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#### Abstract

We study the cohomology of parabolic Deligne-Lusztig varieties associated to unipotent blocks of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(q)$. We show that the geometric version of Broué's conjecture over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$, together with Craven's formula, holds for any unipotent block whenever it holds for the principal $\Phi_{1}$-block.


## Introduction

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ with an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ structure associated to a Frobenius endomorphism $F$. Let $\ell$ be a prime number different from $p$ and $b$ be a unipotent $\ell$-block of $\mathbf{G}^{F}=\mathbf{G}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. When $\ell$ is large, the defect group of $b$ is abelian, and the geometric version of Broué's conjecture predicts that the cohomology of some Deligne-Lusztig variety should induce a derived equivalence between $b$ and its Brauer correspondent [2].

When the centraliser of the defect group of $b$ is a torus, then in [4] Broué and Michel identified which specific class of Deligne-Lusztig varieties should be considered. They correspond to good $d$-regular elements or equivalently to $d$ roots of $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\mathbf{w}_{0}^{2}$ in the Braid monoid. In a recent work [9], Digne and Michel introduced the notion of $d$-periodic element to generalise this to the parabolic setting. If $b$ is a unipotent $\Phi_{d}$-block, then it is to be expected that there exists a $d$-periodic element ( $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}$ ) such that the corresponding parabolic Deligne-Lusztig variety $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$ is a good candidate for inducing the derived equivalence predicted by Broué's conjecture. Furthermore, Chuang and Rouquier conjectured in [6] that this equivalence is perverse, with a perversity function that has recently been conjectured by Craven in [7]. Surprisingly, it can be expressed by a function $C_{d}$ depending only on the generic degrees of the corresponding characters.

[^0]If we restrict our attention to the characteristic zero then we obtain a conjectural explicit description of the unipotent part of the cohomology of $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$. The fundamental property that we derive from Broués conjecture is that the cohomology groups of $X(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$ are mutually disjoint. More precisely, it can be formulated as follows:

Conjecture 1. Any d-cuspidal pair is conjugate to a pair $\left(\mathbf{L}_{\underline{I}}^{\mathbf{w} F}, \chi\right)$ where $(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})$ is a d-periodic element. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}_{\chi}$ is the corresponding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$-local system on $\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$, then $(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})$ can be chosen such that
(i) The $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \mathbf{G}^{F}$-modules $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F), \mathcal{F}_{\chi}\right)$ are mutually disjoint.
(ii) If $\rho$ is a irreducible unipotent constituent of $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F), \mathcal{F}_{\chi}\right)$ then $\rho$ lies in the $\Phi_{d}$-block associated to $\chi$ and $i=C_{d}(\operatorname{deg} \rho / \operatorname{deg} \chi)$.

In addition, the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{G}^{F}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F), \mathcal{F}_{\chi}\right)\right)$ should be endowed with a natural structure of $d$-cyclotomic Hecke algebra. Let us note the following important consequence of this property: the eigenvalue of any sufficiently divisible power $F^{m}$ of $F$ on $\rho$ should be $q^{m\left(a_{\rho}+A_{\rho}-a_{\chi}-A_{\chi}\right) / d}$.

The choice of a specific $d$-periodic element in this conjecture is not very relevant: it is conjectured that any other $d$-periodic element ( $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ ) can be obtained from ( $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ ) by cyclic shifts, so that the cohomology of the corresponding varieties are isomorphic. This has already been proven when $\mathbf{I}=\varnothing$ and $F$ acts trivially on $W$ (see [9, Remark 7.4]).

When $d=1$, the unipotent blocks correspond to the usual Harish-Chandra series. In particular, when ( $\mathbf{G}, F$ ) has type $A$, there is a unique unipotent block and it contains all the unipotent characters. The purpose of this paper is to show that from the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ one can actually deduce all the other interesting cases (see Corollary 3.2):

Theorem. For groups of type A, Conjecture 1 holds whenever it holds for $d=1$, that is for $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$.

Let us emphasize that Conjecture 1 is known to be true only in a very small number of cases, namely when $d=h$ is the Coxeter number by Lusztig [12], for groups of rank 2 by Digne, Michel and Rouquier [10] and when $d=n$ for $A_{n}$ and $d=4$ for $D_{4}$ by Digne and Michel [8]. Therefore this theorem represents a very important step towards a proof of the geometric version of Broués conjecture.

Even though this result depends on the conjectural description of X( $\boldsymbol{\pi})$, one can give an effective proof of Conjecture 1 for principal $\Phi_{d}$-blocks when $d>$ $(n+1) / 2$. In that case the defect group is cyclic, and the modular representation theory of the block is fully understood. We will address this problem in a subsequent paper, where we will compute the cohomology of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ell}$ of the corresponding Deligne-Lusztig variety.

To give a flavour of the proof of the main theorem, recall that for groups of type $A$, we have by [3] a combinatorial description of the Deligne-Lusztig
induction associated to $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$. In terms of partitions, it corresponds to adding a certain number of $d$-hooks. By transitivity, one can decompose $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$ by means of simpler varieties $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}$, each of which corresponds to adding a single $d$ hook to a partition. Now, using the methods developed in [11] one can compute the cohomology of (some quotient of) $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}$ in terms of $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n-1, d}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n-1, d-1}$ (see Theorem 2.1), providing an inductive argument to tackle Conjecture 1.

Note finally that Theorem 2.1 can be generalised to many other situations in type $B, C$ and $D$. However, two main problems arise: firstly, the limit case is either $\mathrm{X}\left(w_{0}\right)$ or $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ and does not contain all the unipotent characters. Secondly, the methods in [11] work obviously for non-cuspidal unipotent characters only. We can obtain partial results on the principal series in that situation, which we believe are too coarse to be mentioned in this paper.

## 1 Parabolic varieties in type $A$

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{G}$ will denote any connected reductive algebraic group of type $A_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. We will consider a Frobenius endomorphism $F: \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ defining a standard $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-structure on $\mathbf{G}$. Since we will be interested in unipotent characters only, we will not make any specific choice for ( $\mathbf{G}, F$ ) in its isogeny class. If $\mathbf{H}$ is any $F$-stable subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ we will denote by $H=\mathbf{H}^{F}$ the associated finite group.

