

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Patrick James Rogers, M.P. Williams, Rashika Fernando, Simon Freeman

► To cite this version:

Patrick James Rogers, M.P. Williams, Rashika Fernando, Simon Freeman. Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound, 2011, 39 (6), pp.348. 10.1002/jcu.20823 . hal-00638142

HAL Id: hal-00638142 https://hal.science/hal-00638142

Submitted on 4 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Journal:	Journal of Clinical Ultrasound
Manuscript ID:	JCU-10-256.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Case Report
Keywords:	Splenosis, contrast agents, Contrast enchanced, Ultrasound, pancreas



John Wiley & Sons

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Title: Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Abstract

Pancreatic splenosis is a very rare condition whose features on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) have not, to our knowledge, been previously reported. We present the imaging findings in a case of pancreatic splenosis where a confident diagnosis was achieved with the use of CEUS and confirmed by a labeled heat denatured red cell scan. Accumulation of ultrasound contrast microbubbles in splenic tissue can be readily visualized on late-phase CEUS and this technique has already been used to confirm the nature of intrapancreatic accessory spleens. This case shows that it can also confirm the diagnosis of splenosis.

Case Report

A 64 year old male was referred to an urologist with an elevated serum prostatic specific antigen (PSA) level of 5.5 ng/mL as well as lower urinary tract symptoms. The patient had undergone a splenectomy for trauma after being involved in a road traffic accident as a pedestrian 47 years previously. There was no other significant past medical history. Transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate diagnosed a Gleason grade 3+4 carcinoma of the prostate. MRI staging was performed (Phillips Intera 1.5T MRI) which included a T2 weighted axial sequence of the abdomen. This sequence showed a 4 cm mass arising from the lower pole of the left kidney with features consistent with a renal cell carcinoma and additionally a 5cm mass arising from the tail of the pancreas which was thought likely to represent a pancreatic tumour (Figure 1). Two nodules, were seen in the splenic bed and assumed to represent splenunculi. Further evaluation by contrast medium enhanced CT (GE High definition Lightspeed VCT scanner before and after intravenous contrast medium administration: 90mls Omipaque 300¹) confirmed a 5cm diameter mass in the pancreatic tail showing a little peripheral calcification. This mass was noted to show

Page 4 of 24

Journal of Clinical Ultrasound

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

non-uniform enhancement in the arterial phase and uniform enhancement in the portal venous phase with enhancement characteristics identical to the two adjacent nodules in the splenic bed (Figures 2 A-B-C). A CEUS study was undertaken (Toshiba Aplio XG ultrasound system, 3.5 mHz abdominal transducer) using a contrast specific preset, with a low mechanical index of 0.03, following an injection of 2.4mls of SonoVue ultrasound contrast medium (Bracco Imaging). The lesion in the pancreatic tail was only visible via a left flank approach (Figure 3). The pancreatic tail mass demonstrated inhomogeneous enhancement in the early phase followed by uniform intense enhancement in the late phase, with the mass remaining strongly enhanced at 7 minutes post injection, well beyond blood-pool microbubble clearance (Figure 4). These features are consistent with splenic tissue. A subsequent heat denatured red blood cell study and SPECT CT study showed an intense uptake of red cells within the pancreatic lesion and also within the accessory spleens (Figure 5).

Discussion

Splenosis is an acquired condition defined as autotransplantation of viable splenic tissue throughout different anatomic compartments of the body. It occurs after traumatic or iatrogenic rupture of the spleen² and can occur at many sites in the abdomen and occasionally in the thorax³. These splenic masses are usually asymptomatic but may be seen on a variety of imaging studies and cause diagnostic difficulty, particularly if the history of splenic injury has not been appreciated. Pelvic splenosis in particular can be misdiagnosed as pathological masses or tumour recurrence on ultrasound studies³ and the true nature of the abnormality is frequently not established until surgery has been undertaken.

