, 2b/4 and 2a/10 of the wild boars of the 133 present study. Frequencies of genotypes 2b/1 and 2b/3 in wild boars are comparable with 134 those of European domestic pigs. However, genotypes 2a/1, 2b/2, 2a/3 and 2a/10 that add up 135 to a prevalence of 58% in wild boars are very rare in domestic pigs of the present study 136 (9.5%) and in European domestic pigs (8%). Genotypes 2a/1, 2b/2 and 2a/10 are also very 137 rare worldwide (7.5%), and genotype 2a/3 is not present at all in the 520 PCV-2 sequences 138 extracted from the Genbank database.

139

140

141 **4. Discussion**

142

143 The aim of the present study was to improve our understanding of PCV-2 exchange between

144 wild boars and domestic pigs, based on PCV-2 genotypes. Partial sequences of ORF2 and

145 ORF3 were determined from 40 wild boars and 60 domestic pigs from four greater areas of

146 Germany (North, East, Southwest and Central Germany). Significant differences in genotype 147 frequencies have been obtained. Of course the restricted number of samples cannot provide a 148 fully representative nationwide analysis, but the data is suitable for a good overview, because 149 a large area has been included and both, wild boar and domestic pig samples have been 150 acquired from the same greater areas. 151 Almost all domestic pigs were shown to carry PCV-2b which is in accordance to studies from 152 other countries (Gagnon et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010), therefore the significantly lower 153 prevalence in wild boars was unexpected. Most of the genotypes correspond well with those 154 from European domestic pig PCV-2 sequences derived from the Genbank database (August 155 2009; n=124), but they contrast very obviously with the deposited five sequences from 156 German domestic pigs. These five sequences originate from the years 1999/2000. Therefore the differences may indicate the general switch from PCV-2a to genotype PCV-2b after the 157 158 year 2003 that has been well described by Dupont et al. (2008). The conformity of domestic 159 pig sequences of the present study with European Genbank genotypes documents the 160 generality of the present study. 161 Differences and conformity of PCV-2 genotypes derived from wild boars and domestic pigs, 162 either from this study or from European Genbank database entries, indicate that wild boar and 163 domestic pig PCV-2 do coexist with some exchange. The higher diversity of PCV-2 164 genotypes in wild boars may be explained by recombination events between viruses of 165 different genotypes. This assumption if further supported by the fact that the level of 166 coinfection with several genotypes is higher in wild boars. More than 50% of the wild boar 167 PCV-2 genotypes are extremely rare in domestic pigs in Europe (Genbank) and Germany 168 (this study), even worldwide, inferring a certain independence of wild boar PCV-2 genotypes 169 from domestic pig PCV-2 genotypes. On the other hand, genotypes 2b/1 and 2b/3 may 170 indicate a certain exchange. Moreover, the fact that PCV-2a is prevalent in wild boars

171 (57.5%), but rare in domestic pigs (4.8%) and that PCV-2b is the almost exclusive genotype

172 in domestic pigs and also prevalent in wild boars argues for the hypothesis that exchange of 173 PCV-2 between both species emerges primarily from domestic pigs to wild boars and to a 174 much lesser extent in the reverse direction. A possible explanation for this hypothesis lies in 175 the different PCV-2 loads of wild boars and domestic pigs as described by Reiner et al. 176 (2010). Data of Albina et al. (2000) support this hypothesis. They suggested that virus 177 infections of swine have a higher probability to spread from domestic pigs to wild boars 178 rather than the reverse, except for domestic pigs kept outdoor which have increased chances 179 of direct contact to infected wild boars. 180 181 **5.** Conclusion 182 PCV-2 shows a high variability within and between wild boars and domestic pigs. Some 183 genotypes can be found almost exclusively in wild boars. These findings prove a certain 184 independence of PCV-2 infections in both species and a low chance for domestic pigs to be 185 infected with PCV-2 of wild boar origin. On the other hand, domestic pig specific PCV-2 186 genotypes are also common in wild boars, although at lower frequencies, suggesting the 187 spread of domestic pig PCV-2 to wild boar populations. 188 189 Acknowledgements 190 We thank the German Research Foundation (DFG) for financial support. 191 192 193 References 194 195 AASV, 2007. American Association of Swine Veterinarians board approves porcine 196 circovirus position statement - porcine circovirus associated disease: PCVAD case 197 definition. J. Swine Health Prod. 15, 45.

