



HAL
open science

Spectral analysis and time-dependent scattering theory on manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends

Serge Richard, Rafael Tiedra de Aldecoa

► **To cite this version:**

Serge Richard, Rafael Tiedra de Aldecoa. Spectral analysis and time-dependent scattering theory on manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends. *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 2013, 25, pp.1350003-1 - 1350003-40. hal-00660532

HAL Id: hal-00660532

<https://hal.science/hal-00660532>

Submitted on 17 Jan 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spectral analysis and time-dependent scattering theory on manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends

S. Richard^{1*} and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa^{2†}

¹ *Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan.*

On leave from Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR5208, Institut Camille Jordan, 43 blvd du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne-Cedex, France

² *Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile*

E-mails: *richard@math.univ-lyon1.fr, rtiedra@mat.puc.cl*

Abstract

We review the spectral analysis and the time-dependent approach of scattering theory for manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends. For the spectral analysis, higher order resolvent estimates are obtained via Mourre theory for both short-range and long-range behaviors of the metric and the perturbation at infinity. For the scattering theory, the existence and asymptotic completeness of the wave operators is proved in a two-Hilbert spaces setting. A stationary formula as well as mapping properties for the scattering operator are derived. The existence of time delay and its equality with the Eisenbud-Wigner time delay is finally presented. Our analysis mainly differs from the existing literature on the choice of a simpler comparison dynamics as well as on the complementary use of time-dependent and stationary scattering theories.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58J50, 81Q10, 47A40.

Keywords: Manifolds, spectral analysis, scattering theory, conjugate operator.

1 Introduction

Manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends are certainly some of the most studied manifolds in spectral and scattering theory, and many results related to them are already available in the literature, see for example [12, 13, 14, 15, 26, 27, 32, 34, 35]. The aim of the present paper is to complement this bulk of information and to apply recent technics or results in commutator methods, time-dependent scattering theory, stationary methods and quantum time delay to these manifolds. As examples of new results, we provide higher order resolvent estimates for both short-range and long-range behaviors of the metric and the perturbation at infinity, we deduce mapping properties of the scattering operator, and we also prove the existence and the equality of global and Eisenbud-Wigner time delays. Also, we emphasize that our analysis differs from much of the existing literature on the choice of a simpler reference dynamics.

At the origin of this research stand our three recent works on spectral and scattering theory in an abstract framework [37, 38, 39]. In the first two article, it is shown that, given a scattering process, particular choices of asymptotic reference systems are better suited than others and automatically lead to richer results. On manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends, this idea can be particularly well illustrated. In the third article, a comparison scheme for deducing a Mourre estimate for a pair of self-adjoint operators (H, A) in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} from

*Supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by Grants-in-Aid for scientific Research. A two weeks stay in Santiago de Chile and a one week stay at the Centre Interfacultaire Bernoulli (EPFL, Lausanne) are also acknowledged.

†Supported by the Fondecyt Grant 1090008 and by the Iniciativa Científica Milenio ICM P07-027-F “Mathematical Theory of Quantum and Classical Magnetic Systems”.

a similar estimate for a second pair of operators (H_0, A_0) in an auxiliary Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 has been put into evidence. Again, a clever choice of the reference system (\mathcal{H}_0, H_0) is of much help. However, this comparison scheme, though at the root of the time-dependent scattering theory, has not yet been systematically implemented in Mourre theory. This article can also be regarded as an attempt to fill in this gap in the context of manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends (see also [17, 18, 19, 20, 28] for related works).

Let us now be more precise about the model. We consider a smooth, non-compact, complete Riemannian manifold M of dimension $n + 1 \geq 2$ without boundary. We assume that M is of the form $M = M_c \cup M_\infty$, with M_c relatively compact and M_∞ open in M . Moreover, we suppose M_∞ diffeomorphic to $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$, with Σ the disjoint union of a finite number of smooth, compact, connected Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 1$ without boundary. The Riemannian metric $g|_{M_\infty}$ on M_∞ converges at infinity (in a suitable sense) to the product metric on $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$. The usual volume form on M is denoted by dv , while the one on Σ is denoted by ds . In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L^2(M, dv)$, we consider the self-adjoint operator $H := \Delta_M + V$, where Δ_M is the (Dirichlet) Laplace-Beltrami operator on M and V is a multiplication operator by a smooth bounded function on M .

As a reference system, we consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator $H_0 := \Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0 := L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, dx \otimes ds)$. This choice of reference system instead of the more usual Laplacian $\Delta_{(0, \infty) \times \Sigma}$ in $L^2((0, \infty) \times \Sigma, dx \otimes ds)$ with a Neumann or Dirichlet condition at the origin is inspired by the following considerations. On the first hand, it involves no arbitrariness when defining H_0 , since the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}$ is the only natural choice for the comparison operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, dx \otimes ds)$. On the second hand, it allows to take constantly advantage of the existence of a simple conjugate operator A_0 for H_0 and a simple spectral representation for H_0 . Finally, it permits to define easily a family $\{H_0(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ of mutually commuting self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{H}_0 , which plays an important role for the proof of the existence of quantum time delay (the operators $H(x)$ are simply the translated operators $e^{-ix\Phi_0} H_0 e^{ix\Phi_0}$, with $\Phi_0 := Q \otimes 1$ and Q the position operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$).

In order to link the dynamics H in \mathcal{H} to the reference dynamics H_0 in \mathcal{H}_0 we use, as is usual in scattering theory, an identification operator $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$. Essentially, J acts as the zero operator on vectors $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ having support in $(-\infty, 1) \times \Sigma$ and maps isometrically vectors $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ having support in $(2, \infty) \times \Sigma$ onto vectors $J\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ having support in M_∞ . With these tools at hand, and by using extensively the two-Hilbert scheme of [39], we are able to establish various novel results for the operator H and the scattering triple (H_0, H, J) that we now describe.

In Section 4, we perform the spectral analysis of H when both the metric g and the potential V are the sum of two terms, one having a short-range type behavior at infinity and one having a long-range type behaviour at infinity. We start in Section 4.1 by defining an appropriate conjugate operator A for H . Following the general scheme of [39, Sec. 3], we simply use the operator $A = JA_0J^*$, with A_0 the generator of dilations along the \mathbb{R} -axis in \mathcal{H}_0 . With this operator, we establish a Mourre estimate for H in Proposition 4.9. Then, by using an abstract result of [11], we prove the Zygmund-Hölder regularity of the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle A \rangle^{-s} (H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (1.1)$$

for suitable s and away from the critical values of H . This result implies in particular higher order resolvent estimates for H and higher order differentiability of the map (1.1) (see Proposition 4.11 for a precise statement). As a by-product, formulated in Proposition 4.12, we obtain the absence of singular continuous spectrum and the finiteness of the point spectrum of H away from the set \mathcal{T} of eigenvalues of the (transverse) Laplacian Δ_Σ on Σ . In the particular case where the metric $g|_{M_\infty}$ is purely short-range with decay $\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}$, $\mu > 1$, at infinity this result is comparable with the one recently obtained in [27, Thm. 3.10] with alternative technics.

In Section 5, we present the time-dependent scattering theory for the triple (H_0, H, J) when the metric $g|_{M_\infty}$ on M_∞ decays as $\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}$, $\mu > 1$, at infinity. In Proposition 5.3, we prove that the generalized wave operators

$$W_\pm := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} J e^{-itH_0}$$

exist and are partial isometries with initial subspaces $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0 \mid \text{supp}(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1)\varphi \subset \mathbb{R}_\pm \times \Sigma\}$. Here \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, we establish in Proposition 5.7 the asymptotic completeness of the wave operators W_\pm by using an abstract criterion of [39]. This implies in particular the existence and the

unitarity of the scattering operator $S := W_+^* W_- : \mathcal{H}_0^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^+$. In Section 5.3, we pursue our study by deriving a precise stationary formula for the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ at energy λ (see Theorem 5.10). This formula, together with the Zygmund-Hölder regularity of the resolvent map, allows us to prove that the map $\lambda \mapsto S(\lambda)$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable away from the critical values of H if $\mu > k + 1$ (see Corollary 5.11 for details). This result implies in turns a mapping property of the scattering operator S , which is crucial (and usually considered as the difficult part) for the proof of the existence of time delay (see Proposition 5.12). Finally, we prove in Section 5.5 the existence of time delay and its equality with Eisenbud-Wigner time delay using the abstract method of [38].

As a final comment, let us stress that even if manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends are certainly a piece of folklore for experts in global analysis, most of the results contained in this paper are either new or presented in a more systematic form than the ones already existing in the literature. Furthermore, the abstract framework underlying our analysis as well as the our scheme of investigations can serve again for further investigations on other types of manifolds. We intend to perform such investigations in the near future.

Notations: $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Schwartz space on \mathbb{R} . The operators P and Q are respectively the momentum and the position operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *i.e.* $(P\varphi)(x) := -i\varphi'(x)$ and $(Q\varphi)(x) := x\varphi(x)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of natural numbers and $\mathcal{H}_t^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, are the weighted Sobolev spaces over \mathbb{R} [4, Sec. 4.1] (with the convention that $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{H}_0^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{H}_t(\mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{H}_t^0(\mathbb{R})$). The one-dimensional Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_t^s(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathcal{H}_s^t(\mathbb{R})$ for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, \otimes (resp. \odot) stands for the closed (resp. algebraic) tensor product of Hilbert spaces or of operators.

2 Reference system

We introduce in this section the asymptotic reference system (\mathcal{H}_0, H_0) . As explained in the introduction, the configuration space subjacent to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 is a direct product $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, where Σ is the disjoint union of $N \geq 1$ Riemannian manifolds Σ_ℓ . So, we start by defining each manifold Σ_ℓ separately.

Let (Σ_ℓ, h_ℓ) be a smooth, compact, orientable, connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$, without boundary. On a chart $(\mathcal{O}_\ell, \omega_\ell)$ of Σ_ℓ , the Riemannian metric $h_\ell : \mathfrak{X}(\Sigma_\ell) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\Sigma_\ell) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Sigma_\ell)$ is given by the collection of functions $(h_\ell)_{jk} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$, $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, defined by

$$(h_\ell)_{jk} := h_\ell \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\ell^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\ell^k} \right).$$

The contravariant form of the metric tensor h_ℓ has components $(h_\ell)^{jk}$ determined by the matrix relation $\sum_i (h_\ell)_{ij} (h_\ell)^{ik} = \delta_j^k$, and the volume element ds_ℓ on Σ_ℓ is given by

$$ds_\ell := \mathfrak{h}_\ell d\omega_\ell \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{h}_\ell := \sqrt{\det \{(h_\ell)_{jk}\}}.$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{Σ_ℓ} in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma_\ell) := L^2(\Sigma_\ell, ds_\ell)$ is defined on each chart by

$$\Delta_{\Sigma_\ell} \varphi := - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \mathfrak{h}_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\ell^j} \mathfrak{h}_\ell (h_\ell)^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\ell^k} \varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma_\ell).$$

It is known that Δ_{Σ_ℓ} is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(\Sigma_\ell)$ [16, Thm. 3] and that the closure of Δ_{Σ_ℓ} (which we denote by the same symbol) has a spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_{\Sigma_\ell})$ consisting in an unbounded sequence of finitely degenerated eigenvalues $0 = \tau_{\ell,0} < \tau_{\ell,1} \leq \tau_{\ell,2} \leq \dots$ repeated according to multiplicity [40, Thm. 1.29].

For $N \geq 1$, let $\Sigma := \bigsqcup_{\ell=1}^N \Sigma_\ell$ be the disjoint union of the manifolds Σ_ℓ . When endowed with the metric h defined by

$$[h(X, Y)](\ell, p) := (h_\ell)_p(X_{(\ell,p)}, Y_{(\ell,p)}), \quad (\ell, p) \in \Sigma, \quad X_{(\ell,p)}, Y_{(\ell,p)} \in T_p \Sigma_\ell,$$

the set Σ becomes a Riemannian manifold. Its volume element ds is given by

$$ds(\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_N) := \sum_{\ell=1}^N ds_\ell(\mathcal{V}_\ell), \quad (\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_N) \subset \Sigma.$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_\Sigma \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^N \Delta_{\Sigma_\ell}$ in $L^2(\Sigma) := L^2(\Sigma, ds) \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^N L^2(\Sigma_\ell, ds_\ell)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(\Sigma) \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^N C^\infty(\Sigma_\ell)$ and has purely discrete spectrum $\mathcal{T} := \{\tau_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ (the values τ_j being the elements of $\{\tau_{\ell,k} \mid \ell = 1, \dots, N, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ arranged in ascending order and repeated according to multiplicity).

