

IFIT1 is an antiviral protein that recognises 5'-triphosphate RNA

Giulio Superti-Furga, Andreas Pichlmair, Caroline Lassnig, Christoph L Baumann, Tilmann Bürckstümmer, Thomas R Burkard, Adrijana Stefanovic, Keiryn Bennet, Jacques Colinge, Thomas Rülicke, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Giulio Superti-Furga, Andreas Pichlmair, Caroline Lassnig, Christoph L
 Baumann, Tilmann Bürckstümmer, et al.. IFIT1 is an antiviral protein that recognises 5'-triphosphate RNA. Nature Immunology, 2011, 10.1038/ni.2048 . hal-00648046

HAL Id: hal-00648046 https://hal.science/hal-00648046

Submitted on 5 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

2	IFIT1 is an antiviral protein that recognises 5'-
3	triphosphate RNA
4	Andreas Pichlmair ¹ , Caroline Lassnig ^{2, 3} , Carol-Ann Eberle ¹ , Maria W Górna ¹ , Christoph L
5	Baumann ¹ , Thomas R Burkard ¹ , Tilmann Bürckstümmer ¹ , Adrijana Stefanovic ¹ , Sigurd Krieger ⁵ ,
6	Keiryn L Bennett ¹ , Thomas Rülicke ^{3, 4} , Friedemann Weber ⁶ , Jacques Colinge ¹ , Mathias Müller ^{2, 3}
7	and Giulio Superti-Furga ^{1*}
8	
9	¹ CeMM- Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences,
10	Vienna, Austria; ² Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics, ³ Biomodels Austria and ⁴ Institute
11	of Laboratory Animal Science, Vetmeduni Vienna, Austria; ⁵ Department of Clinical Pathology,
12	Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ⁶ Institute for Virology, Philipps University
13	Marburg, Germany
14	
15	* Corresponding author:
16	Giulio Superti-Furga
17	CeMM - Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences
18	Lazarettgasse 14, AKH BT25.3
19	1090 Vienna, Austria
20	Email: <u>gsuperti@cemm.oeaw.ac.at</u>
21	Telephone: +43 664 4042300

23 Abstract

24 Antiviral innate immunity relies on recognition of microbial structures. One such structure is viral RNA that carries a triphosphate group on its 5'terminus (PPP-RNA). In an affinity proteomics approach with 25 26 PPP-RNA as bait we identified interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 (IFIT1) to 27 mediate binding of a larger protein complex containing other IFIT family members. IFIT1 bound PPP-RNA with nanomolar affinity and required R187 in a highly charged C-terminal groove of the protein. In 28 29 the absence of IFIT1 growth and pathogenicity of PPP-RNA viruses were severely increased. In contrast, IFITs were dispensable for clearance of pathogens not generating PPP-RNA. Based on this specificity and 30 the high abundance of IFITs after infection we propose that the IFIT complex antagonises viruses by 31 32 sequestering specific viral nucleic acids.

Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) sense molecular signatures associated with microbes¹. Viral nucleic 34 35 acid delivered and generated during the viral life cycle can activate PRRs to initiate the innate antiviral defence². Recently, triphosphorylated RNA (PPP-RNA), which is constituent of genomic, antigenomic 36 and certain transcript RNAs associated with some viruses like influenza and vesicular stomatitis virus, 37 was identified as one such component that can be recognised by the innate immune system ³⁻⁵. Binding of 38 PPP-RNA to the PRR Retinoic acid inducible gene-I (RIG-I) mediates activation of a signalling cascade 39 that culminates in the expression of type-I interferon (IFN- α/β) and other cytokines ^{5, 6}. Most likely 40 through evolutionary pressure exerted by the innate immune system, some viruses evolved sophisticated 41 mechanisms to avoid presentation of PPP-RNA^{7, 8}. These viruses are often sensed through atypical 42 nucleic acids components such as long double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), which activates Melanoma 43 differentiated associated gene-5 (Mda5) to initiate expression of IFN- $\alpha/\beta^{5,9}$. Beside their interferon-44 45 inducing capabilities, viral RNAs are known to trigger additional cellular functions that are unrelated to transcriptional control of cytokine expression 2 . Thus, the cellular machinery not only discriminates 46 between host and invading molecules but often selectively targets the same structures as part of an 47 48 antiviral program execution. Several interferon-stimulated proteins only reveal their antiviral potential after binding to dsRNA¹⁰. However, some viruses like influenza and Rift valley fever virus appear to 49 generate only limited amounts of long dsRNA^{3, 11}, yet they are antagonised by IFN- α/β consistent with 50 the notion that alternative viral nucleic acid structures like PPP-RNA may be key to inhibiting their 51 replication. Moreover, there are many early and strongly IFN- α/β -induced proteins that have unclear 52 molecular function and could in principle participate in the machinery involved in engagement of viral 53 nucleic acid some of which have been revealed through viral or host genetics ¹²⁻¹⁴. In particular, little is 54 known about the cellular repertoire of proteins that have the potential to bind the type of PPP-RNA that is 55 generated during viral infection. Here we used an unbiased proteomic-centred survey to identify cellular 56 proteins that engage microbial structures ¹⁵ and report the identification and functional characterisation of 57

58 a class of proteins binding to PPP-RNA.

60 **Results**

61 IFIT1 and IFIT-5 are PPP-RNA binding molecules

62 We used agarose beads coupled to PPP-RNA (mimicking viral RNA) or the same RNA not containing a triphosphate group (OH-RNA), which is known not to activate the innate immune system³. to affinity 63 purify potentially interacting proteins from HEK293 cells that were or were not pre-treated with 64 recombinant Interferon β (IFN- β). Pulled-down proteins were identified by mass spectrometry 65 (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The proteins predominantly precipitated with PPP-RNA from interferon 66 treated cells were interferon stimulated proteins with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFIT) 1-5 (Supplementary 67 68 Fig. 1b). A double-logarithmic plot of the spectral counts as well as the exponential modified protein abundance index (emPAI) 16 confirmed the specific IFN- β dependent enrichment of IFIT1, IFIT2 and 69 70 IFIT3 (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 1c). IFITs were expressed at low levels at steady-state but highly induced by type-I interferon (IFN- α/β) and virus infection ¹⁷. 16 h after treatment with 1000 U/ml hIFN-71 72 β , HeLa cells contained 216 pg/µg IFIT1 roughly corresponding to 2.4 million copies per cell (Fig. 1b), 73 while in 293T cells the IFIT1 levels were 126 $pg/\mu g$, corresponding to some 1.4 million copies (Supplementary Fig. 2), placing IFIT1 amongst the most abundant cellular proteins ¹⁸. The IFIT protein 74 family contains four known human (IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT5) and three mouse members (Ifit1, Ifit-2, 75 Ifit-3) (Supplementary Fig. 3). IFITs consist mainly of tetratricopeptide repeats (TPRs) but no annotated 76 nucleic acid binding domain¹⁷. We tested the binding of IFITs to RNA by using PPP-RNA-coated beads 77 78 to precipitate human IFITs from IFIT overexpressing 293T cells or using recombinant protein expressed in bacteria. Overexpressed and recombinant IFIT1 and IFIT5, but little IFIT2 and no IFIT3, associated 79 with PPP-RNA beads (Fig. 1c, d). The two members of the family that best bound to PPP-RNA, IFIT1 80 81 and IFIT5, share the highest sequence homology within the IFIT family (Supplementary Fig. 3). We

- 82 hypothesised that IFIT2 and IFIT 3 associate with PPP-RNA indirectly and be part of a molecular
- 83 complex that only assembles after IFN- α/β induction.

