

Moderation of Adult Depression by a Polymorphism in the FKBP5 Gene and Childhood Physical Abuse in the General Population

Katja Appel, Christian Schwahn, Jessie Mahler, Andrea Schulz, Carsten Spitzer, Kristin Fenske, Jan Stender, Sven Barnow, Ulrich John, Alexander Teumer, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Katja Appel, Christian Schwahn, Jessie Mahler, Andrea Schulz, Carsten Spitzer, et al.. Moderation of Adult Depression by a Polymorphism in the FKBP5 Gene and Childhood Physical Abuse in the General Population. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2011, 10.1038/npp.2011.81. hal-00649452

HAL Id: hal-00649452 https://hal.science/hal-00649452

Submitted on 8 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Moderation of Adult Depression by a Polymorphism in the

FKBP5 Gene and Childhood Physical Abuse in the General

Population

Word count (main document): 4208

Katja Appel^{1*}, Christian Schwahn, PhD^{2,3,9*}, Jessie Mahler¹, Andrea Schulz¹, Carsten Spitzer, M.D.⁴, Kristin Fenske⁵, Jan Stender⁵, Sven Barnow, PhD⁶, Ulrich John, PhD⁷, Alexander Teumer, Ms⁸, Reiner Biffar, M.D.², Matthias Nauck, M.D.⁹, Henry Völzke, M.D.¹⁰, Harald Jürgen Freyberger, M.D.¹, Hans Jörgen Grabe, M.D.¹

* These authors contributed equally to this work

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Greifswald; Germany

² Center of Oral Health, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Gerostomatology and

Dental Materials, University of Greifswald; Germany

³ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

⁴ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University of Hamburg; Germany

⁵ Department of Biological and Clinical Psychology, University of Greifswald;

Germany

⁶ Institute of Clinical Psychology, University of Heidelberg; Germany

⁷ Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Greifswald; Germany

⁸ Interfacultary Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University of Greifswald, Germany

⁹ Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University of Greifswald,

Germany

¹⁰ Institute for Community Medicine, University of Greifswald; Germany

Address for correspondence:

Katja Appel

Department of Psychiatry, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University of Greifswald,

Ellernholzstraße 1-2, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.

Tel.: ++49 - (0)3834/86-6905; Fax.:++49 - (0)3834/86-6889;

E-mail: katja.appel@uni-greifswald.de

ABSTRACT

Childhood maltreatment and depressive disorders have both been associated with a dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) -axis. The FKBP5 gene codes for a co-chaperone regulating the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) sensitivity. Previous evidence suggests that subjects carrying the TT genotype of the FKBP5 gene single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1360780 have an increased susceptibility to adverse effects of experimental stress. We therefore tested the hypothesis of an interaction of childhood abuse with rs1360780 in predicting adult depression. 2157 Caucasian subjects from the Study of Health in Pomerania (German general population) completed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) and Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. The DSM-IV diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) was assessed by interview. Genotypes of rs1360780 were taken from the Affymetrix Human SNP Array 6.0. Significant interaction (p=0.006) of physical abuse with the TT genotype of rs1360780 was found increasing the BDI-II score to 17.4 (95% CI 12.0– 22.9) compared with 10.0 (8.2–11.7) in exposed CC/CT carriers. Likewise the adjusted odds ratio (OR) for MDD in exposed TT carriers was 8.2 (95% CI 1.9-35.0) compared with 1.3 (0.8–2.3) in exposed subjects with CC/CT genotypes. Relative Excess Risk due to Interaction (RERI) analyses confirmed a significant additive interaction effect (RERI=6.8; 95% CI: 0.64– 33.7; p < 0.05). In explorative analyses, the most severe degree of sexual and emotional abuse also yielded significant interaction effects (p < 0.05). This study revealed interactions between physical abuse and rs1360780 of the FKBP5 gene, confirming its role in the individual susceptibility to depression. Given the large effect sizes, rs1360780 could be included into prediction models for depression in individuals exposed to childhood abuse.

Keywords: Major Depression; Childhood abuse; General population; *FKBP5* gene; Geneenvironment Interaction; CTQ

INTRODUCTION

A dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis has been suggested as an important pathogenetic factor in depressive disorders (Holsboer, 1999, Holsboer, 2000). Normalization of the HPA function was found to be a neuroendocrinological correlate of the clinical improvement of depression (Zobel et al., 2001). In search for the genetic and functional mechanisms underlying the HPA dysregulation in depression, recent research focused on genes involved in HPA-axis regulation, such as the genes coding for the corticotrophin-releasing hormone receptor 1 (Bradley et al., 2008) and for FKBP5 (Binder et al., 2004, Binder et al., 2008, Binder, 2009).

The *FKBP5* gene is located on chromosome 6p21 and consists of 10 exons. The *FKBP5* gene codes for FK506 binding protein 51 (FKBP5), a co-chaperone of hsp90 which regulates the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) sensitivity (Binder, 2009). FKBP5 is mainly expressed in the brain, and in a broad array of human cells, including muscle, liver, and thymus tissue (Gawlik et al., 2006). Functionally, cortisol induces the FKBP5 expression by activation of glucocorticoid-response-elements (Vermeer et al., 2003). In turn, FKBP5 binding to the GR reduces the GR affinity for cortisol and diminishes the amount of activated GR translocation to the cell nucleus (Wochnik et al., 2005).

Interestingly, common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the *FKBP5* gene have been found to increase the FKBP5 protein expression, e.g. the high-induction allele (T) of the *FKBP5* gene SNP rs1360780 (Binder et al., 2004). In line with the pathophysiological model the high-induction alleles of the *FKBP5* gene are associated with a relative GR resistance (Binder et al., 2008). Recently, it was demonstrated that healthy subjects homozygote for the high-induction alleles had a significantly slower recovery of stress-induced cortisol responses and higher levels of anxiety during the recovery phase of a psychosocial stress test than subjects with other genotypes (Ising et al., 2008). A corresponding finding was reported by Luijk et al. (Luijk et al., 2010) when investigated the cortisol reactivity of 14 months old infants during a stress test: the rs1360780 T allele was directly associated with an increased cortisol response. Moreover, insecure-resistant attachment behavior interacted with rs1360780 T-allele increasing the cortisol response.

Depressed patients homozygote for the high-induction allele (T) of rs1360780 showed a significantly faster response to antidepressant treatment and suffered from more previous episodes than the carriers of the CT or CC genotypes (Binder et al., 2004). In the STAR*D study only the CT genotype but not the T allele was associated with depression in White non-Hispanics compared to controls (Lekman et al., 2008). In some clinical samples with smaller size no association was found (Gawlik et al., 2006, Papiol et al., 2007).

