

Cross-disorder analysis of bipolar risk genes: further evidence of DGKH as a risk gene for bipolar disorder, but also unipolar depression and adult ADHD

Heike Weber, Sarah Kittel-Schneider, Alexandra Gessner, Katharina E Domschke, Maria Neuner, Christian Jacob, Henriette N. Buttenschon, Andrea Boreatti-Hümmer, Julia Volkert, Sabine Herterich, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Heike Weber, Sarah Kittel-Schneider, Alexandra Gessner, Katharina E Domschke, Maria Neuner, et al.. Cross-disorder analysis of bipolar risk genes: further evidence of DGKH as a risk gene for bipolar disorder, but also unipolar depression and adult ADHD. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2011, 10.1038/npp.2011.98. hal-00649456

HAL Id: hal-00649456 https://hal.science/hal-00649456

Submitted on 8 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Cross-disorder analysis of bipolar risk genes: further evidence of *DGKH* as a risk gene for bipolar disorder, but also unipolar depression and adult ADHD

Heike Weber, PhD^{1*}, Sarah Kittel-Schneider, MD^{1*}, Alexandra Gessner, MD¹, Katharina Domschke MD, PhD², Maria Neuner, MD¹, Christian P. Jacob, MD^{1,3}, Henriette N. Buttenschon, PhD⁴, Andrea Boreatti-Hümmer, PhD^{1,3}, Julia Volkert, PhD¹, Sabine Herterich, PhD⁵, Bernhard T. Baune, MD, PhD^{2,7}, Silke Gross-Lesch, MD^{1,3}, Juliane Kopf, PhD¹, Susanne Kreiker, PhD^{3,5}, T. Trang Nguyen, PhD⁸, Lena Weissflog, PhD¹, Volker Arolt, MD², Ole Mors, PhD⁴, Jürgen Deckert, MD¹, Klaus-Peter Lesch, MD^{1,3}, Andreas Reif, MD^{1,3#}

- Psychiatric Neurobiology and Bipolar Disorder Program, Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Würzburg, Germany
- 2) Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Münster, Germany
- ADHD Clinical Research Network, Unit of Molecular Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Würzburg, Germany
- 4) Centre for Psychiatric Research, Aarhus University Hospital, Risskov, Denmark
- 5) Central Laboratory, Department of Clinical Biochemistry and Pathobiochemistry, University of Würzburg, Germany
- Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Würzburg, Germany
- 7) School of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

8) Institute of Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany

*both authors have contributed equally to this work.

[#]Corresponding author: Andreas Reif, Psychiatric Neurobiology and Bipolar Disorder Program, Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University of Würzburg, Füchsleinstr. 15, D-97080 Würzburg, Germany

Phone: +49 931 201 764 02; Fax: +49 931 201 772 20; E-Mail: Reif_A@klinik.uni-wuerzburg.de

Words in the abstract: 250; words in the text: 4 000; words in the introduction: 570; words in material and methods: 819 ; tables: 2; figures: 2; supplementary tables: 7

Running title: Cross-disorder analysis of bipolar risk genes

Abstract

Recently, several genome-wide studies (GWA) on bipolar disorder (BPD) suggested novel risk genes. However, only few of them were followed up and further, the specificity of these genes is even more elusive. To address these issues we genotyped SNPs in ANK3, CACNA1C, CMTM8, DGKH, EGFR and NPAS3, which were significantly associated with BPD in previous GWAS, in a sample of 380 BPD patients. Replicated SNPs were then followed up in patients suffering from unipolar depression (UPD, n=387) or adult ADHD (aADHD, n=535). While we could not confirm an association of ANK3, CACNA1C and EGFR with BPD, 10 SNPs in DGKH, CMTM8 and NPAS3 were nominally associated with disease, with two DGKH markers surviving correction for multiple testing. When these were followed up in UPD and aADHD, seven DGKH SNPs were also associated with UPD, while one SNP each in NPAS3 and CMTM8 and four in aADHD. DGKH linked Furthermore, DGKH haplotype of were to а consisting rs994856/rs9525580/rs9525584 GAT was associated with all disorders tested, while the complementary AGC haplotype was protective. The corresponding haploblock spans a 27 kb region covering exons coding for amino acids 65 to 243, and thus might include functional variants yet to be identified. We demonstrate an association of DGKH with BPD, UPD and aADHD by applying a two-stage design. These disorders share the feature of mood instability, so that this phenotype might be associated with genetic variation in DGKH.

Key words: association, bipolar disorder, depression, adult ADHD, NPAS3, CMTM8

Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BPD) is a severe psychiatric disorder and affects up to 4% of the adult population worldwide (Bauer and Pfennig, 2005; Merikangas *et al*, 2007). Approximately 20% of the patients die of suicide (Kilbane *et al*, 2009). Additionally, co-morbid disorders are frequent and include adult attention deficit / hyperactivity disorder (aADHD), anxiety disorders, and substance abuse (Kessler *et al*, 2006; Merikangas *et al*, 2007). Taken together, this results in severe psychosocial adversity and to serious economic burden. The etiology of BPD remains largely unknown but it is evident that genetic factors play an important role (Kieseppa *et al*, 2004; McGuffin *et al*, 2003).

Consistently replicated risk genes for BPD are still lacking. However, a variety of research tools were applied to detect susceptibility genes for BPD. This includes linkage studies, candidate gene association studies, and finally genome-wide association studies (GWAS). More than 40 linkage scans for BPD have been published to date. Different meta-analysis found the strongest evidence for susceptibility loci on 13q and 22q (Segurado *et al*, 2003). In a combined analysis, 6q21-q25 and 8q24 showed genome-wide significance (McQueen *et al*, 2005). The underlying genes however have not yet been identified. With regard to candidate gene studies, several genes were shown to be associated with BPD, but none of them has been established as a specific BPD susceptibility gene. Among the best replicated genes are *DISC1*, *DAOA/G72*, *BDNF*, *TPH2*, *NRG1*, *ARNTL/CLOCK*, and *FAT* (Barnett and Smoller, 2009). Another approach to identify genetic factors predisposing to diseases is the search for gross structural variations (Zhang *et al*, 2009).

As there are no common loci of large effect, but several genes with small effect sizes increasing the risk towards BPD, GWAS might be more fruitful. Using this rationale, several novel risk genes have recently been published. By examining an US and German population, Baum et al. (Baum *et al*, 2008a) reported

genome wide significance of rs10120253 in intron 1 of DGKH (diacylglycerol kinase eta) (Baum et al, 2008a). DGKH also is a promising functional candidate gene as its gene product is involved in the phosphatidyl inositol pathway, which is assumed to play an important role in lithium action. The UK Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC; (WTCCC, 2007)) demonstrated genome wide significance for a marker next to PALB2, NDUFAB1 and DCTN5, and Sklar et al. reported significant findings for MYO5B, TSPAN8 and EGFR (Sklar et al, 2008). Finally, also including meta-analytic treatment of the WTCCC and Sklar datasets found strong evidence for CACNA1C (alpha-1 subunit of a voltage dependent calcium channel) and ANK3 (ankyrin 3) (Ferreira et al, 2008). Taken together, these GWAS have provided risk genes which have been replicated in some cases (DGKH (Baum et al, 2008b; Ollila et al, 2009), SORCS2 and DFNB31 (Ollila et al, 2009); CACNA1C (Green et al, 2009); ANK3 (Lee et al, 2010; Schulze et al, 2009; Scott et al, 2009; Smith et al, 2009); TSPAN8 (Scholz et al, 2010)), while other replication attempts were negative. Several promising hits however were never attempted to replicate, and the potential impact of these candidate genes on other disorders displaying by mood disturbance has not yet been assessed. We have therefore picked the most promising risk genes and attempted their replication in an independent BPD sample. Confirmed risk genes thereafter were tested in samples consisting of patients suffering from unipolar depression (UPD) or aADHD, which is also characterized by severe mood dysregulation (Jacob *et al*, 2007).

