

A renaissance for cardio-metabolic surgery: Better outcomes and lower costs?

Anthony S Wierzbicki, Jonathan Hubbard, Abraham Botha

▶ To cite this version:

Anthony S Wierzbicki, Jonathan Hubbard, Abraham Botha. A renaissance for cardio-metabolic surgery: Better outcomes and lower costs?. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2011, 65 (7), pp.728. 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02696.x . hal-00652659

HAL Id: hal-00652659

https://hal.science/hal-00652659

Submitted on 16 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A renaissance for cardio-metabolic surgery: Better outcomes and lower costs?

Journal:	International Journal of Clinical Practice
Manuscript ID:	IJCP-01-11-0009.R3
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Perspective
Specialty area:	

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

A renaissance for cardio-metabolic surgery:

Better outcomes and lower costs?

Anthony S. Wierzbicki DM DPhil FRCPath FAHA

Consultant in Metabolic Medicine/Chemical Pathology

Guy's & St Thomas Hospitals

London SE1 7EH, UK

Jonathan G. H. Hubbard MBBS MD FRCS EBQ

Consultant Endocrine Surgeon

Guy's & St Thomas Hospitals

London SE1 7EH, UK

Abraham J. Botha MB ChB MD FRCS

Consultant upper gastrointestinal surgeon

Guy's & St Thomas Hospitals

London SE1 7EH, UK

Running title: Metabolic surgery

Words

Text 2205

References 53

Address for Correspondence

Anthony S. Wierzbicki Consultant chemical pathologist St Thomas' Hospital Lambeth Palace Road London SE1 7EH

Tel 44 207 188 1256 Fax 44 207 928 4226

E-mail Anthony.Wierzbicki@kcl.ac.uk

Not too long ago surgeons routinely treated metabolic problems including hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and obesity. However, these areas declined as drug therapies became available and surgical approaches lost favour due to high rates of perceived complications. Surgery survived in specialist metabolic oncological applications for treatment of endocrine adenomas or carcinomas. Metabolic endocrine surgery has grown ever more sophisticated with better imaging, more sensitive sampling techniques, intra-operative endocrine monitoring using point-of-care assay technologies and the increasing use of minimal access and eventually robotic surgery techniques[1-3]. The diagnosis of primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) is more frequently made at a significantly earlier stage than in the past. Surgery is performed for both prognostic benefit and symptom relief. Surgical approaches have evolved rapidly in recent years with an evolution in minimally invasive procedures aided by improvements in preoperative localisation[4]. Day surgery procedures are common and intra-operative assays of parathyroid hormone can be used to confirm surgical success in minutes. Type II calcimimetic drugs that enhance the sensitivity of the calcium sensing receptor to calcium resulting in a decrease in PTH secretion are used in secondary hyperparathyroidism reducing the need for surgery in some patients[5], and may also have a selective role in complicated patients with PHPT and malignancy. In other areas surgery disappeared such as when initially H2 antagonists and later proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) replaced the need for gastric acid reduction by vagotomy.

However, some patients with metabolic problems have remained refractory to treatment despite the increased availability of medical management strategies in primary care which are adequate for the vast majority of cases. Two fields where the focus of secondary and tertiary care centres is increasingly on refractory disease are hypertension and hyperlipidaemia while in obesity and type 2 diabetes medical therapies are either inadequate or could be considered barely adequate to control disease progression in an increasing number

of patients. Recently there has been the start of a renaissance of surgical approaches to the management of these metabolic problems.

Obesity

Obesity is a fast increasing problem in the Western and developing worlds. As adiposity in the general population has increased the prevalence of morbid obesity (BMI>40kg/m²) in the UK has risen from 1.5% (2000) to 2.4% (2009)[6, 7]. Lifestyle therapy is recommended for all patients and some patients with moderate obesity (BMI 27-35 kg/m²) receive additional weight-loss medications. However, the management of the morbidly obese has remained problematic. Many of these patients potentially qualify for bariatric surgery based on the NICE guidelines with qualifying criteria ranging depending on co-morbidities from BMI 35 to 50kg/m² and with BMI >50kg/m² surgery is the preferred treatment option[8]. The most severe group in medical terms are those patients with established complications of obesity including diabetes and the sleep apnoea syndromes[9]. Classical diet approaches have proved inadequate in morbidly obese patients[10] who have invariably tried multiple diets. Orlistat, though often poorly tolerated, delivers a 3% average reduction in weight in moderately obese patients [11] and has evidence for a 37% reduction in progression to type 2 diabetes in compliant patients who achieved a net 4.8 kg weight loss[12]. Both sibutramine and rimonabant delivered more weight reduction when they were still available but were withdrawn due to side-effects[11]. Attempts are made to market novel compounds with a primary indication for diabetes on the basis of their weight loss properties but most are minimally more effective than metformin which delivers an average 2kg (2%) weight reduction. The hyperbole associated with higher doses of liraglutide failed to note that it delivered an average glycaemic response for a hypo-glycaemic drug (1.0-1.2% HbA_{1c}) and only a 1.8-5.5 kg (2-5%) weight loss[13, 14].

