

Bioinformatic analysis of ESTs collected by Sanger and pyrosequencing methods for a keystone forest tree species: oak.

Saneyoshi Ueno, Grégoire Le Provost, Valérie Léger, Christophe Klopp, Céline Noirot, Jean-Marc Frigerio, Franck Salin, Jérôme Salse, Michael Abrouk, Florent Murat, et al.

To cite this version:

Saneyoshi Ueno, Grégoire Le Provost, Valérie Léger, Christophe Klopp, Céline Noirot, et al.. Bioinformatic analysis of ESTs collected by Sanger and pyrosequencing methods for a keystone forest tree species: oak.. BMC Genomics, 2010, 11, pp.650. 10.1186/1471-2164-11-650. hal-00645933

HAL Id: hal-00645933 <https://hal.science/hal-00645933v1>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2018 12:00 Department of the Contract Open Access

Bioinformatic analysis of ESTs collected by Sanger and pyrosequencing methods for a keystone forest tree species: oak

Saneyoshi Ueno^{1,2}, Grégoire Le Provost¹, Valérie Léger¹, Christophe Klopp³, Céline Noirot³, Jean-Marc Frigerio¹ , Franck Salin¹, Jérôme Salse⁴, Michael Abrouk⁴, Florent Murat⁴, Oliver Brendel⁵, Jérémy Derory¹, Pierre Abadie¹ , Patrick Léger¹, Cyril Cabane^{6,7}, Aurélien Barré⁶, Antoine de Daruvar^{6,7}, Arnaud Couloux⁸, Patrick Wincker⁸ , Marie-Pierre Reviron¹, Antoine Kremer¹, Christophe Plomion^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The Fagaceae family comprises about 1,000 woody species worldwide. About half belong to the Quercus family. These oaks are often a source of raw material for biomass wood and fiber. Pedunculate and sessile oaks, are among the most important deciduous forest tree species in Europe. Despite their ecological and economical importance, very few genomic resources have yet been generated for these species. Here, we describe the development of an EST catalogue that will support ecosystem genomics studies, where geneticists, ecophysiologists, molecular biologists and ecologists join their efforts for understanding, monitoring and predicting functional genetic diversity.

Results: We generated 145,827 sequence reads from 20 cDNA libraries using the Sanger method. Unexploitable chromatograms and quality checking lead us to eliminate 19,941 sequences. Finally a total of 125,925 ESTs were retained from 111,361 cDNA clones. Pyrosequencing was also conducted for 14 libraries, generating 1,948,579 reads, from which 370,566 sequences (19.0%) were eliminated, resulting in 1,578,192 sequences. Following clustering and assembly using TGICL pipeline, 1,704,117 EST sequences collapsed into 69,154 tentative contigs and 153,517 singletons, providing 222,671 non-redundant sequences (including alternative transcripts). We also assembled the sequences using MIRA and PartiGene software and compared the three unigene sets. Gene ontology annotation was then assigned to 29,303 unigene elements. Blast search against the SWISS-PROT database revealed putative homologs for 32,810 (14.7%) unigene elements, but more extensive search with Pfam, Refseq_protein, Refseq_RNA and eight gene indices revealed homology for 67.4% of them. The EST catalogue was examined for putative homologs of candidate genes involved in bud phenology, cuticle formation, phenylpropanoids biosynthesis and cell wall formation. Our results suggest a good coverage of genes involved in these traits. Comparative orthologous sequences (COS) with other plant gene models were identified and allow to unravel the oak paleo-history. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were searched, resulting in 52,834 SSRs and 36,411 SNPs. All of these are available through the Oak Contig Browser http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html.

Conclusions: This genomic resource provides a unique tool to discover genes of interest, study the oak transcriptome, and develop new markers to investigate functional diversity in natural populations.

* Correspondence: plomion@pierroton.inra.fr

¹INRA, UMR 1202 BIOGECO, 69 route d'Arcachon, F-33612 Cestas, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© 2010 Ueno et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

The distribution of adaptive genetic variation has become of upmost importance in domesticated and wild tree species for the management of natural resources and gene conservation [\[1](#page-23-0)]. Monitoring of genetic diversity for adaptive traits in plants is usually implemented in common garden experiments. Forest tree population geneticists have struggled for decades with the establishment of such experiments called provenance tests, aiming at exploring the range and distribution of genetic variation of fitness related traits. However, such investigations are extremely costly, as most traits can only be evaluated after trees have reached the adult stage. Hence provenance and progeny tests have been mainly limited to woody species of short rotation and of economic importance, and usually undergoing intensive breeding efforts. Species of lower economic interests or long generation tree species, for which no breeding activities could be conducted, have been largely unexplored for their natural genetic variation. For these species, genomics may offer a short cut to field tests for exploring gene diversity, provided that genes of adaptive significance have been identified. In this respect, our objective was to develop an extensive catalogue of gene sequences that can be used for exploring genetic variation in natural populations of tree species of widespread ecological importance such as oaks.

Oaks belong to the genus Quercus, which comprises several hundred diploid and highly heterozygous species spreading throughout the northern hemisphere, from the tropical to the boreal regions [\[2](#page-23-0)]. The distribution encompasses strong ecological and climatic gradients in the Eurasiatic as in the American continents, in an almost continuous pattern. Throughout its natural range, the genus has differentiated into numerous species and populations adapted to extremely variable habitats from swamps to deserts and from sea level up to 4,000 meters in the Himalayas, and expressed in very different life history traits [[3\]](#page-23-0). Because of their longevity and their very large geographic distribution, oaks are also key drivers of terrestrial biodiversity as they harbour large communities of insects, fungi, and vertebrates [[4](#page-23-0)]. We expect that the discovery of genes of adaptive significance in oaks may therefore lead to significant progress in the evolutionary genetics and ecology of whole communities.

The genus Quercus belongs to the Fagaceae family, that comprises other genera of ecological significance mainly Castanea (chestnuts), Fagus (beeches), Castanopsis and Lithocarpus [\[5](#page-23-0)]. Phylogenetic and historical investigations suggest a very rapid differentiation among the different genera, and the persistence of strong genetic relationships, especially between Quercus, Castanea, Castanopsis, and Lithocarpus [[6,7\]](#page-23-0). Indeed earlier reports of comparative mapping indicate strong macrocolineratity within linkage groups between Quercus and Castanea [[8-10\]](#page-23-0). Hence, gene sequences of oaks may lead to a broad range of genetic investigations within one of the largest distributed tree family.

In this study we describe the construction and analyse the content of wide EST data corresponding to the first large scale exploration of the transcriptome of oaks. A total of 34 cDNA libraries were constructed from mRNA extracted from bud, leaf, root and wood forming tissue of the two main European white oak species (Quercus petraea, sessile oak and Q. robur, pedunculate oak). Tissues were also collected from abiotically challenged trees. After clustering and contig assembly of EST sequences, comparative analysis was conducted to assign functional annotation through similarity searches. The project led to the construction of a biological resource accessible at the repository centre established by the Evoltree network of excellence [http://www.evol](http://www.evoltree.eu/index.php/repository-centre)[tree.eu/index.php/repository-centre](http://www.evoltree.eu/index.php/repository-centre) and a database where assembly and annotations and putative SNP and SSR markers are available [http://genotoul-contigbrow](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html)[ser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html). This resource is vitally important not only for genomic and genetic research in oaks and related species, but also for larger communities harboured by oak ecosystems.

Results and Discussion

A schematic representation of data processing is shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-0)

Sanger sequencing

We produced 145,827 Sanger reads from 20 cDNA libraries (Tables [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-5-0)), including ten libraries from Q. petraea and ten from Q. robur. There were nine Suppression Subtractive Hybridization (SSH) and 11 cDNA libraries. We used five different experimental systems (kit combinations) for library construction. Two systems were used for SSH libraries, while three systems were used for cDNA libraries. The maximum and minimum number of genotypes in a library was 60 for library D and 2 for libraries B and I, respectively. There were seven bud, seven root, four leaf and two differentiating secondary xylem libraries. All sequences were subjected to pre-processing (SURF and qualityTrimmer software, see Methods section) to remove library specific cloningvector and adaptor sequences, to mask low complexity sequences, to eliminate contaminants and poor-quality sequences (e.g. very short reads). The resulting Sanger catalogue contained 125,886 high quality sequences that are available at the EST section of EMBL. Furthermore, all of the chromatograms can be downloaded from the

SURF web site<http://surf.toulouse.inra.fr/> with user name (oak) and password (oak1).

The sequencing success rate (defined as the number of high quality reads divided by the total number of sequences) as well as the average length of high quality sequences varied depending on libraries (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Excluding the three Suppression Subtractive Hybridization (SSH) libraries (C, D, E) for which these two parameters were among the lowest, the former parameter ranged from 69% to 97.9% and the latter from 500 bp to 712 bp. Overall, the average read length of high quality sequences was 575 bp.

SURF detected 402 chloroplastic (cp) reads, corresponding to a global rate of 0.28% (Table [3\)](#page-5-0). cp sequences were detected in all tissue types, including leaves and buds at quite high rate, ranging from 2 to 10% (libraries C, D, Q, R), but also in root (M) and xylem (J) at a much lower rate. There were three reads

that matched with *E. coli* sequence. SURF tagged a total of 22,431 sequences as 'not valid' (short and/or contaminant sequences) including 1,555 and 229 sequences flagged as 'doubtful' (containing library specific short vector/adaptor sequences), and 'pcrkitful' (containing short sequences from the UniVec database), respectively. Interestingly, most of these low quality sequences were found in libraries constructed with the lambdaZap kit (A, M, P, S) as well as in three SSH libraries (C, D, E). It should also be noted that about 1/3 (544) of the 'doubtful' sequences could be attributed without ambiguity to chimeras, because they presented library specific sequences in the [30%-70%] interval of the sequence. Trace2dbEST pipeline [[11\]](#page-23-0) also detected 63 reads with putative chimeras. Out of 63 chimeras judged by this pipeline, only one read was common with those detected by SURF. The difference may result from the different phred [\[12,13\]](#page-23-0) and cross_match [\[14](#page-23-0)] parameters.

Table 1 Oak (Q. petraea and Q. robur) cDNA libraries for Sanger sequencing

	Species Library code	Library name	Library type	Kit for library construction	No. of genotypes		Tissue Sample stage/treatment
Q. petraea	Α	LGOBAC	Standard	LambdaZAP	50	bud	Quiescent buds from 2-year-old trees (Phalsbourg (57-F) and Mirecourt (88-F)) sampled in April 7 th and 9^{th} , 2004
	B	QpBudslate	Standard	CloneMiner	2	bud	Early swelling bud sampled in March 24 th and 30 th , 2006 on adult trees
	С	QpSwellingBud		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pCR4 TOPO	20	bud	Swelling vs. quiescent buds, 1-year-old trees
	D	QpBudquiescent		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pCR4 TOPO	60	bud	Developing (internodes have started to grow) vs. quiescent buds, 1-year-old trees
	Ε	QpVegetativeGrowth Subtractive SMART PCR, BD		PCR-Select, pCR4 TOPO	20	bud	Quiescent vs. swelling buds, 1-year-old trees
	F	sessile48hours	Subtractive	SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	10	root	Hypoxia for 24 and 48 h. White roots from 6-month- old cuttings, 2005
	G	sessile6 hours		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	10	root	Hypoxia for 6 h. White roots from 6-month-old cuttings, 2005
	H	Qp5stressRoots	Standard	CloneMiner	15	root	6-month-old seedlings collected in October 2006, 1/ 10°C 3 days, 2/35°C 4 days, 3/CO ₂ 700 ppm, 4/water stress, 5/hypoxie 48 h
		QpLeaf5stress	Standard	CloneMiner	15	leaf	6-month-old seedlings collected in October 2006 : 1/ 10°C 3 days, 2/35°C 4 days, 3/CO ₂ 700 ppm, 4/water stress, 5/hypoxie 48 h
	J	QpXyleme	Standard	Creator SMART	2	xylem	Secondary differentiating xylem sampled in May 21 th , 2007 on adult trees
Q. robur K		QrBudsEarly	Standard	CloneMiner	3	bud	Setting bud sampled in October 26 th , 2006 on adult trees
	L	QrBudslate	Standard	CloneMiner	3	bud	Swelling buds sampled in March 24 th and 30 th , 2007 on adult trees
	M	LG00BAD	Standard	LambdaZAP	10	root	Fine roots under optimal fertilization and Irrigation conditions, harvested in August 2004 [48]
	N	pedonculate6 hours		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	10	root	Hypoxia for 6 h. White roots from 6-month-old cuttings, 2005
	Ο	pedonculate48 hours		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	10	root	Hypoxia for 24 and 48 h. White roots from 6-month- old cuttings, 2005
	P	LGOBAA	Standard	LambdaZAP	3	leaf	Young leaves sampled on adult trees in April 27 th , 2004
	Q	HighWUE		Subtractive SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	5	leaf	Green leaves on one-year-old cuttings, (high vs. low WUE) October 2005
	R	LowWUE	Subtractive	SMART PCR, BD PCR-Select, pGEM-T easy	5	leaf	Green leaves on one-year-old cuttings, (low vs. high WUE) October 2005
	S	LG0BAB	Standard	LambdaZAP	3	xylem	Secondary differentiating xylem sampled on adult trees in April 27 th , 2004
	Τ	QrAnoxie	Standard	Creator SMART	10	root	Hypoxia for 24 and 48 h. White roots from 6-month- old cuttings, 2005

