



HAL
open science

Improved enzymatic production of phenolated acylglycerols through alkyl phenolate intermediates

Zhiyong Yang, Vivian Feddern, Marianne Glasius, Zheng Guo, Xuebing Xu

► **To cite this version:**

Zhiyong Yang, Vivian Feddern, Marianne Glasius, Zheng Guo, Xuebing Xu. Improved enzymatic production of phenolated acylglycerols through alkyl phenolate intermediates. *Biotechnology Letters*, 2010, 33 (4), pp.673-679. 10.1007/s10529-010-0486-3 . hal-00646926

HAL Id: hal-00646926

<https://hal.science/hal-00646926>

Submitted on 1 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Section: Bioprocessing and Bioengineering

Improved enzymatic production of phenolated acylglycerols through alkyl phenolate intermediates

Zhiyong Yang · Vivian Feddern · Marianne Glasius · Zheng Guo · Xuebing Xu

Zhiyong Yang · Zheng Guo · Xuebing Xu

Department of Molecular Biology, University of Aarhus, Gustav Wieds Vej 10, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Vivian Feddern

School of Chemistry and Food, Laboratory of Food Science, University of Rio Grande, Alfredo Huch St. 475, 96201-900, Rio Grande, RS, Brazil

Marianne Glasius

Department of Chemistry, University of Aarhus, Langelandsgade 140, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Corresponding author: Xuebing Xu,

Department of Molecular Biology, University of Aarhus, Gustav Wieds Vej 10, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Tel: +45-89425089, Fax: +45-86123178, Email: xu@mb.au.dk

Abstract A novel approach is reported for the synthesis of dihydrocaffoylated glycerols that consists of two steps: enzymatic synthesis of octyl dihydrocaffeate (as a synthetic intermediate) from octanol and dihydrocaffeic acid, and enzymatic interesterification of triacylglycerols with octyl dihydrocaffeate. Due to the good compatibility of the intermediate with triacylglycerols, an improved volumetric productivity [$147 \text{ mol h}^{-1} (\text{kg Novozym 435})^{-1}$] and high enzyme specific activity [up to $9.6 \mu\text{mol}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}(\text{g Novozym 435})^{-1}$] have been obtained.

Keywords Alkyl phenolate · Dihydrocaffeic acid · Lipase · Phenolic acids · Interesterification

Introduction

Phenolic acids are antioxidants that are widely distributed in fruits, vegetables, spices, and aromatic herbs. However, their applications in oil-based food processing and cosmetic industries are limited due to their low solubility in hydrophobic media (Buisman et al., 1998; Figueroa-Espinoza and Villeneuve 2005). To improve the lipophilicity of phenolic acids, an alternative method is incorporation of phenolic acids into triacylglycerols through enzymatic reactions (Compton et al. 2000; 2006; Sabally et al. 2005, 2006, 2007; Laszlo and Compton 2006). However, direct transesterification of phenolic acids with triacylglycerols is generally suffered from long reaction time and low efficiency (Figueroa-Espinoza and Villeneuve 2005; Compton et al. 2000). Thus, many efforts, for example, through medium engineering to improve solubility of phenolic acids (Sabally et al. 2006; Lue et al. 2005), and through chemo-enzymatic approach (Sun et al., 2009), have been made to improve the efficiency of related reactions.

This work reports a two-step approach for enzymatic synthesis of phenolated glycerols with dihydrocaffeic acid (DHCA) as a model phenolic acid. An intermediate product, octyl dihydrocaffeate, was first synthesized by lipase-catalyzed esterification of DHCA with octanol. Then, the target products were synthesized through enzymatic interesterification between octyl dihydrocaffeate and triacylglycerol. To develop this approach was from the following considerations: (1) octyl dihydrocaffeate is supposed to have better compatibility with triacylglycerols than dihydrocaffeic acid or short-chain alcohol ester like ethyl dihydrocaffeate; (2) Good compatibility of octyl dihydrocaffeate with triacylglycerols allows a solvent-free reaction to occur; hence a faster reaction and a better productivity could be expected. Response surface methodology (RSM) was employed for reaction optimisation based on a preliminary parameter study. The validation reactions were conducted based on the model optimized reaction conditions. For comparison with the protocol developed in this work, the reactions in other solvent and solvent-free systems were also conducted.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Dihydrocaffeic acid (DHCA), tricaprylin (>98%) and octanol (>99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Novozym 435 (*Candida antarctica* lipase B), Lipozyme RM IM (*Rhizomucor miehei*) and Lipozyme TL IM (*Thermomyces lanuginosus*) were obtained from Novozyme A/S (Bagsvaerd, Denmark). All other solvents were of analytical or HPLC grades.

