HAL open science

A note on online and recursively arbitrarily vertex-partitionable balloons

Olivier Baudon, Florent Foucaud, Monika Pilsniak

To cite this version:

Olivier Baudon, Florent Foucaud, Monika Pilsniak. A note on online and recursively arbitrarily vertex-partitionable balloons. 2012. hal-00656232

HAL Id: hal-00656232

https://hal.science/hal-00656232v1

Submitted on 3 Jan 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A note on online and recursively arbitrarily vertex-partitionable balloons

Olivier Baudon* Florent Foucaud† Monika Pilśniak‡

January 3, 2012

Abstract

In this note, we study arbitrarily vertex-partitionable balloons. In particular, we show that an online arbitrarily vertex-partitionable balloon has maximum degree at most 5. Moreover, we exhibit some infinite families of online and recursively vertex-partitionable balloons with four and five branches.

1 Preliminaries

In this note, the specific class of *balloons* is studied:

Definition 1 *We denote by $B(b_1, \ldots, \ldots, b_k)$ the graph of order $2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} b_i$ consisting of two vertices r_1, r_2, with k vertex-disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ of order $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ joining r_1 to r_2. The graph $B(b_1, \ldots, \ldots, b_k)$ is called a k-*balloon *with* roots r_1 *and* r_2 *and* branches $P_1, \ldots, P_k$.*

We will also need the following definition.

Definition 2 *We denote by $PB(b_1, \ldots, \overline{b_i}, \ldots, \underline{b_j}, \ldots, b_k)$ the partial k-balloon with branches of sizes $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ and roots r_1, r_2 where the branches denoted $\overline{b_i}$ are not adjacent to r_1 and the ones denoted $\underline{b_j}$ are not adjacent ro r_2.*

Let $n, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k$ be positive integers such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \tau_i = n$. Then $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ is called a *decomposition* of n.

We study the problem of partitioning the vertex set of graphs according to certain conditions. The following definitions have been introduced in [1], [4] and [3], respectively.

Definition 3 *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices.*

- *G is said to be* arbitrarily vertex-partitionable *(AP for short) if for every decomposition $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ of n, there exists a partition $V_1 \ldots, V_k$ of $V(G)$ such that each part V_i has order τ_i and induces a connected subgraph of G.*

*Univ. Bordeaux, LaBRI, UMR 5800, F-33400 Talence, France, e-mail:baudon@labri.fr
†Univ. Bordeaux, LaBRI, UMR 5800, F-33400 Talence, France, e-mail:foucaud@labri.fr
‡AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Mathematics, 30-059 Kraków, Poland, e-mail:Monika.Pilsniak@agh.edu.pl

- *G is said to be* online arbitrarily vertex-partitionable *(OLAP for short) if for every decomposition $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ of n, there exists a partition $V_1 \ldots, V_k$ of $V(G)$ such that each part V_i has order τ_i, induces a connected subgraph of G, and $V(G) \setminus V_i$ induces an OLAP subgraph of G.*
- *G is said to be* recursively arbitrarily vertex-partitionable *(RAP for short) if for every decomposition $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ of n, there exists a partition $V_1 \ldots, V_k$ of $V(G)$ such that each part V_i has order τ_i, and both V_i and $V(G) \setminus V_i$ induce a RAP subgraph of G.*

It is worth noting that every graph with a hamiltonian path is RAP, every RAP graph is OLAP, every OLAP graph is AP, and every AP graph contains a (quasi)-perfect matching.

Observation 4 ([3]) *Let $B = B(b_1, ..., b_k)$ be an AP balloon with $b_1 \leq ... \leq b_k$. If n is odd, B contains at most three branches of odd size. If n is even, B contains at most two branches of odd size.*

Lemma 5 ([2]) *Let $B(b_1, ..., b_k)$ be an AP balloon with $b_1 \leq ... \leq b_k$. Then for each $i \leq k$ we have $2b_i \geq \sum_{j<i} b_j$.*

2 OLAP balloons have maximum degree at most 5

It is shown in [3] that every RAP balloon has maximum degree at most 5. We show that this holds as well for OLAP balloons.

Theorem 6 *Let G be an OLAP k-balloon. Then $k \leq 5$.*

Proof The proof is by contradiction. Let G be a minimum counterexample to the theorem: G is an OLAP k-balloon ($k \geq 6$) with least possible order.