Pancreatic splenosis is very uncommon; a report of a single case in the literature made the diagnosis only after laparoscopic resection⁵. Intrapancreatic accessory spleens have been

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

more frequently reported but are usually less than 2cm in size⁶ and CEUS has been used to identify this condition⁷. Awareness of both of these conditions is important in the differential diagnosis of pancreatic tail lesions as other diagnostic possibilities (adenocarcinoma, islet cell tumour, metastasis)^{7,8,9,10} will frequently require surgical intervention which is unnecessary in the case of pancreatic splenosis or accessory spleen. Normal splenic tissue accumulates ultrasound contrast microbubbles similar to, but more pronounced than, their accumulation in normal liver parenchyma. The site of microbubble accumulation is thought to be within the splenic sinusoids or reticular endothelial system¹¹. This property can be used to differentiate splenic tissue from other soft-tissue masses which will show washout of microbubbles in the late phase. In this respect CEUS acts much like a heat denatured red cell scan but is a much simpler test to perform without any associated radiation burden.

Recent literature has highlighted the use of CEUS in the investigation of accessory spleens⁷, however there are distinct differences in accessory spleens compared to splenosis. An accessory spleen has normal splenic histology with its blood supply usually arising from a branch of the splenic artery, this can frequently be visualized with Doppler or contrast enhanced ultrasound. Blood supply in splenosis, in contrast, is derived from the surrounding tissues and vessels, without any association with the splenic artery^{3,2}. A further difference is that the tissue in splenosis usually reveals distorted architecture with no hilum, a poorly formed capsule and the tissue may be of any shape or size, whereas accessory spleens have a more uniform hilar architecture, and are generally rounded or oval.

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

In conclusion this is a rare case of pancreatic splenosis, diagnosed by CEUS, without the need for surgical intervention. This condition should be suspected when asymptomatic masses are identified in patients with a history of splenic injury or surgery. We suggest that CEUS may be able to replace nuclear medicine imaging in the diagnosis of this condition, which is in keeping with other recent literature¹².

References:

1. GE Healthcare, Inc., 101 Carnegie Center, Princeton, NJ 08540-9998.

2. Fremont RD, Rice TW. Splenosis: A Review; South Med J. 2007 Jun;100(6):589-93.

3. Thourani VH, Sharma J, Duarte IG, et al. Intrathoracic Splenosis; Ann Thorac Surg. 2005 Nov;80(5):1934-6.

4. Tasci Y, Kayikcioglu F, Cavusoglu D, et al. Splenosis mimicking pelvic mass. Obstet Gynecol.; 2005 Nov;106(5 Pt 2):1167-9.

5. Fiamingo P, Veroux M, Da Rold A, et al. A rare diagnosis for a pancreatic mass: splenosis. J Gastrointest Surg.; 2004;8:915–916.

6. Mortelé, KJ., Mortelé B, Silverman SG. CT Features of the Accessory Spleen; Am J Roentgenol. 2004 Dec;183(6):1653-7.

7. Kim SH, Lee JM, Lee JY, et al. Contrast-Enhanced Sonography of Intrapancreatic Accessory Spleen in Six Patients; Am. J. Roentgenol., 2007 Feb;188(2):422-8.

8. Fleming CR, Dickson ER, Harrison EG Jr. Splenosis auto-transplantation of splenic tissue. Am J Med; 1976;61:414-419.

9. Ardengh JC, de Paulo GA, Ferrari AP. EUS-guided FNA in the diagnosis of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors before surgery; Gastrointest Endosc. 2004 Sep;60(3):378-84.

10. Sica GT, Reed MF. Case 27: Intrapancreatic accessory spleen; Radiology. 2000 ;217(1):134-7.

11. Stewart VR, Sidhu PS; New directions in ultrasound: microbubble contrast; Br J Radiol. 2006;79(939):188-94.

12. Bertolotto M, Quaia E, Zappetti R, et al . Differential diagnosis between splenic nodules and peritoneal metastases with contrast-enhanced ultrasound based on signal-intensity characteristics during the late phase, Radiol Med. 2009 Feb;114(1):42-51.

Figures:

Figure 1

MRI

Axial T2 weighted spin echo sequence of the upper abdomen demonstrating a mass (arrow) in the pancreatic tail with signal intensity similar to the renal parenchyma.

Figure 2A

CT without contrast.

Axial non-contrast enhanced CT section through the upper abdomen demonstrating flecks of calcification

(arrow) in the periphery of the mass.