Trace2dbEST uses phred error probability cut-off of 0.05 (which corresponds to quality value (QV) of 13), while that in SURF was 0.01 (QV = 20). The sequence regions with the specified QV were expected to be longer in sequences processed by trace2dbEST than by

SURF. Theoretically, the probability to detect a chimera is higher for larger sequences. Unfortunately, trace2db-EST had less stringent parameters in cross_match compared to SURF, which decreased the rate of chimera detection. This comparison clearly shows that parameter

Library code	No. reads (I)	No of 3' reads in (1)	No. reads in OakContigV1 assembly	Number of high quality sequences (2)	Sequencing success rate % (2)/(1)	Average length (bp) in (2)
Α	10717	\circ	10317	10313	96.3%	535
B	9615	4711	6673	6669	69.4%	517
C	392	\circ	224	224	57.1%	313
D	184	0	110	110	59.8%	377
E	203	0	148	148	72.9%	404
F	2493	0	2305	2305	92.5%	566
G	1756	0	1604	1604	91.3%	500
H	18935	4747	12002	11989	63.4%	505
	19195	4868	15424	15424	80.4%	597
	9377	4491	8964	8964	95.6%	589
Κ	9578	\circ	8652	8649	90.3%	620
L	9500	\circ	8533	8525	89.8%	575
M	19685	513	18756	18753	95.3%	583
$\mathbb N$	1700	\circ	1518	1518	89.3%	509
\circ	2129	0	1975	1975	92.8%	534
P	7513	0	7238	7237	96.3%	712
Q	1765	0	1589	1589	90.0%	522
R	1768	0	1507	1507	85.2%	495
S	10164	0	9951	9950	97.9%	584
T	9158	4279	8435	8433	92.1%	604
Total	145827	23609	125925	125886	86.4%	575

Table 2 Sequencing statistics for libraries sequenced by the Sanger method

Library codes are as in Table 1.

Table 3 SURF process summary

Library codes are as in Table 1.

optimization to detect possible chimera is necessary for each study objectives. For example, if the goal is to provide a global view of the transcriptome, chimeric clones do not cause large problems. However, if the goal is to bioinformatically infer full-length cDNAs, chimeric clones must be strictly eliminated.

454-sequencing

We constructed nine libraries from Q. petraea and five from Q. robur (Tables 4 and [5\)](#page-7-0). Six libraries were established from mRNA extracted from the parental lines of mapping pedigrees and consisted of leaves and buds from single individuals. They were sequenced by 454 Titanium. There were four libraries from buds, two from leaves and buds and two from flowers. These eight libraries were sequenced by 454 GS-FLX. In total 1,948,579 reads were produced. The average read length varied from 167 to 211 bp for libraries sequenced by GS-FLX and from 350 to 390 bp for those sequenced by Titanium (Table [5](#page-7-0)). We used the NG6 pipeline (see Methods section) to detect contaminants by Blast search and found yeast sequences in nine out of 14 libraries (additional file [1](#page-22-0): TableS1). No E. coli and phage sequences were found in libraries sequenced by GS-FLX, while they were detected in all the libraries sequenced by Titanium. Average depth estimated based on the Newbler assembler was higher (varying from 7.32 to 10.08) and unique sequence rate was lower (varying from 16.9% to 23.9%) for libraries sequenced by Titanium compared to GS-FLX (additional file [2:](#page-22-0) TableS2).

We used the pyrocleaner program from NG6 to identify too short or too long sequences, dirty sequences, low complexity sequences and duplicated reads as defined in the Methods section. Depending on the library, from 14.0% (library X) to 46.3% (library III) of the reads presented these features (Figure [2\)](#page-7-0). Overall, libraries sequenced by Titanium showed lower number of low quality sequences (from 14.0% in library X to 18.8% in library XIV), while those sequenced by GS-FLX showed higher values (from 22.5% in library V to 46.3% in library III). In particular, the duplication rate was higher in libraries sequenced by GS-FLX (7.2% to 15.9%) compared to Titanium (3.0% to 4.1%).

Coverage of transcripts within libraries

Transcript coverage was estimated by inferring relationship between number of ESTs in a library and number of contigs (Figure [3](#page-7-0)) as detailed in the Methods section. For libraries sequenced by the Sanger method (Table [6](#page-8-0)), the maximum coverage (82.7%) was obtained for library

Species Library code Library name Library type Kit for library construction No. of genotypes Tissue Sample stage/treatment Q. petraea $\overline{1}$ lc1-EcoEndoDorm Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 30 Buds Endodormancy, sampled in September $17th$ and 24th and October 1st, 2005 Q. petraea II LC2- EcoEndoDorm Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 30 Buds Ecodormancy, sampled in January 14th and 28th and February 11th, 2005 Ω petraea III SJ1-EcoEndoDorm Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 30 Buds Endodormancy, sampled in September 17th and 24th and October 1st, 2005 Ω petraea IV $S12$ EcoEndoDorm Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 30 Buds Ecodormancy, sampled in January 14th and 28th and February 11th, 2005 Ω . petraea V 10QS-Intersp Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 10 Leaves, buds Young and mature leaves, quiescent and later buds Q. robur VI 10QP-intersp Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 10 Leaves, buds Young and mature leaves, quiescent and later buds Ω petraea VII FS Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 2 Flower Pollen, flowers Q. robur VIII FP Standard SMART PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit 2 Flower Pollen, flowers \overline{O} . petraea IX Qs21 Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 Leaves, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves Q. petraea X Qs28 Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 Leaves, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves Q. petraea XI Qs29 Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 Leaves, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves Q. robur XII 3P Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 Leaves, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves Q. robur XIII 11P Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 Leaves, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves Q. robur XIV A04 Normalized MINT cDNA synthtesis Kit, 1 **Leaves**, buds Quiescent, swelling buds; young, mature leaves

Library name	454	Number of reads (3)	Average length (bp) in (3)	Number of reads in OakContigV1
LC1-EcoEndoDorm	GS-FLX	115050	167	70019
LC2-EcoEndoDorm	GS-FLX	137380	179	98725
SJ1-EcoEndoDorm	GS-FLX	79345	183	44732
SJ2-EcoEndoDorm	GS-FLX	164140	203	138921
10QS-Intersp	GS-FLX	159478	211	131932
10QP-Intersp	GS-FLX	99472	205	80748
FS	GS-FLX	112207	194	86838
FP	GS-FLX	154819	196	117518
QS21	Titanium	153558	374	132870
QS28	Titanium	124143	390	110304
QS29	Titanium	206828	386	182675
11P	Titanium	137409	381	119869
3P	Titanium	143969	387	127339
A04	Titanium	160781	350	135523
Total		1948579	281	1578013

Table 5 Sequence statistics for libraries sequenced by 454-pyrosequencing

J, followed by library T (80.7%). These two libraries were constructed by Creator Smart Kit (Table [1](#page-4-0)) and sequenced from both 3' and 5' directions (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). They showed the plateau for the number of contigs in OakContigV1 at the number of ESTs around 8,000 (Figure 3A). This means 8,000 ESTs were enough to represent the transcripts in these libraries. On the other hand, library K showed the minimum transcript coverage of 48.9% with 8,652 ESTs (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). To achieve the coverage greater than 80% in library K, about 18,000 ESTs may have been necessary. Libraries sequenced by pyrosequencing achieved a coverage greater than 80% (Table [6\)](#page-8-0). Furthermore, for libraries sequenced by

(0.002% - 0.025%) to be seen.

Sequencing method $^{\text{\#}}$	Library code	Α	B	Number of contigs in OakContigV1 (C)	Coverage (%) C/A
S	A	7550.3	$-1.17E-04$	5122	67.8%
S	B	5186.4	$-1.73E-04$	3420	65.9%
S	F	2515.9	$-3.59E-04$	1353	53.8%
S	Н	8802.1	$-1.02E - 04$	5809	66.0%
S		12232.2	$-6.82E - 05$	7485	61.2%
S		4218.9	$-2.03E-04$	3487	82.7%
S	Κ	10541.7	$-8.48E - 05$	5158	48.9%
S		8623.1	$-1.04E - 04$	4704	54.6%
S	M	11485.6	$-7.02E-05$	7409	64.5%
S	O	1920.3	$-4.77E-04$	1141	59.4%
S	Р	7184.0	$-1.22E - 04$	4005	55.7%
S	S	7542.7	$-1.11E-04$	4816	63.8%
S		4194.4	$-2.02E-04$	3385	80.7%
P		20631.3	$-3.29E-05$	18245	88.4%
P	$\mathbf{ }$	23225.8	$-2.69E-05$	21314	91.8%
P	\mathbf{III}	16931.9	$-4.31E-05$	14169	83.7%
P	IV	26459.2	$-2.03E-05$	25038	94.6%
P	V	29306.1	$-1.94E-05$	27081	92.4%
P	V _l	23674.9	$-2.72E-05$	20702	87.4%
P	VII	23941.0	$-2.78E-05$	21381	89.3%
P	VIII	27733.7	$-2.22E-05$	25588	92.3%
P	IX	21725.9	$-2.42E-05$	21620	99.5%
P	X	19916.9	$-2.80E-05$	19645	98.6%
P	XI	23860.4	$-1.98E-05$	24265	101.7%
P	XII	17736.9	$-2.61E-05$	17830	100.5%
P	XIII	18021.2	$-2.71E-05$	18065	100.2%
P	XIV	20576.5	$-2.41E-05$	20616	100.2%

Table 6 Coverage analysis for each library

Library codes are as in Tables 1 and 4.

S: Sanger method; P: pyrosequencing method

Titanium platform (libraries from IX to XIV), the coverage was virtually 100%, which was probably attained by both mixing RNAs from different tissues and normalization procedure used to construct these libraries (Table [4\)](#page-6-0).

Assembly

We produced three kinds of assemblies using three different approaches (Table 7). First, we processed the 134,500 Sanger reads (Table [8](#page-9-0)) resulting from the trace2dbEST analysis using the PartiGene pipeline[[15](#page-23-0)] and produced 40,944 unigene elements, containing 17,499 contigs and 23,445 singletons (Table 7). Contigs were defined in sequence assembly, resulting from multiple reads, while singletons were unique sequence that were not clustered with any other reads or that were not assembled with any other reads in a cluster. The distribution of sequence length (bp) of contigs and singletons is indicated in additional file [3](#page-22-0): Figure S1 (A). While the mode of the distribution resided in the (600-700] class for both singletons and contigs, the average and maximum length of singletons and contigs were quite different: 919 bp and 4,412 bp,

Debris was excluded. MIRA classified 189,268 reads as debris. If debris is considered as singletons, the number of singletons and unigenes elements by MIRA would become 192,469 and 306,094, respectively.

Library code	No. reads assembled (a)	No. contigs (b)	No. singletons (c)	No. unigene elements (b+c)	Redundancy (b+c)/(a)
А	10515	1858	3885	5743	54.62%
B	8522	1610	3276	4886	57.33%
С	242	43	34	77	31.82%
D	116	20	11	31	26.72%
E	152	34	61	95	62.50%
F	2353	373	1128	1501	63.79%
G	1628	240	902	1142	70.15%
H	14612	2585	5725	8310	56.87%
	17166	2451	7115	9566	55.73%
J	9046	2478	927	3405	37.64%
Κ	9004	1234	4594	5828	64.73%
L	9018	1247	4466	5713	63.35%
M	19319	2883	7606	10489	54.29%
N	1558	223	918	1141	73.23%
O	2021	314	964	1278	63.24%
P	7373	1227	3031	4258	57.75%
Q	1618	251	813	1064	65.76%
R	1552	211	821	1032	66.49%
S	10058	1569	4094	5663	56.30%
T	8627	2208	1101	3309	38.36%
Total	134500	17499	23445	40944	30.44%

Table 8 PartiGene assembly summary for libraries sequenced by the Sanger method

Library codes are as in Table 1.

respectively for the contigs, and 485 bp and 1,305 bp for the singletons. Of the 17,499 contigs, 6,271 (35.8%) contained two ESTs, 3,104 (17.7%) contained three ESTs, 1,842 (10.5%) contained four ESTs, 1,257 (7.2%) contained five ESTs and 5,025 (28.7%) contained more than five ESTs (additional file [4:](#page-22-0) Figure S2, red bars). The average and maximum number of ESTs in a single contig was 6.3 and 510, respectively. The average GC content of this unigene sets was 41.6%. When we compared these results with other similar studies (Table 9), the statistics of the oak assembly were within the range of what has been reported so far in plants. Positive relationships between the different quantities (numbers of ESTs, unigene elements, contigs, and singletons) were evident. Even though

the number of ESTs collected was different for each study, the percentages of contigs within each unigene set was nearly identical (mean = 41.1%), except for the cocoa EST assembly.