The Weyl group $W$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and its Braid monoid $B^{+}$ is the usual Artin monoid. It is generated by a set $\mathbf{S}=\left\{\mathbf{s}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_{n}\right\}$ corresponding to simple reflections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ of $W$. Following [9], we define for $1 \leq d \leq n+1$
and

$$
\mathbf{v}_{d}=\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathbf{s}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n-\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor} \mathbf{s}_{n} \mathbf{s}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{\left\lfloor\frac{d+1}{2}\right\rfloor}
$$

We are interested in computing the cohomology of the variety

$$
\mathrm{X}_{n, d}=\mathrm{X}\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{d} F\right)
$$

with coefficients in any unipotent local system. Note that for $d>1$, the element $\mathbf{v}_{d}$ is reduced so that we can work with the variety $\mathrm{X}\left(J_{d}, v_{d} F\right)$. By [9, Lemma 11.7 and 11.8], the pair $\left(J_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{d}\right)$ is d-periodic so that it makes sense to study the cohomology of $\mathbf{X}_{n, d}$. Recall from [9] that a $d$-periodic element is any pair ( $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{b}$ ) with $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in B^{+}$such that $\mathbf{b} F(\mathbf{b}) \cdots F^{d-1}(\mathbf{b})=\pi / \boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}$ where $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\mathbf{w}_{0}^{2}$ is the generator of the pure Braid group. It has been shown in [9] that this forces $\mathbf{b} F$ to normalise $\mathbf{I}$. Note that when $d \leq(n+1) / 2, \mathbf{v}_{d}$ is not maximal in the sense that it is not extendable to a $d$ th root of $\boldsymbol{\pi} / \boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}$ for a proper subset $\mathbf{I}$ of $\mathbf{J}_{d}$. However, it can still be used to associate to any unipotent block a "good" parabolic DeligneLusztig variety. Before making any precise statement, we shall briefly recall the combinatorial objects that we will use.
1.1. $\Phi_{d}$-blocks of $G$. The unipotent characters of $G$ are labeled by the partitions of $n+1$. If $\lambda$ is such a partition, we will denote by $\chi_{\lambda}$ the corresponding character,
with the convention that $\chi_{(1,1, \ldots, 1)}=\mathrm{St}_{G}$ is the Steinberg character of $G$. We shall also fix a representation $V_{\lambda}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ of character $\chi_{\lambda}$. For $1 \leq d \leq n+1$, the pair $\left(\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}, v_{d} F\right)$ represents a $d$-Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. From [3], we know how to express the $d$-Harish-Chandra induction in terms of combinatorics of partitions. To fix the notation, let $\mu$ be a partition of $n+1-d$ and $X=\left\{x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{s}\right\}$ be a $\beta$-set associated to $\mu$. We may and we will assume that $X$ is big enough, so that it contains $\{0,1, \cdots, d-1\}$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the subset of $X$ defined by $X^{\prime}=\{x \in X \mid x+d \notin$ $X\}$. It represents the possible $d$-hooks that can be added to $\mu$. For $x \in X^{\prime}$ we will denote by $\mu * x$ the partition of $n+1$ which has $(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ as a $\beta$-set.

We fix an $F$-stable Tits homomorphism $t: B^{+} \longrightarrow N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$. By [9] the variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ has an étale covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}=\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{d}} F\right)$ with Galois group $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{t\left(\mathbf{v}_{d}\right) F}$. Since ( $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}, t\left(\mathbf{v}_{d}\right) F$ ) is a split group of type $A_{n-d}$, the partition $\mu$ defines a unipotent local system $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ on $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ such that $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi_{\mu}}$ are isomorphic. Then we deduce from [3, Section 3.4] that there exist signs $\varepsilon_{x}= \pm 1$ such that the $d$-Harish-Chandra induction of $\chi_{\mu}$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}}^{\mathbf{G}}\left(\chi_{\mu}\right)=\sum(-1)^{i} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)=\sum_{x \in X^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{x} \chi_{\mu * x} .
$$

In particular, the $d$-Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ is non-zero until we reach the $d$-core $v$ of $\lambda$, which corresponds to a $d$-cuspidal character $\chi_{v}$. The unipotent characters in the $\Phi_{d}$-block of $G$ containing $\chi_{\lambda}$ are all the characters that can be obtained by successive $d$-inductions from $\chi_{v}$. They correspond to partitions of $n+1$ that have $v$ as a $d$-core.
1.2. A parabolic variety associated to a $\Phi_{d}$-block. The cohomology of the variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ induces to a minimal $d$-induction since there is no $d$-split Levi between $\left(\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}, t\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{d}}\right) F\right)$ and $(\mathbf{G}, F)$. By transitivity, one can form a Deligne-Lusztig variety $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})$ associated to the $d$-cuspidal character $\chi_{v}$. Let $n+1-a d$ be the size of $v$ and consider for $i=1, \ldots, a$ the pairs $\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(i)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(i)}\right)$ where $\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(0)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(0)}\right)=$ $\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{d}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(i+1)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(i+1)}\right)$ is the analogue of the pair $\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{d}\right)$ for the split group $\left(\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}^{(i)}}, t\left(\mathbf{v}_{d}^{(i)} \cdots \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(1)}\right) F\right)$ of type $A_{n-i d}$. Then one can readily check that the pair $(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})=\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(a)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(a)} \cdots \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(1)}\right)$ is $d$-periodic.

By [9, Proposition 8.26] the associated Deligne-Lusztig variety $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F)$ is isomorphic to the following almalgamated product

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(1)} F\right) \times \underset{\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}^{(1)}}^{t\left(\mathbf{v}^{(1)}\right) F}}{ } \cdots \times \underset{\mathbf{L}_{d}^{t\left(\mathbf{v}^{(a-1)}\right.}\left(\underline{t a-1)} \ldots \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(1)) F}\right.}{ } \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathbf{L}_{d}^{(a-1)}}\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}^{(a)}, \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(a)} t\left(\mathbf{v}_{d}^{(a-1)} \cdots \mathbf{v}_{d}^{(1)}\right) F\right) .
$$

