

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
- 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
- 3. *LGLex* lexicon
- 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Conversión de las tablas del Léxico-Gramática del francés en el léxico *LGLex*

Elsa Tolone¹

- 1. LIGM, Université Paris-Est (Francia) & FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (Argentina)

2nd Argentinian Workshop on Natural Language Processing –
Córdoba, Argentina
August 5, 2011

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Context in 1970's

- ▶ **Syntactic lexicon** for NLP applications
 - syntactic and semantic information of predicates (verb, noun or adjective)
- ▶ Works in syntax: create general rules, i.e., transformation rules of Chomsky
 - the question is **For each word, this general rule can be applied ?**
- ▶ Objective of M. Gross: to create a large-coverage lexical resource
 - **Lexicon-Grammar tables**

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Context now

- ▶ **Lexicon-Grammar tables** for French are a large-coverage lexical resource
- ▶ They contain **syntactic** and semantico-syntactic information
- ▶ Such information is arguably very **useful for parsing**
- ▶ But Lexicon-Grammar tables are **not directly usable** as such in a parser
 - ▶ features that are shared by all entries in a given table are not explicitly given
 - ▶ lexical features are not properly formalized
 - ▶ these data need to be integrated in a real-life symbolic parser

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Objectives

- ▶ Three major objectives
 1. **convert** Lexicon-Grammar tables to an NLP format,
 2. **plug** the resulting lexicon, named *LGLex_{Lefff}*, with a parser
 3. **evaluate** the resulting parser
- ▶ NLP tools used:
 - ▶ parser: FRMG [Thomasset & de La Clergerie 2005]
 - ▶ lexical formalism: Alexina, formalism used by the *Lefff* lexicon [Sagot 2010] used by FRMG
- this allows a comparison between FRMG_{Lefff} and FRMG_{LGLex}
- ▶ In this work, we show why we **convert** new tables of L. Pivaut

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French

1.1. Lexicon-Grammar tables

1.2. Table of classes

2. New tables of L. Pivaut

2.1. Defining features in litterature

2.2. Table of classes

2.3. Correction of tables

3. *LGLex* lexicon

4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format

4.1. The FRMG parser

4.2. The Lefff and Alexina

4.3. Conversion of *LGLex*

4.4. The resulting lexicon : *LGLex_{Lefff}*

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
- 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
- 3. *LGLex* lexicon
- 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 1.1. Lexicon-Grammar tables
- 1.2. Table of classes

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French

Lexicon-Grammar tables

Developed **manually** for over 40 years by the LADL group
[Gross 1975], and the Computational Linguistics Group
of LIGM (Université Paris-Est)

Methodology:

- ▶ Study the syntax of basic sentences
(or **subcategorization frames**)
e.g.: N0 V N1
- ▶ Study of French verbs, adverbs, predicative nouns and
adjectives and frozen expressions
→ they **share some features = classes**
- ▶ The different meanings are distinguished
e.g.: *se rendre* (*to surrender / to accept*)
- *rendirse* : *capitular / aceptar*)

Principle

- ▶ Each class is described in a **table**:
 - ▶ one row for each (lemma-level) entry
 - ▶ one column for each feature relevant to the class
 - ▶ in each cell, + (resp. -) = the corresponding feature is valid (resp. not valid) for the corresponding entry
- ▶ A class is defined by a set of “**defining features**”
- ▶ For a given table, the defining features include:
 - ▶ a **basic defining** feature (a subcategorization frame)
 - ▶ often additional features (distributional, morphological, transformational, semantic, etc.)
e.g.: N0 =: Nhum → human name

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 1.1. Lexicon-Grammar tables
- 1.2. Table of classes

Table V_33

N0 =: Nnum			N0 =: N-hum			N0 =: Nnr			Ppv			Ppv =: se figé			Ppv =: en figé			Ppv =: les figé			<ENT>		
+	-	-	<E>	-	-	-	-	-	renaître	+	+	-	-	-	NO être V-ant	NO V							
+	-	-	se	+	-	-	-	-	rendre	+	-	+	+	+	N1 =: Nnum	N1 =: N-hum							
+	-	-	se	+	-	-	-	-	rendre	+	-	+	-	-	N1 =: N-hum	N1 =: N-hum							
+	-	-	<E>	-	-	-	-	-	renoncer	-	-	+	+	-	N1 =: le fait Qu P	Ppv =: lui	Ppv =: y	N0hum V W sur ce point	[extrap]	<OPT>			

