

## Gradient blow up in Zygmund spaces for the very weak solution of a linear elliptic equation

Frédéric Abergel, Jean-Michel Rakotoson

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Frédéric Abergel, Jean-Michel Rakotoson. Gradient blow up in Zygmund spaces for the very weak solution of a linear elliptic equation. 2011. hal-00647503v1

### HAL Id: hal-00647503 https://hal.science/hal-00647503v1

Preprint submitted on 2 Dec 2011 (v1), last revised 20 Jan 2012 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Gradient blow-up in Zygmund spaces for the very weak solution of a linear elliptic equation.

## Frédéric ABERGEL Jean-Michel RAKOTOSON

#### Abstract

It is known that the very weak solution of  $-\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi dx$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$  has its gradient in  $L^1(\Omega)$  whenever  $f \in L^1(\Omega; \delta(1+|\log\delta|))$  with  $\delta(x)$  being the distance of  $u \in \Omega$  to the boundary. Here, we show that if f is not in this weighted space  $L^1(\Omega; \delta(1+|\ln\delta|))$ ,  $f \geqslant 0$ , then its gradient blows up in  $L(\log L)$  at least. Moreover, we show that there exist a domain  $\Omega$  of class  $C^{\infty}$  and a function  $f \in L^1_+(\Omega, \delta)$  such that the associated very weak solution has its gradient being non integrable on  $\Omega$ .

**Keywords** Very weak solutions; Distance to the boundary; Regularity; Linear PDE; Monotone rearrangement; Lorentz spaces.

#### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we state and prove two results related to the behaviour near the boundary of very weak solutions to Laplace's equation. In the first part of the paper, we prove that the very weak solution  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$  of the so-called Brezis weak formulation (see [4, 5, 6])

$$-\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1}$$

verifies  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\operatorname{Ln} \delta| dx = +\infty$  whenever  $f \geqslant 0$ , f is integrable with respect to the distance function  $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  but  $f \notin L^1(\Omega; \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$ . The result implies in particular, that  $|\nabla u|$  blows up in the Zygmund space  $L(\operatorname{Ln} L)$ :

$$\int_{\Omega_*} |\nabla u|_{**}(t)dt = \infty \text{ with } \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\nabla u|_*(\sigma)d\sigma = |\nabla u|_{**}(t), \tag{2}$$

 $|\nabla u|_*$  is the decreasing rearrangement of  $|\nabla u|$ ,  $\Omega_* = ]0$ , meas  $(\Omega)[$ .

In the second part, we construct an open bounded smooth set  $\Omega$  and a function  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$ ,  $f \notin L^1(\Omega, \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$  such that the associate very weak solution u verifies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \, dx = +\infty. \tag{3}$$

For the sake of completeness, we recall some general results concerning the equation (1) that we shall summarize in the following

#### **Proposition 1.** (see [2, 5, 8])

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set of  $C^{2,1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$ , where  $\delta(x)$  is the distance function of  $x \in \Omega$  to be the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Then, there exists a constant c > 0 such that for any solution u of (1), one has

- 1.  $|\nabla u|_{L^{1+\frac{1}{N},\infty}(\Omega;\delta)} \leqslant c|f|_{L^1(\Omega;\delta)},$  $|u|_{L^{N',\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant c|f|_{L^1(\Omega;\delta)}, N' = \frac{N}{N-1} \text{ if } N \geqslant 2, N' = \infty \text{ otherwise}$
- 2. If  $f \geqslant 0$  then  $u \geqslant 0$ .
- 3. If  $f \in L^1(\Omega; \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$  then  $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and

$$|\nabla u|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqslant c|f|_{L^1(\Omega;\delta(1+|\operatorname{Ln}\delta|))}$$

4. If  $\Omega$  is a ball, f is radial then  $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ 

$$|\nabla u|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqslant c|f|_{L^1(\Omega;\delta)}.$$

5. If  $\Omega = ]a, b[$  then the above estimate holds for all  $f \in L^1(]a, b[, \delta)$ .

 $\Diamond$ 

In Proposition 1  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  denotes the Lorentz space, see e.g. [1, 9]. Their main properties are briefly recalled below. that we recall briefly the properties can be found in [9]

For a Lebesgue measurable set E of  $\Omega$  we denote by |E| its measure.