Preparing octyl dihydrocaffeate

Octyl dihydrocaffeate was synthesized according to the method of Sabally et al. (2005) with some modifications. The reaction was terminated by removing enzyme through filtration and the unreacted octanol were removed through vacuum evaporation at 70 °C. The resulting product was re-dissolved in hexane and washed five times with 0.5 M NaCl to remove the remaining DHCA. The obtained octyl dihydrocaffeate was ~ 97% pure (containing 3% DHCA) according to HPLC analysis.

Interesterification

Interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin was conducted in a 100 ml thermostat reactor. For a typical reaction, 0.154 g octyl dihydrocaffeate (0.5 mmol) was mixed with 0.705 g tricaprylin (1 mmol).

Interesterification was initiated by adding 10% (w/v) immobilized enzyme (on the mass basis of octyl dihydrocaffeate) at 60 °C and stirred magnetically (300 rpm). Sample aliquots from reaction mixture were withdrawn and diluted 100 times by methanol, then subjected to HPLC analysis after removing solid impurity by centrifugation (~10000 rpm for 10 min).

For comparison, other two reaction systems: solvent-free direct transesterification of DHCA with tricaprylin and hexane-mediated Interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin were conducted under other identical conditions, respectively. Namely, for the former system 0.5 mmol DHCA was reacted with 1 mmol tricaprylin and for the latter system 0.5 mmol octyl dihydrocaffeate with 1 mmol tricaprylin in 10 ml hexane.

HPLC Analysis

HPLC analysis was performed on a RP C18-column (250×4.6 mm, 5 µm). Ten µl samples were eluted by 90% solvent A (methanol) and 10% solvent B (water with 0.75% acetic acid) for 16 min at 1 ml/min. Detection was at 284 nm.

The conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate was calculated based on the deduction of the area percentage of octyl dihydrocaffeate on the basis of all components concerning dihydrocaffoyl moiety (including DHCA) in reaction mixture. The yield of individual dihydrocaffoylated glycerol was calculated as the area percentage of the compound in the total areas of the components concerning dihydrocaffoyl moieties. The enzyme activity was calculated from the initial reaction rate based on the conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate vs. reaction time. The unit of enzymatic activity was defined as µmol octyl dihydrocaffeate consumed per min catalyzed by per g immobilized enzyme preparation.

HPLC-ESI-MS analysis

HPLC-ESI-MS analyses were performed with an electrospray ionisation (ESI) coupled to a quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Bruker micrOTOF-Q, Bremen, Germany). The column used and elution conditions for HPLC were the same as for HPLC analysis. Ionisation was performed in the negative mode with 8 l N₂/min at 0.8 bar nebuliser pressure and 190 °C. Scan range was from 50–1200 m/z.

Experimental design by RSM

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was employed to optimize parameters of lipase-catalyzed interesterification between octyl dihydrocaffeate and tricaprylin. The software Model 8.0, Umetrics (Umeå, Sweden) was used to design the reaction sets and fit the experimental data. Four factors were chosen for investigation with three-level setting: temperature (40, 55 and 60 °C), molar ratio of tricaprylin/octyl dihydrocaffeate (1/1, 3/1, 5/1), reaction time (0.5, 24.25 and 48 h) and enzyme load (50, 150 and 250 mg). Central-Composite-Face-centred (CCF) design combined with RSM was used to fit full second-order polynomial model.

Total 27 reactions based on RSM designed parameters were conducted, and the experimental results (conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate and yield of dihydrocaffoylated glycerols) were then used for model fitting and regression analysis to generate the two second polynomial models (the equations not shown). Two sets of optimized parameters could be generated based on the RSM model prediction. Two experimental reactions were thus conducted using the model optimized conditions to validate the model predictions.

All the reactions in this work were conducted in two replicates, and the means ± standard deviations of the data from 2 replicates (within 95% confidence limitation) were used for evaluation of the results.

Results and discussion

Establishment of reaction protocol

The first step was to synthesize high purity intermediate product - octyl dihydrocaffeate. This was implemented successfully by Novozym 435-catalyzed esterification of DHCA with octanol in 2-butanone/hexane (1:3, v:v) binary solvent system according to the method of Sabally et al. (2006). HPLC analysis showed the purity of the resulting product was 97% with minor unreacted DHCA. The octyl dihydrocaffeate was then used for interesterification with tricaprylin for synthesis of dihydrocaffoylated glycerols in a solvent free system.

