



HAL
open science

On the Hausdorff dimension of graphs of prevalent continuous functions on compact sets

Frédéric Bayart, Yanick Heurteaux

► **To cite this version:**

Frédéric Bayart, Yanick Heurteaux. On the Hausdorff dimension of graphs of prevalent continuous functions on compact sets. *Fractals and Related Fields* 2, Jun 2011, Porquerolles Island, France. pp.25-34. hal-00649590

HAL Id: hal-00649590

<https://hal.science/hal-00649590>

Submitted on 8 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the Hausdorff dimension of graphs of prevalent continuous functions on compact sets

Frédéric Bayart and Yanick Heurteaux

Abstract Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d with positive Hausdorff dimension. Using a Fractional Brownian Motion, we prove that in a prevalent set of continuous functions on K , the Hausdorff dimension of the graph is equal to $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1$. This is the largest possible value. This result generalizes a previous work due to J.M. Fraser and J.T. Hyde ([6]) which was exposed in the conference *Fractal and Related Fields 2*. The case of α -Hölderian functions is also discussed.

1 Introduction

Let $d \geq 1$ and let K be a compact subset in \mathbb{R}^d . Denote by $\mathcal{C}(K)$ the set of continuous functions on K with real values. This is a Banach space when equipped with the supremum norm, $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|$. The graph of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ is the set

$$\Gamma_f^K = \{(x, f(x)) ; x \in K\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

It is often difficult to obtain the exact value of the Hausdorff dimension of the graph Γ_f^K of a precised continuous function f . For example, a famous conjecture says that the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the Weierstrass function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-k\alpha} \cos(2^k x),$$

Frédéric Bayart

Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, BP 10448, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND - CNRS, UMR 6620, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, F-63177 AUBIERE, e-mail: Frederic.Bayart@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

Yanick Heurteaux

Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, BP 10448, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND - CNRS, UMR 6620, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, F-63177 AUBIERE, e-mail: Yanick.Heurteaux@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\Gamma_f^{[0,2\pi]} \right) = 2 - \alpha.$$

This is the natural expected value, but, to our knowledge, this conjecture is not yet solved.

If we add some randomness, the problem becomes much easier and Hunt proved in [11] that the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the random Weierstrass function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-k\alpha} \cos(2^k x + \theta_k)$$

where $(\theta_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence of independent uniform random variables is almost surely equal to the expected value $2 - \alpha$.

In the same spirit we can hope to have a generic answer to the following question:

“What is the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of a continuous function?”

Curiously, the answer to this question depends on the type of genericity we consider. If genericity is relative to the Baire category theorem, Mauldin and Williams proved at the end of the 80's the following result:

Theorem 1. ([13]) *For quasi-all functions $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\Gamma_f^{[0,1]} \right) = 1.$$

This statement on the Hausdorff dimension of the graph is very surprising because it seems to say that a generic continuous function is quite regular. Indeed it is convenient to think that there is a deep correlation between strong irregularity properties of a function and large values of the Hausdorff dimension of its graph.

This curious result seems to indicate that genericity in the sense of the Baire category theorem is not “the good notion of genericity” for this question. In fact, when genericity is related to the notion of prevalence (see Section 2 for a precise definition), Fraser and Hyde recently obtained the following result.

Theorem 2. ([6]) *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The set*

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]^d) ; \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\Gamma_f^{[0,1]^d} \right) = d + 1 \right\}$$

is a prevalent subset of $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]^d)$.

This result says that the Hausdorff dimension of the graph of a generic continuous function is as large as possible and is much more in accordance with the idea that a generic continuous function is strongly irregular.

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 2 is the construction of a fat Cantor set in the interval $[0, 1]$ and a stochastic process on $[0, 1]$ whose graph has almost surely

Hausdorff dimension 2. This construction is difficult to generalize to a compact set $K \neq [0, 1]$. Nevertheless, there are in the literature stochastic processes whose almost sure Hausdorff dimension of their graph is well-known. The most famous example is the Fractional Brownian Motion. Using such a process, we are able to prove the following generalisation of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Let $d \geq 1$ and let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) > 0$. The set*

$$\{f \in \mathcal{C}(K) ; \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1\}$$

is a prevalent subset of $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

In this paper, we have decided to focus to the notion of Hausdorff dimension of graphs. Nevertheless, we can mention that there are also many papers that deal with the generic value of the dimension of graphs when the notion of dimension is for example the lower box dimension (see [5, 9, 12, 16]) or the packing dimension (see [10, 14]).

The paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3 and is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic facts on prevalence. In particular we explain how to use a stochastic process in order to prove prevalence in functional vector spaces. In Section 3, we prove an auxiliary result on Fractional Brownian Motion which will be the key of the main theorem. We finish the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 4. Finally, in a last section, we deal with the case of α -Hölderian functions.

2 Prevalence

Prevalence is a notion of genericity who generalizes in infinite dimensional vector spaces the notion of “almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure”. This notion has been introduced by J. Christensen in [2] and has been widely studied since then. In fractal and multifractal analysis, some properties which are true on a dense G_δ -set are also prevalent (see for instance [8], [7] or [1]), whereas some are not (see for instance [8] or [15]).

Definition 1. Let E be a complete metric vector space. A Borel set $A \subset E$ is called *Haar-null* if there exists a compactly supported probability measure μ such that, for any $x \in E$, $\mu(x+A) = 0$. If this property holds, the measure μ is said to be *transverse* to A .

A subset of E is called *Haar-null* if it is contained in a Haar-null Borel set. The complement of a Haar-null set is called a *prevalent* set.

The following results enumerate important properties of prevalence and show that this notion supplies a natural generalization of “almost every” in infinite-dimensional spaces:

- If A is Haar-null, then $x + A$ is Haar-null for every $x \in E$.

- If $\dim(E) < +\infty$, A is Haar-null if and only if it is negligible with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- Prevalent sets are dense.
- The intersection of a countable collection of prevalent sets is prevalent.
- If $\dim(E) = +\infty$, compact subsets of E are Haar-null.

In the context of a functional vector space E , a usual way to prove that a set $A \subset E$ is prevalent is to use a stochastic process. More precisely, suppose that W is a stochastic process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with values in E and satisfies.

$$\forall f \in E, \quad f + W \in A \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Replacing f by $-f$, we get that the law μ of the stochastic process W is such that

$$\forall f \in E, \quad \mu(f + A) = 1.$$

In general, the measure μ is not compactly supported. Nevertheless, if we suppose that the vector space E is also a Polish space (that is if we add the hypothesis that E is separable), then we can find a compact set $Q \subset E$ such that $\mu(Q) > 0$. It follows that the compactly supported probability measure $\nu = (\mu(Q))^{-1} \mu|_Q$ is transverse to $E \setminus A$.

3 On the graph of a perturbed Fractional Brownian Motion

In this section, we prove an auxiliary result which will be the key of the proof of Theorem 3. For the definition and the main properties of the Fractional Brownian Motion, we refer to [4, Chapter 16].

Theorem 4. *Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Define the stochastic process in \mathbb{R}^d*

$$W(x) = W^1(x_1) + \dots + W^d(x_d) \tag{1}$$

where W^1, \dots, W^d are independent Fractional Brownian Motions starting from 0 with Hurst parameter equal to α . Then, for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f+W}^K) \geq \min\left(\frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha}, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha\right) \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Let us remark that the conclusion of Theorem 4 is sharp. More precisely, suppose that $f = 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. It is well known that the Fractional Brownian Motion is almost-surely uniformly $(\alpha - \varepsilon)$ -Hölderian. It follows that the stochastic process W is also uniformly $(\alpha - \varepsilon)$ -Hölderian on K . It is then straightforward that the graph Γ_W^K satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_W^K) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - (\alpha - \varepsilon) \quad \text{a.s..}$$

On the other hand, the application

$$\Phi : x \in K \mapsto (x, W(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

is almost-surely $(\alpha - \varepsilon)$ -Hölderian. It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_W^K) \leq \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \quad \text{a.s..}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $s > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and W be the process defined as in (1). There exists a constant $C := C(s) > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{(\|x - y\|^2 + (\lambda + W(x) - W(y))^2)^{s/2}} \right] \leq C \begin{cases} \|x - y\|^{1-s-\alpha} & \text{provided } s > 1 \\ \|x - y\|^{-\alpha s} & \text{provided } s < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that $W(x) - W(y)$ is a centered gaussian variable with variance

$$\sigma^2 = h_1^{2\alpha} + \dots + h_d^{2\alpha}$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_d) = x - y$. Hölder's inequality yields

