

Analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region of Mycoplasma synoviae field strains

Ana S Ramírez, Clive J Naylor, Christine A Yavari, Cynthia M Dare, Janet

Bradbury

► To cite this version:

Ana S
 Ramírez, Clive J Naylor, Christine A Yavari, Cynthia M Dare, Janet Bradbury. Analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region of Mycoplasma synoviae field strains. Avian Pathology, 2011, 40 (01), pp.79-86. 10.1080/03079457.2010.537305 . hal-00670242

HAL Id: hal-00670242 https://hal.science/hal-00670242

Submitted on 15 Feb 2012 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region of Mycoplasma synoviae field strains

Journal:	Avian Pathology
Manuscript ID:	CAVP-2010-0135
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Aug-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Ramírez, Ana; Universidad de Las Palmas de G.C., Unidad de Epidemiología y Medicina Preventiva Naylor, Clive; University of Liverpool, School of Veterinary Science Yavari, Christine; University of Liverpool, School of Veterinary Science Dare, Cynthia; University of Liverpool, School of Veterinary Science Bradbury, Janet; University of Liverpool, School of Veterinary Science
Keywords:	Mycoplasma, M. synoviae, intergenic spacer region, strain typing



E-mail: cavanagh@metronet.co.uk URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/cavp

Analysis of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region of *Mycoplasma* synoviae field strains

Ana S. Ramírez^{1,2}, Clive J. Naylor¹, Christine A. Yavari¹, Cynthia M. Dare¹ and Janet M. Bradbury^{1*}

¹Department of Veterinary Pathology, University of Liverpool, Leahurst Campus, Neston CH64 7TE UK, and ²Unidad de Epidemiología y Medicina Preventiva, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Las Palmas de G.C. Trasmontaña s/n, Arucas. 35416. Las Palmas, Spain

Short title: Intergenic spacer region of M. synoviae strains

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: + 44 151 794 6115. Fax: + 44

151 794 6110. E-mail: jmb41@liverpool.ac.uk

Received: 25 August 2010

Abstract

Mycoplasma synoviae has been associated with economic loss in chicken and turkey industries. The molecular characterization of *M. synoviae* at strain level allows the analysis of relationships between strains which may be valuable in epidemiological investigations. In this study, the intergenic spacer region (ISR) between the 16S and 23S rRNA genes was examined to see if useful information about strains could be derived. *M. synoviae* has two copies of this region, which may not be exactly the same (intercistronic heterogeneity). Sequencing of the ISRs of 21 M. synoviae isolates and the type strain revealed that 19 of them had such heterogeneity so DNA cloning was performed where necessary. All sequences were analysed and aligned; the percentage similarity of the DNA was calculated and a dendrogram was constructed. The length of the ISRs varied between 305 and 309 bp. Apart from having extra A/Ts in poly A or poly T regions and the presence of a few polymorphisms, the sequences of the M. synoviae strains were similar. Based on phylogenetic analysis the strains were assigned to 10 groups, taking into account that within each group the DNA similarity was 100%, while the lowest similarity between groups was 95.8%. The results were compared to those obtained with the *vlhA* gene, resulting in very similar *M*. synoviae groups. Although the ISR could be a good target for strain typing, as has been shown by others for *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, the method may be too cumbersome for routine use with *M. synoviae* because of complications with intercistronic heterogeneity. However, if the ISR sequence information were to be combined with other mutation detection techniques it could increase the discriminatory power.

Introduction

Mycoplasma synoviae has been found in a wide range of birds and appears to be particularly prevalent in chickens and turkeys (Kempf, 1998, Hagan *et al.*, 2004). It can cause infectious synovitis and subclinical respiratory infections and also overt respiratory disease under some circumstances (Bradbury & Morrow, 2008). The epidemiology of *M. synoviae* is complex since it can be transmitted either vertically through the egg, or horizontally to other birds by direct contact between clinically affected birds or sub-clinical carriers and susceptible birds. Indirect transmission may also play a role in spread of the organism (Marois *et al.*, 2000) and, even though the mycoplasmas do not apparently survive for long periods in the environment, *M. synoviae* may persist on feathers for up to 2 or 3 days at room temperature (Christensen *et al.*, 1994). Indeed, an experimental study has shown that inanimate objects contaminated with *M. synoviae* (dust, feathers and food) can infect chicks (Marois *et al.*, 2005).

There is no obvious phenotypic diversity among *M. synoviae* strains but molecular characterization at strain level may assist in epidemiological studies to determine the source of infections and the relationships among strains isolated from neighbouring or related flocks. Heterogeneity among *M. synoviae* strains has been studied by ribotyping (Yogev *et al.*, 1988), sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of cell proteins (Ley & Avakian, 1992), restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) of DNA (Morrow *et al.*, 1990; Ley & Avakian, 1992), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Fan *et al.*, 1995; Rawadi, 1998; Marois *et al.*, 2001; Feberwee *et al.*, 2005), pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (Marois *et al.*, 2001), and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Feberwee *et al.*, 2005; Hong *et al.*, 2005). More recently, it was shown that DNA

3

sequence analysis targeting the haemagglutinin-encoding *vlhA* gene is a useful tool for detection and initial typing of *M. synoviae* strains (Benčina *et al.*, 2001; Hong *et al.*, 2004; Jeffery *et al.*, 2007, Hammond *et al.*, 2009).

Another DNA sequencing target is the intergenic spacer region of the16S-23S rRNA genes (ISR). The ISR separates each rRNA gene, normally organized in operons and arranged in the order 5' 16S-23S-5S 3'. Knowledge about the organization of the mycoplasma rRNA operon and the number of such operons is still somewhat limited but the most common number is one or two (Razin *et al.*, 1998). *M. synoviae* has two sets of 16S and 23S rRNA genes and three sets of 5S rRNA genes (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2005; De Oliveira Santos *et al.*, 2007). The 16S and 23S rRNA genes are organized in two operons in the same orientation (Allen *et al.*, 2005) while the 5S rRNA genes are apparently located at a greater distance than usual from the 16S-23S cluster (De Oliveira Santos *et al.*, 2007). In previous work, the ISR from the type strains of all the avian *Mycoplasma* species was studied (Harasawa *et al.*, 2004; Ramírez *et al.*, 2008) and great variations in size and composition were found. The only conserved region between all the avian mycoplasmas (Ramírez *et al.*, 2008) was a sequence described by Harasawa *et al.*, (1992) as 'box A'.

Gürtler and Stanisich (1996) suggested that considerable variation of the ISR can occur not only between species but also between strains within a species. However there can also be variation between alleles of the rRNA operon within a single strain. Sequences of this region have been useful for analysis of strains of various bacterial species, such as *Bifidobacterium* spp. (Leblond-Bourget *et al.*, 1996), *Streptococcus* spp. (Chanter *et al.*, 1997), *Bacillus subtilis* (Shaver *et al.*, 2001), phytoplasmas (Smart *et al.*, 1996), *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Ureaplasma parvum* (Harasawa & Kanamoto, 1999; Robertson *et al.*, 2002), and *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (Raviv *et al.*, 2007; Yavari *et al.*, 2007).

4

As there appears to be no information available about the ISR sequences of *M*. *synoviae* field strains the aim of the present study was to determine the detail of the intra-specific polymorphism of the *M. synoviae* ISR, to evaluate the discriminatory power and to analyse the potential usefulness of this region for identifying *M*. *synoviae* strains in epidemiological studies. The results were then compared to those obtained by analysing the *vlhA* gene, using data mainly from an earlier study (Hammond *et al.*, 2009).

