

High Performance Plasma Sputtered Fuel Cell Electrodes with Ultra Low catalytic metal Loadings

Christophe Coutanceau, Pascal Brault, Amaël Caillard, Mathieu Mougenot,

Steve Baranton, Aboubakr Ennajdaoui, Marjorie Cavarroc

▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Coutanceau, Pascal Brault, Amaël Caillard, Mathieu Mougenot, Steve Baranton, et al.. High Performance Plasma Sputtered Fuel Cell Electrodes with Ultra Low catalytic metal Loadings. ECS Transactions, 2011, 41, pp.1151-1159. hal-00642254

HAL Id: hal-00642254 https://hal.science/hal-00642254v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

High Performance Plasma Sputtered Fuel Cell Electrodes with Ultra Low catalytic metal Loadings

<u>C. Coutanceau¹</u>, P. Brault², A. Caillard², M. Mougenot^{2,3}, S. Baranton¹, A. Ennadjaoui³, M. Cavarroc³

¹ LACCO, e-lyse, UMR n°6503 CNRRS-Université de Poitiers, 40 avenue du Recteur Pineau 86022 Poitiers cedex, France ;

Christophe.coutanceau@univ-poitiers.fr

² GREMI, UMR n°6606 CNRS-Université d'Orléans, BP6744, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France ;

Pascal.Brault@univ-orleans.fr

³ Agence Innovation Made in Dreux, 4 rue Caquot, 28500 Vernouillet, France

Ultra-low Pt content PEMFC electrodes have been manufactured using magnetron co-sputtering of carbon and platinum on a commercial E-Tek_ uncatalyzed gas diffusion layer in plasma fuel cell deposition devices. Pt loadings lower than 0.01 mg cm⁻² have been realized. The Pt catalyst is dispersed as small clusters with size less than 2 nm over a depth of 500 nm. PEMFC test with symmetric electrodes loaded with 0.01 mg cm⁻² led to maximum reproducible power densities as high as 0.4 Wcm⁻² with Nafion 212. Replacement of platinum was also realized by preparing MEAs composed of 0.01 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² for the anode and 0.01 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² + 0.001 mg_{Pt} cm⁻² for the cathode and Nafion 212 membrane. Power density as high as 250 mW cm⁻² were achieved at 80°C, which corresponds to a specific power density of 250 kW g_{Pt}⁻¹.

1-Introduction

The great potential for the fuel cell technology to overcome the upcoming energy and resources issues in our society, justifies important research investments. Scaling up this technology in a hydrogen economy scenario would require reducing significantly the costs. DOE's objectives to be reached between 2010 and 2015 are clear: the catalyst of a fuel cell can cost no more than $4 \in$ per kilowatt. If the catalyst is platinum (~40 \in g⁻¹), as commonly used at the moment in 2011, the fuel cell performance to achieve is ca. 10 kW g_{Pt}⁻¹. Commercial fuel cells currently used in cars have a resource efficiency of 1 or 2 kW g_{Pt}⁻¹. The high amount of platinum makes commercial fuel cells reliable but the resource efficiency is far too low to provide a scalable transport solution. The solutions can be either to increase Pt utilization efficiency in order to decrease Pt amount in the catalytic layer or to replace platinum by a cheaper catalytic material.

Plasma sputtering deposition of Pt on carbon electrodes is a suitable technique for obtaining an efficient use of the catalyst. We have recently developed fuel cell electrodes loaded with a very small amount of platinum deposited by magnetron sputtering at the anode and at the cathode (Anode: 0.01 mg_{Pt} cm⁻²; Cathode: 0.01 mg_{Pt} cm⁻²). This deposition technique is largely used by industry and for R&D on fuel cells [1,2]. It has the advantage of allowing the deposition of very small quantities of material and the optimisation of its nanostructure [3,4]. The first part of the presentation will be devoted to

the experimental development for Pt deposition on a gas diffusion layer and to the physicochemical deposition of the catalytic material. Then we will present and discuss the results obtained under PEMFC working conditions with a fuel cell fitted with a Nafion 212 membrane and high performance electrodes with ultra-low Pt loading down to 0.01 mg cm⁻² (Fig. 1), leading to 20 kW.g_{Pt}⁻¹ [5]. Analysis by Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) has shown that the platinum density depth profile inside the diffusion layer is a key parameter to explain such a high efficiency [5].

