

Diagnostic de roulement à l'aide du filtrage Particulaire Ouafae Bennis, Frédéric Kratz

▶ To cite this version:

Ouafae Bennis, Frédéric Kratz. Diagnostic de roulement à l'aide du filtrage Particulaire. Diagnostic de roulement à l'aide du filtrage Particulaire, May 2009, SKIKDA, Algérie. pp.171-177. hal-00657629

HAL Id: hal-00657629 https://hal.science/hal-00657629

Submitted on 10 Jan2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Diagnosis of a Roller Bearing Using Deterministic Particle Filtering

Ouafae Bennis¹ and Frédéric Kratz²

¹ Institut PRISME ⁻ Université d'Orléans
 21 rue Loigny La Bataille , 28000 Chartres, France,
 ² Institut PRISME ⁻ ENSI de Bourges
 88 Boulevard Lahitolle, 18 000 Bourges, France
 <u>Ouafae.bennis@univ-orleans.fr, frederic.kratz@ensi-bourges.fr</u>

Abstract. In this article, the detection of a fault on the inner race of a roller bearing is presented as a problem of optimal estimation of a hidden fault, via measures delivered by a vibration sensor. First, we propose a linear model for the transmission of a vibratory signal to the sensor's diaphragm. The impact of shocks due to the default is represented by a stochastic drift term whose values are in a discrete set. To determine the state of the roller bearing, we estimate the value of this term using deterministic particle filtering.

Keywords: Deterministic Particle filtering, diagnosis.

1 Introduction

The diagnosis of the rotating machines became a stake major for industry, in particular aeronautical, petrochemical, pharmaceutical, specialty chemicals or iron and steel, because of the gigantic costs generated by a catastrophic failure and consequences: economic, safety and environmental and on the public image of the company impact.

In this study, we are interested with a detection of a failure on the inner race of a roller bearing on a turbo reactor. Many sensors are given at different bearings on the reactor except on the suspected one. Hence we have a partial observation of the state of the roller from the sensors.

The physical model used is developed in [1]. The state variables we consider are respectively the displacement and the speed of the vibration sensor's diaphragm. We observe the second variable across a propagation factor α , high level noise context. Under a state representation, this is close to an optimal filtering problem.

Our aim is to estimate the hypothesis of there being a fault and subsequence level deterioration.



2 State representation of the problem

Let y(t) be the response of the sensor's diaphragm to an excitation h(t). The vibratory signal next to it is [1]: y(t) = $e_d(t) * h(t)$, for t>0, with $e_d(t) = \sin(\omega_r t)e^{-\frac{t}{T_c}}$, ω_r is the resonance pulsation, T_c is the time-constant of the sensor and * the convolution product. This obeys to the differential equation:

$$\ddot{y}(t) + \frac{2}{T_c^2} \dot{y}(t) + \left(\omega_r^2 + \frac{1}{T_c^2}\right) y(t) = \omega_r h(t)$$

Let $X(t) = [y(t) \ \dot{y}(t)]^T$, be the displacement and the speed vector, z(t) the signal measured. For a healthy bearing, the membrane of the sensor receives the noises in the neighbourhood of the sensor, which one summarizes with a continuous Gaussian white noise w(t) with intensity Q(t). Then we have:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bw(t) \\ z(t) = HX(t) + v(t) \end{cases}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\left(\omega_r^2 + \frac{1}{T_c^2}\right) & -\frac{2}{T_c^2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \omega_r \end{bmatrix} \qquad H = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2.1)$$

v(t) represents the measurement noise. It is assumed that v(t) can be described as white noise where R is the variance matrix. α is a factor of propagation in the sensor s diaphragm: $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In the case of a fault on the inner race, an impulse is generated when each element of the roller comes into contact with the fault. The sensor's diaphragm receives in addition to w(t) a discontinuous component: $h_{shock}(t) = U \sum \delta(t - nT_d)$

 T_d and U are respectively the period of the fault and the load applied at the contact point. T_d is assumed to be deterministic and known. We choose the sampling period T_e so that: $T_d = 72.T_e$ In this case $h_{shock}(t)$ is reduced to a maximum of a single shock in the period T_e . Consequently, the fault introduces a stochastic drift term in the second state equation each time k is a multiple of 72.