Defining feature: N0 V à N1

Inventory

- ▶ Inventory [Tolone 2009]:
 - ▶ 67 classes of **simple verbs**
 - ▶ 13 867 entries for 5 738 distinct lemmas
 - ▶ 78 classes of **simple and compound predicative nouns**
(nouns with argument(s) that are studied with their light verb)
 - ▶ 12 696 entries for 8 531 distinct lemmas
 - e.g.: *Luc monte une attaque contre le fort*
(*Luc is launching an attack against the fort*)
 - ▶ 69 classes of **frozen expressions**, mostly verbal and adjectival
 - ▶ 39 628 entries for 38 658 distinct lemmas
 - e.g.: *Tu n'arrives pas à la cheville de Marie* (*You don't hold a candle to Mary* - *no llegarle a los talones / a la suela del zapato*, literally *You don't arrive at the ankle of Mary* - *no llegarle al tobillo*)
 - ▶ 32 classes of **simple** (adverbs in *-ment*) **and frozen adverbs**
 - ▶ 10 488 entries for 9 326 distinct lemmas
 - e.g.: *[changer] du jour au lendemain* (*[to change] overnight*
- *[cambiar] de un día para otro*)

Problems

- ▶ **Different names** for the same feature
 - Harmonization of the column headings
 - ex : [extrap] and il V N0 W
- ▶ **Features not defined clearly**
 - Documentation of features
- ▶ **Implicit defining features** (literature)
 - Constant + or – for the whole table
- ▶ **Outdated** entries in the tables
 - Adding missing entries
- ▶ **Uncoded** entries (~)
 - Coding entries

Table of classes

Defining features are not represented in the tables
→ specified in a **table of classes** for each category:

- ▶ one row for each class
- ▶ one column for each feature
- ▶ in each cell:
 - ▶ + (resp. -) = the corresponding feature is valid (resp. not valid) for all entries in the corresponding class
 - ▶ o = the feature is explicitly specified in the corresponding table
 - ▶ O = the feature should be explicitly specified in the corresponding table but is not
 - ▶ ? = not yet filled

The tables of classes has been created for each category [Tolone et al. 2010]

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 1.1. Lexicon-Grammar tables
- 1.2. Table of classes

The table of the classes of simple verbs

table	N0 =: Nhum	N0 =: N-hum	N0 =: Nnr	N0 =: V1-inf W	<ENT>	Ppv =: se figé	N0 V	N0 V N1	zone 1	N0 V à N1	N1 =: Nhum	N1 =: N-hum	N0 V Prep N1 V0-inf W	N0 V N1 V0-inf W	N0 V V0-inf W
V_2	+	-	-	-	0	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-
V_4	-	-	+	+	0	-	o	+	-	-	o	o	-	-	-
V_31R	o	o	-	-	0	o	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
V_31H	+	-	-	-	0	o	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
V_33	o	o	o	-	0	o	o	-	-	+	o	o	-	-	-
V_32H	o	-	o	-	0	o	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-

Predicative nouns of L. Pivaut

- ▶ FD1, FD2, FD3A, FD3B and FD4 :
 - ▶ musical, sports or intellectual activity
 - ▶ light verb *faire* (*to do - hacer*)

Tables	Defining features
all	N0 faire Det N (basic defining feature) N0 =: Nhum Det =: du, faire = pratiquer

- ▶ *Max (fait+pratique) du sport de combat*
- *Max (hace+practica) deporte de combate*
- ▶ FD4 doesn't accept Det =: un, faire = fabriquer (*to make - fabricar*)
nor Det =: un, faire = pratiquer (*to practise - practicar*)
 - ▶ *Max fait (de l'aérobic+*un aérobic)*
- *Max hace (aeróbic+*un aeróbic)*

Predicative nouns of L. Pivaut (2)

- ▶ FD2, FD3A and FD3B don't accept Det =: un, Advtd

Tables	Defining features
FD2	Det =: un, faire = pratiquer
FD3A	Det =: un-Modif, faire = pratiquer
FD3B	