Then, we define in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0 := L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, dx \otimes ds) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\Sigma)$ the operator $H_0 := P^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Delta_\Sigma$. The operator H_0 is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ and has domain [10, Sec. 3]

$$\mathcal{D}(H_0) = \{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Delta_\Sigma)\} \cap \{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\Sigma)\},$$

endowed with the intersection topology. The spectral measure of H_0 is purely absolutely continuous and admits the tensorial decomposition [47, Ex. 8.21]:

$$E^{H_0}(\cdot) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E^{P^2 + \tau_j}(\cdot) \otimes \mathcal{P}_j, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{\mathcal{P}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the family of one-dimensional eigenprojections of Δ_Σ . In particular, the spectrum $\sigma(H_0)$ and the absolutely continuous spectrum $\sigma_{ac}(H_0)$ of H_0 satisfy the identities:

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_{ac}(H_0) = [0, \infty).$$

In order to give some results on the spectral representation of H_0 , one needs to introduce extra quantities: The fibre $\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ at energy $\lambda \geq 0$ in the spectral representation of H_0 is

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \{\mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma) \oplus \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma)\} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{N}(\lambda) := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \tau_j \leq \lambda\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ is naturally embedded in

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\infty) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{\mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma) \oplus \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma)\},$$

we shall sometimes write $\mathcal{H}_0(\infty)$ instead of $\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we let $\gamma(\xi) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the restriction operator given by $\gamma(\xi)\varphi := \varphi(\xi)$. For $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$, we define the operator $T_0(\lambda) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot L^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ by

$$[T_0(\lambda)\varphi]_j := (\lambda - \tau_j)^{-1/4} \{ [\gamma(-\sqrt{\lambda - \tau_j}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_j]\varphi, [\gamma(\sqrt{\lambda - \tau_j}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_j]\varphi \}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda). \quad (2.2)$$

We can now state the main properties for the operators $F_0(\lambda) := 2^{-1/2} T_0(\lambda)(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1)$. For shortness, we write $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ for the Hilbert space $\int_{[0, \infty)}^\oplus d\lambda \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.1 (Spectral transformation for H_0). *Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

- (a) *For each $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and $s > 1/2$, the operator $F_0(\lambda)$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_s^t(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\Sigma), \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$.*
- (b) *For each $s > k + 1/2$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $[0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T} \ni \lambda \mapsto F_0(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_s^t(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\Sigma), \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable.*

(c) The mapping $F_0 : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ given for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot L^2(\Sigma)$ and every $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$ by

$$(F_0\varphi)(\lambda) := F_0(\lambda)\varphi,$$

extends to a unitary operator, and

$$F_0 H_0 F_0^{-1} = \int_{[0, \infty)}^{\oplus} d\lambda \lambda.$$

Furthermore, for any $\phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ with $\phi(\lambda) = \{\phi(\lambda)_j^-, \phi(\lambda)_j^+\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)}$ for almost every $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$, one has

$$F_0^{-1}\phi = (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \otimes 1)\tilde{\phi} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{2|\xi|} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \phi(\xi^2 + \tau_j)_j^- & \text{for almost every } \xi < 0 \\ \sqrt{2|\xi|} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \phi(\xi^2 + \tau_j)_j^+ & \text{for almost every } \xi \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Point (a) can be shown as in Lemma 2.4.(a) of [44]. For (b), a look at the expression (2.2) for $T_0(\lambda)$ shows it is sufficient to prove that the function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_t^s(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$ is k -times Hölder continuously differentiable. But, we already know from [45, Lemma A.1] that γ is k -times Hölder continuously differentiable from \mathbb{R} to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$. This fact, together with the identity

$$\gamma(\xi)\langle Q \rangle^t \varphi = \langle \xi \rangle^t \gamma(\xi)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad \xi, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

implies the desired differentiability.

Finally, the result of point (c) can be shown as in Proposition 2.5 of [44]. \square

3 Manifold with asymptotically cylindrical ends

Let (M, g) be a smooth, second countable, complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n + 1$, without boundary. Assume that M is of the form $M = M_c \cup M_\infty$, with M_c relatively compact and M_∞ open in M . Moreover, suppose that M_∞ (with the induced atlas) can be identified to $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$ (with the direct product atlas) in the following sense: There exists a diffeomorphism $\iota : M_\infty \rightarrow (0, \infty) \times \Sigma$ mapping each local chart of M_∞ to a local chart of $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$. In other terms, if the collection $\{(\mathcal{V}_\alpha, \rho_\alpha)\}$ stands for the atlas on M_∞ , then the collection

$$\{(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, (x, \omega_\alpha))\} := \{(\iota(\mathcal{V}_\alpha), \rho_\alpha \circ \iota^{-1})\}$$

defines an equivalent atlas on $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$. We also assume that $\iota(M_c \cap M_\infty) \subset (0, 1) \times \Sigma$.

We denote by g_{jk} the components of g on a chart (W, ζ) of M , we set $\{g^{jk}\} := \{g_{jk}\}^{-1}$, and we define the volume element dv on M as

$$dv := \mathbf{g} d\zeta \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{g} := \sqrt{\det\{g_{jk}\}}.$$

In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L^2(M, dv)$ we consider the operator H given by

$$H\psi := (\Delta_M + V)\psi, \quad \psi \in C_c^\infty(M),$$

where Δ_M is the (Dirichlet) Laplace-Beltrami operator on M and V belongs to the set $C_b^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on M with all derivatives bounded (note that we use the same notation for a function and for the corresponding multiplication operator). Since M is complete and V is bounded, the operator H is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(M)$ [16, Thm. 3], and H acts as

$$H\psi := - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{g}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^j} \mathbf{g} g^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^k} \psi + V\psi, \quad \psi \in C_c^\infty(M),$$

on each chart (W, ζ) of M . Now, on each complete Riemannian manifold M , one can define the Sobolev spaces $W^k(\mathcal{M})$ given in terms of the covariant derivatives and the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^{2k}(\mathcal{M})$ given in terms of the Laplace-Beltrami operator (see [41, Sec. 0]). Therefore, the domain $\mathcal{D}(H)$ of H satisfies in our situation

$$\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_M) = \mathcal{H}^2(M).$$

In the next two lemmas, we recall a compactness criterion and a result on elliptic regularity that will be used in various instances. In the first lemma, the set of continuous bounded functions on M is denoted by $C_b(M)$, and the ideal of compact operators of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. In the second lemma, the Laplacian Δ_M contained in $H \equiv \Delta_M + V$ is regarded as the distributional Laplacian on $L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$ (the distributional Laplacian coincides with the usual Dirichlet Laplacian on the subset $\mathcal{H}^2(M) \subset L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$, see [25, Sec. 4.1-4.2] for details).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m \in C_b(M)$ satisfy $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|(m \circ \iota^{-1})(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} = 0$. Then the product $m(H \pm i)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be any open relatively compact subset of M and let $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the corresponding characteristic function. Then, one shows using standard results (see [46, Sec. 1.2], [24, Sec. 2.2] and [41, Sec. 1]) that the operators $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}(\Delta_M \pm i)^{-1}$ belong to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is closed in the norm topology, one infers by an approximation argument taking the geometry of M into account that $m(\Delta_M \pm i)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. One then concludes by using the second resolvent equation $(H \pm i)^{-1} = (\Delta_M \pm i)^{-1} \{1 - V(H \pm i)^{-1}\}$. \square

Lemma 3.2 (Elliptic regularity). *Assume that V is bounded from $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$ satisfy $(H - z)u = f$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then, $u \in C^\infty(M)$.*

Note that the boundedness of V from $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ is automatically verified if the curvature tensor of M and all its derivatives are uniformly bounded (see the next section).

Proof. We know from [25, Cor. 7.2] that the identity map $I : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\infty_{\text{loc}}(M)$ is a homeomorphism of topological spaces. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $(\Delta_M)^k u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$, we have $\Delta_M u = f + zu - Vu \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$, since each term belongs to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$. For $k - 1 \geq 0$, we assume the statement true. Then, for k , we have

$$(\Delta_M)^k u = (\Delta_M)^{k-1} f + z(\Delta_M)^{k-1} u - (\Delta_M)^{k-1} V u.$$

But, $(\Delta_M)^{k-1} f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$ since $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $(\Delta_M)^{k-1} u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$ due to the induction hypothesis. So, it only remains to show that $(\Delta_M)^{k-1} V u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$. However, we know by assumption that V is bounded from $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, V is also bounded from $\mathcal{H}^{2(k-1)}(\mathcal{V})$ to $\mathcal{H}^{2(k-1)}(\mathcal{V})$ for any relatively compact open set $\mathcal{V} \subset M$. This, together with the induction hypothesis $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2(k-1)}(M)$ implies that $(\Delta_M)^{k-1} V u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$. \square

4 Spectral analysis

We perform in this section the spectral analysis of the operator H . We impose explicit decay assumptions on the metric and on the potential at infinity. Then, we deduce various results on the regularity of the resolvent of H near the real axis. For that purpose, we use Mourre theory in the rather efficient way presented in the paper [39]; namely, we build the Mourre theory for H from the analog theory for H_0 , even if H and H_0 act in different Hilbert spaces.

To begin with, we need to introduce an identification operator from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{H} . For this, we recall that ι^* and $(\iota^{-1})_*$ are respectively the pullback by ι and the push-forward by ι^{-1} . Then, we let $j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$ satisfy

$$j(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$J : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \chi_\infty \sqrt{\frac{\iota^*(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})}{\mathfrak{g}}} \iota^*((j \otimes 1)\varphi),$$

where χ_∞ is the characteristic function for M_∞ and $\mathfrak{h} := \sqrt{\det\{h_{jk}\}}$. One has $\|J\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})} = 1$, since $\|J\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ for each function $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset (2, \infty) \times \Sigma$.

Our second task consist in fixing the decay behaviour of the metric and the potential on M_∞ . In our setup, conditions on g_{jk} , V and ι could be stated separately. We prefer to combine these conditions in a single one on $\tilde{g}_{jk} := (\iota^{-1})^*g_{jk}$ and $\tilde{V} := (\iota^{-1})^*V$, since it corresponds to the usual approach in the literature. Note that even if we work in a smooth setting, we shall distinguish short-range and long-range behaviours for the sake of completeness.

In the following assumption, we use the notation ∂^α for the higher order derivative $(\partial_x, \partial_\omega)^\alpha$ with multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ and the notation $\langle x \rangle := (1 + x^2)^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assumption 4.1. *Assume that the metric $\tilde{g}_{jk} = (\tilde{g}_L)_{jk} + (\tilde{g}_S)_{jk}$ and the potential $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_L + \tilde{V}_S$ satisfy the following:*

(LR) *There exists $\mu_L \geq 0$ such that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ and each $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ one has*

$$|\partial^\alpha((\tilde{g}_L)_{jk} - (1 \oplus h)_{jk})(x, \omega)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\mu_L - |\alpha|} \quad \text{and} \quad |(\partial^\alpha \tilde{V}_L)(x, \omega)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\mu_L - |\alpha|}$$

for some constant $C_\alpha \geq 0$ and for all $x > 0$ and $\omega \in \Sigma$.

(SR) *There exists $\mu_S \geq 0$ such that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ and each $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ one has*

$$|\partial^\alpha((\tilde{g}_S)_{jk} - (1 \oplus h)_{jk})(x, \omega)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\mu_S} \quad \text{and} \quad |(\partial^\alpha \tilde{V}_S)(x, \omega)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\mu_S}$$

for some constant $C_\alpha \geq 0$ and for all $x > 0$ and $\omega \in \Sigma$.

Let also $\mu := \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S\}$.

Simple consequences of Assumption 4.1 are the following:

- (i) For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, one has $|(\partial^\alpha \tilde{g}_{jk})(x, \omega)| \leq D_\alpha$ for some constant $D_\alpha \geq 0$ and for all $x > 0$ and $\omega \in \Sigma$.
- (ii) There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\tilde{g} > \delta$ on $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma$.
- (iii) The curvature tensor of M is uniformly bounded, as are all its covariant derivatives. In particular, the Sobolev spaces $W^{2k}(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{2k}(M)$ are equal for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and V is bounded from $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{2\ell}(M)$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [41, Sec. 5 & Lemma 1.6]). So, $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_M) = \mathcal{H}^2(M) = W^2(M)$ and Lemma 3.2 applies.

Now, we determine an expression for the operator $HJ - JH_0$ acting on suitable elements of \mathcal{H}_0 . The main ingredient of the computation is the following equality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^j} \psi = \iota^* \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial(x, \omega)^j} ((\iota^{-1})^* \psi) \right\}, \quad \text{supp}(\psi) \subset M_\infty, \quad (4.1)$$

which follows from the definition of the diffeomorphism ι . Using the matricial conventions, we obtain for any

$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
T\varphi &:= (HJ - JH_0)\varphi \\
&= -\chi_\infty \iota^* \left[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{-1/2} b_1(\partial_x, \partial_\omega) \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \tilde{g}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_\omega \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{1/2} (\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) \varphi \right. \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{-1/2} (\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) (\partial_x, \partial_\omega) b_2 \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_\omega \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{1/2} (\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) \varphi \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{-1/2} (\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) (\partial_x, \partial_\omega) (1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}) (1 \oplus h^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_\omega \end{pmatrix} b_3 \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2} \varphi \\
&\quad \left. - \tilde{V} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1/2}(1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{1/2} (\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) \varphi \right], \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

with $b_1 := (1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{1/2} - \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{1/2}(\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1)$, $b_2 := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \tilde{g}^{-1} - (1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})(1 \oplus h^{-1})$ and $b_3 := (1 \otimes \mathfrak{h})^{1/2}(\mathfrak{j} \otimes 1) - \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{1/2}$.

