

Screening methods for detection of antibiotic residues in slaughter animals: comparison of the EU-four plate method, the Nouws Antibiotic Test and the Premi®Test (applied to muscle and kidney)

Mariel G Pikkemaat, Michel L B A Rapallini, Tina Zuidema, J.W. Alexander Elferink, Sabrina Oostra-van Dijk, Wilma D.M. Driessen-van Lankveld

▶ To cite this version:

Mariel G Pikkemaat, Michel L B A Rapallini, Tina Zuidema, J.W. Alexander Elferink, Sabrina Oostravan Dijk, et al.. Screening methods for detection of antibiotic residues in slaughter animals: comparison of the EU-four plate method, the Nouws Antibiotic Test and the Premi®Test (applied to muscle and kidney). Food Additives and Contaminants, 2010, 28 (1), pp.26. 10.1080/19440049.2010.535027. hal-00654144

HAL Id: hal-00654144 https://hal.science/hal-00654144

Submitted on 21 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Food Additives and Contaminants



Screening methods for detection of antibiotic residues in slaughter animals: comparison of the EU-four plate method, the Nouws Antibiotic Test and the Premi®Test (applied to muscle and kidney)

Journal:	Food Additives and Contaminants
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2010-299.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Oct-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Pikkemaat, Mariel; RIKILT-Institute of food safety Rapallini, Michel; Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority Zuidema, Tina; RIKILT-Institute of food safety Elferink, J.W.; RIKILT-Institute of food safety Oostra-van Dijk, Sabrina; RIKILT-Institute of food safety Driessen-van Lankveld, Wilma; RIKILT-Institute of food safety
Methods/Techniques:	Screening - microbial screening
Additives/Contaminants:	Veterinary drug residues - antibiotics
Food Types:	Animal products – meat

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2		
2 3 4	1	Screening methods for detection of antibiotic residues in slaughter
5 6	2	animals: comparison of the EU-four plate method, the Nouws Antibiotic
7 8	3	Test and the Premi [®] Test (applied to muscle and kidney)
9	4	
10	5	Mariël G. Pikkemaat ^{1*} , Michel L.B.A. Rapallini ² , Tina Zuidema ¹ , J.W. Alexander
12 13	6	Elferink ¹ , Sabrina Oostra-van Dijk ¹ , Wilma D.M. Driessen-van Lankveld ¹
14 15	7	
16 17	8	¹ RIKILT – Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen University and Research Centre,
18	9	P.O. Box 230, 6700 AE Wageningen, The Netherlands
19 20	10	
21 22	11	² Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority, Region East,
23 24	12	P.O. Box 144, 6700 AC Wageningen, The Netherlands
25	13	
20 27	14	*Corresponding author: Mariel.pikkemaat@wur.nl
28 29		
30 31		
32		
33 34		
35 36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 12		
43		
44		
45		
46		
48		
49		
50		
51		

15 Abstract

> Microbial growth inhibition tests are widely used as the primary screening approach for the detection of antibiotic residues in slaughter animals. In this study we evaluated and compared the performance of the EU-four plate method (EU4pt), the Nouws Antibiotic Test (NAT), and a commercial ampoule test, the Premi[®]Test (applied to both muscle and kidney), by parallel analysis of 735 slaughter animals. The EU4pt only showed significant inhibition with two muscle samples containing 305 μ g kg⁻¹ doxycycline and μ g kg⁻¹ tulathromycin, while an MRL violation of 1100 μ g kg⁻¹ sulfamethazine remained unnoticed. Premi[®]Test-muscle only detected the sulfamethazine containing sample, all other (1.1%) suspect samples appeared false-positive results. The same test applied to kidney yielded 4.1 % suspect samples, while the NAT-screening (based on analysis of renal pelvis fluid) showed 4.9 % suspect results. The vast majority of these samples contained tetracycline and/or aminoglycoside residues. Premi[®]Test-kidney appeared more sensitive to aminoglycosides than the NAT-screening, which failed to detect an MRL violation of 870 μ g kg⁻¹ gentamicin in kidney. Detection of <MRL levels of tetracycline residues by the NAT proved its suitability for this residue group. Whether Premi®Test is sufficiently sensitive for accurate tetracycline detection in kidney remained doubtful, although changing over to kidney definitely improved the suitability of Premi[®]Test for detection of residues in slaughter animals.

Keywords: antibiotic residues; microbial screening method; Premi[®]Test; Nouws

37 Antibiotic Test; EU-four plate test

38 Introduction

Worldwide, a significant percentage of all antibiotic drugs is administered to farm animals, either for curative, prophylactic or growth promotion purposes. In order to reduce the risk of harmful (levels of) residues entering the food chain, veterinary drugs are subjected to stringent assessment and legislation concerning safety evaluation, registration, determining maximum residue limits (MRLs) and withdrawal periods. To enforce these administrative measures, most developed countries have extensive monitoring and surveillance programs in place.

Within the European Union each member state is obliged to carry out a national residue monitoring plan. Directive 96/23/EC (European Commission 1996) establishes the frequencies and level of sampling and the groups of substances to be controlled for each food commodity. These extensive statutory screening programs require inexpensive high-throughput methods. Different from for example the US, in the EU there are no mandatory or reference methods. Each national authority is free to implement the method it considers most suitable, though methods should be validated according to specific guidelines and the method characteristics have to meet pre-defined criteria (European Commission 2002; Anonymous 2010).