For each $\lambda < n$, it is possible to find a subset of vertices V_λ with $|V_\lambda| = \lambda$, $G[V_\lambda]$ is connected, and $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is OLAP. Since by the minimality of G, $G' = G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ cannot be a partial k-balloon (otherwise adding an edge to G' would provide an OLAP k-balloon with order strictly less than G), for each λ, one of the following conditions must be fulfilled:

1. $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is a k'-balloon with $k' = k - 1$ (i.e. V_λ is a branch of G), or
2. $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is a path (i.e. V_λ consists of a root of G together with $k - 2$ branches), or
3. $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is an OLAP caterpillar (i.e. V_λ consists of a root of G together with $k-2$ branches but one vertex), or
4. $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is the tripode $T_3(2, 4, 6)$ (i.e. V_λ consists of a root of G together with $k-2$ branches but two vertices of the same branch)

We claim that G has branches of sizes respectively 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. We show this using contradiction. Let $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and suppose there is no branch of size λ in G.

- $\underline{\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3\}}$: for any choice of V_λ, $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is either a partial k-balloon or a tree with a vertex of degree 4 (since at most two branches can be completely included in V_λ), a contradiction.
- $\underline{\lambda = 4}$: similarly as in the previous case, for any choice of V_λ, $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is either a partial k-balloon or a tree with a vertex of degree 3. By Observation 4, $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ cannot be a caterpillar, thus it is $T_3(2, 4, 6)$ and $G = B_6(1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 6)$, a contradiction since G has a branch of size 4.

- $\underline{\lambda = 5}$: by the previous cases we know that G has branches of sizes 1, 2, 3 and 4. $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ cannot be a path since by Observation 4, five vertices cannot cover four branches and a root. If $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is an OLAP caterpillar, then necessarily $k = 6$, the caterpillar is $Cat(4, 5)$ and G contains three branches of size 1: $G = B_6(1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4)$. But then following Observation 4, G is not OLAP. Finally, if $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is $T_3(2, 4, 6)$, V_λ must either totally cover the branch of size 3, or the branch of size 2 plus a vertex of the one of size 3. But then it is only possible to totally cover two branches, and the remaining part has a vertex of degree at least 4 and is not $T_3(2, 4, 6)$.
- $\underline{\lambda = 6}$: by the previous cases we know that G has branches of sizes 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Now suppose there is one additional branch b_i ($i \leq 6$) such that $b_i \leq 5$. By Lemma 5 there can be at most one such additional branch, and then $b_i = 1$. Thus $G = B_k(1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...)$. But now the only potential choice for V_λ would be the three smallest branches, a root and one additional vertex. If $k > 6$, $G[V \setminus V_\lambda]$ is a tree with a vertex of degree at least 4, which is not OLAP. Otherwise it is $T_3(2, 4, 5)$, which is not OLAP either. Thus, $G = B_k(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, b_6, ...)$ with $b_6 \geq 7$. But again for $\lambda = 6$ it is not possible to decompose it. Thus G is not OLAP, a contradiction.

So, $G = B_k(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ...)$. But using Lemma 5, G is not AP, a contradiction. □

3 Families of OLAP balloons

In this section, we show that there are infinitely many OLAP k-balloons, for each $k \leq 5$. The case where $k \leq 3$ is trivial since then any k-balloon has a hamiltonian path and is therefore RAP.

3.1 OLAP 4-balloons

Proposition 7 *$B(1, 1, n, m)$ is OLAP iff at most one of n and m is odd.*

Proof Easily partitionable for $\lambda = 1, 3$. For $\lambda = 2$, one can prove by induction that $B(1, 1, \overline{2k}, m)$ and $B(1, 1, n, \overline{2k})$ is OLAP. □

Proposition 8 *Let $a \leq b \leq c$ be such that $Cat(b + 1, a + c + 2)$ or $Cat(c + 1, a + b + 2)$ or $Cat(a + 1, b + c + 2)$ is OLAP. Then $B(1, a, b, c)$ is OLAP.*

Proof These caterpillars are spanning subgraphs of $B(1, a, b, c)$. □

Proposition 9 *$B(1, 2, 2, k)$, $k \geq 3$, is OLAP iff $k \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 3$.*

Proof Easily partitionable for $\lambda \neq 3$. If $k \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 3$, also OK for $\lambda = 3$ because of $Cat(3, k+1)$ which is OLAP.