Following the approach of gene-environment-interactions (Caspi et al., 2003, Grabe et al., 2005, Grabe et al., 2010), Binder et al. investigated the interaction between SNPs of the *FKBP5* gene and childhood abuse in adult posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Binder et al., 2008). They found four highly linked SNPs of the *FKBP5* gene (including rs1360780) that interacted significantly with childhood abuse to predict PTSD but not depression scores in adulthood. The interaction between SNPs of the *FKBP5* gene (including rs1360780) and childhood adversity in PTSD was confirmed in African Americans but not in European Americans (Xie et al.). A further study found interactions between SNPs of the *FKBP5* gene (including rs1360780) and childhood trauma in adult suicide attempts, however in this study the common risk allele was protective (Roy et al.). As some studies have suggested that early life stress precedes the HPA axis hyperactivity observed in MDD (Heim and Nemeroff, 2001, Carpenter et al., 2004) an interaction between variations within the *FKBP5* gene and childhood abuse could be of relevance in adult depression too.

We therefore investigated the hypothesis of an interaction between the high-induction TT genotype of rs1360780 and childhood abuse and neglect in adult depression. This hypothesis was tested in a general adult population sample of 2157 subjects using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (Beck and Steer, 1987) score as the first outcome parameter. The BDI-II

was chosen as primary outcome because of the higher statistical power of dimensional outcomes. Significant results were then replicated in a second model using DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) lifetime major depressive disorder as outcome variable. This was done because of two reasons: 1. To replicate and confirm the BDI-II interaction findings with an different but correlated outcome that assesses the diagnosis of lifetime occurrence of depression derived from an interview. 2. To evaluate the putative relevance of the interaction findings for clinical depression which is of course different than the self-rated BDI-II score on depressive symptoms in the general population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLING AND PHENOTYPING METHODS

Sample and Sample Recruitment

Data from the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP) were used (John et al., 2001, Grabe et al., 2005). The target population was comprised of adult German residents in northeastern Germany living in 3 cities and 29 communities, with a total population of 212,157. A two-stage stratified cluster sample of adults aged 20 to 79 years (baseline) was randomly drawn from local population registries. The net sample (without migrated or deceased persons) comprised 6,267 eligible subjects, of which 4,308 Caucasian subjects participated at baseline SHIP-0 between 1997 and 2001. Follow-up examination (SHIP-1) was conducted 5 years after baseline and included 3,300 subjects.

In 2007, the "Life-Events and Gene-Environment Interaction in Depression" (LEGEND) study was started (Volzke et al., 2010). Until then, 639 participants from the baseline sample SHIP-0 were either deceased (n=383) or refused further participation (n=256). Thus, 3669 participants were invited to take part in the LEGEND study. During the conduction of LEGEND (June 2007 until August 2010) 92 participants were deceased and 1011 subjects

refused participation in the LEGEND study. 132 subjects did not respond to repeated efforts of contact (at least three written invitations, 10 telephone calls and five home visits). 35 subjects agreed to participate but missed all appointments.

Among the 2400 subjects who participated in the LEGEND study, 134 subjects were excluded from the analyses because of unreliable information or inconsistencies in the interview according to the judgment of the interviewer and the supervisor. Additionally 47 subjects were excluded because of missing genetic data, 60 because of missing BDI-II values (n=45) or MDD diagnosis (n=19) and 2 because of missing data on the number of adult traumata. Thus, 2157 were eligible for the present gene-environment-interaction analyses (Table 1).

There were expected differences between the subjects of the LEGEND study (n=2400) and the other subjects from baseline (SHIP-0) regarding age (p<0.001) and sex (p=0.02), with the LEGEND subjects being younger and preferentially female (52.4%). Importantly, based on the DSM-IV, stem questions for depression (Wittchen et al., 1999) which were implemented in SHIP-0 (John et al., 2001), no differences emerged between the subjects from LEGEND (n=2400) and the other subjects at (SHIP-0) (p> 0.5).

Because of some missing values in the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) (Bernstein et al., 2003), physical abuse could be analyzed in 2144 subjects, emotional abuse in 2138 subjects, sexual abuse in 2140 subjects, physical neglect in 2119 subjects and emotional neglect in 2113 subjects. All participants gave written informed consent. SHIP and LEGEND were approved by the local Institutional Review Board and conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Phenotype Measures

Current depressive symptoms were assessed with the BDI-II, a 21-item self-report questionnaire with high reliability and validity (Beck and Steer, 1987). The BDI-II was used

as continuous outcome measure in all analyses. The distribution of the BDI-II scores dependent on the different qualities and levels of childhood trauma exposure is given in Table 2. The Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) was used for self-report of childhood maltreatment including emotional, sexual and physical abuse as well as emotional and physical neglect (Bernstein et al., 2003). It has a total of 28 items rated on a five-point Likert scale with higher scores indicating higher exposure to traumatic experiences. In addition to a dimensional scoring procedure, the manual provides threshold scores to determine the severity of abuse and neglect (none = 0, low = 1, moderate = 2 and severe to extreme = 3). In independent studies, the CTQ was reported to have good reliability and validity; additionally, the five-factor model (i.e., the five subscales reflecting the different types of childhood trauma) has been empirically confirmed (Bernstein et al., 2003, Wingenfeld et al., 2010). Dichotomized variables (0 and 1 versus 2 and 3) were created for each dimension. To exploratively analyze the putative effects of higher childhood exposure on GxE a second set of analyses was calculated testing the five CTQ dimensions for none (reference category) versus mild or moderate or severe, respectively. To further explore the impact of increasing severity/frequency of abusive events a cumulative variable comprising only subjects with at least one severe traumatic category of emotional, physical and sexual abuse was created (Overall abuse severe category).

To evaluate lifetime diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) the Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (M-CIDI) was applied (Wittchen and Pfister, 1997). The M-CIDI is a standardized fully structured instrument for assessing psychiatric disorders over the life span according to DSM-IV criteria. The computerized version of the interview was used by clinically experienced interviewers (psychologists) in a face-to-face situation.

All interviewers had undergone intensive and continuous training in the diagnostic procedures.

84 % of the subjects agreed to audiorecording of their interviews. In weekly meetings, the interviewers were supervised and in difficult cases consensus diagnoses were made by clinical experts (HJG, CS, HJF). For quality and training reasons one randomly selected interview audiotape was double checked by two interviewers every 2 weeks.