Materials and methods

Organisms and culture conditions. *M. synoviae* type strain WVU1853^T and 21 *M. synoviae* field isolates from different hosts, different countries and at different periods were used in an attempt to cover a significant section of the genetic diversity of this bacterial species (Table 1). The mycoplasmas were cultivated in mycoplasma broth and agar (Bradbury, 1977) in a CO_2 rich atmosphere. They were filter-cloned (Tully, 1983) to ensure purity, and the identity and purity were confirmed by the indirect fluorescent antibody (IFA) test (Rosendal and Black, 1972).

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using Chelex resin and heating as has been previously described (Harasawa *et al.*, 2004).

PCR primers and amplification of the 16S-23S ISR. DNA amplifications were performed with a forward primer (5'-CGT TCT CGG GTC TTG TAC AC-3') and a reverse primer (5'-CGC AGG TTT GCA CGT CCT TCA TCG-3') in a DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer), following the protocol used by Ramírez *et al.* (2008). These primers were designed to amplify the ISR of all the avian mycoplasmas.

PCR primers and amplification of the *vlhA* **gene.** In order to compare the phylogenetic tree obtained from the ISR sequences with that derived from the *vlhA* gene sequences of the same isolates, PCR amplification using *vlhA*F and *vlhA*R2 primers was performed with five of the *M. synoviae* isolates as previously described (Hammond *et al.*, 2009). These are indicated on Table 1. The other *vlhA* gene sequences had been already published by Hammond *et al.* (2009).

Cloning PCR products and sequencing of the ISR. Where DNA cloning was needed, conventional methods for the ligation, transformation and recombination were used (Ramírez *et al.*, 2008). The plasmids were purified with DNA Spin MiniPrep Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The size of the inserts was confirmed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis after *Eco*R1 enzyme (Gibco BRL) digestion. For each strain five clones were selected for sequencing. Sequencing was conducted by Imperial College, London (UK) and for every PCR product both strands of DNA were sequenced at least twice.

Data analysis. The resulting chromatograms were examined in Chromas (version 1.45; School of Health Science, Griffith University, Australia). The forward and reverse complemented sequences were compared to produce a consensus sequence using Generunner (version 3.05; Hastings Software, Inc.). Dendrograms were constructed from the ISR and *vlhA* alignments by the neighbour-joining method and 1000 bootstrap replicate analysis using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0 (Tamura *et al.*, 2007).

For checking the intra-species variability with percent similarity of the ISR sequences of all *M. synoviae* strains was determined using the program Bioedit

version 7.0.0 (Hall, 1999). Strains with identical sequences were assigned to the same alphabetical group.

The numerical index of discrimination (*D*) used was described by Hunter & Gaston (1988). *D*>0.95 is considered a good discrimination index.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The *M. synoviae* sequences determined here were assigned in the Genbank with accession numbers FM21339 to FM213419 for the ISR and FN666084 to FN666088 for the new *vlhA* sequences.

Results

ISR PCR products were successfully obtained from all the *M. synoviae* strains, although there was a three nucleotide mismatch in the middle of the reverse primer. The amplified fragments (around 540 bp), which yielded a single product in each case, corresponded in size to the length of the ISR plus 163 bp from the 3' end of the 16S rRNA gene and 71 bp from the 5' end of the 23S rRNA gene (data not shown). The length of the ISRs, the polymorphisms, the deletion/insertions and the Genbank/EMBL accession numbers for the ISR sequences of the 22 *M. synoviae* strains are listed in Table 1 and details of the polymorphisms are shown in Table 2. Complete sequence information is in Supplementary Figure 1. The length of the ISRs varied between 305 and 309 bp. The number of polymorphisms found within a single *M. synoviae* strain varied between 0 and 5. The number of nucleotide differences between different *M. synoviae* strains varied from 0 to 11.

In the alignment (Figure 1) it can be seen that apart from having extra A/Ts in poly A or poly T regions and the presence of a few polymorphisms, the sequences of

the *M. synoviae* strains are exactly the same. The strains could be allocated to 10 groups (A to J) based on 100% DNA similarity (Table 1), members of each group having exactly the same polymorphisms and insertion/deletion patterns (Table 2). The percent similarity between the groups is shown in Table 3, with the lowest similarity being 95.8% and the highest 99.3%.

The phylogenetic analysis is shown as a dendrogram (Figure 2). This information complements the sequence information and shows the relationship between each group. Seven of the 10 groups have only one member, two have two and group E contains 11 strains. The numerical index of discrimination for these ISR sequences was 0.75.

Amplification of the *vlhA* gene of the strains B22/91 B98/95, B19/02, B76/02 and Sp267 produced a single PCR product per strain. The length of the sequence varied between the strains from 354 bp (B98/95 andB76/02) to 315 bp of the strain Sp267, being the strains B22/91 and B19/02, 327 bp. The dendrogram based on the *M. synoviae vlhA* sequences (Figure 3) showed very similar groups to that shown in the ISR in Figure 2 except that using the *vlhA* sequences strain B133/99/5 split from group E into a new group (K). This was due to the deletion of 39 nucleotides. The other difference was that strains B13/96/P1 and B13/96/P2 were in the same group whereas they were separated into two groups (F and G) when the ISR sequences were analysed because of two polymorphisms found in B13/96/P1 ISR sequence (Table 2).

Discussion

The ISR primers used in our study were 'universal' in that they were designed to amplify the ISR of all avian *Mycoplasma* species (Ramírez *et al.* 2008). The

reverse primer has also been paired successfully with a specific forward *M. synoviae* primer based in the ISR for a diagnostic PCR (Ramírez *et al.*, 2006). However, to have a perfect match with the published WVU1853 23S rRNA sequence, the primer sequence should be 5'-CGC AGG T<u>AA T</u>CA CGT CCT TCA TCG-3' instead of 5'-CGC AGG T<u>TT G</u>CA CGT CCT TCA TCG-3'. All our *M. synoviae* isolates and the type strain were successfully amplified despite the three mismatches present in the reverse primer.

The ISR is assumed to have more variability than the highly conserved 16S rRNA gene (Gürtler, 1999) and variation within the ISR of *M. synoviae* strains was suggested by Lauerman *et al.* (1995) when they observed variable lengths of PCR amplicons among *M. synoviae* strains when universal primers for amplification of the 16S/23S rRNA ISR were used. There was also variation in their banding patterns after restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the PCR products. Therefore we expected some degree of variation in the ISR of our *M. synoviae* strains. However in our study, all strains yielded only one PCR product of the same size, approximately 540 bp, meaning that there were no great differences in sequence length in our strains.

After sequencing, some variability in length and in sequence was found between the *M. synoviae* strains (intra-species) (Figure 1) and also between the two operons (intercistronic heterogeneity) (Table 1). The length variability was due to extra As or Ts in poly A or poly T regions, respectively.

In bacteria, where there is more than one operon these are often not identical, particularly in relation to the ISR (Condon *et al.*, 1995). In our study, we assumed that since universal primers were used, all operons were targeted; however, the locus of the ISRs examined in this study could not be identified. Only

9

three of the *M. synoviae* strains presented intercistronic homogeneity (strains B38/96/1764, B19/02 and Sp267).

Polymorphisms and/or sequence length variations in the ISR were found in all the other strains. In this situation, the sequences were not always possible to determine and cloning was needed to obtain them. Volokhov *et al.* (2006) suggested two possible explanations: the presence of at least two ISR alleles in the chromosome or the existence of a subtype strain mixture. As colony cloning was done in this study, the first suggestion is the most plausible, since recent studies confirmed that *M. synoviae* has two rRNA operons (Allen *et al.*, 2005; Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2005; De Oliveira Santos *et al.*, 2007). *M. gallisepticum*, on the other hand, contains only a single copy of the ISR between the 16S and the 23S rRNA genes (Papazisi *et al.*, 2003). For this reason, Raviv *et al.* (2007) and Yavari *et al.* (2007) did not find any of those problems when using the ISR to type the avian pathogen *M. gallisepticum*.