Materials and Methods

Samples

A detailed description of the BPD sample is available elsewhere (Lundorf et al, 2005; Reif et al, 2006b; Scholz et al, 2010). This sample consisted of 214 unrelated bipolar patients (mean age 51.7 ± 14.1 years, 65 % female), from the German Lower Franconia area for whom an ICD-10 diagnosis was established by means of an extensive, semi-structured interview analogous to the AMDP interview (AMDP, 2000) carried out by two experienced psychiatrists at the University of Würzburg. Furthermore, the OPCRIT system was used in these patients (McGuffin et al., 1991). A further 166 unrelated bipolar patients (mean age 43.0 ± 11.5 years, 49 % female), were ascertained according to ICD-10 diagnostic criteria for research (DCR) by means of a semi-structured interview (SCAN ver. 2.1.; World Health Organization, 1998) at the Center for Psychiatric Research, Arhus University Hospital, giving a total number of 380 patients suffering from BPD. 387 unrelated patients of German origin suffered from UPD and were enrolled also in the lower Franconia region (n=120, mean age 54.1 ± 16.3 years, 54 % female) as well as at the Department of Psychiatry, University of Münster (n=267, mean age 49.7 ± 15.4 years, 57 % female) as described (Baune et al, 2008). The diagnosis for UPD was ascertained by trained psychiatrists according to the ICD-10 DCR on the basis of semi-structured interviews. Co-morbidity data for UPD and BPD, respectively, with aADHD could not be obtained as these patients were ascertained during acute disease episodes where it is not possible to reliably establish a diagnosis of aADHD.

The aADHD study sample has been described previously (Franke *et al*, 2010a; Jacob *et al*, 2007; Gross-Lesch et al., in preparation) and consisted of 535 unrelated patients (mean age 33.7 \pm 10.2 years, 46 % female), recruited at the University of Würzburg in the Lower Franconian region, who completed a structured interview from which diagnoses of DSM-IV aADHD were determined by two experienced psychiatrists. 60% of the aADHD patients suffered from combined type ADHD, 30 of inattentive type ADHD, and 10% of hyperactive-impulsive type ADHD. 57% of patients suffered from co-morbid life-time depression, 32% of life-time anxiety disorders and 43% of life-time substance abuse disorders (mainly alcohol abuse). Bipolar disorder has been an exclusion criterion for these patients. None of the patients showed significant neurologic co-morbidity, mental retardation or other somatic disorders suggesting organic psychosis. Patients with substance-induced disorders were excluded as well.

The control sample consisted of 630 healthy subjects and was composed of blood donors, staff members and volunteers all originating from the Lower Franconia region. A total of 284 control subjects (mean age 35 ± 13 years, 47% female) consisting of healthy blood donors originating from Würzburg, were enrolled. The sample was not screened for psychiatric disorders; however, all subjects were free of medication, and the study was explained to them, so that the likelihood of severe psychiatric disorders in the control sample was low. An additional 356 subjects (mean age 33.7 ± 10.2 years, 51% female) were recruited and screened for absence of psychiatric disorders by conducting the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I). All case as well as control subjects were of self-reported German, or Danish, respectively, ethnicity. Only subjects who gave written informed consent were enrolled in the study, which complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Universities of Würzburg, Münster and Århus.

SNP selection and genotyping

Genes of interest were compiled from published GWAS on BPD: NPAS3, ARNT2, CACNA1C, ANK3 (Ferreira et al, 2008), NXN, SLC39A3, SORCS2, DGKH (Baum et al, 2008a; Ollila et al, 2009), NALCN, SLC19A3, SLC29A3, DFNB31, CMTM8 (Ollila et al, 2009; WTCCC, 2007), and EGFR (Sklar et al, 2008).

Genes were selected due to strength of association signals, biological rationale and involved pathways; significant SNPs were selected from previous studies. The study focused on *CACNA1C, ANK3, DGKH, CMTM8* and *EGFR*, as in our hands those were the most promising candidate genes. For the other genes, only one or two top SNPs were tested as pilot investigations; nevertheless, they were also included in this analysis to fully account for multiple testing. Taken together, 14 genes that contained associated SNPs were tagged with 99 SNPs. In a first step, we tested for an association of these SNPs with BPD (single marker data, Suppl. Table 1; haplotype analysis, Suppl. Table 2). Second, we genotyped the 23 SNPs that were found to be nominally associated with BPD (Table 1) in the UPD (single marker data, Suppl. Table 3; haplotype analysis, Suppl. Table 4) and aADHD (single marker data, Suppl. Table 5; haplotype analysis, Suppl. Table 6) samples to assess whether these SNP associations were specific for BPD.

SNP genotyping was performed using Sequenom's MassArray[®] system (Sequenom, San Diego, CA) according to the instructions supplied by the manufacturer. All PCR reactions were done using the iPlex[®] chemistry following the manufacturer's standard operation procedure. All primer sequences are given in Supplementary Table 7.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of genotype data was performed with PLINK V1.07 (Purcell *et al*, 2007) and HaploView V4.1 (Barrett *et al*, 2005). Only polymorphic SNPs with a call rate (CR) \geq 75% were included in the study; of those, genotype frequencies were ascertained for overall Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; χ^2 HWE p-value \geq 0.01). In the BPD sample, 87 of 99 typed SNPs passed these inclusion criteria, 12 SNPs accordingly were excluded from further analysis (rs41274688, rs35776153, rs999940 and 35065420 were monomorphic; rs4955274, rs11914777, rs17172438 and 7984523 departed from HWE; rs4411993,rs7683874, rs10234806 and 10994336 had a CR less than 75%). Of the 23 SNPs genotyped in UPD patients, three SNPs (rs4979416 and rs12496256 due to low CR and rs17455703 due to HWE departure) in the Würzburg subsample and one SNP (rs11773818, low CR) in the Münster subsample did not fulfill the inclusion criteria. In the aADHD sample, all 23 typed markers complied with the quality criteria.

Single marker associations were calculated by comparison of allele counts in 1-degree-of-freedom χ^2 tests; results were adjusted for multiple testing using the conservative Bonferroni correction. For multimarker association tests, haplotype blocks were defined according to the four-gamete rule (Wang *et al*, 2002); inferred haplotype counts in groups were compared with logistic regression. For each haplotype, this multi-marker association test was permuted 10,000 times to generate an empirical probability distribution; this was used to estimate p-values that control the family-wise error rate (FWER). With our study population, nominal association tests have a power of 55% and 50% to detect SNPs and haplotypes, respectively, conveying an odds ratio of 1.5 (corresponding to a relative risk of 1.48) to develop bipolar disorder assuming a co-dominant model and a MAF of 0.05 (Menashe *et al*, 2008). Using the same parameters, the power for SNP and haplotype associations was 66% and 64% for aADHD, while for UPD, the power is 59% and 56%, respectively.

