



HAL
open science

A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold

Jean-Pierre Demailly, Hoang Hiep Pham

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Pierre Demailly, Hoang Hiep Pham. A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold. *Acta Mathematica*, 2014, 212 (1), pp.1-9. 10.1007/s11511-014-0107-4 . hal-00661341v2

HAL Id: hal-00661341

<https://hal.science/hal-00661341v2>

Submitted on 14 Feb 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold

Jean-Pierre Demailly and Phạm Hoàng Hiệp

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I,
Hanoi National University of Education

Abstract. In this note, we prove a sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold of a plurisubharmonic function φ with an isolated singularity at 0 in an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n . This threshold is defined as the supremum of constants $c > 0$ such that $e^{-2c\varphi}$ is integrable on a neighborhood of 0. We relate $c(\varphi)$ to the intermediate multiplicity numbers $e_j(\varphi)$, defined as the Lelong numbers of $(dd^c\varphi)^j$ at 0 (so that in particular $e_0(\varphi) = 1$). Our main result is that $c(\varphi) \geq \sum e_j(\varphi)/e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. This inequality is shown to be sharp; it simultaneously improves the classical result $c(\varphi) \geq 1/e_1(\varphi)$ due to Skoda, as well as the lower estimate $c(\varphi) \geq n/e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}$ which has received crucial applications to birational geometry in recent years. The proof consists in a reduction to the toric case, i.e. singularities arising from monomial ideals.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14B05, 32S05, 32S10, 32U25

Keywords and Phrases: Lelong number, Monge-Ampère operator, log canonical threshold.

1. NOTATION AND MAIN RESULTS

Here we put $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. The normalization of the d^c operator is chosen so that we have precisely $(dd^c \log |z|)^n = \delta_0$ for the Monge-Ampère operator in \mathbb{C}^n . The Monge-Ampère operator is defined on locally bounded plurisubharmonic functions according to the definition of Bedford-Taylor [BT76, BT82]; it can also be extended to plurisubharmonic functions with isolated or compactly supported poles by [Dem93]. If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , we let $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$) be the set of plurisubharmonic (resp. psh ≤ 0) functions on Ω .

Definition 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain (i.e. a domain possessing a negative psh exhaustion). Following Cegrell [Ce04], we introduce certain classes of psh functions on Ω , in relation with the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator :*

- (a) $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi)^n < +\infty\},$
- (b) $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \ni \varphi_p \searrow \varphi, \sup_{p \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c\varphi_p)^n < +\infty\},$
- (c) $\mathcal{E}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^-(\Omega) : \exists \varphi_K \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \varphi_K = \varphi \text{ on } K, \forall K \subset\subset \Omega\}.$

It is proved in [Ce04] that the class $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is the biggest subset of $\text{PSH}^-(\Omega)$ on which the Monge-Ampère operator is well-defined. For a general complex manifold X , after removing

the negativity assumption of the functions involved, one can in fact extend the Monge-Ampère operator to the class

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X) \subset \text{PSH}(X)$$

of psh functions which, on a neighborhood $\Omega \ni x_0$ of an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$, are equal to a sum $u + v$ with $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$; again, this is the biggest subclass of functions of $\text{PSH}(X)$ on which the Monge-Ampère operator is locally well defined. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(X)$ contains the class of psh functions which are locally bounded outside isolated singularities.

For $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$, we introduce the log canonical threshold at 0

$$(1.3) \quad c(\varphi) = \sup \{c > 0 : e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\},$$

and for $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ we introduce the intersection numbers

$$(1.4) \quad e_j(\varphi) = \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|)^{n-j}$$

which can be seen also as the Lelong numbers of $(dd^c \varphi)^j$ at 0. Our main result is the following sharp estimate. It is a generalization and a sharpening of similar inequalities discussed in [Cor95], [Cor00], [dFEM03], [dFEM04]; such inequalities have fundamental applications to birational geometry (see [IM72], [Puk87], [Puk02], [Isk01], [Che05]).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. Then $c(\varphi) = +\infty$ if $e_1(\varphi) = 0$, and otherwise*

$$c(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)}.$$

Remark 1.6. By Lemma 2.1 below, we have $(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \in D$ where