Multiple studies regarding clinical validity and reliability of the M-CIDI had yielded excellent results for the inter-rater reliability and confirmed high clinical validity. Test-retest reliability analyses of the diagnosis of major depressive disorders revealed kappas between 0.62 and 0.77, for the diagnosis of PTSD kappa was 0.72 (Wittchen and Pfister, 1997). Likewise, the interviewer (n=17) had no impact on the lifetime-diagnosis of MDD (Logistic regression: OR=1, p=0.87, N=2247) or PTSD in our study (OR=1, p=0.97, N=2247).

In order to adjust for the putative impact of adult traumata on the interaction effects a variable comprising the number of adult traumata was generated based on the trauma list of the PTSD section of the diagnostic interview (DSM-IV). All traumatic items (n=10) except childhood sexual abuse were included into this adult trauma variable.

GENETIC METHODS

Genotyping

The SHIP sample was genotyped using the Affymetrix Human SNP Array 6.0. Hybridisation of genomic DNA was done in accordance with the manufacturer's standard recommendations. The genetic data analysis workflow was created using the Software InforSense. Genetic data were stored using the database Caché (InterSystems). Genotypes were determined using the Birdseed2 clustering algorithm. For quality control purposes, several control samples where added. On the chip level, only subjects with a genotyping rate on QC probesets (QC callrate) of at least 86% were included. Finally, all arrays had a sample callrate > 92%. The overall

genotyping efficiency of the GWA was 98.55 %. The genotype-rate for the *FKBP5* gene SNP rs1360780 was 99.6%. Hardy-Weinberg-Equilibrium (HWE) of rs1360780 was p=0.080 in SHIP-0 and p=0.029 in SHIP-LEGEND. The difference in allele frequencies between the SHIP-0 (CC=48.9%, CT=42.9%, TT=8.2%) and the LEGEND sample (CC=48.0%, CT=44.0%, TT=8.0%) was very small indicating that a selection bias with respect to the genotype was not introduced by LEGEND.

For secondary analyses, 11 additional SNPs of the *FKBP5* gene were identified that were genotyped by the Affymetrix Human SNP Array 6.0. However, only 3 SNPs met the following criteria: Call rate \geq 95%, HWE >0.01 and MAF \geq 0.1 (Table S1a/b). A LD plot is given as Figure S1 (Chromosomal Position: Figure S2).

SNPs were used as basis for generating the multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot that were available in both the HapMap III r3 dataset and in the imputed dataset of the SHIP cohort, fulfilling the following QC criteria: HWE test p-value > 10^{-6} , SNP call rate > 95%, minor allele frequency > 1%. Finally, 494,375 SNPs went into the analysis. The MDS components were calculated using PLINK and the results were plotted in R (Figure S3).

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Firstly, interaction analyses were performed between rs1360780 (TT versus TC and CC) and the five dimensions of the CTQ separately for BDI-II as dependent variable. The two-sided α level of 0.05 was therefore corrected to 0.05/5 = 0.01 for the 5 environment factors analyzed. Explorative analyses of the continuous BDI-II scores showed that the distribution was censored: 20.5% of the sample had BDI-II scores of zero. Therefore, we used Tobit regression, a technique designed to deal with censored distributions (Persons and Perloff, 1989). The direct effect of rs1360780 on BDI-II scores were also tested with Tobit regression. Secondly, in order to enhance the validity of our positive findings we chose an additional outcome: Statistically significant interactions between CTQ dimensions and rs1360780 (TT versus TC and CC) in the BDI Tobit regression model were tested in a second model using the interview based diagnosis of lifetime MDD according to DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) as categorical outcome. Conventional logistic regression analyses were calculated and results were expressed as odds ratios (OR). Genotype differences in susceptibility to childhood abuse were estimated by biologic interaction, which is measured by departure from an additive model (Rothman, 2002). For the quantification of the magnitude of an interaction effect the **R**elative Excess **R**isk due to Interaction (RERI) was calculated (Greenland et al., 2008, Grabe et al., 2009). The adjusted RERI is easily estimated using the basic formula for RERI (Rothman, 2002), as follows (OR=odds ratio):

 $RERI = OR_{TT \text{ genotype and abuse}} - OR_{TT \text{ genotype and no abuse}} - OR_{CC/CT \text{ genotypes and abuse}} + 1$

For these confirmatory RERI analyses using MDD we also applied a 95% confidence interval (CI). If the lower bound of 95%CI of RERI was ≥ 0 , then the two-sided p-value for the interaction is significant on an α -level of 0.05.

We first used a newly developed modified bootstrap approach to calculate an appropriate CI for RERI. This method was recommended by Nie and colleagues to handle low cell counts (Nie et al., 2010). This modified approach is based on regularized instead of conventional logistic regression (see Supplementary Materials) and is supposed to yield narrower CIs compared with Zou's (Zou, 2008) formula (Nie et al., 2010). We additionally calculated the more commonly used formula by Zou. This formula yielded the identical results compared to modified bootstrap approach according to Nie. As Zou's method is more widely used we report RERI results based on this method.

All analyses were performed as unadjusted and as adjusted for gender and age and the number of adult traumata. Because age was not linearly related to the outcomes, we ensured an adequate model fit (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000) choosing restricted cubic splines (Harrell, 2001) which are superior to categorized age groups (Greenland, 2008). To assess the

effect of single observations (exposed subjects with MDD) on the statistic of interest we performed detailed regression diagnostics (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000).

All analyses were performed with STATA/MP software, version 10.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) and, if necessary, we used the free-ware R, version 2.11.1(Team, 2010) using the packages "glmnet", "Design" (Harrell, 2001) and "boot" (see Supplementary Methods).

RESULTS

The sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. No direct effects of the TT genotype of rs1360780 on the BDI-II or MDD were found (p=0.25, adjusted for sex and age). No direct association was found between the five subdimensions of the CTQ and rs1360780 (Fisher's exact test: p>0.1).

INTERACTION ANALYSIS FOR BDI-II

The results of the interaction analyses between the five CTQ dimensions (none, mild versus moderate, severe) and the TT versus the TC/CC genotypes with regard to BDI-II scores are shown in Table 2. Tobit regression analyses revealed a significant interaction between the TT genotype and physical abuse regarding depressive symptoms (p=0.006). However, also for emotional abuse and sexual abuse relevant effect sizes were obtained that failed to reach statistical significance. The interaction effects for both neglect dimensions were small to absent, respectively. In Figure 1 the adjusted BDI-II mean scores dependent on the three genotypes of rs1360780 and the exposure to physical abuse are indicated. When subjects with PTSD (n=29) were excluded from analyses, the interaction effect was almost unchanged (p=0.011). When adjusting for PTSD the interaction effect was reduced, but remained significant (p=0.024) (see Table S2).

