



**HAL**  
open science

# Two remarks on elementary theories of groups obtained by free constructions

Eric Jaligot

► **To cite this version:**

Eric Jaligot. Two remarks on elementary theories of groups obtained by free constructions. 2012.  
hal-00666967v1

**HAL Id: hal-00666967**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00666967v1>**

Preprint submitted on 6 Feb 2012 (v1), last revised 30 May 2012 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Two remarks on elementary theories of groups obtained by free constructions

Eric Jaligot

February 6, 2012

## Abstract

We give two slight generalizations of results of Poizat about elementary theories of groups obtained by free constructions. The first-one concerns the non-superstability of such groups in most cases, and the second-one concerns the connectedness of most free products of groups.

Recently, first-order theories of free products of groups have been investigated in [JS10] and [Sel10], with to some extent some transfers of arguments from free groups to free products of groups. It is expected that some of this work transfers further to more general classes of groups obtained by free constructions. In this modest and short note we will make slight generalizations of early arguments of Poizat on first-order theories of free products which, so far, did not seem to have been noticed before. Since these generalizations concern the basic model-theory of groups obtained by free constructions, it seems relevant to have them recorded.

In a first series of results we prove that most groups obtained by free constructions do not have a superstable theory. We refer to [OH08] for an approach of the model theory of such groups via actions on trees, but with seemingly weaker results (only the failure of  $\omega$ -stability). Here, our proofs are mere adaptations of arguments in [Poi83, §7] in the case of free products.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $P = G *_A H$  be a free product of groups with amalgamated subgroup  $A$ , with  $A < G$  and  $A < H$ . Then  $P$  has a superstable theory if and only if  $A = 1$  and  $G \simeq H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

In the case of *HNN*-extensions we also get non-superstability in most cases.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G^* = \langle G, t \mid A^t = B \rangle$  be an *HNN*-extension of a group  $G$ , with  $A$  or  $B$  proper in  $G$ . Then the theory of  $G^*$  is not superstable.*

We note that we also take the opportunity to make a very basic analysis of generic types in groups as in Theorems 1 and 2, in the event that such groups are stable. We recall that one of the main accomplishments of [Sel10] is a proof of the fact that the free product of two stable groups is still stable. But, conversely, we point out the following question as a possibly difficult one.

**Question 3** *Can one have a free product of groups  $G * H$  with a stable theory, but with the factor  $G$  unstable?*

We merely mention that our basic analysis of generic types in the stable case of Theorems 1 and 2 will not assume the stability of the vertex groups  $G$  or  $H$ .

In a second type of results, we prove that most free products of groups are connected, i.e., with no proper definable subgroups of finite index. Again this will be a mere adaptation of an argument contained in [Poi83, §7], about the free group on countably many generators, together with a deep elementary equivalence obtained in [Sel10] about free products.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two nontrivial groups. Then a group elementarily equivalent to  $G * H$  is not connected if and only if  $G \simeq H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

All groups considered here are considered in the pure group language, but we will point out when our results remain valid in the case of expansions of groups, especially around non-superstability. We thank Bruno Poizat for his patient explanations of his argument for non-superstability in [Poi83, §7].

## 1 Non-superstability

We say that a free product of groups  $G *_A H$  with amalgamated subgroup  $A$  is *non-trivial* when  $A < G$  and  $A < H$ . We say that it is of *dihedral type* when  $A = 1$  and  $G \simeq H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

As in stable group theory, we say that a subset  $X$  of a group is *left-generic* in the group when finitely many left-translates of  $X$  cover the ambient group.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $P = G *_A H$  be a non-trivial free product of groups with amalgamated subgroup  $A$ , and suppose  $P$  not of dihedral type. For any integer  $d > 1$  let  $Y_d = (G \cup H)^P \cup \{x^d : x \in P\}$ , and for every integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $B_n$  denote the ball of elements of  $P$  of length at most  $n$ .*

- (1) *For every integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $\alpha_n$  in  $P$  such that, for every element  $x$  in  $B_n$ ,  $x\alpha_n$  is not in  $Y_d$ .*
- (2) *For every integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B_n Y_d$  is a proper subset of  $P$ . In particular  $Y_d$  is not left-generic in  $P$ .*

PROOF:

(1). We first claim that we can find an element  $a$  in one of the factors but not in the amalgam, and elements  $b$  and  $c$  in the other factor and still not in the amalgam, with  $c \neq b$  or  $c \neq b^{-1}$ . If  $A$  is not trivial, then each factor contains elements  $b$  and  $c$  not in  $A$  with  $b \neq c$ , so our claim follows in this case. If  $A$  is trivial, this follows easily from the assumption that  $P$  is not of dihedral type.