the decreasing rearrangement of a measurable function u is the function  $u_*$ . Then

$$u_*: \Omega_* = ]0, |\Omega|[\to \mathbb{R}, \quad u_*(s) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |u > t| \leqslant s\}$$

$$u_*(0) = \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} \ u, \qquad u_*(|\Omega|) = \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess \, inf}} \ u.$$

We use the following Lorentz spaces,

for 
$$1 ,  $1 \le q \le +\infty$$$

$$L^{p,q}(\Omega) = \left\{ v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable } |v|_{L^{p,q}}^q = \int_0^{|\Omega|} [t^{\frac{1}{p}}|v|_{**}(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty \right\}$$

for  $q = +\infty$ 

$$L^{p,\infty}(\Omega) = \left\{ v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable } |v|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup_{t \le |\Omega|} t^{\frac{1}{p}} |v|_{**}(t) < +\infty \right\}$$

Finally, we introduce some notations:  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of a set  $E \subset \Omega$  and

$$|v|_{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |v|_*(s) ds \text{ for } t \in \Omega_* = ]0, |\Omega.$$

Denoting by  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ , we define the following sets

$$W^{1}(\Omega, |\cdot|_{p,q}) = \left\{ v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) : |\nabla v| \in L^{p,q}(\Omega) \right\}$$

and

$$C_c^m(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in C^m(\Omega), \ \varphi \text{ has compact support in } \Omega \}.$$

### 2 Blow-up in Zygmund space for $f \notin L^1(\Omega; \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$

The aim of this section is to prove the

#### Theorem 1.

Under the same assumptions as for Proposition 1, if  $f \ge 0$  and  $f \notin L^1(\Omega; \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$  then any solution u of (1) satisfies

1. 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta| \, dx = +\infty;$$

2. 
$$\int_{\Omega_*} |\nabla u|_{**}(t)dt = +\infty, \ (i.e. \ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \max(\operatorname{Ln} |\nabla u|; 0) \, dx = +\infty).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 follows

#### Lemma 1.

Let u be a very weak solution of (1). Assume that  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| dx < +\infty$ . Then u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \, \varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{4}$$

#### Proof

By the density of  $C_c^2(\Omega)$  in  $C_c^1(\Omega)$ , one has

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

By usual argument on truncation and convolution (see [10, 7, 3]) one can prove the following approximation result:

#### Proposition 2.

Let  $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\varphi_n \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  such that

1. 
$$\exists c > 0, \ |\nabla \varphi_n|_{\infty} \leqslant c \Big( |\nabla \varphi|_{\infty} + |\varphi|_{\infty} \Big) \qquad \forall n;$$

2. 
$$\varphi_n \to \varphi$$
 in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  (i.e.  $\underset{x \in \Omega}{\text{Max}} |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$ );

3.  $\nabla \varphi_n \rightharpoonup \nabla \varphi$  in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)^N$ -weak star.

(proof omitted).

#### Proof of Theorem 1

Considering  $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$  and its approximating sequence in Proposition 2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_n \, dx. \tag{5}$$

By statement 3. of Proposition 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \tag{6}$$

and by the mean value theorem, we have from statement 1.

$$|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \leq |\nabla(\varphi_n - \varphi)|_{\infty} \cdot \delta(x) \leq c_{\varphi}\delta(x). \tag{7}$$

Since  $f \in L^1(\Omega; \delta)$ , we derive from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_n \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx. \tag{8}$$

 $\Diamond$ 

Combining relations (5) to (8), we obtain the result.

Next we want to prove

#### Lemma 2.

Under the same assumption as for Proposition 1, if  $f \ge 0$  and u is the very weak solution (1) such that  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta |\, dx < \infty$ ., then there exists a constant  $c(\Omega) > 0$  (independent of u) such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f \delta |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta| \, dx \leqslant c(\Omega) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \left( 1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta| \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f \delta \, dx \right). \tag{9}$$

**Proof** Let us first note that according to Proposition 1 statement 1, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \delta \, dx \leqslant c \int_{\Omega} f \delta \, dx < +\infty.$$

Therefore,  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\operatorname{Ln} \delta| dx < +\infty$  is equivalent to  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| (1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|) dx < +\infty$ , since  $|\operatorname{Ln} \delta| \ge \beta > 0$  near the boundary.