Large scale screening of animal products for the presence of residues of antibiotics is commonly applied using microbial screening methods. These methods are based on bacterial growth inhibition of a sensitive test-bacterium, and essentially originate from procedures testing pharmaceutical preparations and body fluids (Grove and Randall 1955). In contrast to the field of the instrumental confirmatory methods, where major efforts are spend on method development, establishing EU Maximum Residue Limits has induced only minor efforts on method enhancement and implementation of microbial screening methods. It has been shown that the current state-of-the-rt is often not sufficient to support legislative requirements (Pikkemaat 2009; Pikkemaat et al. 2009a). Since these methods form the foundation of the residue monitoring system, this situation leads to inadequate consumer protection. In order to draw more attention to this problem, we

evaluated the performance of two commonly used screening methods and compared them
with the method routinely applied in the Netherlands, the Nouws Antibiotic Test (NAT)
(Pikkemaat et al. 2008; Pikkemaat et al. 2009b).

First of all the EU four-plate method (EU4pt) was assessed. This method was first published in 1980 (Bogaerts and Wolf 1980) and is based on three Bacillus subtilis based test plates and a fourth *Micrococcus luteus* plate (ATCC 9341, renamed to *Kocuria rhizophila* (Tang and Gillevet 2003). Within the EU this method served as a reference until it was decided to determine acceptable residue limits on a more scientifically based approach (the effectuation of Council Regulation (EEC) 2377/90 (European Commission 1990)) and MRLs were set at levels beyond the detection capability of this method. Although the EU4pt is generally recognized not to be sufficiently sensitive, it is still used in many laboratories (Berendsen et al. 2010; Gaudin et al. 2008).

As an example of an ampoule or tube test, Premi®Test (DSM) was included. This type of test is based on *Bacillus stearothermophilus*, a bacterium particularly sensitive to beta-lactam antibiotics. Recently the Premi®Test and a similar test, the Explorer (Zeu-Inmunotec), have gone through an extensive validation carried out by the EU Community reference laboratory (Gaudin et al. 2008, Gaudin et al. 2009). In particular with respect to tetracyclines, it has been shown that Premi[®]Test lacks sufficient sensitivity to detect these antibiotics at the MRL in muscle (Pikkemaat et al. 2009a; Okerman et al. 2004). According to the manufacturer DSM, Premi[®]Test is also suitable for other matrices, including kidney. Since for tetracyclines (and several other antibiotics) the MRL in kidney tissue is much higher (tetracyclines: $100 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ in muscle, vs. $600 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ in kidney), this organ could be a more suitable matrix for antibiotic screening. To investigate the potential of applying kidney instead of muscle, both matrices were tested in parallel.

97 The Nouws Antibiotic Test (NAT) comprises a 5-plate (residue group-specific) initial
98 screening based on the analysis of renal pelvis-fluid (Pikkemaat et al. 2008), and two
99 subsequent post-screening tests for further analysis of kidney and/or muscle of suspect

Food Additives and Contaminants

1	
2	
4	
5 6	
7	
8	
9 10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28 29	
30	
31 32	
33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38 20	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
40 47	
48	
49 50	
51	
52	
วง 54	
55	
56 57	
ว7 58	
59	

100 animals (Pikkemaat et al. 2009a; Pikkemaat et al. 2009b). The EU4pt, the NAT and the

Premi[®]Test (muscle and kidney), were performed in parallel on slaughter animals tested 101

102 within the framework of the national monitoring program.

103

104 **Materials and Methods**

105 Sample material

106 All animal samples analyzed in this study were taken as part of the national monitoring 107 program. After slaughter, one of the kidneys and a piece of lean muscle were transported 108 cool to the laboratory and analyzed the next day. During the months of June and October 109 2009, a total of 491 pigs, 156 calves, 75 cows, 9 sheep, two goats and two horses (a total 110 of 735 animals) were subjected to the three parallel tests.

112 **Methods**

111

130

60

113 Nouws Antibiotic Test

114 The Nouws Antibiotic Test is a test system involving an initial screening of renal pelvis 115 fluid (pre-urine) and post-screening of muscle and kidney. Initial and post-screening tests 116 each comprise a series of test plates, each optimized for the detection of one or two 117 antibiotic groups in a specific matrix. The initial screening comprises five plates: a Bacillus cereus ATCC 1178 plate specific for tetracyclines (T), a Kocuria rhizophila 118 119 ATCC 9341 plate specific for beta-lactam antibiotics and macrolides (B&M), a Yersinia 120 ruckeri NCIM 13282 plate specific for quinolones (Q), a Bacillus pumilus CN 607 plate 121 specific for sulfonamides & diaminopyrimidines (S) and a *Bacillus subtilis* BGA plate 122 specific for aminoglycosides (A). The exact composition of the individual test plates and 123 the procedure were described in detail in (Pikkemaat et al. 2008). In brief, an incision is 124 made in the kidney and renal pelvis is collected by absorption to paper disks, which are 125 placed on the interface of the medulla and the cortex. Each kidney is sampled with five 126 paper disks, one for each test-plate. The paper disks are applied to punch holes in the test 127 plate and supplemented with a plate specific buffer. After overnight incubation the 128 emergence of a growth inhibition zone indicates the presence of antimicrobial residues in 129 the animal.

Suspect samples, showing an inhibition zone on one or more test plates, are additionally analyzed by post-screening of kidney and/or muscle, limited to the residue group for which the initial screening tested positive. Samples for post-screening are prepared by homogenizing kidney or muscle and isolating tissue fluid from the homogenate by centrifugation after a brief heating step. The post-screening is based on a multi-plate principle similar to the initial screening and described in detail in Pikkemaat et al. (2009b) for kidney and in Pikkemaat et al. (2009a) for muscle.

