



HAL
open science

Local Doppler effect, index of refraction through the Earth crust, PDF and the CNGS neutrino anomaly?

Armando V.D.B. Assis

► **To cite this version:**

Armando V.D.B. Assis. Local Doppler effect, index of refraction through the Earth crust, PDF and the CNGS neutrino anomaly?. 2012. hal-00669332v2

HAL Id: hal-00669332

<https://hal.science/hal-00669332v2>

Preprint submitted on 15 Feb 2012 (v2), last revised 16 Feb 2012 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Local Doppler effect, index of refraction through the Earth crust, PDF and the CNGS neutrino anomaly?

Armando V.D.B. Assis

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - UFSC, Trindade 88040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.
Email: armando.assis@pgfsc.ufsc.br

In this brief paper, we show the neutrino velocity discrepancy obtained in the OPERA experiment may be due to the local Doppler effect between a local clock attached to a given detector at Gran Sasso, say C_G , and the respective instantaneous clock crossing C_G , say C_C , being this latter at rest in the instantaneous inertial frame having got the velocity of rotation of CERN about Earth's axis in relation to the fixed stars. With this effect, the index of refraction of the Earth crust may accomplish a refractive effect by which the neutrino velocity through the Earth crust turns out to be small in relation to the speed of light in the empty space, leading to an encrusted discrepancy that may have contaminated the data obtained from the block of detectors at Gran Sasso, leading to a time interval excess ϵ that did not provide an exact match between the shift of the protons PDF (probability distribution function) by TOF_c and the detection data at Gran Sasso via the maximum likelihood matching.

1 Definitions and Solution

Firstly, the effect investigated here is not the same one that was investigated in [2], but, throughout this paper, we will use some useful configurations defined in [2]. The relative velocity between Gran Sasso and CERN due to the Earth daily rotation may be written:

$$\vec{v}_G - \vec{v}_C = 2\omega R \sin \alpha \hat{e}_z, \quad (1)$$

where \hat{e}_z is a convenient unitary vector, the same used in [2], ω is the norm of the Earth angular velocity vector about its daily rotation axis, being R given by:

$$R_E = \frac{R}{\cos \lambda}, \quad (2)$$

where R_E is the radius of the Earth, its averaged value $R_E = 6.37 \times 10^6$ m, and α given by:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_G - \alpha_C), \quad (3)$$

where α_C and α_G are, respectively, CERN's and Gran Sasso's longitudes ($\leftarrow WE \rightarrow$). Consider the inertial (in relation to the fixed stars) reference frame $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$ in [2]. This is the lab reference frame and consider this frame with its local clocks at each spatial position as being ideally synchronized, viz., under an ideal situation of synchronicity between the clocks of $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$. This situation is the expected ideal situation for the OPERA collaboration regarding synchronicity in the instantaneous lab (CERN) frame.

Now, consider an interaction between a single neutrino and a local detector at Gran Sasso. This event occurs at a given spacetime point (t_v, x_v, y_v, z_v) in $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$. The interaction instant t_v is measured by a local clock C_C at

rest at (x_v, y_v, z_v) in the lab frame, viz., in the $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$ frame. But, under gedanken, at this instant t_v , according to $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$, there is a clock C_G attached to the detector at Gran Sasso that crosses the point (t_v, x_v, y_v, z_v) with velocity given by Eq. (1). Since C_G crosses C_C , the Doppler effect between the proper tic-tac rates measured at each location of C_C and C_G , viz., measured at their respective locations in their respective reference frames (the reference frame of C_G is the $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$ in [2], also inertial in relation to the fixed stars), regarding a gedanken control tic-tac rate continuously sent by C_C , say via electromagnetic pulses from C_C , is not transverse. Since the points at which C_C and C_G are at rest in their respective reference frames will instantaneously coincide, better saying, will instantaneously intersect, at t_v accordingly to C_C , they must be previously approximating, shortening their mutual distance during the interval $t_v - \delta t_v \ll t_v$ along the line passing through these clocks as described in the C_C world.

Suppose C_C sends N electromagnetic pulses to C_G . During the C_C proper time interval $(t_v - \delta t_v) - 0 = t_v - \delta t_v$ * within which C_C emits the N electromagnetic pulses, the first emitted pulse travels the distance $c(t_v - \delta t_v)$ and reaches the clock C_G , as described by C_C . Within this distance, there are N

*The initial instant C_C starts to emit the electromagnetic pulses is set to zero in both the frames $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$ and $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$; zero also is the instant the neutrino starts the travel to Gran Sasso in $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$; hence the instant the neutrino starts the travel to Gran Sasso and the emission of the first pulse by C_C are simultaneous events in $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$. These events are simultaneous in $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$ too, since they have got the same spatial coordinate $z_c = z = 0$ along the $O_C z_C \equiv Oz$ direction as defined in [2]. The relative motion between CERN and Gran Sasso is parallel to this direction. The only one difference between these events is the difference in their $x_C = x$ coordinates, being $x_C = 0$ for the neutrino departure and $x_C = L = 7.3 \times 10^5$ m for C_C , being these locations perpendicularly located in relation to the relative velocity given by the Eq. (1).