Now suppose $k \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. For $\lambda = 3$, for the balloon to be partitionable we should have either:

- $PB(1, 2, 2, \overline{k - 3})$ OLAP.
- $PB(1, 2, 2, \overline{a}, \underline{b})$ $(a + b = k - 3)$ OLAP

But in both cases these partial balloons are not $(3, \ldots, 3)$-partitionable. □

Proposition 10 *$B(1, 2, 3, k)$, $k \geq 3$, is OLAP.*

Proof Straightforward. □

Proposition 11 *$PB(2,2,2,\overline{k})$, is OLAP iff $k \equiv 0 \bmod 3$.*

Proof By induction on k. □

Observation 12 *$B(2,2,2,3)$, $B(2,2,3,4)$, $B(2,2,3,6)$, $B(2,2,3,18)$, $B(2,2,4,6)$, $B(2,3,4,6)$, are OLAP.*

3.2 OLAP 5-balloons

The proof of the following result is omitted.

Proposition 13 *Let G be a graph of Table 1. Then G is OLAP.*

$B(1,1,1,2,k)$ with $k \equiv 0,4 \bmod 6$ $B(1,1,1,4,k)$ with $k \in \{6,8,10,18\}$ $B(1,1,1,6,k)$ with $k \in \{8,10,12,14\}$ $B(1,1,1,8,10)$
$B(1,1,2,2,3)$ $B(1,1,2,2,6)$ $B(1,1,2,3,k)$ with $k \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ $B(1,1,2,4,6)$ $B(1,1,2,5,k)$ with $k \equiv 0,4 \bmod 6$ $B(1,1,3,4,6)$ $B(1,1,4,4,6)$
$B(1,2,2,3,4)$ $B(1,2,2,4,6)$ $B(1,2,3,4,5)$ $B(1,2,3,4,6)$ $B(1,2,3,4,7)$
$B(2,2,3,4,6)$

Table 1: Some OLAP 5-balloons

4 Families of RAP balloons

In this section, we show that there are infinitely many RAP k-balloons, for each $k \leq 5$.

4.1 RAP 4-balloons

Proposition 14 *$PB(1,1,1,\overline{k})$, $B(1,1,1,k)$, $PB(1,1,3,\overline{k})$ and $B(1,1,3,k)$ are RAP iff k is even.*

Proof If k is odd, they are not AP by Observation 4. On the other hand, $Cat(2,k+3)$ is a spanning subgraph of $PB(1,1,1,\overline{k})/B(1,1,1,k)$ and $Cat(2,k+5)$ is a spanning subgraph of $PB(1,1,3,\overline{k})/B(1,1,3,k)$. These caterpillars are RAP when k is even. □

Proposition 15 *$PB(1,1,2,\overline{k})$ is RAP for any $k \geq 1$.*

Proof Observe that it is true for $k \leq 3$ since $Cat(3,5)$ and $Cat(4,5)$ are spanning subgraphs of $PB(1,1,2,\overline{1})$, $PB(1,1,2,\overline{2})$ and $PB(1,1,2,\overline{3})$, respectively.

Now, suppose the proposition is true for all $i \leq k-1$ and consider $PB(1,1,2,\overline{k})$. For $\lambda \leq 4$, $PB(1,1,2,\overline{k})$ can be partitioned into P_λ and $P_{n-\lambda}$. For $\lambda = 5$, it can be partitioned into $Cat(2,3)$ and P_{k+1}. For $\lambda = 6$, it can be partitioned into $B(1,1,2)$ and P_k. Finally, for $\lambda \geq 7$, it can be partitioned into $PB(1,1,2,\overline{\lambda-6})$ and $P_{k-\lambda+6}$, the first being RAP by induction hypothesis. □

Proposition 16 *$PB(1,2,2,\overline{k})$ and $B(1,2,2,k)$ are RAP iff $k \equiv 1,2 \bmod 3$.*

Proof On the one hand, observe that $Cat(3,k+4)$ is a spanning subgraph of $PB(1,2,2,\overline{k})$ and $B(1,2,2,k)$, which is RAP when $k \equiv 1,2 \bmod 3$. On the other hand, if $k \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, they are not $(3,\ldots,3)$-partitionable. □

Proposition 17 *$PB(1,2,3,\overline{k})$ is RAP for any $k \geq 3$.*

Proof For any $\lambda \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $PB(1,2,3,\overline{k})$ must be partitionable into two vertex-disjoint RAP subgraphs of orders λ and $n-\lambda$.