Second, we used the SIGENAE system (which relies on the TGICL software [[16](#page-23-0)], see Methods section) to bring together in the same analysis 125,925 Sanger and 1,578,192 454-reads. Overall, 222,671 elements (69,154 (31%) tentative consensus sequences (TCs) and 153,517 (69%) singletons; OakContigV1) were obtained (Table [7\)](#page-8-0). The average and maximum length of contigs was 705 bp and 7,898 bp, respectively. The distribution of sequence length (bp) of contigs and singletons is indicated in additional file [3:](#page-22-0) Figure S1 (B). The distribution

was bimodal for both contigs and singletons. The first peak for singletons resided in (200-300] class, where 56,249 GS-FLX reads (92.5% of total reads within the class) resided. The second peak for singletons laid in the (400-500] class, where 14,336 Titanium reads (95.7% of total reads within the class) resided. For contigs, the mode was located at the (200-300] class. Within this class, there were 11,269 contigs (92.0% of total contigs within the class) that were made up from GS-FLX reads only. The average and maximum depth of contigs was 22.4 and 4,927, respectively. The deepest contig was 1,336 bp and presented similarity with a chloroplast membrane protein from Mercurialis perennis at e-value of 6e-10. Of the 69,154 TCs, 23,281 (33.7%) contained two ESTs, 8,860 (12.8%) contained three ESTs, 5,069 (7.3%) contained four ESTs and 31,944 (46.2%) contained more than four ESTs (additional file [4:](#page-22-0) Figure S2, green bars). Overall the 69,154 TCs contained 1,550,824 sequences. Among the 69,154 TCs, 40,542 (58.6%) consisted of 454-reads only, while 1,230 (1.78%) were made up of Sanger reads only (Figure 4A). In total, 356,893 (22.6%) of the 454-reads did not cluster to Sanger reads (139,443 singletons plus 217,450 454-reads in 40,542 TCs supported only by 454-reads). This also means that 77.4% of the 454-reads clustered with Sanger reads. The average GC content of OakContigV1 was 39.8%.

Graphical interface to browse OakContigV1 was constructed using the Ensembl tool (oak contig browser; [http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html) [Quercus_robur/index.html](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html) (user: oak, pass word: quercus33)). Browsing similarity annotation, SNP alignments and data mining by BioMart are also available as described in detail in Fleury et al. [[17\]](#page-23-0). All of the data can be downloaded from the web site.

Third, we used MIRA software [\[18](#page-23-0)] to produce direct 454-Sanger hybrid assembly. This analysis resulted into 116,826 unigene elements including 113,625 contigs and 3,201 singletons (Table [7](#page-8-0)). There were also 189,268 so called "debris" reads, including 12,532 Sanger and 176,736 454-reads. About 54.6% (103,428 reads out of 189,268) of the sequences in the 'debris' corresponded to 67.4% of the OakContigV1 singletons. The number of Sanger and 454-reads included in assembly was 125,925 and 1,578,013, respectively. The distribution of sequence length (bp) of contigs and singletons is indicated in additional file [3](#page-22-0): Figure S1 (C). For contigs, the mode was located on the (200-300] class. Within this class, there were 16,601 contigs (86.6% of total contigs within the class) that were made up of GS-FLX reads only. Among the 113,625 contigs, 72,896 (64.2%) consisted of 454-reads only, while 3,582 (3.2%) were made up of Sanger reads only (Figure 4B). In total, 421,391 (26.7%) of the 454-reads did not cluster to Sanger reads (2,992 singletons plus 418,399 454-reads in 72,896 TCs supported

by 454-reads only). This also means that 74.3% of the 454-reads clustered together with Sanger reads, a similar (although larger) value to that obtained using TGICL. The average and maximum length of contigs was 671 bp and 15,177 bp, respectively (additional file [3:](#page-22-0) Figure S1 (C)). The average and maximum depth of contigs was 13.3 and 3,253, respectively, that is almost twice smaller than that obtained with TGICL. Of the 113,625 TCs, 38,730 (34.1%) contained two ESTs, 15,189 (13.4%) contained three ESTs, 8,686 (7.6%) contained four ESTs and 51,020 (44.9%) contained more than four ESTs. Overall the 113,625 TCs contained 1,511,639 sequences. The average GC content of this unigene sets was 41.9%. A total of 33.5% of the reads that did not clustered with Sanger reads using either MIRA or TGICL assembler were identical.

Reciprocal best Blast hits (RBHs) were searched between unigene elements constructed by MIRA and TGICL, PartiGene and TGICL, as well as between Parti-Gene and MIRA. In total 32,459 sequences were identified as RBH between MIRA and TGICL (OakContigV1) unigene elements, which accounted for 27.8% and 14.6% of MIRA and OakContigV1 unigene elements, respectively. In terms of contigs, 27.9% of MIRA and 38.1% OakContigV1 contigs had RBHs, while in terms of singletons, 24.0% of MIRA and 4.0% of OakContigV1 singletons presented RBHs. This low percentage is due to the fact that MIRA classified most of the singletons as 'debris'. There were 17,933 RBHs between PartiGene and OakContigV1 unigene elements, which accounted for 43.8% and 8.05% of PartiGene and OakContigV1 unigene elements, respectively. In terms of contigs, 59.8% of PartiGene and 19.6% OakContigV1 contigs had RBHs, while in terms of singletons, 32.2% of PartiGene and 2.83% of OakContigV1 singletons had RBHs. There were 13,037 RBHs between PartiGene and MIRA unigene elements, which accounted for 31.8% and 11.2% of PartiGene and MIRA unigene elements, respectively. In terms of contigs, 51.4% of PartiGene and 11.4% of MIRA contigs had RBH, while in terms of singletons, 17.2% of PartiGene and 1.1% of MIRA singletons presented RBHs.

By the addition of 454-reads, the number of unigene elements was greatly increased from 40,944 based on the PartiGene assembly to 222,671 in OakContigV1. This is due to 139,443 454-singletons and 40,542 contigs that contain only 454-reads. In total, these 179,985 454 unigene elements accounted for 80.8% of the OakContigV1 sequences, comprising 22.6% of the 454-reads. It should also be pointed out that 46.8% (i.e. 10,073 Sanger reads) of the 21,504 PartiGene singletons also present in OakContigV1 were present as contig member of the TGICL assembly. In addition, mapping 454-reads onto the PartiGene assembly (using MIRA) showed that 852,986 (54.0%) reads were mapped, including 683,768 (43.3%) reads on contigs. The rest of the 454-reads did not find corresponding sequences within the PartiGene Sanger assembly. Because 77.4% of 454-reads were assembled with at least one Sanger read in OakContigV1, this simple mapping procedure resulted into a much lower rate of integration of 454-reads. All together, these results indicate the value of the combined assembly approach based on TGICL and the added value of 454-reads to assemble Sanger reads into contigs. When the assembly was carried out based on 454-reads only using the MIRA assembler, we found that 2698 (2.3%) decrease in the number of unigene elements, 60.7 bp (9.0%) decrease in the length of contigs. Sanger reads contributed more to the length of contigs than to the number of unigene elements.

Detection of unique peptide elements

Starting from 222,671 unigene elements in OakContigV1, FrameDP [[19](#page-23-0)] predicted peptides for 117,311 (52.7%) of them (additional file [5:](#page-22-0) Figure S3), resulting in 132,406 predicted peptides. A single peptide was predicted for 104,172 (46.8%) elements of OakContigV1, while the rest produced multiple peptides. The maximum number of predicted peptides from one sequence of OakContigV1 was seven. When 116,826 unigene elements plus the 189,268 'debris' produced by MIRA were used for peptide prediction ('debris' were included here for comparative purpose with TGICL analysis), FrameDP predicted peptides for 176,324 (57.6%) elements. For 164,468 (53.7%) of them, there was only one peptide predicted by FrameDP. When peptide prediction was performed for the unigene elements produced by Parti-Gene, 31,798 (77.7%) presented at least one peptide. Only one peptide was predicted for 27,273 (66.6%) unigene elements. Therefore, unigene elements of OakContigV1 presented the largest portion of non-translated sequences (additional file [5](#page-22-0): Figure S3). Unigene elements from MIRA analysis also presented a large portion of non-translated sequence, due to 'debris' reads. When the 'debris' were excluded for peptide prediction, both MIRA and PartiGene displayed similar patterns of distribution of predicted peptides (data not shown). Only 41.3% singletons of OakContigV1 and 28.2% of 'debris' in MIRA, respectively, had at least one predicted peptide, while 67.6% of the singletons in PartiGene presented at least one predicted protein. Focusing on the contigs, 91.2%, 77.4% and 77.7% of PartiGene, OakContigV1 and MIRA elements, respectively, had at least one predicted peptide. Of 132,406 predicted peptides from OakContigV1, 91,148 (68.8%) had N-terminal or C-terminal peptide, while the rest (31.2%, i.e. 41,310 elements) was assumed to be full-length peptide with both start and stop codons identified.

BLASTClust, a part of BLAST package [[20\]](#page-23-0), found 114,977 peptide clusters at 70% coverage and 75% similarity for the 132,406 OakContigV1 FrameDP-predicted peptides, which corresponded to 14.2% reduction in the total number of predicted peptides (Figure [5B](#page-12-0)). Even with the 100% coverage and 100% similarity, 1,651 peptides clustered into 719 clusters. Those peptide sequences in the same cluster showed complete identity. When we performed BLASTClust analysis for 189,171 FrameDP-predicted peptides from MIRA assembly plus 'debris', there were 2,188 clusters (6,339 elements), in which all of the cluster members showed the same peptide sequence. At the 70% coverage and 75% similarity, the rate of unique peptide was 67.1% (corresponding to 32.9% reduction) (Figure [5C](#page-12-0)), which was smaller than that found in Oak-ContigV1 (85.8%). Because reduction rate was higher using MIRA, this analysis suggests that MIRA is more

efficient to distinguish not only polymorphisms and substitutions but also splice variants in the assembly step. This partly explains the difference in the depth of contigs. Contigs by MIRA had an average depth of 13.3, while that of OakContigV1 was 22.4. The BLASTClust result for PartiGene unigene elements (Figure 5A) showed similar trend to that of OakContigV1.

All together, the comparison of the procedures that were tested to assemble Sanger and 454-reads resulted into the following conclusions: first, there was an added value (in terms of integration of 454-reads) to perform a combined analysis of 454 and Sanger reads compared to a simple mapping procedure of the 454 data onto a Sanger unigene set, second, a seeded assembly using TGICL was found to be more efficient than a direct assembly using MIRA because i/ MIRA excluded a great number of ESTs from the unigene set (so called "debris"), most (67.4%) corresponding to singletons in the TGICL assembly and ii/ TGICL produced less contigs (69.2 k vs. 113.6 k) with higher depth (22.4 vs. 13.3 reads on average) and longer length (705 vs. 671 bp on average).

Similarity searches

Similarity searches were carried out using the hybrid assembly resulting from the TGICL pipeline (OakContigV1) that provides an approximate estimate of unique transcripts, because it discriminates alternative spliced transcripts. Out of 222,671 elements of OakContigV1, homology search against protein databases resulted into 32,810 (14.7%), 52,959 (23.8%) and 37,262 (16.7%) elements with at least one hit against SWISS-PROT [[21](#page-23-0)], RefSeq_protein [\[22\]](#page-23-0) and Pfam [[23\]](#page-23-0) database, respectively at the e-value cut-off of 1e-5, while that against nucleotide databases resulted in 93,658 (42.1%) and 143,830 (64.6%) unigene elements with at least one hit against Refseq_RNA and eight TIGR gene indices [\[24\]](#page-23-0), respectively. The result of BlastN against eight gene indices showed that both the number of hits and aligned length of the high-scoring segment pair (HSP) were the greatest for sequences in VVGI (Vitis vinifera) and least in SGI (Picea sp.) (Figure 6). This may partly reflects the phylogenetic position of Quercus within the eurosids I. In total, 150,063 (67.4%) of OakContigV1 sequences had at least one hit in this homology search process, while the remaining sequences (72,608, i.e. 32.6%) were orphans, which may be considered as oak specific. However, caution should be made to consider orphan

The e-value cut-off was set at 1e-3. The gene indices abbreviations are as follows: AGI; Arabidopsis thaliana, HAGI; Helianthus annuus, NTGI; Nicotiana tabacum, MTGI; Medicago truncatula, OGI; Oryza sativa, PPLGI; Populus, SGI; Picea and WGI; Vitis vinifera.

sequences as oak specific without experimental validation of such sequences in cDNAs. Gene ontology (GO) [[25](#page-23-0)] annotation assigned at least one GO term for 29,303 (13.2%) of OakContigV1 sequences. The average number of GO annotations per sequence was 5.08, while the maximum number of annotation per sequence was 46. The total number of GO terms was 4,960. When these terms were mapped onto plant specific GO slim terms, the number of term converged to 69 terms (Figure [7](#page-14-0)). The most abundant GO slim terms were Transport, Nucleotide binding, Plastid, in terms of Biological process, Molecular function and Cellular component, respectively. Candidate genes of ecological or economic importance were found in OakContigV1 as illustrated for bud phenology (additional file [6:](#page-22-0) Table S3), drought stress resistance with emphasis on cuticle formation (additional file [7:](#page-22-0) Table S4) and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (additional file [8:](#page-22-0) Figure S4). Genes relating to cell wall formation were detected based on tBlastX searches against MAIZEWALL database [[26](#page-23-0)] (Additional file [9](#page-22-0): Table S5). These results demonstrate the value of the EST catalogue that was produced for future functional genomics studies in oaks.