139 EU four-plate test

The EU4pt was performed essentially similar to Bogaerts and Wolf (1980). Test agar pH 6 (Merck), Antibiotic medium II (Difco) (adjusted to pH 7.2, supplemented with trimethoprim to a final concentration of 50 μ g l⁻¹), and Test agar pH 8 (Merck), were inoculated with approximately 10⁴ CFU/ml *B. subtilis* BGA spores (Merck) and Test agar pH 8 (Merck) was inoculated with 10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹ K. rhizophila ATCC 9341. A volume of 105 ml of the inoculated growth media was poured in 245 x 245 mm square petri dishes, resulting in a layer of 2 mm thickness. Samples were prepared by freezing a thin slice of muscle briefly at -80°C and subsequently take out disks, using a cork borer with a diameter of 4 mm. Meat disks were placed on each of the four test plates, with a maximum of 24 samples per plate. As a quality control on each plate a paper disk impregnated with either 10 IE penicillin, 5 μ g sulfamethazine, 0.5 μ g dihydrostreptomycin or 0.5 µg tylosin was added (by adding 100 µl of a fresh 10x stock). Test plates were incubated for 14-16 hr at 30°C (B. subtilis) or 37°C (K. rhizophila).

154 Premi[®]Test

The Premi[®]Test was essentially performed according to the manufacturers instructions. The test is based on the analysis of 100 μ l of liquid sample extracted from the tissue. For the preparation of kidney juice, a 10 ml centrifuge tube was filled with roughly cut pieces of kidney taken from the cortex-medulla interface. This sample was heated for 10 min. at 80°C, then cooled down and centrifuged for 10 min at 27000 x g. Sample preparation for muscle was performed in a similar way. Samples of 100 μ l of supernatant were applied on the test vials and removed after 20-30 minutes of pre-incubation at room temperature.

Food Additives and Contaminants

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 24	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	
21	
27	
3Z	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
<u>4</u> 1	
<u>/</u> 2	
-⊤∠ //?	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
50	
3/ 50	
58	
59	

60

162 The vials were sealed and transferred to a 64°C water bath and incubated until the 163 majority of the samples had turned yellow, which was usually after approximately 3 hrs 164 with muscle fluid and 4 hrs when kidney was analyzed. Samples showing a positive 165 result, which was defined as absence of any color change, were retested the next day, 166 including a penicillinase test for identification of beta lactam antibiotics. Only samples 167 also positive in this second test were forwarded for chemical confirmation.

169 Chemical confirmation

168

170 Samples showing a positive (suspect) result in one of the tests, but for which the group 171 identity could not be determined from the microbial screening result, were first analyzed 172 using a multi-compound screening method based on high-resolution liquid 173 chromatography combined with time-of-flight mass spectrometry (HRLC-ToF-MS) 174 (Peters et al. 2009). In short, samples were extracted intensive for 30 min using a mixture of acetonitrile and water (6/4; v/v), after which the samples were centrifuged (15 min; 175 176 3600 g; 10°C). An aliquot of the supernatant was diluted 20 times using water and 177 applied to a StrataX SPE column (60 mg). After elution using a methanol/acetonitrile 178 mixture (1/1; v/v), the eluate was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen at 40°C till near 179 dryness and the residue was re-dissolved in acetonitrile. After addition of 0.1% formic 180 acid the extract was analyzed by HRLC-ToF-MS in the full scan mode. Chromatographic 181 separation was achieved using a Waters Acquity UPLC system equipped with a reversed phase Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C_{18} analytical column (100 x 2.1 mm; 1.7µm) using a 182 183 0.1% formic acid/acetonitrile gradient. The mass spectrometer was operated in the 184 electrospray positive mode using a mass range of 100 – 1000 Da. 185

Since aminoglycosides were not included in the scope of the multi-residue method, kidney samples were additionally tested for this antibiotic group using a quantitative analysis method using LC-MS/MS (van Holthoon et al. 2009). Quantitative analysis for other residue groups was performed with previously described LC-MS/MS methods (van Rhijn et al. 2002; Berendsen and van Rhijn 2006; Pikkemaat et al. 2009a). In short, the methods for aminoglycosides, macrolides, sulfonamides or tetracyclines methods were all based on liquid-liquid extraction followed by solid-phase extraction or an ultrafiltrationstep.

Results and discussion

197 General

The Nouws Antibiotic Test (NAT) is the routine antibiotic screening method applied to slaughter animals in the Netherlands. During the months of June and October 2009 all animals which were analyzed within the framework of the national monitoring program, were tested additionally with the EU4pt and the Premi[®]Test, the latter applied both on muscle and kidney. In total 735 animals (pigs, bovines, sheep, goats and horses) were screened. It was anticipated that the number of treated animals would be higher during October, because deteriorating weather conditions potentially would cause increased health problems, but this was not reflected in the numbers of suspect animals. The percentage was even slightly higher in June: out of 405 animals 5.2 % and 4.2% yielded a suspect result with the NAT and Premi[®]Test-kidney respectively, while in October 4.5% resp. 3.9% suspect results were found among the remaining 330 animals.

Out of the 735 animals, only four showed an MRL violation, all of which were pigs. Two pigs showed muscle concentrations of respectively 305 μ g kg⁻¹ doxycycline and 1100 μ g kg⁻¹ sulfamethazine. The other MRL violations were found in porcine kidneys, containing $870 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ gentamicin and $5207 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ neomycin. Also an animal containing a significant level (648 μ g kg⁻¹) of tulathromycin in muscle was identified, but for this compound no MRL has been established in muscle, so statutorily it could not be considered an MRL violation. In some countries however a zero-tolerance policy is applied, though this remains disputable because it concerns a registered drug with a set acceptable daily intake (ADI). An overview of the results of the individual tests on these samples is shown in Table 1.