Now each variety in this decomposition corresponds to a variety $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n-i d, d}$ for some $i=0, \ldots, a-1$. Since the cohomology of the latter with coefficients in a unipotent local system depends only on the isogeny class of the group (here, the split type $A_{n-i d}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F), \mathcal{F}_{v}\right) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \otimes_{A_{n-d}} \cdots \otimes_{A_{n-(a-1) d}} \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n-(a-1) d, d}, \mathcal{F}_{v}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if we believe that the vanishing property in Conjecture 1 holds for the cohomology of $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})$, then for any partition $\mu$ of $n+1-d$, the graded $G$-module $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ should be multiplicity-free.
1.3. Craven's formula in type $A$. Conjecturally, the unipotent character $\chi_{\lambda}$ is a constituent of only one cohomology group of $\mathrm{R} \Gamma\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w} F), \mathcal{F}_{v}\right)$. Craven proposed in [7] a formula which gives the degree of this cohomology group in terms of $d$ and the generic degree of $\chi_{\lambda}$ and $\chi_{\mu}$. More precisely, he considers a function $C_{d}$ on some set of enhanced cyclotomic polynomials and conjectured that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\chi_{\lambda} ; \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}), \mathcal{F}_{v}\right)\right\rangle_{G} \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow i=C_{d}\left(\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}\right)-C_{d}\left(\operatorname{deg} \chi_{v}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall the definition of $C_{d}$ : assume that $P \subset \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a polynomial such that the non-zero roots $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ (written with multiplicity) of $P$ are all roots of unity. Let us denote by $d^{\circ}(P)$ the degree of $P$ and by $v(P)$ its valuation, that is the degree of $x^{d^{\circ}(P)} P\left(x^{-1}\right)$. Then Craven's function $C_{d}$ is defined by

$$
C_{d}(P)=\frac{1}{d}\left(d^{\circ}(P)+v(P)\right)+\#\left\{i=1, \ldots, m \mid \operatorname{Arg} z_{i}<2 \pi / d\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \#\left\{i=1, \ldots, m \mid z_{i}=1\right\}
$$

Here, the argument $\operatorname{Arg} z$ of a non-zero complex number $z$ is taken in $[0 ; 2 \pi)$. More generally, if $\zeta=\exp (2 \mathrm{i} \pi k / d)$ is a primitive $d$-root of unity, one can define a function $C_{\zeta}$ by replacing $d$ by $d / k$ and 1.2 should hold for $d$ th roots of $\left(\boldsymbol{\pi} / \boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}\right)^{k}$. Note also that Craven's function is additive: it satisfies $C_{\zeta}(P Q)=C_{\zeta}(P)+C_{\zeta}(Q)$.

For groups of type $A$, the degree $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}$ of the unipotent character $\chi_{\lambda}$ is explicitely known (see for example [5, Section 13]). It is a polynomial in $q$ of degree $A_{\lambda}$ and valuation $a_{\lambda}$ and no factors of the form ( $q-1$ ) can appear. In particular, Craven's function can be written

$$
C_{\zeta}\left(\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\operatorname{Arg} \zeta}\left(a_{\lambda}+A_{\lambda}\right)+\#\left\{i=1, \ldots, m \mid \operatorname{Arg} z_{i}<\operatorname{Arg} \zeta\right\}
$$

where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ are the roots with multiplicity of the polynomial $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}$. Note that with this description it is already not obvious that the rational number on the right-hand side of 1.2 is actually an integer.

Since $C_{d}$ is additive, formula 1.2 together with the quasi-isomorphism 1.1 suggests that the partition $v$ should not be necessarily a $d$-core. In the case of an elementary $d$-induction (when $a=1$ ) we can write everything explicitely using [7, Proposition 9.1]; the second equality follows from an easy calculation:

Lemma 1.3. Let $\mu$ be a partition with corresponding $\beta$-set $X$ that we assume to be large enough. For $x \in X^{\prime}$, we have
$C_{d}\left(\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu * x}\right)-C_{d}\left(\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu}\right)=2(n+1-d-x+\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})+\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\}$
and

$$
a_{\mu * x}+A_{\mu * x}-a_{\mu}-A_{\mu}=d(n-d+s-x) .
$$

These integers give conjecturally the degree of the cohomology group of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ in which $\chi_{\mu * x}$ will appear, as well as the corresponding eigenvalue of the Frobenius. Since we will work with the cohomology with compact support, we shall rather work with the integers

$$
\pi_{d}(X, x)=2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{d}(X, x)=n+1+x-s
$$

They are readily deduced from the previous ones by taking into account the dimension of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ (which is equal to $\ell\left(v_{d}\right)=2 n+1-d$ ). Now Conjecture 1 can be deduced from the following:

Conjecture 1.4. Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $1 \leq d \leq n+1$. Let $\mu$ be a partition of $n-d+1$ and $X$ be its $\beta$-set, assumed to be large enough. Then

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{\prime}} V_{\mu * x}\left[-\pi_{d}(X, x)\right] \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\left(\gamma_{d}(X, x)\right)
$$

as a complex of $G \times\langle F\rangle$-modules.
The purpose of this paper is to prove that this conjecture holds for any $d$ whenever it holds for $d=1$. As a byproduct, we shall deduce the cohomology of parabolic Deligne-Lusztig varieties associated to any unipotent block from the knowledge of the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathbf{w}_{0}^{2}\right)$.

## 2 Decomposition of the quotient

The group ( $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}, \dot{v}_{d} F$ ) is split of type $A_{n-d}$, therefore the unipotent representations of the corresponding finite group are labelled by partitions $\mu$ of $n-d+1$. To such a partition one can associate a unipotent $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$-local system $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ on $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$. From [3] we know that the irreducible constituents of the virtual character $\Sigma(-1)^{i} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ correspond to the partitions of $n+1$ obtained by adding a $d$-hook to $\mu$. The restriction to $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ of the corresponding irreducible representation corresponds to a partition obtained by

- either restricting the hook (usually in two different ways),
- or restricting $\mu$.

The main result of this section gives a geometric interpretation of this phenomenon.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $d \geq 2$. Let $I=\left\{s_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1\right\}$. Let $\mu$ be a partition of $n-d+1$ and $\left\{\mu^{(j)}\right\}$ be the set of partitions of $n-d$ obtained by restricting $\mu$. Then there is a distinguished triangle in $D^{b}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} L_{I} \times\langle F\rangle-\mathrm{mod}\right)$
$\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n-1, d-1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\left.\mu^{j}\right)}\right)[-2](1) \rightsquigarrow$
Remark 2.2. From [1, Proposition 1.1] we can deduce that the cohomology of a Deligne-Lusztig variety with coefficients in a unipotent local system depends only on the type of ( $\mathbf{G}, F$ ). Therefore there is no ambiguity in the statement of the theorem.