$$\|h\|^{2\alpha} \leq \sigma^2 \leq d^{1-\alpha} \|h\|^{2\alpha}.$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{(\|x - y\|^2 + (\lambda + W(x) - W(y))^2)^{s/2}} \right] = \int \frac{e^{-u^2/(2\sigma^2)}}{(\|h\|^2 + (\lambda + u)^2)^{s/2}} \frac{du}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Suppose that $s > 1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{(\|x - y\|^2 + (\lambda + W(x) - W(y))^2)^{s/2}} \right] &\leq \int \frac{du}{(\|h\|^2 + (\lambda + u)^2)^{s/2} \sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= \int \frac{\|h\| dv}{(\|h\|^2 + (\|h\|v)^2)^{s/2} \sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &\leq \|h\|^{1-s-\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \frac{dv}{(1+v^2)^{s/2}} \\ &:= C \|x - y\|^{1-s-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $0 < s < 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{(\|x - y\|^2 + (\lambda + W(x) - W(y))^2)^{s/2}} \right] &\leq \int \frac{e^{-v^2/2}}{(\lambda + \sigma v)^s \sqrt{2\pi}} dv \\ &\leq \|h\|^{-\alpha s} \int \frac{e^{-v^2/2}}{(\gamma + v)^s \sqrt{2\pi}} dv \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \lambda \sigma^{-1}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{e^{-v^2/2} dv}{(\gamma+v)^s} &= \int \frac{e^{-(v-\gamma)^2/2} dv}{v^s} \leq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dv}{v^s} + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]} \frac{e^{-(v-\gamma)^2/2} dv}{v^s} \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dv}{v^s} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/2} dx \end{aligned}$$

which is a constant C independent of γ and α .

We are now able to finish the proof of Theorem 4. We use the potential theoretic approach (for more details on the potential theoretic approach of the calculus of the Hausdorff dimension, we can refer to [4, Chapter 4]). Suppose first that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) > \alpha$ and let δ be a real number such that

$$\alpha < \delta < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K).$$

There exists a probability measure m on K whose δ -energy $I_\delta(m)$, defined by

$$I_\delta(m) = \iint_{K \times K} \frac{dm(x) dm(y)}{\|x-y\|^\delta}$$

is finite. Conversely, to prove that the Hausdorff dimension of the graph Γ_{f+W}^K is at least $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha$, it suffices to find, for any $s < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha$, a measure μ on Γ_{f+W}^K with finite s -energy.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be the probability space where are defined the Fractional Brownian Motions W^1, \dots, W^d . For any $\omega \in \Omega$, define m_ω as the image of the measure m on the graph $\Gamma_{f+W_\omega}^K$ via the natural projection

$$x \in K \longmapsto (x, f(x) + W_\omega(x)).$$

Set $s = \delta + 1 - \alpha$ which is greater than 1. The s -energy of m_ω is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(m_\omega) &= \iint_{\Gamma_{f+W_\omega}^K \times \Gamma_{f+W_\omega}^K} \frac{dm_\omega(X) dm_\omega(Y)}{\|X-Y\|^s} \\ &= \iint_{K \times K} \frac{dm(x) dm(y)}{\left(\|x-y\|^2 + (f(x) + W_\omega(x) - (f(y) + W_\omega(y)))^2 \right)^{s/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Fubini's theorem and Lemma 1 ensure that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[I_s(m_\omega)] &= \iint_{K \times K} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\|x-y\|^2 + ((f(x)-f(y)) + (W(x)-W(y)))^2 \right)^{s/2}} \right] dm(x) dm(y) \\
&\leq C \iint_{K \times K} \|x-y\|^{1-s-\alpha} dm(x) dm(y) \\
&= CI_\delta(m) \\
&< +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that for \mathbb{P} -almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, the energy $I_s(m_\omega)$ is finite. Since s can be chosen arbitrary closed to $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha$, we get

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f+W_\omega}^K) \geq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

In the case where $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) \leq \alpha$, we proceed exactly in the same way, except that we take any $\delta < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)$ and we set $s = \frac{\delta}{\alpha}$ which is smaller than 1. We then get

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f+W}^K) \geq \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha} \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

4 Proof of Theorem 3

We can now prove Theorem 3. Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) > 0$. Remark first that for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, the graph Γ_f^K is included in $K \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K \times \mathbb{R}) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1.$$

Define

$$G = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(K); \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1\}.$$

Theorem 4 says that for any α such that $0 < \alpha < \min(1, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K))$, the set G_α of all continuous functions $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ satisfying $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) \geq \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha$ is prevalent in $\mathcal{C}(K)$. Finally, we can write

$$G = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} G_{\alpha_n}$$

where $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence decreasing to 0 and we obtain that G is prevalent in $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Remark 1. It is an easy consequence of Ascoli's theorem that the law of the process W is compactly supported in $\mathcal{C}(K)$ (remember that W is almost surely $(\alpha - \varepsilon)$ -Hölderian). Then, we don't need to use that $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is a Polish space to obtain Theorem 3.