- ▶ (FD2) *Max a fait (du football pendant un an+*un football pendant un an)*
- *Max hizo (fútbol durante un año+*un fútbol durante un año)*
- ▶ (FD3A) <ENT>Dnum <ENT>N : *cinq mille mètres*
- *cinco mil metros*
- ▶ (FD3B) <ENT>Dnum <ENT>N <ENT>Nc <ENT>Adjc :
cent mètres nage libre - cien metros de nada libre

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
 - 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
 - 3. *LGLex* lexicon
 - 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
 - Conclusions and perspectives
- 2.1. Defining features in literature
 - 2.2. Table of classes
 - 2.3. Correction of tables

Predicative nouns of L. Pivaut (3)

► FD1 :

Table	Defining features
FD1	<p>Det =: un, faire = fabriquer</p> <p>Det =: un-Modif , faire = fabriquer</p> <p>Det =: un, faire = avoir</p> <p>Det =: Dnum</p> <p>Det =: un, Advtd</p>

- *Max fait du piano - Max toca el piano /*
Max (fait+fabrique+a) (un piano+dix pianos)
- *Max (hace+fabrica+tiene) (un piano+diez pianos)*

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 2.1. Defining features in litterature
- 2.2. Table of classes
- 2.3. Correction of tables

The table of classes of predicative nouns

Property \ table	N_fd1	N_fd2	N_fd3a	N_fd3b	N_fd4
Det =: Dnum	+	O	O	O	-
Det =: du, faire = pratiquer	+	+	+	+	+
Det =: un-Modif, faire = fabriquer	+	-	-	-	-
Det =: un-Modif, faire = pratiquer	-	+	+	+	o
Det =: un, Advtd	+	-	-	-	-
Det =: un, faire = avoir	+	-	-	-	-
Det =: un, faire = fabriquer	+	o	-	-	-
Det =: un, faire = pratiquer	-	+	+	+	-
N0 =: Nhum	+	+	+	+	+
N0 faire Det N	+	+	+	+	+

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. LGLex lexicon
4. Conversion of LGLex into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 2.1. Defining features in litterature
- 2.2. Table of classes
- 2.3. Correction of tables

Table N_fd4 (version 1)

TABLE FD4 - page 1

sujet		ENTREES			Déterminant		A	A	être		v	j	j
		P	P	U	(P	(é			e	o	ou
h	f	l	o	N	r	r	l				b	e	r
o	e	u	s	-	é	é	é						
n	n	r	O	M	p	p	m						
n	n	i	o	d	+	+	e						
e	e	l	i	f	E	E	t	suff-	suff+				
					B	B	d						
					=	=	é						
					B	A	v						
+	+	acoustique			-	-	+	-	que	cien	-	-	-
+	+	aérobic			-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
+	+	aéromodélisme			-	-	+	-	ne	te	-	-	-
+	+	aéronautique			-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-

Mistakes corrected in the tables

- ▶ 249 errors appeared during digitisation :
graphiste → *graphisme* (*grafista* → *grafismo*)
- ▶ 24 ortograph mistakes :
droit internationnal → *droit international* (*derecho internacional*)
- ▶ 2 entries deleted :
ping-pong : deletion of *Ping-Pong* since we do not distinguish case
- ▶ 70 new entries for doubled entries :
lancer du javelot : *lancer de javelot* (*lanzado de jabalina*) with a variation of preposition
hatha-yoga : *hathayoga* without hyphen nor space

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 2.1. Defining features in litterature
- 2.2. Table of classes
- 2.3. Correction of tables

Table N_fd4 (version 3)

<ID>			<ENT>N			Det pluriel obl			Det ==: Poss0			Det ==: un-Modif, faire == pratiquer					
1	+	+	No ==: homme	-	-	+	-	-	A (Prép+E) B = B	-	-	que	cien	-	-	-	-
2	+	+	femme	-	-	+	-	-	A (Prép+E) B = A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	+	+	<ENT>N	-	-	+	-	-	No être Nagent	-	-	me	te	-	-	-	-
4	+	+	acoustique	-	-	+	-	-	élément dérivé	-	-	suff-	suff+	-	-	-	-
			aérobic	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			aéromodélisme	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			aéronautique	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
- 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
- 3. *LGLex lexicon*
- 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

