

In vitro antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from French teaching hospitals: proposition of zone diameter breakpoints

C. Lascols, P. Legrand, A. Mérens, R. Leclercq, L. Armand-Lefevre, H. B. Drugeon, M. D. Kitzis, C. Muller-Serieys, M. E. Reverdy, M.

Roussel-Delvallez, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

C. Lascols, P. Legrand, A. Mérens, R. Leclercq, L. Armand-Lefevre, et al.. In vitro antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from French teaching hospitals: proposition of zone diameter breakpoints. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2010, 30 (4), pp.475-482. 10.1007/s10096-010-1117-6. hal-00642845

HAL Id: hal-00642845 https://hal.science/hal-00642845

Submitted on 19 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 In vitro antibacterial activity of doripenem against clinical isolates from 2 French teaching hospitals. Proposition of zone diameters breakpoints 3 4 Running title: doripenem, in vitro activity, breakpoints 5 C. Lascols¹, P. Legrand¹, A. Mérens², R. Leclercg³, L. Armand-Lefevre⁴, H.B. 6 7 Drugeon⁵, M.D. Kitzis⁶, C. Muller-Serieys⁴, M.E. Reverdy⁷, M. Roussel-8 Delvallez⁸, C. Moubareck⁹, A. Lemire⁹, A. Miara¹⁰, M Gjoklaj¹⁰, and C.-J. 9 Soussv¹. 10 11 ¹Service de Bactériologie-Virologie-Hygiène, CHU Henri Mondor, Assistance 12 Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris 12, Créteil, ²Laboratoire de Biologie Médicale, Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Begin, Saint Mandé, 13 ³Laboratoire de Microbiologie, CHU Côte de Nacre, Caen, ⁴Laboratoire de 14 15 Bactériologie et Virologie, CHU Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de 16 Paris, Paris, ⁵Laboratoire de Bactériologie, CHU Guillaume et René Laennec, Nantes, ⁶Service de Microbiologie Médicale, Hôpital Saint-Joseph, Assistance 17 Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, ⁷Laboratoire Central de Microbiologie, 18 19 CHU Edouard Herriot, Lyon, ⁸Laboratoire de Bactériologie et Virologie, CHRU 20 Calmette, Lille, France, ⁹Unité des Agents Antibactériens, Centre National de 21 Référence de la Résistance aux Antibiotiques (CRAB), Institut Pasteur, Paris, ¹⁰Janssen-Cilag, Issy-Les-Moulineaux, France. 22 23 Corresponding author: Christine LASCOLS, CHU Henri Mondor, Assistance 24 25 Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris 12, 51 Avenue du Marechal de 26 Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 CRETEIL Cedex, France. Email: 27 c.lascols@gmail.com

28

29

30 ABSTRACT

31 **Purpose:** The aims of the study were to determine the *in vitro* activity of 32 doripenem, a new carbapenem, against a large number of bacterial 33 pathogens and to propose zone diameter breakpoints for clinical 34 categorization in France according to the EUCAST MIC breakpoints.

Methods: MICs of doripenem were determined by broth microdilution method
 against 1547 clinical isolates from 8 French hospitals. Disk diffusion test was
 performed (10-µg discs) according to the CASFM method.

38 **Results:** MIC_{50/90} (mg/L) values were as follows: methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) (0.03/0.25), methicillin-resistant S. aureus (1/2) (MRSA), 39 40 methicillin-susceptible coagulase-negative staphylococci (MSCoNS) 41 (0.03/0.12), MRCoNS (2/8), S. pneumoniae (0.016/0.25), viridans group 42 streptococci (0.016/2), ß-haemolytic streptococci (<0.008/<0.008), E. faecalis 43 (2/4), E. faecium (128/>128), Enterobacteriaceae (0.06/0.25), P. aeruginosa 44 (0.5/8), A. baumannii (0.25/2), H. influenzae (0.12/0.25), M. catarrhalis (0.03/0.06). According to the regression curve, zone diameter breakpoints 45 46 were 24 and 19 mm for MICs of 1 and 4 mg/L.