Furthermore, meta-analytic treatment of rs9315885 and rs1170191 was performed by including the studies by Baum *et al*, 2008a, Ollila *et al*, 2009 and Squassina *et al*, 2009 (as these SNPs were not genotyped in the study by Tesli et al., 2010, this study could not be included in the meta-analysis): Odds ratios (ORs) were calculated as a measure for effect size; thereafter, the Q-statistic was applied to assess heterogeneity. Inconsistency across studies was quantified with I^2 metric (I^2=Q-df/Q). In absence of heterogeneity, ORs were combined using fixed-effects models; if significant heterogeneity was detected,

joint ORs were derived from random-effects models. Calculations were performed using R version 2.10 along with the package metafor version 0.5-7.

Results

Single marker analysis

In order to replicate and assess the specificity of 14 selected genes from published GWAS on BPD, we analyzed 88 tag SNPs in the genes *ANK3, ARNT2, CACNA1C, CMTM8, DFNB31, DGKH, EGFR, NALCN, NPAS3, NXN, SLC19A3, SLC29A3, SLC39A3* and *SORCS2* in our BPD sample. After correction for multiple testing, two SNPs (rs1170169 and rs9525580) in *DGKH* remained significantly associated with BPD; at the nominal level, eight further significant findings were detected in the combined BPD sample, while another 13 SNPs were associated in only one of the BPD subsamples (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). In order to examine the specificity of these associations for BPD, we further analyzed all 23 nominally associated markers (from the genes *CMTM8, EGFR, DFNB31, DGKH, NPAS3* and *SLC39A3*) in UPD (Table 1, Suppl. Tab. 3) and aADHD (Table 1, Suppl. Tab. 5). SNPs from the other eight genes showed no significant association with BPD and were thus not analyzed further.

Cross-disorder genotyping revealed a total of twelve SNPs in four genes (*CMTM8, DGKH, NPAS3* and *SLC39A3*) that were associated with at least one of the three examined phenotypes at the nominal level (Table 1). Ten association p-values were nominally significant in the combined BPD sample (the remaining other two had a borderline significant p=0.056), seven in the combined UPD sample and six in the aADHD sample, with seven SNPs being associated with two and two SNPs with all three disorders. Only those SNPs which were associated with BPD in the combined sample replicated in either UPD or aADHD (however including rs2148004 and rs347405 with p=0.056), but not those which were only

associated in one of the subsamples (compare Suppl. Table 1 with Table 1). Most of the replicated SNPs mapped to *DGKH* (BPD: 6 SNPs; UPD: 7 SNPs; aADHD: 4 SNPs), which was the only gene in our study that contained SNPs which were significant following Bonferroni correction (Table 1). Noteworthy, all associations found with UPD mapped to *DGKH*. In *CMTM8*, only one SNP (rs6803740) featured an overlapping association between BPD and aADHD. The same disorders also overlapped in their association regarding the *NPAS3* SNP rs7455703. The *SLC39A3* association of rs4806874 was found to be exclusive for BPD (Table 1).

Haplotype analysis

Haplotype analysis was then performed with all cross-disorder genotyped SNPs (BPD, significant findings: Table 2, complete data is given in Suppl. Table 2; UPD significant findings: Table 2, complete data is given in Suppl. Tab. 4; aADHD significant findings: Table 2, complete data is given in Suppl. Tab. 6). The strongest association found in all analyzed disorders was in *DGKH* block 2 (rs994856-rs9525580-rs9525584; Figure 1) haplotype GAT, which is exclusively composed of each single marker's risk alleles; this was consistent in all three examined phenotypes. Accordingly, GAT frequency was increased in all case groups as compared to controls; although this was nominally significant in all three disorders, the FWER was below 5% only in BPD and aADHD, but slightly above this threshold in UPD (permutation p=0.056). The GAT haplotype can therefore be assumed to predispose to at least two, but possibly to any of the three disorders (see Table 2). In terms of frequency, GAT follows its "complementary" haplotype AGC, which is composed of those alleles that have a higher MAF in controls. The expected protective effect conveyed by AGC, however, was only significant in UPD following FWER correction, and nominally also in BPD (Tables 2). A similar phenomenon was seen in *DGKH* block 1 (rs1170191-1170169-rs2148004) haplotype GCG, whose frequency was lower in all case groups as compared to controls, but the presumed protective effect was nominally significant only in BPD and UPD. The haplotype GGA in

turn was enriched in all cases; following FWER, this was significant in BPD, whereas nominally it was also associated with aADHD and UPD, respectively (p=0.051; Table 2). Two further risk haplotypes were exclusively found to be associated with UPD (Table 2).

Haplotype associations in genes other than *DGKH* were found to be restricted to specific psychiatric disorders and did not withstand correction for multiple testing. In *CMTM8*, block 1 (rs6550109-rs12496256; Figure 2) haplotype TG was significantly protective, while block 3 (rs4276227-rs6803740) haplotype CG was associated with risk for BPD (Table 2). The *CMTM8* block 2 (rs4955272-rs7644602-rs7632109) haplotype GGG was the only significant haplotype association in aADHD and presumed to be protective (Table 2). *NPAS3* rs8015959-rs17455703 had two alleles associated with BPD, the protective CG and the risk haplotype CA (Table 2).

Meta-analysis

To compare our findings with previous studies, we have subjected the significant SNPs rs9315885 and rs1170191 from the studies by Baum et al, 2008a, Ollila et al, 2009 and Squassina et al, 2009 to a formal meta-analysis (Figure 3, Supplemental Table 8). While rs9315885, which was significant in the three other studies but not our dataset, proved to be highly significantly associated in the meta-analysis (Figure 3A), this was not the case for rs1170191 as the effect direction was reversed in our as compared to the other studies (Figure 3B).

12

Discussion

The present study had two major aims: 1) to replicate risk genes for BPD obtained through GWAS and 2) to assess the specificity of associated risk genes by testing all nominally associated SNPs in UPD and aADHD as well. We chose to specifically test risk variants in CACNA1C, ANK3, DGKH, CMTM8 and EGFR, while for several other genes only a few SNPs were tested which we report here as well to fully account for multiple testing. In the following discussion, we will elaborate on a gene-by-gene wise manner, yet genes where only a few SNPs were tested and not found to be associated will not be commented further upon (ARNT2, DFNB31, NALCN, NXN, SLC19A3, SLC29A3, SLC39A3, and SORCS2). An important caveat which has to be considered in the interpretation of our data is the use of a single control group, which has been compared against all three diagnostic groups. Significant deviation of our control group from the population's allele distribution therefore would bias our association data. We have thus compared the MAFs of our control sample to a set of German population based controls, which have been ascertained via the HNR/KORA studies and genotyped on an Illumina microarray (n=795; M. Mattheisen and S. Cichon, personal communication). From the 86 SNPs entering our analysis, 52 could be retrieved from the microarray. Only one of these 52 SNPs however significantly differed from our control sample (nominal p-value p=0.017, rs1370717; all other p-values were > 0.15, data not shown) which argues against the assumption of a systematic bias due to the use of an unusual control group.