To further analyse the added value of Sanger reads in terms of annotation, we compared the annotation rate of 454 and Sanger unigene elements. From the 40,542 contigs and 139,444 singletons containing 454-reads only, 5,404 (13.3%) contigs and 33,047 (23.7%) singletons did not show a single Blast hit, whereas, from the 28,612 contigs and 14,073 singletons containing at least one Sanger read, these numbers drop down to 391 (1.37%) contigs and 1,351 (9.60%) singletons. This result clearly indicates the value of Sanger reads for functional annotation. Therefore it can be concluded that Sanger reads improve not only the assembly but also the annotation of large dataset produced by next generation technology. The fact that the lower annotation (no blast hit) rate of unigene elements containing 454-reads only may also suggest they contain higher rate of novel or artifactual transcripts. Tedersoo et al. [[27](#page-23-0)] indicated that singletons from 454-reads contained higher rate of artifactual reads. Further laboratory work and/or bioinformatic characterization may be needed for the validation of singletons in OakContigV1.

In silico mining of Simple Sequence repeats (SSRs) and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs)

Using mreps [[28](#page-23-0)], we found 52,834 SSRs (microsatellites) with minimum repeat of five, four, three, three and three for di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-SSRs, respectively, in 38,653 unigene elements of OakcontigV1. Specific information for each SSR included the unigene element ID and the annotation, the repeat motif, its length and position (Additional file [10](#page-22-0): Table S6, also available through the Quercus portal [https://w3.](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf) [pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf)). Dinucleotide as well as trinucleotide motifs were frequent, summing up 72.9% of the total number of microsatellites (Table [10](#page-15-0)). Among dinucleotide and trinucleotide repeats, AG and AAG motifs, respectively, were the most frequent. Only 40 CG repeats were found. Tetranucleotide (10.5%), pentanucleotide (6.8%) and Hexanucleotide (9.9%) repeats were of low abundance. The frequency of microsatellites was 23.7% considering multiple occurrence in a same unigene element, which was close to that calculated by Durand et al. [[29\]](#page-23-0) for 28,024 Sanger unigene elements in oak (18.6%). When we screened microsatellites within eight TIGR gene indices [[24](#page-23-0)] used in the similarity search (see Method section) with the same method *(ie. mreps)*, the most frequent motif was tri-SSRs (Additional file [11:](#page-22-0) Figure S5), which confirmed the general trend in SSR frequency for plant ESTs [[30](#page-23-0)]. It should be noted, however, that definition of microsatellite and detection algorithm have great impact on number of detected microsatellite in silico [[31\]](#page-23-0). When the distribution of SSR motif was visualized by SOM (Self Organizing Map), OakContigV1 located near PPLGI (Populus) (Figure [8](#page-15-0)), which may again reflect the phylogenetic position of oaks in the eurosid I. When SSR locations (coding or non-coding) were estimated by combining results from ESTScan [[32\]](#page-23-0) and mreps as in Durand et al. [[29\]](#page-23-0), the location for 38,649 (73.2%) SSRs was estimated and the same trends were found (Additional file [12](#page-22-0): Figure S6). In brief, tri-SSRs were the most frequently found in coding regions (33.4% of the total SSRs with location estimation), while di-SSRs were frequent (27.2%) in non-coding regions. Because of functional constraints of peptides, tri-SSRs with no frame shift mutations are preferable for coding regions [[33](#page-23-0)]. As discussed in [\[27](#page-23-0)], di-SSRs in non-coding regions were more frequently found in 5' UTRs of plant transcripts [[34\]](#page-23-0), suggesting that they may be involved in gene expression regulation.

SNP detection was carried out on a subset of the 69,154 contigs. We first took into account the presence of duplicated reads in order to avoid false SNP detection [[35,](#page-23-0)[36\]](#page-24-0), i.e. a single representative was kept for the analysis. Then, putative SNPs were screened for contigs with a coverage depth of more than six sequences. If the less frequent allele count was more than two and 100% identical for four bases before and after the polymorphic site, we considered this site as a putative SNP. The putative SNPs were identified among 13,334 (19.2%) contigs, resulting in 36,411 sites and an average of 1 SNP every 471 bp in contigs with putative SNPs. Average and maximum number of SNPs detected in a contig was 2.7 and 19, respectively. Transition type SNPs (A/G and T/C) were relatively frequent and amounted to

energy; K, Response to abiotic stimulus; L, Lipid metabolic process; M, Response to endogenous stimulus; N, Cell death; O, Secondary metabolic process; P, Response to biotic stimulus; Q, Cell cycle; R, Photosynthesis; S, DNA metabolic process; T, Cell differentiation; U, Others (Embryonic development, Cellular homeostasis, Cell growth, Flower development, Regulation of gene expression, epigenetic, Pollen-pistil interaction, Ripening, Response to extracellular stimulus, Tropism, Cell-cell signaling, Behavior and Abscission), for Molecular function (B) as follows: A, Nucleotide binding; B, Kinase activity; C, Transporter activity; D, Receptor activity; E, RNA binding; F, Structural molecule activity; G, Transcription factor activity; H, Nuclease activity; I, Carbohydrate binding; J, Enzyme regulator activity; K, Translation factor activity, nucleic acid binding; L, Others (Motor activity, Chromatin binding, Receptor binding, Oxygen binding and Sterol carrier activity) and for Cellular component (C) as follows: A, Plastid; B, Plasma membrane; C, Mitochondrion; D, Cytosol; E, Ribosome; F, Endoplasmic reticulum; G, Thylakoid; H, Cell wall; I, Golgi apparatus; J, Nucleolus; K, Cytoskeleton; L, Peroxisome; M, Nucleoplasm; N, Endosome; O, Others (Nuclear envelope, Lysosome, Extracellular space and Proteinaceous extracellular matrix).

67.18% (Table [11](#page-15-0)). Within FrameDP-predicted peptides, there were 48,247 SNPs, which resulted in 27,762 (57.54%) SNPs in coding regions, including 17,620 (36.52%) and 10,140 (21.02%), synonymous and nonsynonymous SNPs, respectively. SNP density in oak was lower compared to that found in *Eucalyptus grandis* transcriptomes (1 SNP every 192 bp) based on 21 individuals using GS Reference Mapper (454 Life Science, Branford, CT, USA) [[37\]](#page-24-0). If we apply the same criteria to calculate SNP frequency to that of the Eucalyptus study (SNP called within contig length >200 bp and contig depth >10), the frequency remained identical (1 SNP every 471 bp), though we used more than 200 individuals for the sequencing step. In a *de novo* assembly of a coral larval transcriptome with 454 GS-FLX pyrosequencing [[38\]](#page-24-0), SNPs were screened by QualitySNP program and 33,433 SNPs were identified resulting in 1 SNP per 207 bp. The oak SNP frequency was still lower, probably due to more stringent criteria used for SNP detection. Using information from the predicted peptides by FrameDP (only one peptide predicted for each unigene element to avoid chimeric elements) and clustering by BLASTClust (at 70% coverage and 75% similarity), a set of 20,826 SNPs, including 16,196 and 4,630 potential coding and noncoding SNPs, respectively, was selected. We also found 59 SNPs relating to chloroplast (45) and mitochondrial (14) sequences. After these SNPs were eliminated, 20,810 genomic SNPs were retained for future genetic study. This SNP data set has also been made available for downloading at the Quercus portal [https://w3.pierroton.inra.](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf) [fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf.](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf)

Gene diversity was calculated for 308 and 1,770 SNP sites within Q. robur and Q. petraea, respectively (for criteria to select SNP sites for gene diversity calculation, see Methods section). The averages were 0.3336 and

Table 10 In silico mining of microsatellites within OakContigV1

Motif		Number of microsatellites	Percentage	
	AG	13510		
	AT	3199		
	AC	2401		
	CG	40		
Dinucleotide	Sub-total	19150	36.25%	
	AAG	5181		
	ACC	2784		
	AAC.	2445		
	ATC	2195		
	AAT	2161		
	AGG	1510		
	AGC	1495		
	CCG	667		
	ACT	525		
	ACG	392		
Trinucleotide	Sub-total	19355	36.63%	
Tetranucleotide		5520	10.45%	
Pentanucleotide		3579	6.77%	
Hexanucleotide		5230	9.90%	
	Total	52834	100.00%	

The minimum repeat number of five, four, three, three and three for di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-microsatellites, respectively, was applied.

0.3340 for Q. robur and Q. petraea, respectively. These values were comparable to those calculated from marker-based analysis for Cryptomeria japonica (H_e = 0.322) [[39\]](#page-24-0) and Eucalyptus grandis and E. smithii (PIC = 0.357) [[40\]](#page-24-0).

Table 11 In silico mining of SNPs within OakContigV1

Peptides were predicted by FrameDP, which often produces multiple peptide for a single unigene elements. Because location of SNP sites (coding/noncoding) were estimated for each predicted peptide, the sum of coding and non-coding SNPs exceeded the total number (36,411) of SNPs. Tri-nucleotides are polymorphic sites with three alleles.

Detection of orthologous and paralogous gene pairs between oak and the eudicotyledons sequenced reference genomes

Recently, Salse et al. [[41\]](#page-24-0) published an original and robust method for the identification of orthologous regions between plant genomes as well as for the detection of duplications within genomes based on integrative sequence alignment criteria combined with a statistical validation. This approach was applied to identify 7 paleo-duplications in monocots and eudicots and to propose a common ancestor with 5 and 7 chromosomes for the monocots and eudicots respectively [\[42](#page-24-0)]. In the current study, we used the 31,798 unigene set resulting from the PartiGene assembly and FrameDP analysis to integrate the oak transcriptome information into previous paleo-genomics analysis in order to unravel the oak evolutionary paleo-history.

Using the alignment parameters and statistic tests previously described by Salse et al. [\[42,43](#page-24-0)], we analysed the orthologous relationships between oak, Arabidopsis (33,198 gene models), poplar (30,260 gene models), grape (21,189 gene models) and soybean (46,194 gene models) genomes. Based on the 31,798 oak unigene elements, we identified 4,574 orthologous gene pairs between oak and Arabidopsis (477 orthologs), poplar (658 orthologs), grape (1,825 orthologs) and soybean (1,614 orthologs) genomes. The Ks distribution analysis (Figure [9A\)](#page-17-0) performed between the 4,574 orthologous gene pairs establishes that oak is most closely related at the sequence level to grape (brown curve and arrow) than any other eudicot genome included in the analysis. We then produced a heterologous oak gene map based on the precise identification of oak orthologs on the 19 grape chromosomes (Figure [9B\)](#page-17-0). This 1,825 robust

orthologs identified between oak and grape can be considered as a valuable source of COS (Comparative Orthologous Sequences) markers for further comparative genomics and genetics analysis [[43](#page-24-0)].

We applied the most robust and direct approach allowing the characterization of genome duplications that consists of aligning the available unigene set (31,798 elements) on itself using stringent alignment criteria and statistical validation described in Salse et al. [[43\]](#page-24-0). The Ks distribution analysis (Figure [9C](#page-17-0)) obtained for 1,526 (43%) of the 3,520 paralogous gene pairs available (black bars) when compared to that obtained for Arabidopsis (1,646) paralogs, light blue curve), poplar (4,164 paralogs, red curve), grape (542 paralogs, brown curve), and soybean (9,532 paralogs, blue curve) genomes clearly established that the actual oak genome went through at least two rounds or series of whole genome duplications (grey boxes on Figure [9C](#page-17-0)), such as ancestral (referenced as γ in the literature based on grape distribution peak in brown) shared by the eudicots and more recent (referenced as α / β based on the *Arabidopsis* distribution peak in light blue or p based on soybean and poplar distribution peaks respectively in dark blue and red).

Conclusions

We collected about 146 K Sanger and 2 M 454-ESTs for two oak species: Q. petraea and Q. robur. Seeded assembly by TGICL software produced 222,671 unigene elements (OakContigV1: 69,154 contigs and 153,517 singletons). On the one hand, the 454-pyrosequencing data contributed to greatly increased the number of unigene elements compared to that obtained by assembling Sanger reads only (40,944 unigene elements). On the other hand, Sanger reads significantly improved the quality of the assembly both in terms of clustering and annotation

Based on similarity searches, we identified candidate genes for traits of ecological importance as well as comparative orthologous sequences (COS) which were mapped onto Vitis (grape) chromosomes. These COSs may be considered as a valuable source of genetic markers for comparative genomic analysis. Evolutionary analysis also showed that grape was the closest to oak within the eudicots. Additional data mining within Oak-ContigV1 identified 52,834 SSRs and 36,411 putative SNPs that can be used as functional markers in future studies. These resources are publically available from the oak contig browser at [http://genotoul-contigbrowser.](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html) [toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html) (user: oak, pass word: quercus33).

This large collection of expressed sequence tags provide an important resource for the scientific community that is interested in the molecular genetics and functional genomics of Oaks. It is a fundamental resource for analysis of gene expression, discovery of genes of

ecological interest, comparative mapping, and annotation of the forthcoming oak genome sequence.