Compared to a previous study comprising 591 animals, in which we compared theperformance of the NAT, the Screening Test for Antibiotic Residues (STAR) and

Page 9 of 25

Food Additives and Contaminants

1 2		
2 3 4	223	Premi [®] Test applied to muscle, the percentage of MRL violations is somewhat lower in
5	224	the current survey (0.54 vs. 0.67%), while in the previous study we did not yet have the
6 7	225	possibility for confirmation of the aminoglycosides, which may even have led to an
8 9	226	underestimation of the number of MRL violations.
10 11	227	
12	228	[Insert Table 1 about here]
13 14	229	
15 16	230	The EU-four plate test
17 18	231	
19	232	Evaluating the results of the individual tests, it can be concluded that the EU4pt yielded a
20 21	233	very low number of suspect samples. This test is based on the analysis of muscle discs,
22 23	234	and subsequently is not suited for detecting MRL violations in kidney. Significant
24 25	235	inhibition zones were observed with only two samples. The presence of 305 μ g kg ⁻¹
26 27	236	doxycycline became apparent from all three B. subtilis plates, with the largest inhibition
28	237	zone (23 mm) on the pH 6 plate. The tulathromycin sample (648 μ g kg ⁻¹) caused an
29 30	238	inhibition zone of 23 mm on the K. rhizophila plate. The sulfamethazine containing
31 32	239	sample was not detected, even though the concentration in the sample was > 10 times the
33 34	240	MRL.
35	241	
37	242	Occasionally it appeared difficult to judge the result of this test, since it easily suffered
38 39	243	from microbial contamination causing growth on the surface of the plate around the meat
40 41	244	disk. This sometimes was attended by growth inhibition in the agar. Some of these
42 43	245	questionable results were additionally analyzed by the multi compound ToF-MS
44	246	confirmation method but always turned out to be negative.
45 46	247	
47 48	248	The Nouws Antibiotic Test
49 50	249	The NAT yielded a total of 36 suspect results (4.9%) with the initial screening of renal
51 52	250	pelvis fluid. The test comprises 5 individual test plates, each one preferably sensitive to
53	251	one or two groups of antibiotic residues. Of these 36 samples, one sample was
54 55	252	specifically detected on the sulfonamide test plate (originating from the animal containing
56 57 58	253	1100 μ g kg ⁻¹ sulfamethazine in its muscle), 24 were suspect on the tetracycline specific
59 60		

Food Additives and Contaminants

plate and another 11 on the aminoglycoside test plate. The tulathromycin containing
sample showed some inhibition on the aminoglycoside test plate, but was primarily
detected (showing a larger inhibition zone) on the macrolide & beta-lactam test plate.
Compared to the previous study, the percentage of tetracycline suspect animals decreased
slightly (4.9 to 3.3 %) while the percentage of aminoglycoside suspect samples was
nearly identical (1.5 vs. 1.4%).

Samples suspect for tetracyclines in the initial screening were subjected to post-screening of the kidney, which in routine analysis is followed by chemical confirmation in muscle (figure 1). It has been shown before that the kidney post-screening result is a more reliable indicator for the concentration in muscle than the initial (renal pelvis fluid based) screening result (Pikkemaat et al. 2009a). This is presumably caused by variations in the renal pelvis fluid available for absorption to the paper disk. Nine (37.5%) of these initially suspect samples were found negative in post screening kidney, while another seven (29%) showed an inhibition zone smaller than the control sample of 600 μ g kg⁻¹ oxytetracycline (OTC), which in the routine procedure is the cut-off for further chemical confirmation. So in practice only muscle samples of the remaining eight animals would be further analyzed by LC-MS/MS, though for the purpose of this study and to verify the assumption that this procedure does not yield false-compliant results, all animals showing a suspect result in the screening were fully analyzed.

275 [Insert Figure 1 about here]

277 With regard to samples showing no inhibition in the post-screening kidney,

278 concentrations in the muscle were found to be always less than 26 μ g kg⁻¹ (doxycycline),

279 while three of them were even below the limit of detection of the method (Table 2). For

280 those that showed inhibition, but below the cut-off for chemical confirmation,

281 concentrations were usually between 20 and 30 μ g kg⁻¹, with a maximum found at 42 μ g 282 kg⁻¹ doxycycline. Tetracycline concentrations in samples which according to the routine 283 procedure would be forwarded for chemical confirmation, started from minimum levels

Food Additives and Contaminants

1	
2	
4	
5	
6	
/ ጸ	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
29	
30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35	
36	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54	
55	
56 57	
58	
59	
60	

of 25 μ g kg⁻¹ doxycycline and 41 μ g kg⁻¹ OTC. Only one out of the eight samples 284 appeared to be a true non-compliant result, containing 305 µg kg⁻¹ doxvcvcline. 285

287 From these results we can conclude that the procedure efficiently downsizes the number 288 of samples requiring chemical confirmation to approximately one-third of the original 289 suspect samples, without resulting in false-compliant results. It should be noted that the 290 majority of the tetracycline suspect samples contained doxycycline, either alone or in 291 combination with OTC, only in four samples OTC was the sole tetracycline residue 292 present. This could of course be attributed to a bias caused by the method, which is more 293 sensitive to doxycycline, however taking into account the monitoring results obtained 294 since the introduction of the NAT in 2004, a clear overall shift from OTC to doxycycline 295 residues is observed (M. Rapallini, unpublished results).