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , let us consider  $\varphi_1 > 0$  the first eigenfunction of  $-\Delta$  with the Dirichlet condition,  $-\Delta \varphi_1 = \lambda_1 \varphi_1$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\varphi_1 = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ . Then,  $\varphi \equiv \varphi_1 |\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_1 + \varepsilon)| \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a good test function. We then have

$$\int_{\Omega} f\varphi_{1} |\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_{1} + \varepsilon)| dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} |\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_{1} + \varepsilon)| dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_{1} \frac{\varphi_{1} \operatorname{sign}\left(\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_{1} + \varepsilon)\right)}{\varphi_{1} + \varepsilon} dx.$$

$$\tag{10}$$

Since  $|\nabla \varphi_1|_{\infty} < +\infty$  and  $|\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_1 + \varepsilon)| \leq |\operatorname{Ln} \varphi_1| + 1$  we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} f\varphi_1 |\operatorname{Ln}(\varphi_1 + \varepsilon)| \, dx \leqslant c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| (1 + |\operatorname{Ln}\varphi_1|) \, dx. \tag{11}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  Fatou's lemma yields

$$\int_{\Omega} f\varphi_1 |\operatorname{Ln} \varphi_1| \, dx \leqslant c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| (1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \varphi_1|). \tag{12}$$

Since there exist two constants  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $c_0 \delta \leqslant \varphi_1 \leqslant c_1 \delta$  we deduce relation (9) from relation (12).

#### End of the proof of Theorem 1

Let  $f \ge 0$  be  $L^1(\Omega; \delta)$  and  $f \notin L^1(\Omega; \delta(1 + |\operatorname{Ln} \delta|))$  then  $\int_{\Omega} f(x)\delta|\operatorname{Ln} \delta|dx = +\infty$ . Therefore, from Lemma 2, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta| \, dx = +\infty. \tag{13}$$

While for the second statement 2., we recall that

$$L_{exp}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \sup_{0 < t \le |\Omega|} \frac{|v|_{**}(t)}{1 + \operatorname{Ln} \frac{|\Omega|}{t}} < +\infty \right\}$$

is the associate space of  $L(\operatorname{Ln} L) = \left\{ v \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v|_{**}(t) dt < +\infty \right\}$  (see [1]). Now we have that  $|\operatorname{Ln} \delta| \in L_{exp}$  since  $\delta^{-\varepsilon} \in L^1(\Omega)$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , and we then deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \cdot |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta| \leq |\operatorname{Ln} \, \delta|_{L_{exp}(\Omega)} \cdot |\nabla u|_{L(\operatorname{Ln} \, L)}. \tag{14}$$

Relation (13) and the fact that  $|\operatorname{Ln} \delta|_{L_{exp}(\Omega)} < +\infty$  implies that

$$|\nabla u|_{L(\operatorname{Ln} L)} = \int_{\Omega_*} |\nabla u|_{**}(t)dt = +\infty.$$
(15)

 $\Diamond$ 

# 3 Existence of a domain $\Omega$ and a very weak solution whose gradient blows up in $L^1(\Omega)$

The main result of this section is

#### Theorem 2.

There exist a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geqslant 2$  of class  $C^{\infty}$  and a function  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  such that the weak solution  $u_0$  of (1) satisfies

$$|\nabla u_0| \notin L^1(\Omega)$$

(that is 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|(x) dx = +\infty$$
).

The key lemma in the proof if Theorem 2 is the following result

#### Lemma 3.

There exist a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geqslant 2$  of class  $C^{\infty}$  and a nonnegative function  $g \in L^N(\Omega)$  such that the unique solution  $\psi > 0$  of  $-\Delta \psi = g$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\psi \in W^{2,N}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty.$$

Let us admit temporarily this lemma (which merely amounts to saying that  $|\nabla \psi(x)|$  is very large near a point of the boundary). Note that according to Sobolev embedding  $W^{2,N}(\Omega)$  is included in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for all  $\alpha < 1$  but not in  $C^{0,1}$  in general.