For every  $n \geq 1$ , let now  $\alpha_n = (ab)^{n+4}(acac^{-1})^{3n+3}$ . Now one sees that for every element  $x \in B_n$ , the element  $x\alpha_n$  has a normal form of the form  $\gamma(ab)^4(acac^{-1})^{3n+3}$  where  $\gamma$  is an element of length at most  $3n$ . Contemplating

this normal form one sees, as in [Poi83, §7], that  $x\alpha_n$  is not conjugated in one of the factors  $G$  or  $H$ , and that  $x\alpha_n$  is not a square, and actually not a  $d$ -th power.

(2). The first claim shows that, for every element  $x$  of length at most  $n$ ,  $x\alpha_n$  is in  $G \setminus Y_d$ . In particular,  $\alpha_n$  is in  $x^{-1}(G \setminus Y_d)$ , and  $\alpha_n$  is not in  $x^{-1}Y_d$ . Since  $x$  and  $x^{-1}$  have the same length, this shows that  $\alpha_n$  is not in  $B_n Y_d$ , and thus  $B_n Y_d$  is a proper subset of  $P$ .

As the length of finitely many elements of  $P$  is uniformly bounded, it follows in particular that  $P$  cannot be covered by finitely many left-translates of  $Y_d$ , and thus  $Y_d$  not left-generic in  $P$ .  $\square$

Recall from [Jal06] that a subset  $X$  of a group  $G$  is *left-generic* when  $X^G$  is left-generic in  $G$ . We obtain in particular the following corollary of Lemma 5 (with a proof more general and more direct than the one given in [Pil08, Lemma 2.12]).

**Corollary 6** *Let  $P = G *_A H$  be a non-trivial free product of groups with amalgamated subgroup  $A$ , and suppose  $P$  not of dihedral type. Then  $(G \cup H)$  is not left-generic in  $P$ .*

Since the two generating subgroups of a dihedral group form a left-generic subset, the failure of left-genericity of  $(G \cup H)$  in  $P$  is actually equivalent to the fact that  $P$  is not of dihedral type. Of course, Lemma 5 and Corollary 6 could be proved similarly with the obvious notions of right-genericity, instead of left-genericity. When  $P$  is stable in Lemma 5 we get the following.

**Corollary 7** *Assume  $P$  has a stable theory in Lemma 5. If  $g$  is generic over  $P$ , then, for every integer  $d > 1$ ,  $g$  is not a  $d$ -th power, and the unique  $d$ -th root of  $g^d$ .*

PROOF:

Any element  $g$  in  $P \setminus Y_d$  is not a  $d$ -th power. Furthermore, any such element has a centralizer  $C_P(g)$  which is cyclic infinite, and  $C_P(g)$  is the centralizer of each of its non-trivial elements. In particular any  $d$ -th root of  $g^d$  is in  $C_P(g)$ , and it must coincide with  $g$ . This shows that  $P \setminus Y_d$  is contained in the definable subset  $Z_d$  of elements of  $P$  which are not a  $d$ -th power and the unique  $d$ -th root of their  $d$ -th power.

Hence  $G \setminus Z_d \subseteq Y_d$  and Lemma 5 implies that  $G \setminus Z_d$  is not left-generic. Assuming the stability of  $P$ , we get then that, for every  $d > 1$ , the formula defining  $Z_d$  is in all generic types.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1:** If  $P$  is of dihedral type, then it is definable in the theory of the infinite cyclic group, and thus it is superstable.

Assume now  $P$  has a superstable theory, but is not of dihedral type. By stability, Corollary 7 shows that if  $g$  is generic over  $P$ , then it is not a  $d$ -th root and the unique  $d$ -th root of its  $d$ -th power (for any  $d > 1$ ). We get thus that  $g^d$  is not generic, and that the generic element  $g$  is algebraic over the non-generic

element  $g^d$ . Applying this (just for some fixed  $d > 1$ ), we get a contradiction to the weak regularity in the superstable case as in [Poi83, §7 and p.346].  $\square$

We now pass to *HNN*-extensions. We say that an *HNN*-extension  $G^* = \langle G, t \mid A^t = B \rangle$  is of *automorphism type* when  $A = B = G$ .