We will use the results in [11] to compute the quotient of $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(J_{d}, \dot{v}_{d} F\right)$ by the finite group $U_{I}$. Recall that $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}=\mathrm{X}\left(J_{d}, v_{d} F\right)$ can be decomposed into locally closed $P_{I}$-subvarieties $\mathrm{X}_{x}$, where $x$ is a $I$-reduced- $J_{d}$ element of $W$. In our situation, at most two pieces will appear:

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $2 \leq d \leq n$. The variety $\mathrm{X}_{x}$ is non-empty if and only if $x$ is one of the two following elements:
(1) $x_{0}=s_{n} s_{n-1} \cdots s_{1}$
(2) $x_{1}=s_{n} s_{n-1} \cdots s_{n-\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor+1}$.

Proof. For simplicity, we shall denote $a=\left\lfloor\frac{d+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $b=n-\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor$ so that $w=v_{d}=$ $s_{1} \cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a}$ and $J=J_{d}=\{a+1, \ldots, b\}$. If $x$ is a $I$-reduced- $J$ element, then $x=s_{n} s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i}$ with $b+1 \leq i \leq n+1$ or $1 \leq i \leq a$. Recall from [11] that the variety $\mathrm{X}_{x}$ is non-empty if and only if there exists $y=y_{1} \cdots y_{r} \in W_{J}$ and an $x$ distinguished subexpression $\gamma$ of $y w$ such that the products of the elements of $\gamma$ lies in $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$. We first observe that for $i \notin\{1, n+1\}$ we have

$$
\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}=\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{i-2}, s_{i} s_{i-1} s_{i}, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

Now, since $x$ is reduced- $J$, the subexpression $\gamma$ is the concatenation of $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)$ and an $x y$-distinguished subexpression $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $w$. If $i>b+1$ or $2 \leq i \leq a$, the group $W_{J}$ is included in $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$. Therefore the product of the elements of $\tilde{\gamma}$ must lie in $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$. We shall distinguish two cases:

Case (1). We assume that $i>b+1$. In that case $x$ commutes with any element of $W_{J}$, so that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is an $y x$-distinguished subexpression of $w$. Then

- if $x$ is trivial (that is if $i=n+1$ ), then any $y$-distinguished subexpression of $w$ contains necessarily $s_{n}$ and hence cannot produce any element of $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}=$ $W_{I}$;
- if $x$ is non-trivial then $i \leq n$, and a subexpression of $w$ lies in $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$ if and only if it does not contain $s_{i}$ or $s_{i-1}$. However, such a subexpression will never be $y x$-distinguished since for all $v$ in $W_{I}$ we have $v x s_{i-1}>v x$.
We deduce that the variety $\mathrm{X}_{x}$ is empty in this case.
Case (2). We assume that $2 \leq i \leq a$. The subexpression $\tilde{\gamma}$ is ${ }^{x} y x$-distinguished. Since $i \leq a$, we have ${ }^{x} W_{J}=W_{a, \ldots, b-1}$. For $j<i-1$, we have $x s_{j}=s_{j} x$; moreover, $x s_{i-1}$ is $I$-reduced, so that $\tilde{\gamma}$ should start with ( $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{i-1}$ ). In that case, the product of the elements of $\tilde{\gamma}$ cannot belong to $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$. Indeed, a subexpression of $s_{i-1} s_{i} \cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a}$ starting with $s_{i-1}$ will never give an element of $\left(W_{I}\right)^{x}$, the only non-trivial situation being the case $a=i$ :
- with the notation in [10, Section 2.1.2] we have $s_{a-1} \underline{s_{a+1} \cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a}}=\underline{s_{a+1}}$ $\cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a+1} s_{a-1} \underline{s_{a}}$ and neither $s_{a-1}$ nor $s_{a-1} s_{a}$ belongs to ( $\left.W_{I}\right)^{x}$;
- $s_{a-1} s_{a} \underline{s_{a+1} \cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a}}=\left(s_{a-1} s_{a} s_{a-1}\right) s_{a-1} \underline{s_{a+1} \cdots s_{b} s_{n} \cdots s_{a}}$ and we are back to the previous case.
This forces the variety $\mathrm{X}_{x}$ to be empty.

Proof of the Theorem. From the previous lemma we deduce that $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(J_{d}, v_{d} F\right)$ decomposes as a disjoint union $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(J_{d}, v_{d} F\right)=\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}} \cup \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{1}}$ with $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}}$ being open. Using [11] we shall now determine the cohomology of the quotient of each of these varieties by $U_{I}$. Throughout the proof, we will denote $\widetilde{I}=\left\{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \subset S$ the conjugate of $I$ by $w_{0}$.

When $x=x_{0}=w_{I} w_{0}$ and $d>2$ we are in the situation of [11, Proposition 3.4]. Indeed, $v_{d}=s_{1} w^{\prime}$ with $w^{\prime} \in W_{2, \ldots, n}$ and $s_{1}$ commutes with $W_{J_{d}} \subset W_{3, \ldots, n-1}$ so that we obtain

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}} / N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left(K_{x_{0}}, \dot{v} F\right) / \dot{x}_{0} N^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

with $v={ }^{x_{0}} w^{\prime}$ and $K_{x_{0}}=I \cap{ }^{x_{0}} \Phi_{J_{d}}={ }^{x_{0}} J_{d}$. For simplicity, we shall rather consider the conjugate by $x_{0}$ of the right-hand side

Recall that $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are normal subgroup of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}$ and are both contained in T. In particular, any unipotent character of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{w F}$ (resp. of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{w^{\prime} F}$ ) is trivial on $N$ (resp. $N^{\prime}$ ). Consequently, for any unipotent character $\chi$ of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{w F}$ we obtain the following quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $L_{I} \times\langle F\rangle$-modules:

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi} \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left({ }^{x_{0}} J_{d}, \dot{v} F\right), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\dot{x}_{0} \chi}
$$

Finally, we observe that the varieties $\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left({ }^{x_{0}} J_{d}, \dot{v} F\right)$ and $\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d-1}$ have the same cohomology with coefficients in any unipotent local system. Indeed, if we denote $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right)$ by ( $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ ) if $d$ is odd or by $\left(t_{n-1}, \ldots, t_{1}\right)$ if $d$ is even, then we have

$$
v=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n-1-\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor} t_{n-1} \dot{t}_{n-2} \cdots t_{\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor}
$$

which corresponds to the element $v_{d-1}$ in the Weyl group $W_{I}=\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\rangle$ of type $A_{n-1}$.