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2, t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1}, \forall j = 2, \dots, n-1\},$$

i.e. $\log e_j(\varphi)$ is a convex sequence. In particular, we have $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_1(\varphi)^j$, and the denominators do not vanish in 1.5 if $e_1(\varphi) > 0$. On the other hand, a well known inequality due to Skoda [Sko72] tells us that

$$\frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} \leq c(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{e_1(\varphi)},$$

hence $c(\varphi) < +\infty$ iff $e_1(\varphi) > 0$. To see that Theorem 1.5 is optimal, let us choose

$$\varphi(z) = \max(a_1 \ln |z_1|, \dots, a_n \ln |z_n|)$$

with $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$. Then $e_j(\varphi) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_j$, and a change of variable $z_j = \zeta_j^{1/a_j}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ easily shows that

$$c(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}.$$

Assume that we have a function $f : D \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $c(\varphi) \geq f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi))$ for all $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$. Then, by the above example, we must have

$$f(a_1, a_1 a_2, \dots, a_1 \dots a_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}$$

for all a_j as above. By taking $a_j = t_j/t_{j-1}$, $t_0 = 1$, this implies that

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}, \quad \forall t \in D,$$

whence the optimality of our inequality. \square

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.5 is of course stronger than Skoda's lower bound $c(\varphi) \geq 1/e_1(\varphi)$. By the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means, we infer the main inequality of [dFEM03], [dFEM04] and [Dem09]

$$(1.8) \quad c(\varphi) \geq \frac{n}{e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}}.$$

By applying the arithmetic-geometric inequality for the indices $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ in our summation $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j(\varphi)/e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, we also infer the stronger inequality

$$(1.9) \quad c(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{e_1(\varphi)} + (n-1) \left[\frac{e_1(\varphi)}{e_n(\varphi)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

2. LOG CONVEXITY OF THE MULTIPLICITY SEQUENCE

The log convexity of the multiplicity sequence can be derived from very elementary integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, using an argument from [Ce04].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. We have $e_j(\varphi)^2 \leq e_{j-1}(\varphi)e_{j+1}(\varphi)$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Without loss generality, by replacing φ with a sequence of local approximations $\varphi_p(z) = \max(\varphi(z) - C, p \log |z|)$ of $\varphi(z) - C$, $C \gg 1$, we can assume that Ω is the unit ball and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. Take also $h, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. Then integration by parts and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right]^2 = \left[\int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \right]^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} d\psi \wedge d^c\psi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \int_{\Omega} d\varphi \wedge d^c\varphi \wedge (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c h \\ & = \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, take

$$h(z) = h_p(z) = \max\left(-1, \frac{1}{p} \log \|z\|\right) \nearrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \Omega \setminus \{0\} \\ -1 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem we get in the limit

$$\left[\int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j} \right]^2 \leq \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j-1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j+1} \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c\varphi)^{j+1} \wedge (dd^c\psi)^{n-j-1}.$$

For $\psi(z) = \ln \|z\|$, this is the desired estimate. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ and $0 \in \Omega$. We have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} e_j(\varphi) & \geq e_1(\varphi)^j, \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ e_k(\varphi) & \leq e_j(\varphi)^{\frac{l-k}{l-j}} e_l(\varphi)^{\frac{k-j}{l-j}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j < k < l \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $e_1(\varphi) = 0$ implies $e_k(\varphi) = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$ if $n \geq 3$.

Proof. If $e_j(\varphi) > 0$ for all j , Lemma 2.1 implies that $j \mapsto e_j(\varphi)/e_{j-1}(\varphi)$ is increasing, at least equal to $e_1(\varphi)/e_0(\varphi) = e_1(\varphi)$, and the inequalities follow from the log convexity. The general case can be proved by considering $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \varphi(z) + \varepsilon \log \|z\|$, since $0 < \varepsilon^j \leq e_j(\varphi_\varepsilon) \rightarrow e_j(\varphi)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The last statement is obtained by taking $j = 1$ and $l = n$. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We start with a monotonicity statement.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi \leq \psi$ (i.e. φ is “more singular” than ψ). Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\psi)}{e_{j+1}(\psi)}.$$

Proof. As in Remark 1.6, we set

$$D = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n : t_1^2 \leq t_2, t_j^2 \leq t_{j-1}t_{j+1}, \forall j = 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Then D is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^n , as can be checked by a straightforward application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We consider the function $f : \text{int } D \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$

$$(3.2) \quad f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} \dots + \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_j}(t) = -\frac{t_{j-1}}{t_j^2} + \frac{1}{t_{j+1}} \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in D.$$

For $a, b \in \text{int } D$ such that $a_j \geq b_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$, $[0, 1] \ni \lambda \rightarrow f(b + \lambda(a - b))$ is thus a decreasing function. This implies that $f(a) \leq f(b)$ for $a, b \in \text{int } D, a_j \geq b_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, the hypothesis $\varphi \leq \psi$ implies $e_j(\varphi) \geq e_j(\psi), \forall j = 1, \dots, n$, by the comparison principle (see e.g. [Dem87]). Therefore $f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi))$. \square

(3.3) Proof of the main theorem in the “toric case”.