3. *LGLex lexicon*

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex lexicon*
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

LGLex

The improvement of the tables enables the extraction of a **syntactic lexicon** for each categories from Lexicon-Grammar tables [Constant & Tolone 2010]:

- ▶ named *LGLex* lexicon
- ▶ generated from the original Excel or CSV tables by the *LGExtract* tool
- ▶ exchange format with the same linguistic concepts of the tables
- ▶ text or XML format
- ▶ the version 3.3 contained *LGLex* for each category at <http://infolingu.univ-mlv.fr/>

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex lexicon*
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Format of *LGLex* lexicon

- ▶ **ID=category_numTable_numEntry**
- ▶ **lexical-info=[...]** → lemma and lexical information (auxiliaries, support verbs, determiners, prepositions)
- ▶ **args=(...)** → arguments and their nature with other information (semantic features, mood of the complementizer phrase, argument controlled by the infinitive, prepositions)
- ▶ **all-constructions=[...]** → list of accepted constructions
- ▶ **example=[...]** → an illustrative example of the entry

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex lexicon*
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

LGLex: an example

Entry *aérobic*—N_fd4_2 (*aerobics* - *aeróbic*) :

ID=N_fd4_2;status=completed

lexical-info=[cat="noun",

Vsup=[cat="verb",list=(value='faire",value="pratiquer")],

noun=[notperm=[complete='aérobic'],noun1='aérobic']],

detN=[list-det-modif=(det-modif=[det="du+de l'+de la",modif="false"],

det-modif=[det="un+une",modif="true"]],

prepositions=()

args=(const=[pos="0",dist=(comp=[cat="NP",hum="true",femme="true",homme="true"])]])

all-constructions=[absolute=(construction="true::N0 faire Det N)]

example=[example=]

► *Max (fait+pratique) de l'aréobic*

- *Max (hace+practica) aeróbic*

► after having added 21 new properties in *LGExtract* :

1 742 new entries → total 14 438 entries of predicative nouns in
83 tables (next version 3.4)

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
- 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
- 3. *LGLex* lexicon
- 4. **Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format**
 - Conclusions and perspectives
- 4.1. The FRMG parser
- 4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
- 4.3. Conversion of *LGLex*
- 4.4. The resulting lexicon : *LGLex_{Lefff}*

4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

- 4.1. The FRMG parser
- 4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
- 4.3. Conversion of *LGLex*
- 4.4. The resulting lexicon : *LGLex_{Lefff}*

The FRMG parser

A **TAG** parser of French [Thomasset & de La Clergerie 2005]

FRMG fits into a processing chain:

- ▶ upstream
 - ▶ **SXPipe**: segmentation, token, corrections, named entities
 - ▶ **Lefff**: morphological and syntactic lexicon for French
→ connection lexicon/grammar: anchoring with **hypertag**
- ▶ downstream, with a module of **disambiguation** (with heuristics)

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French	4.1. The FRMG parser
2. New tables of L. Pivaut	4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
3. <i>LGLex</i> lexicon	4.3. Conversion of <i>LGLex</i>
4. Conversion of <i>LGLex</i> into the Alexina format Conclusions and perspectives	4.4. The resulting lexicon : <i>LGLexLefff</i>

The Lefff

- ▶ The Lefff (Lexique des Formes Fléchies du Français) is a morphological and syntactic lexicon for French
[Sagot 2010]
 - ▶ large coverage (536 375 entries corresponding to 110 477 distinct lemmas covering all categories)
 - ▶ freely available (GPL-LR license)
- ▶ It relies on the Alexina framework for the modeling and acquisition of morphological and syntactic lexicons

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French	4.1. The FRMG parser
2. New tables of L. Pivaut	4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
3. <i>LGLex</i> lexicon	4.3. Conversion of <i>LGLex</i>
4. Conversion of <i>LGLex</i> into the Alexina format Conclusions and perspectives	4.4. The resulting lexicon : <i>LGLex_{Lefff}</i>