When $x=x_{0}$ and $d=2$, we can write $v_{2}=w w^{\prime}$ with $w=s_{n} s_{n-1} \cdots s_{2}$ and $w^{\prime}=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{n}=s_{1} w^{\prime \prime}$ so that $\mathbf{X}_{n, 2} \simeq \mathrm{X}\left(\left\{\mathbf{s}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_{n-1}\right\}, \mathbf{w w}^{\prime} F\right)$. Moreover, via this isomorphism we have

$$
\mathrm{X}_{x_{0}} \simeq \bigcup_{y \in W} \mathrm{X}_{\left(x_{0}, y\right)} .
$$

We claim that $\mathrm{X}_{\left(x_{0}, y\right)}$ is empty unless $y \in W_{I} w_{0} W_{J_{2}^{\prime}}$ where $J_{2}^{\prime}=J_{2}^{w}=\left\{s_{3}, s_{4}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$. The piece $\mathrm{X}_{\left(x_{0}, y\right)}$ consists of pairs $\left(p x_{0} \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}}, p^{\prime} y \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right.$ ) with $p, p^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{I}$ such that $p^{-1} p^{\prime} \in$ $x_{0} \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}} w \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}^{\prime}} y^{-1}$ and $p^{\prime-1 F} p \in y \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}^{\prime}} w^{\prime} \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}} x_{0}^{-1}$. In particular, if $\mathrm{X}_{\left(x_{0}, y\right)}$ is non-empty then the double coset $\mathbf{P}_{I} y \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}^{\prime}}$ has a non-trivial intersection with $x_{0} \mathbf{B} w$. But $x_{0}=w_{I} w_{0}$ is reduced $-\widetilde{I}$ so that $\ell\left(x_{0} w\right)=\ell\left(x_{0}\right)+\ell(w)$ and $x_{0} \mathbf{B} w \subset \mathbf{P}_{I} w_{0} \mathbf{P}_{J_{2}^{\prime}}$. This forces $y$ to lie in $W_{I} w_{0} W_{J_{2}^{\prime}}$. Note that $w_{0}\left(J_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset I$ so that the minimal element in this coset is $x_{0}$ and we have $\mathrm{X}_{x_{0}} \simeq \mathrm{X}_{\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)}$.

Now $s_{1}$ commutes with $J_{2}^{\prime}$ and we can apply [11, Proposition 3.4] to obtain, after conjugation by $x_{0}$ :

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}} / N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{x_{0}}, \mathbf{v v}^{\prime} F\right) / \dot{x}_{0} N^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

with $K_{x_{0}}={ }^{x_{0}} J_{2}, v={ }^{x_{0}} w$ and $v^{\prime}={ }^{x_{0}} w^{\prime \prime}$. If we denote $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right)$ by $\left(t_{n-1}, \ldots, t_{1}\right)$ we obtain ${ }^{x_{0}} J_{2}=\left\{t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{v v}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{t}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_{n-1} \mathbf{t}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_{1}$ so that the pair $\left(\mathbf{K}_{x_{0}}, \mathbf{v v}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponds to ( $\mathbf{J}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{1}$ ) in the Weyl group $W_{I}$ of type $A_{n-1}$. As before, $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ do not play any role if we consider the unipotent part of the previous quasiisomorphism.

When $x=x_{1}$ we use [11, Proposition 3.2]: the conjugate of $v_{d}$ by $x_{1}$ is

$$
v=x_{1} v_{d} x_{1}^{-1}=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{n-1-\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{\left\lfloor\frac{d+1}{2}\right\rfloor}
$$

which corresponds exactly to the element $v_{d}$ in $W_{I}$. We can therefore identify the cohomology of the varieties $\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left(K_{x_{1}}, v F\right)$ and $\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d}$ with coefficients in any unipotent local system (see Remark 2.2). The group $\mathbf{P}_{I} \cap{ }^{x_{1}} \mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}$ is a $\dot{v} F$-stable parabolic subgroup of ${ }^{x_{1}} \mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{K_{x_{1}}}=\mathbf{L}_{I} \cap{ }^{x_{1}} \mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}$ is a stable Levi complement. Therefore it makes sense to consider the Harish-Chandra restriction ${ }^{*} \mathrm{R}_{K}^{J} \chi$ of any unipotent character $\chi$ of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{\dot{\nu}_{d} F} \simeq\left({ }^{x_{1}} \mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}\right)^{\dot{i} F}$ to $\mathbf{L}_{K_{x_{1}}}^{\dot{\nu} F}$. From [11, Proposition 3.2] (see also [11, Remark 3.12]) we deduce the following quasi-isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{R}_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{1}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi}[2](-1) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}\left(K_{x_{1}}, \dot{v} F\right), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{* \mathrm{R}_{K}^{J} \chi}
$$

Let $\mu$ be a partition of $n-d+1$. The cohomology of the variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ with coefficients in the local system $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathbf{J}_{d}, \mathbf{v}_{d} F\right), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi_{\mu}}
$$

where $\chi_{\mu}$ is the unipotent character of $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{\dot{v}_{d}} F$ corresponding to the partition $\mu$. Since ( $\mathbf{L}_{K_{x_{1}}}, \dot{v} F$ ) is a split group of type $A_{n-d-1}$, the Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\mu}$ from $\mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}^{\dot{\omega} F} \simeq\left({ }^{x_{1}} \mathbf{L}_{J_{d}}\right)^{i j F}$ to $\mathbf{L}_{K_{x_{1}}}^{\dot{\nu} F}$ is the sum of the $\chi_{\mu_{i}}$ 's where the $\mu_{i}$ 's are the partitions of $n-d$ obtained by restricting $\mu$. With with description, we get the following isomorphisms in $D^{b}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} L_{I} \times\langle F\rangle\right.$-mod)

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi_{\mu}} \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n-1, d-1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(U_{I} \backslash \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{1}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\chi_{\mu}} \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{i}}\right)[-2](1)
$$

We conclude using the distinguished triangle associated to the decomposition $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{n, d}=\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}} \cup \widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{1}}$ in which $\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}_{x_{0}}$ is open.