Remark 2. Let $K = [0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$. Theorem 3 implies that the set $G \cap (f + G)$ is prevalent. We can then write

$$f = f_1 - f_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_1}^{[0,1]}) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_2}^{[0,1]}) = 2$$

where f_1 and f_2 are continuous functions.

On the other hand, it was recalled in Theorem 1 that the set

$$\tilde{G} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]) ; \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^{[0,1]}) = 1 \right\}$$

contains a dense G_δ -set of $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$. It follows that any continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ can be written

$$f = f_1 - f_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_1}^{[0,1]}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_2}^{[0,1]}) = 1$$

where f_1 and f_2 are continuous functions.

We can then ask the following question: given a real number $\beta \in (1, 2)$ can we write an arbitrary continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ in the following way

$$f = f_1 - f_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_1}^{[0,1]}) = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_{f_2}^{[0,1]}) = \beta$$

where f_1 and f_2 are continuous functions?

We do not know the answer to this question.

5 The case of α -Hölderian functions

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$ be the set of α -Hölderian functions in K endowed with the standard norm

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| + \sup_{(x,y) \in K^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\|x - y\|^\alpha}.$$

It is well known that the Hausdorff dimension of the graph Γ_f^K of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$ satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) \leq \min\left(\frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha}, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha\right) \quad (2)$$

(see for example the remark following the statement of Theorem 4). It is then natural to ask if inequality (2) is an equality in a prevalent set of $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$. This is indeed the case as said in the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let $d \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set with strictly positive Hausdorff dimension. The set*

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(K) ; \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) = \min \left(\frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha}, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha \right) \right\}$$

is a prevalent subset of $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$.

This result generalizes in an arbitrary compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d a previous work of Clausel and Nicolay (see [3, Theorem 2]).

Proof. Let $\alpha < \alpha' < 1$ and let W be the stochastic process defined in Theorem 4 with Hurst parameter α' instead of α . The stochastic process $W|_K$ takes values in $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$. Moreover, if $\alpha < \alpha'' < \alpha'$, the injection

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha''}(K) \mapsto f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$$

is compact. It follows that the law of the stochastic process $W|_K$ is compactly supported in $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$ (W is α'' -Hölderian). Then, Theorem 4 ensures that the set

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(K) ; \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_f^K) \geq \min \left(\frac{\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K)}{\alpha'}, \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(K) + 1 - \alpha' \right) \right\}$$

is prevalent in $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(K)$. Using a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ decreasing to α , we get the conclusion of Theorem 5.

References

1. Bayart F. and Heurteaux Y.: Multifractal analysis of the divergence of Fourier series: the extreme cases. arXiv:1110:5478, submitted (2011)
2. Christensen J.P.R.: On sets of Haar measure zero in Abelian Polish groups. Israel J. Math. **13**, 255-260 (1972)
3. Clausel M. and Nicolay S.: Some prevalent results about strongly monoHolder functions. Nonlinearity **23**, 2101-2116 (2010)
4. Falconer K.J.: Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications. Wiley (2003)
5. Falconer K.J. and Fraser J.M.: The horizon problem for prevalent surfaces, preprint (2011)
6. Fraser J.M. and Hyde J.T.: The Hausdorff dimension of graphs of prevalent continuous functions. arXiv:1104.2206 (2011)
7. Fraysse A and Jaffard S.: How smooth is almost every function in a Sobolev space?. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **22**, 663-682 (2006)
8. Fraysse A., Jaffard S. and Kahane J.P.: Quelques propriétés génériques en analyse. (French) [Some generic properties in analysis]. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340**, 645-651 (2005)
9. Gruslys V., Jonušas J., Mijović V., Ng O., Olsen L. and Petrykiewicz I.: Dimensions of prevalent continuous functions, preprint (2010)
10. Humke P.D. and Petruska G.: The packing dimension of a typical continuous function is 2. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **27**, 345-358 (1988-89)
11. Hunt B.R.: The Hausdorff dimension of graphs of Weierstrass functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126**, 791-800 (1998)

12. Hyde J.T., Laschos V., Olsen L., Petrykiewicz I. and Shaw A.: On the box dimensions of graphs of typical functions, preprint (2010)
13. Mauldin R.D. and Williams S.C.: On the Hausdorff dimension of some graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298**, 793-803 (1986)
14. McClure M.: The prevalent dimension of graphs, *Real Anal. Exchange* **23**, 241-246 (1997)
15. Olsen L.: Fractal and multifractal dimensions of prevalent measures. *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal*, **59**, 661-690 (2010)
16. Shaw A.: Prevalence, M. Math Dissertation, University of St. Andrews (2010)