- 198 Albina, E., Mesplede, A., Chenut, G., Le Potier, M.F., Bourbao, G., Le Gal, S., Leforban, Y.,
- 199 2000. A serological survey on classical swine fever (CSF), Aujeszky's disease (AD) and
- 200 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus infections in French wild
- 201 boars from 1991 to 1998. Vet. Microbiol. 77, 43-57.
- 202 Armstrong, D., Bishop, S., 2004. Does genetics or litter effect influence mortality in PMWS.
- 203 Proceedings of the International Pig Veterinary Society Congress, p. 809.
- 204 Csagola, A., Kecskemeti, S., Kardos, G., Kiss, I., Tuboly, T., 2006. Genetic characterization
- 205 of type 2 porcine circoviruses detected in Hungarian wild boars. Arch. Virol. 151, 495-507.
- 206 Dupont, K., Nielsen, E.O., Baekbo, P., Larsen, L.E., 2008. Genomic analysis of PCV2
- 207 isolates from Danish archives and a current PMWS case-control study supports a shift in
- 208 genotypes with time. Vet. Microbiol. 128, 56-64.
- 209 Dupont, K., Hjulsager, C.K., Kristensen, C.S., Baekbo, P., Larsen, L.E., 2009. Transmission
- 210 of different variants of PCV2 and viral dynamics in a research facility with pigs mingled
- from PMWS-affected herds and non-affected herds. Vet. Microbiol. 139, 219-226.
- 212 Gagnon, C.A., Music, N., Fontaine, G., Tremblay, D., Harel, J., 2010. Emergence of a new
- 213 type of porcine circovirus in swine (PCV): A type 1 and type 2 PCV recombinant. Vet.
- 214 Microbiol., in press.
- 215 Harding, J.C., 1996. Post-weaning multisystemic wasting syndrome (PMWS): preliminary
- epidemiology and clinical presentation. In: Proceedings of the 27th Ann. Meet. West Can.
 Assoc. Swine Pract., Saskatoon, p. 21.
- 218 Knell, S., Willems, H., Hertrampf, B., Reiner, G., 2005. Comparative genetic characterization
- 219 of Porcine Circovirus type 2 samples from German wild boar populations. Vet. Microbiol.
- 109. 169-177.
- 221 Krakowka, S., Ellis, J., McNeilly, F., Waldner, C., Allan, G., 2005. Features of porcine
- 222 circovirus-2 disease: correlations between lesions, amount and distribution of virus, and
- clinical outcome. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 17, 213-222.