## 3 Cohomology over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$

We have just seen how to relate the Harish-Chandra restriction of the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ to the cohomology of smaller parabolic Deligne-Lusztig varieties. We shall now explain how this strategy provides an inductive method for a thorough determination of the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ with coefficients in any unipotent local system. The main result in this section gives an inductive strategy towards a proof of Conjecture 1:

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and $2 \leq d \leq n$. If Conjecture 1.4 holds for $(n, d+1)$, ( $n-1, d-1$ ) and ( $n-1, d$ ) then it holds for ( $n, d$ ).

Note that we already know from [12] that Conjecture 1.4 holds in the Coxeter case, corresponding to $(n, n+1)$. Therefore $d=1$ is the only limit case. But $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\mathbf{w}_{0}^{2}$ is a maximal 1-periodic element in the sense of [9] and in that specific case, a general conjecture for the cohomology has been already formulated in [4]: a unipotent character $\chi_{\lambda}$ can appear in $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{i}(\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi}))$ for $i=4 v_{\mathbf{G}}-2 A_{\lambda}$ only, where $v_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the number of positive roots. An important consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that knowing the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ is sufficient for determining all the other interesting cases:
Corollary 3.2. For groups of type $A$, Conjecture 1 holds for any $d \geq 1$ as soon as it holds for $d=1$.

Proof. Assume that Conjecture 1 holds for $d=1$, that is for the variety $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$. Let $I=J_{1}=\left\{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{v}_{1}=\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{n} \mathbf{s}_{n} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{1}$. By [9, Proposition 8.26] we have

$$
\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{b} F), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \mathbf{L}_{I}^{t(\mathbf{b})}} \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}\right), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

Since the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}\right)$ contains all the unipotent characters of $\mathbf{L}_{I}^{t(\mathbf{b}) F}$, we deduce that for any partition $\mu$ of $n$, the groups $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, 1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ are submodules of the cohomology groups of $X(\boldsymbol{\pi})$. Consequently, they are disjoint as soon as Conjecture 1 holds for $\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$. Since we have assumed that it holds also for $\mathrm{X}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{I}\right)$ we have actually

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{c}^{i}\left(\mathrm{X}(\boldsymbol{\pi}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu-n} \mathrm{H}_{c}^{i-4 v_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}+2 A_{\mu}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, 1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)\left(2 v_{\mathbf{L}_{I}}-a_{\mu}-A_{\mu}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a $G \times\langle F\rangle$-module. Now, the alternating sum of the cohomology groups of $\mathrm{X}_{n, 1}$ represents the Deligne-Lusztig induction from $\mathbf{L}_{I}^{t(\mathbf{b}) F} \simeq L_{I}$ to $G$. Therefore a character $\chi_{\lambda}$ appear in $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, 1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ if and only if $\mu$ is the restriction of $\lambda$, or equivalently, if $\lambda$ is obtained from $\mu$ by adding a 1 -hook. This, together with 3.3 and Lemma 1.3 proves that Conjecture 1.4 holds for $X_{n, 1}$, and therefore for any variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ by 3.1. We use 1.1 to conclude.

Proof of the Theorem. Let $X$ be a $\beta$-set associated the partition $\mu$ of $n-d+1$. We can always assume that it contains $\{0,1, \ldots, d-1\}$. The partitions $\mu^{(j)}$,s of $n-d$ which are obtained by restricting $\mu$ can be associated to the following $\beta$-set:

$$
X^{(j)}=\left\{x_{1}^{(j)}<\cdots<x_{s}^{(j)}\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad x_{i}^{(j)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{j}-1 \text { if } i=j ; \\
x_{i} \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $I=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right\}$. By Theorem 2.1, the Harish-Chandra restriction of the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ can be fitted into the following distinguished triangle:
$\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n-1, d-1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}\right)[-2](1) \rightsquigarrow$
Now, if we assume that Conjecture 1.4 holds for both ( $n-1, d-1$ ) and ( $n-1, d$ ), the complexes on the left and right-hand side are completely determined. Let us examine the different eigenvalues of $F$ that can appear:
(a) on $\mathscr{C}=\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n-1, d-1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$, the eigenvalues of $F$ are $q^{n+x-s}$ and $q^{n+1+x-s}$ with $x \in X$ such that $x+d-1 \notin X$. The character of the corresponding eigenspace is $\chi_{\lambda}$ where $\lambda$ is the partition of $n$ obtained by adding to $\mu$ a $(d-1)$-hook represented by $x$;
(b) on $\mathscr{D}^{(j)}=\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n-1, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}\right)[-2](1)$, the eigenvalues of $F$ are $q^{n+1+x-s}$ where $x \in X^{(j)}$ is such that $x+d \notin X^{(j)}$. The character of the corresponding eigenspace is $\chi_{\lambda}$ where $\lambda$ is the partition of $n$ obtained by adding to $\mu^{(j)}$ a $d$-hook represented by $x$.
We shall now determine $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ by studying each eigenspace of $F$ separately. For $x$ a positive integer, we can separate the following cases:

Case (1). Assume first that $x \in X$ and $x+d \notin X$. Let $\lambda=\mu * x$ be the partition of $n+1$ obtained by adding to $\mu$ a $d$-hook from $x$. We want to prove that the $q^{n+1-s+x}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is non-zero in degree $\pi_{d}(X, x)$ only and that its character is the Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\lambda}$.

By (a), the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathscr{C}$ will produce non-zero representations in the following two cases:

- if $x+d-1 \notin X$, then one obtains a character associated to the $\beta$-set ( $X \backslash$ $\{x\}) \cup\{x+d-1\}$ and it is concentrated in degree

$$
\begin{aligned}
2+\pi_{d-1}(X, x) & =2+2(n-1+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d-1\} \\
& =2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d-1\} \\
& =\pi_{d}(X, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- if $x+1 \in X$, then the corresponding $\beta$-set is $(X \backslash\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ and the associated character appears in degree $1+\pi_{d-1}(X, x+1)$ only. But we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{d-1}(X, x+1) & =2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x+1\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x+1<y<x+d\} \\
& =2(n+x-1-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-(\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\}-1) \\
& =\pi_{d}(X, x)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathscr{D}^{(j)}$ is non-zero if and only if $x \in X^{(j)}$ and $x+d \notin X^{(j)}$. This happens if and only if $x$ and $x+d+1$ are different from $x_{j}$. In that case, the $\beta$-set corresponding to the character of the eigenspace will be $\left(X^{(j)} \backslash\{x\}\right) \cup\{x+d\}$. Furthermore, the degree in which this character will appear is $2+\pi_{d}\left(X^{(j)}, x\right)$, which is clearly equal to $\pi_{d}(X, x)$ in that case.