84 IFITs form an interferon-dependent multiprotein complex

To study the putative cellular complex assembling around the IFIT family members we performed affinity 85 purification-mass spectrometry (AP-MS) analysis using IFIT1, IFIT 2 and IFIT 3 as baits. We expressed 86 IFIT1, IFIT 2 and IFIT 3 in doxycycline-inducible HEK-FlpIN cells in the presence or absence of IFN-87 α/β . Doxycycline treatment of HEK-FlpIN cells elicited expression of IFIT1 protein that was comparable 88 to the endogenous levels measured in cells treated with 50 to 500 U/ml of hIFN-β (Supplementary Fig. 89 90 4a). Moreover, using a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing isogenic cell line it was possible to 91 ascertain that expression in this system is highly homogenous among the cell population (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Protein complexes were purified by tandem affinity purification and analysed by Liquid 92 Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MSMS)^{19, 20}. IFIT proteins interacted with a limited number of 93 cellular proteins in unstimulated cells (Supplementary Fig. 5; IntAct database²¹ identifier IM-15277). 94 However, IFN- α/β treatment drastically changed the interaction profile in terms of number of identified 95 96 proteins and peptide count per protein. In purifications from IFN- α/β stimulated cells, IFIT2 and IFIT3 co-purified with IFIT1 with high enough sequence coverage to suggest a stochiometric interaction among 97 the three proteins (Table 1). IFIT5 did not co-precipitate with any other IFIT protein. IFITs do not require 98 99 IFN- α/β -induced factors to bind to each other since tagged versions of IFIT proteins co-precipitated after 100 overexpression of single proteins (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Similarly, recombinant purified IFIT1 and 101 IFIT2, IFIT1 and IFIT3 but not IFIT1 and IFIT5 associated in gel filtration experiments, suggesting a 102 direct interaction at a roughly 1:1 ratio (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 6b), consistent with the results 103 obtained by mass spectrometry on cellular complexes. Compiling the individual interaction profiles into a 104 network analysis revealed several interesting features. First, IFN- α/β induced a dramatic change in the 105 number of nodes (Fig. 2b), reflecting the fact that the bait proteins are naturally expressed at high levels

only after IFN- α/β induction when they find partners. Also the topology of the network is affected by 106 107 IFN- α/β stimulation with the dramatic increase of proteins interacting with all three baits from 1 node to 14 nodes (Fig. 2b, red dots). At the same time the high degree of connectivity after IFN- α/β validated the 108 109 quality of the analysis, as contaminants would interact also in non-induced cells. Importantly, the network 110 also suggested that a few inducible components, in this case mainly the IFIT members, may exert their 111 function by recruiting cellular proteins to assemble IFN-triggered cellular machines (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, IFIT1B, a poorly characterised member of the IFIT family interacts with both IFIT1 and 112 IFIT3 making it a possible component of the larger complex or of a subcomplex worth investigating in 113 114 the future (Fig. 2c). Among the group of proteins interacting with more than one IFIT member are hnRNP 115 components, known to bind RNA and regulate transport and translation, small nuclear Ribonucleoprotein particle (SNRP) components, RNA binding proteins involved in RNA processing, as well as polyA-116 binding proteins. While we cannot exclude that these proteins co-precipitate through binding an RNA 117 118 species that simultaneously binds to IFITs, this is unlikely as it would have to be via an IFN- α/β inducible RNA. Overall the protein complex suggests a role of IFIT family members in RNA biology. In 119 120 future, it may be worth investigating the contribution of several members of the IFIT interactome in the antiviral program. Here we initially focus on IFIT1 being the component mediating association of the 121 122 IFIT complex to PPP-RNA.

123 Molecular basis for IFIT1 interaction to PPP-RNA

124 Interferon-stimulated proteins partially re-distribute upon engagement of the respective viral ligands ²². 125 We examined the subcellular localisation of murine Ifit1 in IFN- β stimulated NIH3T3 cells after 126 transfection of biotinylated PPP-RNA or OH-RNA. Ifit1 is equally distributed in IFN- β treated cells and 127 re-localises to discrete intracellular foci after stimulation with PPP-RNA in roughly half of all cells 128 examined (**Fig. 3a**). In contrast, only a small fraction of cells showed relocalisation of Ifit1 after 129 transfection of OH-RNA.

130 To further assess the association of PPP-RNA with IFIT1 we investigated the requirement for triphosphates in RNA precipitations comparing cells expressing c-Myc-tagged IFIT1 to cells expressing 131 132 GFP-RIG-I as positive control. In both cases PPP-RNA was considerably more efficient than its OH 133 counterpart in purifying the two proteins (Fig. 3b). Similarly, PPP-RNA efficiently and specifically 134 purified endogenous IFIT1 from both interferon treated HEK293 cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Fig. 3c), suggesting that the PPP-RNA binding property of IFIT1 is common to different cells 135 136 and species. To further assess the PPP-RNA binding properties of IFIT1 we took advantage of 137 Escherichia coli purified proteins in gel mobility assays. IFIT1 but not IFIT3 caused mobility retardation of a PPP-RNA and not a OH-RNA probe (Fig. 3d). Antibodies directed against the recombinant IFIT1 138 139 caused an increased retardation in mobility confirming that IFIT1 is a major component of the retarded 140 complex. IFIT1 contains no recognised RNA binding domain and to identify a potential interaction 141 mechanism we relied on homology modelling with the closest homologue in the PDB database, O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine transferase (PDB code 1w3b; Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig. 7)²³. The model 142 143 shows a superhelical structure of the several tetratricopeptide repeats with an extended groove winding 144 along the longitudinal axis of the protein (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig. 7). Large patches of positively charged surfaces (blue) can be seen both in the central part of the groove and in C-terminal part of the 145 protein. We identified individual residues different between IFIT1 and IFIT3, mutated these residues into 146 147 the IFIT3 identity and tested for PPP-RNA binding. Only R187H showed a significant loss of association 148 (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Fig. 8a). In these experiments tagged IFIT3 was co-expressed, allowing the demonstration that without a functional PPP-RNA binding moiety, as in the case of the IFIT1(R187H), 149 IFIT3 will not co-purify with PPP-RNA (Fig. 3f). Importantly, IFIT1(R187H) maintained its ability to 150 151 associate with IFIT3 as shown by co-immunoprecipitation experiments and gel filtration (Supplementary 152 Fig. 8b, c) indicating that the R187H mutation is not associated with a major folding problem of the protein. To quantify the binding capabilities of wild-type (wt) IFIT1 compared to the mutant we used 153 154 PPP-RNA- and OH-RNA-coated ELISA plates and found that only the intact IFIT1 displayed a significant affinity for PPP-RNA and none of the other combinations (Fig. 3g). To obtain binding 155