Upper gastrointestinal surgeons have revived and modified many of their techniques to deal with obesity. Laparoscopic gastric banding, banded gastroplasty and gastric bypass are now frequently performed for weight reduction even in day surgery and have a developed a formidable evidence base. The Swedish Obesity Surgery registry has shown that complications rates are low (<2%), long-term mortality is acceptable for such a high-risk group (2%) and patients lose 20-40% of weight depending on the procedure [15, 16]. Series of laparoscopic surgery show acute deaths in only 0.23%[17]. Gastric bypass surgery is associated with reductions in glycaemia to the extent that 75% are restored to 'normoglycaemia' especially if the diabetes was of recent onset (<5 years), 50% of cases of hypertension and sleep apnoea resolve and there is dramatic reduction in orthopaedic complaints allowing further surgery to occur if necessary[18]. After 7 years CHD mortality is reduced by 56%, diabetes mortality by 92% and cancer mortality by 60%. While the endocrinology of gastric bypass surgery has been partially clarified the mechanism by which gastric banding/gastroplasty works remains obscure. Though many anti-obesity drugs have been developed few have been either highly effective or safe[19]. Both implanted gastric stimulators to mimic the stretch reflex[20] and ghrelin agonists (e.g. MK-677 to reduce visceral adiposity)[21] and ghrelin binders in mice (Speigelmers)[22] have failed to duplicate the effect of surgery. In diabetes units the clinical problem is that many patients would benefit from operative intervention when assessed using health economic methods (BMI>30kg/m²) yet the capacity of the system to provide the bed space and finance is exceeded. Thus, many health systems ration access to bariatric surgery by limiting its availability to higher risk groups.

Hypertension

Refractory hypertension is another common problem[23]. Some is caused by noncompliance with increasingly complex regimes, or due to the side-effects of non-specific vasodilation resulting in episodes of hypotension especially in patients with isolated systolic hypertension who have decreased vascular compliance. Many cases though are multi-factorial and associated with increasing age, obesity, renal dysfunction and left ventricular hypertrophy [23]. In the ALLHAT trial 27% of patients were not controlled despite triple therapy. This is not surprising as most anti-hypertensives deliver approximately a 10/5mmHg (6%) reduction. Many novel anti-hypertensives which seemed more effective in phase 3 studies have not reached clinical service. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme-neutral endopeptidase (ACE-I/NEP-I) inhibitors such as omapatrilat or samapatrilat delivered a 20/8mmHg (15%) reduction[24] but caused angioedema and were discontinued. The endothelin-I antagonist darusentan (LU-135252; HMR-4005) which remains in development reduced blood pressure by an added 18/11mmHg (11%) at a 300mg dose in patients with refractory hypertension in the DORADO study but was associated with high rates (30%) of peripheral oedema [25]. More recently, a combined angiotensin-II receptor neprilysin antagonist (LCZ-696) was shown to reduce blood pressure significantly (5/3mmHg) more than the angiotensin-II receptor blocker (ARB) valsartan [26]. Given the importance of the renin-angiotensin system in the prognosis of heart failure the 400mg dose is now being investigated in the PARADIGM-HF trial in 8940 patients[27].