The results of the explorative interaction analyses between the five CTQ dimensions testing none (reference category) versus mild or moderate or severe (separately) and the CC/CT versus the TT genotypes with regard to BDI-II scores are shown in Table S3a/b. Tobit regression analyses revealed a significant interaction between the TT genotype and emotional (p=0.048) and sexual abuse (p=0.004) but not for the neglect dimensions (p>0.3) in the none versus severe condition.

To further explore the impact of increasing severity/frequency of abusive events a cumulative variable comprising only subjects with at least one severe (versus none, mild, moderate) traumatic category of emotional, physical and sexual abuse was created (Table S4). A significant interaction between this overall abuse variable and the CC/CT versus TT genotype emerged (p=0.007).

In Table S1a the secondary interaction results for the additional SNPs rs7757037, rs4713899, and rs9368881 are given for each genotype separately. The SNPs (rs4713899, rs9368881) linked to rs1360780 showed comparable results. However, no other SNP was superior to rs1360780 (rs4713899 had n=1 in the TT genotype/exposed group). Rs7757037 (r^2 =0.09 to rs1360780) showed no evidence of interaction.

INTERACTION ANALYSIS FOR MDD

The positive interaction between the TT genotype and physical abuse was further investigated with regard to the diagnosis of MDD. In logistic regression analyses (Table 3) statistically significant effects were obtained for the combination of the TT genotype with physical abuse and the prevalence of lifetime MDD (adj. OR= 8.2; 95% CI 1.9–35.0). In Figure 2 the adjusted OR for MDD dependent on the three genotypes of rs1360780 and the exposure to physical abuse are presented.

The interaction analysis for MDD indicated statistically significant effects (p<0.05) for the unadjusted RERI of 8.4 (95% CI: 0.78–39.0) and fully adjusted RERI of 6.8 (95% CI 0.46–33.7).

When excluding cases with PTSD the combination of the TT genotype with physical abuse showed an adjusted OR= 13.0 (95% CI: 2.4 - 70.6) for lifetime MDD. The corresponding adjusted RERI was 12.0 (95% CI: 1.3 - 69.5). Adjusting the analyses also for PTSD (no exclusion) a RERI of 5.8 (95% CI: 0.3 - 30.8) was obtained.

DISCUSSION

We identified an interaction between the TT genotype of rs1360780 and childhood physical abuse on the severity of present adult depressive symptoms. Carriers of the TT genotype who had been exposed to childhood physical abuse showed a mean BDI-II score of 17.4 compared to 10.0 for exposed subjects without the TT genotype. The effect of the primary analyses also survived Bonferroni correction for the testing of the five exposure dimensions. This result was confirmed for lifetime diagnosis of major depression according to DSM-IV. The lifetime prevalence of MDD was 23.9% in the exposed CC/CT carriers compared to 66.7 % in the exposed TT carriers. In Figure 1 and 2 and Table S1a it is clearly indicated that the interaction effect between rs1360780 and childhood physical abuse is represented by homozygote carriers of the T allele.

To explore the negative interaction effects in the other abuse and neglect dimensions we increased the severity of childhood abuse and neglect to the most severe category. This "severe" category is characterized by a higher frequency of traumatic experiences and/or more reported situations of abuse and neglect compared to the "moderate" category. Although the number of affected subjects was further decrease, the results indicated that emotional and sexual abuse in the "severe" category also interacted with rs1360780 (p<0.05, Table S3a). Comparing the group of subjects with at least one severe abuse category versus

subjects with none, mild, moderate categories also demonstrated significant interaction with rs1360780 (p=0.007, Table S4). These results indicate that all abuse dimensions with increasing severity contribute to the interaction effects. It is noteworthy that correlations between the five CTQ subdimensions were low to moderate (r = 0.11 to 0.56; see Table S5). The neglect dimension did not show a comparable interaction effect. Thus, the FKBP5 pathway seems to be more specific in mediating the psychobiological effects of abuse-related stress.

Our results clearly extend previous findings on the association of FKBP5 and mood disorders examined in clinical populations (Binder et al., 2004, Lekman et al., 2008). Especially when gene-environment interactions contribute to the pathophysiology of a distinct genetic marker non-replications of direct gene effects could occur because of low rates of childhood abuse exposure in the investigated samples (Gawlik et al., 2006, Papiol et al., 2007). Likewise, in our sample, no direct association between rs1360780 and depression was observed.

In secondary interaction analyses we additionally investigated three intronic SNPs from the *FKBP5* gene with different LDs (r^2) to rs1360780: 0.09 for rs7757037, 0.41 for rs4713899, and 0.83 rs9368881 (Figure S1). All four SNPs are widely distributed over the *FKBP5* gene and cover different regions of the gene (Figure S2). The less linked SNP rs7757037 showed no evidence of interaction whereas the other two SNPs also indicated interactions with abuse dimension (Table S1a). However, the AA genotype of rs4713899 included only 1 exposed subject. Thus, none of these two SNPs was superior to rs1360780.

Our finding could be of future relevance in the identification of subjects at high risk for depression after childhood abuse. Especially the large effect sizes in BDI-II scores and in absolute risk of MDD suggest that the TT genotype of rs1360780 could be included in prediction models for subjects at high risk for depression. Based on the effect sizes in this study a positive predictive value of 70% for the TT genotype is found in subjects with

childhood physical abuse and prevalence of 30-35 % of MDD (Figure S4). However, the true value of a TT genotype screening in exposed subjects should be assessed longitudinally.

It is noteworthy that our results are in line with previous studies associating the highinduction T-allele of rs1360780 with an altered cortisol response to experimental stress (Luijk et al., Ising et al., 2008), with faster response to antidepressant treatment (Binder et al., 2004) and with the susceptibility to PTSD after childhood maltreatment (Xie et al., Binder et al., 2008). According to the pathophysiological model the high-induction alleles of the *FKBP5* gene are associated with a relative GR resistance (Binder et al., 2008, Binder, 2009) which could facilitate a long-lasting dysregulation of the HPA-axis after childhood abuse and thereby increasing the risk for MDD.