The numbers of sequence differences in *M. synoviae* are larger than in other *Mollicutes* spp. examined, showing an intra-species similarity of 95.8% compared to 100% between strains of *M. iowae* (Ramírez *et al.*, 2008), *M. pulmonis* (Harasawa, 1999), *M. pneumoniae* (Dorigo Zetsma *et al.*, 2000), *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *U. parvum* (Harasawa & Kanamoto, 1999; Robertson et al., 2002). Volokhov *et al.* (2006) analysed sequences of the ISR of a few (between two and eight) strains of each of *M. hominis*, *M. arginini*, *M. bovis*, *M. genitalium*, *M. pneumoniae*, *M. hyorhinis* and *M. felis* obtained from GenBank and found 98–100% similarity within species. However our previous studies with 16 *M. meleagridis* strains showed 97.2% similarity, while far more variability (94%) was seen within 11 strains of *M. gallisepticum* (Ramírez *et al.*, 2008).

The apparent variation in the ISRs of some species and not in others might be related to the opportunities for host to host passage of the organism accelerating the rate of mutation. *M. synoviae* and *M. gallisepticum* have many host species (chicken, turkey, game and wild birds) and also infect very large populations of chickens and turkeys while, for example, mycoplasmas with human or bovine hosts will have less opportunity for host to host passage.

Although it was possible to put the 22 *M. synoviae* strains into 10 groups, 11 of them fell into one group (E) while seven strains each constituted an individual group. The index of discrimination *D* was poor, being 0.75. An index >0.90 is considered adequate (Raviv *et al.*, 2007). However, the acceptable level of discrimination will depend on several factors including the number of strains used. Hunter & Gaston (1988) gave an example with 100 strains, while only 22 strains were tested in this study.

Another reason for the low index was that the analysis should ideally be carried out between unrelated strains. Our strains were obtained mainly from the laboratory archive from our diagnostic service and some of them could be related cases, but within the poultry industry it is very difficult to establish the direct and indirect contact between infected flocks. For the 11 strains that shared the same sequence profile (Group E), associations could be seen between some strains. For example strains B91/96/798 and B91/96/801 were isolates from the same flock, as were strains B133/99/5 and B133/99/12. Even though some strains in this group were isolated from poultry located in different countries, the opportunity for spread of *M. synoviae* strains between these countries by commercial trading cannot be discounted. Strain B13/96/P1 (Group F) and strain B13/96/P2 (Group G) were isolated at the same time from two pheasants near an *M. synoviae*-infected chicken flock and it was expected that they might also be identical but the ISR analysis places them in two

11

different groups. From these results it is not possible to know if the variation is due to passage within pheasants or if each was infected by a different strain.

Despite the poor value of the index of discrimination we were still able to divide 22 strains into 10 groups which suggests that there may be some value for using the ISR for epidemiological purposes as has already been shown for *M. gallisepticum* (Raviv *et al.*, 2007), as well as for other bacteria such as *Clostridium difficile* (Gürtler, 1993), *Bacillus* spp. (Houpikian & Raoult, 2001), *Streptococcus zooepidemicus* (Newton *et al.*, 2008) and *Vibrio vulnificus* (González-Escalona *et al.*, 2007).

The sequences of the *vlhA* genes of strains B22/91, B98/95, B19/02, B76/02 and Sp267 were used together with those of Hammond *et al.* (2009) to build a dendrogram, in order to compare it with the one obtained using the ISR information. The two dendrograms (Figure 2 and Figure 3) produced nearly the same groupings, surprisingly, because the ISR is a non-coding region and the *vlhA* gene codes for a haemagglutinin. Hammond *et al.* (2009) mention that strains B133/99/5 and B133/99/12 were isolates from the same farm. Their ISRs are exactly the same but the *vlhA* sequences differ in a single insertion/deletion of 39 nucleotides. Hammond *et al.* (2009) suggested that either both isolates were the same strain and one had undergone a deletion during passage on the farm, or that the isolates represented two different strains. Looking at the comparative result, we believe that the first hypothesis is more likely. The converse situation was seen with the sequences of strains B13/96/P1 and B13/96/P2, which by *vlhA* analysis appeared to be the same, but which showed two polymorphisms in the ISR in the former strain that do not exist in the latter. Both strains were isolated from pheasants from the same location.

Both methods appear to give useful and similar information for *M. synoviae* strain typing. However the advantage of using the *M. synoviae vlhA* gene method is that a specific PCR is used and there is no need to cultivate or clone the organism.

In conclusion although analysis of the *M. synoviae* ISR is a potentially useful tool for strain typing cloning of the DNA may be needed for strains in which the two copies are different in length. This may make the method too cumbersome for routine use. However, ISR typing may prove useful with other mutation detection techniques such as denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, single-strand conformation polymorphism or high-resolution melting curve analysis.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. K. Ganapathy for providing the Malaysian sparrow *M. synoviae* strain.

References

Allen, J.L., Noormohammadi, A.H. & Browning, G.F. (2005). The vlhA loci of Mycoplasma synoviae are confined to a restricted region of the genome. Microbiology, 151, 935-940.

Benčina, D., Drobnič-Valič, M., Horvat, S., Narat, M., Kleven S.H. & Dovč, P.
(2001). Molecular basis of the length variation in N-terminal part of *Mycoplasma synoviae* hemagglutinin. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 203, 115–123.

- Bradbury, J.M., 1977. Rapid biochemical tests for characterization of the *Mycoplasmatales. Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *5*, 531-534.
- Bradbury, J. M. and Morrow, C.J. (2008). Avian Mycoplasmas. In M. Pattison, P.F.
 McMullin, J.M. Bradbury and D.J. Alexander (Eds.), *Poultry Diseases* (pp. 220-234). Elsevier.
- Chanter, N., Collin, N., Holmes, N., Binns M. & Mumford, J. (1997). Characterization of the Lancefield group C streptococcus 16S–23S RNA gene intergenic spacer and its potential for identification and sub-specific typing, *Epidemiology and Infection*, *118*, 125–135.
- Christensen, N.H., Yavari, C.A., McBain, A.J. & Bradbury J.M. (1994). Investigations into the survival of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, *Mycoplasma synoviae* and *Mycoplasma iowae* on materials found in the poultry house environment. *Avian Pathology*, 23, 127–143.
- Condon, C., Squires, C. & Squires, C.L. (1995). Control of rRNA transcription in *Escherichia coli. Microbiological Reviews*, *59*, 623-645.
- De Oliveira Santos, M., da Silva Castro, N., Pereira, M. & de Almeida Soares, C.M. (2007). Genes involved in translation of *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma synoviae*. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, *30*, 230-235.
- Dorigo Zetsma, J.W., Dankert, J. & Zaat, S.A.J. (2000). Genotyping of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* clinical isolates reveals eight P1 subtypes within two genomic groups. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *38*, 965–970.
- Fan, H.H., Kleven, S.H. & Jackwood, M.W. (1995). Studies of intraspecies heterogeneity of *Mycoplasma synoviae*, *M. meleagridis*, and *M. iowae* with arbitrarily primed polymerase chain reaction. *Avian Diseases*, 39, 766-777.