The following lemma will be used at various places in the sequel. Its statement involves the multiplication operator Φ_0 on $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ given by

$$\Phi_0 \varphi := (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1) \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the function $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}$. The closure of Φ_0 in \mathcal{H}_0 (which we denote by the same symbol) is self-adjoint.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu \geq 0$ and take $\gamma \in [0, \mu]$. Then, the operator $T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma$ defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ extends continuously to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ the operator $(H - z)^{-1} T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma$ defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ extends continuously to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. We know that $T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma \varphi = -\chi_\infty \iota^* (T^0 \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma \varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$, where T^0 is the differential operator within the square brackets in (4.2). Furthermore, some routine computations involving Assumption 4.1 and its consequences (i) and (ii) imply that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ there exists a constant $D_\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$\left\{ |(\partial^\alpha b_1)(x, \omega)| + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n+1} |[\partial^\alpha (b_2)_{jk}](x, \omega)| + |(\partial^\alpha b_3)(x, \omega)| \right\} \langle x \rangle^\mu \leq D_\alpha \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x > 0$ and $\omega \in \Sigma$. Therefore, the operator $T^0 \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma$ is a second order differential operator on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma)$. So, it follows from [41, Lemma 1.6] that $T^0 \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma$ extends continuously to a bounded operator (denoted similarly) from $W^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma)$ to $W^0(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma) \equiv \mathcal{H}_0$. Now, since $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ is geodesically complete and with bounded curvatures, one also has [41, Sec. 5] $W^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma) \equiv \mathcal{D}(H_0)$, and thus $T^0 \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma$ extends to a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$ to \mathcal{H}_0 . This result, together with the inclusion $\chi_\infty \iota^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$, implies the first statement.

For the second statement, we consider for $\psi \in (H - \bar{z}) C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ the equality

$$\langle \psi, (H - z)^{-1} T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma T^* (H - \bar{z})^{-1} \psi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(M)$, we observe that $\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\gamma T^* \zeta = \chi_{(0, \infty) \times \Sigma} (\iota^{-1})^* (L\zeta)$, where L is a second order differential operator on $C_c^\infty(M)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$. Now, we know from [41, Lemma 1.6] and the consequence (iii) of Assumption 4.1 that L extends continuously to a bounded operator from $W^2(M) \equiv \mathcal{D}(H)$ to \mathcal{H} . Thus, the statement follows from the density of $(H - \bar{z}) C_c^\infty(M)$ in \mathcal{H} and the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ in \mathcal{H}_0 . \square

Let us finally note that the previous result implies in particular that $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{D}(H))$.

4.1 Conjugate operator for H

In this section, we define a conjugate operator for H and use it to deduce some standard results. The conjugate operator could be either defined as a geometric object or as a modification of the generator of dilations on \mathbb{R} .

We present the former approach because self-adjointness is automatically obtained, but we link afterward the two possible constructions.

So, let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the smooth vector field defined by

$$X := \chi_\infty \iota^* (j^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1) (\iota^{-1})_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$

Given $p \in M$, it is known [1, Sec. 2.1] that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V} \subset M$ of p and a smooth map $F : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow M$ satisfying for each $(\tau, q) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \mathcal{V}$ the differential equation $\frac{d}{d\tau} F(\tau, q) = X_{F(\tau, q)}$, $F(0, q) = q$. Furthermore, for each $\tau \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ the map $F_\tau := F(\tau, \cdot)$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. In fact, one has $F(\tau, p) = p$ for all $(\tau, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \setminus M_\infty$ since $X \equiv 0$ on $M \setminus M_\infty$, and one can show that the vector field X is complete by applying the criterion [1, Prop. 2.1.20] with the proper function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f := \chi_\infty \iota^* (j^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1)$. So, the restricted map $F_\tau|_{M_\infty} : M_\infty \rightarrow M_\infty$ is a diffeomorphism for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Based on the complete vector field X one can construct a unitary group acting on \mathcal{H} . However, M being a priori not orientable, one has to take some extra care when defining the group: Since the manifolds Σ_ℓ are orientable, it follows that $M_\infty \equiv \iota^{-1}((0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$ is also orientable. So, dv is a volume form on M_∞ [8, Thm. 7.7], and there exists a unique smooth function $\det_{\text{dv}}(F_\tau|_{M_\infty}) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called the determinant of $F_\tau|_{M_\infty}$, which satisfies $(F_\tau|_{M_\infty})^* \text{dv} = \det_{\text{dv}}(F_\tau|_{M_\infty}) \text{dv}$ [1, Def. 2.5.18]. For each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ we can thus define the map

$$J_\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad p \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \in M \setminus M_\infty \\ \det_{\text{dv}}(F_\tau|_{M_\infty})(p) & \text{if } p \in M_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Since $F_\tau|_{M_c \cap M_\infty}$ is the identity map, we have $\det_{\text{dv}}(F_\tau|_{M_\infty}) = 1$ on $M_c \cap M_\infty$ [1, Prop. 2.5.20.(ii)], and thus J_τ is a smooth function on M .

We can now define for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ the operator

$$U(\tau) \psi := J_\tau^{1/2} F_\tau^* \psi.$$

Some routine computations using [1, Prop. 2.5.20] show that $U(\tau)$ can be extended to an isometry from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H} (which we denote by the same symbol), and that $\{U(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ defines a strongly continuous unitary group in \mathcal{H} . Furthermore, since $J_\tau(p) > 0$ for all $p \in M$, one sees easily that $U(\tau)C_c^\infty(M) \subset C_c^\infty(M)$. Thus, one can apply Nelson's Lemma to show that the generator A of the unitary group $\{U(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^\infty(M)$. Direct computations with $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ (see [1, Sec. 5.4]) show that

$$\begin{aligned} A\psi &= -i\chi_\infty \left(\mathcal{L}_X + \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_{\text{dv}} X \right) \psi, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \psi &= \chi_\infty \iota^* \left\{ (j^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\iota^{-1})^* \psi \right\}, \\ \text{div}_{\text{dv}} X &= \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_X \mathfrak{g} + \iota^* \left\{ ((j^2)') \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} + j^2 \right\} \otimes 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

with \mathcal{L}_X the Lie derivative along X and $\text{div}_{\text{dv}} X$ the divergence of X with respect to the volume form dv of M_∞ . Note that the function $\chi_\infty \text{div}_{\text{dv}} X$ belongs to $C_b^\infty(M)$ under Assumption 4.1 with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq 1$.

Remark 4.3. Let A_0 be the generator of dilations in \mathcal{H}_0 , that is, the operator given by $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}(PQ + QP) \otimes 1$. Then a direct calculation shows that

$$A\psi = JA_0J^* \psi$$

for any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Therefore, the operator A is nothing else but the generator of dilations A_0 injected in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} via the identification operator J .

We can now study the regularity of the operators H_0 and H with respect to the operators A_0 and A . For this we mainly use the framework and notations from [4]. In particular, we say that the self-adjoint operator H is of class $C^k(A)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{-itA} (H - i)^{-1} e^{itA} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is k -times strongly differentiable. In the case of a bounded operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, this is equivalent to showing that the map $t \mapsto e^{-itA} B e^{itA}$ is k -times strongly differentiable, and we write $B \in C^k(A)$. The same definitions hold with \mathcal{H}, H, A replaced by \mathcal{H}_0, H_0, A_0 . Due to its simplicity and its tensorial structure, it is easily shown that H_0 is of class $C^k(A_0)$, with A_0 defined in Remark 4.3, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the next lemma, whose proof is inspired from [9, Sec. 2.1] and [23, Lemma A.2], we show that H is of class $C^1(A)$ (higher regularity of H with respect to A will be considered in Section 4.3). As mentioned in the Appendix A of [23], checking the $C^1(A)$ -condition is sometimes omitted in the Mourre analysis on a manifold, and without this condition the application of the Virial Theorem is erroneous.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq 1$. Then H is of class $C^1(A)$.*

Proof. Consider the family of multiplication operators $\chi_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined as follows: Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\eta(x) = 1$ if $x \leq 1$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ if $x \geq 2$, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ let $\chi_n \in C_c^\infty(M; \mathbb{R})$ be given by $\chi_n = 1$ on $M \setminus M_\infty$ and $[(t^{-1})^* \chi_n](x, \omega) := \eta(x/n)$ for $(x, \omega) \in (0, \infty) \times \Sigma$.

Then, one has $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = 1$, and a direct calculation taking Remark 4.3 into account shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A \chi_n \psi = A \psi$ for each $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Furthermore, Lemma 3.2 implies that $\chi_n (H + i)^{-1} C_c^\infty(M) \subset C_c^\infty(M)$, and lengthy but standard computations involving the identity (4.1) show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A[H, \chi_n](H + i)^{-1} \psi = 0$ for each $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Using these facts, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (H - i)^{-1} \psi, A \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle A \psi, (H + i)^{-1} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi, [(H + i)^{-1}, A \chi_n] \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi, -(H + i)^{-1} [H, A] \chi_n (H + i)^{-1} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, a routine computation taking into account Formula (4.5), Assumption 4.1 with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq 1$, and the bound (4.4) shows that there exists a second order differential operator L with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$ such that $[H, A] = L$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$. Since L extends continuously to a bounded operator from $W^2(M) \equiv \mathcal{D}(H)$ to \mathcal{H} due to [41, Lemma 1.6], one obtains that

$$\langle (H - i)^{-1} \psi, A \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle A \psi, (H + i)^{-1} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \psi, -(H + i)^{-1} L (H + i)^{-1} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.6)$$

But, the set $C_c^\infty(M)$ is a core for A , thus (4.6) even holds for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. So, the quadratic form $\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \psi \mapsto \langle (H - i)^{-1} \psi, A \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle A \psi, (H + i)^{-1} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ extends uniquely to the bounded form defined by the operator $-(H + i)^{-1} L (H + i)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and thus H is of class $C^1(A)$ (see [4, Def. 6.2.2]). \square

Lemma 4.4 implies in particular that Δ_M is of class $C^1(A)$, since the potential $V = 0$ satisfies Assumption 4.1 for any $\mu_L, \mu_S \geq 0$. To close the section, we show that the group $\{e^{itA}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ leaves the domain $\mathcal{D}(H) \equiv \mathcal{D}(\Delta_M)$ invariant:

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq 1$. Then $e^{itA} \mathcal{D}(H) \subset \mathcal{D}(H)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. As mentioned in the previous proof there exists a second order differential operator $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{H})$ such that $[H, A] = L$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$. So, Lemma 4.4 together with [4, Eq. 6.2.24] imply, in the form sense on \mathcal{H} , that

$$(H + i)^{-1} [H, A] (H + i)^{-1} = (H + i)^{-1} L (H + i)^{-1},$$

where $[H, A] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$ is the operator associated with the unique extension to $\mathcal{D}(H)$ of the quadratic form $\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A) \ni \psi \mapsto \langle H \psi, A \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle A \psi, H \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. Therefore, L and $[H, A]$ are equals in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$, and $[H, A] \mathcal{D}(H) = L \mathcal{D}(H) \subset \mathcal{H}$. The claim then follows from Lemma 4.4 and [21, Lemma 2]. \square

4.2 Mourre estimate

In reference [39], an abstract method giving a Mourre estimate for H from a Mourre estimate for the pair (H_0, A_0) has been developed. The verification of the assumptions necessary to apply this method is the content of the next lemmas. Here, $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} vanishing at $\pm\infty$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 0$, and let $\eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Then the difference $J\eta(H_0) - \eta(H)J$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. We know from Lemma 4.2 that $(H - z)^{-1}T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\mu$, defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$, extends continuously to an operator $C(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, one can show by mimicking the proof of [29, Lemma 2.1] that $K(z) := \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-\mu}(H_0 - z)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$. So, one has on $(H_0 - z)(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma))$ the equalities

$$J(H_0 - z)^{-1} - (H - z)^{-1}J = (H - z)^{-1}T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^\mu \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-\mu}(H_0 - z)^{-1} = C(z)K(z),$$

and by the density of $(H_0 - z)(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma))$ in \mathcal{H}_0 these equalities extend continuously to \mathcal{H}_0 . One concludes by taking into account the fact that the vector space generated by the family of functions $\{(\cdot - z)^{-1}\}_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}}$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and that the set $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$ is closed in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$. \square

Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq 1$, and let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, we deduce from Lemma 4.4 and [4, Thm. 6.2.5] that $\eta(H) \in C^1(A)$. Therefore, the quadratic form $\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \psi \mapsto \langle A\psi, \eta(H)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle \bar{\eta}(H)\psi, A\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ extends uniquely to a bounded form on \mathcal{H} , with corresponding bounded operator denoted by $[A, \eta(H)]$. Since, the same holds for the pair (H_0, A_0) in \mathcal{H}_0 , one can define similarly the operator $[A_0, \eta(H_0)] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$.

The next lemma shows that these two commutators do not differ too much, even though they live in different Hilbert spaces:

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > 1$, and let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, the difference of bounded operators $J[A_0, \eta(H_0)]J^* - [A, \eta(H)]$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. We apply [39, Prop. 3.12], which shows in an abstract framework how the inclusion $J[A_0, \eta(H_0)]J^* - [A, \eta(H)] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ follows from a certain set of hypotheses. Therefore, we simply check the hypotheses in question.

First, we know that H_0 is of class $C^1(A_0)$ with $[H_0, A_0] = -2iP^2 \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{H}_0)$ and that H of class $C^1(A)$ due to Lemma 4.4. Next, one has to show that the operator J extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0)^*, \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$. For this, let $\mathcal{D} := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma) \mid \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 1\}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0)^*, \mathcal{D}(H)^*)} &\leq \text{Const.} \cdot \|\langle H \rangle^{-1}J(H_0 + 1)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})} \\ &\leq \text{Const.} \cdot \left(1 + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}} \|\langle H \rangle^{-1}JH_0\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \\ &= \text{Const.} \cdot \left(1 + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}} \|\langle H \rangle^{-1}(HJ - T)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is finite due to Lemma 4.2.