47 **Conclusion:** This study confirms the potent *in vitro* activity of doripenem 48 against *P. aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, MSSA, MSCoNS 49 and respiratory pathogens. According to the EUCAST MIC breakpoints (mg/L) 50 : $\leq 1/>4$ for *Enterobacteriaceae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* and $\leq 1>1$ for 51 streptococci, pneumococci and *Haemophilus*, zone diameter breakpoints 52 could be (mm): $\geq 24/<19$ and $\geq 24/<24$, respectively.

53 Keywords: doripenem, new carbapenem, *in vitro* activity, breakpoints

54

55 **INTRODUCTION**

56 The synthesis of new carbapenems remains an area of intense research 57 because of the broad-spectrum antibacterial activity of this chemical class [1-58 3]. Doripenem (formerly S-4661) is a recently approved parenteral 1β-59 methlycarbapenem, originally discovered by Shionogi & Co., Ltd. (Osaka, 59 Japan), is currently being developed in the United States by Johnson and 51 Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development (Raritan, NJ) for the 52 treatment of hospitalized patients with serious systemic bacterial infections.

63 Doripenem has a methyl group at position 4 and a sulfamovlaminomethylated 64 pyrrolidinylthio group at position 3. Its in vitro antimicrobial potency is 65 generally comparable to that of imipenem and meropenem, although 66 doripenem is generally more active against Gram-positive organisms than 67 meropenem and more active against Gram-negative organisms than 68 imipenem. The activity of doripenem against Pseudomonas isolates is slightly 69 better than that of other carbapenems. Doripenem is stable against human 70 renal DHP-I and thus does not require co-administration of cilastatin.

Like other carbapenems, doripenem has stability to many β-lactamases, but
remains labile to class B enzymes known as metallo- β-lactamases.

Pharmacokinetic parameters resemble to meropenem with T1/2 of
approximately 1h ; the serum protein binding is low (8-9%).

75 Previous surveillance studies indicate that doripenem is active in vitro against 76 bacteria commonly associated with these indications [4-9,3] and is effective in 77 vivo in murine models of bacteremia and pulmonary infection and in a rat 78 intra-uterine infection model [10,9]. Doripenem is an agent that will be 79 specially used to treat infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria resistant 80 to a variety of antimicrobial agents. According to the European Medicines 81 Agency (EMEA), doripenem is indicated for the treatment of the following 82 infections: nosocomial pneumonia, including ventilator-associated

pneumonia, complicated intra-abdominal infections, complicated urinary tract
infections, including complicated and uncomplicated pyelonephritis and cases
with concurrent bacteraemia. FDA only approved doripenem for complicated
intra-abdominal and urinary tract infection.

The aim of this multicenter study was to assess the *in vitro* activity of doripenem on a large number of isolates encountered in daily hospital practice in French hospitals. In addition, zone diameter breakpoints were determined for doripenem using the regression curve method by using the French national disk diffusion method calibrated to EUCAST MIC breakpoints, as recommended by EUCAST [11]

93

94

95 MATERIALS AND METHODS

96 Bacterial strains

97 Over a period of 3 months (October to December 2008), a total of 1,547 non-98 consecutive single-patient isolates, were collected from 8 French hospitals 99 (six teaching and two general hospitals). 20 strains of each frequently isolated 100 species was requested from every institution enrolled in the study. For rarely 101 isolated species, all the strains collected during the study period were 102 included. Clinical isolates were collected from the main types of pathological 103 specimens from in-patients in hospital wards representing the different 104 medical and surgical specialities: 36.5% were isolated from general medical 105 ward, 22.3% from general surgical ward, 19.3% from intensive care unit 106 (ICU), 14.8% from emergency room and the remaining (7.1%) from either 107 other ward.

Isolates tested included: 173 S. *aureus* (90 methicillin-susceptible and 83
methicillin-resistant strains), 104 coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS)
(50 methicillin-susceptible and 54 methicillin-resistant strains), 63 *E. faecalis*,

111 40 E. faecium, 83 S. pneumoniae, 60 β-haemolytic streptococci; 19 viridans 112 group streptococci, 129 E. coli, 114 K. pneumoniae, 36 K. oxytoca, 90 E. 113 cloacae, 49 E. aerogenes, 31 Citrobacter spp., 114 P. mirabilis, 45 M. 114 morganii, 39 P. vulgaris, 10 P. rettgeri, 15 P. stuartii, 99 P. aeruginosa, 71 115 Acinetobacter spp, 30 S. maltophilia, 7 B. cepacia, 71 H. influenzae, 18 H. 116 parainfluenzae and 36 M. catarrhalis. Isolates were obtained from urinary 117 tract infections (30%), respiratory tract infections (19.9%), blood cultures 118 (22.8%), skin and soft tissues infections (11.9%) and from other origin 119 (15.4%).