Methods

Plant material for Sanger sequencing

Plant material used to generate the cDNA and SSH libraries were collected from different tissues, developmental stages or after different treatments. Below we summarize (into six categories) the 20 libraries that were produced for Q. robur and Q. petraea (see Table [1\)](#page-4-0):

i/ libraries H and I: Quercus petraea seedlings were grown at INRA Nancy (North East of France). Acorns were harvested in a local forest and sown in 10 L containers with a peat and sand mixture $(1/1: v/v)$. A complete fertilisation (4.5 g L^{-1} of slow-release fertiliser Nutricote T100; N/P/K/Mg: 13/13/13/2 + trace elements and 0.2 g L^{-1} of lime) was provided at the beginning. All individuals were watered daily to field capacity with deionized water. The seedlings were 22-24 weeks old when submitted to five different treatments. Three different seedlings were submitted to each stress. For the cold (10°C for 3 days) and heat (35°C for 4 days) stress treatments, growth cabinets were used. The elevated CO² treatment (700 ppm for 18 days) was done using a climate controlled greenhouse. For the drought stress treatment, irrigation was stopped, the soil humidity was measured daily using a TDR (Trase 6050X1, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., Santa Barbara CA, USA) and seedlings were harvested at about 17% remaining soil humidity. For root hypoxia, the seedlings were placed into water tight containers and the water level was maintained 1 cm above soil level. All stresses were pooled and three biological replicates were extracted and mixed in equal amount for cDNA synthesis.

ii/ libraries B, J, K, L, P, S: adult trees from South West of France (INRA Pierroton forestry station) were sampled in their natural area. Leaves were taken in summer, differentiating xylem in spring and vegetative buds both in winter and spring to provide different bud development stages from the same genotypes.

iii/ libraries A, C, D, E: vegetative buds from one- or two-year old seedlings from North West of France were acclimated in the nursery of INRA Pierroton (South West of France).

iv/ library M: roots from one-year old trees were grown under optimal conditions in a greenhouse at INRA Nancy.

v/ libraries Q, R: second flush sun-leaves were harvested in greenhouse grown plants in summer 2004 on one-year old cuttings from high and low water-use efficient genotypes identified in Brendel et al. [[44\]](#page-24-0).

vi/ libraries F, G, N, O, T: cuttings were obtained from 10 sessile and 10 pedunculate oak trees in August 2006 at INRA Pierroton. After three months of growth,

cuttings were placed into oxygenated water tight containers for 2 weeks in a growth chamber providing a 16 h photoperiod, a day/night temperature of 25°C/20°C, a day/night relative humidity of 85%/70% and a quantum flux of 260 μmol m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$. Root hypoxia was then imposed by using deoxygenated water obtained by

bulling with N_2 , in order to maintain the O_2 concentration below 5 mg L^{-1} . White roots from each genotype and species were sampled after 6, 24 and 48 hours of hypoxia. In all cases, white roots were immediately dipped in liquid nitrogen to prevent degradation and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

600C

Oak

D

C

Oak-Soybear Oak-Arabidopsis Oak-Grape Oak-Poplar

 $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$

 $\begin{array}{cccccccccc} \multicolumn{2}{c}{} & \mult$

- - - - -

2 1 8 : 37 5 23 31 38 46 54 62 69 77 85 92 100 108 115 123 131 138 146 154 162 169 177 185 192

mva

Number of Gene pairs

Number of Gene pair

3

:

8 9

39

350 400

RNA extraction for Sanger sequencing

Oak material was collected from either field grown trees or seedlings raised in greenhouse or phytotrons. Plant material used as RNA source for cDNA and subtractive library construction was detailed above. For each library devoted to Sanger sequencing, total RNA was extracted following the procedure described by Le Provost et al. [[45\]](#page-24-0) with a final purification step using the RNAeasy kit (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France).

cDNA library construction for Sanger sequencing

Depending on the quantity of each tissue, cDNA libraries were constructed using either CloneMiner cDNA Library Construction Kit (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and Stratagene cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) when plant material was abundant, or Creator SMART cDNA Library Construction Kit (Clontech Laboratories Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) when plant material was limiting.

For libraries constructed with CloneMiner kit, mRNA was isolated from 200 μg of total RNA of each genotype using Dynabeads mRNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen Corporation) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA synthesis was performed using 2 μg of mRNA. Ligation to attB1 adapter, cDNA size-fractionation and recombination reaction between pDONR222 vector and cDNAs were performed as described in the User Manual. Finally, ElectroMax DH10B T1 Phage Resistant Cells (Invitrogen Corporation) were transformed with recombinant plasmids by electroporation. Each library titer was estimated on Kanamycin LB plates (50 μg mL-¹). Libraries were stored at -80°C in glycerol Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression (SOC) medium until sequencing.

Libraries constructed using the Stratagene kit were done according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The resulting cDNAs were packaged into λ ZAP II phages using the Gigapack III Gold packaging kit (Stratagene).

For libraries constructed with Creator kit, equal quantities of total RNA from each genotype were mixed and cDNA synthesis was then performed from 1 μg of total RNA by long distance PCR according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sfi1 digestion, cDNA size fractionation, plasmid ligation and transformation into ElectroMax DH10B T1 Phage resistant cells were done according to the manufacturer's protocol. An aliquot of each transformation was spread on Chloramphenicol LB plates (30 μ g mL⁻¹) to determine the percentage of recombinant clones. When more than 75% of recombinant clones were obtained, transformation mixtures were pooled together to constitute the library. If necessary, new ligations were performed to give a final library of approximately 10^6 clones: the titer was estimated on

added and libraries were stored at -80°C. For suppression subtractive hybridization libraries, we used the method, originally described by Diatchenko et al. [[46\]](#page-24-0), that is based on selective amplification of differentially expressed sequences. All libraries were comprised of a unique tissue collected on several genotypes of Quercus species. Total RNA was extracted using the method described above. Double-stranded tester cDNA and driver cDNA were prepared from 1 μg total RNA of each sample using the SMART™PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The forward subtracted libraries were constructed using the PCR-select cDNA Subtraction Kit (Clontech). Amplified, differentially expressed cDNA fragments were cloned into either the pGEM T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) or the PCR4 TOPO kit from Invitrogen. ESTs were obtained from the following tissues:

• Bud: three SSH libraries (C, D, E), obtained as detailed in Derory et al. [[47](#page-24-0)]

• Leaf: two SSH libraries (Q, R) obtained using total RNA extracted from leaves sampled on 5 genotypes displaying extreme phenotypes for water-use-efficiency (WUE). The libraries were obtained by subtracting RNA form High WUE phenotypes vs. Low WUE phenotypes, and vice-versa [[48](#page-24-0)].

• Root: four SSH libraries (N and O for Q. robur, F and G for Q. petraea) constructed by subtracting sessile against pedunculate mRNA and vice-versa for early (6 hours) and late (24 and 48 hours pooled) flooding stress.

Sanger DNA sequencing

Sequencing was completed using the standard Sanger method as described by Sanger et al. [[49\]](#page-24-0). Briefly, clones were randomly isolated and arranged individually in 384-well microtitre plates for storage and processing and subjected to high-throughput single-path sequencing from either their 5'- and/or 3'-ends. cDNA libraries were sequenced at "Centre National de Séquençage" (Genoscope, Evry, France). SSH libraries were sequenced at the "Genome & Transcriptome" facility of Bordeaux. Briefly recombinant clones were re-amplified using M13 universal primer. PCR products were then purified using Multiscreen PCR micro 96 kit (Millipore, San Francisco, CA, USA) and subjected to single pass sequencing from their 5'-and/or 3'-end using BigDye version 3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All the sequences were run on an ABI 3730 (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA) sequencing machine.

Plant material for pyrosequencing

Plant material was collected on different genotypes (Table [4\)](#page-6-0):

i/ libraries I to IV: buds were taken from two populations (30 Q. petraea genotypes each) at endo- and ecodormancy to provide clues about genes differentially expressed between these two developmental stages.

ii/ libraries V and VI: leaves and buds were sampled from two species (Q. robur and Q. petraea, 10 genotypes each) for the discovery of genes involved in species divergence.

iii/ libraries VII and VIII: pollen and flowers were collected on 2 Q. petraea and 2 Q. robur genotypes, to enrich the tissue panel with reproductive organs.

iv/ libraries IX to XIV: buds and leaves were collected on 6 parental trees of three full-sub pedigrees to detect polymorphic markers for genetic linkage mapping.

RNA extraction for pyrosequencing

Total RNA was extracted as described by Le Provost et al. [[45\]](#page-24-0)

cDNA library construction for pyrosequencing

We used the SMART PCR cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech) and MINT cDNA synthesis Kit (Evrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Library normalization was done for libraries IX to XIV by Beckman Coulter Genomics (Grenoble, France) using Duplex-Specific-Nuclease (Evrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions.

454-sequencing

cDNA nebulisation, adaptor ligation, emulsion PCR and sequencing were done at Beckman Coulter Genomics (Danvers, MA, USA). Sequencing was performed using a Roche-454 Genome Sequencer platform (FLX or Titanium technology).

Sequence processing

We have summarized the approach that was followed in Figure [1](#page-3-0) (blue boxes).

A total of 145,827 Sanger ESTs were cleaned using the SeqUence Repository and Feature detection pipeline (SURF,<http://surf.toulouse.inra.fr/cgi-bin/surf.cgi>, user name: oak, password: oak1). The documentation of SURF can be found at [http://genome.jouy.inra.fr/doc/](http://genome.jouy.inra.fr/doc/bioinfo/edition/surf-1.0/SURF.pdf) [bioinfo/edition/surf-1.0/SURF.pdf.](http://genome.jouy.inra.fr/doc/bioinfo/edition/surf-1.0/SURF.pdf) SURF includes: i/ base calling by phred [[12,13](#page-23-0)], ii/ masking and clipping of library specific vectors/adaptors using cross_match [[14](#page-23-0)] with -minmatch 10 -minscore 15, iii/ masking low complexity regions (mononucleotide repeats) using RepeatMasker [[50](#page-24-0)], iv/ screening of PCR kit sequences using cross_match against short UniVec [ftp://ftp.ncbi.](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/UniVec/) [nih.gov/pub/UniVec/](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/UniVec/) sequences with parameters -minmatch 8 -minscore 10, v/ screening and elimination of possible contaminants in putative insert using cross_ match on the UniVec with -minmatch 10 -minscore 25 and short UniVec with -minmatch 10 -minscore 15, as well as on *E. coli* and yeast sequences with -minmatch 100 -minscore 150, and vi/ detection of chloroplast sequences using cross_match with -minmatch 100 -minscore 150. The Quercus robur chloroplast genome sequence was kindly provided by F Sebastiani and GG Vendramin from CNR (Florence, Italy). The detailed parameters used in SURF are documented on the SURF web site. Three other features, namely "doubtful", "pcrkitful" and "not valid" were added by SURF. If library specific vectors, adaptors and primers were detected inside an insert, SURF judged the sequence as "doubtful" (possible chimera), if SURF detected short UniVec sequences inside an insert, the sequence was labelled as "pcrkitful" and if SURF detected contaminants inside an insert, "not valid" status was attached to the sequence. Too short sequences (length < 100) with high quality (phred QV > 20) were discarded for further analysis.

Prior to submission of sequences to the EMBL database, reads were further processed by qualityTrimmer in Euler-SR package [[51\]](#page-24-0) with the option -minQual 20. As indicated in Table [2](#page-5-0), a total of 125,886 ESTs were finally submitted to EMBL: 57,750 for Q. petraea and 68,136 for Q. robur. These sequences can be accessed by submitting the following query: Quercus [Organism name] and Frigerio [Authors] to EMBL. Quality scores can be downloaded from SURF web site.

Sanger reads were also processed by trace2dbEST (ver. 3.0.1) pipeline [[11\]](#page-23-0) using the default parameters except that the minimum sequence length, required for sequences to pass further analysis, was set at 60 bp. The phred [\[12](#page-23-0),[13\]](#page-23-0) parameter for error probability was set to 0.05 (default value). The cross_match [\[14](#page-23-0)] parameters for vector (including adaptor) and E. coli masking were set at -minmatch 10 -minscore 20 and -minmatch 20 and -minscore 30, respectively. These cross_match parameters corresponded to the default values for trace2db-EST. Screening libraries, which included any sequences of adaptors, adaptor and vector junctions and vectors, were constructed for each vector and adaptor combinations. Poly A/T sequences with repeats ≥ 12 were also screened and masked. Possible chimera sequences were suggested by trace2dbEST if vector and/or E. coli sequences were detected in the middle of an insert. They were then discarded from further analysis.