Two additional hypotheses have to be checked. The first one is the inclusion $J(H_0 - z)^{-1} - (H - z)^{-1}J \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, which has already been obtained in the proof of Lemma 4.6. The second one is the inclusion $J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$ (note that we already know that $J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A]$ is bounded from $\mathcal{D}(H)$ to $\mathcal{D}(H)^*$ due to the previous observations). Now, a rather lengthy but straightforward computation taking Assumption 4.1 into account shows for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ that

$$(J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A])\varphi = mL\varphi,$$

where L is a second order differential operator on $C_c^\infty(M)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$ and support in M_∞ , and $m \in C_b(M)$ satisfies $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|(m \circ \iota^{-1})(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} = 0$. It follows for all $\psi \in \langle H \rangle C_c^\infty(M)$ that

$$\langle H \rangle^{-1}(J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A])\langle H \rangle^{-1}\psi = \langle H \rangle^{-1}mL\langle H \rangle^{-1}\psi.$$

But we know from [41, Lemma 1.6] that the operator $L\langle H \rangle^{-1}$, defined on the dense set $\langle H \rangle C_c^\infty(M)$, extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We also know from Lemma 3.1 that $\langle H \rangle^{-1}m \equiv (m(H+i)^{-1}(H+i)\langle H \rangle^{-1})^*$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, there exists an operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\langle H \rangle^{-1}(J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A])\langle H \rangle^{-1} = K$ on \mathcal{H} , which means that $J[H_0, A_0]J^* - [H, A] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{D}(H), \mathcal{D}(H)^*)$. \square

Lemma 4.8. For each $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the operator $\eta(H)(JJ^* - 1)\eta(H)$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. One has $JJ^* = \chi_\infty \iota^*(j^2 \otimes 1)$, so $JJ^* - 1$ acts as a multiplication operator by a function in $C_c^\infty(M)$. Therefore, the r.h.s. of the equality

$$\eta(H)(JJ^* - 1)\eta(H) = \eta(H)(JJ^* - 1)(H + i)^{-1}(H + i)\eta(H)$$

is the product of one element of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and two elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, due to Lemma 3.1. \square

In the next statement, we use the notation $E^H(\lambda; \delta)$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$, for the spectral projection $E^H((\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta))$.

Proposition 4.9 (Mourre estimate). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > 1$. Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$, there exist $\delta, a > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$E^H(\lambda; \delta)[iH, A]E^H(\lambda; \delta) \geq aE^H(\lambda; \delta) + K.$$

Proof. The hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of [39, Thm. 3.1] are verified in Lemmas 4.4, 4.7, 4.6 and 4.8, respectively. Moreover, it is known (see for instance [44, Sec. 3.1]) that A_0 is strictly conjugate to H_0 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$. So, the claim follows by applying [39, Thm. 3.1], keeping in mind that A_0 is conjugate to H_0 at $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if A_0 is strictly conjugate to H_0 at λ . \square

Remark 4.10 (Critical values of H). *In the sequel, we call $\kappa(H) := \mathcal{T} \cup \sigma_p(H)$ the set of critical values of H . This terminology is motivated by the fact that Proposition 4.9, together with [4, Thm. 7.2.13], implies that A is strictly conjugate to H on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$.*

4.3 Higher order resolvent estimates and absolute continuity

The main result of this section is a statement on the differentiability of the boundary values of the resolvent of H , which will be useful when discussing the stationary formula for the scattering operator. Its proof is based on the abstract approach developed in [11].

We start by introducing a multiplication operator Φ on M given by

$$\Phi \psi := \chi_\infty \iota^*(j^2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1)\psi, \quad \psi \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

The closure of Φ in \mathcal{H} (which we denote by the same symbol) is self-adjoint [36, Ex. 5.1.15] and equal to $J\Phi_0 J^*$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$. Furthermore, for a map $h \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ and any $s > 0$ we say that h is Lipschitz-Zygmund continuous of class Λ^s (in short $h \in \Lambda^s$) if

- (i) $0 < s < 1$ and $\|h(x + \varepsilon) - h(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \text{Const.} |\varepsilon|^s$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$,
- (ii) $s = 1$ and $\|h(x + \varepsilon) + h(x - \varepsilon) - 2h(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \text{Const.} |\varepsilon|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\varepsilon| \leq 1$,
- (iii) $s = k + \sigma$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, and $h \in C_b^k(\mathbb{R})$ with k -th derivative $h^{(k)} \in \Lambda^\sigma$.

Now, we state the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.11 (Higher order resolvent estimates). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Take $\sigma \in (0, \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S - k, 1\})$ and set $s := k + \sigma - 1/2$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the limit $\langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-\ell} \langle A \rangle^{-s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-\ell} \langle A \rangle^{-s}$ exists in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and the map*

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (4.7)$$

is locally of class $\Lambda^{k-1+\sigma}$. In particular, the map (4.7) is $(k-1)$ -times continuously differentiable, with derivative

$$\frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}} \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-s} = (k-1)! \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-k} \langle A \rangle^{-s}, \quad (4.8)$$

and the map $\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle A \rangle^{-s}(H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-k} \langle A \rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is locally of class Λ^σ .

Before the proof, we recall that Lemma 4.5 implies that the restriction to $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(H)$ of the unitary group generated by A defines a C_0 -group in \mathcal{G} as well as in its adjoint space \mathcal{G}^* (cf. [4, Prop. 3.2.5]); we still denote by A the generators of these two C_0 -groups. In particular, for any operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$, we write $B \in C^k(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ if the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{-itA} B e^{itA} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ is k -times strongly differentiable. Similar definitions hold for the regularity classes $C^k(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ and $C^k(A; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$.

Proof. (a) We prove the claim by applying [11, Thm. p. 12] to our situation. So, we only need to check the hypotheses of that theorem. For that purpose, we note that $s > 1/2$ and that H has a spectral gap due to the lower bound $\Delta_M \geq 0$ and the boundedness of V . We also refer to point (b) below for a verification of the hypothesis on the regularity of H with respect to A . Thus, [11, Thm. p. 12] applies and the map (4.7) is locally Lipschitz-Zygmund of order $s - 1/2$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$. In particular, since $s - 1/2 > k - 1$, the map (4.7) is $(k - 1)$ -times continuously differentiable with bounded derivatives. The equality (4.8) follows from the observation made on pages 12-13 of [11].

(b) For the regularity of H with respect to A , it is necessary to show that H is of class $\mathcal{C}^{s+1/2}(A) \equiv \mathcal{C}^{s+1/2, \infty}(A)$ (see [11, Sec. 2.1]). By [4, Prop. 5.2.2.(b)], we know that this holds if H is of class $C^k(A)$ and if the k -iterated commutator $\text{ad}_A^k((H-i)^{-1})$ of $(H-i)^{-1}$ with A belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\sigma(A)$ with $\sigma = s+1/2-k \in (0, 1)$.

We first show that H is of class $C^k(A)$. Since \mathcal{G} is left invariant by the group generated by A , and since H is of class $C^1(A)$ with $[iH, A] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ (see Lemma 4.5 and its proof), Proposition 3.2 of [39] tells us it is enough to prove the inclusion $[H, A] \in C^{k-1}(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ (this condition implies the weaker assumption $H \in C^{k-1}(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) \cap C^k(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$). Let us assume that $k > 1$ since otherwise the proof is trivial. We know from [4, Thm. 5.1.3(b)] that $D_1 := [H, A] \in C^1(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ if

$$\liminf_{\tau \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| e^{i\tau A} D_1 e^{-i\tau A} - D_1 \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Now, a direct calculation using Assumption 4.1 with $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq k$ shows that there exists a second order differential operator D_2 with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$ such that $[A, D_1] = D_2$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$. So, since $e^{itA} C_c^\infty(M) \subset C_c^\infty(M)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\liminf_{\tau \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| e^{i\tau A} D_1 e^{-i\tau A} - D_1 \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} = \liminf_{\tau \searrow 0} \sup_{\psi \in C_c^\infty(M), \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{G}}=1} \left\| \int_0^1 ds e^{i\tau s A} D_2 e^{-i\tau s A} \psi \right\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and one gets the bound (4.9) by noting that $\|D_2\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} < \infty$ (due to [41, Lemma 1.6]) and that $\|e^{itA} \psi\|_{\mathcal{G}} \leq \text{Const.} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{G}}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ (due to [4, Prop. 3.2.2.(b)]). Thus $D_1 \in C^1(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, and this procedure can be repeated iteratively (with D_2 replacing D_1 , and so forth) to show that $D_1 \in C^{k-1}(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$.

Let us now show that $\text{ad}_A^k((H-i)^{-1})$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\sigma(A)$. For that purpose, we first note that the inclusion $H \in C^k(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ implies by [4, Prop. 5.1.6] that $(H-i)^{-1} \in C^k(A; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$. Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_A^k((H-i)^{-1}) &= \text{ad}_A^{k-1}([(H-i)^{-1}, A]) \\ &= -\text{ad}_A^{k-1}((H-i)^{-1}[H, A](H-i)^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \geq 0 \\ \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = k-1}} c_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3} \text{ad}_A^{\ell_1}((H-i)^{-1}) \text{ad}_A^{\ell_2}([H, A]) \text{ad}_A^{\ell_3}((H-i)^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

with $c_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{ad}_A^{\ell_1}((H-i)^{-1})$ and $\text{ad}_A^{\ell_3}((H-i)^{-1})$ in $C^1(A; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{C}^\sigma(A; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$ and $\text{ad}_A^{\ell_2}([H, A])$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$. Now, a duality argument implies that $\text{ad}_A^{\ell_1}((H-i)^{-1})$ also belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\sigma(A; \mathcal{G}^*, \mathcal{H})$. Thus, if one shows that $\text{ad}_A^{\ell_2}([H, A])$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\sigma(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$, then the statement would follow from an application of [4, Prop. 5.2.3.(a)]. So, one is reduced to proving that $D_{\ell_2} := \text{ad}_A^{\ell_2}([H, A]) \in \mathcal{C}^\sigma(A; \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ for any $\ell_2 \leq k-1$, which is equivalent to

$$\left\| e^{-itA} D_{\ell_2} e^{itA} - D_{\ell_2} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)} \leq \text{Const.} |t|^\sigma \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, 1). \quad (4.10)$$

Now, algebraic manipulations as in [4, p. 325] together with the point (i) of the proof of [4, Prop. 7.5.7] imply that

$$\|e^{-itA} D_{\ell_2} e^{itA} - D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)} \leq \text{Const.} \|\sin(tA) D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)} \leq \text{Const.} \|tA(tA + i)^{-1} D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)},$$

with the constants independent of $t \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore, if $A_t := tA(tA + i)^{-1}$ and $\Lambda_t := t\langle\Phi\rangle(t\langle\Phi\rangle + i)^{-1}$, then one has

$$A_t = \{A_t + i(tA + i)^{-1} A \langle\Phi\rangle^{-1}\} \Lambda_t,$$

with $A \langle\Phi\rangle^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ due to [41, Lemma 1.6]. Finally, it is shown in the abstract framework of the proof of [4, Prop. 7.5.7] that $\|A_t\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}^*)} + \|(tA + i)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}^*)} \leq \text{Const.}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus, the estimate (4.10) would hold if one shows that $\|\Lambda_t D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} \leq \text{Const.} |t|^\sigma$.

For this, we recall that D_{ℓ_2} is (for any $\ell_2 \leq k - 1$) equal on $C_c^\infty(M)$ to a second order differential operator with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ if $\mu_L \geq 0$ and $\mu_S \geq k$. But, since $\mu_L > 0$, $\mu_S > k$ and $\sigma \leq \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S - k\}$, the product $\langle\Phi\rangle^\sigma D_{\ell_2}$ is still a second second order differential operator on $C_c^\infty(M)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$\|\Lambda_t D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} \leq \|\Lambda_t \langle\Phi\rangle^{-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \|\langle\Phi\rangle^\sigma D_{\ell_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})} \leq \text{Const.} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |t\langle x\rangle^{1-\sigma} (t\langle x\rangle + i)^{-1}| \leq \text{Const.} |t|^\sigma,$$

as required. \square

The nature of the spectrum of H can now be deduced:

Proposition 4.12 (Spectral properties of H). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > 1$. Then, the point spectrum of H in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ is composed of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity with no accumulation point. Furthermore, the operator H has no singular continuous spectrum.*

Proof. We know from Proposition 4.9 that a Mourre estimate holds for H . We also know from the proof of Proposition 4.11 with $k = 1$ that the operator H is of class $\mathcal{C}^{1+\sigma}(A)$ for any $\sigma \in (0, \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S - 1, 1\})$. So, H is a fortiori of class $C^{1,1}(A)$. Finally, we recall that H has a spectral gap, as mentioned in the proof of Proposition 4.11. Therefore, one can simply apply [4, Thm. 7.4.2] to obtain the stated results (note that [4, Thm. 7.4.2] only implies that H has no singular continuous spectrum in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$, but since \mathcal{T} is countable this implies that H has no singular continuous spectrum at all). \square

4.4 From one weight to another

The higher order resolvent estimates for H obtained in Proposition 4.11 are formulated in terms of the weights $\langle A \rangle^{-s}$. In applications, such as the mapping properties of the scattering operator, it is often more convenient to deal with weights defined in terms of multiplication operators. So, we devote this subsection to the derivation of higher order resolvent estimates for H in terms of the weights $\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s}$.