120

121

122 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

123 All tests were carried out using the reference broth microdilution method 124 according to the guidelines set forth in standard M7-A7 by the CLSI (formerly 125 NCCLS) [12]. Cation Adjusted Mueller-Hinton II Broth (i.e. BBL™, Becton 126 Dickinson, Le Pont de Claix, France) used for aerobic bacteria was modified 127 for streptococci by supplementation with 5% lysed horse blood, whereas for 128 Haemophilus the Haemophilus Test Medium (HTM) formulation (Oxoid, 129 Dardilly, France) was used. The same batch of medium was used by each 130 participating center. All tests followed CLSI technical details [12] for 131 incubation temperature and environment.

In order to determine the correlation between MICs and the inhibition zone diameters, an agar diffusion antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed, according to the recommendations of the Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie [13,14]. The discs with 10-µg doripenem were supplied by Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research, Raritan, NJ, USA.

138

139 **Quality Control**

140	Analysis of doripenem MICs and inhibition zone diameters were performed
141	against four reference strains: K. pneumoniae U2A2189, K. pneumoniae
142	U2A2190, P. aeruginosa U2A2320 and P. aeruginosa U2A2321, provided by
143	the French National Reference Center of Antibiotics (CRAB), Institut Pasteur,
144	Paris. This indicated intercenter variabilities similar to those usually observed
145	for these techniques. All centers provided results which were not significantly
146	different from those of the reference center (with a 5% risk of error) (data not
147	shown).
148	Results were examined to ensure that reported MICs were within acceptable
149	standards set by EUCAST [11] or CLSI [15] based on the following ATCC
150	quality control strains: S. aureus ATCC 29213, E. faecalis ATCC 29212, E.
151	coli ATCC 25922 and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853.

152

153 **RESULTS**

154

155 Staphylococci

Table 1 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against Gram-positivemicroorganisms (542 isolates).

158 The in vitro activity of doripenem was examined against 173 S. aureus 159 including 90 methicillin-resistant (MRSA) and 83 methicillin-susceptible 160 (MSSA) isolates. Doripenem was potent against MSSA isolates with 161 MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ values of 0.03/0.25 mg/L. By contrast they are 1/2 mg/L against 162 MRSA and it is admitted that MRSA have to be considered resistant to 163 carbapenems. The in vitro activity of doripenem was examined against 104 164 coagulase negative staphylococci including 54 methicillin-resistant (MR-165 CoNS) and 50 methicillin-susceptible (MS-CoNS) isolates. Doripenem was as

potent against MS-CoNS isolates (MIC_{50/90}: 0.03/0.12 mg/L), as against MS S.
 aureus. MR-CoNS isolates with MICs of 2/8 mg/L have to be considered
 resistant to carbapenems.

169

170 Enterococci

171 *E. faecalis* susceptibility testing results for doripenem showed that MICs 172 ranged from 0.06 to 8 mg/L with MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 2 and 4 mg/L. For 173 *E. faecium*, data showed that doripenem displayed no activity (MIC_{50/90}: 174 128/>128 mg/L) against this species.

175

176 Streptococci

177 Doripenem MIC results for *S. pneumoniae* isolates were grouped by their 178 susceptibility category to penicillin (Table 1). The doripenem MIC values vary 179 according to the penicillin susceptibility with $MIC_{50/90}$ results at $\leq 0.008/0.25$ 180 mg/L for penicillin-susceptible isolates and 0.25/0.5 mg/L for intermediate + 181 resistant isolates, respectively.

182Against viridans group streptococci, MICs ranged from ≤0.008 to 4 mg/L with183MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 0.016 and 2 mg/L, respectively. Doripenem184inhibited all isolates of β-haemolytic streptococci at MIC≤0.03 mg/L.