#### Proof of Theorem 2

Let us consider  $\Omega$  the domain constructed in Lemma 3. Assume that for any  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  the unique solution u of (1) satisfies  $|\nabla u| \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then define

$$(-\Delta)^{-1}: \begin{array}{ccc} L^1(\Omega,\delta) & \to & L^1(\Omega) \\ f & \mapsto & u = (-\Delta)^{-1}f. \end{array}$$

u being the unique solution of (1), and set

$$Tf = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}f.$$

Then one has

#### Lemma 4.

If for all very weak solution u, we have  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| dx < +\infty$ . Then

$$\sup_{|f|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)}=1} |Tf|_{L^1(\Omega)^N} \text{ is finite.}$$

#### Proof

Let  $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$  ( $\varepsilon_0$  small enough).  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \delta(x) > \varepsilon \right\}$  and  $T_{\varepsilon}f = \chi_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}f$  with  $\chi_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}$  being the characteristic function of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . If  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}f| dx < +\infty$  then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} f| \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} f \, dx;$$

and

$$|T_{\varepsilon}f|_{L^{1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| \delta \, dx \leqslant \frac{c}{\varepsilon} |f|_{L^{1}(\Omega,\delta)}.$$

We derive (the Banach-Steinhaus boundedness principle) that there exists a constant  $c(\Omega) > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla u|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqslant c(\Omega)|f|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)}.$$
 (16)

 $\Diamond$ 

Considering the sequence

 $u_k \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega), \ p > N, \ \text{solution of} \ -\Delta u_k = f_k = \min(|f|;k) \text{sign}\,(f), \quad f_k \to f \ \text{in} \ L^1(\Omega,\delta),$ 

then one has

$$|\nabla(u_k - u)|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqslant c(\Omega)|f - f_k|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)} \to 0.$$

Thus

$$u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$$
.

Since  $\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty$  there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$ ,  $f_0 \geqslant 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0(x)\psi(x) dx = +\infty.$$

Indeed one has, using the Hopf maximum principle, the existence of a constant  $k_1 > 0$  such that

$$\psi(x) \geqslant k_1 \delta(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Therefore,

$$L^1(\Omega, \psi) \subset_> L^1(\Omega, \delta).$$
 (17)

If  $L^1(\Omega, \psi) = L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  then necessarily, there exists a constant  $c_1(\Omega) > 0$  such that

$$|f|_{L^1(\Omega,\psi)} \leqslant c_1(\Omega)|f|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)} \qquad \forall f \in L^1(\Omega,\delta).$$
 (18)

(This is due to Banach principle or equivalently, since the function space  $L^1(\Omega, \psi)$  and  $L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  are Banach function space, one can deduce this inequality from one of properties related to Banach function space [1], Theorem 1.8).

Relation (18) would imply  $\psi(x) \leqslant c_1(\Omega)\delta(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . This contradicts the fact that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  such that  $f_0 \notin L^1(\Omega, \psi)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |f_0(x)| \psi(x) dx = +\infty$ . We may obviously assume that  $f_0 \ge 0$  (otherwise, we consider  $|f_0|$ ).

Defining the sequence  $f_{0k} = T_k(f_0) = \min(f_0; k)$  and  $\overline{u}_k$  the solution of  $-\Delta \overline{u}_k = f_{0k}$  one has using relation (16)

$$0 \leqslant \int_{\Omega} f_{0k} \psi \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \psi \Delta \overline{u}_k \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \overline{u}_k \Delta \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \overline{u}_k g \, dx$$

$$\leqslant |\overline{u}_k|_{L^{N'}} \cdot |g|_{L^N} \leqslant c|\nabla \overline{u}_k|_{L^1} \cdot |g|_{L^N} \leqslant c|f_0|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)}|g|_{L^N}. \tag{19}$$

Letting  $k \to +\infty$  in relation (19), we derive from Beppo-Levi's theorem

$$+\infty = \int_{\Omega} f_0 \psi \, dx = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{0k} \psi \, dx \leqslant c |f_0|_{L^1(\Omega,\delta)} |g|_{L^N} < +\infty,$$

which is absurd. Hence, there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \delta)$  such that the associate weak solution  $u_0$  is such  $|\nabla u_0| \notin L^1(\Omega)$ .

#### Proof of Lemma 3

For the sake of convenience, we shall start with the case N=2 and we shall generalize the construction in a second step.

Let us first consider the open set

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 < x_2, \ x_1^2 + x_2^2 < \frac{1}{e} \right\}.$$

We define the preliminary function on  $\Omega_1$  by

$$w(x_1, x_2) = (x_2 - x_1^2) \operatorname{Ln} \left( \operatorname{Ln} \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right).$$

(Remarks:

- in polar coordinates w can be written as  $w = r(\sin(\theta) r\cos(\theta)) \ln(|\ln r|)$ .
- another possibility for the choice of w is  $w_0 = r \sin(\theta) \operatorname{Ln}(|\operatorname{Ln} r|)$  on  $\{(r, \theta) : r > 0, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$ , in what follows, we can use w or  $w_0$ ).