We start by recalling a similar result for the pair (H_0, Φ_0) that can be deduced from the proof of [44, Lemma 3.6]:

Lemma 4.13. *Let $s > k - 1/2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the limit $\langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i0)^{-\ell} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-\ell} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s}$ exists in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, and the map*

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T} \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$$

is $(k - 1)$ -times continuously differentiable, with derivative

$$\frac{d^{k-1}}{d\lambda^{k-1}} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} = (k - 1)! \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i0)^{-k} \langle\Phi_0\rangle^{-s}.$$

We turn now to the derivation of similar resolvent estimates for H in terms of the weights $\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s}$.

Proposition 4.14. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Take $\sigma \in (0, \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S - k, 1\})$ and set $s := k + \sigma - 1/2$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the limit $\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} (H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-\ell} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} (H - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-\ell} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}$ exists in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and the map*

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} (H - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (4.11)$$

is locally of class $\Lambda^{k-1+\sigma}$. In particular, the map (4.11) is $(k-1)$ -times continuously differentiable with $(k-1)$ -th derivative locally of class Λ^σ .

Proof. Take $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$, fix $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(H)$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $2m \geq s$. Then, by applying iteratively m -times the formula [4, Eq. 7.4.2] for the resolvent $R(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$ one obtains that

$$R(z) = (z - \lambda_0)^{2m} R(\lambda_0)^m R(z) R(\lambda_0)^m + I(z, \lambda_0, m),$$

where $I(z, \lambda_0, m)$ is a polynomial in z with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} R(z) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} &= (z - \lambda_0)^{2m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} R(\lambda_0)^m R(z) R(\lambda_0)^m \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} + \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} I(z, \lambda_0, m) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} \\ &= (z - \lambda_0)^{2m} \{ \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} R(\lambda_0)^m \langle A \rangle^s \} \langle A \rangle^{-s} R(z) \langle A \rangle^{-s} \{ \langle A \rangle^s R(\lambda_0)^m \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} \} \\ &\quad + \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} I(z, \lambda_0, m) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Furthermore, it is proved in Lemma 6.3 that $B := \langle A \rangle^s R(\lambda_0)^m \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. So, (4.12) can be written as

$$\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} R(z) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} = (z - \lambda_0)^{2m} B^* \langle A \rangle^{-s} R(z) \langle A \rangle^{-s} B + \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} I(z, \lambda_0, m) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}.$$

This last identity (with $z = \lambda \pm i\varepsilon$), together with Proposition 4.11, implies the claim. \square

5 Scattering theory

In this section, we present the standard short-range scattering theory for our model. Accordingly, we formulate all our statements in terms of the common exponent $\mu \equiv \min\{\mu_L, \mu_S\}$ to ensure that both the short-range and long-range perturbations decay at least as $\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}$ at infinity. As usual, the assumption $\mu > 1$ is sufficient to guarantee the existence and the asymptotic completeness of the wave operators.

5.1 Existence of the wave operators

This first subsection deals with the existence of the wave operators and some of their properties. Mourre theory as developed in the previous section is not necessary for that part of the investigations. However, once the problem of the asymptotic completeness will be addressed, all the results obtained so far will be necessary.

We start with two lemmas which will play a key role when proving the existence of the wave operators. Their statement involves the sets $\mathcal{D}_t \subset \mathcal{D}(H_0)$, $t \geq 0$, defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_t := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_t(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\Sigma) \mid \varphi = \eta(H_0)\varphi \text{ for some } \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}) \}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 0$, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ with $s > 0$ and take $\mu' < \min\{\mu, s\}$. Then, one has for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\|T e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} (1 + |t|)^{-\mu'}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_s$. Then, one deduces from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\|T e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} \|\langle H_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-\mu} e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{t_0}}.$$

Furthermore, since $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ there exist $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T})$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{-itH_0} \varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} (e^{-it(P^2 + \tau_j)} \otimes \mathcal{P}_j) \eta(H_0) \varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} (e^{-it(P^2 + \tau_j)} \eta(P^2 + \tau_j) \otimes \mathcal{P}_j) \varphi.$$

As a consequence, one obtains that

$$\|T e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \|\langle P^2 + \tau_j \rangle \langle Q \rangle^{-\mu} e^{-it(P^2 + \tau_j)} \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))},$$

with $\eta_j := \eta(\cdot + \tau_j)$. Then, some commutators calculations lead to the estimate

$$\|T e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-\mu} e^{-itP^2} P^2 \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \quad (5.2)$$

$$+ \text{Const.} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-\mu} e^{-itP^2} P \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \quad (5.3)$$

$$+ \text{Const.} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-\mu} e^{-itP^2} \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since $0 \notin \text{supp}(\eta_j)$, one can apply [5, Lemma 9] to infer that (5.2) and (5.4) are bounded by the r.h.s. of (5.1) with $\mu' < \min\{\mu, s\}$. For (5.3), one first uses the equality

$$P \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s} = \{\langle P \rangle \eta_j(P^2) \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\} \{\langle Q \rangle^s P \langle P \rangle^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-s}\},$$

and then the same bound can be obtained by taking [5, Lemma 9] and [5, Lemma 1] into account. \square

For the next lemma, we introduce the subspaces \mathcal{H}_0^\pm of \mathcal{H}_0 given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0^\pm := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0 \mid \text{supp}(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1)\varphi \subset \mathbb{R}_\pm \times \Sigma\}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s > 0$ and $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$. Then, one has*

$$\|(J^* J - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \text{Const.} (1 + |t|)^{-s} \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}_\pm.$$

Proof. The proof of this statement relies on estimates obtained in [6, Sec. II.A] in the context of 1-dimensional anisotropic scattering. In [6, Eq. 17] it is proved that if $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\psi) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, then one has for each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$

$$\|\chi_{(-\infty, x_0)} e^{-itP^2} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \text{Const.} (1 + |t|)^{-s}.$$

A similar estimate with $t < 0$ also holds if $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_s(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}\psi) \subset \mathbb{R}_-$ (see [6, Eq. 20]).

Now, it is easily observed that

$$J^* J - 1 = (j^2 - 1) \otimes 1 = \chi_{(-\infty, 2)}(j^2 - 1) \otimes 1.$$

So, one obtains

$$\|(J^* J - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \|(\chi_{(-\infty, 2)} e^{-itP^2} \otimes 1)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$. This, together with the extensions of the mentioned estimates to the algebraic tensor product $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \odot L^2(\Sigma)$, implies the claim for vectors $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_\pm$. \square

Proposition 5.3 (Existence of the wave operators). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$. Then, the generalized wave operators*

$$W_\pm := \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} J e^{-itH_0}$$

exist and are partial isometries with initial subspaces \mathcal{H}_0^\pm .

Proof. The existence of the wave operators is based on the Cook-Kuroda method. One first observes that, since $J \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{D}(H))$, the following equality holds for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$:

$$e^{itH} J e^{-itH_0} \varphi = J\varphi + i \int_0^t ds e^{isH} T e^{-isH_0} \varphi.$$

Furthermore, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu \subset \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $\mu' \in (1, \mu)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \|e^{isH} T e^{-isH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds (1 + |s|)^{-\mu'} < \infty.$$

Since \mathcal{D}_μ is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 , this estimate implies the existence of both wave operators W_\pm .

We now show that $W_\pm \mathcal{H}_0^\mp = \{0\}$. Assume that $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ for some $s > 0$. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_\pm \varphi_\mp\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|e^{itH} J e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\mp\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|J \chi_{(0, \infty)} e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\mp\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \text{Const.} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|(\chi_{(0, \infty)} e^{-itP^2} \otimes 1) \varphi_\mp\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\ &\leq \text{Const.} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} (1 + |t|)^{-s} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used for the last inequality the extension of the estimates [6, Eq. 18 & 19] to the algebraic tensor product $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \odot L^2(\Sigma)$. Since $\mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\mp$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_0^\mp , one infers that $W_\pm \mathcal{H}_0^\mp = \{0\}$.

Finally, we show that $\|W_\pm \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ for each $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$. One has for any $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|W_\pm \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \|\varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 \right| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left| \langle e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm, (J^* J - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right| \\ &\leq \text{Const.} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|(J^* J - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to Lemma 5.2. So, the statement follows by the density of $\mathcal{D}_s \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ in \mathcal{H}_0^\pm . \square

Finally, we present an estimate which is going to play an important role when proving the existence of the time delay. Its proof relies on estimates obtained so far in this section.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 2$. Then, one has for any $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_\mu \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$*

$$\|(J^* W_\pm - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\pm, dt).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$. Then, we know from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $\mu' \in (2, \mu)$ such that

$$\|J^*(W_- - J) e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \text{Const.} \int_{-\infty}^t ds \|T e^{-isH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \text{Const.} \int_{-\infty}^t ds (1 + |s|)^{-\mu'} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_-, dt).$$

A similar argument shows that $\|J^*(W_+ - J) e^{-itH_0} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dt)$. Furthermore, one obtains from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\|(J^* J - 1) e^{-itH_0} \varphi_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_\pm, dt).$$

for each $\varphi_\pm \in \mathcal{D}_\mu \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\pm$. Since $J^* W_\pm - 1 = J^*(W_\pm - J) + (J^* J - 1)$, the combination of both estimates implies the claim. \square

5.2 Asymptotic completeness of the wave operators

We establish in this subsection the asymptotic completeness of the wave operators W_{\pm} by applying the abstract criterion [39, Prop. 5.1]. To do so, we need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 5.5. *One has $s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} (JJ^* - 1) e^{-itH} P_{\text{ac}}(H) = 0$.*

Proof. We know from the proof of Lemma 4.8 that $(JJ^* - 1)(H + i)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. So, one can conclude using a classical propagation estimate for vectors in $P_{\text{ac}}(H)\mathcal{H}$ (see [3, Prop. 5.7.(b)]). \square

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$. Then, the following wave operators exist:*

$$W_{\pm}(H_0, H, J^*) := s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH_0} J^* e^{-itH} P_{\text{ac}}(H).$$

Proof. We follow the standard approach (see e.g. [48, Cor. 4.5.7]) by showing that $HJ - JH_0$ admits for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$ a (sesquilinear form) decomposition

$$\langle J\varphi, H\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle JH_0\varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle G_0\varphi, G\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $G_0 : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is H_0 -bounded and locally H_0 -smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and $G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is H -bounded and locally H -smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$ (with $\kappa(H)$ being of measure zero).

For that purpose, one first fixes $s \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$ and shows as in Lemma 4.2 that the operator $\langle \Phi \rangle^{sT} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{\mu-s}$ defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ extends continuously to an operator $B_s \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{H})$. It follows by Proposition 6.2.(i) that there exists an operator $C_s \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$ such that one has on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$

$$\langle \Phi \rangle^{sT} = B_s(H_0 - i)^{-1}(H_0 - i) \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} = C_s \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} (H_0 - i).$$

Thus, one gets for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$ the equalities

$$\langle J\varphi, H\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle JH_0\varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \langle \Phi \rangle^{sT} \varphi, \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle C_s \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} (H_0 - i) \varphi, \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s} \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (5.7)$$

which extend to all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ due to the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ in $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$.

Now, the operator $G(s) := \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}$ is H -bounded and locally H -smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$ due to Proposition 4.14 with $k = 1$, and the operator $G_0(s) := C_s \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} (H_0 - i)$ is H_0 -bounded and H_0 -smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ due to a simple calculation. So, the decomposition (5.7) is equivalent to (5.6), and the claim is proved. \square

We are finally in a position to prove the asymptotic completeness of the wave operators:

Proposition 5.7 (Asymptotic completeness of the wave operators). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$. Then, $\text{Ran}(W_{\pm}(H, H_0, J)) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{ac}}(H)$.*

Proof. This result follows from [39, Prop. 5.1], whose assumptions have been checked in Proposition 5.3, Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6. \square

Remark 5.8. *Let us collect some information about the spectrum of the operator H . Under the Assumption 4.1 with $\mu_L > 0$ and $\mu_S > 1$, a Mourre estimate was obtained in Proposition 4.9 based on the abstract scheme presented in [39, Thm. 3.1]. It also follows from this abstract result, together with [4, Prop. 7.2.6], that $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) \subset \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$, and thus $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H) \subset [0, \infty)$, since $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) = \emptyset$ due to Proposition 4.12.*

More can be said under (the stronger) Assumption 4.1 with $\mu > 1$: We know by Lemma 2.1.(c) that the restrictions $H_0^{\pm} := H_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}$ are self-adjoint operators with spectrum $\sigma(H_0^{\pm}) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_0^{\pm}) = [0, \infty)$. We also know by Propositions 5.3 and 5.7 that the absolutely continuous parts of H_0^{\pm} and H are unitarily equivalent. So, one has $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H) = [0, \infty)$, and we deduce from Proposition 4.12 that

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H) = [0, \infty).$$

5.3 Stationary formula for the scattering operator

In simple situations, the scattering operator is defined as the product $W_+^*W_-$ from \mathcal{H}_0 to \mathcal{H}_0 . However, in our setup, this product is not unitary since the wave operators are partial isometries with nontrivial kernels. Therefore, we define instead the scattering operator as

$$S := W_+^*W_- : \mathcal{H}_0^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^+,$$

and note that this operator is unitary due to the asymptotic completeness established in Proposition 5.7 (see (5.5) for the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm \subset \mathcal{H}_0$). Since the scattering operator S commutes with the free evolution group $\{e^{itH_0}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, one infers from Lemma 2.1.(c) that S admits a direct integral decomposition

$$F_0 S F_0^{-1} = \int_{[0, \infty)}^\oplus d\lambda S(\lambda) : F_0 \mathcal{H}_0^- \rightarrow F_0 \mathcal{H}_0^+,$$

where $S(\lambda)$ (the scattering matrix at energy λ) is an operator acting unitarily from $\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda) := (F_0 \mathcal{H}_0^-)(\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\lambda) := (F_0 \mathcal{H}_0^+)(\lambda)$. Here, the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma) \oplus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_0^+(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma),$$

and are embedded in $\mathcal{H}_0^-(\infty) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma) \oplus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\infty) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{P}_j L^2(\Sigma)$.