185

186 Enterobacteriaceae

187 Table 2 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against *Enterobacteriaceae*

188 (672 isolates).

189 Doripenem was particularly active against members of the 190 *Enterobacteriaceae:* 70.8% of the isolates were inhibited by doripenem at 191 concentrations of ≤ 0.06 mg/L.

The MIC_{50s} and MIC_{90s} for *E. coli, Klebsiella, Proteus, Providencia, Morganella, Citrobacter, and Enterobacter,* ranged from 0.03 to 0.25 mg/L
and 0.03 to 0.5 mg/L, respectively.

195 Doripenem potency was high against E. coli and Klebsiella: 92.2% of E. coli 196 isolates and 75% of *Klebsiella* isolates had a doripenem MIC ≤0.03 mg/L and 197 ≤0.06 mg/L, respectively. Isolates of *E. cloacae* and *C. freundii* were all 198 susceptible to doripenem (100%) with MICs_{50/90} of 0.03/0.06 mg/L and 199 0.03/0.25 mg/L for cefotaxime-susceptible isolates and 0.12/0.25 mg/L and 200 0.06/0.5 mg/L for cefotaxime-non susceptible isolates, respectively. The P. 201 mirabilis, P. vulgaris and M. morganii isolates the MICs of doripenem were 202 slightly higher but still low with MIC_{50s} ranging from 0.12 to 0.25 mg/L and 203 MIC_{90s} values of 0.5 mg/L.

204 Against cefotaxime-susceptible Enterobacteriaceae, doripenem had MIC₅₀ 205 and MIC₉₀ of 0.06 and 0.25 mg/L, respectively. The level of doripenem activity 206 against Enterobacteriaceae was essentially maintained against populations 207 not susceptible to broad-spectrum cephalosporins (i.e., non-susceptible to 208 cefotaxime). The MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of doripenem against cefotaxime-non 209 susceptible enterobacteria were similar or between 1 or 2 doubling dilutions 210 when compared to those observed for cefotaxime-susceptible isolates, 211 whatever the bacterial species was (Table 2). Against ESBL screen-positive 212 isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* (n =43), doripenem maintained the same level 213 of activity as that observed against Enterobacteriaceae overall (MIC_{50/90}: 214 0.06/0.25 mg/L). Among ESBL isolates, 69.8% of isolates were inhibited by 215 doripenem at concentrations ≤ 0.06 mg/L.

216

217 **Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter spp**

Table 3 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against non fermentativebacilli (207 isolates).

220 Doripenem demonstrated widely variable activity against the tested non-221 fermentative Gram-negative bacilli, as shown by 83.1% susceptibility at 222 EUCAST breakpoint of $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$ for *Acinetobacter* and 71.7% for *P.* 223 *aeruginosa* but for *B. cepacia* and *S. maltophilia* MIC_{50/90} were 2/8 and 224 >128/128 mg/L.

According to the EUCAST breakpoints of ≤ 1 and >4 mg/L, 71.7% of the *P. aeruginosa* were susceptible, 15.2% were intermediate and 13.1% were resistant.

Doripenem activity was evaluated against imipenem-susceptible and non susceptible *P. aeruginosa* isolates (Table 3). The $MIC_{50/90s}$ of doripenem for imipenem-susceptible isolates were of 0.25 and 2 mg/L and 87% of them were susceptible to doripenem.

Against imipenem-non susceptible isolates, MICs of doripenem were notably increased, as the MIC_{50} and MIC_{90} were 8 and 16 mg/L respectively but with a wide range of MICs (0.12 to 64 mg/L). Of note, 18.2% of imipenem-resistant isolates were susceptible to doripenem.

Doripenem was active against all imipenem-susceptible *A. baumannii* isolates with MIC_{50/90:} of 0.25 and 1 mg/L and intermediate or resistant against imipenem-resistant isolates with MIC values range from 2 to 32 mg/L.

239

240 Haemophilus spp and M. catarrhalis

Table 4 presents the *in vitro* activity of doripenem against respiratory Gramnegative pathogens (126 isolates).