Palladium appears to be a serious candidate for decreasing platinum amount in the electrode; this noble metal is currently more than twice cheaper than platinum, and because, when alloyed with platinum, it displays some catalytic activity either for the hydrogen oxidation or for the oxygen reduction [6,7] and higher tolerance toward CO poisoning [8]. The second part of the presentation will focus on the preparation by plasma sputtering of low catalyst loaded electrode by combining low metal loadings and replacement of platinum by a PdPt catalyst with only 10 wt% of platinum.

2-Experimental

Three kinds of plasma devices have been used. A first plasma magnetron sputtering device is able to produce two electrodes of size 20 c 20 cm². The deposition chamber is equipped with two rectangular targets: one of pure carbon and one of Pt0.01Carbon0.99. Deposition conditions are the followings: power 530 W, target voltage 700 V, target current 0.78 A. The growth rate is 4 nm min⁻¹. The target to substrate distance is 6.5 cm. Targets are 45° tilted with respect to the substrate. Conventional uncatalyzed E-Tek® carbon porous layers on a carbon woven web are used as substrates. The Pt and C sputtered atoms are deposited to obtain 0.01 mg cm⁻² Pt loaded electrodes. A second magnetron sputtering device is used for deposition of 0.16 mg cm⁻² Pt loaded 1x 15 cm² electrodes from a 10 inches cylindrical pure Pt target.

The third plasma chamber has been previously detailed elsewhere [9]. Briefly, a cylindrical stainless steel low pressure TCP sputtering reactor (height ¹/₄ 260 mm, diameter ¹/₄ 210 mm) is used for the deposition of metal. The metal target, positioned at middle height, is 45° tilted in front of a plane rotating substrate holder. An argon plasma is created in the chamber by an external planar RF antenna (13.56 MHz) positioned on the top glass window. For Pd deposition and PdPt co-deposition, the sputtering conditions remained identical. The target is polarized at -200 V and the RF power supply is adjusted between 135Wand 170Win order to keep a cathode current of 40 mA.

The deposition conditions remained the same: 5 µbar, 5 sccm (Ar). After 40 min of pure palladium deposition, the palladium anodes are loaded with 74 mg cm_2. The deposition time was varied in order to change the loading on the electrodes. All prepared catalysts are deposited on gas diffusion layers (E-TEK LT1200-W ELAT of 74% porosity for woven web gas diffusion Layer or SIGRACET GDL 10 BB of 84% porosity for carbon paper gas diffusion layer). The Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) has been used to measure the total metal loadings.

Catalysts were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Philips CM 120 microscope (120 kV) equipped with a LaB_6 filament. The mean particle size of catalyst and the morphology and structure of the electrode were determined by measuring the diameter of isolated particles using ImageJ free software. For this purpose, thin slices of electrode where cut out using an ultramicrotome fitted with a diamond blade, and placed perpendicularly to the electron beam.

For MEA fabrication, a membrane (a Nafion 212 membrane, purchased from Quintech, Germany, noted further in the text N212) was sandwiched without prehumidification between two electrodes (an anode and a cathode of 25 cm2 geometric surface each) used as prepared by plasma sputtering (without Nafion_ solution addition). The MEAs is mechanically pressed at 2 Nm torque (without hot pressing). Under our testing conditions, the pressure is manually regulated with the output valves and both the hydrogen and oxygen humidifiers are at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 characterizations of catalysts

Figure 1 displays the SEM pictures of selected representative areas of electrodes with low platinum loading from ca. 0.003 to ca. 0.055 mg cm⁻². Platinum is growing on the carbon particles as clusters with relatively low particle size for low loadings and form a compact thin layer for a loading of several tens of μ g cm⁻².

During deposition, Pt atoms also diffuse in the porous layer [3,10]. The resulting platinum depth profiles were measured using RBS [9]. The Pt depth profile for an electrode loaded with 0.01 mg_{Pt} cm⁻², as presented elsewhere, showed that platinum penetrates down to 500 nm inside the diffusion layer. This rather important penetration depth is due to the deposition method, which allows depositing atoms with high kinetic energy [11,12]. A four-step process occurs during deposition: transport in the porous layer pores, adsorption on carbon particle surface, platinum diffusion on the carbon particles and Pt cluster growth.