It will be convenient here to introduce Kiselman’s refined Lelong numbers (cf. [Kis87], [Kis94a]):

Definition 3.4. *Let $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$. Then the function*

$$\nu_\varphi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_1| = e^{x_1 t}, \dots, |z_n| = e^{x_n t}\}}{t}$$

is called the refined Lelong number of φ at 0. This function is increasing in each variable x_j and concave on \mathbb{R}_+^n .

By “toric case”, we mean that $\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \varphi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$ depends only on $|z_j|$ for all j ; then φ is psh if and only if $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \varphi(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n})$ is increasing in each t_j and convex. By replacing φ with $\varphi(\lambda z) - \varphi(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)$, $0 < \lambda \ll 1$, we can assume that $\Omega = \Delta^n$ is the unit polydisk, $\varphi(1, \dots, 1) = 0$ (so that $\varphi \leq 0$ on Ω), and we have $e_1(\varphi) = n \nu_\varphi(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$.

By convexity, the slope $\frac{\max\{\varphi(z) : |z_j| = e^{x_j t}\}}{t}$ is increasing in t for $t < 0$. Therefore, by taking $t = -1$ we get

$$\nu_\varphi(-\ln |z_1|, \dots, -\ln |z_n|) \leq -\varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Notice also that $\nu_\varphi(x)$ satisfies the 1-homogeneity property $\nu_\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \nu_\varphi(x)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. As a consequence, ν_φ is entirely characterized by its restriction to the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1 \right\}.$$

We choose $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\nu_\varphi(x^0) = \max\{\nu_\varphi(x) : x \in \Sigma\} \in \left[\frac{e_1(\varphi)}{n}, e_1(\varphi) \right].$$

By Theorem 5.8 in [Kis94a] (see also [Ho01] for similar results in an algebraic context) we have the formula

$$c(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)}.$$

Set

$$\zeta(x) = \nu_\varphi(x^0) \min\left(\frac{x_1}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_n^0}\right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Then ζ is the smallest nonnegative concave 1-homogeneous function on \mathbb{R}_+^n that is increasing in each variable x_j and such that $\zeta(x^0) = \nu_\varphi(x^0)$. Therefore we have $\zeta \leq \nu_\varphi$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n) &\leq -\nu_\varphi(-\ln|z_1|, \dots, -\ln|z_n|) \\ &\leq -\zeta(-\ln|z_1|, \dots, -\ln|z_n|) \\ &\leq \nu_\varphi(x^0) \max\left(\frac{\ln|z_1|}{x_1^0}, \dots, \frac{\ln|z_n|}{x_n^0}\right) := \psi(z_1, \dots, z_n). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 1.6 we get

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi), \dots, e_n(\psi)) = c(\psi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(x^0)} = c(\varphi).$$

(3.5) Reduction to the case of psh functions with analytic singularities.

In the second step, we reduce the proof to the case $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$, where f_1, \dots, f_N are germs of holomorphic functions at 0. Following the technique introduced in [Dem92], we let $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$ be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions f on Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} dV < +\infty,$$

and let $\psi_m = \frac{1}{2m} \log \sum |g_{m,k}|^2$ where $\{g_{m,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{m\varphi}(\Omega)$. Thanks to Theorem 4.2 in [DK00], mainly based on to the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem [OT87] (see also [Dem92]), there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of m such that

$$\varphi(z) - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \psi_m(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta - z| < r} \varphi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n}$$

for every $z \in \Omega$ and $r < d(z, \partial\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\varphi) - \frac{n}{m} &\leq \nu(\psi_m) \leq \nu(\varphi), \\ \frac{1}{c(\varphi)} - \frac{1}{m} &\leq \frac{1}{c(\psi_m)} \leq \frac{1}{c(\varphi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$f(e_1(\varphi), \dots, e_n(\varphi)) \leq f(e_1(\psi_m), \dots, e_n(\psi_m)), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

The above inequalities show that in order to prove the lower bound of $c(\varphi)$ in Theorem 1.5, we only need to prove it for $c(\psi_m)$ and let m tend to infinity. Also notice that since the Lelong numbers of a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ occur only on a discrete set, the same is true for the functions ψ_m .

(3.6) Reduction of the main theorem to the case of monomial ideals.