Doripenem has potent *in vitro* activity against *H. influenzae, H.* parainfluenzae, and *M. catarrhalis*. The MIC range for doripenem against the 71 *H. influenzae* was ≤ 0.008 to 1 mg/L; MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were exactly the same for ampicillin-susceptible isolates as for ampicillin non-susceptible isolates (MIC_{50/90}=0.12/0.25 mg/L).

The MIC range for doripenem against the 18 *H. parainfluenzae* isolates tested was ≤ 0.008 to 0.12 mg/L, doripenem potency was almost similar for ampicillin susceptible or non-susceptible isolates (Table 5).

The MIC range for doripenem towards the 36 *M. catarrhalis* isolates was ≤ 0.008 to 0.06 mg/L; MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values were 0.03 and 0.06 mg/L, respectively,

Finally, all the 125 isolates (100%) (71 *H. influenzae*, 18 *H. parainfluenzae* and 36 *M. catarrhalis*) were susceptible to doripenem according to the EUCAST breakpoint of $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$.

257

258

259

260 Correlation between inhibition zone diameters and MICs

The regression curve between the MIC values (logarithm to the base 2) on the x-axis and the inhibition diameters (arithmetic scale) in the y-axis was determined by the least-square method on 1,547 isolates whose distribution is depicted in Figure 1, where the width of the lines is proportional to the number of isolates .

The zone diameters breakpoints can then be deduced from the doripenem EUCAST MIC breakpoints: 1/4 for *Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* and 1/1 for streptococci (including *S. pneumoniae*), and *Haemophilus spp* and *M. catarrhalis.*

Zone diameter breakpoints with a 10- μ g doripenem disc content could thus be 24 and 19 mm (\geq 24, susceptible; 19-23, intermediate and <19, resistant) for *Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter and* 24 mm (\geq 24, susceptible and <24, resistant) for streptococci (including *S. pneumoniae), Haemophilus spp* and *M. catarrhalis*.

275	For instance, using a zone diameter breakpoint of ≥24 mm to denote
276	susceptibility, a false susceptibility rate (Rs) of 0.32% (5 isolates) and a false
277	resistant (Sr) rate of 0.19% (3 isolates) were seen in each case (Figure 1)

278

279 **DISCUSSION**

Doripenem was potent againt oxacillin-susceptible staphylococci with the same MIC_{50/90} than imipenem [8]. Nevertheless, according to the EUCAST recommendations, "susceptibility of staphylococci to carbapenems is inferred from the methicillin susceptibility". So methicillin-resistant staphylococci have to be considered resistant to carbapenems including doripenem. Most enterococci isolates were not susceptible to doripenem.

286 Doripenem was potent against penicillin-susceptible *S. pneumoniae*. The 287 decrease of its activity coincided with decreased susceptibility to penicillin as 288 already shown in literature [16].

Doripenem was highly potent against all *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates tested, including those resistant to "advanced-generation" cephalosporins and screen-positive ESBL isolates. This pattern of doripenem activity against *Enterobacteriaceae* was similar to that reported in previous studies [4-7,9].

293 Doripenem maintained the same activity against all cefotaxime-non 294 susceptible or susceptible isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* and also against 295 ESBL positive isolates with the exception of *E. cloacae* for which the 296 doripenem $MIC_{50/90}$ were four-fold higher for cefotaxime-non susceptible 297 isolates in comparison to cefotaxime susceptible isolates .

298 The maintained activity of doripenem and other carbapenems against 299 enterobacteria resistant to other β -lactams is largely due to their stability to 300 hydrolysis by β -lactamases commonly encountered among these organisms.

Against *P. aeruginosa* imipenem-susceptible. Doripenem was highly potent,
as already shown in other studies [4,5,7,17,9,3]. It is two- and four-fold more

303 potent than meropenem and imipenem respectively [8,16]. Against imipenem-304 resistant isolates with MICs \geq 8 mg/L, 22.4 % have MIC of doripenem <4mg/L 305 [7].