Surgery, and recently percutaneous radiofrequency ablation[28, 29], is still used for the treatment of secondary hypertension caused by localised aldosterone or noradrenaline (norepinephrine) secreting tumours but it is increasingly recognised that most patients with aldosterone—driven hypertension actually show generalised adrenal cortical hypertrophy where surgery is inappropriate. Medical therapy with spironolactone, eplerenone or amiloride

is used for cortical hypertrophy. It has been known for many years from animal studies that neuronal adrenal/renal stimulation results in an increase in blood pressure. De-nervation surgery for hypertension was used prior to the invention of anti-hypertensive drugs[30]. In a series of 1266 patients which included 226 with end-organ damage and diastolic BP >140mmHg splanchnicectomy as opposed to sedation reduced 5-year mortality by 31% but sub-group blood pressure data was not provided[31]. Modern data was lacking until the recent SYMPLICITY-2 HTN study of 106 patients with refractory hypertension (systolic blood pressure >160mmHg; average 178/98mmHg) was conducted. In these patients most secondary causes had been excluded and they were on >3 anti-hypertensive drugs so meeting the definition of refractory hypertension. Percutaneous radiofrequency renal de-nervation resulted in a 32/12mmHg (20%) reduction in blood pressure at 6 months [32]. The hyperbole following this single small surgical trial will tempt many to attempt surgery for hypertension but given past history, it remains to be seen which patients will benefit most from this intervention[33]. It may only be a sub-group and if that is the case then clinical pathways have to be devised to optimally define the target group for future surgery. Similar concerns may also be relevant to a surgically implanted carotid bulb baroreceptor stimulator (Rheos) system which reduced blood pressure by 22/12mmHg in 45 patients with resistant grade 2 hypertension (179/105mmHg on 5 drugs) [34]. In this trial 17 patients achieved a 33/22mmHg reduction at 2 years and the device is now in phase 3 trials[35].

Hyperlipidaemia

Many think that hyperlipidaemia is treated solely by drug therapy. One common presentation to secondary care clinics is refractory hyperlipidaemia caused by side-effects to currently available therapies e.g. statins. Apheresis (dialysis/column extraction of lipoproteins) is effective in homozygous FH as patients are already secreting very low

density lipoprotein (VLDL) at a maximal rate and many also show a limited response to statin therapy [36]. Apheresis has evidence from surrogate outcomes in homozygous FH. However, patients with heterozygous FH are poorer candidates for apheresis as their potential for increased rebound cholesterol synthesis is substantial. Though apheresis remains an option fo for the treatment of these individuals it relies on drug therapy to reduce the rate of rebound of LDL-C in the 2 week period between treatments so therapeutic options for drug-intolerant patients are very limited. Yet another option does exist and does have an evidence base.

Surgery was a potential option for treatment for hyperlipidaemia only 20 years ago. It is still used in homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH) where liver transplantation to restore hepatic LDL receptor function and particle clearance is common [37]. Some centres used to use a portocaval shunt to reduce triglyceride and cholesterol flux to the liver and resecretion of these in very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) and therefore eventually as low density lipoprotein (LDL)[38, 39].

Homozygous FH is an ultra-orphan disease (prevalence <1 in 10⁶) but surgery used to have indications in far less severely affected patients including those with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia or severe polygenic disease. Ileal bypass surgery reduces LDL-C by a respectable 38%. The 834 patients in the Program on Surgical Correction of Hyperlipidemia (POSCH) study randomised to surgery or medical management showed a 35% reduction in coronary heart disease (CHD) events after 7 years [40]. In post-trial analyses this benefit continued and was associated with a 20% reduction in CHD mortality at 15 years [41] and further life benefit at 25 years[42]. These data are impressive as the surgery conducted at the time was open resulting in significant extra morbidity compared to modern minimally invasive techniques. An increase in events would be expected due to this and it is notable that in POSCH the time to survival curve divergence is 3 years. No studies have

examined the potential effectiveness of laparoscopic ileal bypass surgery in hypercholesterolaemia as opposed to diabetes and the metabolic syndrome [43, 44]. This procedure should deliver equivalent LDL-C reductions with a far lower inflammation burden and thus a quicker time to significant CHD event reduction. Randomised trials are difficult in this field but a prospective randomised open blinded endpoint (PROBE) design might be feasible.

The higher profile of orphan and ultra-orphan diseases has prompted the development of new therapies with narrow indications. High continuing costs are likely to be a feature of the novel lipid-lowering, hypertensive or obesity therapies being developed and especially those developed for orphan indications. It is likely that the microsomal transfer protein inhibitor lomitapide [45]; or the apolipoprotein B anti-sense oligonucleotide mipomersen[46] for severe hypercholesterolaemia will have high prices suited to their orphan indications for homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia in addition or as an alternative to apheresis rather than more general usage.