(Inserted Scheme 1 here)

Scheme 1 shows that the possible products from interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin. Based on HPLC analysis results, four major peaks were detected in the reaction mixture, and their structures were further identified by HPLC ESI-MS analysis. The first peak (eluted after 3.14 min) corresponded to products of mono- and di-DHCA glycerol (Compound D and E in Scheme 1) with molecular ion peaks at m/z 255 and 419 ($[M-H]^-$), respectively. The first peak also contained DHCA (181, $[M-H]^-$) (Compound F in Scheme 1), which was in lower abundance than other two products identified. It probably came from the substrate which contains approx. 3% DHCA. The second peak (3.57 min) was identified as di- and mono-DHCA monocaprylin (Compound B and C in Scheme 1) with molecular ion peaks at m/z 545 and 381 ($[M-H]^-$), respectively. Analysis of the ion of the third peak (4.13 min) indicated it was octyl dihydrocaffeate (m/z , 293 ($[M-H]^-$)). Similarly, MS analysis of the last peak (5.21 min) showed it corresponded to mono-DHCA dicaprylin with molecular ion peaks at m/z 507 ($[M-H]^-$) (Compound A in Scheme 1). Thus, through HPLC and HPLC-MS analysis, the reaction protocol was established and the structures of desired products were confirmed.

Comparison of different reaction systems

For comparison of the efficiencies of different reaction systems, we also conducted direct transesterification of DHCA with tricaprylin in a solvent free system, and interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin in hexane which the same intermediate was used but performed in solvent instead of solvent-free system (Fig. 1). In terms of conversion, for direct transesterification DHCA with tricaprylin only 3.2% conversion of DHCA could be achieved after 72 h. In contrast, for solvent-free interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin around 60% conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate could be reached at 24 h and at 72 h the conversion added up to over 70%. However, further dilution of octyl dihydrocaffeate-tricaprylin by hexane did not improve the conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate; instead it was decreased (14% at 24 h). Poor mixing (or low solubility of DHCA in tricaprylin) will result in a less effective dynamic molecular interaction, which might account for low reaction of DHCA with tricaprylin (Fig. 1). Introducing hexane to the octyl dihydrocaffeate-tricaprylin system generated a negative effect, contradicting to a possible benefit from solvent introduction – improving mass transfer. The reason for this is not clear; however, the results seem suggest that, compared to solvent-free system, hexane-mediated system showed lower enzyme activity and thus resulted in a lower conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate (Table 1).

(Inserted Fig. 1 and Table 1 here)

To quantify the efficiency of these three systems further, we calculated the individual enzyme specific activity based on an estimation of initial reaction rate (Table 1). The enzyme activity (Novozym 435) of solvent-free octyl dihydrocaffeate-tricaprylin interesterification system is over four times higher than the hexane-mediated system; remarkably, 190 times higher than solvent-free DHCA-tricaprylin system. Sabally et al. (2006) reported lipase-catalyzed transesterification of DHCA with trilinolein and trilinolein in hexane/2-butanone (75:25, v/v), with the specific activity of around $0.5 \mu\text{mol (g immobilized enzyme)}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$. If we neglect the difference between the two systems, in the protocol developed in this work the enzyme activity was >18 times higher than in hexane/2-butanone system. The reason might be ascribed to the significant difference of substrate concentrations between two systems. The general concentration of DHCA in the system of Sabally et al. (2006) was at 10 mM level, while the concentration of octyl dihydrocaffeate in the solvent-free system developed in this work was over 600 mM.

From commercial point of view, a considerable volumetric productivity is an important criterion to evaluate time-space efficiency of a reaction protocol. As indicated in Table 1, the solvent-free octyl dihydrocaffeate-tricaprylin interesterification system was superior to the other two systems in terms of volumetric productivity (15 and 34 times higher, respectively). It also can be estimated that the system developed in this work is also much higher than that of hexane/2-butanone system (Sabally *et al.* 2006).

Reaction time course and effects of lipase species

Fig. 2 displays a typical time course of Novozym 435 catalyzed interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricapyrin in a solvent-free system. As depicted, three groups of phenolic derivatives from octyl dihydrocaffeate are generally converted in the concentration di-DHCA/mono-DHCA monocaprylin > mono-DHCA dicaprylin > mono-DHCA/di-DHCA glycerol. This indicated that the reaction simultaneously proceeds in different stages of the interesterification. Less mono-DHCA/di-DHCA glycerol might be a natural result with excessive tricapyrin and little water presence. As can be seen, this solvent-free system was intrinsically fast: within 24 h 60% of octyl dihydrocaffeate converted to products. After another 24 h the reaction conversion reached 70%, thereafter the increases of products levelled off, indicating the reaction came close to the equilibrium of the reaction. Compared with the system previously reported (Sabally *et al.* 2006; Safari *et al.* 2006), this system is faster since most of the other systems needed 4-5 days to reach equilibrium. Moreover, this result also presented an important hint for the level setting of reaction time for the following RSM optimization.