ANK3 and CACNA1C

In our panel of candidate genes, we have also included the top SNPs of the most replicated BPD risk genes so far: *ANK3* (Ferreira *et al*, 2008; Lee *et al*, 2010; Schulze *et al*, 2009; Scott *et al*, 2009; Smith *et al*, 2009), which was also associated with schizophrenia (Athanasiu *et al*, 2010) and *CACNA1C* (Ferreira *et al*, 2008; Keers *et al*, 2009; Sklar *et al*, 2008; WTCCC, 2007), which was as well demonstrated to be

associated with schizophrenia (Green *et al*, 2009; Moskvina *et al*, 2009; Nyegaard *et al*, 2010), UPD (Green *et al*, 2009) and its endophenotypes (Casamassima *et al*, 2010). *CACNA1C* was shown to exert effects on verbal fluency and functional (Erk *et al*, 2010; Krug *et al*, 2010; Wessa *et al*, 2010) and structural (Franke *et al*, 2010b; Kempton *et al*, 2009) neuroimaging. We have aimed to replicate these genes in our bipolar sample, yet there was no significant association of either *ANK3* or *CACNAC1C* so that we abstained from testing them further. Several reasons for this lack of replication have to be considered: a) lack of power owing to the sample size of n=380 bipolar patients, as compared to the huge number of patients tested in current GWA studies; b) missed common variants, as we did not tag the whole gene but rather focused on previously associated SNPs however including *CACNA1C* rs1006737, which was tested in the genomic imaging studies outlined above; c) missed rare variants causing an association of common variants in the discovery samples (Dickson *et al*, 2010), thus escaping replication attempts due to differing LD substructures in the examined population; d) genetic heterogeneity of BPD resulting in an association of risk genes in some, but not all populations in the case of *CACNA1C*).

CMTM8 and EGFR

These two genes are considered together, as *CMTM8* (CKLF-like MARVEL transmembrane domain containing 8) appears to be a negative regulator of EGF-induced signaling (Jin *et al*, 2005; Jin *et al*, 2007), which is mediated by the EGF receptor EGFR (previously termed ErbB). Thus, a common pathway of EGFR and CMTM8 seems reasonable. While the first evidence for an involvement of *EGFR* in BPD came from the GWAS by Sklar and associates (Sklar *et al*, 2008), *CMTM8* was identified in the WTCCC dataset

(WTCCC, 2007) yet not replicated later (Ollila et al, 2009). While there is almost no information on CMTM8, there is a vast body of literature on EGFR. This receptor kinase signals through PI3K/Akt as well as RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK (Wong and Guillaud, 2004), leading to downstream mechanisms including cell proliferation and survival. Accordingly, EGFR was shown to regulate neural stem cell proliferation (Cesetti et al, 2009; Grimm et al, 2009; Suh et al, 2009) and migration (Kim et al, 2009). Most interestingly, NO exerts its effect on neural stem cell proliferation by preventing EGFR-induced Akt phosphorylation (Torroglosa et al, 2007). Thus, EGFR and it regulators are excellent candidate molecules for neuropsychiatric disorders. Although other ErbB isoforms have gained much interest due to their interaction with neuregulin-1 (Birchmeier, 2009), there are almost no studies on EGFR / ErbB1 and its pathway. In the present study, we could however not find support for an involvement of EGFR variation in BPD, in contrast to Sklar and colleagues (Sklar et al, 2008). Again, this might be due to populationspecific associations, yet the independent finding on CMTM8 in the WTCCC GWAS underscores the notion that GWA studies, when combined, can indentify novel pathways and thereby provide a starting point for more mechanistic studies. As we could replicate CMTM8 as a bipolar - and, with borderline significance, aADHD – risk gene, we consider further studies worthwhile. Interestingly, when looking up CMTM8 in our pooled GWAS on aADHD (Lesch et al, 2008), rs9833771 which is just 18 kb away from our most significant CMTM8 finding rs6803740 was associated with aADHD at p=0.0002, adding further support for our notion that it is involved in aADHD.

NPAS3

Several lines of evidence link the transcription factor neuronal PAS domain protein 3 (NPAS3) to psychiatric disorders (Pickard *et al*, 2006). In 2003, Kamnasaran reported on a family in which a

disruption of NPAS3 segregates with schizophrenia (Kamnasaran et al, 2003) and was also associated with learning disability (Pickard et al, 2005). This finding was picked up soon thereafter in animal studies demonstrating that Npas3 deletion mutant mice display schizophrenia-like behavioral abnormalities (Erbel-Sieler et al, 2004). Most interestingly, this was paralleled by a marked reduction of hippocampal adult neurogenesis (Pieper et al, 2005) which is suggested to have a role in schizophrenia (Reif et al, 2006a). Later, Pickard and associates could show that common genetic variation in the NPAS3 gene is associated with both schizophrenia and BPD (Pickard et al, 2009). Furthermore, coding non-synonymous variants were identified and demonstrated to be associated with schizophrenia (Macintyre et al, 2010) which might well underlie the association of common, intronic variants (Dickson et al, 2010). Additionally, NPAS3 was identified in two GWAS to be associated with iloperidone response (Lavedan et al, 2009) and, interestingly, BPD (Ferreira et al, 2008). Thus, both hypothesis-free and hypothesis-driven genetic data as well as animal models argue for a role of NPAS3 in psychoses. Indeed, one (rs17455703) of the two NPAS3 SNPs tested in the present study again was associated with BPD. A nominally significant association for the same SNP with aADHD was also found, arguing that the connection between NPAS3 and psychiatric disorders crosses diagnostic boundaries. In line with this, three out of 282 NPAS3 SNPs tested in our aADHD GWAS (Lesch et al, 2008) were associated with disease, also following correction for multiple testing on a gene-based level (rs4503707, rs10483437, rs12100538). Further studies have to reveal whether NPAS3 is involved in cognitive functioning or rather emotional regulation, as both domains are affected in all three disorders (schizophrenia, BPD and aADHD).

DGKH

The most prominent finding of our study however related to DGKH, suggested to be associated with BPD in the GWAS by Baum and colleagues (Baum et al, 2008a; Baum et al, 2008b). However replication failed in studies on BPD and lithium response (Manchia et al, 2009; Tesli et al, 2009) while two other studies were ambiguous (Ollila et al, 2009; Squassina et al, 2009). We attempted to replicate previously associated SNPs (rs9315885 and rs1170191), and by calculating a meta-analysis a role for rs9315885 was confirmed (Figure 3A) which however was not due to a signal in our sample. Our most significant SNPs were tagging SNPs which have not been previously reported. While our data thus are again arguing for a role of DGKH in BPD, they cannot be considered a replication in a strict statistical sense and thus followup studies have to further test the top SNPs described here. Nevertheless, a recent report demonstrated increased expression of DGKH in BPD (Moya et al, 2010), lending further support to the notion for an involvement of this molecule in BPD. The role of DGKH is to metabolize diacylglycerol (DAG), which is produced upon cleavage of PIP2 into IP3 and DAG by phospholipase C. DAG, in turn, activates protein kinase C (PKC) which phosphorylates a variety of proteins including Disheveled, an inhibitor of GSK3β. Thus, although the precise role of DGKH is not known yet, it clearly is involved in crucial pathways for psychiatric disorders and especially the mechanism of action of lithium. Intriguingly, DGKH knockdown in HeLa cells impaired the MEK/ERK pathway activated by EGF, while overexpression of the gene activated the pathway (Yasuda et al, 2009). Thus, DGKH is also linked to EGFR/CMTM8 mentioned above.