Type I and type V hyperlipidaemia are encountered in lipid clinics and are associated with pancreatitis and secondary diabetes. The primary therapies of fibrates, niacin, statins and omega-3 fatty acids are unsuccessful in patients with homozygous null mutations (often type I disease) of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) or its cell surface receptor GPIHBP1. They may be more useful in rescuing LPL deficiency secondary to deficiency of its activating co-factor apolipoprotein C2. However these drugs are most effective in type V hyperlipidaemia caused by the combination of heterozygous LPL deficiency allied with insulin resistance [47, 48]. Apart from supportive measures, bed rest and high dose anti-oxidants allied with acute plasmapheresis little can be done for type I hyperlipidaemia and therapeutic control can remain elusive. A few reports have suggested benefits of reducing chylomicron production by

inhibiting fat absorption through prescription of the gastric lipase inhibitor or listat [49]. Some case reports exist of occasional patients treated by bilio-pancreatic bypass surgery with claimed success [50, 51] in addition to the usual surgical management of the complications of recurrent pancreatitis. The potential alternative therapy for homozygous LPL deficiency is alipogene tiparvovec gene therapy for hypertriglyceridaemia which may be curative for LPL gene mutations and does reduce the incidence of pancreatitis but is likely to be extremely expensive [52].

Conclusion

It would be ironic if the next treatments for refractory hyperlipidaemia, hypertension or diabetes became surgical for reasons of superior cost economics as surgical procedures involve only a single intervention and lower follow-up costs as compared to high and continuing costs for pharmacological interventions (at least until expiry of any relevant patent)[53].

Declaration of Interest

ASW has received lecture honoraria from Aegerion Pharmaceuticals.

Reference List

- [1] Lang BH. Minimally invasive thyroid and parathyroid operations: surgical techniques and pearls. Adv Surg 2010;44:185-98.
- [2] Udelsman R, Lin Z, Donovan P. The Superiority of Minimally Invasive Parathyroidectomy Based on 1650 Consecutive Patients with Primary Hyperparathyroidism. Ann Surg 2010 Dec 22.
- [3] Chien D, Jacene H. Imaging of parathyroid glands. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 2010 Apr;43(2):399-415, x.
- [4] Sackett WR, Barraclough B, Reeve TS, Delbridge LW. Worldwide trends in the surgical treatment of primary hyperparathyroidism in the era of minimally invasive parathyroidectomy. Arch Surg 2002 Sep;137(9):1055-9.
- [5] Harrington PE, Fotsch C. Calcium sensing receptor activators: calcimimetics. Curr Med Chem 2007;14(28):3027-34.
- [6] The Information Centre for Health and Social Care. Health Survey for England 2009: trend tables. London, UK: The NHS Information Centre for health and social care; 2011. Report No.: HSE 09 Trend tables.
- [7] Finucane MM, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, Danaei G, Lin JK, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. Lancet 2011 Feb 12;377(9765):557-67.
- [8] National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care. Obesity: guidance on the prevention, identification, assessment and management of overweight and obesity in adults and children. London UK: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2006 Dec. Report No.: CG 43.
- [9] Wierzbicki AS. Primary and secondary prevention in obesity. Int J Clin Pract 2007 Sep;61(9):1431-4.
- [10] Dansinger ML, Tatsioni A, Wong JB, Chung M, Balk EM. Meta-analysis: the effect of dietary counseling for weight loss. Ann Intern Med 2007 Jul 3;147(1):41-50.
- [11] Rucker D, Padwal R, Li SK, Curioni C, Lau DC. Long term pharmacotherapy for obesity and overweight: updated meta-analysis. BMJ 2007 Dec 8;335(7631):1194-9.
- [12] Torgerson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN, Sjostrom L. XENical in the prevention of diabetes in obese subjects (XENDOS) study: a randomized study of orlistat as an adjunct to lifestyle changes for the prevention of type 2 diabetes in obese patients. Diabetes Care 2004 Jan;27(1):155-61.
- [13] Pratley RE, Nauck M, Bailey T, Montanya E, Cuddihy R, Filetti S, et al. Liraglutide versus sitagliptin for patients with type 2 diabetes who did not have adequate glycaemic control