As rs1360780 was associated with the diagnoses of PTSD in former studies (6, 18), analyses were also performed excluding all subjects with PTSD (n=29) and adjusting for PTSD (see Table S2). All interaction effects remained largely unchanged indicating that our findings are valid for depression. To minimize a putative effect of cumulative adult traumatization on the interaction effects we also adjusted all analyses for the number of traumatic events from the PTSD interview section. This adjustment did not change the interaction results. However, in both cases, PTSD and the number of adult traumata could be a causal mediator and no confounder (Rothman, 2002). In conclusion, PTSD and adult traumata did not account for the observed interaction effect between physical abuse, rs1360780 and depression in our study. One has to discuss putative limitations of our study: The overall number of exposed TT

carriers (n=9) was small. To address this problem statistically bootstrapping methods were applied for BDI-II scores. Moreover, to assess the effect of single observations on the statistic of interest we performed detailed regression diagnostics for the diagnosis of MDD (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000). Four subjects with the largest impact on the statistical model were excluded separately each and all together from the model. Although the 95% CI is wide, detailed model diagnostics indicate that the interaction itself was not dependent on a single observation (see Tables S6 and S7). Moreover, the method applied is known for yielding relative large confidence intervals, thus we have chosen a rather conservative approach (Nie et al., 2010).

In order to avoid major selection effects (e.g., selected treatment samples) that may bias the results, we recruited the subjects from the general population (SHIP). From the baseline study (SHIP-0) that started in 1997 only 55.7 % could be included in the LEGEND study (2007-2010). Importantly, we have no evidence that lifetime depressive symptoms at baseline had influenced the later participation in LEGEND.

One strength of our study is that we analyzed two outcomes of depression: a dimensional depression score (BDI-II) and the lifetime diagnosis of major depression. There are obvious clinical differences between a self-report measure covering the last two weeks prior to the interview and an interview based lifetime diagnosis of MDD (DSM-IV). We analyzed the statistical association between both endpoints: The area under the ROC curve was 0.69 which indicates a weak relationship between BDI-II scores and MDD (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000). Thus, it is justified to consider MDD and BDI as two different measures.

In conclusion, our results support the role of the high-induction TT genotype of the rs1360780 polymorphism of the *FKBP5* gene in the susceptibility to depressive disorders in the light of adverse, especially traumatic childhood events.

Supplementary information is available at the Neuropsychopharmacology website.

DISCLOSURE

There are no conflicts of interest. *External financial support* in the past 5 years:

Hans Joergen Grabe: German Research Foundation; Federal Ministry of Education and Research Germany; speakers honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Eisai, Wyeth, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Servier and travel funds from Janssen-Cilag, Eli Lilly, Novartis, AstraZeneca and SALUS-Institute for Trend-Research and Therapy Evaluation in Mental Health.

Carsten Spitzer: Travel funds and speakers honoraria from Janssen-Cilag and Boehringer Ingelheim; research grant from the "Stiftung zur Aufarbeitung der SED-Diktatur."

Christian Schwahn, Katja Appel, Jessie Mahler, Andrea Schulz, Kristin Fenske, Jan Stender: none.

Sven Barnow: German Research Foundation; Federal Ministry of Health Germany.

Ulrich John: German Research Foundation; German Cancer Aid; European Union; Federal Ministry of Education and Research Germany; Federal Ministry of Health; Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania of Germany.

Alexander Teumer: none.

Matthias Nauck: Research grants from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research Germany, BioRad Laboratories GmbH, Siemens AG, Zeitschrift für Laboratoriumsmedizin, Bruker Daltronics, Abbott, Jurilab Kuopio, Roche Diagnostics, Dade Behring, DPC Biermann and Becton Dickinson.

Henry Völzke: Research grants by Sanofi-Aventis, Biotronik, the Humboldt Foundation, the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany) and the German Research Foundation.

Reiner Biffar: Research grants by Federal Ministry of Education and Research Germany, Ivoclar, Sirona, Dentsply, Kavo, Wieland Ceramics, GC, Heraeus, Dentaurum, Merz-Dental, the Krupp-Foundation, German Society of Dentistry (DGZMK), German Society of Prosthetic Dentistry and Dental Materials (DGZPW).

Harald J. Freyberger: German Research Foundation; Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania; Family Ministry of the Federal Republic of Germany; speakers honoraria from AstraZeneca, Lilly, Novartis and travel funds from Janssen-Cilag.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research net of the University of Greifswald, Germany, which is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grants no. 01ZZ9603, 01ZZ0103, and 01ZZ0403), the Ministry of Cultural Affairs and the Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. Genome-wide data have been supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant no. 03ZIK012) and a joint grant from Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany and the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. The University of Greifswald is a member of the 'Center of Knowledge Interchange' program of the Siemens AG. This work was also funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG: GR 1912/5-1). HJG, KA and CS had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

We would like to thank Daniela Becker, Varinia Popek, Elena Stoll, Frauke Grieme, Matthias Becker, Daniela Schrader, Julia Schwanda, Daniel Grams and Andrea Rieck for their contribution to the study (organization, data collection and data management).

REFERENCES:

- American Psychiatric Association, 1994. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition*. American Psychiatric Press, Washington, DC.
- Beck, A. T. and Steer, R. A., 1987. Beck Depression Inventory Manual. The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio.
- Bernstein, D. P., Stein, J. A., Newcomb, M. D., Walker, E., Pogge, D., Ahluvalia, T., Stokes, J., Handelsman, L., Medrano, M., Desmond, D. and Zule, W., 2003. Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Child Abuse Negl. 27, 169-190.
- Binder, E. B., 2009. The role of FKBP5, a co-chaperone of the glucocorticoid receptor in the pathogenesis and therapy of affective and anxiety disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 34 Suppl 1, S186-195.
- Binder, E. B., Bradley, R. G., Liu, W., Epstein, M. P., Deveau, T. C., Mercer, K. B., Tang, Y., Gillespie, C. F., Heim, C. M., Nemeroff, C. B., Schwartz, A. C., Cubells, J. F. and Ressler, K. J., 2008. Association of FKBP5 polymorphisms and childhood abuse with risk of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults. Jama. 299, 1291-1305.
- Binder, E. B., Salyakina, D., Lichtner, P., Wochnik, G. M., Ising, M., Putz, B., Papiol, S., Seaman, S., Lucae, S., Kohli, M. A., Nickel, T., Kunzel, H. E., Fuchs, B., Majer, M., Pfennig, A., Kern, N., Brunner, J., Modell, S., Baghai, T., Deiml, T., Zill, P., Bondy, B., Rupprecht, R., Messer, T., Kohnlein, O., Dabitz, H., Bruckl, T., Muller, N., Pfister, H., Lieb, R., Mueller, J. C., Lohmussaar, E., Strom, T. M., Bettecken, T., Meitinger, T., Uhr, M., Rein, T., Holsboer, F. and Muller-Myhsok, B., 2004. Polymorphisms in FKBP5 are associated with increased recurrence of depressive episodes and rapid response to antidepressant treatment. Nat Genet. 36, 1319-1325.
- Bradley, R. G., Binder, E. B., Epstein, M. P., Tang, Y., Nair, H. P., Liu, W., Gillespie, C. F., Berg, T., Evces, M., Newport, D. J., Stowe, Z. N., Heim, C. M., Nemeroff, C. B., Schwartz, A., Cubells, J. F. and Ressler, K. J., 2008. Influence of child abuse on adult depression: moderation by the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor gene. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 65, 190-200.
- Carpenter, L. L., Tyrka, A. R., McDougle, C. J., Malison, R. T., Owens, M. J., Nemeroff, C. B. and Price, L. H., 2004. Cerebrospinal fluid corticotropin-releasing factor and perceived early-life stress in depressed patients and healthy control subjects. Neuropsychopharmacology. 29, 777-784.
- Caspi, A., Sugden, K., Moffitt, T. E., Taylor, A., Craig, I. W., Harrington, H., McClay, J., Mill, J., Martin, J., Braithwaite, A. and Poulton, R., 2003. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science. 301, 386-389.
- Gawlik, M., Moller-Ehrlich, K., Mende, M., Jovnerovski, M., Jung, S., Jabs, B., Knapp, M. and Stoeber, G., 2006. Is FKBP5 a genetic marker of affective psychosis? A case control study and analysis of disease related traits. BMC Psychiatry. 6, 52.
- Grabe, H. J., Lange, M., Wolff, B., Volzke, H., Lucht, M., Freyberger, H. J., John, U. and Cascorbi, I., 2005. Mental and physical distress is modulated by a polymorphism in the 5-HT transporter gene interacting with social stressors and chronic disease burden. Mol Psychiatry. 10, 220-224.
- Grabe, H. J., Schwahn, C., Appel, K., Mahler, J., Schulz, A., Spitzer, C., Barnow, S., John, U., Freyberger, H. J., Rosskopf, D. and Volzke, H., 2010. Update on the 2005 paper: moderation of mental and physical distress by polymorphisms in the 5-HT transporter gene by interacting with social stressors and chronic disease burden. Mol Psychiatry.

- Grabe, H. J., Spitzer, C., Schwahn, C., Marcinek, A., Frahnow, A., Barnow, S., Lucht, M., Freyberger, H. J., John, U., Wallaschofski, H., Volzke, H. and Rosskopf, D., 2009. Serotonin Transporter Gene (SLC6A4) Promoter Polymorphisms and the Susceptibility to Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the General Population. Am J Psychiatry.
- Greenland, S., 2008. Introduction to Regression Models. In: Rothman, K. J. et al. (Eds.), Modern Epidemiology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 381-417.
- Greenland, S., Lash, T. L. and Rothman, K. J., 2008. Concepts of Interaction. In: Rothman, K. J. et al. (Eds.), Modern Epidemiology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 71-83.
- Harrell, F. E., Jr., 2001. Regression Modeling Strategies. With Applications to Linear Models, Logistic Regression, and Survival Analysis. Springer, New York.
- Heim, C. and Nemeroff, C. B., 2001. The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. Biol Psychiatry. 49, 1023-1039.
- Holsboer, F., 1999. The rationale for corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor (CRH-R) antagonists to treat depression and anxiety. J Psychiatr Res. 33, 181-214.
- Holsboer, F., 2000. The corticosteroid receptor hypothesis of depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. 23, 477-501.
- Hosmer, D. W. and Lemeshow, S., 2000. Applied Logistic Regression. Wiley, New York.
- Ising, M., Depping, A. M., Siebertz, A., Lucae, S., Unschuld, P. G., Kloiber, S., Horstmann, S., Uhr, M., Muller-Myhsok, B. and Holsboer, F., 2008. Polymorphisms in the FKBP5 gene region modulate recovery from psychosocial stress in healthy controls. Eur J Neurosci. 28, 389-398.
- John, U., Greiner, B., Hensel, E., Ludemann, J., Piek, M., Sauer, S., Adam, C., Born, G., Alte, D., Greiser, E., Haertel, U., Hense, H. W., Haerting, J., Willich, S. and Kessler, C., 2001. Study of Health In Pomerania (SHIP): a health examination survey in an east German region: objectives and design. Soz Praventivmed. 46, 186-194.
- Lekman, M., Laje, G., Charney, D., Rush, A. J., Wilson, A. F., Sorant, A. J., Lipsky, R., Wisniewski, S. R., Manji, H., McMahon, F. J. and Paddock, S., 2008. The FKBP5gene in depression and treatment response--an association study in the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) Cohort. Biol Psychiatry. 63, 1103-1110.
- Luijk, M. P., Velders, F. P., Tharner, A., van Ijzendoorn, M. H., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Jaddoe, V. W., Hofman, A., Verhulst, F. C. and Tiemeier, H., 2010. FKBP5 and resistant attachment predict cortisol reactivity in infants: gene-environment interaction. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 35, 1454-1461.
- Nie, L., Chu, H., Li, F. and Cole, S. R., 2010. Relative excess risk due to interaction: resampling-based confidence intervals. Epidemiology. 21, 552-556.
- Papiol, S., Arias, B., Gasto, C., Gutierrez, B., Catalan, R. and Fananas, L., 2007. Genetic variability at HPA axis in major depression and clinical response to antidepressant treatment. J Affect Disord. 104, 83-90.
- Persons, J. B. and Perloff, J. M., 1989. The relationship between attributions and depression varies across attributional dimensions and across samples. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment. 11, 47-60.
- Rothman, K. J., 2002. Epidemiology: an introduction. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Roy, A., Gorodetsky, E., Yuan, Q., Goldman, D. and Enoch, M. A., Interaction of FKBP5, a stress-related gene, with childhood trauma increases the risk for attempting suicide. Neuropsychopharmacology. 35, 1674-1683.
- Team, R. D. C., 2010. R: A language and environment for statistical computing). R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