- Feberwee, A., Dijkstra, J.R., von Banniseht-Wysmuller, T.E., Gielkens, A.L.J. & Wagenaar, J.A. (2005). Genotyping of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis and digitalized random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *111*, 125-131.
- González-Escalona, N., Jaykus, L.A. & DePaola, A. (2007). Typing of Vibrio
 vulnificus strains by variability in their 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer regions.
 Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 4, 327-337.
- Gürtler, V. (1993). Typing of *Clostridium difficile* strains by PCR-amplification of variable length 16S-23S rDNA spacer regions. *Journal of General Microbiology*, *139*, 3089-3097.
- Gürtler, V. (1999). The role of recombination and mutation in 16S-23S rDNA spacer rearrangements. *Gene, 238*, 241-252.
- Gürtler, V. & Stanisich, V. A. (1996). New approaches to typing and identification of bacteria using the 16S-23S rDNA spacer region. *Microbiology*, *142*, 3-16.
- Hagan, J.C., Ashton, N.J., Bradbury, J.M. & Morgan, K.L. 2004. Evaluation of an egg yolk enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay antibody test and its use to assess the prevalence of *Mycoplasma synoviae* in UK laying hens. *Avian Pathology, 33*, 93-97.
- Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, *41*, 95-98.
- Hammond, P.P., Ramírez, A.S., Morrow, C.J. & Bradbury, J.M. (2009). Development and evaluation of an improved diagnostic PCR for *Mycoplasma synoviae* using

primers located in the haemagglutinin encoding gene *vlhA* and its value for strain typing. *Veterinary Microbiology, 136*, 61-68.

- Harasawa, R. (1999). Genetic relationships among mycoplasmas based on the 16S– 23S rRNA spacer sequence. *Microbiology and Immunology*, *43*, 127–132.
- Harasawa, R. & Kanamoto, Y. (1999). Differentiation of two biovars of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* based on the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *37*, 4135-4138.
- Harasawa, R., Uemori, T., Asada, K., Kato, I. & Shiragami, N. (1992). "box A"-like sequence between the 16S/23S spacer in rRNA operon of mycoplasmas. *FEBS Letters, 297*, 209-211.
- Harasawa, R., Pitcher, D.G., Ramírez, A.S. & Bradbury, J.M. (2004). A putative transposase gene assigned in the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region of *Mycoplasma imitans*. *Microbiology*, 150, 1023-1029.
- Hong, Y., Garcia, M., Leiting, V., Bencina, D., Dufour-Zavala, L., Zaval, G. & Kleven, S.H. (2004). Specific detection and typing of *Mycoplasma synoviae* strains in poultry with PCR and DNA sequence analysis targeting the hemagglutinin encoding gene *vlhA*. *Avian Diseases, 48*, 606-616.
- Hong, Y., Garcia, M, Levisohn, S., Lysnyansky, I., Leiting, V., Savelkoul, P. H. M. & Kleven, S. H. (2005). Evaluation of amplified fragment length polymorphism for differentiation of avian mycoplasma species. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *43*, 909–912.
- Houpikian, P. & Raoult, D. (2001). 16S/23S rRNA intergenic spacer regions for phylogenetic analysis, identification, and subtyping of *Bartonella* species. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 39, 2768-2778.

- Hunter, P.R. & Gaston, M.A. (1988). Numerical index of the discriminatory ability of typing systems: an application of Simpson's index of diversity. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 26, 2465–2466.
- Jeffery, N., Gasser, R.B., Steer, P.A., Noormohammadi & A.H. (2007). Classification of *Mycoplasma synoviae* strains using single/strand conformation polymorphism and high-resolution melting-curve analysis of the *vlhA* gene single copy region. *Microbiology*, 153, 2679-2688.
- Kempf, I. (1998). DNA amplification methods for diagnosis and epidemiological investigations of avian mycoplasmosis. Avian Pathology, 27, 7-14.
- Lauerman, L.H., Chilina, A.R., Closser, J.A. & Johansen, D. (1995). Avian mycoplasma identification using polymerase chain reaction amplicon and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *Avian Diseases, 39*, 804-811.
- Leblond-Bourget, N., Philippe, H., Mangin, I. & Decaris, B. (1996). 16S rRNA and 16S to 23S internal transcribed spacer sequence analyses reveal inter- and intraspecific *Bifidobacterium* phylogeny. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 46*, 102–111.
- Ley, D.H. & Avakian, A.P. (1992). An outbreak of *Mycoplasma synoviae* infection in North Carolina turkeys: comparison of isolates by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and restriction endonuclease analysis. *Avian Disesases, 36*, 672-678.
- Marois, C., Dufour-Gesbert, F. & Kempf, (2000). I. Detection of *Mycoplasma* synoviae in poultry environment samples by culture and polymerase chain reaction. Veterinary Microbiology, 73, 311–318.

- Marois, C., Dufour-Gesbert, F. & Kempf, I. (2001). Comparison of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis with random amplified polymorphic DNA for typing of *Mycoplasma synoviae*. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 79, 1–9.
- Marois, C., Picault, J.P., Kobisch, M. & Kempf, I. (2005). Experimental evidence of indirect transmission of *Mycoplasma synoviae*. Veterinary Research, 36, 759-769.
- Morrow, C.J., Whithear, K.G. & Kleven, S.H., 1990. Restriction endonuclease analysis of *Mycoplasma synoviae* strains. *Avian Diseases, 34*, 611-616.
- Newton, J.R., Laxton, R., Wood, J.L.N. & Chanter, N. (2008). Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus zooepidemicus* infection in naturally occurring equine respiratory disease. *The Veterinary Journal*, 175, 338-345.
- Papazisi, L., Gorton, T.S., Kutish, G., Markham, P.F., Browning, G.F., Nguyen, D.K., Swartzell, S., Madan, A., Mahairas, G. & Geary, S.J. (2003). The complete genome sequence of the avian pathogen *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* strain R(low). *Microbiology*, 149, 2307-2316.
- Ramírez, A.S, Naylor, C.J., Hammond, P.P. & Bradbury, J.M. (2006). Development and evaluation of a diagnostic PCR for *Mycoplasma synoviae* using primers located in the intergenic spacer region and the 23S rRNA gene. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 118, 76-82.
- Ramírez, A.S., Naylor, C.J, Pitcher, D.G. & Bradbury, J.M. (2008). High inter-species and low intra-species variation in 16S-23S rDNA spacer sequences of pathogenic avian mycoplasmas offers potential use as a diagnostic tool. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 128, 279-287.
- Raviv, Z. Callison, S., Ferguson-Noel, N., Laibinis, V., Wooten, R., Kleven, S.H.(2007). The *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region

sequence as a novel tool for epizootiological studies. *Avian Diseases*, *51*, 555-560.

- Rawadi, G.A. (1998). Characterization of mycoplasmas by RAPD fingerprinting. *Methods in Molecular Biology, 104*, 179-187.
- Razin, S., Yogev, D. & Naot, Y. (1998). Molecular biology and pathogenicity of mycoplasmas. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 62, 1094-1156.

Robertson, J. A., Stemke, G. W., Davis, J. W. Jr, Harasawa, R., Thirkell, D., Kong, F., Shepard, M.C. & Ford, D. K. (2002). Proposal of *Ureaplasma parvum* sp. nov. and emended description of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* (Shepard *et al.* 1974)
Robertson *et al.* 2001. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *52*, 587-597.

Rosendal, S. & Black, F. T. (1972). Direct and indirect immunofluorescence of unfixed and fixed mycoplasma colonies. *Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica, 80*, 615-622.