- with metformin: a 26-week, randomised, parallel-group, open-label trial. Lancet 2010 Apr 24;375(9724):1447-56.
- [14] Shyangdan DS, Royle PL, Clar C, Sharma P, Waugh NR. Glucagon-like peptide analogues for type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Endocr Disord 2010 Dec 9;10(1):20.
- [15] Sjostrom L, Lindroos AK, Peltonen M, Torgerson J, Bouchard C, Carlsson B, et al. Lifestyle, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors 10 years after bariatric surgery. N Engl J Med 2004 Dec 23;351(26):2683-93.
- [16] Sjostrom L, Narbro K, Sjostrom CD, Karason K, Larsson B, Wedel H, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on mortality in Swedish obese subjects. N Engl J Med 2007 Aug 23;357(8):741-52.
- [17] Podnos YD, Jimenez JC, Wilson SE, Stevens CM, Nguyen NT. Complications after laparoscopic gastric bypass: a review of 3464 cases. Arch Surg 2003 Sep;138(9):957-61.
- [18] Thaler JP, Cummings DE. Minireview: Hormonal and metabolic mechanisms of diabetes remission after gastrointestinal surgery. Endocrinology 2009 Jun;150(6):2518-25.
- [19] Vincent RP, le Roux CW. New agents in development for the management of obesity. Int J Clin Pract 2007 Dec;61(12):2103-12.
- [20] Shikora SA, Bergenstal R, Bessler M, Brody F, Foster G, Frank A, et al. Implantable gastric stimulation for the treatment of clinically severe obesity: results of the SHAPE trial. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2009 Jan;5(1):31-7.
- [21] Nass R, Pezzoli SS, Oliveri MC, Patrie JT, Harrell FE, Jr., Clasey JL, et al. Effects of an oral ghrelin mimetic on body composition and clinical outcomes in healthy older adults: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008 Nov 4;149(9):601-11.
- [22] Shearman LP, Wang SP, Helmling S, Stribling DS, Mazur P, Ge L, et al. Ghrelin neutralization by a ribonucleic acid-SPM ameliorates obesity in diet-induced obese mice. Endocrinology 2006 Mar;147(3):1517-26.
- [23] Calhoun DA, Jones D, Textor S, Goff DC, Murphy TP, Toto RD, et al. Resistant hypertension: diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment. A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Professional Education Committee of the Council for High Blood Pressure Research. Hypertension 2008 Jun;51(6):1403-19.
- [24] Coats AJ. Omapatrilat--the story of Overture and Octave. Int J Cardiol 2002 Nov;86(1):1-4.
- [25] Weber MA, Black H, Bakris G, Krum H, Linas S, Weiss R, et al. A selective endothelin-receptor antagonist to reduce blood pressure in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2009 Oct 24;374(9699):1423-31.
- [26] Ruilope LM, Dukat A, Bohm M, Lacourciere Y, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP. Blood-pressure reduction with LCZ696, a novel dual-acting inhibitor of the angiotensin II receptor and neprilysin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, active comparator study. Lancet 2010 Apr 10;375(9722):1255-66.

[27] Novartis Pharmaceuticals. The efficacy and safety of LCZ696 compared to enalapril on morbidity and mortality of patients with chronic heart failure (PARADIGM-HF). ClinicalTrials.gov . 9-12-2010. 11-1-2011.