equally spaced distances between consecutive pulses as described in the C_C world, say λ_C :

$$N\lambda_C = c(t_v - \delta t_v). \quad (4)$$

Also, since the clocks C_C and C_G will intersect at t_v , as described in $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$, during the interval δt_v , the clock C_G must travel the distance $2\omega R \sin \alpha \delta t_v$ in the C_C world to accomplish the matching spatial intersection at the instant t_v , hence the clock C_G travels the $2\omega R \sin \alpha \delta t_v$ in the C_C world, viz., as described by C_C in $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$:

$$N\lambda_C = 2\omega R \sin \alpha \delta t_v \Rightarrow \delta t_v = N \frac{\lambda_C}{2\omega R \sin \alpha}. \quad (5)$$

Solving for t_v , from the Eqs. (4) and (5), one reaches:

$$t_v = \frac{N\lambda_C}{c} \left(1 + \frac{c}{2\omega R \sin \alpha} \right). \quad (6)$$

Now, from the perspective of C_G , in $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$, there must be N electromagnetic pulses covering the distance:

$$c(t_v^G - \delta t_v^G) - 2\omega R \sin \alpha (t_v^G - \delta t_v^G), \quad (7)$$

where $t_v^G - \delta t_v^G$ is the time interval between the non-proper instants $t_v^G = t_v = 0$, at which the C_C clock sends the first pulse, and the instant $t_v^G - \delta t_v^G$, at which this first pulse reaches C_G , as described by C_G in its world $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$. Within this time interval, $t_v^G - \delta t_v^G$, C_G describes, in its $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$ world, the clock C_C approximating the distance:

$$2\omega R \sin \alpha (t_v^G - \delta t_v^G), \quad (8)$$

with the first pulse traveling:

$$c(t_v^G - \delta t_v^G), \quad (9)$$

giving the distance within which there must be N equally spaced pulses, say, spaced by λ_G , as described by C_G in its $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$ world:

$$N\lambda_G = (c - 2\omega R \sin \alpha)(t_v^G - \delta t_v^G). \quad (10)$$

With similar reasoning that led to the Eq. (5), now in the $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$ C_G world, prior to the spatial matching intersection between C_C and C_G , the C_C clock must travel the distance $N\lambda_G$ during the time interval δt_v^G , with the C_C approximation velocity $2\omega R \sin \alpha$:

$$N\lambda_G = 2\omega R \sin \alpha \delta t_v^G \Rightarrow \delta t_v^G = N \frac{\lambda_G}{2\omega R \sin \alpha}. \quad (11)$$

From Eqs. (10) and (11), we solve for t_v^G :

$$t_v^G = N \frac{\lambda_G}{2\omega R \sin \alpha} \frac{1}{[1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c]}. \quad (12)$$

From the Eqs. (6) and (12), we have got the relation between the neutrino arrival instant t_v as measured by the CERN reference frame, $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$, and the neutrino arrival instant t_v^G as measured by the Gran Sasso reference frame, $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$, at the exact location of the interaction at an interaction location within the Gran Sasso block of detectors, provided the effect of the Earth daily rotation under the assumptions we are taking in relation to the instantaneous movements of these locations in relation to the fixed stars as previously discussed:

$$\frac{t_v^G}{t_v} = \frac{\lambda_G}{\lambda_C} \left[1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)^2 / c^2 \right]^{-1} = \gamma^2 \frac{\lambda_G}{\lambda_C}, \quad (13)$$

where $\gamma \geq 1$ is the usual relativity factor as defined above.

Now, λ_G/λ_C is simply the ratio between the spatial displacement between our consecutive gedanken control pulses, being these displacements defined through our previous paragraphs, leading to the Eqs. (4) and (10). Of course, this ratio is simply given by the relativistic Doppler effect under an approximation case in which C_C is the source and C_G the detector. The ratio between the Eqs. (10) and (4) gives:

$$\frac{\lambda_G}{\lambda_C} = [1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c] \frac{(t_v^G - \delta t_v^G)}{(t_v - \delta t_v)}. \quad (14)$$

But the time interval $(t_v - \delta t_v)$ is a proper time interval measured by the source clock C_C , as previously discussed. It accounts for the time interval between the first pulse sent and the last pulse sent as locally described by C_C in its $O_C x_C y_C z_C \equiv Oxyz$ world. These two events occur at different spatial locations in the C_G detector clock world $O_G x_G y_G z_G \equiv \tilde{O}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}$, since C_C is approximating to C_G in this latter world. Hence, $t_v - \delta t_v$ is the Lorentz time contraction of $t_v^G - \delta t_v^G$, viz.:

$$t_v - \delta t_v = \gamma^{-1} (t_v^G - \delta t_v^G) \quad \therefore$$

$$\frac{(t_v^G - \delta t_v^G)}{t_v - \delta t_v} = \gamma = [1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)^2 / c^2]^{-1/2}. \quad (15)$$