Alexina

Two-level architecture

- ▶ The **intensional** lexicon
 - ▶ associates with each entry (meaning of a lemma) a canonical subcategorization frame
 - ▶ lists all possible redistributions (restructurations) from this frame
- ▶ The **compilation** process of the intensional lexicon into the **extensional** lexicon generates different entries for each inflected form and each possible redistribution

Alexina on an example

- ▶ Example of an intensional entry:

*clarifier*₁ v-er:std

Lemma;v;

<**Suj**:cIn|scmpl|sinf|sn, **Obj**:(cla|scmpl|sn)>;

%active, %se_moyen_impersonal,

%passive_impersonal, %passive

- ▶ **Syntactic functions** (cf. Dicovalence): Suj, Obj, Objà, Objde, Loc, Dloc, Att, Obl/Obl2
- ▶ **Realizations**: direct (sn, sa, sinf, scmpl, qcompl); clitic (cIn, cla, cld, y, en); prepositional (prep+direct, e.g., par-sn, à-sinf, de-scompl)
- ▶ **Redistributions**: %active, %passive, etc.

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
 - 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
 - 3. *LGLex* lexicon
 - 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives
- 4.1. The FRMG parser
 - 4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
 - 4.3. Conversion of *LGLex*
 - 4.4. The resulting lexicon : *LGLex_{Lefff}*

The conversion process

- ▶ The conversion of Lexicon-Grammar tables into the Alexina framework is **not straightforward** [Tolone & Sagot 2011]
 - ▶ It requires a **formal definition** or a **dynamic interpretation** of all feature names
- ▶ We won't enter into the details of this conversion process
 - ▶ The version 3.3 contained *LGLex-Lefff* for verbs and predicative nouns at <http://infolingu.univ-mlv.fr/>

- 1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
 - 2. New tables of L. Pivaut
 - 3. *LGLex* lexicon
 - 4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives
- 4.1. The FRMG parser
 - 4.2. The Lefff and Alexina
 - 4.3. Conversion of *LGLex*
 - 4.4. The resulting lexicon : *LGLex_{Lefff}*

LGLex: the previous example

Entry *aérobic*_N_fd4_2 (*aerobics* - *aeróbic*) :

ID=N_fd4_2;status=completed

lexical-info=[cat="noun",

Vsup=[cat="verb",list=(value='faire",value='pratiquer")],

noun=[notperm=[complete="aérobic"],noun1="aérobic"]],

detN=[list-det-modif=(det-modif=[det="du+de l'+de la",modif="false"],

det-modif=[det="un+une",modif="true"]],

prepositions=()

args=(const=[pos="0",dist=(comp=[cat="NP",hum="true",femme="true",homme="true"])])

all-constructions=[absolute=(construction="true::N0 faire Det N)] example=[example=]

- ▶ Max (*fait+pratique*) de l'aréobic
 - Max (*hace+practica*) aeróbic

The previous example after conversion

```
aérobic__N_fd4_2    inv
                      100;Lemma;cf;
<$uj:cIn|sn>;
cat=nc;@$ujNhum;
lightverb=faire|pratiquer;
%default
```

Entry in the **intensional** lexicon :

- ▶ entry identifier : categorie_numTable_numEntry
- ▶ morphological class, which defines the patterns that build its inflected forms, using inflection classes from the Lefff
- ▶ weight
- ▶ category (or part-of-speech)
- ▶ initial sub-categorization frame
- ▶ additional information represented by macros
- ▶ the list of possible redistributions

The resulting lexicon: *LGLexLefff*

- ▶ **verbes** : *LGLexLefff* contains 22 060 entries for 5 736 unique verb lemmas (3,85 entries per lemma)
 - ▶ to be compared with the last published version of the Lefff:
7 072 verb entries for 6 818 unique verb lemmas (1,04 entries per lemma)
- ▶ **predicatives nouns** : *LGLexLefff* contains 28 322 entries for 8 491 distinct lemmas (3,34 entries per lemma)
 - ▶ The Lefff contains only 218 entries of predicative nouns (1 entry per lemma)
- ▶ without changes : **2 682 new entries** → total: 31 004 entries of predicative nouns (next version 3.4)

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Conclusions and perspectives

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Version 3.3 of tables of Lexicon-Grammar