Ref Type: Online Source

- [28] Liu SY, Ng EK, Lee PS, Wong SK, Chiu PW, Mui WL, et al. Radiofrequency ablation for benign aldosterone-producing adenoma: a scarless technique to an old disease. Ann Surg 2010 Dec;252(6):1058-64.
- [29] Johnson SP, Bagrosky BM, Mitchell EL, McIntyre RC, Jr., Grant NG. CT-guided radiofrequency ablation of an aldosterone-secreting primary adrenal tumor in a surgically unfit patient. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2008 Jul;19(7):1115-7.
- [30] Cannon B. The effects of progressive sympathectomy on blood pressure. Am J Physiol 1931 Jul;97(7):592-6.
- [31] Smithwick RH, Thompson JE. Splanchnicectomy for essential hypertension; results in 1,266 cases. JAMA 1953;152:1501-4.
- [32] Esler MD, Krum H, Sobotka PA, Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE, Bohm M. Renal sympathetic denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension (The Symplicity HTN-2 Trial): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2010 Dec 4;376(9756):1903-9.
- [33] Doumas M, Douma S. Renal sympathetic denervation: the jury is still out. Lancet 2010 Dec 4;376(9756):1878-80.
- [34] Scheffers IJ, Kroon AA, Schmidli J, Jordan J, Tordoir JJ, Mohaupt MG, et al. Novel baroreflex activation therapy in resistant hypertension: results of a European multi-center feasibility study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010 Oct 5;56(15):1254-8.
- [35] Krum H, Schlaich M, Sobotka P, Scheffers I, Kroon AA, de Leeuw PW. Novel procedure- and device-based strategies in the management of systemic hypertension. Eur Heart J 2011 Mar;32(5):537-44.
- [36] Thompson GR. Recommendations for the use of LDL apheresis. Atherosclerosis 2008 Jun;198(2):247-55.
- [37] Kakaei F, Nikeghbalian S, Kazemi K, Salahi H, Bahador A, Dehghani SM, et al. Liver transplantation for homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: two case reports. Transplant Proc 2009 Sep;41(7):2939-41.
- [38] Starzl TE, Chase HP, Ahrens EH, Jr., McNamara DJ, Bilheimer DW, Schaefer EJ, et al. Portacaval shunt in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia. Ann Surg 1983 Sep;198(3):273-83.
- [39] Bilheimer DW. Portacaval shunt surgery and liver transplantation in the treatment of homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. Prog Clin Biol Res 1988;255:295-304.
- [40] Buchwald H, Varco RL, Matts JP, Long JM, Fitch LL, Campbell GS, et al. Effect of partial ileal bypass surgery on mortality and morbidity from coronary heart disease in patients with hypercholesterolemia. Report of the Program on the Surgical Control of the Hyperlipidemias (POSCH). N Engl J Med 1990 Oct 4;323(14):946-55.

- [41] Buchwald H, Williams SE, Matts JP, Nguyen PA, Boen JR. Overall mortality in the program on the surgical control of the hyperlipidemias. J Am Coll Surg 2002 Sep;195(3):327-31.
- [42] Buchwald H, Rudser KD, Williams SE, Michalek VN, Vagasky J, Connett JE. Overall mortality, incremental life expectancy, and cause of death at 25 years in the program on the surgical control of the hyperlipidemias. Ann Surg 2010 Jun;251(6):1034-40.
- [43] Buchwald H, Schone JL. Gastric obesity surgery combined with partial ileal bypass for hypercholesterolemia. Obes Surg 1997 Aug;7(4):313-6.
- [44] DePaula AL, Macedo AL, Rassi N, Machado CA, Schraibman V, Silva LQ, et al. Laparoscopic treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus for patients with a body mass index less than 35. Surg Endosc 2008 Mar;22(3):706-16.
- [45] Wierzbicki AS, Hardman T, Prince WT. Future challenges for microsomal transport protein inhibitors. Curr Vasc Pharmacol 2009 Jul;7(3):277-86.
- [46] Raal FJ, Santos RD, Blom DJ, Marais AD, Charng MJ, Cromwell WC, et al. Mipomersen, an apolipoprotein B synthesis inhibitor, for lowering of LDL cholesterol concentrations in patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2010 Mar 20;375(9719):998-1006.
- [47] Viljoen A, Wierzbicki AS. Diagnosis and treatment of Lipoprotein lipase deficiency. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. In press 2011.
- [48] Wierzbicki AS, Reynolds TM. Familial hyperchylomicronaemia. Lancet 1996 Nov 30;348(9040):1524-5.
- [49] Wierzbicki AS, Reynolds TM, Crook MA. Usefulness of Orlistat in the treatment of severe hypertriglyceridemia. Am J Cardiol 2002 Jan 15;89(2):229-31.
- [50] Gasbarrini G, Mingrone G, Greco AV, Castagneto M. An 18-year-old woman with familial chylomicronaemia who would not stick to a diet. Lancet 1996 Sep 21;348(9030):794.
- [51] Castagneto M, De GA, Mingrone G, Capristo E, Benedetti G, Tacchino RM, et al. A surgical option for familial chylomicronemia associated with insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus. Obes Surg 1998 Apr;8(2):191-8.
- [52] Gaudet D, de WJ, Tremblay K, Dery S, van DS, Freidig A, et al. Review of the clinical development of alipogene tiparvovec gene therapy for lipoprotein lipase deficiency. Atheroscler Suppl 2010 Jun;11(1):55-60.
- [53] Roos JC, Hyry HI, Cox TM. Orphan drug pricing may warrant a competition law investigation. BMJ 2010;341:c6471.