Figure 2B

CT with arterial phase contrast medium enhancement

This shows heterogenous "stripes" through the lesion, typical of splenic parenchymal arterial enhancement

(arrow)

Figure 2C

CT with portal venous phase contrast medium enhancement.

Portal-venous phase contrast showing uniform enhancement through the lesion in the pancreas (arrow).

Figure 3

Ultrasonography

This image shows a hypoechoic 2.5cm lesion (arrow) within the pancreas.

Figure 4

Ultrasonography with contrast.

Journal of Clinical Ultrasound

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Split screen ultrasound image: contrast specific preset shown to the readers right. The pancreatic tail mass remains strongly enhanced at 7:09 minutes post injection (arrow), well beyond blood-pool microbubble clearance.

Figure 5

Heat denatured red blood cell study and SPECT CT.

There is intense uptake of red blood cells within the partially calcified largest lesion closely related to the tail of the pancreas. Arrow shows splenosis nodule.

<text>



Figure 1 MRI Axial T2 weighted spin echo sequence of the upper abdomen demonstrating a mass (arrow) in the pancreatic tail with signal intensity similar to the renal parenchyma.

95x75mm (136 x 136 DPI)



Figure 2A CT without contrast. Axial non-contrast enhanced CT section through the upper abdomen demonstrating flecks of calcification (arrow) in the periphery of the mass.

95x75mm (136 x 136 DPI)

John Wiley & Sons



Figure 2B CT with arterial phase contrast medium enhancement This shows heterogenous "stripes" through the lesion, typical of splenic parenchymal arterial enhancement (arrow)

95x75mm (136 x 136 DPI)



Figure 2C CT with portal venous phase contrast medium enhancement. Portal-venous phase contrast showing uniform enhancement through the lesion in the pancreas (arrow).

95x75mm (136 x 136 DPI)



Figure 3 Ultrasonography This image shows a hypoechoic 2.5cm lesion (arrow) within the pancreas.

94x65mm (149 x 149 DPI)





Figure 4 Ultrasonography with contrast.

Split screen ultrasound image: contrast specific preset shown to the readers right. The pancreatic tail mass remains strongly enhanced at 7:09 minutes post injection (arrow), well beyond blood-pool microbubble clearance.

95x53mm (191 x 191 DPI)



Figure 5 Heat denatured red blood cell study and SPECT CT. There is intense uptake of red blood cells within the partially calcified largest lesion closely related to the tail of the pancreas. Arrow shows splenosis nodule. 95x75mm (136 x 136 DPI)

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

 Title: Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Introduction

Abstract

Pancreatic splenosis is a very rare condition whose features on contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) have not, to our knowledge, been previously reported. We present the imaging findings in a case of pancreatic splenosis where a confident diagnosis was achieved with the use of CEUS and confirmed by a labeled heat denatured red cell scan. Accumulation of ultrasound contrast microbubbles in splenic tissue can be readily visualized on late-phase CEUS and this technique has already been used to confirm the nature of intrapancreatic accessory spleens. This case shows that it can also confirm the diagnosis of splenosis.

Case Report

A 64 year old male was referred to an urologist with an elevated serum prostatic specific antigen (PSA) level of 5.5 ng/mL as well as lower urinary tract symptoms. The patient had undergone a splenectomy for trauma after being involved in a road traffic accident as a pedestrian 47 years previously. There was no other significant past medical history. Transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate diagnosed a Gleason grade 3+4 carcinoma of the prostate. MRI staging was performed (Phillips Intera 1.5T MRI) which included a T2 weighted axial sequence of the abdomen. This sequence showed a 4 cm mass arising from the lower pole of the left kidney with features consistent with a renal cell carcinoma and additionally a 5cm mass arising from the tail of the pancreas which was thought likely to represent a pancreatic tumour (Figure 1). Two nodules, were seen in the splenic bed and assumed to represent splenunculi. Further evaluation by contrast medium enhanced CT (GE High definition <u>GT_Lightspeed VCT</u> scanner with before and after intravenous contrast medium administration; 90mls Omipaque 300¹) confirmed a 5cm