- $\lambda = 1$: $P_1 + P_{n-1}$
- $\lambda = 2$: $P_2 + P_{n-2}$
- $\lambda = 3$: $P_3 + P_{n-3}$
- $\lambda = 4$: $P_4 + P_{k+4}$
- $\lambda = 5$: $P_5 + P_{k+3}$
- $\lambda = 6$: $P_6 + P_{k+2}$
- $\lambda = 7$: $Cat(3,4) + P_{k+1}$
- $\lambda = 8$: $B(1,2,3) + P_k$

Note that by the previous cases, the proposition is true for $n \leq 16$ (i.e. $k \leq 8$).

Now, let $\lambda \geq 9$, suppose it is true for any $i \leq k-1$, and consider $PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{k})$. Now one can partition the graph into $P_{n-\lambda}$ and $PB(1,2,3,\overline{\lambda-8})$, which is RAP by induction hypothesis. □

4.2 RAP 5-balloons

Proposition 18 *$PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{2k})$ is RAP for any $k \geq 0$.*

Proof For any $\lambda \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{2k})$ must be partitionable into two vertex-disjoint RAP subgraphs of orders λ and $n-\lambda$.

- $\lambda = 1$: $P_1 + B(1,2,3,\overline{2k})$ (RAP by Proposition 17)
- $\lambda = 2$: $P_2 + B(1,1,3,\overline{2k})$ (RAP by Proposition 14)
- $\lambda = 3$: $P_3 + B(1,1,2,\overline{2k})$ (RAP by Proposition 15)
- $\lambda = 4$: $P_4 + Cat(4,2k+1)$
- $\lambda = 5$: $Cat(2,3) + P_{2k+4}$

- $\lambda = 6$: $P_6 + Cat(2, 2k+1)$
- $\lambda = 7$: $Cat(3,4) + P_{2k+2}$

Note that by the previous cases, the proposition is true for $n \leq 14$ (i.e. $k \leq 2$).

Now, let $\lambda \geq 8$, suppose it is true for any $i \leq k-1$, and consider $PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{2k})$.

- λ even:
  - if $\lambda \leq 2k$, one can partition the graph into P_λ and $PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{2k-\lambda})$ by placing P_λ at the end of the last branch, and use the induction hypothesis.
  - if $\lambda > 2k$, then $n - \lambda \leq 7$ (since both $2k$ and λ are even), thus one can use the cases where $\lambda \leq 7$.
- λ odd. Then partition the graph into $PB(1,1,2,3,\overline{\lambda-9})$ (note that it is RAP by induction hypothesis since $\lambda - 9$ is even and positive) and $P_{2k-\lambda+9}$.

□

This proves the existence of an infinite family of RAP 5-balloons:

Corollary 19 *$B(1,1,2,3,2k)$ is RAP for any $k \geq 1$.*

In [3], it is shown that $B(1,1,1,2,4)$ and $B(1,1,2,2,3)$ are RAP.

Proposition 20 *Other RAP 5-balloons are $B(1,2,2,3,4)$ and $B(2,2,3,4,6)$.*

References

[1] O. Baudon, D. Barth and J. Puech. Decomposable trees: a polynomial algorithm for tripodes. *Discrete applied mathematics*, 119(3):205–216, 2002.

[2] O. Baudon, F. Foucaud, J. Przybyło and M. Woźniak. Structure of k-connected Arbitrarily Partitionable Graphs. Manuscript, 2011.

[3] O. Baudon, F. Gilbert and M. Woźniak. Recursively arbitrarily vertex-decomposable graphs. Submitted, 2010.

[4] M. Hornák, Z. Tuza and M. Woźniak. On-line arbitrarily vertex decomposable trees. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 155(11):1420–1429, 2007.