In our study, six out of 21 tested SNPs in *DGKH* were associated with BPD, and two SNPs withstood correction for multiple testing. Re-analysis of our aADHD GWAS (Lesch *et al*, 2008) revealed that eight out of 52 SNPs were nominally associated with disease, one of which also survived correction on the gene level (rs10492444; nominal p=0.0004, corrected p=0.0212) and was located 505 bp away from rs9525584 being at the 3' end of the risk haploblock delineated below. Furthermore, two frequent

haplotypes were significantly associated with disease, especially rs994856/rs9525580/rs9525584 GAT. Apart from rs347405, all associated SNPs were also nominally associated with UPD (with three SNPs surviving correction for multiple testing) and again the haploblock rs994856/rs9525580/rs9525584 was associated. In aADHD, four of the eight SNPs replicated, one of which withstanding correction and again, rs994856/rs9525580/rs9525584 GAT was associated with disease. When looking at absolute haplotype frequencies, it becomes apparent that the GAT haplotype is always more frequent in cases (controls, 23%; BPD 31%; UPD, 29%; aADHD 30%), while the AGC haplotype is always less frequent in cases (controls, 48%; BPD, 42%; UPD, 39%; aADHD 45%). While our data as well as HapMap CEU suggest that AGT are the major alleles, the sub-Saharan HapMap subset suggest that the AGC alleles are evolutionary older, i.e. the AGC haplotype can be considered ancient. The GAT haplotype appears to be evolutionary younger and thus it seems to convey the risk variant. The haploblock spans a genomic region of 27 kb covering exons two to six of the gene, i.e. amino acids 65 to 243. Three non-synonymous variants (no frequency data available) are deposited in databases, rs59790803 causing a G>A transition resulting in an possibly damaging Asp>Asn exchange (Polyphen-2 (Adzhubei et al, 2010) score: 0.736, sensitivity: 0.81, specificity: 0.90) in exon 6 at position 107; rs9566925, causing a stop mutation at position 90; and rs1344286, causing a A>C transition resulting in a Thr>Pro exchange at position 65. These regions contain a pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (AA 65-158) and a phorbol-ester/DAG-type zinc finger (AA 175-225). While the latter is the DAG sensor, the PH binds phosphatidylinositols and proteins such as protein kinase C. This domain is therefore involved in intracellular targeting and enables DGKH to interact with other signal transduction pathways. Both variants therefore might well alter the function of the protein either by impairing catalysis or changing protein-protein interactions, thereby disturbing intraneuronal second- and third messenger pathways.

Outlook and conclusions

By applying a two-stage design, we here demonstrated an association of *DGKH* with BPD, UPD and aADHD. These disorders share the feature of mood instability with varying amplitude and frequency. Thus, genetic variation at the *DGKH* locus might be associated with this psychopathological phenotype. This is yet another example that a common genetic variant is associated with more than one psychiatric phenotype, which was also the case with other risk genes picked up by GWAS studies, e.g. *CACNA1C* and *ANK3*. The integration of such findings might pinpoint distinct molecular pathways whose identification might enhance psychiatric diagnostics and research on neurobiological underpinnings.

Disclosure/Conflict of Interest

None of the authors reported any biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all patients for their participation in the study. This study was supported by the DFG (Grant RE1632/5-1 to AR, KFO 125 to AR and KPL; SFB 581 to KPL, SFB TRR 58 Z02 to JD and AR), BMBF (IZKF N-27-N, to AR) and the EC (NEWMOOD LSHM-CT-2003-503474, to KPL). We thank U. Walter and M. Zimmer for their kind help in operating the mass spectrometer. T. Töpner, C. Dreher, N. Steigerwald, C. Gagel and J. Auer are credited for excellent technical assistance. We thank M. Mattheisen and S. Cichon (Institute of Human Genetics, Department of Genomics, Life&Brain Center (IHG), University of Bonn) for providing us with the allele frequency data from the HNR / KORA studies.

Supporting information cited in this article is available online.

References

Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, *et al* (2010). A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* **7**(4): 248-249.

Arbeitsgemeinschaft, für Methodik und Dokumentation in der Psychiatrie (2000) Das AMDP-System. Manual zur Dokumentation Psychiatrischer Befunde. Göttingen, Germany: Hogrefe.

Athanasiu L, Mattingsdal M, Kahler AK, Brown A, Gustafsson O, Agartz I, *et al* (2010). Gene variants associated with schizophrenia in a Norwegian genome-wide study are replicated in a large European cohort. *J Psychiatr Res* **44**(12): 748-753.

Barnett JH, Smoller JW (2009). The genetics of bipolar disorder. Neuroscience 164(1): 331-343.

Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ (2005). Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* **21**(2): 263-265.

Bauer M, Pfennig A (2005). Epidemiology of bipolar disorders. Epilepsia 46 Suppl 4: 8-13.

Baum AE, Akula N, Cabanero M, Cardona I, Corona W, Klemens B, *et al* (2008a). A genome-wide association study implicates diacylglycerol kinase eta (DGKH) and several other genes in the etiology of bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **13**(2): 197-207.

Baum AE, Hamshere M, Green E, Cichon S, Rietschel M, Noethen MM, *et al* (2008b). Meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies of bipolar disorder reveals important points of agreement. *Mol Psychiatry* **13**(5): 466-467.

Baune BT, Hohoff C, Berger K, Neumann A, Mortensen S, Roehrs T, *et al* (2008). Association of the COMT val158met variant with antidepressant treatment response in major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**(4): 924-932.

Birchmeier C (2009). ErbB receptors and the development of the nervous system. *Exp Cell Res* **315**(4): 611-618.

Casamassima F, Huang J, Fava M, Sachs GS, Smoller JW, Cassano GB, *et al* (2010). Phenotypic effects of a bipolar liability gene among individuals with major depressive disorder. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **153B**(1): 303-309.

Cesetti T, Obernier K, Bengtson CP, Fila T, Mandl C, Holzl-Wenig G, *et al* (2009). Analysis of stem cell lineage progression in the neonatal subventricular zone identifies EGFR+/NG2- cells as transit-amplifying precursors. *Stem Cells* **27**(6): 1443-1454.

Dickson SP, Wang K, Krantz I, Hakonarson H, Goldstein DB (2010). Rare variants create synthetic genome-wide associations. *PLoS Biol* **8**(1): e1000294.

Erbel-Sieler C, Dudley C, Zhou Y, Wu X, Estill SJ, Han T, *et al* (2004). Behavioral and regulatory abnormalities in mice deficient in the NPAS1 and NPAS3 transcription factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**(37): 13648-13653.