The 454-reads (1,948,579 sequences) were screened by cross_match [[14\]](#page-23-0) for primers and adaptors and then masked. For each 454-read, the longest non-masked region was extracted and further cleaned-up by SeqClean [[52\]](#page-24-0). The shorter regions were discarded in order to take care of potential chimeras. This process resulted into 1,578,192 clean reads. The sequencing statistics for 454 reads were calculated by NG6 system ([http://vm-bioinfo.](http://vm-bioinfo.toulouse.inra.fr/ng6/)

[toulouse.inra.fr/ng6/,](http://vm-bioinfo.toulouse.inra.fr/ng6/) user name: oak, password: quercus33). SRA (Sequence Read Archive) accession number is SRA012448 and can be accessed at [http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) [nlm.nih.gov/sra.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) In NG6, four kinds of analysis were performed in parallel for each library: i/ in the first analysis, contaminants were searched for E. coli, phage and yeasts with Blast, ii/ in the second, the quality of each read was analyzed, iii/ in the third, reads were analyzed by pyrocleaner, to remove too short or too long sequences (sequences with more than or less than two standard deviations from the mean), dirty sequences (sequences with more than 4% of N), low complexity sequences and duplicated reads [\[35](#page-23-0)[,36\]](#page-24-0), and iv/ in the fourth, reads were assembled by Newbler (Roche) within each library. The duplicated reads in step iii were defined as clusters by megablast with minimum hit score of 100, percent identity cut-off of 98, alignment of reads starting exactly at the same position and ending in a 70 bp window of the end of the longest sequence.

Coverage of transcripts within libraries

In order to estimate the coverage of transcripts within libraries, we first randomized links between a EST sequence and the corresponding contig. Secondly, for each library, 100 EST sequences were selected and the number of corresponding contigs was counted. The second step was repeated until no EST sequences were left for the library. The relationship between number of EST sequences (X) and the number of contigs (Y) were modelled by the following equation:

$$
Y = A\{1 - \exp(BX)\}\
$$

where A and B are coefficients determined by nls function of R language<http://www.r-project.org/>. The coefficient A indicates the expected maximum number of contigs (transcripts) in a library under this model. The coefficient B as well as A relates to the rate of the increase of the number of contigs. The library coverage was defined as the ratio of the observed number of contigs by the coefficient A. We excluded libraries C, D, E, G, N, Q and R for this analysis, because they did not contain enough ESTs.

Sequence assembly

Assembly of Sanger and 454-reads was first carried out using the SIGENAE system<http://www.sigenae.org/> that is based on the TGICL software [http://compbio.dfci.har](http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/software/)[vard.edu/tgi/software/\[](http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/software/)[16\]](#page-23-0). This software uses the CAP3 assembler [[53\]](#page-24-0) that takes into account the quality of sequenced nucleotides into the computation of the alignment score. The different steps of the assembly are highlighted in red in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) First, Sanger reads were assembled into 15,835 tentative consensus sequences

(TC) using TGICL (mgblast, a modified version of megablast [[54\]](#page-24-0) and CAP3). The software FrameDP [\[19](#page-23-0)] was then used to predict complete ORF of 2,000 TC (the longest ones) with SWISS-PROT [[21\]](#page-23-0) as a reference, resulting in 224 TCs which potentially contain full-length coding sequences (starting with a methionine residue and ending with a stop codon). The TCs were used to split large clusters built by mgblast using the sclust program within TGICL. Global assembly was performed using TGICL with 125,925 Sanger ESTs, 224 TCs and the 1,578,192 454-ESTs with sequence qualities and options of (-l) minimum overlap length of 100, (-p) minimum percent identity for overlap of 96 and (-s) splitting clusters larger than 100,000. The resulting 222,671 contigs and singletons were called OakContigV1 and are available at [http://genotoul-contigbrowser.tou](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html)[louse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html](http://genotoul-contigbrowser.toulouse.inra.fr:9092/Quercus_robur/index.html) (user: oak, password: quercus33). Because all of the sequences resulted from assembly were directly loaded into the OakContigV1 database, low quality regions were not filtered out.

MIRA (V3rc4) software [[18](#page-23-0),[55](#page-24-0)] was also used to directly perform hybrid assembly of Sanger and 454 reads (Figure [1,](#page-3-0) purple boxes), instead of assembling the consensus of 454 data with Sanger reads as in TGICL. MIRA was run with a standard options $(-j$ ob = denovo, est, normal, sanger, 454) and no XML files. The assembly by MIRA was compared with OakContigV1. Contigs showing Reciprocal best Blast Hit (RBH) was searched between sequences in OakContigV1 and MIRA assembly by using BlastN with "soft" filtering option. Soft filtering makes it efficient to detect orthologous sequences [[56\]](#page-24-0).

We also used the PartiGene (ver. 3.0.5) pipeline [[15](#page-23-0)] to compare OakContigV1 assembly to the assembly constructed using Sanger reads only (Figure [1](#page-3-0), green boxes). The sequences cleaned by trace2dbEST were grouped, using CLOBB [[57\]](#page-24-0), into clusters based on Blast similarity. Sequences in the same cluster were then assembled using Phrap [\[14](#page-23-0)] (using the default parameters of Parti-Gene). The resulting 40,944 unigene elements (23,730 singletons and 17,214 contigs) were used for peptide prediction using FrameDP [[19](#page-23-0)]. The resulting 36,883 peptide sequences were finally used to detect comparative orthologous markers (see section below). Assemblies within each Sanger library were also conducted by Parti-Gene to estimate redundancy rate of libraries. The assembly by PartiGene was compared with OakContigV1. Contigs showing RBH was searched between sequences in OakContigV1 and PartiGene assembly by using BlastN with "soft" filtering option [\[56\]](#page-24-0).

Finally, MIRA (V3.0.0) software was used to map 454 reads to the unigene elements constructed by PartiGene with the following options (job $=$ mapping, normal, 454) and no XML files for 454-reads.

Annotation and similarity searches

A functional annotation was assigned for each contig and singleton of Oakcontigv1 (Figure [1,](#page-3-0) gray boxes). The strategy is based on homology search with public protein and nucleic acid sequence databases. BlastX [[20](#page-23-0)] was carried out against SWISS-PROT (Release 57.1 of 14-Apr-2009) [\[21](#page-23-0)] and RefSeq_protein (Release 34 of 6- March-2009) [[22\]](#page-23-0) with e-value cut-off at 1e-5. Conserved protein domains were searched against Pfam (Release 23.0 of 20-Jul-2008) [\[23\]](#page-23-0) with e-value cut-off at 1e-5. Blastn was carried out against OakContigV1, Refseq_RNA (Release 34 of 6-March-2009) and TIGR gene indices with e-value cut-off of 1e-30, 1e-5 and 1e-2, respectively. We used the following TIGR gene indices [[24\]](#page-23-0): AGI (Arabidopsis_thaliana release_14), HAGI (Helianthus_annuus release_6), NTGI (Nicotiana_tabacum release_5), MTGI (Medicago_truncatula release_9), OGI (Oryza_sativa release_17), PPLGI (Populus release_4), SGI (Picea release_3) and VVGI (Vitis_vinifera release_6). These gene indices were selected so as to represent phylogenetic relationships of land plants as follows: gymnosperm (SGI), monocots (OGI), rosids (VVGI), rosid I (MTGI and PPLGI), rosid II (AGI), asterid I (NTGI) and asterid II (HAGI). The Gene Ontology (GO) [[25\]](#page-23-0) annotation was based on the best hit in SWISS-PROT. The GO terms were mapped upon plant GOslim terms using Blast2GO software [\[58\]](#page-24-0).

Detection of unique peptides based on FrameDP peptide prediction

Because oak ESTs contain sequences from about 200 individuals of Q. robur and Q. petraea, we expected to detect not only polymorphisms within species but also substitutions between species in the combined assembly. In addition, ESTs were collected from multi-stressed libraries (Table [1](#page-4-0)), which are likely to increase the number of splice variants. These factors may split EST clusters into multiple contigs. In order to estimate the minimum unigene sets, FrameDP [\[19](#page-23-0)] was used, translating assembled sequences into peptide sequences (Figure [1](#page-3-0), orange boxes). The TAIR9_pep sequences (available at [ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/](ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Sequences/blast_datasets/) [Sequences/blast_datasets/\)](ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Sequences/blast_datasets/) were used as reference sequences in FrameDP. The resulting peptide sequences from OakContigV1 were further clustered by BLAS-TClust, a part of the BLAST package [\[20](#page-23-0)] to provide a set of unique peptide that was further used to retain in silico SNPs within coding sequences.

Identification of candidate genes

Candidate genes for bud phenology related genes (list kindly provided by M. Lascoux & G. Zaina) were searched against peptide sequences estimated by FrameDP. The predicted peptide sequences were used in

BlastP with e-value cut-off set at 1e-5. Drought stress resistance candidate genes with emphasis on cuticle formation in Arabidopsis thaliana were searched within OakContigV1 by BlastP or BlastX with e-value cut-off of 1e-10. Genes of the phenylpropanoid pathway within OakContigv1 were also targeted. We used GO annotation (see previous section) to convert GO terms into enzyme code (EC) using Blast2GO software [\[58](#page-24-0)]. Those EC corresponding to the phenylpropanoid pathway were mapped on the corresponding KEGG map [\[59\]](#page-24-0). In order to detect genes related to cell wall formation in Oak-ContigV1, tBlastX was performed against the MAIZE-WALL database [[26\]](#page-23-0) with e-value cut-off of 1e-10.

In silico mining of SSRs and SNPs

To detect simple sequence repeats (SSRs), we used mreps [[28\]](#page-23-0) and listed microsatellites with minimum repeat number of five, four, three, three and three for di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-SSRs, respectively. SSRs were also screened within eight gene indices used for the annotation (see the section "Annotation and similarity searches"). To visualize phylogenetic similarity of the SSR motif distribution, data were analyzed by self organizing map (SOM) using som_pack (ver. 3.1) [[60\]](#page-24-0), which utilizes unsupervised pattern recognition algorithms.

For SNP detection, we first took into account the presence of duplicated reads in order to avoid false SNP detection [[35,](#page-23-0)[36](#page-24-0)], i.e. a single representative was kept for the analysis. Then, putative SNPs were screened for contigs with a coverage depth of more than six sequences. If the less frequent allele count was more than two and 100% identical for four bases before and after the polymorphic site, we considered this site as a putative SNP. SNPs were summarized according to the following categories: transition/transversion, synonymous/non-synonymous and coding/non-coding. The frame-corrected nucleotide sequences inferred by FrameDP [[19\]](#page-23-0) as coding regions were used for the identification of "coding SNPs". By fasty35 program in FASTA package [\[61](#page-24-0)], we re-mapped the frame-corrected nucleotide sequence onto corresponding OakContigV1 original sequence. The peptide sequences inferred by FrameDP were used as references to identify non-synonymous mutations at the SNP site. Using tfasty35, non-synonymous mutations were identified by alignment of the allelic peptide sequence with the reference peptide sequence. Finally, a more stringent set of SNPs was retained, considering contigs for which a single protein was predicted by FrameDP. In addition, SNPs whose unigene elements represented significant blast hits with organelle sequences (Q. robur chloroplast kindly provided by F Sebastiani and GG Vendramin from CNR, Florence, Italy and Vitis vinifera mitochondria [[62\]](#page-24-0))

were detected. The percent identity and coverage threshold was set at 90% and 80%, respectively, for chloroplast and 60% and 70%, respectively, for mitochondria. These SNPs useful for population genetic studies were discarded from the nuclear SNP data set. Both nuclear and organelle SNPs data sets have been made available at Quercus portal [https://w3.pierroton.inra.](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf) [fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf.](https://w3.pierroton.inra.fr:8443/QuercusPortal/Home.jsf) SNPs whose unigene elements presented Sanger reads with significant hit with Q. robur chloroplast sequence in the SURF process were also eliminated.

Gene diversity $(H_E = n(1 - \sum_i p_i^2) / (n-1))$, where n

is the number of reads included for the calculation and \boldsymbol{P}_i is allele frequency, was estimated for $\boldsymbol{Quercus}.$ At SNP sites in each assembly, we randomly selected one read from each library to avoid multiple sampling of the same allele in the same individual. We only targeted SNP sites with number of reads ≥8 for each species.

Evolutionary analysis

Arabidopsis, grape, poplar and soybean sequence databases

The Arabidopsis (5 chromosomes - 33,198 genes - 119 Mb - [ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Genes/TAIR9_](ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Genes/TAIR9_genome_release/TAIR9_sequences/) [genome_release/TAIR9_sequences/\)](ftp://ftp.arabidopsis.org/home/tair/Genes/TAIR9_genome_release/TAIR9_sequences/), Grape (19 chromosomes - 21 189 genes - 302 Mb - [http://www.](http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/externe/Download/Projets/Projet_ML/data/) [genoscope.cns.fr/externe/Download/Projets/Projet_ML/](http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/externe/Download/Projets/Projet_ML/data/) [data/\)](http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/externe/Download/Projets/Projet_ML/data/), Poplar (19 chromosomes - 30 260 genes - 294 Mb - ftp://ftp.jgi-psf.org/pub/JGI_data/Poplar/), Soybean (20 chromosomes - 46 194 genes - 949 Mb - [ftp://ftp.](ftp://ftp.jgi-psf.org/pub/JGI_data/phytozome/v5.0/Gmax/) [jgi-psf.org/pub/JGI_data/phytozome/v5.0/Gmax/](ftp://ftp.jgi-psf.org/pub/JGI_data/phytozome/v5.0/Gmax/)) genome sequences were used for comparative genomics study.

Synteny and duplication analysis

We used the two parameters recently defined by Salse et al. [[41](#page-24-0)-[43\]](#page-24-0) to increase the stringency and significance of Blast sequence alignment by parsing Blast results and rebuilding HSPs (High Scoring Pairs) or pairwise sequence alignments to identify accurate paralogous and orthologous relationships.