**Lemma 8** *Let  $G^* = \langle G, t \mid A^t = B \rangle$  be an *HNN*-extension, and suppose  $G^*$  not of automorphism type. For any integer  $d > 1$  let  $Y_d = G^{G^*} \cup \{x^d : x \in G^*\}$ , and for every integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $B_n$  denote the ball of elements of  $G^*$  of length at most  $n$ .*

- (1) *For every integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $\alpha_n$  in  $G^*$  such that, for every element  $x$  in  $B_n$ ,  $x\alpha_n$  is not in  $Y_d$ .*
- (2) *For every integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B_n Y_d$  is a proper subset of  $G^*$ . In particular  $Y_d$  is not left-generic in  $G^*$ .*

PROOF:

Since  $G^*$  is not of automorphism type we can, interchanging  $A$  and  $B$  if necessary, assume that  $B < G^*$ . Let now  $a$  be any element of  $G \setminus B$ . Since we are using the convention  $g^h = h^{-1}gh$  for conjugates, we get that  $tat^{-1}$  is not in the preimage  $A$  of  $B$  by the underlying automorphism from  $A$  to  $B$ . Hence there are no cancellations in the word  $(at)^{n+4}(atat^{-1})^{3n+3}$ . Now one can argue as in Lemma 5, with  $a$  playing the same role as  $a$  there, and with  $t = b = c$  in the proof of Lemma 5. Notice that  $t \neq t^{-1}$  here.  $\square$

With Lemma 8 we get analogs of Corollaries 6 and 7 in the same way.

**Corollary 9** *Let  $G^* = \langle G, t \mid A^t = B \rangle$  be an *HNN*-extension, and suppose it is not of automorphism type. Then  $G$  is not left-generic in  $G^*$ .*

**Corollary 10** *Assume  $G^*$  has a stable theory in Lemma 8. If  $g$  is generic over  $G^*$ , then, for every integer  $d > 1$ ,  $g$  is not a  $d$ -th power, and the unique  $d$ -th root of  $g^d$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 2:** With Corollary 10 we may argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

We note that Lemma 5 and its two corollaries, as well as their analogs for *HNN*-extensions, do not depend on the fact of considering pure group structures: they remain true if the groups considered are expanded by some extra structure definable in some extra language. Similarly, the proofs of non-superstability in Theorems 1 and 2 are not sensitive to structure expanding the group structure. Hence we actually get the following.

**Theorem 11** *Let  $G$  be any expansion of a non-trivial free product with amalgamation not of dihedral type, or of an *HNN*-extension not of automorphism type. Then  $G$  is not superstable.*

Conversely, of course, there might be expansions of the dihedral group which are not superstable. The question of the superstability of  $HNN$ -extensions of automorphism type may depend on the pair consisting of a group with an automorphism considered. For instance, the  $HNN$ -extension  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, t \mid [t, \mathbb{Z}] \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and has a superstable theory.

## 2 Connectedness

In this section we consider the connectedness of free products of groups without amalgamation. The following argument stems directly from [Poi83, Lemme 6] (see also [Poi93] for related arguments).

**Proposition 12** *Let  $A$  be a group,  $F_\omega = \langle e_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  the free group on countably many generators  $e_i$ , and  $G = A * F_\omega$  the free product without amalgamation of  $A$  and  $F_\omega$ .*

- (1) *If  $X$  is a left-generic definable subset of  $G$ , then  $X$  contains a cofinite subset of the set  $\{e_i \mid i < \omega\}$ .*
- (2)  *$G$  is connected, i.e., with no proper definable subgroup of finite index.*
- (3) *If  $G$  is stable, the sequence  $(e_i)_{i < \omega}$  is a Morley sequence of the unique generic type  $p_0$  of  $G$  over  $\emptyset$ .*

PROOF:

(1). Suppose  $G = g_1X \cup \dots \cup g_kX$  for finitely many elements  $g_s$  in  $G$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  consists of the set of all the generators of  $F_\omega$  involved in the parameters needed to define  $X$ , together with all the generators of  $F_\omega$  such that  $g_s \in A * \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \mid \rangle$  for each  $s$ . It suffices to show that  $e_i$  is in  $X$  for each  $i > r$ . Since  $e_i$  is in  $g_sX$  for some  $s$ ,  $g_s^{-1}e_i$  is in  $X$ . But since  $g_s^{-1}e_i$  is free over  $A * \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \mid \rangle$ , there is an automorphism of  $A * \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \mid \rangle * \langle e_i \rangle$  fixing  $A * \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \mid \rangle$  pointwise and sending  $g_s^{-1}e_i$  to  $e_i$ . This automorphism extends to an automorphism of  $A * F_\omega$  and must stabilize  $X$  setwise. In particular we get that  $e_i$  is in  $X$ .

(2). By the preceding, two left-generic definable subsets necessarily have a non-empty intersection, and in particular  $G$  cannot have a proper definable subgroup of finite index.