U depends of the deterioration level of the bearing roller; its value grows slowly in time. Hence, we consider it stochastic with values in a discrete set $\Im = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_N\}$. The filtering model is still linear Gaussian verifying:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = AX(t) + Bw(t) + B.U.\sum \delta(t - nT_d) \\ z(t) = HX(t) + v(t) \end{cases}$$
(2.2)



Discrete equations. The measurements z are collected at discrete times, it's more natural to deal with the discrete time system:

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1} = FX_k + Gw_k + Gu_k^i, & k > 0 \\ z_k = HX_k + v_k & \end{cases}$$

$$F = (I + AT_e), \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ T_e \omega_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_k^i = U\delta_{k,72i}$$
(2.3)

where δ represents the Kronecker symbol (i.e. $\delta_{a,b} = 1$ if $a = b \delta_{a,b} = 0$ otherwise).

3 Roller bearing diagnosis

The deterioration of a roller bearing is a slow phenomenon, except in case of deficient conditions of use. We suppose that the value U is constant on a limited time interval. So a diagnosis of roller bearing comes down to estimating the possibility of shock occurrence and its amplitude U. The evolution of the amplitude U value is defined by the transition matrix P_{tr} . An element p(i,j) of the matrix P_{tr} defines the probability of the change from U_i to U_j . The diagonal elements are more important than the others. The probability of a change is lower then the probability of remaining in the same state and the probability of going backward to a faultless case is close to 0. Hence P_{tr} is a triangular matrix. The diagnosis of the state of the bearing is equivalent to estimate the parameter U, the measurements z_k on the trajectory from 0 to k.

4 Particle filtering principle:

General model:

Consider x(t) a Markov process, partially observed by y(t),

$$\begin{cases} x_t = f(x_{t-1}, w_t) \\ y_t = h(x_t, v_t) \end{cases}$$

This system can be linear, non linear, Gaussian, Dirac...To apply particle filtering we must be able to:

1°) simulate the noise:



2°) Calculate explicitly:
$$p(y_t | x_t)$$

At the step (t-1), we represent the conditional probability by a Dirac measures sum:

$$p(x_{t-1} | Y_0^{t-1}) \cong \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_{t-1}^i}(x_{t-1})$$

At t, we calculate recursively this probability on two steps:

Prediction: Monte-Carlo dynamic Simulation:

$$\widetilde{x}_t^i = f(x_{t-1}^i, w_t^i) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \qquad$$

are sorted independently with respect to:

$$p(x_t \mid Y_0^{t-1}) \cong \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\widetilde{x}_t^i}(x_t)$$

 $\left\{ W_{t}^{i} \right\}_{i=1}^{N}$

This step represents the signal x(t) time evolution, <u>Correction:</u> By Bayes Formulae:

$$p(x_{t} | Y_{0}^{t}) \cong \frac{p(y_{t} | x_{t}) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\tilde{x}_{t}^{i}}(x_{t})}{\int p(y_{t} | x_{t}) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\tilde{x}_{t}^{i}}(x_{t}) dx_{t}} = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(y_{t} | \tilde{x}_{t}^{i}) \delta_{\tilde{x}_{t}^{i}}(x_{t})}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(y_{t} | \tilde{x}_{t}^{i})}$$

Hence,

$$p(x_t \mid Y_0^t) \cong \sum_{i=1}^N p_t^i \delta_{\tilde{x}_t^i}(x_t)$$

This step represents the observation signal y(t) time evolution,

Redistribution:

Sort the N new particles

$$\left\{p_t^1,\ldots,p_t^N\right\}$$

with respect to the multinomial density:

$$p(x_t \mid Y_0^t) \cong \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_t^i}(x_t)$$

That we summarize in the schema (4.1)

This technique generates some numerical problems:

- N must be high number,





- The distribution may come with a reduced particle number support...