All tables available under LGPL-LR license at

<http://infolingu.univ-mlv.fr/>

- ▶ Simple verbs :
 - ▶ 67 tables + the table of classes (551 features)
 - ▶ an index of all entries
 - ▶ documentation of features
 - ▶ defining formulas of each table
 - ▶ classification tree
- ▶ Simple and compound predicative nouns :
 - ▶ 78 tables + the table of classes (496 features)
 - + **5 new classes and 21 new features** in the next version 3.4
- ▶ Frozen expressions :
 - ▶ 69 tables + the table of classes (276 features)
- ▶ Simple and frozen adverbs:
 - ▶ 32 tables + the table of classes (159 features)

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

The pursuit of the method

Optimize the use of lexical data in Lexicon-Grammar for parsing

- ▶ continue to **improve the tables**
 - ▶ verbe associé → from which verb the noun is derived?
 - ▶ suff- and suff+ → what is the form of Nagent?
- ▶ coding the **missing and uncoding entries** in the tables
- ▶ coding the **table of classes** for each category
- ▶ **improve/correct the conversion process**
- ▶ apply this technique to Lexicon-Grammar tables for **other categories**
- ▶ generalize this technique to **other languages** for which large-coverage Lexicon-Grammar tables are available (e.g., Greek)

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Improve FRMG_{LGLex} and *LGLex*

- ▶ Improve FRMG_{LGLex} :
 - ▶ **coupling both parser variants** could prove useful, since full parses have a higher f-measure than partial parses
 - ▶ **detecting errors:** use automatic techniques, e.g., error mining of parsing results [Sagot & de La Clergerie 2008])
- ▶ Improve the resulting lexicon :
 - ▶ deducing the **weights** with an annotated corpus in order to distinguish unusual uses
 - ▶ **merging** with other lexical resources
 - ▶ using of the lexicon in other systems of NLP

References

- ▶ [Constant & Tolone 2010] Matthieu Constant and Elsa Tolone. A generic tool to generate a lexicon for NLP from Lexicon- Grammar tables. Lingue d'Europa e del Mediterraneo, Grammatica comparata, vol.1, pp.79-93. Aracne. 2010.
- ▶ [Gross 1975] Maurice Gross. Méthodes en syntaxe : Régime des constructions complétives. Hermann. Paris, France.
- ▶ [Sagot et de La Clergerie 2008] Benoît Sagot and Éric de La Clergerie. Fouille d'erreurs sur les sorties d'analyseurs syntaxiques. Traitement Automatique des Langues (T.A.L.), vol.49, num.1, pp. 41-60. Hermès. Paris, France. 2008. 1975.
- ▶ [Sagot 2010] Benoît Sagot. The Lefff, a freely available and large-coverage morphological and syntactic lexicon for French. Proceedings of LREC'10, 8 pp. Valletta, Malta. 2010.

References (2)

- ▶ [Thomasset & de La Clergerie 2005] François Thomasset and Éric de La Clergerie. Comment obtenir plus des métagrammaires. Proceedings of TALN'05. Dourdan, France. 2005.
- ▶ [Tolone 2009] Elsa Tolone. Les tables du Lexique-Grammaire au format TAL. Proceedings of MajecSTIC'09. Avignon, France. 2009.
- ▶ [Tolone et al. 2010] Elsa Tolone, Stavroula Voyatzis and Christian Leclère. Constructions définitoires des tables du Lexique-Grammaire. Actes de LGC'10, pages 321-331. Belgrade, Serbie. 2010.
- ▶ [Tolone & Sagot 2011] Elsa Tolone and Benoît Sagot. Using Lexicon-Grammar tables for French verbs in a large-coverage parser. LNAI. Springer Verlag. 2011. To appear.