Erk S, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Schnell K, Opitz von Boberfeld C, Esslinger C, Kirsch P, *et al* (2010). Brain function in carriers of a genome-wide supported bipolar disorder variant. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **67**(8): 803-811.

Ferreira MA, O'Donovan MC, Meng YA, Jones IR, Ruderfer DM, Jones L, *et al* (2008). Collaborative genome-wide association analysis supports a role for ANK3 and CACNA1C in bipolar disorder. *Nat Genet* **40**(9): 1056-1058.

Franke B, Vasquez AA, Johansson S, Hoogman M, Romanos J, Boreatti-Hummer A, et al (2010a). Multicenter analysis of the SLC6A3/DAT1 VNTR haplotype in persistent ADHD suggests differential involvement of the gene in childhood and persistent ADHD. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**(3): 656-664.

Franke B, Vasquez AA, Veltman JA, Brunner HG, Rijpkema M, Fernandez G (2010b). Genetic Variation in CACNA1C, a Gene Associated with Bipolar Disorder, Influences Brainstem Rather than Gray Matter Volume in Healthy Individuals. *Biol Psychiatry* **68**(6):586-8.

Green EK, Grozeva D, Jones I, Jones L, Kirov G, Caesar S, *et al* (2009). The bipolar disorder risk allele at CACNA1C also confers risk of recurrent major depression and of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **15**(10):1016-22

Grimm I, Messemer N, Stanke M, Gachet C, Zimmermann H (2009). Coordinate pathways for nucleotide and EGF signaling in cultured adult neural progenitor cells. *J Cell Sci* **122**(Pt 14): 2524-2533.

Jacob CP, Romanos J, Dempfle A, Heine M, Windemuth-Kieselbach C, Kruse A, *et al* (2007). Co-morbidity of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with focus on personality traits and related disorders in a tertiary referral center. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* **257**(6): 309-317.

Jin C, Ding P, Wang Y, Ma D (2005). Regulation of EGF receptor signaling by the MARVEL domaincontaining protein CKLFSF8. *FEBS Lett* **579**(28): 6375-6382.

Jin C, Wang Y, Han W, Zhang Y, He Q, Li D, *et al* (2007). CMTM8 induces caspase-dependent and - independent apoptosis through a mitochondria-mediated pathway. *J Cell Physiol* **211**(1): 112-120.

Kamnasaran D, Muir WJ, Ferguson-Smith MA, Cox DW (2003). Disruption of the neuronal PAS3 gene in a family affected with schizophrenia. *J Med Genet* **40**(5): 325-332.

Keers R, Farmer AE, Aitchison KJ (2009). Extracting a needle from a haystack: reanalysis of whole genome data reveals a readily translatable finding. *Psychol Med* **39**(8): 1231-1235.

Kempton MJ, Ruberto G, Vassos E, Tatarelli R, Girardi P, Collier D, *et al* (2009). Effects of the CACNA1C risk allele for bipolar disorder on cerebral gray matter volume in healthy individuals. *Am J Psychiatry* **166**(12): 1413-1414.

Kessler RC, Akiskal HS, Ames M, Birnbaum H, Greenberg P, Hirschfeld RM, *et al* (2006). Prevalence and effects of mood disorders on work performance in a nationally representative sample of U.S. workers. *Am J Psychiatry* **163**(9): 1561-1568.

Kieseppa T, Partonen T, Haukka J, Kaprio J, Lonnqvist J (2004). High concordance of bipolar I disorder in a nationwide sample of twins. *Am J Psychiatry* **161**(10): 1814-1821.

Kilbane EJ, Gokbayrak NS, Galynker I, Cohen L, Tross S (2009). A review of panic and suicide in bipolar disorder: does comorbidity increase risk? *J Affect Disord* **115**(1-2): 1-10.

Kim Y, Comte I, Szabo G, Hockberger P, Szele FG (2009). Adult mouse subventricular zone stem and progenitor cells are sessile and epidermal growth factor receptor negatively regulates neuroblast migration. *PLoS One* **4**(12): e8122.

Krug A, Nieratschker V, Markov V, Krach S, Jansen A, Zerres K, *et al* (2010). Effect of CACNA1C rs1006737 on neural correlates of verbal fluency in healthy individuals. *Neuroimage* **49**(2): 1831-1836.

Lavedan C, Licamele L, Volpi S, Hamilton J, Heaton C, Mack K, *et al* (2009). Association of the NPAS3 gene and five other loci with response to the antipsychotic iloperidone identified in a whole genome association study. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(8): 804-819.

Lee MT, Chen CH, Lee CS, Chen CC, Chong MY, Ouyang WC, *et al* (2010). Genome-wide association study of bipolar I disorder in the Han Chinese population. *Mol Psychiatry*.

Lesch KP, Timmesfeld N, Renner TJ, Halperin R, Roser C, Nguyen TT, *et al* (2008). Molecular genetics of adult ADHD: converging evidence from genome-wide association and extended pedigree linkage studies. *J Neural Transm* **115**(11): 1573-1585.

Lundorf MD, Buttenschon HN, Foldager L, Blackwood DH, Muir WJ, Murray V, *et al* (2005). Mutational screening and association study of glutamate decarboxylase 1 as a candidate susceptibility gene for bipolar affective disorder and schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **135B**(1): 94-101.

Macintyre G, Alford T, Xiong L, Rouleau GA, Tibbo PG, Cox DW (2010). Association of NPAS3 exonic variation with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* **120**(1-3): 143-149.

Manchia M, Squassina A, Congiu D, Chillotti C, Ardau R, Severino G, *et al* (2009). Interacting genes in lithium prophylaxis: preliminary results of an exploratory analysis on the role of DGKH and NR1D1 gene polymorphisms in 199 Sardinian bipolar patients. *Neurosci Lett* **467**(2): 67-71.

McGuffin P, Farmer A, Harvey I (1991). A polydiagnostic application of operational criteria in studies of psychotic illness: development and reliability of the OPCRIT system. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **48**:764–770.

McGuffin P, Rijsdijk F, Andrew M, Sham P, Katz R, Cardno A (2003). The heritability of bipolar affective disorder and the genetic relationship to unipolar depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **60**(5): 497-502.

McQueen MB, Devlin B, Faraone SV, Nimgaonkar VL, Sklar P, Smoller JW, *et al* (2005). Combined analysis from eleven linkage studies of bipolar disorder provides strong evidence of susceptibility loci on chromosomes 6q and 8q. *Am J Hum Genet* **77**(4): 582-595.

Menashe I, Rosenberg PS, Chen BE (2008). PGA: power calculator for case-control genetic association analyses. *BMC Genet* **9**: 36.

Merikangas KR, Akiskal HS, Angst J, Greenberg PE, Hirschfeld RM, Petukhova M, *et al* (2007). Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of bipolar spectrum disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **64**(5): 543-552.

Moskvina V, Craddock N, Holmans P, Nikolov I, Pahwa JS, Green E, *et al* (2009). Gene-wide analyses of genome-wide association data sets: evidence for multiple common risk alleles for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder and for overlap in genetic risk. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(3): 252-260.