Page 18 of 24

 Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

diameter mass in the pancreatic tail showing a little peripheral calcification. This mass was noted to show non-uniform enhancement in the arterial phase and uniform enhancement in the portal <u>venous</u> phase with enhancement characteristics identical to the two adjacent nodules in the splenic bed <u>(Figures 2 A-B-C)</u>. A CEUS study was undertaken (Toshiba Aplio <u>XG</u> ultrasound system, 3.5 mHz abdominal transducer) using a low acousticpressure contrast specific preset, with a low mechanical index of 0.03, following an injection of 2.4mls of SonoVue ultrasound contrast medium (Bracco <u>Imaging</u>). The lesion in the pancreatic tail was only visible via a left flank approach (Figure 3). The pancreatic tail mass demonstrated inhomogeneous enhancement in the early phase followed by uniform intense enhancement in the late phase, the mass remaining strongly enhanced at 7 minutes post injection, well beyond blood-pool microbubble clearance (Figure 4). These features are consistent with splenic tissue. A subsequent heat denatured red blood cell study and SPECT CT study showed an intense uptake of red cells within the pancreatic lesion and also within the accessory spleens (Figure 5).

Discussion

Splenosis is an acquired condition defined as autotransplantation of viable splenic tissue throughout different anatomic compartments of the body. It occurs after traumatic or iatrogenic rupture of the spleen² and can occur at many sites in the abdomen and occasionally in the thorax³. These splenic masses are usually asymptomatic but may be seen on a variety of imaging studies and cause diagnostic difficulty, particularly if the history of splenic injury has not been appreciated. Pelvic splenosis in particular can be misdiagnosed as pathological masses or tumour recurrence on ultrasound studies³ and the true nature of the abnormality is frequently not established until surgery has been undertaken.

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Pancreatic splenosis is very uncommon; a report of a single case in the literature made the diagnosis only after laparoscopic resection⁵. Intrapancreatic accessory spleens have been more frequently reported but are usually less than 2cm in size⁶ and CEUS has been used to identify this condition⁷. Awareness of both of these conditions is important in the differential diagnosis of pancreatic tail lesions as other diagnostic possibilities (adenocarcinoma, islet cell tumour, metastasis)^{7,8,9,10} will frequently require surgical intervention which is unnecessary in the case of pancreatic splenosis or accessory spleen. Normal splenic tissue accumulates ultrasound contrast microbubbles similar to, but more pronounced than, their accumulation in normal liver parenchyma. The site of microbubble accumulation is thought to be within the splenic sinusoids or reticular endothelial system¹¹. This property can be used to differentiate splenic tissue from other soft-tissue masses which will show washout of microbubbles in the late phase. In this respect CEUS acts much like a heat denatured red cell scan but is a much simpler test to perform without any associated radiation burden.

Recent literature has highlighted the use of CEUS in the investigation of accessory spleens⁷, however there are distinct differences in accessory spleens compared to splenosis. An accessory spleen has normal splenic histology with its blood supply usually arising from a branch of the splenic artery, this can frequently be visualized with Doppler or contrast enhanced ultrasound. Blood supply in splenosis, in contrast, is derived from the surrounding tissues and vessels, without any association with the splenic artery^{2, 3}. A further difference is that the tissue in splenosis usually reveals distorted architecture with no hilum, a poorly formed capsule and the tissue may be of any shape or size, whereas accessory spleens have a more uniform hilar architecture, and are generally rounded or oval.

Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

Conclusion

In conclusion this is a rare case of pancreatic splenosis, diagnosed by CEUS, without the need for surgical intervention. This condition should be suspected when asymptomatic masses are identified in patients with a history of splenic injury or surgery. We suggest that CEUS may be able to replace nuclear medicine imaging in the diagnosis of this condition, which is in keeping with other recent literature¹².

References:

1. GE Healthcare, Inc., 101 Carnegie Center, Princeton, NJ 08540-9998.

2. Fremont RD, Rice TW. Splenosis: A Review; South Med J. 2007 Jun;100(6):589-93.

3. Thourani VH, Sharma J, Duarte IG, et al. Intrathoracic Splenosis; Ann Thorac Surg. 2005 Nov;80(5):1934-

4. Tasci Y, Kayikcioglu F, Cavusoglu D, et al. Splenosis mimicking pelvic mass. Obstet Gynecol.; 2005 Nov;106(5 Pt 2):1167-9.