affinities we then used surface plasmon resonance and measured an estimated binding constant of
recombinant IFIT1 for PPP-RNA of 242 nM and a 10-20 fold lower affinity of IFIT1 for OH-RNA (3.14
mM) or IFIT1(R187H) for PPP-RNA (4.36 mM) and OH-RNA (2.64 mM) (Fig. 3h). Altogether these
experiments demonstrate that IFIT1 has the ability to bind directly and specifically to PPP-RNA.
Moreover, the data strongly suggest that TPR motifs, such as the ones present in the IFIT1 protein, have
the ability to convey specific interactions with nucleic acids, further expanding their well characterised
protein-protein interaction property ²⁴.

163 Sequestration of PPP-RNA by IFIT proteins

Previous studies suggested that IFIT1 suppresses *in vitro* translation through binding eIF3e^{17, 25}. While 164 165 we were able to confirm an overall negative effect of IFITs in PPP-RNA programmed translation assays using rabbit reticulocyte lysates ^{17, 25}, in our experiments it strongly correlated with the RNA-binding 166 167 properties of the different IFITs. Since the commonly used templates generated by *in vitro* transcription 168 are not capped and contain a triphosphate group at the 5' end our findings suggest a simple mechanism involving PPP-RNA sequestration for the observed inhibitory effects. Accordingly, IFIT1 and IFIT5, the 169 170 only two family members capable of binding PPP-RNA directly, most efficiently interfered with the assay (Fig. 4a). If sequestration was indeed involved it should be antagonised by excess template. To directly 171 172 test this hypothesis we increased the amount of template RNA and assayed the ensuing translation 173 efficiency. The inhibitory effect of IFIT1 was inversely proportional to the amount of template RNA used 174 in these assays (Fig. 4b) and depended on the presence of triphosphates on the 5' end (Fig. 4c). To finally 175 prove that it is PPP-RNA binding that lies at the center of the inhibitory effect we used the IFIT1 mutated in R187 to find that IFIT1(R187H) was indeed less effective (Fig. 4d). To further exclude any possible 176 177 interference with the translational machinery based on protein-protein interaction properties we choose the translational assay obtained from wheat germ extract. IFIT1 had an inhibitory effect comparable to the 178 one observed with rodent-derived extracts (Fig. 4e). As evolutionary distance between plants and animals 179 dates more than 1.5 billion years ²⁶ and plants do not appear to encode IFIT orthologs ²⁷ it makes specific, 180

mechanistically meaningful effects on the translational machinery through a protein-protein interaction
extremely unlikely. Altogether, this set of data is compatible with the ability of IFIT1 to sequester PPPRNA and offers a simple mechanism for the negative effects in translational assays.

184 To test whether IFIT1 has the ability to engage viral RNA also in infected cells, we precipitated tagged

185 IFIT1 or tagged GFP as control from cells infected with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) or influenza A

186 virus (FluAV) and tested the association of viral RNA. IFIT1 but not GFP precipitated viral RNA (Fig.

4f, **g**) suggesting that IFIT1 can also bind and potentially sequester viral RNA in cells.

188 Antiviral effects of IFIT family members

189 As IFIT1 participates in a protein complex containing stoichiometric amounts of IFIT2 and IFIT3, to test 190 antiviral activity we addressed all three family members and also where appropriate the IFIT1 ortholog 191 IFIT5. Consistent with the requirement for the formation of a protein complex, overexpression of 192 individual family members did not impair virus growth (Supplementary Fig. 9 and data not shown). 193 siRNA knockdown of IFIT members in HeLa cells effectively and specifically caused reduction of 194 transcript levels and expression of the cognate protein (Fig. 5a, b, Supplementary Fig. 10 a-d) but did not influence induction of IFN-β mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 10e). Loss of IFIT family members led to 195 an increase in growth of VSV, VSV-M2 (mutated in the Matrix protein, M51R leading to IFN- β 196 197 induction) and Rift valley fever virus (RVFV Clone13) to different degrees, with IFIT1 and IFIT2 being 198 most efficient (Fig. 5c-e, Supplementary Fig. 11a-e). In contrast, growth of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) was not significantly affected by the siRNA treatments (Fig. 5f, Supplementary Fig. 11f), 199 consistent with the notion that EMCV does not generate PPP-RNA during its replication cycle²⁸. Similar 200 201 to other PPP-RNA generating viruses, also the replication of FluAV, as measured by activation of a 202 polymerase-I promoter read-out, increased in the absence of IFIT1, IFIT2 and IFIT3, suggesting that the 203 entire IFIT1 complex is involved in antiviral activities against influenza (Fig. 5g). Collectively our data 204 suggest that members of the IFIT family contribute to the antiviral response against several PPP-RNA

producing viruses. The contribution of the different family members may differ depending on the nature 205 of the microbial agent. As the affinity of IFIT1 to PPP-RNA constitutes a central feature of the IFIT1 206 207 complex, we directly tested its importance for antiviral activity. For this we expressed siRNA-resistant 208 versions of wt IFIT1 and the PPP-RNA binding mutant IFIT1(R187H) (Fig. 5h), respectively, in cells 209 that were treated with siRNA against IFIT1. We used as read-out the FluAV polymerase-I dependent 210 transcriptional assay to observe that the PPP-RNA binding impaired IFIT1(R187H) mutant was 211 considerably less able to constrain viral replication as compared to wt IFIT1 (Fig. 5i). Taken together these data clearly show that the requirements for an efficient antiviral activity include the presence of all 212 three family members, IFIT1, IFIT2 and IFIT3, and the PPP-RNA binding capability of IFIT1. 213

214 Ifit1 displays antiviral activity in vivo

215 Mice should be a particularly suitable model system to study IFIT activity since mouse Ifit1 is the only family member binding PPP-RNA and knockdown cell lines using shRNA against Ifit1 were impaired in 216 217 their ability to contain virus growth in the presence of IFN- β (Supplementary Fig. 11 g-j). We generated 218 mice with a deletion in the *Ifit1* gene (Fig. 6a). *Ifit1* deficiency was confirmed by quantitative PCR (Fig. **6b**) and immunoblotting of lysates from IFN-β stimulated MEFs (**Fig. 6c**). The absence of mouse Ifit1 219 220 was not due to defective signalling downstream of the type-I interferon receptor since the interferon responsive protein DAI ²⁹ was induced upon IFN- β treatment (Fig. 6c). Under specific pathogen-free 221 (SPF) conditions, mice lacking *Ifit1* showed no phenotypic abnormalities and were undistinguishable 222 223 from wt C57BL/6 mice.