(3). As in [Pil08, Corollary 2.7]. □

With one of the results of [Sel10] we get the following

**Proof of Theorem 4:** Since elementary equivalence preserves connectedness, we may consider directly  $G * H$ .

If  $G$  and  $H$  are cyclic of order 2, then  $G * H$  is dihedral and in particular not connected. If  $G * H$  is not dihedral, then it is elementarily equivalent to  $G * H * F_\omega$  by [Sel10, Theorem 7.2], which is connected by Proposition 12(2); since elementary equivalence preserves connectedness,  $G * H$  is connected. □

We note that in the proof of Theorem 4 we only used the elementary equivalence  $G * H \equiv G * H * F_\omega$  when  $G * H$  is not of dihedral type. It is actually expected that a reworking of [Sel10] “over parameters” would imply the elementary embedding  $G * H \preceq G * H * F_1$  in this case (and thus elementary embeddings  $G * H \preceq G * H * F_\kappa \preceq G * H * F_{\kappa'}$  for all cardinals  $\kappa \leq \kappa'$ ). In [OH11, Proposition 8.8] an elementary embedding of this type is used in a proof of the connectedness of non-cyclic torsion-free hyperbolic groups, but one could argue without such an elementary embedding as is done here.

Since free products tend to have proper subgroups of finite index, it seems difficult to characterize which expansions of a free product not of dihedral type are still connected. In the pure group language, we can obtain some realizations of the unique generic type over a non-dihedral free product with the following.

**Corollary 13** *Let  $A$  be a group,  $F_\omega = \langle e_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ , and suppose that  $G = A * F_\omega$  is stable. Then any primitive element of  $F_\omega$  is a realization of the generic type of  $G$  over  $\emptyset$ .*

PROOF:

By Proposition 12. □

In particular, for  $G * H$  a non-trivial free product not of dihedral type, primitive elements of  $F_\omega$  realize the generic type in the elementary equivalent group  $G * H * F_\omega$ . The full characterization of the set of realizations of the generic type, as in [Pil09] in the free group case, seems to depend on the nature of the factors; it is even unclear whether the generic type is realized in the standard model  $G * H$ . Most probably, one can prove that the generic type is not isolated, as in [Sk11] in the free group case.

Theorem 4 proves that all non-trivial free products of groups are connected, with the single exception of the dihedral case. We believe that such groups are actually definably simple, which would follow from the following more general conjecture.

**Conjecture 14** *Let  $G * H$  be a free product of two groups. Then any definable subgroup of  $G * H$  is of one of the following type:*

- *the full group,*
- *conjugated in one of the factors  $G$  or  $H$ ,*
- *cyclique infinite and elliptic, or*
- *dihedral (just in case one of the factors contains an element of order 2).*

Most probably, one way to prove Conjecture 14 could be obtained by a direct generalization to free products of groups of the Bestvina-Feighn notion of a *negligeable set* in free groups, and by using the quantifier elimination for definable subsets of  $G * H$  from [Sel10]. We refer to [KM11] for a proof in the free group case.

## References

- [Jal06] Eric Jaligot. Generix never gives up. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 71(2):599–610, 2006.
- [JS10] Eric Jaligot and Zlil Sela. Makanin-Razborov diagrams over free products. *Illinois J. Math.*, 54(1):19–68, 2010.
- [KM11] Olga Kharlampovich and Alexey Myasnikov. Definable subsets in a free group. Preprint, arXiv:1111.0577v1, 2011.
- [OH08] Abderezak Ould Houcine. Superstable groups acting on trees. Submitted, arXiv:0809.3441v1, 2008.
- [OH11] Abderezak Ould Houcine. Homogeneity and prime models in torsion-free hyperbolic groups. *Confluentes Math.*, 3(1):121–155, 2011.
- [Pil08] Anand Pillay. Forking in the free group. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 7(2):375–389, 2008.
- [Pil09] Anand Pillay. On genericity and weight in the free group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(11):3911–3917, 2009.
- [Poi83] Bruno Poizat. Groupes stables, avec types génériques réguliers. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 48(2):339–355, 1983.
- [Poi93] Bruno Poizat. Is the free group stable? (Le groupe libre est-il stable?). Berlin: Humboldt-Universität, Fachbereich Mathematik, 1993.
- [Sel10] Zlil Sela. Diophantine geometry over groups X: The elementary theory of free products of groups. preprint: <http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/~zlil/>, 2010.
- [Sk11] Rizos Sklinos. On the generic type of the free group. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 76(1):227–234, 2011.