296

297 The other major residue group observed by the NAT-screening, were the 298 aminoglycosides. Nine out of the 10 samples that were suspect for this group based on 299 the renal pelvis screening, also showed an inhibition zone in the kidney post-screening. 300 The one sample negative sample also appeared negative after LC-MS/MS analysis and 301 should be considered as a false-positive result. Aminoglycoside residue levels in kidney ranged from 106-5027 µg kg⁻¹ neomycin (the highest concentration being an MRL 302 violation), 324-614 μ g kg⁻¹ dihydrostreptomycin (MRL in kidney: 1000 μ g kg⁻¹) and 593 303 µg kg⁻¹ gentamicin (Table 2). The latter yielded an NAT-screening inhibition zone of 26 304 305 mm, which is quite remarkable, since the second aminogly coside MRL violation, $870 \mu g$ kg⁻¹ gentamicin, was not noticed by the NAT-screening. This can be explained from the 306 307 poor correlation between screening and final concentration in kidney and could only be 308 prevented by direct post-screening analysis of the kidney, for which the correlation is 309 much better. This is illustrated in Figure 2, showing screening and post-screening results 310 of aminoglycoside positive samples.

311

313

312 [Insert Figure 2 about here]

In practice so far no cut-off (analogous to the tetracycline procedure) has been determined, beyond which a sample should be forwarded for chemical quantification. The current kidney post-screening results suggest a cut-off inhibition zone around 30 mm, which is somewhat larger than the original validation study suggested (Pikkemaat et al. 2009b), but more data should be collected to enable a reliable estimation. Additional analysis of the muscle of the suspect animals showed that aminoglycoside residue levels in muscle were negligible. Only a single animal with a neomycin level of μ g kg⁻¹ in kidney also showed traces of this residue in muscle (<5 μ g kg⁻¹). The aminoglycoside residue group therefore is a very pronounced example of a non-compliant result in kidney not necessarily reflecting a non-compliant result in muscle. This observation implies a serious discrepancy between the outcome of control/surveillance strategies based on the analysis of either kidney or muscle. Premi[®]Test-muscle Premi®Test applied to non-contaminated tissue should result in a color change from purple to yellow, and the absence or delay in color change indicates the presence of a growth inhibiting compound. In this study, only samples that showed a complete absence of color change were considered suspect. The number of samples that would need further testing in case all samples showing intermediate results were also considered, occasionally was 5 to10-fold more, and was considered not suitable in large scale screening. Most of these intermediate results probably should be attributed to matrix variability. Suspect samples were always retested in presence and absence of penicillinase for confirming the presence of beta-lactam antibiotics. Only 9 muscle samples tested positive with the Premi[®]Test, and none of these suspect results were attributable to the presence of beta-lactam antibiotics. One of the positive results concerned the sample containing $1100 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ sulfamethazine, but in all other samples the presence of antibiotic residues could not be confirmed, resulting in a very high false-positive rate of the suspect samples. Among these false-positives was one

Page 13 of 25

350

Food Additives and Contaminants

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
23	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
21	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
10	
40	
41	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
50	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

bovine (non-calve) sample, all others were porcine samples. The doxycycline ($305 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$) MRL violation was not detected, once more establishing that Premi[®]Test applied to muscle is not suitable for effective tetracycline detection. Remarkably also the sample containing 648 $\mu g \ kg^{-1}$ tulathromycin remained undetected, while in our previous study a sample containing 156 $\mu g \ kg^{-1}$ tulathromycin was found suspect (Pikkemaat et al. 2009a).

351 Premi[®]Test-kidney

The number of kidney samples yielding a positive Premi[®]Test result was substantially 352 353 higher. One of the main problems generally associated with using kidney as a matrix, is 354 the occurrence of false-positive results, probably caused by the action of enzymes present 355 in this matrix, generally referred to as "natural growth inhibiting compounds". A 356 common way to circumvent this (an approach generally applied in milk analysis) is to 357 inactivate these natural growth inhibiting compounds by heating the sample. Using 358 Premi[®]Test for analysis of kidney, the manufacturer advises the incorporation of a heat-359 pretreatment step (10 min at 80°C) after applying the kidney juice to the ampoule. 360 However, in our hands this approach appeared to cause problems, since the 361 heating/inactivation step caused a strong coloring of the growth medium. This made the 362 ultimate color change hard to asses, and also considerably increased the required 363 incubation time. This problem could however easily be circumvented by performing the 364 heating step before applying the sample to the tube.

365

366 Similar to muscle analysis samples were considered positive only when there was 367 complete absence of color change. Suspect results were first verified with a second 368 analysis including penicillinase, however non of the kidneys appeared suspect for betalactam antibiotics. Applying the Premi[®]Test to kidney yielded 30 suspect animals. While 369 370 this number is similar to the number of suspect samples obtained after the NAT screening 371 (36), the overlap of samples suspect in both tests was limited to 8 animals. These 372 included the sulfamethazine and doxycycline MRL violations, and a tetracycline suspect animal which was confirmed to contain $34 \,\mu g \, kg^{-1}$ doxycycline in the muscle (the 373 374 concentration in kidney was not determined). Furthermore the tulathromycin containing 375 sample, and several aminoglycoside suspect samples were identified by both methods.