Figure 1. SEM images of electrodes with different increasing platinum loadings.

Figure 2 presents TEM image realized with different magnifications in the thickness of an electrode loaded with 0.01 mg cm⁻² platinum. The platinum particles are deposited in an inhomogeneous manner on graphitized carbon balls with a diameters of ca. 20 to ca; 80 nm (figure 2a). Platinum particles are deposited on the external carbon surface which was exposed to the platinum atom flow during the plasma deposition process The penetration of platinum particles is observed on a thickness from 50 to 200 nm (Figure 2b). This platinum particle concentration decrease rapidly after the first layer of carbon spheres. The particle size in this depth range is comprised between 1 and 2 nm (Figure 2c). However, in a higher depth of the carbon support, bigger particles with a diameter of ca. 5 nm could be observed, as it is shown in Figure 2c. Such particles are very scarce, but their presence can explain the discrepancies between RBS measurements showing a penetration depth of platinum down to 500 nm and TEM image indicating a penetration depth of ca 200 nm.




Figure 2. TEM images of slices of an electrode loaded with 0.01 mg cm⁻² Pt recorded at different magnifications.

As it can be understood from the TEM studies carried out with electrodes composed of deposited platinum on GDL, the determination of particle size from TEM images of metal sputtered on a carbon diffusion layer is very difficult to obtain and need to prepare slices of electrodes.

Palladium based electrodes were prepared and tested in order to estimate their catalytic efficiency at low loadings, and for reducing fuel cell materials costs. Electrochemical methods were then used for the estimation of the palladium particle size. Palladium deposition was electrochemically characterized in alkaline medium. Voltammogram of an electrode loaded with 0.074 mg cm⁻² palladium is presented in Figure 3. The polarisation curves resemble to the typical one, generally recorded for polycrystalline palladium nanoparticles [13]. From the determination of the active surface area using the method of Rand and Wood [14], the particle size was estimated to be ca. 3-4 nm [15,16].




Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of sputtered Pd catalyst ($v = 50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$, N₂-saturated 1.0 M NaOH electrolyte, T = 293 K).

3.2 Performance of catalyst under PEMFC conditions

Figure. 4 gives the resulting voltage/power density vs current density curves obtained using MEAs made from Nafion 212 sandwiched between both Pt sputtered electrodes loaded with 0.012 mg cm⁻². The maximum peak powers increased from ca. 200 mW cm⁻² at ca. 0.45 V to to ca. 650 mW cm⁻² at 0.4 V with the increase of the temperature and of the reactant back pressure applied to the electrodes. At a cell temperature of 50°C, the performance increases very drastically with the increase of the pressure from ca. 200 W cm-2 at 1 bar to 320 mW cm⁻² at 2 bars and 500 mW cm⁻² at 3 bar. This clearly indicates a strong limitation of reactant transport from the gas diffusion layer to the catalytic sites. The high density of platinum at the interface between the gas diffusion layer and the electrolytic membrane could be responsible of this behavior. The diffusion of the reactive gas (oxygen and hydrogen) through the dense platinum layer could limit their access to catalytic sites very close to the membrane, where the three-phase boundary is quantitatively and qualitatively better realized. Therefore, the increase of pressure may force gases to diffuse through the catalytic layer. This result is very interesting because it opens new research perspectives consisting in decreasing platinum film density without decreasing the number of active sites. This could be performed by tuning the plasma deposition parameters.

Temperature has also a beneficial effect on the cell electric performance. Higher power densities were achieved with a temperature of 70° C and a pressure of 3 bars, at least for supplied current densities lower than 1500 mA cm⁻². For higher current density, it is possible that transport limitation due to the cathode flooding, which could become stronger with increasing pressure.



6

Figure 4. E(j) and P(j) curves obtained with a MEA made of ultra-low loaded electrodes (0.012 mg cm⁻²) mechanically pressed against a Nafion212; 25 cm², 2 x 12 μ g_{Pt} cm⁻². bar/50°C, ×2 bar/50°C, •3 bar/50°C, ▲3 bar/70°C and ■ 2 bar/70°C with 30 min rest phases between each tests. Flow rates: $_{O2} = 0.35$ sccm, $H_2 = 0.5$ sccm.