Shaver, Y.J., Nagpal, M.L., Fox, K.F., Rudner, R. & Fox, A. (2001). Variation in 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer regions among *Bacillus subtilis* 168 isolates. *Molecular Biology*, 42, 101-109.

- Smart, C.D., Schneider, B., Blomquist, C.L., Guerra, L.J., Harrison, N.A., Ahrens, U., Lorenz, K.H., Seemüller, E. & Kirkpatrick, B.C. (1996). Phytoplasma-specific PCR primers based on sequences of the 16S-23S rRNA spacer region. *Applied* and Environmental Microbiology, 62, 2988-2993.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24,1596-1599.

Tully, J.G. (1983). Cloning and filtration techniques for mycoplasmas. In S. Razin & J. G. Tully (Eds.), *Methods in Mycoplasmology vol. 1: Mycoplasma characterization* 1st edn, (pp.173-177). New York: Academic Press.

Vasconcelos, A.T., Ferreira, H.B., Bizarro, C.V., Bonatto, S.L., Carvalho, M.O., Pinto, P.M., Almeida, D.F., Almeida, L.G., Almeida, R., Alves-Filho, L., Assuncao, E.N., Azevedo, V.A., Bogo, M.R., Brigido, M.M., Brocchi, M., Burity, H.A., Camargo, A.A., Camargo, S.S., Carepo, M.S., Carraro, D.M., de Mattos Cascardo, J.C., Castro, L.A., Cavalcanti, G., Chemale, G., Collevatti, R.G., Cunha, C.W., Dallagiovanna, B., Dambros, B.P., Dellagostin, O.A., Falcao, C., Fantinatti-Garboggini, F., Felipe, M.S., Fiorentin, L., Franco, G.R., Freitas, N.S., Frias, D., Grangeiro, T.B., Grisard, E.C., Guimaraes, C.T., Hungria, M., Jardim, S.N., Krieger, M.A., Laurino, J.P., Lima, L.F., Lopes, M.I., Loreto, E.L., Madeira, H.M., Manfio, G.P., Maranhao, A.Q., Martinkovics, C.T., Medeiros, S.R., Moreira, M.A., Neiva, M., Ramalho-Neto, C.E., Nicolas, M.F., Oliveira, S.C., Paixao, R.F., Pedrosa, F.O., Pena, S.D., Pereira, M., Pereira-Ferrari, L., Piffer, I., Pinto, L.S., Potrich, D.P., Salim, A.C., Santos, F.R., Schmitt, R., Schneider, M.P., Schrank, A., Schrank, I.S., Schuck, A.F., Seuanez, H.N., Silva, D.W., Silva, R., Silva, S.C., Soares, C.M., Souza, K.R., Souza, R.C., Staats, C.C., Steffens, M.B., Teixeira, S.M., Urmenyi, T.P., Vainstein, M.H., Zuccherato, L.W., Simpson, A.J. & Zaha, A. (2005). Swine and poultry pathogens: the complete genome sequences of two strains of *Mycoplasma hypopneumoniae* and a strain of Mycoplasma synoviae. Journal of Bacteriology, 187, 5568-5577.

Volokhov, D.V., George, J., Liu, S.X., Ikonomi, P., Anderson, C. & Chizhikov, V.
 (2006). Sequencing of the intergenic 16S-23S rRNA spacer (ITS) region of
 Mollicutes species and their identification using microarray-based assay and
 DNA sequencing. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 71, 680-698.

Yavari, C., Ramírez, A.S., Dare, C.M. & Bradbury, J.M. (2007). Differentiation of strains of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. Abstracts of the 15th World Veterinary Poultry Association Congress (p. 235). Beijing, China.

Yogev, D., Levisohn, S., Kleven, S. H., Halachmi, D. & Razin, S. (1988). Ribosomal RNA gene probes to detect intraspecies heterogeneity in Mycoplasma gallisepticum and M. synoviae. Avian Diseases, 32, 220–231.

to a

Figure legends

Figure 1. An alignment of M. synoviae 16S-23S rDNA ISR sequences according to group designation. Identities are indicated by solid box. Positions where nucleotide differences exist in one of the two sequences of an M. synoviae strain are denoted with the ambiguity letter code according to IUB. The sequence length variation is indicated with lower-case letters. G = Guanine; A = Adenine; T = Thymine; C = Cytosine; Y = T/C; W = A/T; M = A/C; K = T/G.

Figure 2. Dendrogram based on the M. synoviae 16S-23S rDNA ISR sequences. The neighbour-joining method with 1000-bootstrap replicates was used in MEGA 4.0. Bootstrap percentage values are located at nodes of the tree. The group letter corresponds to those described in Table 1.

Figure 3. Dendrogram based on the M. synoviae vlhA sequences. The neighbourjoining method with 1000-bootstrap replicates was used in MEGA 4.0. Bootstrap percentage values are located at nodes of the tree. To make the comparison with Figure 2 easier, the same group letters are used except for the addition of group K.

Supplementary Figure 1. Alignments of each group (A to J) of M. synoviae 16S-23S rDNA ISR sequences showing the published sequence alongside those of the two alleles after DNA cloning

 Table 1. Mycoplasma synoviae strain details

<i>M. synoviae</i> strains ^a	Host ^b	Date ^c	Country ^d	ISR (n)	Polymorphisms ^e	Group ^f	Accession numbers (ISR) ^g	Accession numbers $(VlhA)^{h}$
WVU1853 ^T	Ch	1957 ⁱ	USA	308	17_{w} , 58_{KWWaaa} , 282_{Y}	А	AJ781002	AM998371
B11/85	Tu	1985	UK	305	93 _t	В	FM213399	FM164346
J26/85	Ch	1985	UK	305	23 _M , 239 _Y	С	FM213400	AJ580982
B7/86	Ch	1986	UK	305	93 _t	В	FM213401	FM164347
B22/91	Ch	1991	SA	309	$37_{tt}, 43_{Y}, 58_{KWWaaa}$	D	FM213402	FN666084
B98/95	Ch	1995	NE	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213403	FN666085
B13/96/P1	Ph	1996	UK	309	$17_{\rm w}$, $58_{\rm KWWaaa}$, $66_{\rm Y}$, $95_{\rm Y}$,	F	FM213404	AJ580987
B13/96/P2	Ph	1996	UK	309	204 _t 17 _w , 58 _{KWWaaa} , 204 _t	G	FM213405	FM164343
B38/96/1764	Tu	1996	Italy	306	- 10.	Н	FM213406	AJ580985
B91/96/798	Tu	1996	UK	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213407	FM164349
B91/96/801	Tu	1996	UK	307	17 _w , 94 _t , 140 _a , 284 _{taacaata}	Е	FM213408	FM164350
B55/97/2a	Ch	1997	UK	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213409	FM164353
B3/98	Ch	1998	NE	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213410	FM164354
B133/99/5	Ch	1999	Hungary	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213411	AJ580983
B133/99/12	Ch	1999	Hungary	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213412	FM164358
B27/00	Ch	2000	Hungary	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213413	AJ580988
B19/02	Ch	2002	Spain	306	-	Н	FM213414	FN666086
B76/02	Ch	2002	Italy	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213415	FN666087
B142/02	Ch	2002	Hungary	307	$17_{\rm w}, 38_{\rm t}, 93_{\rm t}, 141_{\rm a}$	Ι	FM213416	AJ580989
B 155/02	Ch	2002	UK	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213417	FM164360
B35/03 (2)	Ch	2003	UK	307	$17_{\rm w}, 94_{\rm t}, 140_{\rm a}, 284_{\rm taacaata}$	Е	FM213418	FM164365
Sp267	Sp	2001	MA	308	-	J	FM213419	FN666088

^aAll *M. synoviae* field strains were isolated at Liverpool University (UK), with the exception of strain *M. synoviae* sp267, isolated by K. Ganapathy in Universiti Putra Malaysia

^bCh = chicken; Tu = turkey; Ph = pheasant and Sp = sparrow

°Year of isolation

^dCountry of isolation; UK = United Kingdom; SA = South Africa; MA = Malaysia; NE = Netherlands

"Nucleotide positions are according to the numbering of the M. synoviae type strain sequence in GeneBank (AJ781002) and the designation of

the polymorphisms used the IUB letter code. A lower-case letter indicates a nucleotide present in only one of the operons

^f*M. synoviae* strains are classified according to the presence of polymorphisms, insertions/deletions and the length of the sequence

⁸All *M. synoviae* ISR were sequenced for this study (with bold accession numbers) except the type strain which was sequenced in a previous study (Ramírez *et al.*, 2008).