376	
377	In twelve suspect samples the presence of antibiotic residues could not be confirmed and
378	these should therefore be considered false-positive results (40%), notably all of these
379	were pig samples. One sample contained 15 μ g kg ⁻¹ tylosin, but considering the reported
380	sensitivity for muscle, which is 50-100 μ g kg ⁻¹ , it seems somewhat unlikely that this low
381	concentration actually caused the inhibition. All other suspect samples appeared to
382	contain aminoglycoside residues (Table 2). Most of them contained neomycin, with
383	concentrations starting from around 470 μ g kg ⁻¹ , sometimes in combination with low
384	concentration of tetracyclines. One sample contained 369 μ g kg ⁻¹ dihydrostreptomycin,
385	suggesting the test shows sufficient sensitivity to detect this residue at its MRL of 1000
386	μ g kg ⁻¹ . However, two other samples containing 324 and 614 μ g kg ⁻¹
387	dihydrostreptomycin were not detected, so no conclusive evidence was obtained with
388	respect to this specific aminoglycoside. The Premi [®] Test-kidney analysis yielded one
389	additional MRL violation that was not observed by any of the other tests, a porcine
390	kidney sample containing 870 μ g kg ⁻¹ gentamicin. The second gentamicin containing
391	sample in this survey (593 μ g kg ⁻¹) was not detected by Premi [®] Test.
392	
393	Remarkably, all samples showing combinations of tetracyclines and aminoglycosides
394	were from calves, while all other samples containing solely aminoglycosides, concerned
395	pigs. This striking bias prompted us to perform additional analysis on calve kidneys that
396	appeared compliant from both the NAT-screening and the Premi [®] Test-kidney. This
397	revealed that 80% of these samples contained low concentrations of tetracyclines, varying
398	from concentrations below the limit of quantification $(10 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1})$ to $120 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$, mostly
399	oxytetracycline with traces of doxycycline.
400	
401	It remains uncertain whether Premi [®] Test is sufficiently sensitive for accurate tetracycline
402	detection in kidney. This study comprised several animals with muscle OTC
403	concentrations between 41 and 82 μ g kg ⁻¹ that all remained unnoticed by Premi [®] Test-
404	kidney. On the other hand, the only actual MRL violation (305 μ g kg ⁻¹ doxycycline) was
405	effectively detected and proved that changing from muscle to kidney enhances the
406	detection of tetracycline residues in slaughter animals.

1	
2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
14	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
40	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
40 //7	
41	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

423

424

408	From the results, it can be concluded that Premi®Test applied to kidney is more sensitive
409	to aminoglycosides compared to the NAT screening. Since the manufacturer of
410	Premi®Test does not claim detection limits for kidney, the sensitivity of the test was
411	verified with spiked kidney and appeared to be between 250-500 μ g kg ⁻¹ for neomycin
412	and between 500-1000 μ g kg ⁻¹ for gentamicin. For comparison, using muscle as a matrix,
413	sensitivity is claimed to be 100 μ g kg ⁻¹ for gentamicin and 300 μ g kg ⁻¹ for neomycin. An
414	evaluation of the applicability of the test for kidney yielded a sensitivity for gentamicin of
415	1500 µg kg ⁻¹ (Cantwell and O'Keeffe 2006). Another study showed a positive response
416	threshold concentration for neomycin in kidney of 500-1200 μ g kg ⁻¹ (Schneider and
417	Lehotay 2008). From the available information it can be concluded that Premi [®] Test can
418	easily detect MRL violations for neomycin in kidney, and is also capable of detecting
419	gentamicin and dihydrostreptomycin concentrations at or close to the kidney MRL level,
420	though more extensive validation on gentamicin and dihydrostreptomycin is required to
421	determine whether the β -error is < 5%, in accordance to EC 2002/657 requirements
422	(European Commission 2002).

425 Conclusions

426 Within the European Union, no harmonized approach exists with respect to screening methods for antibiotic residues. As a consequence, detection capabilities of the methods 427 428 used vary widely, and the effectiveness of monitoring and surveillance therefore highly 429 depends on the applied method. In this study we evaluated and compared the performance of the EU-four plate method, the Nouws Antibiotic Test, and Premi®Test-430 431 muscle and -kidney, by applying them to a large number to routine samples.

60

432

433 The most frequently occurring residue types in this study were tetracyclines and 434 aminoglycosides, the latter only in kidney. Occurrence of specific residues however is 435 influenced by several factors, e.g. which drugs are prescribed, administration route and 436 subsequent residue depletion, attitude towards withdrawal times etc. and may therefore 437 differ between countries.

of

)	Concerning the EU4pt it can be concluded that this test lacks sufficient sensitivity to
	used in routine monitoring. Also the sample preparation (cutting of meat disks) is
	considered relatively labor-intensive. The test easily suffered from microbial
	contamination, which made the result sometimes difficult to interpret. Premi®Test-m
	showed a slightly better result, since it also detected the sulfamethazine MRL violati
	though it suffers from a very high false-positive rate, which was already observed in
	previous study (Pikkemaat et al. 2009a).
	The NAT appeared the most sensitive test with respect to tetracyclines, and is capab
	detecting this residue group well below its MRL. The test yields a group-specific
	identification, which reduces confirmatory efforts. Sample preparation for the initial
)	screening (renal pelvis fluid) is the least laborious of the evaluated methods. A
	disadvantage of this matrix however, is the poor correlation with the actual concentr
	in kidney and muscle. This became most evident from the unnoticed gentamicin MR
	violation, that was identified by the Premi [®] Test-kidney. Premi [®] Test-kidney proved t
-	particularly sensitive for aminoglycoside residues and it could be concluded that kid
	is more suitable than muscle for detection of tetracyclines as well. However, the resu
	on tetracycline residues obtained so far, are not sufficient to judge whether Premi [®] Te
	kidney complies with het required sensitivity for detection of tetracyclines in slaugh
	animals, and an additional survey will be performed to answer this question.
	References
	Anonymous. 2010. Guidelines for the validation of screening methods for residues of
	veterinary medicines, drafted by the Community Reference Laboratories Residues
	(CRLs), version 20/1/2010. Available from:
	http://ec.europa.eu/food/food/chemicalsafety/residues/Guideline_Validation_Screen
	<u>n.pdf</u>
,	