IFITs have been proposed to regulate cytokine expression ^{30, 31}. However, Ifit1 deficiency did not change
phosphorylation of the transcription factor IRF3 in response to transfection of innate immune stimuli
(Supplementary Fig. 12a). Transfecting PPP-RNA, viral RNA derived from VSV particles (vRNA),
poly-I:C, interferon stimulatory DNA (ISD) or poly-dA:dT, or activation of the TLR pathway through
CpG and LPS did not yield significantly different amounts of type-I IFN- and IL-6 protein in MEFs, *ex*

vivo bone-marrow, bone marrow-derived macrophages and bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (Fig. 6d,
Supplementary Fig. 12b-g). Neither was the induction of IFN-α/β and IL-6 protein by viral infection
affected by *lfit1* deficiency (data not shown). We therefore concluded that Ifit1 is dispensable for
induction of antiviral cytokines. In contrast, Ifit1-deficient cells allowed consistent higher VSV
accumulation compared to wt counterparts at three different time points tested (Fig. 6e). EMCV infected
MEFs showed equal viral loads irrespective of the genetic status of the Ifit1 gene (Fig. 6f).

235 To establish an antiviral function of Ifit1 *in vivo* we infected *Ifit1* knockout mice with VSV. At all doses

tested, Ifit1 deficient mice showed significantly reduced survival as compared to control mice (Fig. 6g,

237 Supplementary Fig. 13a and data not shown), suggesting that control of VSV infection required Ifit1

also in vivo. In contrast, absence of Ifit1 did not affect viability of mice infected with EMCV (Fig. 6h,

Supplementary Fig. 13b). Similar to EMCV, Ifit1 seemed to be dispensable for the clearance of *Listeria*

240 *monocytogenes*, a bacterium known to predominantly engage DNA-sensing pathways ^{32, 33} (Fig. 6i,

Supplementary Fig. 13c). We concluded that *in vivo*, Ifit1 manifests a critical activity against VSV and

242 presumably other PPP-RNA-expressing viruses but not against the other pathogens tested here.

243 Overall we conclude that the IFIT proteins contribute to an executing branch of the PPP-RNA innate

immunity molecular network. While RIG-I represents the PPP-RNA sensing module that signals towards

type-I interferon production, interferon causes a feed-back mechanism that ensures the arming of cells

with PPP-RNA-binding antiviral proteins, such as IFIT1, IFIT5 and the protein complexes that they form.

247 (Supplementary Fig. 14).

248

250 **Discussion**

251 IFIT1 demands the regular attention of immunologists, since it is encoded by one of the most abundantly IFN- α/β induced mRNAs. So far most evidence has been gathered for it being a general inhibitor of 252 protein translation ¹⁷. Recently, however, elegant studies using viruses defective in their ability to 253 254 methylate mRNA CAP structures at the 2'O-position and Ifit1 and Ifit2 deficient mice identified an intriguing correlation between specific 5'nucleic acid conformations and Ifit function¹⁴ for which the 255 present study offers a mechanistic rationale. While IFIT1 is shown here to bind PPP-RNA, IFIT2 and 256 257 IFIT3 also have a virus-containing function and all three proteins form a complex that contains yet other 258 family members as well as other RNA-binding proteins. This raises the possibility that the IFIT complex 259 represents multiple RNA-binding valencies able to recognise and counteract a yet to be determined 260 spectrum of microbes. The IFIT versatility may well reside in the modular use of TPRs, shown here to 261 have nucleic acid binding capability, in analogy to the role of leucine-rich repeats that confer binding plasticity to another family of PRR, namely the Toll-like receptors. Unlike these, IFITs are strongly 262 induced during infection and reach expression levels beyond a million copies per cell. This abundance, 263 rather than with the signalling roles of receptors, may be more compatible with an executing function. We 264 therefore suggest a general model whereby IFIT proteins exert their antiviral activity by physically 265 266 engaging microbial elements. In particular the present work focuses on the 5' conformation of RNAs such 267 as it is present on the genomic, antigenomic and some transcripts of certain virus species. While members of the RIG-I helicases represent the PPP-RNA binding components of the sensing and interferon 268 269 induction branch of the innate immunity molecular network, we here propose that IFIT family members 270 represent the PPP-RNA binding component of an executing antiviral branch of the network. The final fate of the PPP-RNA physically sequestered by the IFIT complex remains to be elucidated. Sequestration of 271 272 viral components has been described before in the case of orthomyxovirus resistance (Mx) proteins known to physically inhibit assembly of viral particles though binding viral proteins ³⁴. Some viruses 273

- generate large amounts of small triphosphorylated leader-RNAs which could potentially antagonise IFIT
 activity ³⁵. We suggest that similarly to the diverse set of proteins sensing the variety of PAMPs and
 triggering the anti-pathogen response, also the abundant proteins executing the response itself need to
- 277 maintain specificity for defined pathogen structures to limit interference with vital host processes.

279 Database accession numbers

- 280 Mass spectrometry data presented in Figure 2 was deposited in the IntAct database ²¹, identifier: IM-
- **281** 15277.

283 **Table 1**

	Bait protein					
	IFIT1		IFIT2		IFIT3	
	No IFN	+ IFN	No IFN	+ IFN	No IFN	+ IFN
IFIT1	19	34	5	29	14	32
IFIT2	0	17	24	25	0	19
IFIT3	0	29	5	25	32	28
IFIT5	0	0	0	0	0	0

284

 $HEK-FlpIN \ cells \ were \ stimulated \ with \ 1 \ \mu g/ml \ doxycycline \ for \ 24 \ h \ to \ induce \ expression \ of \ IFIT1. \ Cells$

286 were left untreated or treated overnight with approximately 1000 U/ml IFN- α/β that was generated by

transfecting HEK293 cells with poly-I:C. Protein complexes isolated by tandem affinity purification were

analysed by LC-MSMS. The table shows number of identified IFIT peptides in precipitations of IFITs

289 (Bait proteins) in presence or absence of IFN- α/β , as indicated.

291 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Identification of an IFN-α/β-induced IFIT containing complex as a PPP-RNA binding entity

294 (a) HEK293 cells were left untreated or treated with 1000 U/ml IFN-β overnight. Cells were lysed and incubated with PPP-RNA or OH-RNA coupled to streptavidin beads. After precipitation, bead-associated 295 296 proteins were eluted, separated by 1D SDS PAGE electrophoresis and whole lanes analysed by Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MSMS). Identified proteins are represented as dots with 297 298 detection strength (log of spectral count) in OH-RNA pull downs (x-axis) and PPP-RNA pull downs (yaxis), both in IFN- β stimulated conditions. Red dots represent proteins with no detection in the absence of 299 300 IFN- β in both OH-RNA and PPP-RNA pull downs. IFIT proteins are by far the strongest hits. IFIT5 is 301 gray due to detection in the pull down done in the absence of IFN- β priming. Data from four experiments is shown. (b) 10^6 HeLa cells were treated with the indicated amount of recombinant IFN- β for 16h and 302 303 the lysates, alongside a recombinant IFIT1 standard, were analysed by immunoblotting for IFIT1 and 304 tubulin. The signal was quantified using infrared imaging. The cellular copy number of IFIT1 in per HeLa cells treated with 1000 U/ml IFN- β was determined to be 2.4 * 10⁶. One of two experiments done in 305 306 duplicate is shown. (c, d) Lysates from 293T cells transfected with plasmids for c-Myc-tagged IFITs (c) and E. coli expressing His-GST-tagged IFITs (d) were used for affinity precipitation with PPP-RNA and 307 308 associated proteins analysed by immunoblotting.