In the sequel, we derive a formula for the operators $S(\lambda)$ by using the stationary scattering theory of [48, Sec. 5.5]. Our first step toward that formula is the following lemma; recall that $\mathcal{T} \equiv \{\tau_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the spectrum of Δ_Σ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ and that $G_0(s) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(H_0), \mathcal{H})$, with $s \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$, was defined in the proof of Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$ and let $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$. Then,*

(a) *for any $s \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$, the operator $Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s)) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ given by*

$$Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))\psi := (F_0 G_0(s)^* \psi)(\lambda), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(H),$$

is well-defined and extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda))$ which we denote by the same symbol,

(b) *if $\mu > k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and if $s \in (1/2, \mu - k - 1/2)$, the function $[0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T} \ni \lambda \mapsto Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable,*

(c) *for all $s_1, s_2 \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$, one has*

$$Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1)) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1} = Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_2)) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_2}.$$

Proof. The three claims are proved, respectively, in points (a), (b) and (c) below. In the proofs, we freely use the following inclusions which can be established as in Lemma 4.2: Given $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s_1 + s_2 \leq \mu$, one has

$$L(s_1, s_2) := \overline{(H - i)^{-1} \langle \Phi \rangle^{s_1} T \langle \Phi \rangle^{s_2} \upharpoonright \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H})$$

and

$$R(s_1, s_2) := \overline{\langle \Phi \rangle^{s_1} T \langle \Phi \rangle^{s_2} (H_0 + i)^{-1} \upharpoonright \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}).$$

(a) Take $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ and $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}(H_0)} = 0$. Then, we have for any fixed $s \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, G_0(s)\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi, \langle \Phi \rangle^s T \varphi_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (H + i)\psi, (H - i)^{-1} \langle \Phi \rangle^s T \langle \Phi \rangle^{\mu-s} \langle \Phi \rangle^{s-\mu} \varphi_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (H + i)\psi, L(s, \mu - s) \langle \Phi \rangle^{s-\mu} \varphi_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle \langle \Phi \rangle^{s-\mu} L(s, \mu - s)^* (H + i)\psi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that $G_0(s)^*\psi = \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi$. It follows by Lemma 2.1.(a) that for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))\psi \\
&= F_0(\lambda)\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi \\
&= F_0(\lambda)\{1 \otimes (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \mathcal{P}_j)\}\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s-\mu} L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi \\
&= F_0(\lambda)(\langle Q \rangle^{s-\mu} \otimes 1)\{1 \otimes (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \mathcal{P}_j)\}(H_0-i)(H_0-i)^{-1}L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi \\
&= F_0(\lambda)(\langle Q \rangle^{s-\mu} \otimes 1)\{(P^2-i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda\}(H_0-i)^{-1}L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where $(\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}(\lambda)} \tau_j \mathcal{P}_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma))$. Now, a direct calculation using Proposition 6.2.(iii) shows for all $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ that

$$\langle \tilde{\varphi}, (H_0-i)^{-1}L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}, R(s, \mu-s)^*\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}.$$

So, one infers that $(H_0-i)^{-1}L(s, \mu-s)^*(H+i)\psi = R(s, \mu-s)^*\psi$ by the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ in \mathcal{H}_0 , and thus

$$Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))\psi = F_0(\lambda)(\langle Q \rangle^{s-\mu} \otimes 1)\{(P^2-i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda\}R(s, \mu-s)^*\psi.$$

Now, the operator on the r.h.s. belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda))$ due to Lemma 2.1.(a). So, one obtains that

$$\overline{Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))} \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}(H) = F_0(\lambda)(\langle Q \rangle^{s-\mu} \otimes 1)\{(P^2-i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda\}R(s, \mu-s)^*, \tag{5.9}$$

which proves the first claim.

(b) Write $Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))$ for the closure $\overline{Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s))} \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}(H)$ and fix an interval (τ_j, τ_{j+1}) . Then, the function

$$(\tau_j, \tau_{j+1}) \ni \lambda \mapsto Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s)) \equiv F_0(\lambda)(\langle Q \rangle^{s-\mu} \otimes 1)\{(P^2-i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda\}R(s, \mu-s)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$$

depends on λ only via the factor $F_0(\lambda)$, since $(\Delta_\Sigma)_\lambda$ is independent of λ on (τ_j, τ_{j+1}) . Therefore, it follows by Lemma 2.1.(b) that the function $[0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T} \ni \lambda \mapsto Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable if s is chosen such that $\mu-s > k+1/2$. But, we know by hypothesis that $\mu > k+1$. So, the condition $\mu-s > k+1/2$ is verified for any $s \in (1/2, \mu-k-1/2) \subset (1/2, \mu-1/2)$.

(c) Let $s_1, s_2 \in (1/2, \mu-1/2)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Then, Formula (5.8) implies that

$$\langle \varphi, Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)} = \langle L(s_1, \mu-s_1)\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1-\mu}F_0(\lambda)^*\varphi, (H+i)\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

So, by taking $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1-\mu}F_0(\lambda)^*\varphi - \zeta_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0$, one infers that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi, Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (H-i)^{-1}\langle \Phi \rangle^{s_1}T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{\mu-s_1}\zeta_n, (H+i)\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (H-i)^{-1}\langle \Phi \rangle^{s_2}T\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{\mu-s_2}\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_2-s_1}\zeta_n, (H+i)\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_2}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle L(s_2, \mu-s_2)\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_2-s_1}\zeta_n, (H+i)\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_2}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \langle \varphi, Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_2))\langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_2}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

One concludes by noting that φ is arbitrary in $\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ and that $C_c^\infty(M)$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . \square

In the proof of the next theorem, we use the fact that the identification operator J extends, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^s), \mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi \rangle^s))$. We also use the notation $\hat{\sigma}(H_0)$ for a core of the spectrum $\sigma(H_0) \equiv \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_0)$; namely, a Borel set $\hat{\sigma}(H_0)$ such that:

- (i) $\hat{\sigma}(H_0)$ is a Borel support of the spectral measure $E^{H_0}(\cdot)$, i.e. $E^{H_0}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \hat{\sigma}(H_0)) = 0$,
- (ii) if I is a Borel support of $E^{H_0}(\cdot)$, then $\hat{\sigma}(H_0) \setminus I$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

The set $\widehat{\sigma}(H_0)$ is unique up to a set of Lebesgue measure zero (see [48, Sec. 1.3.3] for more details).

Theorem 5.10 (Stationary formula for the S -matrix). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$. Then, for any $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$ and for almost every $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lambda) &= -2\pi i F_0(\lambda) J^* \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1} Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))^* \\ &\quad + 2\pi i Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_2)) \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_2} (H - \lambda - i0)^{-1} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_3} Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_3))^*, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

with $Z_0(\lambda; G_0(\cdot))$ given by the r.h.s. of (5.9).

Before the proof, we recall that the usual scattering operator $\widetilde{S} : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ coincides on \mathcal{H}_0^- with our unitary scattering operator $S : \mathcal{H}_0^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^+$.

Proof. Let $s_1 \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$. Then, we know from Lemma 4.13 that the following limits exist in \mathcal{H} (see the proof of Proposition 5.6 for the definitions of $G_0(s_1)$ and C_{s_1}):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{s-}\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} G_0(s_1)(H_0 - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-1} \varphi \\ &= \text{s-}\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} C_{s_1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1 - \mu} (H_0 - i)(H_0 - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-s_1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1} \varphi \\ &= \text{s-}\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} C_{s_1} \{ \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-\mu} + (\lambda \pm i\varepsilon - i) \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1 - \mu} (H_0 - \lambda \mp i\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-s_1} \} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the operator $G_0(s_1)$ is H_0 -smooth in the weak sense since it is H_0 -smooth on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ (see Section 5.1 of [48]), and the operator $G(s_1) \equiv \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}$ is $|H|^{1/2}$ -bounded. Therefore, all the assumptions of [48, Thm. 5.5.3] are verified on the dense set $\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1}) \subset \mathcal{H}_0$ due to Proposition 4.14. It follows that the representation [48, Eq. (5.5.3₊)] for $\widetilde{S}(\lambda)$ holds for almost every $\lambda \in \widehat{\sigma}(H_0)$. So, we have for almost every $\lambda \in \widehat{\sigma}(H_0) \setminus \mathcal{T}$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1})$ the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (F_0 \widetilde{S} \varphi)(\lambda) &= \widetilde{S}(\lambda) F_0(\lambda) \varphi = \{ u_+(\lambda) - 2\pi i [Z_0(\lambda; \widetilde{G}_0(s_1)) Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))^* \\ &\quad - Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1)) B_{s_1}(\lambda + i0) Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))^*] \} F_0(\lambda) \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

with the operators $u_+(\lambda)$, $Z_0(\lambda; \widetilde{G}_0(s_1))$ and $B_{s_1}(\lambda + i0)$ defined in points (i), (ii) and (iii) that follow:

(i) We know from [48, Thm. 5.3.6] (which applies in our case) that the stationary wave operator $\mathcal{U}_+(H, H_0; J)$ coincides with the wave operator W_+ . It then follows from [48, Eq. (2.7.16)] that

$$\mathcal{U}_+(H_0, H_0; J^* J) = \mathcal{U}_+(H, H_0; J)^* \mathcal{U}_+(H, H_0; J) = W_+^* W_+ = P_0^+,$$

with P_0^+ the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_0^+ . Since \mathcal{H}_0^+ and \mathcal{H}_0^- are orthogonal and since $u_+(\lambda) : \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ is defined by the relation

$$u_+(\lambda) F_0(\lambda) \varphi = [F_0 \mathcal{U}_+(H_0, H_0; J^* J) \varphi](\lambda),$$

it follows that

$$u_+(\lambda) F_0(\lambda) \varphi = (F_0 P_0^+ \varphi)(\lambda) = 0.$$

(ii) One has $\widetilde{G}_0(s_1) := G(s_1) J$ with $G(s_1) = \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}$. Therefore, the operator $Z_0(\lambda; \widetilde{G}_0(s_1)) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ (defined as $Z_0(\lambda; G_0(s_1))$, but with $G_0(s_1)$ replaced by $\widetilde{G}_0(s_1)$) satisfies for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$

$$Z_0(\lambda; \widetilde{G}_0(s_1)) \psi = F_0(\lambda) \{ \widetilde{G}_0(s_1) \}^* \psi = F_0(\lambda) J^* \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1} \psi.$$

Lemma 2.1.(a) and the inclusion $J^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi \rangle^{s_1}), \mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{s_1}))$ implies that $Z_0(\lambda; \widetilde{G}_0(s_1)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda))$.

(iii) The operator

$$B_{s_1}(\lambda + i0) := G(s_1)(H - \lambda - i0)^{-1} G(s_1)^* = \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1} (H - \lambda - i0)^{-1} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s_1}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \kappa(H)$ due to Proposition 4.14.

Now, by replacing the expressions of points (i), (ii) and (iii) into (5.11) and then by using Lemma 5.9.(c), one gets for any $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$ and for almost every $\lambda \in \widehat{\sigma}(H_0) \setminus \kappa(H)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{S}(\lambda)F_0(\lambda)\varphi &= -2\pi i \left\{ F_0(\lambda)J^*\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}(H-\lambda-i0)^{-1}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right\} F_0(\lambda)\varphi. \\ &= -2\pi i \left\{ F_0(\lambda)J^*\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}(H-\lambda-i\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right\} F_0(\lambda)\varphi. \\ &= -2\pi i \left\{ F_0(\lambda)J^*\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_2))\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_2}(H-\lambda-i0)^{-1}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_3}Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_3))^* \right\} F_0(\lambda)\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, Lemma 2.1.(a), Lemma 5.9 and Proposition 4.14 imply that the operator within the curly brackets is well-defined on $\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$. So, since \widetilde{S} and S are equal on \mathcal{H}_0^- , it follows that (5.10) holds for almost every $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$. \square

In the next corollary, we identify (without loss of generality) the operator $S(\lambda)$ with the r.h.s. of Formula (5.10) for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$.

Corollary 5.11 (Differentiability of the S -matrix). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the function $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^-(\infty), \mathcal{H}_0^+(\infty))$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable.*

Proof. We first show that $\lambda \mapsto S(\lambda)$ is locally k -times Hölder continuously differentiable from $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^-(\infty), \mathcal{H}_0(\infty))$. For that purpose, we let $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in (1/2, \mu - 1/2)$ and note from Formula (5.10) that it is sufficient to prove that the terms

$$A_{\ell_1, \ell_2}(\lambda) := \left\{ \frac{d^{\ell_1}}{d\lambda^{\ell_1}} F_0(\lambda)J^*\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_1} \right\} \left\{ \frac{d^{\ell_2}}{d\lambda^{\ell_2}} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_1))^* \right\}$$

exist and are locally Hölder continuous for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ and all integers $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$ satisfying $\ell_1 + \ell_2 \leq k$, and that the terms

$$B_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\lambda) := \left\{ \frac{d^{\ell_1}}{d\lambda^{\ell_1}} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_2)) \right\} \left\{ \frac{d^{\ell_2}}{d\lambda^{\ell_2}} \langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_2}(H-\lambda-i0)^{-1}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_3} \right\} \left\{ \frac{d^{\ell_3}}{d\lambda^{\ell_3}} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_3))^* \right\}$$

exist and are locally Hölder continuous for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ and all integers $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \geq 0$ satisfying $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 \leq k$.