The power density of ca. 600mW cm⁻² is reached at ca. 0.45-0.5 V. This value is consistent with previously reported ones with conventional electrodes [17], but with 40 times less platinum. Such a level of efficiency leads to mass specific power for the different MEAs of 25 kW g_{Pt}^{-1} , as determined from results in Figure 4. For explaining such results obtained without any standard preparation of the MEAs, we should mention that particle size (close to 2 nm) and platinum repartition (localization of platinum close

to the membrane electrolyte surface) in the electrodes prepared here allow realizing with high efficiency the fuel cell electrochemical reactions at both electrodes.




Figure 5: Performance E-j and P-j curves obtained with the MEA composed by a pure palladium anode loaded with 0.010 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² and a PdPt cathode loaded with 0.010 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² + 0.001 mg_{Pt} cm⁻², both mechanically pressed against a Nafion 212 membrane. Testing condition: P_{O_2} and $P_{H_2} = 3$ bars, $f_{O_2} = 750$ sccm and $f_{H_2} = 330$ sccm, gas hydration temperatures $T_{O_2} = T_{H_2} = 25^{\circ}$ C.

Two carbon paper GDLs have been coated by plasma sputtering with 0.01 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² for the anode and 0.01 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² + 0.001 mg_{Pt} cm⁻² for the cathode. The MEA, involving these two electrodes and a Nafion 212 membrane, has been tested (Figure 5). A maximum power density of ca. 120 mW cm⁻² at ca. 0.32 V was achieved for 2 bars and 50°C whereas 200 mW cm⁻² at ca. 0.34 V were reached for at 3 bars and 70°C.

When the cell temperature is increased to 80°C, the power density increases for both low and high current density domains and reaches 250 mWcm⁻² at ca. 0.36 V. It shows that the increase of temperature again seems to improve the water management in the MEA, as expected, and also improves the of reaction kinetics for both electrode catalysts. At 3 bars and 80°C, the MEA provides a high power density can supply a high current density (1.1 A cm⁻²). Such performance corresponds to 250 kW g_{Pt}⁻¹ and 12.5 kW g_{Pd}⁻¹ [18] This is a particularly good result especially at the cathode, where kinetics of oxygen reduction reaction is known to be very low. Our results are in contrast with other ones where only anode Pt mass was taken into account leading to a high specific power value

where only anode Pt mass was taken into account leading to a high specific power value close to 85 kW g_{Pt}^{-1} [19], which has to be reduced to 1.7 kW g_{Pt}^{-1} when the Pt cathode loading is taking into account. Previous successful attempts were concerned with the use of carbon nanotubes [20] or nanofibers [21], keeping a power mass density in the range 2–5 kW gPt⁻¹. It has also to be noted that cell performance we obtained previously (400 mA cm⁻² with electrodes loaded at 0.01 mg cm⁻² platinum [5]) were improved

4-Conclusion

Low platinum loading catalysts has been prepared by plasma sputtering methods. Very high cell performances were obtained, as high as 650 mW cm⁻² with symmetric electrode loaded with only 0.012 mg cm⁻² platinum. To replace platinum at both electrodes of a PEMFC MEAs, pure palladium was used as the anode catalyst and a $Pd_{0.9}Pt_{0.1}$ in mass catalyst was used at the cathode. In all case, the metal depth density profiles insides the GDL and the metal loadings were determined from RBS measurements. The relations between the depth density profiles in the electrode support and the fuel cell performances could then be discussed. An optimized electrode structure has been obtained, limiting the platinum requirement, leading to a cell performance of 0.25 W cm⁻² with a total catalyst loading of only 0.02 mg_{Pd} cm⁻² and 0.001 mg_{Pt} cm⁻² deposited on carbon gas diffusion layer by plasma sputtering. Such performance corresponds to 250 kW g_{Pt}⁻¹ and 12.5 kW g_{Pd}⁻¹.