Now, the $\beta$-set $Y=(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ is associated to the partition $\lambda=\mu * x$. As mentioned in the beginning of Section 2 , the restriction of $\lambda$ is obtained by restricting the hook (usually in two different ways) or by restricting $\mu$. In the framework of $\beta$-sets, it corresponds to decreasing specific elements of $Y$ :

- if $x+d-1 \notin X$, one can replace $x+d$ by $x+d-1$ in $Y$ and we obtain the $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup\{x+d-1\}$;
- if $x+1 \in X$, one can replace $x+1$ by $x$ in $Y$ and we obtain the $\beta$-set ( $X \backslash$ $\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\} ;$
- if $x_{j} \in X$ is different from $x$ or from $x+d+1$, and if $x_{j}-1 \notin X$, then one can replace $x_{j}$ by $x_{j}-1$ in $Y$ to obtain the $\beta$-set $\left(X^{(j)} \backslash\{x\}\right) \cup\{x+d\}$.
This shows that the character of the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is the Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\mu * x}$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\lambda$ be a partition of $n+1$, with $n \geq 3$ and let $\chi$ be a (non-necessarily irreducible) unipotent character of $G$. Then the Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi$ and $\chi_{\lambda}$ are equal if and only if $\chi=\chi_{\lambda}$.

Proof of the Lemma. Assume that there exists a partition $v=\left\{v_{1} \leq v_{2} \leq \cdots \leq\right.$ $\left.v_{r}\right\}$ of $n+1$ with $v_{1} \neq 0$ such that the difference between the Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\lambda}$ and $\chi_{v}$ is still a unipotent character. This means that in the Young diagram of $v$, any box that can be removed can be replaced to form the Young diagram of $\lambda$. If $v \neq \lambda$, this is possible only if $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{r}$.

Let $\chi$ be a unipotent character of $G$ which has the same Harish-Chandra restriction as $\chi_{\lambda}$. If $\chi \neq \chi_{\lambda}$, we deduce from the previous argument that all the irreducible constituents of $\chi$ are of the form $\chi_{v}$ with $v=(a, a, \ldots, a)$. This can happen if and only if $n=2$ and $\lambda=(1,2)$.

When $n \geq 3$, we deduce that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ is actually $\chi_{\mu * x}$. If $n=2$, then the only ambiguity concerns $\chi_{\mu * x}$ when $\mu * x=(1,2)$. In that case, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace can be either $\chi_{\mu * x}$ or $1_{G}+\operatorname{St}_{G}$. But by [9, Corollary 8.28], the trivial character and the Steinberg character cannot occur in the same cohomology group of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ as soon as the dimension of this variety is non-zero.

Case (2). Assume now that $x \notin X$. The $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathscr{D}^{(j)}$ is nonzero if and only if $x \in X^{(j)}$ and $x+d \notin X^{(j)}$. Since $x \notin X$, this forces $x=x_{j}^{(j)}=x_{j}-1$ and $x_{j}+d-1 \notin X$. In that case, its character corresponds to a partition with $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ and it appears in degree $2+\pi_{d}\left(X^{(j)}, x\right)$ only. On the other hand, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathscr{C}$ is non-zero if and only if $x+1 \in X$ and $x+1+d-1=x+d \notin X$. By (a), the character of this eigenspace corresponds to a partition with $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\}$. Furthermore, it appears in degree $1+\pi_{d-1}(X, x+1)$ only. Note that in that case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{d-1}(X, x+1) & =2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x+1\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x+1<y<x+d\} \\
& =2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-(\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\}-1) \\
& =\pi_{d}(X, x)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } \quad \begin{aligned}
\pi_{d}\left(X^{(j)}, x\right) & =2\left(n-1+x-\#\left\{y \in X^{(j)} \mid y<x\right\}\right)-\#\left\{y \in X^{(j)} \mid x<y<x+d\right\} \\
& =2(n-1+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-(\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\}-1) \\
& =\pi_{d}(X, x)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is isotypic and concentrated in two consecutive degrees. However, there are only a few unipotent characters that can have an isotypic Harish-Chandra restriction: they correspond to partitions of the form ( $a, a, \ldots, a$ ). Among them we can find the Steinberg character $\mathrm{St}_{G}($ with $a=1)$ and the trivial character $1_{G}($ with $a=n+1)$. But by [9, Corollary 8.28] they have respective eigenvalues 1 and $q^{2 n+1-d}$. Let us write the $\beta$-set of $\mu$ as $X=\left\{0,1, \ldots, k-1, \mu_{1}+k, \mu_{2}+k+1, \ldots, \mu_{r}+s-1\right\}$ with $k \geq d$. Since $x \notin X$, one must have $k-1<x<\mu_{r}+s-1$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d-1 \leq n+k-s<n+1+x-s<n+1+\mu_{r}-1 \leq 2 n+1-d . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ is either zero, or consists of two copies of the character $\chi_{\lambda}$ in two consecutive degrees, where $\lambda=$ ( $a, a, \ldots, a$ ) with $1<a<n+1$. We shall actually prove that it is always zero, but before that we need to study the last case.

Remark 3.6. The Harish-Chandra restriction of $\chi_{\lambda}$ corresponds to the partition $(a-1, a, \ldots, a)$. Therefore if the associated character appears in the cohomology of $\mathscr{C}$ then the $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ must correspond to the partition ( $a-$ $1, a, \ldots, a)$. This gives a rather strong condition on $X$ : we will have either

$$
X=\{0,1, \ldots, k-1, x+1, b, b+2, b+3, \ldots, \widehat{x+d}, \ldots, b+r\}
$$

with $b+2 \leq x+d \leq b+r$, or

$$
X=\{0,1, \ldots, k-1, x+1, x+d+2, x+d+3, \ldots, x+d+r\} .
$$

Case (3). Finally, assume that $x \in X$ and $x+d \in X$. The $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathscr{D}^{(j)}$ is non-zero if and only if $x \in X^{(j)}$ and $x+d \notin X^{(j)}$. Since $x+d \in X$, this forces $x+d=x_{j}$ (and therefore $x_{j}-1 \notin X$ ). In that case, the character of the eigenspace corresponds to a partition with $\beta$-set $\left(X^{(j)} \backslash\{x\}\right) \cup\{x+d\}=(X \backslash$ $\{x\}) \cup\{x+d-1\}$. On $\mathscr{C}$, the Frobenius has a non-zero $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace if and only if $x+d-1 \notin X$ and its character is again associated to the $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup$ $\{x+d-1\}$. This ensures that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is isotypic. Using $x+d-1$ instead of $x$ in the inequalities 3.5 yields $0<n+1+x-s<$ $2 n+2-2 d$ and therefore the previous argument applies. We deduce that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ is again either zero or consists of two copies of the character $\chi_{\lambda}$ in two consecutive degrees, namely $\pi_{d}(X, x)-1$ and $\pi_{d}(X, x)$, where $\lambda=(a, a, \ldots, a)$ and $1<a<n+1$.