Now, the factors in $B_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{\ell_3}}{d\lambda^{\ell_3}} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_3))^* &\in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^-(\infty), \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } s_3 \in (1/2, \mu - \ell_3 - 1/2), \\ \frac{d^{\ell_2}}{d\lambda^{\ell_2}} \langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_2}(H-\lambda-i0)^{-1}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s_3} &\in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } s_2, s_3 > \ell_2 + 1/2, \\ \frac{d^{\ell_1}}{d\lambda^{\ell_1}} Z_0(\lambda;G_0(s_2)) &\in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0(\infty)) \quad \text{for } s_2 \in (1/2, \mu - \ell_1 - 1/2), \end{aligned}$$

and are locally Hölder continuous due to Proposition 4.14 and Lemma 5.9. Therefore, if

$$s_2, s_3 \in (\ell_2 + 1/2, \ell_2 + 1/2 + \mu - k - 1) \subset (1/2, \mu - 1/2),$$

then $B_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3}(\lambda)$ exists and is locally Hölder continuous for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$. Since similar arguments apply to the term $A_{\ell_1, \ell_2}(\lambda)$ if $s_1 \in (\ell_1 + 1/2, \ell_1 + 1/2 + \mu - k - 1)$, the announced differentiability is proved.

To conclude the proof, it only remains to note that all the derivatives $\frac{d^\ell}{d\lambda^\ell} S(\lambda)$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, map $\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda)$ into $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\lambda)$ due to the formula

$$S(\lambda)\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda) = (F_0P_0^+S\mathcal{H}_0^-)(\lambda) = P_0^+(\lambda)S(\lambda)\mathcal{H}_0^-(\lambda)$$

with $P_0^+(\lambda) := (F_0P_0^+F_0^{-1})(\lambda)$. \square

5.4 Mapping properties of the scattering operator

In this subsection, we define and give some properties of a subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_0^-$ which will be useful when proving the existence of time delay.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^-$ satisfy $F_0(\lambda)\varphi = \rho(\lambda)h(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$, where $\rho \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ has compact support in $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ and $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto h(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)$ is λ -independent on each interval of $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$. Then, the finite span \mathcal{E} of such vectors is dense in \mathcal{H}_0^- if Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 1$ (see Proposition 4.12), and we have the following inclusions:

Proposition 5.12. *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 4$. Then $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_3$ and $S\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_3$.*

Proof. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists a compact set I in $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H)$ such that $E^{H_0}(I)\varphi = \varphi$. Thus, in order to show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_3$ one has to verify that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{L}^2(\Sigma) = \mathcal{D}(Q^3 \otimes 1)$. So, let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot \mathbb{L}^2(\Sigma)$. Then, using (2.2) and Lemma 2.1.(c), we obtain for each $\lambda \in [0, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}$

$$[F_0(Q^3 \otimes 1)\psi](\lambda)_j = \{i\zeta(\lambda)_j^-, -i\zeta(\lambda)_j^+\}, \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_j^\pm(\lambda) &:= \frac{3}{8}(\lambda - \tau_j)^{-3/2}(F_0\psi)(\lambda)_j^\pm + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda - \tau_j)^{-1/2} \frac{d}{d\lambda}(F_0\psi)(\lambda)_j^\pm \\ &\quad + 18(\lambda - \tau_j)^{1/2} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}(F_0\psi)(\lambda)_j^\pm + 8(\lambda - \tau_j)^{3/2} \frac{d^3}{d\lambda^3}(F_0\psi)(\lambda)_j^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

The r.h.s. of (5.12)-(5.13) with $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot \mathbb{L}^2(\Sigma)$ replaced by $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ defines a vector $\tilde{\varphi}$ belonging to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$. Thus, using partial integration for the terms involving derivatives with respect to λ , one finds that

$$\left| \langle (Q^3 \otimes 1)\psi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right| = \left| \langle F_0\psi, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0} \right| \leq \text{Const.} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot \mathbb{L}^2(\Sigma)$. Since $Q^3 \otimes 1$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot \mathbb{L}^2(\Sigma)$, this implies that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q^3 \otimes 1)$, and therefore the inclusion $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_3$.

For the second inclusion $S\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_3$, one observes that the function $[0, \infty) \setminus \kappa(H) \ni \lambda \mapsto S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0^-(\infty), \mathcal{H}_0^+(\infty))$ is locally 3-times Hölder continuously differentiable due to Corollary 5.11. Thus, the above argument with φ replaced by $S\varphi$ gives the result. \square

Remark 5.13. *We believe that the statement of Proposition 5.12 could be replaced by the following more general statement but we could not find a simple proof for it: Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 3$, then there exists $s > 2$ such that $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_s$ and $S\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}_s$. Such a result would lead to better mapping properties of the scattering operator, and thus the necessary assumption on μ for the existence of the time delay in the next section could be weakened accordingly.*

5.5 Time delay

We introduce in this section the notion time delay defined in terms of sojourn times, and then we prove its existence and its equality with the so-called Eisenbud-Wigner time delay. All proofs are based on the abstract framework developed in [38] and on the various estimates obtained in the previous sections.

We define the sojourn times by particularising to our present model the definitions of [38]. For that purpose, we start by choosing a position observable in \mathcal{H}_0 which satisfies the special relations with respect to H_0 required in [38, Sec. 2]. The most natural choice is the position operator $\Phi_0 \equiv Q \otimes 1$ along the \mathbb{R} -axis of $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ already introduced in (4.3). Then, we define the sojourn time for the free evolution e^{-itH_0} as follows: Given $\chi_{[-1,1]}$ the characteristic function for the set $[-1, 1]$, we set for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and $r > 0$

$$T_r^0(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \langle e^{-itH_0} \varphi, \chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r) e^{-itH_0} \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0},$$

where the integral has to be understood as an improper Riemann integral. The operator $\chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r)$ is the projection onto the subspace $E^{\Phi_0}([-r, r])\mathcal{H}_0$ of states localised on the cylinder $[-r, r] \times \Sigma$. Therefore, if $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 1$, then $T_r^0(\varphi)$ can be interpreted as the time spent by the evolving state $e^{-itH_0} \varphi$ inside $[-r, r] \times \Sigma$.

When defining the sojourn time for the full evolution e^{-itH} , one faces the problem that the localisation operator $\chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r)$ acts in \mathcal{H}_0 while the operator e^{-itH} acts in \mathcal{H} . The simplest solution to this problem is to consider the operator $\chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r)$ injected in \mathcal{H} via J , i.e. $J\chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r)J^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and for the present model this solution turns out to be appropriate (see nonetheless [38, Sec. 4] for a more general approach). It is then natural to define the sojourn time for the full evolution e^{-itH} by the expression

$$T_{r,1}(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \langle e^{-itH} W_- \varphi, J\chi_{[-1,1]}(\Phi_0/r)J^* e^{-itH} W_- \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Another sojourn time appearing in this context is

$$T_2(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \langle e^{-itH} W_- \varphi, (1 - JJ^*) e^{-itH} W_- \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The finiteness of these expressions is proved below for suitable φ under Assumption 4.1 with μ big enough. The term $T_{r,1}(\varphi)$ can be interpreted as the time spent by the scattering state $e^{-itH} W_- \varphi$, injected in \mathcal{H}_0 via J^* , inside $E^{\Phi_0}([-r, r])\mathcal{H}_0$. The term $T_2(\varphi)$ can be seen as the time spent by the scattering state $e^{-itH} W_- \varphi$ inside the subset $(1 - JJ^*)\mathcal{H}$ of \mathcal{H} . Roughly speaking, this corresponds to the time spent by the state in the relatively compact set $M_c \subset M$. Within this framework, we say that

$$\tau_r(\varphi) := T_r(\varphi) - \frac{1}{2} \{T_r^0(\varphi) + T_r^0(S\varphi)\},$$

with $T_r(\varphi) := T_{r,1}(\varphi) + T_2(\varphi)$, is the symmetrized time delay of the scattering system (H_0, H, J) with incoming state φ . This symmetrized version of the usual time delay

$$\tau_r^{\text{in}}(\varphi) := T_r(\varphi) - T_r^0(\varphi)$$

is known to be the only time delay having a well-defined limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for complicated scattering systems (see for example [6, 7, 22, 30, 31, 42, 43, 44]). Our main result, properly stated below, is thus the existence of the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau_r(\varphi)$ and its identity with the Eisenbud-Wigner time delay which we now define.

Given a localisation function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and an abstract pair of operators (H_0, Φ_0) satisfying some compatibility assumptions, it is shown in [37] how to construct a natural time operator T_f for H_0 . Now, for the localisation function $f = \chi_{[-1,1]}$ and for our pair (H_0, Φ_0) of operators, this abstract construction simplifies drastically, and a rapid inspection of [44, Prop. 2.6.(b)] and [37, Thm. 5.5] shows that the general time operator T_f introduced in [37, Sec. 5] reduces to the operator T given by

$$\langle \varphi, T\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} := \langle \varphi, \frac{1}{4}(QP^{-1} + P^{-1}Q) \otimes 1\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_1. \quad (5.14)$$

The operator $\frac{1}{4}(QP^{-1} + P^{-1}Q)$, known as the Aharonov-Bohm operator, is the usual time operator for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator P^2 (see [2, Sec. 1] and [33, Sec. 1]).

We are now in a suitable position to prove the existence of the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau_r(\varphi)$ for incoming states φ in the dense subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}_0^-$ introduced in the previous section:

Theorem 5.14 (Existence of time delay). *Suppose that Assumption 4.1 holds with $\mu > 4$. Then, one has for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau_r(\varphi) = -\langle \varphi, S^*[T, S]\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad (5.15)$$

with T given by (5.14).

Proof. The proof consists in an application of the abstract result [38, Thm. 4.3]. However, we first have to note that this theorem also applies to our non-smooth localisation function $f = \chi_{[-1,1]}$. Indeed, the only points where the smoothness of the localisation f is required in the proof of [38, Thm. 4.3] is for applying Theorem 3.4 and

Lemma 4.2 of [38]. Now, the result of [38, Thm. 3.4] also holds for $f = \chi_{[-1,1]}$ due to [44, Prop. 2.6.(b)], and a rapid inspection of [38, Lemma 4.2] shows that its proof also holds for $f = \chi_{[-1,1]}$. So, Theorem 4.3 of [38] can be applied, and we are left with the verification of its assumptions.

For that purpose, one first observes that with our choice of operator Φ_0 , one has for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$H_0(x) := e^{-ix\Phi_0} H_0 e^{ix\Phi_0} = (P + x)^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Delta_\Sigma.$$

Therefore, the operators $H_0(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, mutually commute (Assumption 2.1 of [38, Thm. 4.3]), and the regularity of H_0 with respect to Φ_0 is easily checked (Assumption 2.2 of [38, Thm. 4.3]). In addition, a direct calculation using (2.1) shows that the set $\kappa(H_0)$ of critical values of H_0 , introduced in [38, Def. 2.3], coincides with \mathcal{T} . Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 5.12 that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^- \cap \mathcal{D}_3$ and $S\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_3$. Finally, since $S\varphi$ also belongs to \mathcal{H}_0^+ , it follows from Lemma 5.4 that both conditions of [38, Eq. (4.6)] are satisfied. Thus, Theorem 4.3 of [38] applies and leads to the claim. \square

The interest of the equality between both definitions of time delay is twofold. It generalizes and unifies various results on time delay scattered in the literature. And it establishes a relation between the two formulations of scattering theory: Eisenbud-Wigner time delay is a product of the stationary formulation while expressions involving sojourn times are defined using the time-dependent formulation. An equality relating these two formulations is always welcome.