- Vermeer, H., Hendriks-Stegeman, B. I., van der Burg, B., van Buul-Offers, S. C. and Jansen, M., 2003. Glucocorticoid-induced increase in lymphocytic FKBP51 messenger ribonucleic acid expression: a potential marker for glucocorticoid sensitivity, potency, and bioavailability. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 88, 277-284.
- Volzke, H., Alte, D., Schmidt, C. O., Radke, D., Lorbeer, R., Friedrich, N., Aumann, N., Lau, K., Piontek, M., Born, G., Havemann, C., Ittermann, T., Schipf, S., Haring, R., Baumeister, S. E., Wallaschofski, H., Nauck, M., Frick, S., Arnold, A., Junger, M., Mayerle, J., Kraft, M., Lerch, M. M., Dorr, M., Reffelmann, T., Empen, K., Felix, S. B., Obst, A., Koch, B., Glaser, S., Ewert, R., Fietze, I., Penzel, T., Doren, M., Rathmann, W., Haerting, J., Hannemann, M., Ropcke, J., Schminke, U., Jurgens, C., Tost, F., Rettig, R., Kors, J. A., Ungerer, S., Hegenscheid, K., Kuhn, J. P., Kuhn, J., Hosten, N., Puls, R., Henke, J., Gloger, O., Teumer, A., Homuth, G., Volker, U., Schwahn, C., Holtfreter, B., Polzer, I., Kohlmann, T., Grabe, H. J., Rosskopf, D., Kroemer, H. K., Kocher, T., Biffar, R., John, U. and Hoffmann, W., 2010. Cohort Profile: The Study of Health in Pomerania. Int J Epidemiol.
- Wingenfeld, K., Spitzer, C., Mensebach, C., Grabe, H., Hill, A., Gast, U., Schlosser, N., Hopp, H., Beblo, T. and Driessen, M., 2010. The German Version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ):Preliminary Psychometric Properties. Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol.
- Wittchen, H.-U. and Pfister, H., 1997. Diagnostisches Expertensystem für psychische Störungen. Swets & Zeitlinger, Frankfurt.
- Wittchen, H., Höfler, M., Gander, F., Pfister, H., Storz, S., Üstün, B., Müller, N. and Kessler, R. C., 1999. Screening for mental disorders: performance of the Composite International Diagnostic-Screener (CID-S). Int J Meth Psychiat Res. 8, 59-70.
- Wochnik, G. M., Ruegg, J., Abel, G. A., Schmidt, U., Holsboer, F. and Rein, T., 2005. FK506-binding proteins 51 and 52 differentially regulate dynein interaction and nuclear translocation of the glucocorticoid receptor in mammalian cells. J Biol Chem. 280, 4609-4616.
- Xie, P., Kranzler, H. R., Poling, J., Stein, M. B., Anton, R. F., Farrer, L. A. and Gelernter, J., Interaction of FKBP5 with childhood adversity on risk for post-traumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 35, 1684-1692.
- Zobel, A. W., Nickel, T., Sonntag, A., Uhr, M., Holsboer, F. and Ising, M., 2001. Cortisol response in the combined dexamethasone/CRH test as predictor of relapse in patients with remitted depression. a prospective study. J Psychiatr Res. 35, 83-94.
- Zou, G. Y., 2008. On the estimation of additive interaction by use of the four-by-two table and beyond. Am J Epidemiol. 168, 212-224.

Titles and Legends to figures:

Figure 1: BDI-II mean scores (95% CIs; adjusted for age and gender) dependent on the three genotypes of rs1360780 and the exposure to physical abuse (Tobit regression analysis).Figure 2: Odds ratios for MDD (95% CIs, adjusted for age and gender) dependent on the three genotypes of rs1360780 and the exposure to physical abuse (Logistic regression analysis).

<u>۵</u>	Part	Participants BDI-II ^{a,b}		[^{a,b}	MDD ^c				
Characteristic	No.	%		P Value	yes	%	no	%	P Value
Overall	2157		6.4 ± 7.2		370	17.2	1787	82.8	
202 Vears	2157		55 8 + 13 8		53 2 + 12 7		56.4 ± 14.0		<0.001
Age range	2157		35.0 ± 15.0 20 / 80 8		33.2 ± 12.7		30.4 ± 14.0 30.0 = 85.3		<0.001
Age, lange	2137		29.4-89.8		29.4 - 89.8		50.0 - 85.5		
Sex									
male	1021	47.3	5.7 ± 6.3	< 0.001	117	31.6	904	50.6	< 0.001
female	1136	52.7	7.0 ± 7.9		253	68.4	883	49.4	
(School) education [#]									
<10 years/ elementary school	629	30.3	74 ± 70		79	22.4	550	31.9	
10 years/ junior high	1067	51.4	6.0 ± 7.4	<0.001	200	56.8	867	50.3	0.003
High school	136	6.6	6.0 ± 6.8	0.001	29	82	107	62	0.005
University degree	244	11.8	4.9 ± 5.7		44	12.5	200	11.6	
		1110				12.0	200	11.0	
Employment status [#]									
Education	30	1.4	6.6 ± 8.3		9	2.6	21	1.2	
Unemployed	246	11.8	7.5 ± 8.5		40	11.4	206	11.9	
Housewife / Maternity leave	40	1.9	8.0 ± 7.6	< 0.001	6	1.7	34	2.0	0.215
Retired	634	30.5	7.6 ± 6.8		94	26.7	540	31.3	
Part-time employed	227	10.9	5.7 ± 6.6		44	12.5	183	10.6	
Fully employed	899	43.3	5.2 ± 6.7		159	45.2	740	42.9	
Social welfare [#]									
No	2063	99.4	6.3 ± 7.1	0.034	348	98.9	1715	99.5	0.254
Yes	13	0.6	13.3 ± 10.6		4	1.1	9	0.5	
Household monthly income [#]									
<800 €	211	10.4	85+81		42	12.1	169	10.0	
<000 - 2200 €	1225	60.3	6.5 ± 0.4	<0.001	+∠ 202	12.1 58 /	1023	60.6	0.324
$2300 - 3299 \in$	1223	20.5	5.0 ± 7.1	~0.001	202	20. 4 22.3	330	20.1	0.524
> 3200 = 5299 €	410	20.5	3.4 ± 0.0 4.2 ± 5.2		25	22.3 7 2	156	20.1 0.2	
<u>~ 5500 t</u>	101	0.7	4.2 ± 3.3		23	1.4	150	7.4	

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the sample (Mean ± standard deviation or number and percentages are given).