^hBold accession numbers were sequenced for this study. The other sequences were done for the paper Hammond *et al.* (2009). ⁱYear of first publication.

Table 2.	Details of	f the polyma	orphisms in	the two	copies	of the	ISRs in	the di	fferent g	groups o	f
M. synov	iae										

Group	
А	17 _T , 58 _{TTT} , 282 _C
	17_A , 58_{GAAAAA} , 282_T
В	93.
	93 _T
С	23 _C , 239 _T
	23 _A , 239 _C
D	37, 43 _C , 58 _{TTT}
	$37_{\text{TT}}, 43_{\text{T}}, 58_{\text{GAAAAA}}$
3	$17_{\rm T}, 94_{-}, 140_{-}, 284_{-}$
	$17_{\rm A}, 94_{\rm T}, 140_{\rm A}, 284_{\rm TAACAATA}$
	$17_{\rm T}, 58_{\rm TTT}, 66_{\rm C}, 95_{\rm C},$
	$17_{\rm A}$, $58_{\rm GAAAAA}$, $66_{\rm T}$, $95_{\rm T}$,
1	$204_{\rm T}$
J	$1/_{T}$, 58_{TTT} , 204.
T	$1/_{A}$, 58_{GAAAAA} , 204_{T}
П	
	17_{π} 38 93 π 1/1
	$17_{\rm T}, 38_{\rm T}, 93_{\rm T}, 141_{\rm T}$
ſ	-

М.	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
synoviae										
A	ID									
В	97.4	ID								
С	96.7	99.3	ID							
D	98.7	97.0	96.4	ID						
E	97.0	99.0	98.3	96.4	ID	ID				
F	98.7	96.7	96.1	98.0	96.4	ID 00.2	ID			
С Ц	99.3 96.4	97.4	96.7 08.0	98.7 97.0	97.1	99.3 05.8	ID 96.4	ID		
II I	97.0	99.0	98.3	97.0 97.0	99.3	95.8 96.4	90. 4 97.1	1D 99.3	ID	
J	97.4	96.7	96.1	97.4	96.1	96.7	97.4	96.4	96.1	ID

 Table 3. ISR sequence percent homology among M. synoviae field strain groups

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u> - - <u></u>	<u> .</u>	
A	CTTACGGAGTACATI	PAWITTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTTT	"IHATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGAKWWaas	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
в	CTTACGGAGTACATI	LADITTTTACA.	AAAGGCATTTTI	THATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGATTT	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
С	CTTACGGAGTACATI	LATTTTTAMA	AAAGGCATTTTI	THATTAN	CTGAAAGCTTT	TAGATTT	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
D	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FAATTTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTT	ttATTAA	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGAKWWaas	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
E	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FANTTTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTTT	THATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGATTT <u></u>	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
F	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FANTTTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTTT	THATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGAKWWaas	TTYTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
G	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FANTTTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTTT	THATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGAKWWaas	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
н	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FAATTTTACA <i>I</i>	AAAGGCATTTT	TTATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGATTT	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
I	CTTACGGAGTACATI	FANTTTTACAJ	AAAGGCATTTT	TTATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGATTT	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
J	CTTACGGAGTACATT	FAATTTTACA	AAAGGCATTTTT	THATTAAC	TGAAAGCTTT	TAGAGAAAAA	TTCTAAAAGCGG	TTGT
	. 90	100	110	120	130	140	150	160
2	GTATCCCTTTTTTT	ECCTT ECC	····	CTTTTC M	AGAACAACCT	CTCTTAAAA	TTGTTCTTCAA	1.1.1 1.1.CT
n n	GTATCGCTTTTTT		TATIGIATITA	GTTTTGAC	AGAACAACCI		TTOTICITIOAX	AACT
2	GIAICGCITTITIC			GTTTTGAC	AGAACAACCI		THEFTCHIGAN	AACI
с -	GTATCGCTTTTTTT	GCCTTHGGGG	CTATIGTATITA	GTTTTGAG	FAGAACAACCT	СТСТТАААА-	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
D	GTATCGCTTTTTTT	GCCTTHGGGG	CTATTGTATTT <i>I</i>	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	стсттаааа	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
E	GTATCGCTTTTTTtt	SCCTTHEGEG	CTATTGTATTTA	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	CTCTTAAAA	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
F	GTATCGCTTTTTTT	-GMCTT-GGGG	CTATTGTATTI	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	CTCTTAAAA	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
G	GTATCGCTTTTTTT-	GCCTTHGGGG	CTATTGTATTT	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	стсттаааа	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
н	GTATCGCTTTTTT-	GCCTT GGGG	CTATTGTATTTA	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	CTCTTAAAAA	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
I	GTATCGCTTTTTT	GCCTT	CTATTGTATTT	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	CTCTTAAAA	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
J	GTATCGCTTTTTT-	GCCTTTGGGG	CTATTGTATTT <i>I</i>	GTTTTGAG	GAGAACAACCT	стсттаааа	TTGTTCTTTGAA	AACT
		-						
	150	100	100					
		180	190		1	220 	230	
A	AAATAGTAATAAAGA	ATATTACAACO	GACATCAAAAAT	TAAATTI	ATT AAGGTT.	AATTTGTTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	AAAT
в	AAATAGTAATAAAG3	ATATTACAAC(GACATCAAAAAT	TTAAATT	ATTHAAGGTT.	AATTTGTTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	AAAT
С	AAATAGTAATAAAG3	ATATTACAAC(GACATCAAAAA	ATAAATT <i>I</i>	ATTHAAGGTT.	AATTTGTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	AAAT
D	AAATAGTAATAAAG	TATTACAAC	GACATCAAAAA	ATAAATTA	ATT-AAGGTT	AATTTGTTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	AAAT
– म	A A A T A G T A A T A A A G C	таттасаасс	300000000000000		ATT AAGGTT	0 0 TTTGTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	ааат
ਜ	ADATAGTAATAAAG	TATTACAAC			ATT+ AAGGTT	0 0 TTTGTTT	GATACCGAGTTT	0 0 0 T
ċ.	AAATAOTAATAAAOZ	TATTACAAC(ACATCAAAAA		ATTEAACOTT.	A ATTTCTTT	CATACCOAGITI	
ц ц	AAATAGTAATAAAGZ	TATTACAAC	JACAICAAAAAJ	ATAAATT2	ATTONACOTT.	AATTTGTTT AATTTGTTTT	GATACCOAGITI	1 1 A A A
п т	AAATAGTAATAAAG	TATTACAACO	JACAICAAAAAI		ATT AAGGIT.	AATTIGITT	GATACCGAGITI	AAAI
1	AAATAGTAATAAAG		JACAICAAAAAI		ATT AAGGIT.	AATTIGITT	GATACCGAGITI	AAAI
J	ANALAGIANIAAAGA		FACAICAAAAA	AIAAAIIJ		AATTIGTIT	GATACCGAGITI	AAAI
	250	260	270	280	290	300	310	
	<u></u>				<u> </u>		1	
A	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	саяматаясая.	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAA	
в	TATTGAATAATAATI	TATTAAAAT	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	CAATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAA	
С	ТАТУБААТААТААТ	ΓΤΑΤΤΑΑΑΑΤ	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	CAATATAACAA	TAGGACATAI	TGATACTAA	
D	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAA	
Ε	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT(GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATAtaacaa	taGGACATAI	TGATACTAA	
F	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAA	
G	ТАТТБААТААТААТ	TATTAAAAT	GTCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	тдатастаа	
н	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT	TCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	тдатастаа	
I	TATTGAATAATAAT	TATTAAAAT	TCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAAC	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAN	
л Л	ТАТТСААТААТААТ	TATTAAAAT	TCTTTGAATAC	ATCATAN	AATATAACAA	TAGGACATAT	TGATACTAN	
Ŭ								