Distribution of K_S distances (MYA scale) for paralogous and ortholougous gene pairs

We performed the sequence divergence as well as speciation event datation analysis based on the rate of nonsynonymous (Ka) vs. synonymous (Ks) substitutions calculated with PAML (Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood) [[63\]](#page-24-0). The average substitution rate (r) of 6.5 \times 10⁻⁹ substitutions per synonymous site per year for grasses is classically used to calibrate the ages of the considered gene [[64,65](#page-24-0)]. The time (T) since gene

insertion is then classically estimated using the formula $T = Ks/r$.

Additional material

[Additional file 1: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S1.PDF)able S1: Number of reads with significant Blast hits against E. coli, phage and yeast sequences for libraries pyrosequenced by Roche 454.

[Additional file 2: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S2.PDF)able S2: Newbler assembly in NG6 http://vmbioinfo.toulouse.inra.fr/ng6/for libraries pyrosequenced by Roche 454.

[Additional file 3: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S3.PPT)igure S1: Sequence length distribution for unigene elements constructed by (A) PartiGene, (B) TGICL and (C) MIRA. Unigene elements (contigs and singletons) by PartiGene (A) were from Sanger reads only, while those by MIRA (B) and TGICL (C) were from both Sanger and 454-reads. The unigene elements by TGICL is named as "OakContigV1".

[Additional file 4: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S4.PPT)igure S2: Distribution of the number of reads in a contig (depth of a contig). Contigs resulting from PartiGene (brown bar), TGICL (green bar) and MIRA (blue bar) analysis.

[Additional file 5: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S5.PPT)igure S3: Frequency distribution of the number of peptides predicted from unigene elements. Frequency of FrameDP-predicted peptides resulting from PartiGene (brown bar), TGICL (green bar) and MIRA (blue bar) assembly.

[Additional file 6: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S6.PDF)able S3: Oak homologs to poplar candidate genes for bud phenology.

[Additional file 7: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S7.PDF)able S4: Oak homologs with Arabidopsis thaliana for drought stress resistance related genes with emphasis on cuticle formation.

[Additional file 8: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S8.PPT)igure S4: Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis related genes found in OakContigV1. List of genes are as follows with the number of OakContigV1 sequences in parenthesis. Red; EC:2.1.1.104 [caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase] (31), Yellow; EC:1.11.1.7 [peroxidase] (212), Orange; EC:1.1.1.195 [cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase] (28), Green; EC:3.2.1.21 [beta-glucosidase] (54), Blue; EC:2.1.1.68 [caffeate Omethyltransferase] (38), Pink; EC:2.3.1.92 [sinapoylglucose—malate Osinapoyltransferase] (1), Violet; EC:2.3.1.91 [sinapoylglucose—choline Osinapoyltransferase] (2), Light-red; EC:1.2.1.68 [coniferyl-aldehyde dehydrogenase] (3), Light-green; EC:1.14.13.11 [trans-cinnamate 4 monooxygenase] (10), Light-yellow; EC:6.2.1.12 [4-coumarate—CoA ligase] (15).

[Additional file 9: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S9.PDF)able S5: Homology search results against MAIZEWALL database.

[Additional file 10: T](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S10.XLS)able S6: SSRs detected in OakContigV1 sequences. SSR motifs (5, 4, 3, 3, and 3 repeats at least for di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-nucleotides, respectively) were searched by mreps (Kolpakov et al. 2003) program to detect microsatellite repeats from OakContigV1. Annotations are based on BlastX search against SWISS-PROT database with e-value cut-off 1e-5. "nil" indicates no hits.

[Additional file 11: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S11.PPT)igure S5: Microsatellite frequency detected by mreps for eight gene indices and OakContigV1. The search was performed for di-(with a repeat count $n \geq 5$ repeat units), tri- (n ≥ 4), tetra- (n $>=$ 3), penta- (n $>=$ 3) and hexa- (n $>=$ 3) nucleotides. The gene indices abbreviations are as follows: AGI; Arabidopsis thaliana, HAGI; Helianthus annuus, NTGI; Nicotiana tabacum, MTGI; Medicago truncatula, OGI; Oryza sativa, PPLGI; Populus, SGI; Picea and WGI; Vitis vinifera.

[Additional file 12: F](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-11-650-S12.PPT)igure S6: Estimation of SSR location by analysis with ESTScan and mreps software.

Acknowledgements

The study has been carried out with financial support from the European Commission to A. Kremer (FP6-2004-GLOBAL-3, Network of Excellence EVOLTREE "Evolution of Trees as drivers of Terrestrial Biodiversity", N°016322). It was also supported by a grant from Genoscope to C. Plomion (ForEST

project) and INRA (AIP Bioressource) to A. Kremer. cDNA libraries were sequenced at Genoscope<http://www.cns.fr/spip/> and SSH libraries were sequenced at the "Genome and Transcriptome" facility of Bordeaux [https://](https://www4.bordeaux-aquitaine.inra.fr/pgtb) www4.bordeaux-aquitaine.inra.fr/pgtb. All cDNA clones can be obtained from the EVOLTREE repository centre [http://www.picme.at/.](http://www.picme.at/) SU and FS were supported as post-doc by INRA and Aquitaine Region, respectively. VL, CA and MPR were recruited to work on the EVOLTREE project.

Author details

¹INRA, UMR 1202 BIOGECO, 69 route d'Arcachon, F-33612 Cestas, France. ²Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Department of Forest Genetics, Tree Genetics Laboratory, 1 Matsunosato, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305- 8687, Japan. ³Plateforme bioinformatique Genotoul, UR875 Biométrie et Intelligence Artificielle, INRA, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France. ⁴INRA/UBP UMR 1095, Laboratoire Génétique, Diversité et Ecophysiologie des Céréales, 234 avenue du Brézet, 63100 Clermont Ferrand, France. ⁵INRA, UMR1137 EEF "Ecologie et Ecophysiologie Forestières", F 54280 Champenoux, France. ⁶Université de Bordeaux, Centre de Bioinformatique de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France. ⁷CNRS, UMR 5800, Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique, Talence, France. ⁸CEA, DSV, Genoscope, Centre National de Séquençage, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux CP5706 91057 Evry cedex, France.

Authors' contributions

SU analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. CP conceived the study, coordinated the ForEST project and wrote the manuscript. GLP, VL, CP, PA and OB prepared the biological material. GLP, JD, VL, FS and PA constructed the libraries. CK and CN supervised the bioinformatic analysis (SURF, NG6, TGICL) and implemented the data into a powered Ensembl database. JS, MA and FM performed the evolutionary analysis. JMF, CC, AB and ADD managed the ESTs produced within the EVOLTREE and ForEST projects and submitted the ESTs to public databases. PW, AC, PL took care of the SANGER sequencing. AK coordinated the Evoltree project and was assisted by MPR. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Received: 28 May 2010 Accepted: 23 November 2010 Published: 23 November 2010