With the Eqs. (14) and (15), one reaches the usual relativistic Doppler effect expression for the approximation case:

$$\frac{\lambda_G}{\lambda_C} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c}{1 + (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c}}. \quad (16)$$

With the Eq. (16), the Eq. (13) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t_v^G}{t_v} &= [1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha)^2 / c^2]^{-1/2} [1 + (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c]^{-1} = \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{1 + (2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since $(2\omega R \sin \alpha)/c \ll 1$, we may apply an approximation for the Eq. (17), viz.:

$$\gamma \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\omega R \sin \alpha)^2}{c^2}, \quad (18)$$

and:

$$[1 + (2\omega R \sin \alpha) / c]^{-1} \approx 1 - (2\omega R \sin \alpha) / c, \quad (19)$$

from which, neglecting the higher order terms, the Eq. (17) reads:

$$\frac{t_v^G}{t_v} \approx 1 - \frac{2\omega R \sin \alpha}{c} \quad \therefore \quad (20)$$

$$t_v^G - t_v = -\frac{2\omega R \sin \alpha}{c} t_v. \quad (21)$$

From this result, the clock that tag the arrival interaction instant t_v^G in Gran Sasso turns out to measure an arrival time that is shorter than the correct one, this latter given by t_v . With the discrepancy, ϵ , given by the value measured by the OPERA Collaboration [1], since t_v is simply given by L/v_v , where L is the baseline distance between the CERN and Gran Sasso, v_v the speed of neutrino through the Earth crust, one obtains a value for v_v . We rewrite the Eq. (21):

$$\epsilon = t_v^G - t_v = -\frac{2\omega R \sin \alpha}{c} \frac{L}{v_v}. \quad (22)$$

With the values* $\omega = 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $R = R_E \cos \lambda \approx 6.4 \times 10^6 \text{ m} \times \cos(\pi/4) = 4.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$, $\sin \alpha \approx \sin(7\pi/180) = 1.2 \times 10^{-1}$, $c = 3.0 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ and $L = 7.3 \times 10^5 \text{ m}$, also with the discrepancy ϵ , given by the Eq. (22), being, say, $\epsilon = -62 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}$, the neutrino velocity through the Earth crust reads:

$$v_v \approx 3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ ms}^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

being the refraction index of the Earth crust for neutrino given by:

$$n_{c|v} = \frac{c}{v_v} \approx 97. \quad (24)$$

In reference to the matching PDF (probability distribution function) in the OPERA experiment, one would have a discrepancy between the maximum likelihood distribution obtained from the block of detectors at Gran Sasso and the translation of the PDF due to the protons distribution by TOF_c given by, in virtue of the Eq. (22):

$$\text{TOF}_v = \text{TOF}_c + \epsilon = \text{TOF}_c - \frac{2\omega R \sin \alpha}{c} \frac{L}{v_v} \quad \therefore$$

$$\text{TOF}_v - \text{TOF}_c \approx -62 \text{ ns}, \quad (25)$$

under the reasoning and simplifications throughout this paper. One should notice the reasoning here holds if the discrepancy turns out to be encrusted within the time translation of the PDF data, but such effect would not arise if the time interval TOF_v were directly measured, since, in this latter situation, such interval would only read L/v_v .

*See the Eqs. (2) and (3). The latitudes of CERN and Gran Sasso are, respectively: $46^{\text{deg}}14^{\text{min}}3^{\text{sec}}(\text{N})$ and $42^{\text{deg}}28^{\text{min}}12^{\text{sec}}(\text{N})$. The longitudes of CERN and Gran Sasso are, respectively: $6^{\text{deg}}3^{\text{min}}19^{\text{sec}}(\text{E})$ and $13^{\text{deg}}33^{\text{min}}0^{\text{sec}}(\text{E})$.

Conclusion

It is interesting to observe that even with a velocity having got two orders of magnitude lesser than c a neutrino may be interpreted as having got a velocity greater than c , depending on the method used to measure neutrino's time of flight, with the Earth crust presenting an index of refraction $n_{c|v} > 1$, due, also, to the local Doppler effect between the clocks attached to Gran Sasso and the respective intersecting ones in the CERN reference frame, as discussed throughout this paper, in virtue of the Earth daily rotation.

Acknowledgments

A.V.D.B.A is grateful to Y.H.V.H and CNPq for financial support.

Submitted on February 11, 2012 / Accepted on February 12, 2012

References

1. The OPERA collaboration: T. Adam et al. Measurement of the neutrino velocity with the OPERA detector in the CNGS beam <http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4897> *arXiv:1109.4897*, 2011.
2. Armando V.D.B. Assis. *Prog. Phys.* 4(4), 85 to 90 (2011).