309

310 Figure 2: Formation of a complex containing IFIT proteins

311 (a) Recombinant IFIT proteins and their binary complexes were analyzed by size-exclusion 312 chromatography. Shown are overlaid elution profiles from Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (the void 313 volume is ~8.3 ml), and the indicated peak fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by 314 coomassie staining. His-tagged IFIT1 binds His-GST-tagged IFIT2. (b, c) Network analysis of the IFIT 315 protein complex based on data described in Table 1. (b) The IFIT proteins (large balls) in absence of IFN-316 α/β stimulation (left) are interacting with fewer proteins (small balls) whereas upon IFN- α/β stimulation IFITs recruit many new partners. Interactions between IFIT-1, -2, and -3 are also stronger. Proteins 317 identified by all IFITs are shown in red. (c) Protein interaction network for the IFN- α/β stimulated 318 condition and annotated protein functions using Gene Ontology (GO) molecular functions and manual 319 320 curation. Obvious non-specific proteins or contaminants were removed (keratin, albumin from MS BSA 321 quality control runs, and MCC12 and PCCAB which bind to the Strep-tactin affinity resin in high 322 abundance). Many of the shared IFIT partners have the ability to bind to RNA (red) and some are 323 involved in mRNA translation (green). IFIT bait proteins are shown in blue.

324

325 Figure 3: Triphosphate-dependent RNA-binding of IFIT1 requires an Arginine at position 187

326 (a) Ifit1 redistribution (white arrows) in IFN- β - treated NIH 3T3 cells transfected with biotinylated PPP-

327 RNA and OH-RNA for 3 h. Shown is the average % relocalisation of Ifit1 (+/- standard deviation) in 100

randomly selected cells in two independent experiments. * = p < 0.05. (b, c) PPP-RNA or OH-RNA

beads were used for affinity purification from lysates of 293T cells expressing c-Myc-IFIT1 or GFP-RIG-

330 I (b) or IFN- β treated HEK293 cells and MEFs (c). Precipitates were analysed by immunoblotting. (d)

- 331 Mobility shift assay of PPP-RNA and OH-RNA by recombinant His- GST-IFIT1 and -IFIT3. Where
- indicated an antibody against GST was added. Numbers on the right indicate free probe (1), shifted probe
- 333 (2) and supershifted probe (3). (e) Surface charge of an IFIT1 structure model based on O-linked β -N-
- acetylglucosamine transferase (PDB code 1w3b). Surface colour represents electrostatic potential, red is

335 negative, blue is positive charge, N is N-terminus, C is C-terminus. Proteins with targeted point mutations 336 of the indicated residues were used for further functional characterisation. (f) c-Myc-tagged IFIT1 337 mutants and HA-IFIT3 were co-expressed in 293T cells and 24 h later used for affinity purification using 338 PPP-RNA as bait. (g) PPP-RNA or OH-RNA were bound to ELISA plates and incubated with the 339 indicated amounts (ng) of recombinant IFIT1 or IFIT1(R187H). RNA-associated proteins were detected using secondary reagents. Shown is substrate conversion at OD 450, error bars show standard deviation of 340 triplicate measurements. One representative experiment of three is shown. (h) The affinity of IFIT1 and 341 IFIT1(R187H) to PPP-RNA and OH-RNA was measured by surface plasmon resonance using 342 biotinylated RNA as immobilised ligand and increasing amounts of recombinant protein. Shown are the 343 response units of the indicated combinations of binding partners with standard deviation from duplicate 344 345 measurements.

346

347 Figure 4: IFIT1 sequesters PPP-RNA in vitro

348 (a-d) Rabbit reticulate lysate (RRL) or (e) wheat germ extract (WGE) was supplemented with RNA template expressing firefly-luciferase and recombinant IFITs or no protein was added. (a, d, e) 0.2 μ g of 349 350 in vitro transcribed PPP-RNA template (that is commonly used in such assays) was incubated with the indicated amounts of recombinant IFITs or no protein. (b) As in (a) but 0.2 μ g and 0.05 μ g template RNA 351 were used. (c) RNA that was not (PPP-luc) or was treated with calf intestinal phosphatase (OH-luc) was 352 353 supplemented together with 35 μ M IFIT1, as indicated. (d) Translation of PPP-luc mRNA template in the 354 presence of 35 μ M IFIT3, IFIT1 or IFIT1(R187H). (a-e) The graph shows luciferase activity after an 1 h 355 incubation period at 37 °C. Error bars show standard deviation of at least two experiments done in triplicate measurements. * = p < 0.05, n.s. = non significant. (f-g) HEK-FlpIN IFIT1 or HEK-FlpIN GFP 356 357 cells were stimulated with doxycycline for 24 h and infected with VSV-GFP and FluAV (both MOI: 5) for 9 h. Cells were then lysed and proteins precipitated using Strep-tactin beads. RNA before (Input) and 358

after precipitation (SII-IP) was analysed by qRT-PCR for VSV (f) or FluAV sequences (g). The graph
shows arbitrary units +/- standard deviation of duplicate measurements of one representative experiment
of three (f) or two (g).

362

363 Figure 5: Influence of IFIT RNA interference on virus growth

364 (a) 10^5 HeLa cells were transfected with 0.5 µg of the indicated IFIT expression vector and 5 nM siRNA

directed against the indicated IFIT family member. Expression of c-Myc-tagged proteins was evaluated

by immunoblot 48 h later. (b-f) HeLa cells were transfected with 5 nM siRNA for 48 h. (b) Cells were

367 stimulated with 0.25 μg PPP-RNA for 16 h and expression of IFIT1 or IFIT3 was tested by

immunoblotting. (c-f) siRNA treated HeLa cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01

with VSV (c), VSV-M2 (with a M51R mutation in the matrix protein)³⁶ (d), RVFV (Clone13) (e) or

EMCV (f) and virus accumulation was tested by TCID50 at 48 h (c, d, f) and 72 h (e) after infection.