Figure 1







B2 CATAACAATATAACAATAGGACATATIGATACIAA



	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
F.	CTTACGGAGTACATTA							GIGIATOCCT	
- E1	CTTACGGAGTACATTA					AGATTTTTCT/		GIGIATOCT	
E2	CTTACGGAGIACATTAZ	TTTTACAAV	AGCATTT	TATTAACT	GAAAGCTTTT	AGATTTTTCIZ	AAAGOGGTI	GIGIAICCT	rititi
	100	44.0	100	100		150	1.50	4.50	101
		110		130		150		1/0	•••• T8r
Е	tgochigggciatigiz	ATTTAGTTT	GAGAGAACA	ACCICICITIZ	AAAaTIGII	CTTIGAAAAC	AAATAGTAA	TAAAGATATT	ACAACG
E1		ATTTAGTTT	GAGAGAACA	ACCICICITIZ	ww. TIGII	CTTIGAAAAC	AAATAGTAA	TAAAGATATT	ACAACG
E2	TGCCTTGGGCTATTGIZ	ATTTAGTTT	GAGAGAACA	ACCICICITIZ	VAVATIGII	CTTIGAAAAC	AAATAGTAA	TAAAGATATT	ACAACG
	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	27(
			.			• • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • •	• • • •
Е	ACATCAAAAATATAAA	TTAATTAAG	GTTAATTIGT	ITIGATACO	GAGITTAAAI	TATIGAATAA	ATTTATTA	AAATGICTTI	GAATAC
E1	ACATCAAAAATATAAA	TAATTAAG	GTTAATTIGT.	ITIGATACO	GAGITTAAAI	TATIGAATAA		AAATGICTTI	GAATAC
E2	ACATCAAAAATATAAA	TAATTAAG	GTIAATTIGT.	ITIGATACO	GAGITTAAAI	TATIGAATAA		AAATGICTTI	GAATAC
	L								
	280	290	300						
		••• •••• •		•					
Е	ATCATAACAATAtaaca	aataGGACA	TATIGATACIA	¥.					
E1	ATCATAACAATA	GGACA	TATIGATACIA	¥					
E2	ATCATAACAATATAAC7	ATAGGACA	TATIGATACIA	V					
				_					
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
	10 ••••• •••• ••••	20	30 • • • • • • • •	40	50 • • • • • • • •	60 • • • • • • • •	70 • • • • • • • •	80 • • • • • • • •	90 • • • •
F		20 · · · · · · · ·	30 . AGGCATTTT	40 	50 	60 AGAKWaaaTI	70 YTAAAAGOO	80 • • • • • • • • GITGIGIATO	90 • • • • 3CITITI
F F1	10 CTIAOGAGIACATIA CTIAOGAGIACATIA	20 IIIIIACAAZ	30 ···· ····· · VAGGCATTTT VAGGCATTTT	40 TTATTAACTO	50 FAAAGCTTTTI FAAAGCTTTTI	60 AGAKWaaaTI AGATTITI	70 YTAAAAGCC CTAAAAGCC	80 GITGIGIATO GITGIGIATO	90 3CITITI 3CITITI
F F1 F2		20 ITTIACAAA ITTIACAAA ITTIACAAA	30 		50 3444GCTTTT 3444GCTTTTT 3444GCTTTTT	60 AG2KwaaaTI AG2TTT T AG2GAAAAATT	70 I TAAAAGOO CTAAAAGOO TTAAAAGOO	80 GITGIGIAIO GITGIGIAIO GITGIGIAIO	90 3CITITI 3CITITI 3CITITI
F F1 F2		20 IIITIACAA4 IIITIACAA4 IIITIACAA4	30 	40 [TATIAACI0 [TATIAACI0	50] FAAAGCITITI FAAAGCITITI FAAAGCITITI	60 AGAKWBAATI AGATIT_T AGAGAAAATI		80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO	90 ЭСГГГГ ЭСГГГГ ЭСГГГГ
F F1 F2		20 IIIIIACAAP IIIIIACAAP IIIIIACAAP IIIIACAAP	30 	40 TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO 130	50 I FAAAGCITITI FAAAGCITITI FAAAGCITITI FAAAGCITITI	60 AGAKWbaaT AGATTT T AGAGAAAAATT AGAGAAAAATT		80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170	90 3CTTTT 3CTTTT 3CTTTT 3CTTTT
F F1 F2		20 	30 . VAGCATITIT VAGCATITIT VAGCATITIT 120 .	40 TTATTAACIN TTATTAACIN TTATTAACIN TTATTAACIN 130 	50 3AAAGCTTTT 3AAAGCTTTTT 3AAAGCTTTTT 3AAAGCTTTTT 140 	60 AGATITT AGATITT AGAGAAAAATT 150 	70 YTAAAACCC CTAAAACCC TTAAAACCC 1TAAAACCC 160	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 	90 3CTTTT 3CTTTT 3CTTTT 3CTTTT 18(
F F1 F2 F		20 ITTTIACAA4 ITTTIACAA4 ITTTIACAA4 III0 III0 III0 III0	30 AGCATITT AGCATITT AGCATITT 120 	40 TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC 130 	50 SAAGCTITTI SAAGCTITTI SAAGCTITTI 140 		70 1 TAAAAGCC TTAAAAGCC TTAAAAGCC 160 	80 GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO 170 	90 3CITITI 3CITITI 3CITITI 18(IACAAC
F F1 F2 F F1		20 	30 	40 TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC 130 	50 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 140 140 140 140 		70 1 TAAAAGCC TTAAAAGCC TTAAAAGCC 160 	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 II ATAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 3011111
F F1 F2 F F1 F2		20 ITTTIACAAP ITTTIACAAP ITTTIACAAP ITTTIACAAP III0 	30 	40 TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO 130 	50 1 2AAGCITITI 2AAGCITITI 2AAGCITITI 2AAAGCITITI 140 1 140 		70 177 177 177 177 160 160 160 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 17	80 GTIGIGIAICO GTIGIGIAICO GIIGIGIAICO 170 II ATAAACATAT ATAAACATAT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1) 12(2AAC) 12(2AAC) 12(2AAC) 12(2AAC)
F F1 F2 F F1 F2		20 ITTTIACAAP ITTTIACAAP ITTTIACAAP III0 IIII0 IIII0 IIII0 III0	30 	40 	50 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 140 		70 17AAAAGCG 17AAAAGCG 17AAAAGCG 160 	80 GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1)
F F1 F2 F F1 F2		20 ITTTACAA4 ITTTACAA4 ITTTACAA4 III0 	30 AGCATITT AGCATITT AGCATITT 120 		50 CAAGCITITI CAAGCITICI CA		70 1 TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC 1 TAAAAGCC 160 	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 1 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 18(1) 19(11) 19(19(11) 19(19(11) 19(19
F F1 F2 F F1 F2		20 	30 	40 TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO 130 	50 1 2AAGCITITI 2AAGCITITI 2AAGCITITI 2AAGCITITI 140 		70 	80 GTIGIGIAICO GTIGIGIAICO GTIGIGIAICO 170 1 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT 260 1	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 18() 19() 19(
F F1 F2 F F1 F2			30 	40 TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO 130 	50 1 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 140 1 140 1 17AAAATIGI 17AAAATIGI 17AAAATIGI 17AAAATIGI 230 1		70 177 177 160 160 160 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 17	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT 260 TAAAATGICT	90 30 30 30 30 30 30 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
F F1 F2 F F1 F2 F1 F2			30 	40 TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC 130 	50 SAAGCITITI SAAGCITITI SAAGCITITI SAAGCITITI SAAGCITITI 140 		70 177 177 177 160 160 160 160 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 17	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT TAAAATGICT TAAAATGICT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1 14CAAC 1ACAAC 1ACAAC 1ACAAC 1ACAAC 1ACAAC 1ACAAC
F F1 F2 F1 F2 F1 F2 F1 F2			30 	40 TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC 130 	50 CAAGCTITII CAAGCTITII CAAGCTITII CAAACTIGI TIAAAATIGI TIAAAATIGI TIAAAATIGI CAAGTITAA CCAGTITAA		70 1 TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC 1 TAAAAGCC 1 TAAATAGTA 1 AGC 1	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT TAAAATGICT TAAAATGICT	90 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3
F F1 F2 F F1 F2 F1 F2			30 	40 TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO TTATTAACTO 130 	50 		70 1 TAAAAGOO TTAAAAGOO TTAAAAGOO 160 	80 I I GIIGIGIAICO GIIGIGIAICO GIIGIGIAICO 170 I I ATAAGATAT ATAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT TAAAAIGICT TAAAAIGICT	90 30 30 30 30 11 30 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
F F1 F2 F F1 F2 F1 F2		20 ITTIACAA2 ITTIACAA2 ITTIACAA2 III0 	30 	40 TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC TTATTAACIC 130 	50 		70 17AAAAGCC 17AAAAGCC 17AAAAGCC 160 	80 GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO GTIGIGIAIO 170 ATAAACAIAI ATAAACAIAI ATAAACAIAI ATAAACAIAI TAAAAIGICI TAAAAIGICI TAAAAIGICI	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1) 18(1) 100000 100000 100000 100000 1000000 100
F F1 F2 F F1 F2 F1 F2 F1 F2			30 AGG ATTTT AGG ATTTT AGG ATTTT 120 		50 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 3AAGCITITI 140 		70 17AAAAGCC 17AAAAGCC 17AAAAGCC 17AAAAGCC 160 	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT TAAAAIGICT TAAAAIGICT	90 301111 301111 301111 301111 301111 18(1 18(1 14() 14() 14() 14() 14() 14() 14() 14()
F F1 F2 F F1 F2 F1 F2 F1 F2 F1	10 CTTACGAGTACATTA CTTACGAGTACATTA CTTACGAGTACATTA CTTACGAGTACATTA 100 	20 ITTTIACAA4 ITTTIACAA4 ITTTIACAA4 III0 	30 		50 300 300 300 300 300 300 300	60 AGATITTI AGATITTI AGATATTI AGATATTI AGATATISO 150 ICTTIGAAAA TCTTIGAAAA 240 ATTATIGAATA ATTATIGAATA	70 1 TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC TAAAAGCC 1 TAAAAGCC 1 TAAAAGCC 1 TAAATAGTA 1 60 	80 GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO GTIGIGIATO 170 ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT ATAAAGATAT 1260 TAAAATGICT TAAAATGICT	90 301111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30111 30110 301111 3011111 3011111 3011111 30111111 3011111 3011111 3011111 3011111111