To conclude, we need to prove that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspaces of $F$ are actually zero whenever $x \notin X$ or $x+d \in X$. Let us first summarize what we have proven so far:
(1) if $x \in X$ and $x+d \notin X$ then the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ is $\chi_{\mu * x}$ and it appears in degree $\pi_{d}(X, x)$ only;
(2) if $x \notin X$, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ is zero unless $x+1 \in X$ and $x+d \notin X$. In that case, it may consist of two copies of $\chi_{\lambda}$, one in degree $\pi_{d}(X, x)+1$ and one in degree $\pi_{d}(X, x)+2$, where $\lambda=(a, a, \ldots, a)$ with $1<a<n+1$.

Moreover, the $\beta$-set $(X \backslash\{x+1\}) \cup\{x+d\}$ must correspond to the partition ( $a-1, a, \ldots, a$ ) (see Remark 3.6);
(3) if $x \in X$ and $x+d \in X$, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ is zero unless $x+d-$ $1 \notin X$. In that case, it can only be $\chi_{\lambda}$-isotypic with $\lambda=(a, a, \ldots, a)$ and $1<a<n+1$. Moreover, it is non-zero in degrees $\pi_{d}(X, x)-1$ and $\pi_{d}(X, x)$ only, and $(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup\{x+d-1\}$ must be a $\beta$-set of the partition $(a-1, a, \ldots, a)$.
Now, if we assume that Conjecture 1.4 holds for the variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}$, then we can use the distinguished triangle
$\mathrm{R} \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n+1, d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu(j)}\right)[-2](1) \rightsquigarrow$ from Theorem 2.1 to prove that the eigenspaces of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ in cases (2) and (3) are indeed zero.

Assume that $x \notin X$ and that there exists $1<a<n+1$ such that the character $\chi_{\lambda}=\chi_{(a, a, \ldots, a)}$ appears twice in the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on the cohomology of $\mathrm{X}_{n, d}$ - that is in degrees $\pi_{d}(X, x)+1$ and $\pi_{d}(X, x)+2$. Then,

- if $x-1 \notin X$, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ is $\chi_{\lambda}$ isotypic by (2) (we have $x-1+1 \notin X$ ). Moreover, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}\right)[-2](1)$ is zero since Conjecture 1.4 holds for the variety $\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}$. We deduce that the eigenspace on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n+1, d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is $\chi_{\lambda}-$ isotypic, which is impossible since no unipotent character can have $\chi_{\lambda}$ as a Harish-Chandra restriction when $1<a<n+1$.
- if $x-1 \in X$, then since $x-1+d+1 \notin X$, the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathrm{X}_{n, d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\bullet}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}\right)[-2](1)$ can be determined as in case (1). It corresponds to the Harish-Chandra restriction of the partition $\mu *(x-1)$ obtained from $\mu$ by adding a ( $d+1$ )-hook from $x-1$. Furthermore, they will appear in degree $\pi_{d+1}(X, x-1)$ only, which is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{d+1}(X, x-1) & =2(n+x-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x-1\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x-1<y<x+d\} \\
& =2(n+x+1-\#\{y \in X \mid y<x\})-\#\{y \in X \mid x<y<x+d\} \\
& =\pi_{d}(X, x)+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

To these characters we have to add the contribution of $\chi_{\lambda}$ and possibly of an other character $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ corresponding to $\lambda=\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \ldots, a^{\prime}\right)$ (when the case (3) applies to $x-1$ ). Now, we claim that neither $\chi_{\lambda}$ nor $\chi_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ can appear in the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n, d+1}, \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_{\mu^{(j)}}\right)[-2](1)$. Indeed the assumptions on $x$ force $X$ (see Remark 3.6) to be either

$$
X=\{0,1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, b, b+2, b+3, \ldots, \widehat{k+d}, \ldots, b+r\}
$$

with $b+2 \leq k+d \leq b+r$, or

$$
X=\{0,1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, k+d+2, k+d+3, \ldots, k+d+r\} .
$$

Therefore a $\beta$-set corresponding to the partition $\mu *(x-1)$ of $n+2$ is either

$$
\{0,1, \ldots, k-2, k+1, b, b+2, b+3, \ldots, b+r\}
$$

with $b+2 \leq k+d \leq b+r$, or

$$
\{0,1, \ldots, k-2, k+1, k+d, k+d+2, k+d+3, \ldots, k+d+r\} .
$$

We deduce that the restriction of $\mu *(x-1)$ will never produce $\lambda$ or $\lambda^{\prime}$ unless $r=2, b=k+2$ and $d=4$ in the first case, or $r=2$ and $d=2$ in the second case. In these very specific cases, we have either $X=\{0, \ldots, k-1, k+1, k+2\}$, which corresponds to the partition $\mu=(1,1)$ or $X=\{0, \ldots, k-1, k+1, k+4\}$, which corresponds to $\mu=(1,3)$. In this situation, we get $\lambda=(3,3)$ and $\mu *(x-1)=(2,2,3)$. But (3,3) cannot be obtain by restricting $\mu *(x-1)$. This proves that the $q^{n+1+x-s}$-eigenspace of $F$ on $\mathrm{H}_{c}^{\pi_{d}(X, x)+2}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n+1, d+1}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}\right)^{U_{I}}$ is just $\chi_{\lambda}$ (plus possibly $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ ), which is impossible by the properties of the Harish-Chandra restriction.
The same argument can be adapted to deal with the case (3), if we rather look at the $q^{n+2+x-s}$-eigenspace and distinguish whether $x+1+d$ is an element of $X$ or not. The details are left to the reader.
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