371 Graphs in (c-f) show the average of three independent experiments, error bars indicate standard deviation.

372 (g) HeLa cells were co-transfected with Pol-I ff-luc $(0.1 \ \mu g)$, pRL-TK $(0.05 \ \mu g)$ reporter plasmids and the

indicated siRNAs. 48 h later cells were left uninfected or infected with FluAV at a MOI of 1 and reporter

activity analysed after over-night incubation. The graph shows the ratio between firefly- and renilla

375 luciferase +/- standard deviation of one representative experiment of two done in duplicate measurements.

376 (h) HeLa cells were co-transfected with siRNA against IFIT1 or control siRNA together with plasmids

377 coding for c-Myc-tagged versions of parental or silencing-resistant IFIT1. Immunoblots 48 h after

transfection are shown. (i) as in (g) but plasmids coding for silencing-resistant IFIT1 were co-transfected

as indicated. The graph shows the ratio between firefly- and renilla luciferase +/- standard deviation of

380 one representative experiment of three done in duplicate measurements.

381

382 Figure 6: Ifit1 is necessary to contain virus growth and *in vivo* pathogenicity

(a) Targeting strategy for mouse *Ifit1*. (b, c) Loss of Ifit1 in *Ifit1*^{+/+} MEFs (+/+) and *Ifit1*^{-/-} MEFs (-/-) was 383 validated by PCR (b) and by immunoblotting in MEFs that were stimulated with IFN- β for 16 h (c). (d) 384 MEFs (2×10^5 cells/ml) were left unstimulated or transfected with PPP-RNA (0.4 µg/ml and 0.08 µg/ml), 385 viral RNA isolated from VSV particles (vRNA) (0.2 µg/ml), poly-I:C (1 µg/ml) or poly-dA:dT (1 µg/ml) 386 and accumulation of IFN- α/β was tested using a cell line stably containing an ISRE-luc reporter. (e, f) 387 388 MEFs of the indicated genotype were infected with VSV (e) or EMCV (f) at a MOI of 0.01 and virus accumulation in the cell supernatant was measured by TCID50 after 48 h. Graphs show average virus 389 titers from two independent experiments. Error bars show standard deviation. * = p < 0.05 tested by two 390 way Annova for two independent experiments done in hexaplicate measurements. (g-i) Survival of Ifit1 391 deficient (*Ifit1*^{-/-}) (red lines) and C57BL/6 mice (Ifit1^{+/+}) (black lines). (g) Male animals (n = 14) were 392 anesthetized with ketamine-xylazine and infected intranasally with 10⁵ pfu of VSV and monitored twice 393 394 daily for survival over a two week period. Wt mice survived significantly longer than Ifit deficient animals (Mantel-Cox Test p < 0.01). (h) Sex-matched *Ifit1^{-/-}* and *Ifit1^{+/+}* mice (n = 17) were infected 395 intraperitoneally with 500 pfu of EMCV and monitored for survival. (i) Female $Ifit1^{-/-}$ and $Ifit1^{+/+}$ mice (n 396 = 9) were infected intraperitoneally with 10^6 CFU *L. monocytognes.* d.p.i.: days post infection. 397

399 Acknowledgements

400 We want to thank the NIH Knock-out Mouse Project (KOMP) for providing ES cells with a targeted Ifit1

- 401 allele. We want to thank Lill Andersen for expansion of ES cells, Kumaran Kandasamy for bioinformatics
- 402 support, Michael Bergmann for providing FluAV matrix protein antibody. The work in the authors'
- 403 laboratories was funded by the Austrian Academy of Sciences, the i-FIVE ERC grant to GSF, an EMBO
- 404 long-term fellowship to AP (ATLF 463-2008), a Marie-Curie and an EMBO fellowship to CB, DFG grant
- 405 We 2616/5-2 and SFB 593/B13 to FW. TR and MM are funded by the Austrian Federal Ministry for
- 406 Science and Research GEN-AU programme Austromouse; MM is funded by the Austrian Science Fund
- 407 FWF SFB F28.

409 Methods

410 Reagents, proteins and viruses

411	IFN- α and IFN- β were from PBL Interferonsource. IFN- α/β was generated by transfecting HEK293 cells
412	with poly-I:C. Expression constructs were generated by PCR amplification and cloned into pCS2-6myc-
413	GW, pCDNA-HA-GW, pTO-SII-HA-GW ²⁰ or pETG30A-GW and pETG10A-GW. Point mutations were
414	introduced by site directed mutagenesis. Pol-I ff-luc was from Georg Kochs ³⁷ . p7SK-as and pGFP-RIG-I
415	were described earlier ³ . pRL-TK was from Promega. In vitro translation was done with Rabbit
416	reticulocyte lysate or Wheat germ extract (Promega) using the provided luciferase mRNA or SP6-
417	polymerase transcribed luciferase mRNA as template. Strep-tacin beads were from IBA, HA-agarose
418	from Sigma, Protein G sepharose was from GE Healthcare and Streptavidin beads from Pierce.
419	Antibodies for α -tubulin and β -actin were from Alexis. Phospho-IRF3 was from Cell Signalling. IRDye -
420	conjugated anti-myc antibody, anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary reagents were from Rockland.
421	Streptavidin Alexa-800, Streptavidin Alexa-488 and goat anti-mouse Alexa-548 were from Molecular
422	probes. Polyclonal antibodies against rb- α -DAI, rb- α -IFIT1, ms- α -Ifit1 and rb- α -IFIT3 were generated
423	by immunisation of animals with full-length recombinant protein. RT-PCR reagents were from Qiagen.
424	Biotinylated PPP-RNA (7SK-as) was described earlier ³ . PPP-RNA was dephosphorylated using Calf
425	intestinal phosphatase (New England biolabs). LPS (E.coli K12), CpG (CpG-DNA-ODN1826), poly-
426	(I:C) and poly-(dA:dT) were from Invitrogen. ISD ³³ was synthesised at Microsynth. vRNA was isolated
427	using Trizol (Invitrogen). For stimulation TLR agonists were added other stimuli were transfected with
428	Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or Polyfect (Qiagen). Total IFN- α/β was measured as described ³⁸ . IL-6
429	was measured by ELISA (BD).
430	Recombinant IFITs were expressed in <i>E. Coli</i> and purified on a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare).

431 EMCV, FluAV (A/PR/8/34), VSV (strain: Indiana)³⁸, VSV-GFP³⁹, VSV-M2 (M51R, originally named

AV1) ³⁶, RVFV (Clone 13) ⁴⁰ and Listeria monocytogenes (EGD) ⁴¹ were described earlier. Viruses were
 titrated on Vero cells using the TCID50 method of Reed and Muench.