Moya PR, Murphy DL, McMahon FJ, Wendland JR (2010). Increased gene expression of diacylglycerol kinase eta in bipolar disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*: 1-2.

Nyegaard M, Demontis D, Foldager L, Hedemand A, Flint TJ, Sorensen KM, *et al* (2010). CACNA1C (rs1006737) is associated with schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **15**(2): 119-121.

Ollila HM, Soronen P, Silander K, Palo OM, Kieseppa T, Kaunisto MA, *et al* (2009). Findings from bipolar disorder genome-wide association studies replicate in a Finnish bipolar family-cohort. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(4): 351-353.

Pickard BS, Christoforou A, Thomson PA, Fawkes A, Evans KL, Morris SW, *et al* (2009). Interacting haplotypes at the NPAS3 locus alter risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(9): 874-884.

Pickard BS, Malloy MP, Porteous DJ, Blackwood DH, Muir WJ (2005). Disruption of a brain transcription factor, NPAS3, is associated with schizophrenia and learning disability. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **136B**(1): 26-32.

Pickard BS, Pieper AA, Porteous DJ, Blackwood DH, Muir WJ (2006). The NPAS3 gene--emerging evidence for a role in psychiatric illness. *Ann Med* **38**(6): 439-448.

Pieper AA, Wu X, Han TW, Estill SJ, Dang Q, Wu LC, *et al* (2005). The neuronal PAS domain protein 3 transcription factor controls FGF-mediated adult hippocampal neurogenesis in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**(39): 14052-14057.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, *et al* (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**(3): 559-575.

Reif A, Fritzen S, Finger M, Strobel A, Lauer M, Schmitt A, *et al* (2006a). Neural stem cell proliferation is decreased in schizophrenia, but not in depression. *Mol Psychiatry* **11**(5): 514-522.

Reif A, Herterich S, Strobel A, Ehlis AC, Saur D, Jacob CP, *et al* (2006b). A neuronal nitric oxide synthase (NOS-I) haplotype associated with schizophrenia modifies prefrontal cortex function. *Mol Psychiatry* **11**(3): 286-300.

Scholz CJ, Jacob CP, Buttenschon HN, Kittel-Schneider S, Boreatti-Hummer A, Zimmer M, *et al* (2010). Functional variants of TSPAN8 are associated with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **153B**(4): 967-972.

Schulze TG, Detera-Wadleigh SD, Akula N, Gupta A, Kassem L, Steele J, *et al* (2009). Two variants in Ankyrin 3 (ANK3) are independent genetic risk factors for bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(5): 487-491.

Scott LJ, Muglia P, Kong XQ, Guan W, Flickinger M, Upmanyu R, *et al* (2009). Genome-wide association and meta-analysis of bipolar disorder in individuals of European ancestry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**(18): 7501-7506.

Segurado R, Detera-Wadleigh SD, Levinson DF, Lewis CM, Gill M, Nurnberger JI, Jr., *et al* (2003). Genome scan meta-analysis of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, part III: Bipolar disorder. *Am J Hum Genet* **73**(1): 49-62.

Sklar P, Smoller JW, Fan J, Ferreira MA, Perlis RH, Chambert K, *et al* (2008). Whole-genome association study of bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **13**(6): 558-569.

Smith EN, Bloss CS, Badner JA, Barrett T, Belmonte PL, Berrettini W, *et al* (2009). Genome-wide association study of bipolar disorder in European American and African American individuals. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(8): 755-763.

Squassina A, Manchia M, Congiu D, Severino G, Chillotti C, Ardau R, *et al* (2009). The diacylglycerol kinase eta gene and bipolar disorder: a replication study in a Sardinian sample. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(4): 350-351.

Suh Y, Obernier K, Holzl-Wenig G, Mandl C, Herrmann A, Worner K, *et al* (2009). Interaction between DLX2 and EGFR regulates proliferation and neurogenesis of SVZ precursors. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **42**(4): 308-314.

Tesli M, Kahler AK, Andreassen BK, Werge T, Mors O, Mellerup E, *et al* (2009). No association between DGKH and bipolar disorder in a Scandinavian case-control sample. *Psychiatr Genet* **19**(5): 269-272.

Torroglosa A, Murillo-Carretero M, Romero-Grimaldi C, Matarredona ER, Campos-Caro A, Estrada C (2007). Nitric oxide decreases subventricular zone stem cell proliferation by inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor and phosphoinositide-3-kinase/Akt pathway. *Stem Cells* **25**(1): 88-97.

Wang N, Akey JM, Zhang K, Chakraborty R, Jin L (2002). Distribution of recombination crossovers and the origin of haplotype blocks: the interplay of population history, recombination, and mutation. *Am J Hum Genet* **71**(5): 1227-1234.

Wessa M, Linke J, Witt SH, Nieratschker V, Esslinger C, Kirsch P, et al (2010). The CACNA1C risk variant for bipolar disorder influences limbic activity. *Mol Psychiatry*.

Wong RW, Guillaud L (2004). The role of epidermal growth factor and its receptors in mammalian CNS. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* **15**(2-3): 147-156.

World Health Organization (1998) Diagnosis and clinical measurement in psychiatry. A reference manual for SCAN.

WTCCC (2007). Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature* **447**(7145): 661-678.

Yasuda S, Kai M, Imai S, Takeishi K, Taketomi A, Toyota M, *et al* (2009). Diacylglycerol kinase eta augments C-Raf activity and B-Raf/C-Raf heterodimerization. *J Biol Chem* **284**(43): 29559-29570.

Zhang D, Cheng L, Qian Y, Alliey-Rodriguez N, Kelsoe JR, Greenwood T, *et al* (2009). Singleton deletions throughout the genome increase risk of bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**(4): 376-380.

Tables

 Table 1: Nominally significant findings for Bipolar Disorder (combined BPD sample), along with the corresponding results for Unipolar

 Depression (combined UPD sample) and adult Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (aADHD). Results are shown along with minor/major

 alleles (converted to the coding strand for the genes), the associated disease, allele frequencies for cases and controls, nominal p-Values, as well

 as Bonferroni-corrected p-values.

			N=630	Complete BPD Sample (N=380)			Complete UPD Sample (N=387)			Adult ADHD Sample (N=535)		
	Alle	les	Controls	Cases	Nominal	Bonferroni	Cases	Nominal	Bonferroni	Cases	Nominal	Bonferroni
SNP	Minor	Major	% d	% d	P-value	P-value	% d	P-value	P-value	% d	P-value	P-value
CMTM8; Chro	omosome 3											
rs12496256	G	А	0.336	0.282	0.013	1	0.303	0.181	1	0.313	0.250	1
rs6803740	G	А	0.165	0.210	0.014	1	0.183	0.289	1	0.198	0.041	0.941
DGKH; Chrom	nosome 13											
rs1170191	А	G	0.159	0.197	0.026	1	0.213	0.003	0.059	0.170	0.455	1
rs1170169	G	С	0.303	0.405	1.5*10 ⁻⁴	0.013	0.402	2.8*10 ⁻⁴	0.006	0.354	0.046	1
rs2148004	G	А	0.317	0.280	0.056	1	0.256	0.005	0.114	0.289	0.152	1
rs994856	G	А	0.401	0.455	0.017	1	0.454	0.024	0.556	0.454	0.012	0.277
rs9525580	А	G	0.232	0.312	8.1*10 ⁻⁵	0.007	0.284	0.012	0.267	0.293	0.001	0.023
rs9525584	С	т	0.481	0.423	0.013	1	0.391	1.2*10 ⁻⁴	0.003	0.444	0.083	1
rs1170101	G	А	0.277	0.349	7.1*10 ⁻⁴	0.063	0.353	4.0*10 ⁻⁴	0.009	0.304	0.152	1
rs347405	С	G	0.449	0.493	0.056	1	0.468	0.423	1	0.492	0.043	0.987
NPAS3; Chror	mosome 14											
rs17455703	G	А	0.296	0.253	0.041	1	0.252	0.070	1	0.250	0.016	0.359
<i>SLC39A3</i> ; Chr	omosome 1	9										
rs4806874	G	А	0.314	0.269	0.033	1	0.293	0.327	1	0.309	0.788	1
						27						