5 Deterministic particle filtering

Principle:

The state space is represented via an N inter-dependent evolving meshing (N is constant) with respect to the observations. We privilege the most a posteriori probable areas in the state space. From the N initial conditions `well chosen_ (particles), we systematically explore the tree structure generated by the system dynamic, with a minimal M discret points of the state noise. This gives N*M possible particles.

To hold the cardinal N constant, we select the N particles which have the greatest likelihood, with respect to the observations; which reduces calculation time and memory space.

General algorithm:



- 1°) Particle support initialization by N initials particles,
- 2°) Deterministic branching: with respect to the dynamic model and discret noise
- 3°) Local prediction of the nominal state trajectory:
- 4°) Local correction with respect to the nominal state trajectory:
- 5°) Weighting: likelihood calculus for every particle
- 6°) Deterministic selection of the N particles which have the greatest likelihood
- 7°) The best estimation is:

$$\mathcal{X}_{t} = \arg\max_{X_{t}} V(X_{t}|Y_{t}) = \arg\max_{X_{t}} \log(p(X_{t}|Y_{t}))$$

6 Deterministic Particle filtering applied to diagnosis

Let fix U at the value \mathcal{B}_k , least estimate of U, in (2.3). The problem is hence linear and Gaussian.

6.1 The linear Gaussian component estimation

The optimal estimation of X is performed by the Kalman filter equations:

Prediction step:

a priori estimate
$$\begin{cases}
\boldsymbol{\mathscr{I}}_{k|k-1} = F\boldsymbol{\mathscr{I}}_{k-1|k-1} + G\boldsymbol{\mathscr{O}}_{k} \\
P_{k|k-1} = FP_{k-1|k-1}F^{T} + GQ_{k}G^{T}
\end{cases}$$
(6.1)

Correction step:

a posteriori estimate
$$\begin{cases}
\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{k|k} = \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{k|k-1} + K_k \widetilde{z}_k \quad (6.2) \\
K_k = P_{k|k-1} H^T \left[HP_{k|k-1} H^T + R_k \right]^{-1} \\
P_{k|k} = \left[I - K_k H \right] P_{k|k-1} \\
\widetilde{z}_k = z_k - \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{k|k-1}
\end{cases}$$



6.2 Default detection/estimation

To perform the diagnosis problem, we have to estimate the parameter U. This will be accomplished by the particle filtering techniques.

Every step k, we realise N deterministic draws in the set $\Im = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_N\}$. The N realisations (i.e. Particles) will be used in the equation (2.3) to generate N different trajectories. For every particle U_i , we calculate the likelihood of the trajectory : $V_k = V(X_k, U_k | Z_{[0;k]}) = \ln(p(X_k, U_k | Z_{[0;k]}))$. The optimal estimate of U is: $\mathcal{O}_k = \arg\left(\max_{U_i}(V_k)\right)$, with respect to (6.1) and (6.2).

7 Estimation/detection algorithm

At every step k, let explore all the U values in the set $\Im = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_N\}$. The aim is to determinate the value maximising the likelihood V_k.

7.1 Initialisation

With N initial particles which are filled according to some probability measure, the initial likelihoods are: $V_0^i = \ln(p(X_0))$ for I = 1 to N.

7.2 Prediction/correction of the Gaussian component X

For every particle U_i , N Kalman filters are engaged to perform the estimate $\mathcal{X}_{k|k-1}^{i}$ corresponding to every U value, from the formulas (6.1), (6.2).

5.3 Evolution of the Gaussian component X, new particles

At the step k+1, for every initial condition $\mathcal{X}_{k|k}^{i}$, we pull M particles in the set $\Im = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_N\}$, which gives M*N particles and M*N trajectories from (2.3).