(Inserted Fig. 2 here)

In order to determine the appropriate biocatalyst, three commercially available immobilized enzymes were examined for their capacity to catalyze interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin (Table 1). In terms of specific activity, Lipozyme TL IM ($0.57 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$) was not so different from Lipozyme RM IM ($0.44 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$), whereas Novozym 435 was significantly higher ($9.57 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$). This fact again proved that *Candida antarctica* lipase B (Novozym 435) was a robust lipase for synthesis application as observed in many different systems (Compton *et al.* 2000; Sabally *et al.* 2005; Compton *et al.* 2006; Sabally *et al.* 2006; Guyot *et al.* 1997). Therefore, Novozym 435 was chosen as a biocatalyst for RSM optimization.

RSM optimization and experimental validation

RSM was applied to investigate the effects of temperature, reaction time, enzyme load, and substrate molar ratio on the conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate and yield of dihydrocaffoylated glycerols (the details of statistical analysis not shown). Based on RSM model prediction, two parameter settings could be generated with expected high yield and conversion (Table 2). Two experiments were thus conducted based on the predicted optimum conditions to validate the RSM model predictions (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, the experimental results generally agreed with the predicted values, which confirmed the validity and adequacy of the model prediction. Moreover, high substrate conversion and yield of desired dihydrocaffoylated glycerides in the validation tests were achieved in a relatively shorter reaction time (24 - 44 h), indicating an intrinsic advantage over the reported systems (Sabally *et al.* 2006). (Inserted Table 2 here)

Conclusions

A novel route for enzymatic synthesis of dihydrocaffoylated glycerides with octyl dihydrocaffeate as a synthetic intermediate has been established. The results demonstrated that the new approach has distinct advantages over reported solvent systems, namely, faster reaction rate and higher volumetric productivity. Optimized reaction conditions were generated through RSM optimization. In two validation reactions based on optimized conditions, further improved conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate and yield of dihydrocaffoylated glycerols were obtained.

Acknowledgement

Financial support from the Strategic Food and Health Program (FøSu) and the grant from the Graduate School of Science, Aarhus University are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Buisman GJH, van Helteren CTW, Kramer GFH, Veldsink JW, Derksen JTP, Cuperus FP (1998) Enzymatic esterifications of functionalized phenols for the synthesis of lipophilic antioxidants. *Biotechnol Lett* 20: 131-136.
- Compton DL, Laszlo JA, Berhow MA (2000) Lipase-catalyzed synthesis of ferulate esters. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 77: 513-519.
- Compton DL, Laszlo JA, Berhow MA (2006) Identification and quantification of feruloylated mono-, di-, and triacylglycerols from vegetable oils. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 83: 753-758.
- Figuroa-Espinoza MC, Villeneuve P (2005) Phenolic acids enzymatic lipophilization. *J Agric Food Chem* 53: 2779-2787.
- Guyot B, Bosquette B, Pina M, Graille J (1997) Esterification of phenolic acids from green coffee with an immobilized lipase from *Candida antarctica* in solvent-free medium. *Biotechnol Lett* 19: 529-532.
- Laszlo JA, Compton DL (2006) Enzymatic glycerolysis and transesterification of vegetable oil for enhanced production of feruloylated glycerols. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 83: 765-770.
- Lue BM, Karboune S, Yeboah FK, Kermasha S (2005) Lipase-catalyzed esterification of cinnamic acid and oleyl alcohol in organic solvent media. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* 80: 462-468.
- Sabally K, Karboune S, St-Louis R, and Kermasha S (2006) Lipase-Catalyzed Transesterification of Trilinolein or Trilinolenin with Selected Phenolic Acids. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 83: 101-107.
- Sabally K, Karboune S, St-Louis R, Kermasha S (2007) Lipase-catalyzed synthesis of phenolic lipids from fish liver oil and dihydrocaffeic acid. *Biocatal Biotransform* 25: 211-218.
- Sabally K, Karboune S, Yeboah FK, Kermasha S (2005) Enzymatic esterification of dihydrocaffeic acid with linoleyl alcohol in organic solvent media. *Biocatal Biotransform* 23: 37-44.
- Safari M, Karboune S, St-Louis R, Kermasha S (2006) Enzymatic synthesis of structured phenolic lipids by incorporation of selected phenolic acids into triolein. *Biocatal Biotransform* 24: 272-279.
- Stamatis H, Sereti V, Kolisis FN (1999) Studies on the enzymatic synthesis of lipophilic derivatives of natural antioxidants. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 76: 1505-1510.
- Stamatis H, Sereti V, Kolisis FN (2001) Enzymatic synthesis of hydrophilic and hydrophobic derivatives of natural phenolic acids in organic media. *J Mol Catal B: Enzym* 11: 323-328.
- Sun S, Yang G, Bi Y, Xiao F (2009) Chemoenzymatic synthesis of feruloylated monoacyl- and diacyl-glycerols in ionic liquids. *Biotechnol Lett* 31: 1885-1889.