- Li, W., Wang, X., Ma, T., Feng, Z., Li, Y., Jiang, P.,2010. Genetic analysis of porcine
- circovirus type 2 (PCV2) strains isolated between 2001 and 2009: genotype PCV2b
- 226 predominate in postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome occurrences in eastern
- 227 China. Virus Genes 40, 244-251.
- Olvera, A., Cortey, M., Segalés, J., 2007. Molecular evolution of porcine circovirus type 2
 genomes: phylogeny and clonality. Virology 357, 175-185.
- Pensaert, M.B., Sanchez Jr, R.E., Nauwynck, H.J., 2001. Transmission of porcine circovirus
 type 2 from the sow to the litter. In: Proceedings of the Int. Conf. on ssDNA Viruses of
- 232 Plants, Birds and Primates, Saint Malo, p 84.
- 233 Pesch, S., Schmidt, U., Ohlinger, V.F., 2000. Proliferative necrotizing pneumonia (PNP) is a
- result of coinfection with porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV)
- and porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV-2). In: Proceedings of the 16th Int. Congr. Pig Vet.
- 236 Soc., p 581.
- 237 Reiner, G., Bronnert, S., Hohloch, C., Fresen, C., Haak, I., Willems, H., Reinacher, M.
- 238 Qualitative and quantitative distribution of PCV2 in wild boars and domestic pigs in
- Germany. Vet. Microbiol., in press: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.02.028.
- 240 Ruiz-Fons, F., Vicente, J., Vidal, D., Hofle, U., Villanua, D., Gauss, C., Segalés, J., Almeria,
- 241 S., Montoro, V., Gortazar, C., 2006. Seroprevalence of six reproductive pathogens in
- European wild boar (Sus scrofa) from Spain: the effect on wild boar female reproductive
- 243 performance. Theriogenology 65, 731-743.
- Ruiz-Fons, F., Segalés, J., Gortazar, C., 2008. A review of viral diseases of the European wild
 boar: effects of population dynamics and reservoir role. Vet. J. 176, 158-169.
- 246 Sanchez, R., Nauwynck, H.J., Pensaert, M., 2001. Serological survey of porcine circovirus 2
- antibodies in domestic and feral pig populations in Belgium. In: Proceedings Europ. Soc.
- 248 Vet. Virol., St Malo, France, p. 122.

- 249 Sedlak, K., Bartova, E., Machova, J., 2008. Antibodies to selected viral disease agents in wild
- boars from the Czech Republic. J. Wildl. Dis. 44, 777-780.
- 251 Segalés, J., Domingo, M., Latimer, K.S., 1998. Porcine circovirus is present in cases of
- 252 porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome (PDNS). In: Proceedings of the 15th Int. Pig
- 253 Vet. Soc. Congr., Birmingham, p. 215.
- Segalés, J., Allan, G.M., Domingo, M., 2005. Porcine circovirus diseases. Anim. Health Res.
 Rev. 6, 119-142.
- 256 Segalés, J., Olvera, A., Grau-Roma, L., Charreyre, C., Nauwynck, H., Larsen, L., Dupont, K.,
- 257 McCullough, K., Ellis, J., Krakowka, S., Mankertz, A., Fredholm, M., Fossum, C.,
- 258 Timmusk, S., Stockhofe-Zurwieden, N., Beattie, V., Armstrong, D., Grassland, B.,
- Baekbo, P., Allan, G., 2008. PCV-2 genotype definition and nomenclature. Vet. Rec. 162,
 867-868.
- 261 Vicente, J., Segalés, J., Hofle, U., Balasch, M., Plana-Duran, J., Domingo, M., Gortazar, C.,
- 262 2004. Epidemiological study on porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) infection in the
- European wild boar (Sus scrofa). Vet. Res. 35, 243-253.

COX COX

ruble 1.1 Cit and bequeneing primers for 1 yrobequeneing	Table 1: PCF	R and sequencing	primers for	Pyrosequencing
--	--------------	------------------	-------------	----------------

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Length	Position*	Literature
		[bp]		
Pyro-PCV2-F	GCCCTGAATTTCCATATGAAATAA	104	964-987	this study
Pyro-PCV2-R	Biotin-5'-ATTTAATCTTAAAGACCCCCCACT-3'		1044-1067	
Pyro-PCV2-S	TTTATCACTTCGTAATGG		1002-1019	
Orf3F	ATTGTTGGCGAGGAGGGTAA	318	180-199	this study
Orf3R	Biotin-5'-CCCGCGGAAATTTCTGAC-3'		480-497	
Orf3S	GTAAAGAAGGCAACTTAC		343-360	
*position in Ge	enbank acc. no. NC_005148			

Table 2: Overall variability of PCV-2 genotypes between wild boars and domestic pigs (in %)

PCV-2 genotypes ¹	Sequences (5´-3´)	Wild boars (n=40)	Domestic pigs (n=60)	P^2
2b	TTAAGGGTTAA	70.0	98.4	<0.001
2a	TTTAGGGTTTA	57.5	4.8	<0.001
¹ : according to See	ales et al 2008			

¹: according to Segales et al., 2008

²: Significance

Table 3: Overall variability in ORF3 sequences between wild boars and domestic pigs (in %).