74 Likelihood ratio

Equations (4.1), (4.2) give a priori estimates of X and P. The innovation process leads to the calculus of V_k . The system in (2.3) is a Markov process with independent noises, hence V_k can be derived recursively by the formulas, for i= 1 to M*N:



$$V_{k}^{i} = V_{k-1}^{i} + \ln\left(p\left(z_{k}|x_{k}, U_{k} = u_{k}^{i}\right)\right) = V_{k-1}^{i} + \ln\left(p\left(u_{k}^{i}\right)\right) + v_{k}^{i}$$
$$v_{k}^{i} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln\left(HP_{k|k-1}H^{T} + R\right) + \left(z_{k} - H\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{k|k-1}\right)^{T}\left(HP_{k|k-1}H^{T} + R\right)^{-1}\left(z_{k} - H\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{k|k-1}\right)\right]$$

7.5 Estimation/ detection of U

The value of U, defining the deterioration level of the roller bearing is: $U_k^{\delta} = \arg\left(\max_{U_i}(V_k)\right)$, with respect to (4.1) and (4.2)

7.6 Redistribution

For the next iteration, we must sort N from M*N particles, whose have the best likelihood ratio. The algorithm will branch to **5.2**.

8 Simulations and results

The system equations have been simulated on Matlab, with the following numerical values: $\omega_r = 7039.88$, $T_c = 0.00029$, $\alpha = 1$, $T_e = 0.000025$, $T_d = 0.0018$, $w_k \approx N(0, q_k)$, $q_k = 0.25$, $v_k \approx N(0, r_k)$, $r_k = 0.1$, for all $k \ge 0$, $X_0 \approx N(\overline{X}_0, Q_0)$, $P_0 = Q_0 = \text{diag}(0, 0.25)$, $\overline{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, X_0 , w_k and v_k are assumed independents, N and M are fixed at 5 and $\mathfrak{I} = \{0.125 \ 37 \ 410 \ 1010 \ 607\}$, $P_{tr} = \begin{bmatrix} 995 \ 3 \ 2 \ 0.1 \ 0.1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 995 \ 3 \ 0.1 \ 0.1 \ 1 \ 3 \ 996 \]*1e-3$.

Case 1: the fault is assumed constant.





We fix the real fault at an arbitrary value U in the interval [0.01, 10000]. The algorithm converges to the nearest value in \Im after only 15 iterations.

Fig. 1. Succession of shocks for a faulty roller bearing, real and estimated speed.



Fig. 2. Real (blue) and estimated (green) speed, Zoom of Fig 1.

Case 2: the fault amplitude takes value in the set $\Im_{real} = \{0.1 \ 45 \ 450 \ 570 \ 950\}$ different from $\Im = \{0.125 \ 37 \ 410 \ 1010 \ 607\}$, the set of U particles values.





Fig. 3. Real (green) and estimated (red) default value.

7 Conclusion

In this study, the linear model proposed for the transmission of a vibratory signal to the sensor has made possible the representation of the roller bearing diagnosis by a hybrid system. The discrete parameter U represents exactly here the level of the suspected deterioration. This leaves a non linear filtering problem that we solve using deterministic particle filtering in two situations: 1°) the fault is constant, 2°) the deterioration is time varying in a discrete set of values.

References

- 1. McFadden P.D. and Smith J.D., Model for the vibration produced by a single point defect in a rolling element bearing , Journal of Sound and Vibration Vol. 96, p.p. 69-82, (1984)
- 2. Mohinder S. Grewal, Angus P. Andrews, Kalman Filtering, Theory and practice using Matlab, Wiley inter-science (2001).
- Compillo F., Filtrage Linéaire, Non Linéaire, et Approximation Particulaire pour le Praticien. INRIA, Mars (2005).
- 4. Saboni O. A State Representation for the Diagnosis of a Roller Bearing and Kalman Filtering, ICTTA'06, DAMAS, April (2006)
- Ben Salem F., Réception Particulaire Pour Canaux Multi-Trajets Evanescents en Communications Radiomobiles, Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université Paul Sabatier de Toulouse (2002).