F2 ACATCATAACAATATAACAATAGGACATATTGATACTAA

	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
C	
G	
GL	
G2	CITAGEAGIALATTAATTTTALAAAAEECATTTTTATTAACIGAAAECITTTAGAGAAAATTCTAAAAEGEGTIGIGIATGECITTT
	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180
	·····
G	
Gl	ттіссстіссесіатісіаттіасіттісасасаасаассісістаааатістісттісаааастааатастааатаасататтасаас
G2	
	190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 27(
G	
Gl	
G2	
GL	
	280 290 300
~	·····
G	ACAICAIAACAAIAIAACAAIAI IGAIACIAA
Gl	ACATCATAACAATATAACAATAGGACATATIGATACTAA
G2	
	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
	······································
Н	CTIACGEAGIACATIAATTTIACAAAAGGEATTITITTIATIAACIGAAAGCIITTAGATTTTICIAAAAGOGGIIGIGIAIOGCITITIT
H1	CTIACGAGIACATIAATTTIACAAAAGGATTITITTIATTAACIGAAAGCITTIAGATTTTICIAAAAGCGGIIGIGIAICGCITITIT
H2	CTTACGEAGIACATTAATTTTACAAAAGGEATTTTTTTTTTTTTATTAACIGAAAGCTTTTTAGATTTTTCIAAAAGCGGTIGIGIAICGETTTTTT
н	
н1	
н2	
	190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 27(
H	
HI	
H2	CATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGITAATTIGITTIGATACCGAGITTAAATTATIGAATAATAATITATTAAAATGICITTIGAATACA
	280 290 300
	280 290 300 •••• •••• •••• •••• •••• •
Н	280 290 300 . TCATAACAATATAACAATAGACATATTGATACTAA
H H1	280 290 300
H H1 H2	280 290 300

	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
	···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ····
Ι	
I1	
I2	CTIACGEAGIACATIAATITIACAAAAGGCATITITITIATIAACICAAAGCITTIAGATITITICIAAAAGCGGIIGIGIAICGCITITIT
т	
т т1	
LZ	
	190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270
	<u>·····[····[····]····[····[····]····]··</u>
Ι	ACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAATTAAGGTTAATTIGTTTIGATACCGAGITTAAATTATIGAATAATTATTAAAATGICTTTGAATAC
I1	ACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGTTAATTIGITTIGATACCCAGITTAAATTATIGAATAATTIATTAAAATGICTTIGAATAC
I2	ACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGITAATTIGITTIGATACCAGITTAAATTATIGAATAATAATTATTAAAATGICTTIGAATAC
т	
т т1	
12	
	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
	<u> .</u>
J	
J1	
J2	
	100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180
	<u>····[···]···[···]···]···[···]···]···]··</u>
J	
J1	
J2	
	190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270
	······································
J	GACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGITAATTIGITIIGATACCCAGITIAAATTATICAATAATAATATTATTAAAATGICITTCAATA
J1	GACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGITAATTIGITTIGATACCCAGITTAAATTATIGAATAATAATAATTATTAAAATGICITTGAATA
J2	GACATCAAAAATATAAATTAATTAAGGTTAATTIGITITIGATACCCAGITTAAATTATTGAATAATAATTATTAAAATGICITTGAATA
	280 290 300
	280 290 300 ••••• ••••• ••••• ••••• •••••
J	280 290 300

J2 CATCATAACAATATAACAATAGGACATATTGATACTAA