ORF3 genotypes	Sequences (5´-3´)	Wild boars (n=40)	Domestic pigs (n=60)	P^1
1	TGATGGAGTG	31.6	47.2	<0.001
2	TGATGGAATG	21.0	3.8	<0.001
3	TGATCGAGTG	39.5	41.5	n.s.
4	TGATTGAGTG	2.6	0	n.s.
5	TGGTGGAGTG	0	1.9	n.s.
6	TGATAGAGTG	2.6	1.9	n.s.
9	TGATCGAATG	0	1.9	n.s.
10	TTATTGAGTG	26.3	5.7	0.004
12	TTATTGAATG	2.6	0	n.s.

¹: Significance, n.s. not significant

Table 4: Overall combination of ORF2 and ORF3 genotypes in wild boars and domestic pigs

(in %).

ORF2/ORF3 genotypes	Wild boars (n=40)	Domestic pigs (n=60)	P ¹
2b/1	18.4	47.2	0.007
2b/2	10.5	3.8	n.s.
2b/3	26.3	37.7	n.s.
2b/4	2.6	0	n.s.
2b/5	0	1.9	n.s. 🔶
2b/6	2.6	1.9	n.s.
2b/9	0	1.9	n.s.
2b/10	5.2	3.8	n.s.
2b/12	2.6	0	n.s.
2a/1	13.2	0	0.011
2a/2	10.5	0	0.028
2a/3	13.2	3.8	n.s.
2a/10	21.1	1.9	0.004

¹: Significance, n.s. not significant

Table 5: Comparison of the frequencies (%) of PCV-2 genotypes identified in domestic pigs and wild boars from this study and from sequences extracted from the Genbank database¹

						Dome	estic pi	gs (DP	')			Wild bo	oars (WB)
Ger	iotypes					Genb	ank	•	,		This	study	Genbank
ORF2	ORF3		Total ²	AS^2	SA ²	AF ²	AU^2	NA^2	EU^2	Ger ²	DP	WB	WB
		n	520	308	13	1	8	66	124	5	60	40	6
2b	1		30.2	30.8	23.1			7.6	43.5		47.2	18.4	33.3
2a	I		1.2	1.3					1.6			13.2	16,7
2b	2		3.6	5.6					1.6		3.8	10.5	16.7
2a	2		3.5	0.3			75.0	1.5	8.0	20.0			
2b	3		13.1	10.4					29.0		37.7	26.3	
2a	5										3.8	13.2	*
2b	4		7.5	1.0	38.5			43.9	1.6			2.6	16.7
2b	5		0.4		15.4						1.9		
2b	6		0.2	0.0					0.8		1.9	2.6	
2b	7		8.7	14.6									
2b	8		0.2	0.3									
2b	٥		6.8	10.9					0.8		1.9		
2a	9		0.2	0.3									
2b	10		0.8	1.0					0.8		3.8	5.2	
2a	10		2.7	0.9	7.7		12.5	4.5	4.8	40.0	1.9	21.1	16.7
2b	11		1.0	0.9					1.6				
2b	12		0.4	0.6								2.6	
2a	12		8.3	3.9	15.4	100.0	12.5	40.9					
2b	13		0.4	0.6									
2a	10		1.9	3.2									
2a	14		0.2	0.3									
2a	15		0.2					1.5					
2b	16		0.4	0.6									
2b	17		0.2						0.8				
2a	18		0.2						0.8				
2b	19		6.7	11.3									
2a	13		1.4	0.6					4.0	40.0			

¹: release August 2009

²: Total: all sequences; AS: Asia; SA: South America; AF: South Africa; AU: Australia; NA:

North America; EU: Europe; Ger: Germany.