We do not forget that the performances have to be checked under conditions closer to transportation application, i.e; air atmosphere. However, for stationary application, where hydrogen and oxygen could be supplied from the same electrolysis system, the performances of such MEA are rather interesting. The durability has also to be verified and, if necessary, improved. But, it has to be noted that each MEA was studied for several days or several weeks, without significant loss of performance. Moreover, such MEA display a very different structure than the classical ones consisting in Pt nanoparticles dispersed on a carbon support; in our case, the interaction carbon platinum is minimized, and interactions Pt cluster-Pt cluster is increased, which can stabilized them (bulk effect). This study aims to demonstrate that the paradigm for the MEA structure could be overpassed by developing new active layer structures leading to decrease the platinum loadings and to modify the interactions of the active sites with their environment

Acknowledgments

CNRS is acknowledged for granting the Programme Interdisciplinaire Energie "AMELI-0Pt" and "AMEPlas" which have permitted to obtain first interesting results. The work is now also carried out under the framework of a contract (AMADEUS) from the French National Research Agency (ANR-Emergence), funded by the French ministry of research. GdR PACS n° 3339 from CNRS is acknowledged for constant support.

References

- 1. A. Caillard, C. Charles, R. Boswell, P. Brault and C. Coutanceau, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **90**, 223119, 2007.
- 2. A. Chen, P. Holt-Hindle, Chem. Rev, 110, 3767, 2010).
- 3. P. Andreazza, C. Andreazza-Vignolle, J.P. Rozenbaum, L. Thomann, P. Brault, *Surf. Coat Technol.*, **151**, 122, 2002.
- 4. A. L Thomann, P Braul, J P Rozenbaum, C Andreazza-Vignolle, P Andreazza, H Estrade-Szwarckopf, B Rousseau, D Babonneau and G Blondiaux, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, **30**, 3197.

- M. Cavarroc, A. Ennadjaoui, M. Mougenot, P. Brault, R. Escalier, Y. Tessier, J. Durand, S. Roualdès, T. Sauvage, C. Coutanceau, *Electrochem. Comm.*, 11, 859, 2009.
- 6. Y.-N. Wu, S.-J. Liao, Z.-X. Liang, L.-J. Yang, R.-F. Wang, J. Power Sources, **194**, 805, 2009.
- 7. F. Alcaide, G. Alvarez, P. L. Cabot, O. Miguel, A. Querejeta, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 35, 11634, 2010,
- 8. A. C. Garcia, V. A. Paganin, E. A. Ticianelli, *Electrochemica Acta*, **53**, 4309, 2008.
- 9. Caillard A, Coutanceau C, Brault P, Mathias J, Léger J-M., *J Power Sources*, **162**, 66, 2006
- A. Caillard, P. Brault, J. Mathias, C. Charles, R.W. Boswell, Surf. Coat. Technol., 200, 391, 2005.
- 11. P. Brault, A. Caillard, A.-L. Thomann, J. Mathias, C. Charles, R.W. Boswell, S. Escribano, J. Durand, T. Sauvage, *J. Phys. D*, **37**, 3419, 2004.
- P. Brault, Ch. Josserand, J.-M. Bauchire, A. Caillard, Ch. Charles, R. W. Boswell, Anomalous diffusion mediated by atom deposition into a porous substrate, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 045901.
- 13. M. Simoes, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, Appl. Catal. B : environ., 93, 354, 2010.
- 14. A. J Rand, and R.Woods, J. Electroanal. Chem., 36, 57.1972,
- 15. M. Simoes, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, J. Phys. Chem. C, 113, 13369, 2009.
- 16. M. Mougenot, A. Caillard, M. Simoes, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, P. Brault, Appl. Catal. B : environ., submitted.
- 17. S. Litster, G. McLean, J. Power Sources, 130, 61, 2004.
- M. Mougenot, A. Caillard, P. Brault, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, High Performance Plasma Sputtered PdPt Fuel Cell Electrodes with Ultra Low Loading, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36 (2011) 8429-8434
- 19. A. Caillard, C. Charles, D. Ramdutt, R. Boswell, P. Brault, J. Phys. D, 42, 045207, 2009.
- 20. A. Caillard, C. Charles, R. Boswell, P. Brault, Nanotechnology, 18, 305603, 2007.
- 21. H.-T. Kim, J.-K. Lee, J. Kim, J. Power Sources, 180, 191, 2008.