306 Overall, 71.7% of isolates tested in this study were susceptible to doripenem. 307 Though the in vitro activities of doripenem and imipenem show parallel 308 variation against Gram-negative bacilli as described above, imipenem has 309 been shown to be an acceptable surrogate marker for determining the 310 susceptibility of Gram-negative clinical isolates to carbapenems including 311 doripenem [18]. However, this type of testing does not account for clinical 312 isolates which are non-susceptible to imipenem but remain susceptible to 313 doripenem. For example, 18% of *P. aeruginosa* isolates tested in this study 314 as non-susceptible to imipenem were susceptible to doripenem.

315 This is consistent with the view that doripenem is less affected by the 316 deficiency of OprD porin protein than imipenem [19]. On the other hand, a few 317 imipenem-susceptible strains (3%) have MICs of doripenem >1 mg/L and are 318 thus considered non-susceptible according to EUCAST MIC breakpoints, 319 what can be mainly explained by the difference between the susceptibility 320 breakpoints concentrations established by EUCAST for imipenem and 321 doripenem (≤ 4 and ≤ 1 mg/L, respectively). Nevertheless, it was reported that 322 doripenem, even if in a lesser degree than meropenem, is affected by the 323 efflux mechanism and overexpression of efflux pumps in P. aeruginosa 324 results in moderate resistance to doripenem, whereas imipenem largely 325 escapes this mechanism [20].

Although cross-resistance exists between imipenem and doripenem, like
 meropenem, doripenem appears to be *in vitro* more active than imipenem
 against OprD-deficient *P. aeruginosa* strains.

329 The activity of doripenem against imipenem-susceptible *A. baumannii* was 330 similar to that of imipenem-susceptible *P. aeruginosa* but in contrast,

doripenem was not active against any imipenem-non susceptible isolates of
 Acinetobacter spp., which may be due to several mechanisms of resistance
 present in these strains such as carbapenemase phenotype, membrane
 impermeability or variable porin/outer membrane protein expression [21].

No activity was observed against *S. maltophilia* isolates, and *B. cepacia* was
inconstantly susceptible to doripenem (only 28.6% susceptible isolates).

337 Doripenem was also active against respiratory pathogens as *H. influenzae, H.*

338 *parainfluenzae* or *M. catarrhalis,* regardless of ampicillin resistance.

339

340

341 CONCLUSION

342

343 Doripenem is a broad-spectrum carbapenem with a good in vitro activity against Gram-positive cocci and against challenging Gram-negative 344 345 pathogens, including resistant Enterobacteriaceae and P. aeruginosa. This 346 study performed on a large number of isolates confirms its potent in vitro 347 activity against such clinically isolates. Based on the activity profile presented 348 in this study and others, doripenem appears to be a promising new agent for 349 the treatment of infections caused by severe Gram-negative pathogens 350 commonly encountered in the hospital including cephalosporin resistant 351 enteric bacilli and multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa or Acinetobacter spp. 352 Because its primary use will be associated with the hospital where plasmid-353 mediated resistance to carbapenems has already been documented among 354 some Gram-negative bacterial isolates, it is important to continue to monitor 355 the activity of doripenem throughout its clinical development and after its 356 introduction into clinical use.

- 357 This study allowed us to determine zone diameter breakpoints, which are 24
- and 19 mm for MICs of 1 and 4 mg/L, used for clinical categorization according to
- 359 the EUCAST MIC breakpoints.
- 360
- 361
- 362
- 363
- 364
- 365
- 366
- 367
- 368

369 Acknowledgements

- 370 We would like to express our appreciation to the following people for expert
- technical support: M. Auzou, S. Brémont, A. Charles, C. Delaunay, A. Ly, P.
- 372 McGill and M. Rougier.
- 373

Financial support

- 375 This study was funded by Janssen-Cilag, Issy-Les-Moulineaux, France
- 376

Potential conflicts of interest:

- 378 No conflict for all authors is declared.
- 379
- 380

381 **REFERENCES**

382

383 1. Nomura S, Nagayama A. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of S-4661, a new
384 parenteral carbapenem, against urological pathogens isolated from patients
385 with complicated urinary tract infections. J Chemother 2002;14(2):155-60.

2. Ohiba F, Nakamura-Kamigo M, Watanabe N, Katsu K. *In vitro* and *in vivo*antibacterial activities of ER-35786, a new antipseudomonal carbapenem.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41:298-307.