One has the following properties for w:

- 1. w > 0 in  $\Omega_1$ ,
- 2.  $w(x_1, x_1^2) = 0$ ,  $-x_{1c} < x_1 < x_{1c}$  with  $x_{1c}^4 + x_{1c}^2 = \frac{1}{e}$ ,  $w(x_1, x_2) = 0$  for  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \frac{1}{e}$ .
- 3.  $w \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_1) \cap H^2(\Omega_1)$ .

Indeed it is sufficient to compute  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i^2}$  and prove that  $\Delta w \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

$$w[x_1, x_2] = (-x_1^2 + x_2) Log \left[ Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{2x_1 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} - 2x_1 Log \left[ Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} = -\frac{2x_2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} + Log \left[ Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right]$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1^2} &= -\frac{4x_1^2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^2 Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]^2} + \frac{4x_1^2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^2 Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} + \frac{8x_1^2}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} \\ &- \frac{2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} - 2Log \left[ Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right] \\ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_2^2} &= -\frac{4x_2^2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^2 Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]^2} + \frac{4x_2^2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^2 Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} - \frac{4x_2}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} \\ &- \frac{2 \left( -x_1^2 + x_2 \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} \\ \Delta w &= \frac{4 \left( x_1^2 - x_2 + \left( 2x_1^2 - x_2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right)}{\left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]} - 2Log \left[ Log \left[ \frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right] \right] \end{split}$$

Using polar coordinates, one can check that

$$\Delta w \in L^2(\Omega)$$
 and  $|\nabla w| \in L^p(\Omega)$  for all  $p < +\infty$ .

One can consider  $x_{1c} > \eta > 0$  and an open set  $\Omega$  of class  $C^{2,1}$  at least such that

$$\Omega \subset [-x_{1c} + \eta; x_{1c} - \eta] \times \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}\right].$$

$$\partial\Omega \text{ contains } \Gamma_0 = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : -x_{1c} + \eta < x_1 < x_{1c} - \eta, \ x_2 = x_1^2 \right\} \cap \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : -x_{1c} + \eta < x_1 < x_{1c} - \eta, \ x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \frac{1}{e} \right\}.$$

For  $a > 0 : 0 < 2a < x_{1c} - \eta$ , we define  $\theta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\theta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \theta \geqslant 0 \text{ such that } \begin{cases} 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 1, \\ \theta(x_1, x_2) = 1 & \text{if } |x_1| \leqslant a, \\ \theta(x_1, x_2) = 0 & \text{if } |x_1 > \frac{3a}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the function  $\theta w$  vanishes on the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Let us show that  $\psi_0 = \theta w$  satisfies the following properties

1. 
$$-\Delta\psi_0 = f_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$$
.

2. Max 
$$\left\{ \frac{\psi_0(x)}{\delta(x)}, \ x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty.$$

Property 1 is obvious, since

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi_0 = -(\Delta \theta w + 2\nabla w \nabla \theta + \Delta w \theta) \in L^2(\Omega), \\ \psi_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

To prove statement 2.) we consider  $x = (x_1, \alpha x_1)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $x_1$  small enough so that  $x \in \Omega$ , then

$$\frac{\psi_0(x)}{\delta(x)} = \frac{\psi_0(x_1, \alpha x_1)}{\delta(x)} \geqslant \frac{(\alpha - x_1) \operatorname{Ln} \left( \left( -\operatorname{Ln} \left( 1 + \alpha^2 \right) x_1^2 \right) \right)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} \xrightarrow[x_1 \to 0]{} + \infty.$$

This show that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi_0(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty.$$

Setting  $g = |f_1|$  and considering  $\psi > 0$  solution of  $-\Delta \psi = g$ ,  $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , one has by the maximum principle that  $\psi \geqslant \psi_0$  (so that  $\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty$ ).