434 Cells, mice and *in vivo* experiments

293T, NIH3T3 and HEK293 cells were described earlier³. IRF3 deficient MEFs were a gift of Thomas 435 Decker, Doxycycline regulatable HEK-FlpIN cells were from Invitrogen. MEFs were generated from 436 437 embryos of mated Ifit1+/- mice. BM macrophages (BMMs) were cultured in the presence of M-CSF (Prepotech), BM dendritic cells (BM-DC) in presence of GM-CSF (Prepotech). Fibroblasts were kept in 438 DMEM (PAA) and primary cells cultured in RPMI (PAA) supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum 439 440 (Invitrogen) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin). For inducible transgene 441 expression HEK-FlpIN cells were treated with 1 µg/ml doxycycline for 24-48 h. For siRNA knockdown, 5nmol siRNA was mixed with HiPerfect (Qiagen) and added to 10⁵ HeLa cells. 48 h later cells were used 442 for experiments. Sequences of shRNA vectors and siRNA knockdown oligos are available on request. 443 *Ifit1* knockout mice were generated using ES cells clones (VGB6; C57BL/6NTac background) with a 444 445 targeted *Ifit1* locus. ES cells were provided by the NIH-knockout mouse project (KOMP, NIH). C57BL/6 wild-type control mice were purchased from Charles River. All mice were kept under specific pathogen 446 free conditions according to FELASA recommendations. For EMCV infections age (9-11 weeks) and sex-447 matched mice were infected intraperitoneally, for Listeria monocytogenes (EGD) age-matched (8-11 448 449 weeks) females were infected intraperitonally. For VSV challenge, age-matched (8-11 weeks) male mice 450 were anesthetised with ketamine-xylazine and inoculated intranasally with VSV. All animal experiments were approved by the institutional ethics committee and the Austrian laws (GZ 68.205/0057-II/10b/2010). 451

452 RT-PCR, Immunofluorescence, gel shift assays, protein quantification

453 RNA was isolated using RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed using oligo-dT primers and the

454 RevertAID RT-PCR kit (Fermentas). NIH3T3 cells were grown overnight on coverslips and stimulated as

455 described in figure legends. Cells were stained with murine anti-Ifit1 antibodies, followed by anti-mouse

456 Alexa-548, Alexa-488-Streptavidin and DAPI. Images were acquired with a Leica AF6000 deconvolution

457 microscope. For gel shift assays 200 ng biotinylated 7SK-as RNA³ supplemented with Alexa-800-

458 Streptavidin was incubated with 12,5 µg recombinant His-GST-IFIT1 or His-GST-IFIT3 protein solved in

459 PBS supplemented with RNAsin (Promega) (1:20), DTT (final volume 400 mM) and 100 mM NaCl.

460 Where shown, GST antibody $(1 \ \mu g)$ was added. Samples were run on a 1 % Agarose gel and RNA was

visualised using a LI-COR Odyssee system. To estimate the protein copy number of IFIT1 in cells,

462 recombinant IFIT1 was used as calibration standard and compared to lysates of IFN- β stimulated HeLa

and 293T cells. The signal intensity on western blots was quantified using a LI-COR Odyssee system.

464 Affinity purifications and measurements, mass spectrometry and homology modelling

465 For RNA precipitation 5 µg PPP-RNA or OH-RNA (both 7SK-as) were added to streptavidin resin, and 466 incubated with 6 mg of HEK293 cell lysate for 60 minutes. Beads were washed three times in TAP-buffer 467 (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 % (v/v) glycerol, 0.2 % (v/v) Nonidet-P40, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 468 protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche)), proteins eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer and 469 analysed by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE. Entire gel lanes were analysed by mass spectrometry using a hybrid LTQ-Orbitrap XL (ThermoFisher Scientific) or a quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer 470 (QTOF Premier; Waters) coupled to an 1100/1200 series HPLC (Agilent Technologies) with an analytical 471 472 column packed with C18 material. Data generated by LC-MSMS was searched against UniProtKB/SwissProt version 57.12⁴² integrating Mascot⁴³ and Phenyx⁴⁴ search engines. A false 473 discovery rate of less than 1 % on the protein groups was estimated. HEK-FlpIN cells and isolation of 474 protein complexes for LC-MSMS analysis is described elsewhere ²⁰. 293T cells were transfected with 475 476 respective expression plasmids for 48 h and lysates used for immunoprecipitation using HA-agarose or 477 RNA-coated beads. For surface plasmon resonance measurements biotinylated 7SK-as RNA was loaded on a streptavidin coated SA sensor chip (GE Healthcare) and probed with recombinant wild-type or 478 479 IFIT1(R187H) diluted in running buffer (0.01 M Hepes, pH 7.4, 0.25 M NaCl, 0.005 % surfactant P20). Sensorgrams were fitted to a single site binding model (1:1 Langmuir binding), using the numerical 480

- 481 integration functions of the BIAevaluation 3.1 software package. To determine the dissociation constant
- 482 (KD) the equilibrium-state binding values were plotted as a function of the applied protein concentrations
- 483 and fitted to first-order kinetics assuming a monovalent RNA-protein interaction. Comparative modelling
- 484 was done using the I-TASSER server (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/) ⁴⁵ to obtain a
- 485 model for full-length IFIT1. The model was based on the structure of O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine
- transferase (PDB code 1w3b), with 17 % sequence identity. Surface charge potential was calculated by
- 487 APBS as implemented in PyMOL (DeLano Scientific).

489 **References**

490 1. Akira, S., Uematsu, S. & Takeuchi, O. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. Cell 124, 783-491 801 (2006). 2. 492 Pichlmair, A. & Reis e Sousa, C. Innate recognition of viruses. *Immunity* 27, 370-383 (2007). 493 3. Pichlmair, A. et al. RIG-I-mediated antiviral responses to single-stranded RNA bearing 5'-494 phosphates. Science 314, 997-1001 (2006). 495 4. Hornung, V. et al. 5'-Triphosphate RNA is the ligand for RIG-I. Science 314, 994-997 (2006). 496 5. Yoneyama, M. & Fujita, T. RNA recognition and signal transduction by RIG-I-like receptors. 497 Immunol Rev 227, 54-65 (2009). 498 6. Schlee, M. et al. Approaching the RNA ligand for RIG-I? Immunol Rev 227, 66-74 (2009). 499 7. Bowie, A.G. & Unterholzner, L. Viral evasion and subversion of pattern-recognition receptor 500 signalling. Nature reviews 8, 911-922 (2008). 501 8. Schmolke, M. & Garcia-Sastre, A. Evasion of innate and adaptive immune responses by influenza 502 A virus. Cell Microbiol 12, 873-880 (2010). 503 9. Kato, H. et al. Length-dependent recognition of double-stranded ribonucleic acids by retinoic 504 acid-inducible gene-I and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5. J Exp Med 205, 1601-505 1610 (2008). 506 10. Sadler, A.J. & Williams, B.R. Interferon-inducible antiviral effectors. Nat Rev Immunol 8, 559-568 507 (2008). 508 Weber, F., Wagner, V., Rasmussen, S.B., Hartmann, R. & Paludan, S.R. Double-stranded RNA is 11. 509 produced by positive-strand RNA viruses and DNA viruses but not in detectable amounts by 510 negative-strand RNA viruses. J Virol 80, 5059-5064 (2006). 511 12. Gay, N.J., Gangloff, M. & O'Neill, L.A. What the Myddosome structure tells us about the initiation of innate immunity. Trends in immunology (2011). 512 513 13. Ronald, P.C. & Beutler, B. Plant and animal sensors of conserved microbial signatures. Science 514 **330**, 1061-1064 (2010). 515 14. Daffis, S. et al. 2'-O methylation of the viral mRNA cap evades host restriction by IFIT family 516 members. Nature 468, 452-456 (2010). 517 15. Burckstummer, T. et al. An orthogonal proteomic-genomic screen identifies AIM2 as a 518 cytoplasmic DNA sensor for the inflammasome. Nat Immunol 10, 266-272 (2009). 519 Ishihama, Y. et al. Exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI) for estimation of 16. 520 absolute protein amount in proteomics by the number of sequenced peptides per protein. Mol 521 Cell Proteomics 4, 1265-1272 (2005). 522 17. Guo, J., Hui, D.J., Merrick, W.C. & Sen, G.C. A new pathway of translational regulation mediated 523 by eukaryotic initiation factor 3. Embo J 19, 6891-6899 (2000). 524 18. Papin, J.A., Hunter, T., Palsson, B.O. & Subramaniam, S. Reconstruction of cellular signalling 525 networks and analysis of their properties. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6, 99-111 (2005). 526 19. Kocher, T. & Superti-Furga, G. Mass spectrometry-based functional proteomics: from molecular 527 machines to protein networks. Nature methods 4, 807-815 (2007). Glatter, T., Wepf, A., Aebersold, R. & Gstaiger, M. An integrated workflow for charting the 528 20. 529 human interaction proteome: insights into the PP2A system. Mol Syst Biol 5, 237 (2009).