389 3. Watanabe A, Takahashi H, Kikuchi T, et al. Comparative *in vitro* activity of
390 S-4661, a new parenteral carbapenem, and other antimicrobial agents
391 against respiratory pathogens. Chemotherapy 2000;46(3):184-7.

392 4. Brown SD, Traczewski MM. Comparative *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of a
393 new carbapenem, doripenem: tentative disc diffusion criteria and quality
394 control. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;55(6):944-9.

5. Fritsche TR, Stilwell MG, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem (S4661): a global surveillance report (2003). Clin Microbiol Infect
2005;11(12):974-84.

398 6. Ge Y, Wikler MA, Sahm DF, Blosser-Middleton RS, Karlowsky JA. In vitro
399 antimicrobial activity of doripenem, a new carbapenem. Antimicrob Agents
400 Chemother 2004;48(4):1384-96.

401 7. Jones RN, Huynh HK, Biedenbach DJ. Activities of doripenem (S-4661)
402 against drug-resistant clinical pathogens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
403 2004;48(8):3136-40.

404 8. Jones RN, Huynh HK, Biedenbach DJ, Fritsche TR, Sader HS. Doripenem
405 (S-4661), a novel carbapenem: comparative activity against contemporary
406 pathogens including bactericidal action and preliminary in vitro methods
407 evaluations. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;54(1):144-54.

408 9. Tsuji M, Ishii Y, Ohno A, Miyazaki S, Yamaguchi K. In vitro and in vivo
409 antibacterial activities of S-4661, a new carbapenem. Antimicrob Agents
410 Chemother 1998;42(1):94-9.

411 10. Mikamo H, Izumi K, Hua YX, Hayasaki Y, Sato Y, Tamaya T. In vitro and
412 in vivo antibacterial activities of a new injectable carbapenem, S-4661,
413 against gynecological pathogens. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000;46(3):471-4.

414 11. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Clinical
415 Breakpoints. 2009. http://www.eucast.org.

416 12. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial
417 Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically. 7th Edition. Approved
418 Standard M7-A7. CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2006.

419 13. Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la Société Française de Microbiologie.
420 Communiqué 2009. Société Française de Microbiologie.
421 http://www.sfm.asso.fr

422 14. Courvalin P., Soussy C.J. Report of the Comité de l'Antibiogramme de la
423 Société Française de Microbiologie. Clinical Microbiology and Infection.
424 1996,2, suppl. 1, SI-S49.

425 15. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for
426 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 17th Informational Supplement M100-17.
427 CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2007.

428 16. Pillar CM, Torres MK, Brown NP, Shah D, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of
429 doripenem, a carbapenem for the treatment of challenging infections caused
430 by gram-negative bacteria, against recent clinical isolates from the United
431 States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008;52(12):4388-99.

432 17. Jones RN, Sader HS, Fritsche TR. Comparative activity of doripenem and
433 three other carbapenems tested against Gram-negative bacilli with various

434 beta-lactamase resistance mechanisms. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis435 2005;52(1):71-4.

436 18. Jones RN, Sader HS, Fritsche TR, Janechek MJ. Selection of a surrogate
437 beta-lactam testing agent for initial susceptibility testing of doripenem, a new
438 carbapenem. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;59(4):467-72.

439 19. Mushtaq S, Ge Y, Livermore DM. Doripenem versus *Pseudomonas*440 *aeruginosa in vitro*: activity against characterized isolates, mutants, and
441 transconjugants and resistance selection potential. Antimicrob Agents
442 Chemother 2004;48(8):3086-92.

20. Masuda N, Sakagawa E, Ohya S, Gotoh N, Tsujimoto H, Nishino T.
Substrate specificities of MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, and MexXY-oprM
efflux pumps in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
2000;44(12):3322-7.

447 21. Poirel L, Nordmann P. Carbapenem resistance in *Acinetobacter*448 *baumannii*: mechanisms and epidemiology. Clin Microbiol Infect
449 2006;12(9):826-36.