Table 1 Effects of enzymes and substrates on conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate and yield of dihydrocaffoylated glycerides

Substrate	Enzyme	Solvent	Enzyme A activity ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$)	Conversion (%)	Yield (%)		Volumetric productivity ($\text{mol h}^{-1} (\text{kg enzyme})^{-1}$) ^c
					Product 1 ^a	Product 2 ^b	
Octyl dihydrocaffeate /tricaprilin (1/2)	Lipozyme	No	0.44 \pm 0.02	14.7 \pm 2.4	8.8 \pm 2.02	5.3 \pm 0.33	21.3 \pm 4.12
	RMIM						
Octyl dihydrocaffeate /tricaprilin (1/2)	Lipozyme	No	0.57 \pm 0	12.8 \pm 0.5	6.9 \pm 0.09	5.5 \pm 0.12	12.2 \pm 3.99
	TLIM						
Octyl dihydrocaffeate /tricaprilin (1/2)	Novozym	No	9.6 \pm 0.06	72.6 \pm 0.51	35.6 \pm 0.35	28.9 \pm 0.21	147 \pm 2.14
	435						
DHCA/tricaprilin (1/2)	Novozym	No	0.05 \pm 0	3.22 \pm 1.8	1.11 \pm 0.97	2.1 \pm 0.85	9.1 \pm 4.37
	435						
Octyl dihydrocaffeate /tricaprilin (1/2)	Novozym	Hexane	2.3 \pm 0.01	24.3 \pm 3.40	9.2 \pm 1.2	4.9 \pm 0.11	4.5 \pm 0.34
	435						

^a Product 1 represents yield of di-DHCA/mono-DHCA monocaprylin

^b Product 2 represents yield of mono-DHCA dicaprylin

^c Volumetric productivity was calculated as conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate or DHCA to dihydrocaffoylated glycerides based on the reaction in 48 hours

Table 2 Optimum conditions predicted by RSM model and the results from validation reactions

	Run 1 ^a	Run 2 ^a	
Temperature (°C)	70	65.2	
Reaction time (hour)	24.25	43.6	
Enzyme load (%)	14.6	19.9	
Molar ratio (tricaprylin /octyl dihydrocaffeate)	3	4.97	
Conversion (%)	Predicted	81.33	91.91
	Experimental	79.8±0.37	86.5±0.21
Yield (%)	Predicted	76.61	89.86
	Experimental	76.5±0.22	78±1.75

^a Experimental data are Means ± standard deviations of two replicates

Figure captions:

Scheme 1 Possible products from interesterification between octyl dihydrocaffeate and tricaprylin

A: mono-DHCA dicaprylin; B: di-DHCA monocaprylin; C: mono-DHCA monocaprylin; D: mono-DHCA acylglycerol; E: di-DHCA acylglycerol; F: dihydrocaffeic acid (DHCA). (The potential isomers not shown.)

Fig. 1 Conversion of octyl dihydrocaffeate or DHCA in different reaction systems catalyzed by Novozym 435

(Δ) solvent-free interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate and tricaprylin. (\diamond) transesterification of DHCA with tricaprylin. (\circ) hexane-mediated interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin.

Fig. 2 Time course of Novozym 435 catalyzed interesterification of octyl dihydrocaffeate with tricaprylin

(\square) yield of di-DHCA/mono-DHCA monocaprylin; (Δ) yield of mono-DHCA dicaprylin. (\diamond) yield of mono-DHCA/di-DHCA acylglycerol. (\circ) percentage of octyl dihydrocaffeate.

Scheme 1




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