530	21.	Aranda, B. et al. The IntAct molecular interaction database in 2010. Nucleic acids research 38,
531		D525-531 (2010).
532	22.	Reichelt, M., Stertz, S., Krijnse-Locker, J., Haller, O. & Kochs, G. Missorting of LaCrosse virus
533		nucleocapsid protein by the interferon-induced MxA GTPase involves smooth ER membranes.
534		<i>Traffic</i> 5 , 772-784 (2004).
535	23.	Jinek, M. et al. The superhelical TPR-repeat domain of O-linked GlcNAc transferase exhibits
536		structural similarities to importin alpha. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11, 1001-1007 (2004).
537	24.	D'Andrea, L.D. & Regan, L. TPR proteins: the versatile helix. Trends in biochemical sciences 28,
538		655-662 (2003).
539	25.	Fensterl, V., White, C.L., Yamashita, M. & Sen, G.C. Novel characteristics of the function and
540		induction of murine p56 family proteins. J Virol 82, 11045-11053 (2008).
541	26.	Wang, D.Y., Kumar, S. & Hedges, S.B. Divergence time estimates for the early history of animal
542		phyla and the origin of plants, animals and fungi. Proc Biol Sci 266, 163-171 (1999).
543	27.	Fensterl, V. & Sen, G.C. The ISG56/IFIT1 gene family. J Interferon Cytokine Res 31, 71-78.
544	28.	Racaniello, V.R. Picornaviridae: The Viruses and Their Replication. In Fields Virology, D. Knipe,
545		P.M. Howley, D.E. Griffin, R.A. Lamb, M.A. Martin, B. Roizman, and S.E. Straus, eds (Philadelphia:
546		Lippincott Williams & Wilkins) pp. 685-722 (2001).
547	29.	Takaoka, A. et al. DAI (DLM-1/ZBP1) is a cytosolic DNA sensor and an activator of innate immune
548		response. <i>Nature</i> 448 , 501-505 (2007).
549	30.	Berchtold, S. et al. Forced IFIT-2 expression represses LPS induced TNF-alpha expression at
550		posttranscriptional levels. BMC Immunol 9, 75 (2008).
551	31.	Li, Y. et al. ISG56 is a negative-feedback regulator of virus-triggered signaling and cellular
552		antiviral response. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 106 , 7945-7950 (2009).
553	32.	Sauer, J.D. et al. Listeria monocytogenes triggers AIM2-mediated pyroptosis upon infrequent
554		bacteriolysis in the macrophage cytosol. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> 7, 412-419 (2010).
555	33.	Stetson, D.B. & Medzhitov, R. Recognition of cytosolic DNA activates an IRF3-dependent innate
556		immune response. <i>Immunity</i> 24 , 93-103 (2006).
557	34.	Kochs, G., Janzen, C., Hohenberg, H. & Haller, O. Antivirally active MxA protein sequesters La
558		Crosse virus nucleocapsid protein into perinuclear complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99, 3153-
559		3158 (2002).
560	35.	Umbach, J.L., Yen, H.L., Poon, L.L. & Cullen, B.R. Influenza A Virus Expresses High Levels of an
561		Unusual Class of Small Viral Leader RNAs in Infected Cells. <i>MBio</i> 1 (2010).
562	36.	Stojdl, D.F. <i>et al.</i> VSV strains with defects in their ability to shutdown innate immunity are
563		potent systemic anti-cancer agents. <i>Cancer Cell</i> 4 , 263-275 (2003).
564	37.	Dittmann, J. <i>et al.</i> Influenza A virus strains differ in sensitivity to the antiviral action of Mx-
565		GTPase. J Virol 82 , 3624-3631 (2008).
566	38.	Pichlmair, A. <i>et al.</i> Activation of MDA5 requires higher-order RNA structures generated during
567		virus infection. <i>J Virol</i> 83 , 10761-10769 (2009).
568	39.	Boritz, E., Gerlach, J., Johnson, J.E. & Rose, J.K. Replication-competent rhabdoviruses with
569		human immunodeficiency virus type 1 coats and green fluorescent protein: entry by a pH-
570		independent pathway. J Virol 73 , 6937-6945 (1999).
5/1	40.	Habjan, M. <i>et al.</i> NSs protein of rift valley fever virus induces the specific degradation of the
5/2		double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. J Virol 83, 4365-4375 (2009).
5/3	41.	Reutterer, B. <i>et al.</i> Type I IFN are host modulators of strain-specific Listeria monocytogenes
574	42	viruience. <i>Cell Microbiol</i> 10 , 1116-1129 (2008).
5/5	42.	Wu, C.H. <i>et al.</i> The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt): an expanding universe of protein
576		information. Nucleic acids research 34 , D187-191 (2006).

577	43.	Perkins, D.N., Pappin, D.J., Creasy, D.M. & Cottrell, J.S. Probability-based protein identification
578		by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. <i>Electrophoresis</i> 20, 3551-3567
579		(1999).
580	44.	Colinge, J., Masselot, A., Giron, M., Dessingy, T. & Magnin, J. OLAV: towards high-throughput
581		tandem mass spectrometry data identification. Proteomics 3, 1454-1463 (2003).
582	45.	Roy, A., Kucukural, A. & Zhang, Y. I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein structure
583		and function prediction. Nat Protoc 5, 725-738 (2010).
584		
585		