Remark 5.15. *Since T is equal to the Aharonov-Bohm operator (5.14), the r.h.s. of (5.15) can be even further simplified. Indeed, following [44, Rem. 2.7] one can check that the operator $F_0 T F_0^{-1}$ acts as $i \frac{d}{d\lambda}$ in the spectral representation of H_0 . Thus, under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.14, the relation (5.15) reads*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau_r(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \left\langle (F_0 \varphi)(\lambda), -iS(\lambda)^* \left(\frac{dS(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \right) (F_0 \varphi)(\lambda) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0(\lambda)}.$$

Remark 5.16. *We emphasize that the symmetrized time delay is the only global time delay existing in our framework. Indeed, as in the case of quantum waveguides [44], the scattering process does preserve the total energy H_0 but does not preserve the longitudinal kinetic energy $P^2 \otimes 1$ alone (rearrangements between the transverse and longitudinal components of the energy occur during the scattering). This is in agreement with the general criterion [38, Thm. 5.3] which, here, implies that the unsymmetrized time delay with incoming state $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}$ exists if $[P^2 \otimes 1, S]\varphi = 0$.*

6 Appendix

We prove in this section various mapping properties of the operators H_0 and H . We start with a rather elementary lemma on the position operator Q and the momentum operator P in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 6.1. *Take $s, \tau \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$. Then, there exists a constant $C \equiv C(s, z) > 0$ independent of τ such that*

$$\|(P^2 + \tau - z)\langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C.$$

Proof. First, one observes that $(P^2 + \tau - z)\langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ due standard properties of the weighted Sobolev spaces defined in terms of $\langle Q \rangle$ and $\langle P \rangle$ (see [4, Sec. 4.1]). Furthermore, one has on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (P^2 + \tau - z)\langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s &= 1 + (P^2 + \tau - z)[\langle Q \rangle^{-s}, (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1}] \langle Q \rangle^s \\ &= 1 + [P^2, \langle Q \rangle^{-s}] \langle P \rangle^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s \langle Q \rangle^{-s} \langle P \rangle (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s \\ &= 1 + B \langle Q \rangle^{-s} \langle P \rangle (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s, \end{aligned}$$

with $B := [P^2, \langle Q \rangle^{-s}] \langle P \rangle^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s$ bounded and independent of τ . Therefore, in order to prove the claim it is sufficient to show that the bounded operator $\langle Q \rangle^{-s} \langle P \rangle (P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s$ has its norm dominated by a constant independent of τ . This can easily be done either by induction on s or by computing iteratively the commutator of $(P^2 + \tau - z)^{-1}$ with $\langle Q \rangle^s$. Details are left to the reader. \square

For the next proposition, we recall that H_0 and Φ_0 satisfy $H_0 = P^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Delta_\Sigma$ and $\Phi_0 = Q \otimes 1$ in \mathcal{H}_0 .

Proposition 6.2. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, then*

- (i) *for any $s \geq 0$ the operator $\langle H_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-s} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^s$, defined on $\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^s)$, is well-defined and extends continuously to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$,*
- (ii) *$(H_0 - z)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^t), \mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^t))$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*
- (iii) *one has the inclusion $(H_0 - z)^{-1} (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)) \subset (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma))$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\tau_j \in \mathcal{T}$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle P^2 + \tau_j \rangle \langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau_j - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\ & \leq \|\langle P^2 + \tau_j \rangle (P^2 + \tau_j - z)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \cdot \|(P^2 + \tau_j - z) \langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau_j - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\ & \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of τ_j , due to Lemma 6.1. Therefore, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator

$$F_N := \sum_{j \leq N} \langle P^2 + \tau_j \rangle \langle Q \rangle^{-s} (P^2 + \tau_j - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^s \otimes \mathcal{P}_j,$$

with \mathcal{P}_j the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\Sigma)$ associated with τ_j , is bounded in \mathcal{H}_0 . Furthermore, a direct calculation using the fact that $s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \leq N} (1 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j) = 1$ shows that the norm of F_N is bounded by a constant independent of N and that the limit $s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N$ exists and is equal to $\langle H_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^{-s} (H_0 - z)^{-1} \langle \Phi_0 \rangle^s$ on $\mathcal{D}(\langle \Phi_0 \rangle^s)$. This implies the claim.

(ii) This statement is a direct consequence of [4, Prop. 5.3.1], which can be applied since H_0 is of class $C^\infty(\Phi_0)$.

(iii) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \odot C^\infty(\Sigma)$. Then $(H_0 - z)^{-1} \varphi$ is C^∞ over \mathbb{R} (resp. Σ) due to the commutation of $(H_0 - z)^{-1}$ with $\langle P \rangle^{-1} \otimes 1$ (resp. $1 \otimes \langle \Delta_\Sigma \rangle^{-1}$). The fast decay of $(H_0 - z)^{-1} \varphi$ in the \mathbb{R} -coordinate follows from point (ii). \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0, 2m]$. Then, the operator $\langle A \rangle^s (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-s}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. (i) We start by proving the boundedness of $\langle A \rangle^{2m} (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-2m}$.

Consider the family of multiplication operators $\chi_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined in the proof of Lemma 4.4. Then $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = 1$, and one has for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ that $\chi_n (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ due to Lemma 3.2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [(H - z)^{-m}, \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1}] \varphi &= \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} [(H - z)^m, \langle \Phi \rangle] (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} [(H - z)^m, \langle \Phi \rangle] \chi_n (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} L_{2m-1} \chi_n (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

with L_{2m-1} a differential operator of order $2m - 1$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$. Now, L_{2m-1} extends continuously to a bounded operator (denoted similarly) from $\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}(M)$ to \mathcal{H} by [41, Lemma 1.6]. So, $(H - z)^{-m} L_{2m-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $L_{2m-1} (H - z)^{-m} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which implies

$$[(H - z)^{-m}, \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1}] \varphi = \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} L_{2m-1} (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} \varphi &= \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} + [(H - z)^{-m}, \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1}] \varphi \\ &= \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1} (H - z)^{-m} \{1 + L_{2m-1} (H - z)^{-m} \langle \Phi \rangle^{-1}\} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, one can reproduce those computations to calculate $(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-k}\varphi$ for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$. The result for $k = 2m$ is the following: There exists an operator $B_{2m} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and a sequence $\{B_{2m}^{(n)}\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with (i) $B_{2m}^{(n)}C_c^\infty(M) \subset C_c^\infty(M)$ and (ii) $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{2m}^{(n)} = B_{2m}$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ such that

$$(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}\varphi = \langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m}\varphi$$

for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. In particular, one has $\chi_k(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m}^{(n)}\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$ for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}\varphi &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A+i)^{-2m}(A+i)^{2m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}\chi_k(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m}^{(n)}\varphi \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A+i)^{-2m}L_{2m}\chi_k(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m}^{(n)}\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

with L_{2m} a differential operator of order $2m$ on $C_c^\infty(M)$ with coefficients in $C_b^\infty(M)$. Now, the extension (denoted similarly) of L_{2m} to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{2m}(M), \mathcal{H})$ satisfies $(A+i)^{-2m}L_{2m} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $L_{2m}(H-z)^{-m} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, one infers from (6.1) that

$$(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}\varphi = (A+i)^{-2m}L_{2m}(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m}\varphi = (A+i)^{-2m}B\varphi,$$

with $B := L_{2m}(H-z)^{-m}B_{2m} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since all operators are bounded, this last equality extends to all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. So, the operator $\langle A \rangle^{2m}(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}$ can be written as the product of two bounded operators:

$$\langle A \rangle^{2m}(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m} \equiv \langle A \rangle^{2m}(A+i)^{-2m} \cdot B.$$

(ii) Let $R_1 := \langle\Phi\rangle^{-2m}$, $X := (H-\bar{z})^{-m}$ and $R_2 := \langle A \rangle^{2m}$. Then, point (i) implies that the closure of $R_1XR_2 \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}(R_2)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since R_1, R_2 are positive invertible self-adjoint operators with $R_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, one can apply interpolation (see for example [3, Prop. 6.17]) to infer that $R_2^\nu X^s R_1^\nu \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$. However, this implies nothing else but the desired inclusion; namely, $\langle A \rangle^s(H-z)^{-m}\langle\Phi\rangle^{-s} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $s \in [0, 2m]$. \square

References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden. *Foundations of mechanics*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978. Second edition, revised and enlarged, With the assistance of Tudor Rațiu and Richard Cushman.
- [2] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm. Time in the quantum theory and the uncertainty relation for time and energy. *Phys. Rev. (2)* 122: 1649–1658, 1961.
- [3] W. O. Amrein. *Hilbert space methods in quantum mechanics*. Fundamental Sciences. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009.
- [4] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Georgescu. *C_0 -groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N -body Hamiltonians*, volume 135 of *Progress in Math*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [5] W. O. Amrein, M. B. Cibils and K. B. Sinha. Configuration space properties of the S -matrix and time delay in potential scattering. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 47(4): 367–382, 1987.
- [6] W. O. Amrein and Ph. Jacquet. Time delay for one-dimensional quantum systems with steplike potentials. *Phys. Rev. A* 75(2): 022106, 2007.
- [7] D. Bollé and T. A. Osborn. Time delay in N -body scattering. *J. Math. Phys.* 20: 1121–1134, 1979.
- [8] W. M. Boothby. *An introduction to differentiable manifolds and Riemannian geometry*, volume 120 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, second edition, 1986.

- [9] J.-M. Bouclet. Resolvent estimates for the Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 7(3): 527–561, 2006.
- [10] A. Boutet de Monvel-Berthier and V. Georgescu. Graded C^* -algebras and many-body perturbation theory. II. The Mourre estimate. *Astérisque* 210(6–7): 75–96, 1992. *Méthodes semi-classiques*, Vol. 2 (Nantes, 1991).
- [11] A. Boutet de Monvel-Berthier and V. Georgescu. Boundary values of the resolvent of a self-adjoint operator: higher order estimates. In *Algebraic and geometric methods in mathematical physics* (Kaciveli, 1993), 9–52. *Math. Phys. Stud.* 19, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [12] T. Christiansen. Scattering theory for manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends. *J. Funct. Anal.* 131(2): 499–530, 1995.
- [13] T. Christiansen. Some upper bounds on the number of resonances for manifolds with infinite cylindrical ends. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 3(5): 895–920, 2002.
- [14] T. Christiansen. Sojourn times, manifolds with infinite cylindrical ends, and an inverse problem for planar waveguides. *J. Anal. Math.* 107: 79–106, 2009.
- [15] T. Christiansen and M. Zworski. Spectral asymptotics for manifolds with cylindrical ends. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 45(1): 251–263, 1995.
- [16] H. O. Cordes. Self-adjointness of powers of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. *Math. Ann.* 195: 257–272, 1972.
- [17] S. De Bièvre, P. D. Hislop and I. M. Sigal. Scattering theory for the wave equation on noncompact manifolds. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 4(4): 575–618, 1992.
- [18] S.-i. Doi. Commutator algebra and abstract smoothing effect. *J. Funct. Anal.* 168(2): 428–469, 1999.
- [19] H. Donnelly. Spectrum of the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces. *Michigan Math. J.* 46(1): 101–111, 1999.
- [20] R. Froese and P. Hislop. Spectral analysis of second-order elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds. *Duke Math. J.* 58(1): 103–129, 1989.
- [21] V. Georgescu and C. Gérard. On the virial theorem in quantum mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 208(2): 275–281, 1999.
- [22] C. Gérard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Generalized definition of time delay in scattering theory. *J. Math. Phys.* 48(12): 122101, 2007.
- [23] S. Golénia and S. Moroianu. Spectral analysis of magnetic Laplacians on conformally cusp manifolds. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 9: 131–179, 2008.
- [24] A. Grigor'yan. Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds. In *Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998)*, volume 273 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 140–225. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [25] A. Grigor'yan. *Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds*, volume 47 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [26] L. Guillopé. Théorie spectrale de quelques variétés à bouts. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* 22(1): 137–160, 1989.
- [27] H. Isozaki, Y. Kurylev and M. Lassas. Forward and inverse scattering on manifolds with asymptotically cylindrical ends. *J. Funct. Anal.* 258(6): 20602118, 2010.

- [28] K. Ito and S. Nakamura. Time-dependent scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on scattering manifolds. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 81(3): 774–792, 2010.
- [29] D. Krejčířík and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. The nature of the essential spectrum in curved quantum waveguides. *J. Phys. A* 37(20): 5449–5466, 2004.
- [30] P. A. Martin. Scattering theory with dissipative interactions and time delay. *Nuovo Cimento B* 30: 217–238, 1975.
- [31] P. A. Martin. Time delay in quantum scattering processes. *Acta Phys. Austriaca Suppl., XXIII*, pages 157–208, 1981.
- [32] R. B. Melrose. *Geometric scattering theory*. Stanford Lectures. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [33] M. Miyamoto. A generalized Weyl relation approach to the time operator and its connection to the survival probability. *J. Math. Phys.* 42(3): 1038–1052, 2001.
- [34] W. Müller. Relative zeta functions, relative determinants and scattering theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 192(2): 309–347, 1998.
- [35] W. Müller and A. Strohmaier. Scattering at low energies on manifolds with cylindrical ends and stable systoles. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20(3): 741–778, 2010.
- [36] G. K. Pedersen. *Analysis now*, volume 118 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [37] S. Richard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. A new formula relating localisation operators to time operators. accepted for publication in AMS Contemporary Mathematics Series.
- [38] S. Richard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Time delay is a common feature of quantum scattering theory. accepted for publication in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- [39] S. Richard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. A few results on Mourre theory in a two-hilbert spaces setting. preprint on <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.1665>.
- [40] S. Rosenberg. *The Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold. An introduction to analysis on manifolds*, volume 31 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [41] G. Salomonsen. Equivalence of Sobolev spaces. *Results Math.* 39(1-2): 115–130, 2001.
- [42] M. Sassoli de Bianchi and P. A. Martin. On the definition of time delay in scattering theory. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 65(8): 1119–1126, 1992.
- [43] F. T. Smith. Lifetime matrix in collision theory. *Phys. Rev.* 118: 349–356, 1960.
- [44] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Time delay and short-range scattering in quantum waveguides. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 7(1): 105–124, 2006.
- [45] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Time delay for dispersive systems in quantum scattering theory. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 21(5): 675–708, 2009.
- [46] H. Urakawa. Geometry of Laplace-Beltrami operator on a complete Riemannian manifold. In *Progress in differential geometry*, volume 22 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 347–406. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1993.
- [47] J. Weidmann. *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, volume 68 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980. Translated from the German by Joseph Szücs.

- [48] D. R. Yafaev. *Mathematical scattering theory*, volume 105 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. General theory, Translated from the Russian by J. R. Schulenberger.