Food Additives and Contaminants

2		
3 4	468	Berendsen BJA, van Rhijn JA. 2006. Residue analysis of tetracyclines in poultry muscle:
5	469	Shortcomings revealed by a proficiency test. Food Addit Contam. 23:1141-1148.
7	470	
8 9	471	Berendsen BJ, Pikkemaat MG, Stolker AAM. 2010. Are antibiotic screening approaches
10 11	472	sufficiently adequate? A proficiency test. Anal Chim Acta. submitted.
12 13	473	
14	474	Bogaerts R, Wolf F. 1980. A standardized method for the detection of residues of
15 16	475	antibacterial substances in fresh meat. Fleischwirtschaft. 60: 672–674.
17 18	476	
19 20	477	Cantwell H, O'Keeffe M. 2006. Evaluation of the Premi [®] Test and comparison with the
21	478	One-Plate Test for the detection of antimicrobials in kidney. Food Addit Contam. 23:120-
23	479	125.
24 25	480	
26 27	481	European Commission. 1990. Council Regulation (EEC) 2377/90 of 26 June 1990 laying
28 29	482	down a Community procedure for the establishment of maximum residue limits of
30	483	veterinary medicinal products in foodstuffs of animal origin. Off J Eur Union. L224:1-8.
32	484	
33 34	485	European Commission. 1996. Council Directive 96/23/EC of 29 April 1996 on measures
35 36	486	to monitor certain substances and residues thereof in live animals and animal products
37 38	487	repealing Directives 85/358/EEC and 86/469/EEC and Decisions 89/187/EEC and
39 40	488	91/664/EEC. Off J Eur Union. L125:10–32.
40 41	489	
42 43	490	European Commission. 2002. Commission Decision 2002/657/EC of 12 August 2002
44 45	491	implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical
46	492	methods and the interpretation of results. Off J Eur Union. L221:8–36.
47	493	
49 50	494	Gaudin V, Juhel-Gaugain M, Morétain JP, Sanders P. 2008. AFNOR validation of
51 52	495	Premi [®] Test, a microbiological-based screening tube-test for the detection of antimicrobial
53 54	496	residues in animal muscle tissue. Food Addit Contam. 25:1451-1464.
55	497	
57		
58 59		

Gaudin V, Hedou C, Rault A, Sanders P, Verdon E. 2009. Comparative study of three

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
3∠ 33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47 48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

12

498

499 screening tests, two microbiological tube tests, and a multi-sulphonamide ELISA kit for 500 the detection of antimicrobial and sulphonamide residues in eggs. Food Addit Contam. 501 26:427-440. 502 503 Grove DC, Randall WA. 1955. Assay methods of antibiotics, a laboratory manual. New 504 York: Medical Encyclopedia, Inc.. 505 506 Okerman L, Croubels S, Cherlet M, De Wasch K, De Backer P, Van Hoof J. 2004. 507 Evaluation and establishing the performance of different screening tests for tetracycline 508 residues in animal tissues. Food Addit Contam. 21:145-153. 509 510 Peters RJ, Bolck YJ, Rutgers P, Stolker AAM, Nielen MWF. 2009. Multi-residue 511 screening of veterinary drugs in egg, fish and meat using high-resolution liquid 512 chromatography accurate mass time-of-flight mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A. 513 1216:8206-8016. 514 515 Pikkemaat MG, Oostra-van Dijk S, Schouten S, Rapallini M, van Egmond HJ. 2008. A 516 new microbial screening method for the detection of antimicrobial residues in slaughter 517 animals: The Nouws antibiotic test (NAT-screening). Food Control. 19:781-789. 518 519 Pikkemaat MG. 2009. Microbial screening methods for detection of antibiotic residues in 520 slaughter animals. Anal Bioanal Chem. 395:893-905. 521 522 Pikkemaat MG, Rapallini MLBA, Oostra-van Dijk S, Elferink JWA. 2009a. Comparison 523 of three microbial screening methods for antibiotics using routine monitoring samples. 524 Anal Chim Acta. 637:298-304. 525 526 Pikkemaat MG, Oostra-Van Dijk S, Schouten J, Rapallini M, Kortenhoeven L, van 527 Egmond HJ. 2009b. Nouws antibiotic test: Validation of a post-screening method for 528 antibiotic residues in kidney. Food Control. 20:771-777.