Marital status [#]									
Single, never married	265	12.3	5.9 ± 6.8		48	13.0	217	12.2	
Married	1462	68.0	5.9 ± 6.8	< 0.001	204	55.4	1258	70.6	< 0.001
Divorced/married but living separately	242	11.3	8.0 ± 9.1		71	19.3	171	9.6	
Widowed	182	8.5	8.7 ± 7.7		45	12.2	137	7.7	
Number of traumata	2157				370		1787		
mean \pm SD	2107	0.76 ± 0.96			0.99 ± 1.07		0.72 ± 0.92		
1^{st} quartile – median – 3^{rd} quartile		0 - 1 - 1			0 - 1 - 1		0 - 0 - 1		
Minimum – Maximum		0 - 7			0 - 7		0 - 5		
No trauma	1048	48.6	5.4 ± 6.5	< 0.001	137	37.0	911	51.0	< 0.001
At least one trauma	1109	51.4	7.3 ± 7.7		233	63.0	876	49.0	
Posttraumatia strass disordar [#]									
No	2128	08 7	62 + 70	<0.001	353	05 /	1775	00 /	<0.001
NO Ves	2120	90.7 1 3	0.2 ± 7.0 18 1 + 14 1	<0.001	333 17	95.4 4.6	1//5	99. 4 0.6	<0.001
1 65	21	1.5	10.1 ± 14.1		1 /	4.0	10	0.0	
Physical Abuse [#]									
No	2047	95.5	6.2 ± 7.0	< 0.001	340	92.6	1707	96.1	0.008
Yes	97	4.5	11.0 ± 10.3		27	7.4	70	3.9	
Emotional Abuse [#]									
No	2062	96.4	6.1 ± 6.9	< 0.001	332	90.2	1730	97.7	< 0.001
Yes	76	3.6	14.5 ± 11.3		36	9.8	40	2.3	
Sexual Abuse [#]									
No	2062	96.4	6.2 ± 7.0	0.003	342	92.9	1720	97.1	< 0.001
Yes	78	3.6	10.1 ± 10.9		26	7.1	52	2.9	
Physical neglect [#]									
No	1776	83.8	5.9 ± 6.9	< 0.001	291	79.9	1485	84.6	0.034
Yes	343	16.2	8.9 ± 8.1		73	20.1	270	15.4	
Emotional neglect [#]									
No	1856	87.8	5.9 ± 6.7	< 0.001	291	79.9	1565	89.5	< 0.001
Yes	257	12.2	9.8 ± 9.8		73	20.1	184	10.5	
rs1360780									
CC/CT	1984	92.0	6.4 ± 7.2	0.774	335	90.5	1649	92.3	0.292
TT	173	8.0	6.2 ± 7.3		35	9.5	138	7.7	

[#]N<2157 because of missing values. ^a For BDI-II comparing two groups, the observed means and standard deviations were compared using t test for equal or unequal variances, respectively.

^b For BDI-II comparing more than two groups, the observed means and standard deviations were compared using analysis of variance for equal variances and Kruskall-Wallis test for unequal variances.
^c For MDD, the observed numbers were tested for homogeneity using Fisher's exact test

Table 1 (cont.)

Environment factor	Environment factor absent; CT or CC		Environment factor absent; TT		En	vironment factor present; CT or CC	E	P value	
	n	BDI-II*	n	BDI-II*	n	BDI-II*	n	BDI-II*	
Physical abuse	1883	5.1 (4.7 – 5.5)	164	4.3 (3.0 – 5.7)	88	10.0 (8.2 - 11.7)	9	17.4 (12.0 – 22.9)	0.006
Emotional abuse	1900	5.0 (4.6 - 5.4)	162	4.4 (3.1 – 5.7)	69	13.6 (11.7 – 15.6)	7	17.3 (11.1 – 23.4)	0.202
Sexual abuse	1900	5.2 (4.8 - 5.6)	162	4.6 (3.3 – 6.0)	67	8.0 (5.9 – 10.1)	11	10.6 (5.6 - 15.7)	0.262
Overall abuse	1772	4.9 (4.5 - 5.3)	154	4.3 (3.0 – 5.7)	176	9.2 (8.0 - 10.5)	16	11.3 (7.1 – 15.4)	0.262
Physical neglect	1640	4.7 (4.3 – 5.2)	136	4.1 (2.6 – 5.5)	309	8.3 (7.3 – 9.2)	34	8.7 (5.8 – 11.5)	0.539
Emotional neglect	1708	4.7 (4.3 – 5.1)	148	4.7 (3.3 – 6.1)	236	9.5 (8.5 - 10.6)	21	6.5 (2.9 – 10.2)	0.157
Overall abuse/neglect	1082	3.7 (3.2 – 4.3)	93	3.5 (1.8 - 5.3)	775	7.3 (6.7 – 7.9)	69	7.4 (5.4 – 9.4)	0.805

Table 2: Estimated means (95% CI) of BDI-II values across groups of the putative interaction between the rs1360780 genotype and environment factor (none, mild versus moderate, severe) adjusting for sex, age and number of adult traumata.

*Estimated means (95% CI) adjusted for age, sex and number of adult traumata calculated with Tobit regression analysis.

					Analysis (logistic regression)							
		Subjects with MDD	Subjects without MDD		Not adjusted		Adage	justed for e and sex	Adjusted for age, sex, and number of adult traumata			
Exposed to physical abuse	Genotype	Ν	Ν	MDD risk (%)	Odds ratio	95% CI	Odds ratio	95% CI	Odds ratio	95% CI		
No	CC or CT	311	1572	16.5	1		1		1			
No	TT	29	135	17.7	1.1	0.7 - 1.7	1.1	0.7 - 1.7	1.0	0.7 - 1.6		
Yes	CC or CT	21	67	23.9	1.6	1.0 - 2.6	1.5	0.9 - 2.6	1.3	0.8 - 2.3		
Yes	TT	6	3	66.7	10.1 ^a	2.5 - 40.6	8.7 ^b	2.1 - 36.0	8.2 °	1.9 - 35.0		
RERI 95%					8.4	0.78 - 39.0	7.05	0.37 - 34.3	6.80	0.46 - 33.7		
^a Data analysis for exact estimator is as follows: odds ratio = $10.1 (95\% \text{ CI} = 2.1 - 62.7)$;												
Data analyzin for including a stimutor is as follows: adds ratio = $10.1 (0.5\% \text{ CI} = 2.0 - 51.0)$												

Table 3: Interaction between physical abuse and rs1360780 for MDD.

Data analysis for jackknife estimator is as follows: odds ratio = 10.1 (95% CI = 2.0 - 51.9)^b Data analysis for jackknife estimator is as follows: odds ratio = 8.7 (95% CI = 1.8 - 43.1)^c Data analysis for jackknife estimator is as follows: odds ratio = 8.2 (95% CI = 1.8 - 38.0)