References

- 1. Geburek T, Turok J, Eds: Conservation and management of forest genetic resources in Europe. Zvolen: Arbora Publishers; 2005.
- Camus A: Les Chênes Paris: Lechevalier;1936-1954.
- 3. Axelrod DI: Biogeography of oaks in the Arcto-Tertiary Province. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 1990, 70:629-657.
- Brandle M, Brandl R: Species richness of insects and mites on trees: expanding Southwood. Journal of Animal Ecology 2001, 70:491-504.
- 5. Frodin DG, Govaerts R: World Checklist and Bibliography of Fagales (Betulaceae, Corylaceae, Fagaceae and Ticodendraceae) Richmond: Kew Publishing; 1998.
- 6. Manos PS, Stanford AM: The historical biogeography of Fagaceae: Tracking the tertiary history of temperate and subtropical forests of the northern hemisphere. International Journal of Plant Science 2001, 162: S77-S93.
- 7. Manos PS, Doyle JJ, Nixon KC: [Phylogeny, Biogeography, and Processes of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10413627?dopt=Abstract) [Molecular Differentiation in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10413627?dopt=Abstract) Quercus Subgenus Quercus (Fagaceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 1999, 12:333-349.
- 8. Kremer A, Casasoli M, Barreneche T, Bodenes C, Sisco P, Kubisiak T, Scalfi M, Leonardi S, Bakker E, Buiteveld J, et al: Fagaceae. In Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants. Volume 7 Forest Trees. Edited by: Kole CR. Heidelberg, Berlin, New York, Tokyo: Springer; 2007:165-187.
- 9. Casasoli M, Derory J, Morera-Dutrey C, Brendel O, Porth I, Guehl JM, Villani F, Kremer A: [Comparison of quantitative trait loci for adaptive](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16204213?dopt=Abstract) [traits between oak and chestnut based on an expressed sequence tag](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16204213?dopt=Abstract) [consensus map.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16204213?dopt=Abstract) Genetics 2006, 172:533-546.
- 10. Barreneche T, Casasoli M, Russell K, Akkak A, Meddour H, Plomion C, Villani F, Kremer A: [Comparative mapping between](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14564395?dopt=Abstract) Quercus and Castanea [using simple-sequence repeats \(SSRs\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14564395?dopt=Abstract) Theor Appl Genet 2004, 108:558-566.
- 11. Schmid R, Blaxter M: [EST processing: from trace to sequence.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19277557?dopt=Abstract) Methods Mol Biol 2009, 533:189-220.
- 12. Ewing B, Green P: [Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9521922?dopt=Abstract) [phred. II. Error probabilities.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9521922?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 1998, 8:186-194.
- 13. Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P: [Base-calling of automated](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9521921?dopt=Abstract) [sequencer traces using phred. I. Accuracy assessment.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9521921?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 1998, 8:175-185.
- 14. Documentation for phrap and cross_match. [\[http://bozeman.mbt.](http://bozeman.mbt.washington.edu/phrap.docs/phrap.html) [washington.edu/phrap.docs/phrap.html](http://bozeman.mbt.washington.edu/phrap.docs/phrap.html)].
- 15. Parkinson J, Anthony A, Wasmuth J, Schmid R, Hedley A, Blaxter M: [PartiGene](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14988115?dopt=Abstract)[constructing partial genomes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14988115?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2004, 20:1398-1404.
- 16. Pertea G, Huang X, Liang F, Antonescu V, Sultana R, Karamycheva S, Lee Y, White J, Cheung F, Parvizi B, et al: [TIGR Gene Indices clustering tools](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12651724?dopt=Abstract) [\(TGICL\): a software system for fast clustering of large EST datasets.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12651724?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2003, 19:651-652.
- 17. Fleury E, Huvet A, Lelong C, de Lorgeril J, Boulo V, Gueguen Y, Bachere E, Tanguy A, Moraga D, Fabioux C, et al: [Generation and analysis of a 29,745](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19640306?dopt=Abstract) [unique Expressed Sequence Tags from the Pacific oyster \(](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19640306?dopt=Abstract)Crassostrea gigas[\) assembled into a publicly accessible database: the GigasDatabase.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19640306?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2009, 10:341.
- 18. Chevreux B, Pfisterer T, Drescher B, Driesel AJ, Muller WE, Wetter T, Suhai S: [Using the miraEST assembler for reliable and automated mRNA](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15140833?dopt=Abstract) [transcript assembly and SNP detection in sequenced ESTs.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15140833?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 2004, 14:1147-1159.
- 19. Gouzy J, Carrere S, Schiex T: [FrameDP: sensitive peptide detection on](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19153134?dopt=Abstract) [noisy matured sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19153134?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2009, 25:670-671.
- 20. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: [Basic local alignment](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2231712?dopt=Abstract) [search tool.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2231712?dopt=Abstract) *J Mol Biol* 1990, 215:403-410.
- 21. Boeckmann B, Bairoch A, Apweiler R, Blatter MC, Estreicher A, Gasteiger E, Martin MJ, Michoud K, O'Donovan C, Phan I, et al: [The SWISS-PROT protein](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520024?dopt=Abstract) [knowledgebase and its supplement TrEMBL in 2003.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12520024?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:365-370.
- 22. Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Maglott DR: [NCBI Reference Sequence \(RefSeq\): a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608248?dopt=Abstract) [curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608248?dopt=Abstract) [proteins.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608248?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:D501-504.
- 23. Finn RD, Tate J, Mistry J, Coggill PC, Sammut SJ, Hotz HR, Ceric G, Forslund K, Eddy SR, Sonnhammer EL, Bateman A: [The Pfam protein](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18039703?dopt=Abstract) [families database.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18039703?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2008, 36:D281-288.
- 24. Quackenbush J, Liang F, Holt I, Pertea G, Upton J: [The TIGR gene indices:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10592205?dopt=Abstract) [reconstruction and representation of expressed gene sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10592205?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2000, 28:141-145.
- 25. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al: [Gene ontology: tool for the unification](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10802651?dopt=Abstract) [of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10802651?dopt=Abstract) Nat Genet 2000, 25:25-29.
- 26. Guillaumie S, San-Clemente H, Deswarte C, Martinez Y, Lapierre C, Murigneux A, Barriere Y, Pichon M, Goffner D: [MAIZEWALL. Database and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17098859?dopt=Abstract) [developmental gene expression profiling of cell wall biosynthesis and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17098859?dopt=Abstract) [assembly in maize.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17098859?dopt=Abstract) Plant Physiol 2007, 143:339-363.
- 27. Tedersoo L, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Jairus T, Sadam A, Saar I, Bahram M, Bechem E, Chuyong G, Koljalg U: [454 Pyrosequencing and Sanger](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20636324?dopt=Abstract) [sequencing of tropical mycorrhizal fungi provide similar results but](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20636324?dopt=Abstract) [reveal substantial methodological biases.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20636324?dopt=Abstract) New Phytol 2010, 188:291-301.
- 28. Kolpakov R, Bana G, Kucherov G: [mreps: Efficient and flexible detection of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12824391?dopt=Abstract) [tandem repeats in DNA.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12824391?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:3672-3678.
- 29. Durand J, Bodenes C, Chancerel E, Frigerio J-M, Vendramin G, Sebastiani F, Buonamici A, Gailing O, Koelewijn H-P, Villani F, et al: [SSR mining in oak](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20950475?dopt=Abstract) [ESTs and bin mapping 1 of 256 loci in a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20950475?dopt=Abstract) Quercus robur L. full-sib [pedigree.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20950475?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2010.
- Varshney RK, Graner A, Sorrells ME: [Genic microsatellite markers in plants:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15629858?dopt=Abstract) [features and applications.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15629858?dopt=Abstract) Trends Biotechnol 2005, 23:48-55.
- 31. Merkel A, Gemmell NJ: [Detecting microsatellites in genome data:](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19204802?dopt=Abstract) [variance in definitions and bioinformatic approaches cause systematic](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19204802?dopt=Abstract) [bias.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19204802?dopt=Abstract) Evol Bioinform Online 2008, 4:1-6.
- 32. Iseli C, Jongeneel CV, Bucher P: [ESTScan: a program for detecting,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10786296?dopt=Abstract) [evaluating, and reconstructing potential coding regions in EST](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10786296?dopt=Abstract) [sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10786296?dopt=Abstract) Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 1999, 138-148.
- 33. Metzgar D, Bytof J, Wills C: [Selection against frameshift mutations limits](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10645952?dopt=Abstract) [microsatellite expansion in coding DNA.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10645952?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 2000, 10:72-80.
- 34. Fujimori S, Washio T, Higo K, Ohtomo Y, Murakami K, Matsubara K, Kawai J, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y, Kikuchi S, Tomita M: [A novel feature of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14596907?dopt=Abstract) [microsatellites in plants: a distribution gradient along the direction of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14596907?dopt=Abstract) [transcription.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14596907?dopt=Abstract) FEBS Lett 2003, 554:17-22.
- 35. Teal TK, Schmidt TM: [Identifying and removing artificial replicates from](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20360363?dopt=Abstract) [454 pyrosequencing data.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20360363?dopt=Abstract) Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2010, 2010, pdb prot5409.
- 36. Gomez-Alvarez V, Teal TK, Schmidt TM: [Systematic artifacts in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19587772?dopt=Abstract) [metagenomes from complex microbial communities.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19587772?dopt=Abstract) Isme J 2009, 3:1314-1317.
- 37. Novaes E, Drost DR, Farmerie WG, Pappas GJ Jr, Grattapaglia D, Sederoff RR, Kirst M: [High-throughput gene and SNP discovery in Eucalyptus grandis,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18590545?dopt=Abstract) [an uncharacterized genome.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18590545?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2008, 9:312.
- 38. Meyer E, Aglyamova GV, Wang S, Buchanan-Carter J, Abrego D, Colbourne JK, Willis BL, Matz MV: [Sequencing and de novo analysis of a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19435504?dopt=Abstract) [coral larval transcriptome using 454 GSFlx.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19435504?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2009, 10:219.
- 39. Tsumura Y, Kado T, Takahashi T, Tani N, Ujino-Ihara T, Iwata H: [Genome](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17565947?dopt=Abstract) [scan to detect genetic structure and adaptive genes of natural](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17565947?dopt=Abstract) [populations of Cryptomeria japonica.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17565947?dopt=Abstract) Genetics 2007, 176:2393-2403.
- 40. De Castro MH: Allelic diversity in the CAD2 and LIM1 lignin biosynthetic genes of Eucalyptus grandis Hill ex Maiden and E. smithii R. T. Baker University of Pretoria, Department of Genetics; 2006.
- 41. Salse J, Bolot S, Throude M, Jouffe V, Piegu B, Quraishi UM, Calcagno T, Cooke R, Delseny M, Feuillet C: [Identification and characterization of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18178768?dopt=Abstract) [shared duplications between rice and wheat provide new insight into](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18178768?dopt=Abstract) [grass genome evolution.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18178768?dopt=Abstract) Plant Cell 2008, 20:11-24.
- 42. Salse J, Abrouk M, Bolot S, Guilhot N, Courcelle E, Faraut T, Waugh R, Close TJ, Messing J, Feuillet C: [Reconstruction of monocotelydoneous](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19706486?dopt=Abstract) [proto-chromosomes reveals faster evolution in plants than in animals.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19706486?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106:14908-14913.
- 43. Salse J, Abrouk M, Murat F, Quraishi UM, Feuillet C: [Improved criteria and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19720678?dopt=Abstract) [comparative genomics tool provide new insights into grass](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19720678?dopt=Abstract) [paleogenomics.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19720678?dopt=Abstract) Brief Bioinform 2009, 10:619-630.
- 44. Brendel O, Thiec DL, Scotti-Saintagne C, Bodenes C, Kremer A, Guehl J-M: Quantitative trait loci controlling water use efficiency and related traits in Quercus robur L. TGG 2008, 4:263-278.
- 45. Le Provost G, Herrera R, Paiva J, Chaumeil P, Salin F, Plomion C: [A](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18449457?dopt=Abstract) [micromethod for high throughput RNA extraction in forest trees.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18449457?dopt=Abstract) Biological Research 2007, 40:291-297.
- 46. Diatchenko L, Lau YF, Campbell AP, Chenchik A, Moqadam F, Huang B, Lukyanov S, Lukyanov K, Gurskaya N, Sverdlov ED, Siebert PD: [Suppression](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8650213?dopt=Abstract) [subtractive hybridization: a method for generating differentially](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8650213?dopt=Abstract) [regulated or tissue-specific cDNA probes and libraries.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8650213?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:6025-6030.
- 47. Derory J, Leger P, Garcia V, Schaeffer J, Hauser MT, Salin F, Luschnig C, Plomion C, Glossl J, Kremer A: [Transcriptome analysis of bud burst in](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16684234?dopt=Abstract) [sessile oak \(Quercus petraea\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16684234?dopt=Abstract) New Phytol 2006, 170:723-738.
- 48. Roussel M, Dreyer E, Montpied P, Le-Provost G, Guehl JM, Brendel O: [The](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19380420?dopt=Abstract) [diversity of \(13\)C isotope discrimination in a Quercus robur full-sib](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19380420?dopt=Abstract) [family is associated with differences in intrinsic water use efficiency,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19380420?dopt=Abstract) [transpiration efficiency, and stomatal conductance.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19380420?dopt=Abstract) J Exp Bot 2009, 60:2419-2431.
- 49. Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR: [DNA sequencing with chain-terminating](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/271968?dopt=Abstract) [inhibitors.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/271968?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1977, 74:5463-5467.
- 50. RepeatMasker Open-3.0. [\[http://www.repeatmasker.org\]](http://www.repeatmasker.org).
- 51. Chaisson MJ, Pevzner PA: [Short read fragment assembly of bacterial](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18083777?dopt=Abstract) [genomes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18083777?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 2008, 18:324-330.
- 52. SeqClean. [[http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/\]](http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/).
- 53. Huang X, Madan A: [CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10508846?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 1999, 9:868-877.
- 54. Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W: [A greedy algorithm for aligning](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10890397?dopt=Abstract) [DNA sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10890397?dopt=Abstract) J Comput Biol 2000, 7:203-214.
- 55. Chevreux B, Wetter T, Suhai S: Genome sequence assembly using trace signals and additional sequence information. Computer Science and Biology: Proceedings of the German Conference on Bioinformatics (GCB) 1999, 99:45-56.
- 56. Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Latimer K: [Choosing BLAST options for better](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18042555?dopt=Abstract) [detection of orthologs as reciprocal best hits.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18042555?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2008, 24:319-324.
- 57. Parkinson J, Guiliano DB, Blaxter M: [Making sense of EST sequences by](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12398795?dopt=Abstract) [CLOBBing them.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12398795?dopt=Abstract) BMC Bioinformatics 2002, 3:31.
- 58. Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M: [Blast2GO: a](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16081474?dopt=Abstract) [universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16081474?dopt=Abstract) [genomics research.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16081474?dopt=Abstract) Bioinformatics 2005, 21:3674-3676.
- Ogata H, Goto S, Sato K, Fujibuchi W, Bono H, Kanehisa M: [KEGG: Kyoto](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9847135?dopt=Abstract) [Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9847135?dopt=Abstract) Nucleic Acids Res 1999, 27:29-34.
- 60. SOM-PAK. [\http://www.cis.hut.fi/research/som_lvq_pak].
- 61. Pearson WR: [Using the FASTA program to search protein and DNA](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8004177?dopt=Abstract) [sequence databases.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8004177?dopt=Abstract) Methods Mol Biol 1994, 25:365-389.
- 62. Goremykin VV, Salamini F, Velasco R, Viola R: [Mitochondrial DNA of Vitis](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18922764?dopt=Abstract) [vinifera and the issue of rampant horizontal gene transfer.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18922764?dopt=Abstract) Mol Biol Evol 2009, 26:99-110.
- 63. Goldman N, Yang Z: [A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7968486?dopt=Abstract) [protein-coding DNA sequences.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7968486?dopt=Abstract) Mol Biol Evol 1994, 11:725-736.
- 64. SanMiguel P, Gaut BS, Tikhonov A, Nakajima Y, Bennetzen JL: [The](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9731528?dopt=Abstract) [paleontology of intergene retrotransposons of maize.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9731528?dopt=Abstract) Nat Genet 1998, 20:43-45.
- 65. Gaut BS, Morton BR, McCaig BC, Clegg MT: [Substitution rate comparisons](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8816790?dopt=Abstract) [between grasses and palms: synonymous rate differences at the nuclear](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8816790?dopt=Abstract) [gene Adh parallel rate differences at the plastid gene rbcL.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8816790?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:10274-10279.
- 66. Udall JA, Swanson JM, Haller K, Rapp RA, Sparks ME, Hatfield J, Yu Y, Wu Y, Dowd C, Arpat AB, et al: [A global assembly of cotton ESTs.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16478941?dopt=Abstract) Genome Res 2006, 16:441-450.
- 67. Argout X, Fouet O, Wincker P, Gramacho K, Legavre T, Sabau X, Risterucci AM, Da Silva C, Cascardo J, Allegre M, et al: [Towards the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973681?dopt=Abstract) [understanding of the cocoa transcriptome: Production and analysis of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973681?dopt=Abstract) [an exhaustive dataset of ESTs of Theobroma cacao L. generated from](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973681?dopt=Abstract) [various tissues and under various conditions.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973681?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2008, 9:512.
- 68. Ralph SG, Chun HJ, Kolosova N, Cooper D, Oddy C, Ritland CE, Kirkpatrick R, Moore R, Barber S, Holt RA, et al: [A conifer genomics resource of 200,000](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18854048?dopt=Abstract) [spruce \(Picea spp.\) ESTs and 6,464 high-quality, sequence-finished full](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18854048?dopt=Abstract)[length cDNAs for Sitka spruce \(Picea sitchensis\).](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18854048?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2008, 9:484.
- 69. Crowhurst RN, Gleave AP, MacRae EA, Ampomah-Dwamena C, Atkinson RG, Beuning LL, Bulley SM, Chagne D, Marsh KB, Matich AJ, et al: [Analysis of](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18655731?dopt=Abstract) [expressed sequence tags from Actinidia: applications of a cross species](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18655731?dopt=Abstract) [EST database for gene discovery in the areas of flavor, health, color and](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18655731?dopt=Abstract) [ripening.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18655731?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2008, 9:351.
- 70. Sterky F, Bhalerao RR, Unneberg P, Segerman B, Nilsson P, Brunner AM, Charbonnel-Campaa L, Lindvall JJ, Tandre K, Strauss SH, et al: [A Populus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353603?dopt=Abstract) [EST resource for plant functional genomics.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353603?dopt=Abstract) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101:13951-13956.
- 71. Asamizu E, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Tabata S: [Characteristics of the Lotus](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15284495?dopt=Abstract) [japonicus gene repertoire deduced from large-scale expressed sequence](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15284495?dopt=Abstract) [tag \(EST\) analysis.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15284495?dopt=Abstract) Plant Mol Biol 2004, 54:405-414.
- 72. Terol J, Conesa A, Colmenero JM, Cercos M, Tadeo F, Agusti J, Alos E, Andres F, Soler G, Brumos J, et al: [Analysis of 13000 unique Citrus clusters](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17254327?dopt=Abstract) [associated with fruit quality, production and salinity tolerance.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17254327?dopt=Abstract) BMC Genomics 2007, 8:31.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-650

Cite this article as: Ueno et al.: Bioinformatic analysis of ESTs collected by Sanger and pyrosequencing methods for a keystone forest tree species: oak. BMC Genomics 2010 11:650.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

BioMed Central