2		
3 4	529	
5 6	530	Schneider MJ, Lehotay SJ. 2008. A comparison of the FAST, Premi [®] and KIS TM tests for
7	531	screening antibiotic residues in beef kidney juice and serum. Anal Bioanal Chem.
9	532	390:1775-1779.
10 11	533	
12 13	534	Tang SJ, Gillevet PM. 2003. Reclassification of ATCC 9341 from <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> to
14	535	Kocuria rhizophila. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 53:995-997.
15 16	536	
17 18	537	van Holthoon FL, Essers ML, Mulder PJ, Stead SL, Caldow M, Ashwin HM, Sharman
19 20	538	M. 2009.A generic method for the quantitative analysis of aminoglycosides (and
20	539	spectinomycin) in animal tissue using methylated internal standards and liquid
22	540	chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta. 637:135-143.
24 25	541	
26 27	542	van Rhijn JA, Lasaroms JJP, Berendsen BJA, Brinkman UATh. 2002. Liquid
28	543	chromatographic-tandem mass spectrometric determination of selected sulphonamides in
29 30	544	milk. J Chromatogr A. 960:121-133.
31 32	545	
33 34	546	
35	547	Figure captions
37	548	
38 39	549	Figure 1. Flow sheet of the tetracycline screening and confirmatory procedure as applied
40 41	550	in the Dutch national monitoring program. Between brackets the numbers related to this
42 43	551	study.
44	552	
45 46	553	Figure 2a. Correlation between the inhibition zone on the NAT-screening and
47 48	554	aminoglycoside residue concentration in kidney. Neomycin is indicated by squares,
49 50	555	dihydrostreptomycin by diamonds and gentamicin by dots. The minimum inhibition zone
51 52	556	equals the diameter of the punch hole, which is 14 mm.
52	557	
54 55	558	Figure 2b. Correlation between the inhibition zone on the NAT post-screening kidney and
56 57 58 59	559	aminoglycoside residue concentration in kidney. Representation of the symbols is
00		

- <text>

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tfac Email: fac@tandf.co.uk











Non-compliant results	Matrix	MRL (µg kg ⁻¹)	EU4pt	NAT	Premi [®] Test muscle	Premi [®] Test kidney
Sulfamethazine (1100 µg kg ⁻¹)	muscle	100	-	+	+	+
Doxycycline (305 µg kg ⁻¹)	muscle	100	+	+	-	+
Tulathromycin* (648 µg kg ⁻¹)	muscle	-	+	+	-	+
Gentamicin (870 µg kg ⁻¹)	kidney	750	-	-	-	+
Neomycin (5207 μg kg ⁻¹)	kidney	5000	-	+	-	+

Table 1. Overview of results of individual tests on non-compliant samples encountered in this study

* Technically this is not a non-compliant result, since no MRL has been established for tulathromycin in muscle, but since the other carcass MRL (skin plus fat) is set at 100 µg kg⁻¹ we consider this sample non-compliant.

Table 2. Overview of tetracycline and aminoglycoside confirmatory results on NAT suspect samples and Premi[®]Test positive samples

Sample	Species	NAT-so diamet	creening er (mm)	Pren	niTest	NATpost-screening kidney diameter (mm)		Chemical confirmation
		tetra	amino	kidney	muscle	tetra	amino	
215	Р	-	-	+	-			870 genta (k)
41	Р	-	-	+	-			483 neo (k)
92	Р	-	-	+	-			471 neo (k)
444	Р	-	-	+	-			473 neo (k)
513	Р	-	-	+	-			477 neo (k)
481	С	-	-	+	-			48 OTC/2546 neo (k)
9	С	-	-	+	-			18 OTC/23 doxy/1683 neo (k)
236	С	-	_	+	-			48 OTC/512 neo (k)
244	С	-	_	+	-			106 OTC/213 neo/147 genta (k)
266	Р	31	-	+	-	35		305 doxy (m)
97	Р	20	_	+	-	23		34 doxy (m)
67	Р	-	24	+	-		23	369 DHS (k)
552	Р	-	24	+	-		36	5207 neo (k)
651	В	-	18	+			32	3973 neo (k), 5 neo (m)
656	С	-	21	+			30	941 neo (k)
542	С	-	26	-	-		34	593 genta (k)
285	Р	-	24	_	-		24	324 DHS (k)
377	Р	-	20	-	-		29	614 DHS (k)
680	Р	-	19	-	_		20	217 neo (k)
681	Р	-	23	_	-		19	106 neo (k)
406	Р	23	_	_	-	29		82 OTC (m)
696	Р	25	-	-	-	27		55 OTC (m)
33	Р	23	-	-	-	23		52 doxy (m)
162	Р	17	-	-	-	23		42 doxy (m)
694	Р	27	-	-	-	25		41 OTC (m)
329	Р	17	-	-	-	19		27 doxy (m)
232	С	21	-	-	-	22		25 doxy (m)
299	Р	19	-	-	-	20		25 doxy (m)
188	Р	21	-	-	-	22		8 OTC, 20 doxy (m)
368	Р	19	_	_	-	18		21 doxy (m)
337	С	18	_	_	-	20		17 doxy (m)
58	C	17	_	_	-	19		17 OTC/3 doxy (m)
62	C	20	-	-	_	0		7 OTC (m)
134	P	20	-	-	-	0		14 doxy (m)
386	P	18	-	-	-	0		5 doxy(m)
352	Ċ	18	_	_	-	0		4 doxy(m)
572	P	22	_	_	-	24		20 OTC/12 doxy (m) = 130 OTC/96 doxy (k)
479	P	21	_	_	-	0		26 doxy (m), 150 OTC > 0 doxy (k) 26 doxy (m), 85 doxy (k)
438	P	20	_	_	-	0		7 doxy (m), 41 OTC/31 doxy (k)
667	C	28	_	_	_	0		negative (m) 167 OTC (k)
614	P	19	_	_	_	0		negative (m), for $OTC(k)$
575	P	10	-	_	-	0		negative (m), $32 \text{ OTC/5 doxy } (k)$

Abbreviations: P: porcine, C: calf, (m): muscle, (k): kidney, genta: gentamicin, neo: neomycin, DHS:
 dihydrostreptomycin, OTC: oxytetracycline, doxy: doxycycline.

58