Table 2: Association of haplotypes with the complete BPD, UPD and aADHD samples containing at least one significant haplotype. Bold, p<0.05</th>

СМТМ	18											
Chromosome 3; Block 1			Complete	BPD Samp	e (N=380)	Complete	UPD Samp	le (N=387)	Adult AD	HD Sample	e (N=535)	
			Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	
rs6550109	rs12496256		Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	
Т	G		0.274/0.336	0.004	0.183	0.298/0.336	0.124	0.942	0.311/0.336	0.185	0.959	
Т	А		0.133/0.110	0.158	1	0.134/0.110	0.166	0.990	0.113/0.110	0.799	1	
С	А		0.593/0.554	0.151	1	0.569/0.554	0.579	1	0.576/0.554	0.347	0.999	
Chrom	osome 3; Bl	ock 2	Complete	BPD Sampl	e (N=380)	Complete	UPD Samp	le (N=387)	Adult ADHD Sample (N=535)			
			Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	
rs4955272	rs7644602	rs7632109	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	
G	G	А	0.183/0.218	0.054	0.949	0.211/0.218	0.709	1	0.244/0.218	0.151	0.925	
G	G	G	0.239/0.23	0.667	1	0.199/0.23	0.134	0.973	0.185/0.23	0.016	0.251	
А	Т	G	0.299/0.287	0.573	1	0.285/0.287	0.916	1	0.290/0.287	0.923	1	
G	Т	G	0.279/0.265	0.537	1	0.305/0.265	0.068	0.674	0.281/0.265	0.313	0.997	
Chrom	osome 3; Bl	ock 3	Complete	Complete BPD Sample (N=380)			Complete UPD Sample (N=387)			Adult ADHD Sample (N=535)		
			Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	
rs4276227	rs6803740		Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	
С	G		0.210/0.165	0.013	0.519	0.186/0.165	0.225	0.952	0.194/0.165	0.070	0.691	
Т	А		0.306/0.325	0.393	1	0.335/0.325	0.627	1	0.328/0.325	0.870	1	
			0.484/0.510	0.270	1				0 470/0 540	0.000	0.769	
С	А					0.479/0.510 28	0.170	0.853	<u> </u>	0.080	0.758	

D	G	Kŀ
~	•	

Chromosome 13: Block 1			Complete	BPD Samp	le (N=380)	Complete	UPD Samp	e (N=387)	Adult AD	HD Sample	(N=535)
Children	0001110 10, 1		Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation
rs1170191	rs1170169	rs2148004	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value
G	С	G	0.269/0.318	0.021	0.677	0.257/0.318	0.005	0.197	0.286/0.318	0.107	0.835
А	G	А	0.189/0.161	0.112	0.998	0.211/0.161	0.006	0.140	0.168/0.161	0.706	1
G	G	Α	0.218/0.159	5.4*10 ⁻⁴	0.030	0.188/0.159	0.051	0.563	0.192/0.159	0.009	0.151
G	С	Α	0.324/0.362	0.051	0.941	0.343/0.362	0.288	0.990	0.354/0.362	0.579	1
Chrom	osome 13; E	Block 2	Complete	BPD Samp	le (N=380)	Complete UPD Sample (N=387)			Adult ADHD Sample (N=535)		
			Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation
rs994856	rs9525580	rs9525584	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value
Α	G	С	0.417/0.48	0.009	0.406	0.39/0.48	1.6*10 ⁻⁴	0.021	0.445/0.48	0.120	0.873
G	Α	т	0.312/0.228	2.9 *10 ⁻⁵	0.001	0.288/0.228	0.004	0.056	0.296/0.228	3.0*10 ⁻⁴	0.004
G	G	Т	0.145/0.17	0.153	1	0.166/0.17	0.810	1	0.159/0.17	0.479	1
Α	G	т	0.127/0.122	0.737	1	0.155/0.122	0.039	0.867	0.101/0.122	0.132	0.899
NPAS3											
Chrom	osome 14; E	Block 1	Complete	BPD Samp	le (N=380)	Complete UPD Sample (N=387)		e (N=387)	Adult ADHD Sample (N=535)		
			Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation	Case/Control	Nominal	Permutation
rs8015959	rs17455703		Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value	Frequencies	P-Value	P-Value
С	G		0.253/0.296	0.039	0.883						
Т	А		0.024/0.026	0.823	1						
			0.723/0.678	0.038	0.877						
С	Α										

Figures

Figure 1: LD plot of *DGKH*, according to the four gamete rule.

Figure 2: LD plot of *CMTM8*, according to the four gamete rule.

Figure 3. Forest Plots displaying meta-analyses of minor vs. major allele of rs9315885 (A) and rs1170191 (B).





Sample	Cas	es	Cont	rols	Odds Ratio				
rs9315885	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl				
Weber et al.	214	690	384	1234	1.00 [0.81, 1.22]				
Baum et al. (German)	462	1644	606	1752	0.81 [0.70, 0.94]				
Baum et al. (NIMH)	242	922	360	1124	0.76 [0.62, 0.92]				
Squassina et al.	158	394	282	600	0.75 [0.58, 0.98]				
Total (95% CI)		3650		4710	0.82 [0.75, 0.91]				
Total events 1076 1632									
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4.675$; df = 3 (p = 0.197)									
Test for overall effect: $Z = -4.03$ (p= 0.0001)									
Cochran-Mantel-Haenzel-Test: CMH = 16.07 ; df = 4 (p = 0.0001)									



Sample	Cas	es	Cont	rols	Odds Ratio				
rs1170191	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% Cl				
Weber et al.	144	730	196	1240	1.31 [1.03, 1.66]				
Baum et al. (German)	233	1544	350	1752	0.71 [0.59, 0.85]				
Baum et al. (NIMH)	122	922	202	1124	0.70 [0.55, 0.89]				
Squassina et al.	83	393	144	600	0.85 [0.62, 1.15]				
Total (95% CI)		3589		4716	0.83 [0.74, 0.94]				
Total events									
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.077$; $Chi^2 = 18.83$; $df = 3(P = 0.0003)$; $I^2 = 84.07\%$									
Test for overall effect: $Z = -1.008$ (P=0.314)									