We can generalize the above construction in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , let us outline the main steps of the procedure. For  $x = (x', x_N) = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we denote by

$$|x'|^2 = x_1^2 + \ldots + x_{N-1}^2, \quad \sigma(x) = x_1^2 + \ldots + x_N^2.$$

We first consider the open set

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x = (x', x_N), |x'|^2 < x_N, \sigma(x) < \frac{1}{e} \right\}.$$

We define on  $\Omega_1$  the nonnegative function

$$w(x) = (x_N - |x'|^2) \operatorname{Ln} \left( \operatorname{Ln} \frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \right), \ x \in \Omega_1.$$

w satisfies the same properties as in statements 1., 2., 3.. For a > 0 (small), consider Consider  $\theta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 1, \\ \theta(x', x_N) = 1 & \text{if } |x'| \leqslant a, \\ \theta(x', x_N) = 0 & \text{if } |x'| > \frac{3a}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider an open set  $\Omega$  of class  $C^{2,1}$  such that supp  $\theta \cap \Omega_1 \subset \Omega$  and  $\theta w = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Then the function  $\psi_0(x) = \theta w(x)$  satisfies  $-\Delta \psi_0 \in L^N(\Omega)$  since  $\Delta w \in L^N(\Omega)$  by a straightforward computation. Setting

$$e_{N-1} = (1, \dots, 1), \quad x_{\alpha} = x_N(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, 1) \in \Omega, \ \alpha > 0,$$

for  $x_N > 0$ ,  $x_N$  small enough, there holds and considering  $x_N > 0$  small enough, we have set and

$$\frac{\psi_0(x_\alpha)}{\delta(x_\alpha)} \geqslant \frac{(1-\alpha^2|e_{N-1}|^2x_N)}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2|e_{N-1}|^2}} \operatorname{Ln}\left(\operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{1}{x_N^2(1+\alpha^2(N-1))}\right)\right) \xrightarrow[x_N\to 0]{} +\infty.$$

Therefore

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi_0(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty.$$

Considering

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta \psi = |\Delta \psi_0| = g \in L^N(\Omega) \\
\psi \in W^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,N}(\Omega),
\end{cases}$$

we have 
$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\psi(x)}{\delta(x)} : x \in \Omega \right\} = +\infty, \ g \in L^N(\Omega)$$

**Acknowledgment**: We thank C. Falaise-Bougant for the realization of this manuscript and helping with Wolfram Mathematica  $^{\textcircled{6}}$  8 which we used for the computation of derivative of w.

#### References

- [1] C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press. London, (1983).
- [2] M.F. Bidault-Veron, L. Vivier, An elliptic semilinear equation with source term involving boundary measures: the subcritical case. *Rev; Mat. Iberoamericana* **16** (2000), 477-513.
- [3] H. Brezis, Analyse fonctionnelle théorie et applications, (1983), Masson, Paris.
- [4] H. Brezis, Th. Cazenave, Y. Martel, A. Ramiandrisoa, Blow up for  $u_t \Delta u = g(u)$  revisited, Adv. in Diff. Eq. 1 (1996) 73-90. (Also personal communication to J.I. Diaz)
- [5] J.I. Díaz, J.M. Rakotoson, On the differentiability of very weak solutions with right-hand side data integrable with respect to the distance to the boundary, *J. Functional Analysis*, doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2009.03.002, **257**, (2009), 807-831.
- [6] J.I.Díaz, J.M. Rakotoson, On very weak solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations in the framework of weighted spaces with respect to the distance to the boundary, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 27 3 (2010) 1037-1058.
- [7] G. Demengel, F. Demengel Espaces Fonctionnels, Utilisation dans la résolution des équations aux dérivées partielles (2007), CNRS Editions.
- [8] J.M. Rakotoson, A few natural extension of the regularity of a very weak solution, *Differential* and *Integral Equations*, bf 24 11-12,(2011), 1125-1140.

- [9] J.M. Rakotoson, Réarrangement Relatif: un instrument d'estimation dans les problèmes aux limites, (2008), Springer Verlag Berlin.
- [10] J.E. Rakotoson, J.M. Rakotoson, Analyse fonctionnelle appliquée aux dérivées partielles, (1999), PUF.

#### Fréderic ABERGEL

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées aux Systèmes

Ecole Centrale Paris

Grandes Voies des Vignes, 92295 Châtenay-Malabry Cedex

Jean-Michel RAKOTOSON\*

Laboratoire de Mathématiques

et Applications, Université de Poitiers

Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, Téléport 2, BP 30179

86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex, FRANCE

E-mail \*rako@math.univ-poitiers.fr( corresponding author: Rakotoson)