- 450
- 451
- 452
- 453
- 454
- 455
- 456

C. Lascols



488	Table 1. Antim	icrobial activity	of doripenem	tested	against	Gram-
489	positive microo	rganisms (572 is	olates)			

Organism (no. tested)		MIC (mg/L)	
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Suscep
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (173) OXA S (90) OXA R (83)	0.03 1	0.25 2	≤0.008-0.5 0.03-16	-
Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (104) OXA S (50) OXA R (54)	0.03 2	0.12 8	≤0.008-0.12 0.25-64	-
Enterococcus faecalis (63)	2	4	0.06-8	-
Enterococcus faecium (40)	128	>128	4->128	-
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (83) PEN S (48) PEN I + R(35)	≤0.008 0.25	0.03 0.5	≤0.008-0.25 ≤0.008-1	83.
Streptococci A, B, C and G (60)	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008-0.03	96.
Other Streptococci (49)	0.016	2	≤0.008-4	86.
OXA: oxacillin, PEN: penicillin nd: not determined *Determination of doripenem susceptibility according staphylococci and enterococci, ≤ 1 mg/L for streptococci)	MIC EUCAST bi	reakpoints (not	available for	

5	1	9

520 521

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against

Enterobacteriaceae 522 (672 isolates)

Organism (no. tested)	MIC (mg/	MIC (mg/L)			
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Susceptible*	
All <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (672) CTX S (578) CTX R (94)	0.06 0.06	0.25 0.25	≤0.008-2 0.016-2	99.8	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (129) CTX S (118) CTX R (11)	0.03 0.03	0.03 0.06	≤0.008-0.12 0.016-0.06	100	
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (31) CTX S (22) CTX R (9)	0.03 0.06	0.25 0.5	≤0.008-0.5 0.03-0.5	100	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (114) CTX S (97) CTX R (17)	0.03 0.03	0.06 0.06	≤0.008-0.12 0.03-0.5	100	
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (36) CTX S (35) CTX R (1)	0.03 nd	0.06 nd	≤0.008-0.5 nd	100	
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (90) CTX S (57) CTX R (33)	0.03 0.12	0.06 0.25	≤0.008-0.25 0.016-0.5	100	
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> (49) CTX S (36) CTX R (13)	0.06 0.12	0.12 0.25	≤0.008-0.25 0.06-0.25	100	
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (114) CTX S (112) CTX R (2)	0.12 nd	0.5 nd	≤0.008-2 nd	99.1	
<i>Morganella morganii</i> (46) CTX S (42) CTX R (4)	0.25 0.25	0.5 0.5	≤0.008-1 0.12-0.5	100	
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> (38) CTX S (37) CTX R (1)	0.25 nd	0.5 nd	≤0.008-1 nd	100	
<i>Providencia stuartii</i> (15) CTX S (13) CTX R (2)	0.12 nd	0.25 nd	≤0.008-0.25 nd	100	
Providencia rettgeri (10)				100	

CTX S (10)	0.06	0.12	≤0.008-0.25	

- 526 527 CTX: cefotaxime
- nd: not determined

*Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints ($\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$)

- Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against non
- fermentative bacilli (207 isolates)

Organism (no. tested)				
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Susceptible*
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (99) IPM S (77) IPM R (22)	0.25 8	2 16	0.016-8 0.12-64	71.7
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (71) IPM S (65) IPM R (6)	0.25 nd	1 nd	0.03-4 2-32	83.1
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (30)	>128	>128	64->128	0
Burkholderia cepacia (7)	2	8	1-128	28.6

IPM: imipenem

nd: not determined

*Determination of doripenem susceptibility according MIC EUCAST breakpoints (< 1 mg/L)

Table 4. Antimicrobial activity of doripenem tested against Gram-negative respiratory pathogens (125 isolates)

573 574

Organism (no. tested)		MIC (mg	g/L)	
	50 %	90 %	Range	% Susce
Haemophilus influenzae (71)				
AMP S (54)	0.12	0.25	≤0.008-1	10
AMP R (17)	0.12	0.25	≤0.008-1	100
Haemophilus parainfluenzae (18)				
AMP S (9)	0.12	0.12	≤0.008-0.12	04
AMP R (9)	0.06	0.12	≤0.008-0.12	94.
Moraxella catarrhalis (36)				
AMP S (2)	nd	nd	0.016-0.03	10
AMP R (34)	0.03	0.06	≤0.008-0.06	100