The final step consists of proving the theorem for $\varphi = \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_N|^2)$, where f_1, \dots, f_N are germs of holomorphic functions at 0 [this is because the ideals $(g_{m,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the Noetherian ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ are always finitely generated]. Set $\mathcal{J} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$, $c(\mathcal{J}) = c(\varphi)$, $e_j(\mathcal{J}) = e_j(\varphi)$, $\forall j = 0, \dots, n$. By the final observation of 3.5, we can assume that \mathcal{J} has an isolated zero at 0. Now, by fixing a multiplicative order on the monomials $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ (see [Eis95] Chap. 15 and [dFEM04]), it is well known that one can construct a flat family $(\mathcal{J}_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ depending on a complex parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that \mathcal{J}_0 is a monomial ideal, $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}_s^t) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}^t)$ for all s and $t \in \mathbb{N}$; in fact \mathcal{J}_0 is just the initial ideal associated to \mathcal{J} with respect to the monomial order. Moreover, we can arrange by a generic rotation of coordinates $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ that the family of ideals $\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p}$ is also flat, and that the dimensions

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t)$$

compute the intermediate multiplicities

$$e_p(\mathcal{J}_s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p!}{t^p} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}/(\mathcal{J}_s|_{\mathbb{C}^p})^t) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$$

(notice, in the analytic setting, that the Lelong number of the (p, p) -current $(dd^c \varphi)^p$ at 0 is the Lelong number of its slice on a generic $\mathbb{C}^p \subset \mathbb{C}^n$); in particular $e_p(\mathcal{J}_0) = e_p(\mathcal{J})$ for all p . The semicontinuity property of the log canonical threshold (see for example [DK00]) now implies that $c(\mathcal{J}_0) \leq c(\mathcal{J}_s)$ for s small. As $c(\mathcal{J}_s) = c(\mathcal{J})$ for $s \neq 0$ (\mathcal{J}_s being a pull-back of \mathcal{J} by a biholomorphism, in other words $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}_s \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/\mathcal{J}$ as rings, see again [Eis95], chap. 15), the lower bound is valid for $c(\mathcal{J})$ if it is valid for $c(\mathcal{J}_0)$.

REFERENCES

- [BT76] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Invent. Math. **37** (1976) 1–44.
- [BT82] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982) 1–41.
- [Ce04] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **54**(2004), 159–179.
- [Che05] I. Chel'tsov, *Birationally rigid Fano manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **60:5** (2005), 71–160 and Russian Math. Surveys **60:5** (2005), 875–965.
- [Cor95] A. Corti, *Factoring birational maps of threefolds after Sarkisov*, J. Algebraic Geom., **4** (1995), 223–254.
- [Cor00] A. Corti, *Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **281** (2000) 259–312.
- [dFEM03] T. de Fernex, T. L. Ein and Mustața, *Bounds for log canonical thresholds with applications to birational rigidity*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003) 219–236.
- [dFEM04] T. de Fernex, T. L. Ein and Mustața, *Multiplicities and log canonical thresholds*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004) 603–615.
- [Dem87] J.-P. Demailly, *Nombres de Lelong généralisés, théorèmes d'intégralité et d'analyticité*, Acta Math. **159** (1987) 153–169.
- [Dem92] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*, J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), 361–409.

- [Dem93] J.-P. Demailly, *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, Complex Analysis and Geometry, Univ. Series in Math., edited by V. Ancona and A. Silva, Plenum Press, New-York, 1993.
- [DK00] J.-P. Demailly and J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), 525–556.
- [Dem09] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimates on Monge-Ampère operators derived from a local algebra inequality*, in: Complex Analysis and Digital geometry, Proceedings of the Kiselmanfest 2006, Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, 2009.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math. **150**, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [Ho01] J. Howald, *Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 2665–2671.
- [IM72] V.A. Iskovskikh and I.Yu. Manin, *Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem*, Mat. Sb. **86** (1971), 140–166; English transl., Math. Sb. **15** (1972), 141–166.
- [Isk01] V.A. Iskovskikh, *Birational rigidity and Mori theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56:2** (2001) 3–86, English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **56:2** (2001), 207–291.
- [Kis87] C.O. Kiselman, *Un nombre de Lelong raffiné*, Séminaire d’Analyse Complexe et Géométrie 198587, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis & Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Monastir, (1987) 61–70.
- [Kis94a] C.O. Kiselman, *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **60** (1994) 173–197.
- [OhT87] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions*, Math. Zeit. **195** (1987) 197–204.
- [Puk87] A.V. Pukhlikov, *Birational automorphisms of a four-dimensional quintic*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 303–329.
- [Puk02] A.V. Pukhlikov, *Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **66:6** (2002), 159–186; English translation, Izv. Math. **66:** (2002), 1243–1269.
- [Sko72] H. Skoda, *Sous-ensembles analytiques d’ordre fini ou infini dans \mathbb{C}^n* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **100** (1972) 353–408.

Jean-Pierre Demailly
 Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques
 Institut Fourier, 38402 Saint-Martin d’Hères, France
e-mail: jean-pierre.demailly@ujf-grenoble.fr

Phạm Hoàng Hiệp
 Department of Mathematics, National University of Education
 136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam
 and Institut Fourier (on a Post-Doctoral grant from Univ. Grenoble I)
e-mail: phhiep_vn@yahoo.com