5. Fiamingo P, Veroux M, Da Rold A, et al. A rare diagnosis for a pancreatic mass: splenosis. J Gastrointest Surg.; 2004;8:915–916.

6. Mortelé, KJ., Mortelé B, Silverman SG. CT Features of the Accessory Spleen; Am J Roentgenol. 2004 Dec;183(6):1653-7.

7. Kim SH, Lee JM, Lee JY, et al. Contrast-Enhanced Sonography of Intrapancreatic Accessory Spleen in Six Patients; Am. J. Roentgenol., 2007 Feb;188(2):422-8.

8. Fleming CR, Dickson ER, Harrison EG Jr. Splenosis auto-transplantation of splenic tissue. Am J Med; 1976;61:414-419.

9. Ardengh JC, de Paulo GA, Ferrari AP. EUS-guided FNA in the diagnosis of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors before surgery; Gastrointest Endosc. 2004 Sep;60(3):378-84.

10. Sica GT, Reed MF. Case 27: Intrapancreatic accessory spleen; Radiology. 2000 ;217(1):134-7.

11. Stewart VR, Sidhu PS; New directions in ultrasound: microbubble contrast; Br J Radiol. 2006;79(939):188-94.

12. Bertolotto M, Quaia E, Zappetti R, et al . Differential diagnosis between splenic nodules and peritoneal metastases with contrast-enhanced ultrasound based on signal-intensity characteristics during the late phase, Radiol Med. 2009 Feb;114(1):42-51.

Figures:

Figure 1

MRI

Axial T2 weighted spin echo sequence of the upper abdomen demonstrating a mass (arrow) in the pancreatic tail with signal intensity similar to the renal parenchyma.

Figure 2A

CT without contrast.

Axial non-contrast enhanced CT section through the upper abdomen demonstrating flecks of calcification

(arrow) in the periphery of the mass.

Figure 2B

CT with arterial phase contrast medium enhancement

This shows heterogenous "stripes" through the lesion, typical of splenic parenchymal arterial enhancement

(arrow)

Figure 2C

CT with portal venous phase contrast medium enhancement.

Portal-venous phase contrast showing uniform enhancement through the lesion in the pancreas (arrow).

Figure 3

Ultrasonography

This image shows a hypoechoic 2.5cm lesion (arrow) within the pancreas.

Figure 4

Ultrasonography with contrast.

 Split screen ultrasound image: contrast specific preset shown to the reader's right. The pancreatic tail mass remains strongly enhanced at 7:09 minutes post injection (arrow), well beyond blood-pool microbubble clearance.

Figure 5

Heat denatured red blood cell study and SPECT CT.

There is intense uptake of red blood cells within the partially calcified largest lesion closely related to the tail

of the pancreas. Arrow shows splenosis nodule.

Title: Pancreatic Splenosis demonstrated by Contrast Enhanced Ultrasonography

JCU 10-256

Dear Bruno D. Fornage, M.D.

Many thanks for your decision letter which kindly gives us the opportunity to make necessary revisions to our submission.

We have welcomed your comments and have hopefully made all the changes that yourself, and the reviewer, suggested. A point-by-point reply to your comments is below.

Many thanks for your response once again,

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Patrick Rogers MBChB BA

 1. We have now included another ultrasonographis image of the splenosis which we feel is of value. Unfortunately the pancreas was only visualised with a left flank approach - we have now explained this in the text.

2. We had included the abstract in the online submission process. I assumed that this would be combined with the draft for your review, but this was not the case. I have now put it in the document.

- 3. The figures are now called in the text.
- 4. As above.
- 5. Completed
- 6. Completed
- 7. Completed
- 8. Completed
- 8. Completed
- 9. Completed

10. Yes, this is what we meant – we have changed this to "mechanical index", and given a value.

11. We have complied wherever possible with the reference guidelines.

- 12. We now believe these to be accurate.
- 13. Completed
- 14. Completed

15. As we have included another image the figure numbering is not quite as you suggested, but the CT images are now named together (2 A-B-C). We have included another ultrasound image of the splenosis (fig 3), which we feel will interest your readership. The other images follow as previous.

16. This we have done. If you prefer the orginal way round, then we could use the original image.

17. Completed

Many thanks.