1. Lexicon-Grammar tables for French
2. New tables of L. Pivaut
3. *LGLex* lexicon
4. Conversion of *LGLex* into the Alexina format
Conclusions and perspectives

Links

- ▶ PhD Thesis :
<http://www-igm.univ-mlv.fr/~tolone/phd.pdf>
- ▶ Ressources : <http://infolingu.univ-mlv.fr/>
> Languages Ressources > Lexicon-Grammar > Download
- ▶ Email: elsa.tolone@univ-paris-est.fr

Versions

Rich and large-coverage lexicon available on
<http://infolingu.univ-mlv.fr/>

- ▶ version 1 = origin format
- ▶ version 2 = first tables online (only 60%)
- ▶ version 3 = since my thesis, in the different formats of lexicon
 - ▶ tables → lisibility for the linguists
 - ▶ *LGLex* → exchange format
 - ▶ *LGLex_{Lefff}* → format to integrate in a parser

Features documentation

Feature	Description of the feature
N0 =: homme	actividad donde el sujeto practicante es exclusivamente masculino
Det pluriel obl	el determinante es obligatoriamente en plural
A (Prép+E) B = B	indica para los nombres compuestos construidos con dos componentes (A,B) que el primero componente (A) puede borrarse
élément dérivé	indica para los nombres compuestos sobre qué elemento se aplica la derivación
suff-	sufijo quitado al nombre predicativo para formar el Nagent (Nagento) derivado
verbe associé	indica que al nombre predicativo está asociado un verbo
N0 jouer à Det N	indica que en paralelo a la frase <i>faire de "activité"</i> (<i>hacer de "actividad"</i>) existe una frase <i>jouer à "activité"</i> (<i>jugar a "actividad"</i>)

Added in the script of *LGExtract*

- ▶ new possible categories that are part of the entries:
`<ENT>Dnum / <ENT>Adjc`
- ▶ new constructions: N0 être Nagent / N0 jouer à Det N
- ▶ new possible determiner: Det =: Dnum
- ▶ determiner involving sentence with semantic value → other light verb than *faire*: Det =: du, faire = pratiquer / Det =: un, faire = fabriquer
- ▶ determiner obligatory in plural: Det pluriel obl
- ▶ precision about the gender of the human subject: N0 =: homme / N0 =: femme

The FRMG parser

FRMG is a **TAG** parser of French [Thomasset & de La Clergerie 2005]

- ▶ from the compilation of a **meta-grammar**
- ▶ very **compact** thanks to the factorization of trees
(1 986 300 defactorized trees → ~ 75 630 trees)
- ▶ exploiting the functionalities of **DyALog**
→ logic programming environment

FRMG fits into a processing chain:

- ▶ upstream
 - ▶ **SXPipe**: segmentation, token, corrections, named entities
 - ▶ **Lefff**: morphological and syntactic lexicon for French
→ connection lexicon/grammar: anchoring with **hypertag**
- ▶ downstream, with a module of **disambiguation** (with heuristics)

The conversion process

- ▶ The conversion of Lexicon-Grammar tables into the Alexina framework is **not straightforward** [Tolone & Sagot 2011]
 - ▶ It requires a **formal definition** or a **dynamic interpretation** of all feature names
 - Example :
 - ▶ N0 V N1 → basic defining feature
 - ▶ N0 V → erasure of N1
 - ▶ N0 V N1 à N2 → elongation of the basic defining feature
 - ▶ N0 V Qu P → realization of N1
 - ▶ [passif par] → passive redistribution
 - ▶ Additional important information must be gathered heuristically or from other lexical resources
 - ▶ the name of each syntactic function, attribution phenomena, morphological information, etc.

5. Integration in the FRMG parser

Integration in the FRMG parser

- ▶ We replaced the Lefff with a modified version of the Lefff in which verb entries are replaced by $\text{LGLex}_{\text{Lefff}}$
- ▶ We added nominal entries of $\text{LGLex}_{\text{Lefff}}$
- ▶ We kept other entries of the Lefff
- ▶ additional Lefff entries must be added for
 - ▶ (semi-)auxiliaries
 - ▶ several raising verbs
 - ▶ impersonal verb constructions
 - ▶ light verbs

The result is a **variant of FRMG**, named $\text{FRMG}_{\text{LGLex}}$ unlike the standard variant denoted by $\text{FRMG}_{\text{Lefff}}$

5. Integration in the FRMG parser

6. Evaluation and discussion

6.1. Protocol

6.2. Results

6.3. Discussion

6. Evaluation and discussion

Protocol used

- ▶ We evaluated FRMG_{Lefff} and FRMG_{LGLex} by parsing the manually annotated part of the 1st Passage parsers' evaluation campaign of 2007 [Hamon *et al.* 2008]
 - ▶ 4 306 sentences of EASy annotated corpus + 400 new sentences : various genres (journalistic, medical, oral, questions, literacy, etc.)
- ▶ evaluation metrics: those of the first EASy parsers' evaluation campaign that took place in December 2005 [Paroubek *et al.* 2006]
 - ▶ evaluation in **chunks** and **relations** (∼ dependencies between lexical words)

Preliminary remarks

FRMG_{LGLex}'s results must be analyzed with the following facts in mind:

- ▶ FRMG_{LGLex}'s verb entries are the result of a conversion process from the original tables
 - this conversion process certainly introduces errors
- ▶ the majority of predicative nouns can not be evaluated because FRMG does not consider those with determiners
- ▶ Passage does not allow to evaluate all the information contained in tables (e.g. semantic features)
- ▶ the Lefff was developed in parallel with the EASy and Passage campaigns (unlike Lexicon-Grammar tables)
- ▶ LGLex_{Lefff} does not contain all necessary verb entries; we added other ones
 - other verb entries may be still missing because all verb entries are not encoded

Results

Passage : Comparative results of FRMG_{Lefff} and FRMG_{LGLex} (in terms of f-measure):

Sub-corpus	Chunks		Relations	
	FRMG _{Lefff}	FRMG _{LGLex}	FRMG _{Lefff}	FRMG _{LGLex}
general_lemonde	88.22%	84.60%	62.73%	59.01%
litteraire_2	88.91%	88.46%	65.28%	62.43%
mail_9	82.60%	81.90%	58.55%	56.00%
medical_3	85.04%	85.89%	64.79%	65.26%
oral_delic_4	78.80%	81.79%	51.67%	51.14%
questions_amaryllis	91.30%	90.73%	66.56%	64.77%
<i>total</i>	87.05%	85.53%	63.10%	60.25%


Parsing times higher with FRMG_{LGLex} than with FRMG_{Lefff}: the median parsing time per sentence is 0,62s vs. 0,26s

- ▶ this comes from the higher average number of entries per verb lemma (approx. 3) in *LGLex* than in the *Lefff*
→ more ambiguity


- ▶ FRMG_{LGLex} gives better results than FRMG_{Lefff} for some relations
 - ▶ “standard” relations MOD-A (adjective modifier) and MOD-R (adverb modifier)
 - ▶ “tough” relations MOD-P (preposition modifier) and APP (apposition)
- ▶ the ATB-SO relation (subject or object attribute) is the relation with the highest difference in terms of recall (34,0% vs. 58,4%)
 - ▶ this is because Lexicon-Grammar tables encode very little information about attribution phenomena, but it can be due to errors of reference

- ▶ the higher **lexical ambiguity** in FRMG_{LGLex} leads to
 - ▶ a higher ambiguity for the parser
 - ▶ and therefore a higher error rate in the disambiguation step
- ▶ example:
 - ▶ [...] *on estime que cette décision [ferait] dérailler le processus de paix*
([...] it is considered that this decision [would] make the peace process fail)
 - ▶ FRMG uses the standard following heuristics: “arguments are preferred to modifiers”
 - ▶ FRMG_{LGLex} considers *de paix* as an argument of *estimer* (*estimer qqch de qqn*)
 - ▶ FRMG_{Lefff} makes no error since in the *Lefff*, *estimer* has no Objde

Example: annotation of relations



Example of dependencies in FRMG



Paul s'adresse à Max (Paul talks to Max)

→ entry *s'adresser V_33_8*

References (3)

- ▶ [Hamon et al. 2008] Hamon O., Mostefa D., Ayache C., Paroubek P., Vilnat A. and La Clergerie E. Passage: from French Parser Evaluation to Large Sized Treebank. Proceedings of LREC'08. Marrakech, Maroc. 2008.
- ▶ [Paroubek et al. 2006] Patrick Paroubek, Isabelle Robba, Anne Vilnat and Christelle Ayache. Data, Annotations and Measures in EASy: the Evaluation Campaign for Parsers of French. Proceedings of LREC'06. Genoa, Italy. 2006.