

Sexual and HIV risk behaviour in Central & Eastern European migrants in London

Fiona M Burns, Alison R Evans, Catherine H Mercer, Violetta Parutis, Christopher J Gerry, Richard Mole, Rebecca S French, John Imrie, Graham J Hart

▶ To cite this version:

Fiona M Burns, Alison R Evans, Catherine H Mercer, Violetta Parutis, Christopher J Gerry, et al.. Sexual and HIV risk behaviour in Central & Eastern European migrants in London. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2011, 87 (4), pp.318. 10.1136/sti.2010.047209. hal-00633210

HAL Id: hal-00633210

https://hal.science/hal-00633210

Submitted on 18 Oct 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Sexual and HIV risk behaviour in Central & Eastern
2	European migrants in London
3	
4 5	Fiona M. Burns ¹ , Alison R. Evans ¹ , Catherine H. Mercer ¹ , Violetta Parutis ² ,
6 7 8	Christopher J. Gerry ² , Richard Mole ² , Rebecca S. French ³ , John Imrie ^{1,4} , and Graham J. Hart ¹ .
9 10	1. Centre for Sexual Health and HIV Research, Research Department of Infection
11	and Population Health, University College London.
12	2. The School of Slavonic & East European Studies, University College London.
13	3. Department of Social and Environmental Health, London School of Hygiene &
14	Tropical Medicine.
15	4. The Africa Centre for Health & Population Studies, University of KwaZulu-Natal,
16	South Africa.
17 18 19	Correspondence to:
20	Dr Fiona Burns
21	UCL Centre for Sexual Health & HIV Research
22	Mortimer Market Centre
23	Off Capper Street
24	London WC1E 6JB
25	
26	Tel: +44 08451555000 ext. 8185
27	Fax: +44 (0) 20 73884182
28	Email: fburns@gum.ucl.ac.uk
29 30 31 32	
33 34	Key words: Sexual behaviour, migration, UK, Europe, knowledge & attitudes,

Abstract

1

2 **Background**: The accession of ten Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries to the 3 EU resulted in the largest migratory influx in peacetime British history. No information 4 exists on the sexual behaviour of CEE migrants within the UK. Our aim was to assess 5 the sexual lifestyles, and health service needs of these communities. Methods: We conducted a survey, delivered electronically and available in 12 6 7 languages, of migrants from the ten CEE accession states recruited from community 8 venues in London following extensive social mapping, and via the Internet. Reported 9 behaviours were compared with those from national probability survey data. 10 Results: 2648 CEE migrants completed the survey. Male CEE migrants reported higher 11 rates of partner acquisition (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 2.1, 95%CI: 1.3-2.1), and paying 12 for sex (aOR 3.2, 95%CI: 2.5-4.0), and both male and female CEE migrants reported 13 more injecting drug use (men: aOR 2.2, 95%CI: 1.3-3.9; women: aOR 3.0, 95%CI 1.1-14 8.1), than in the general population; however CEE migrants were more likely to report more consistent condom use and lower reported diagnoses of sexually transmitted 15 16 infections (STI). Just over 1% of respondents reported being HIV positive. The majority 17 of men and a third of women were not registered for primary care in the UK. 18 Discussion: CEE migrants to London report high rates of behaviours associated with 19 increased risk of HIV/STI acquisition and transmission. These results should inform 20 service planning, identify where STI and HIV interventions should be targeted, and 21 provide baseline data to help evaluate the effectiveness of such interventions.

Introduction

1

2 Over the past decade the United Kingdom (UK) has experienced large migratory fluxes 3 from Central and Eastern Europe (CEE). In particular the accession on 1 May 2004 of 4 Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia 5 (the 'A8') into the European Union (EU), and of Bulgaria and Romania (the 'A2') on 1 6 January 2007, were followed by large-scale, though often circular migration from these 7 countries (1). Circular migration is a form of migration that allows some degree of legal 8 mobility back and forth between two countries. 9 The uptake of safer sex measures and patterns of health service use in these migrant 10 populations are unknown. Also the demographic profile of CEE migrants (young and 11 frequently single)(1); the often high background prevalence of sexually transmitted 12 infections (STIs) and HIV in their countries of origin (2-4); and their lack of experience 13 of the British health system, may place these new migrant communities at higher risk of 14 sexual ill health and reproductive morbidity compared to the general population 15 As research on the sexual behaviour of the general populations of Central and Eastern 16 Europeans is sparse and no information exists on the sexual behaviour of CEE migrants 17 within the UK, our aim was to establish an understanding of the sexual lifestyles and 18 health service needs of these communities. This study is part of the SALLEE project 19 (sexual attitudes and lifestyles of London's Eastern Europeans); papers examining the 20 sexual risk of CEE migrant men who have sex with men(5) and CEE migrant 21 attendance at genitourinary medicine clinics and STI diagnoses (6), also to arise from 22 this project have already been published.

Methods

1

4

- 2 A detailed description of the methodology has been previously published (7). A brief
- 3 summary is provided below.

Participants and procedure

- 5 Eligible respondents were literate men and women aged over 17 who self-identified as
- 6 migrants from one of the ten CEE countries. The community sample was recruited in
- 7 London and the web survey was advertised on websites for CEE nationals in the UK as
- 8 described in reference 7. Web survey respondents who gave their home post-code
- 9 outside London were excluded from the study.
- 10 Fieldwork took place over a nine-month period (July 2008 March 2009). The nine
- 11 fieldworkers involved in the recruitment of respondents for the community sample were
- 12 native speakers of six of the languages of the CEE countries.

13 Study instruments

- 14 The survey instrument was a self-completed questionnaire designed using SNAP 9
- 15 survey software (8) that was fielded using hand-held computers for the community
- sample and a web survey for the Internet sample. The community and internet survey
- 17 questions were identical. The questionnaire was anonymous. The questionnaire was
- 18 piloted to examine its feasibility and acceptability and to explore understanding of the
- 19 question items and underlying constructs: the use of the hand-held computers, question
- 20 routing, and technical usability were also tested during piloting.

- 1 The questionnaire was translated into 11 languages (the ten official languages of the
- 2 CEE countries plus Russian) and bilingual native speakers of the 11 languages checked
- 3 the translation accuracy.

Sampling

4

- 5 There is no adequate sampling frame of this new migrant population from which to draw
- 6 a probability sample of CEE nationals in London. The study therefore relied on
- 7 convenience sampling in order to generate a cost-effective sample that would be
- 8 sufficiently robust for detailed analysis. This study adopted two sampling strategies
- 9 (community and Internet) in order to ensure representation of key elements of the
- 10 population, and minimise bias. A detailed social mapping exercise was conducted prior
- 11 to recruitment of the community sample (7). This provides some confidence that the
- 12 selected boroughs and locations capture a broad cross-section of CEE migrants in
- 13 London.

14 Statistical analysis

- 15 Standard statistical tests, e.g. Chi² test and Student's t-test, were used to examine
- 16 associations between variables. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with one or
- 17 more new heterosexual partner in previous 12 months used a backwards-stepwise
- model; all variables with a p-value <0.1 for the crude association were retained for
- 19 incorporation in the multivariate model. To evaluate reported behaviours with those of
- 20 the British population we conducted. a comparison with individual-level data from the
- 21 National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal) 2000, the most recent
- 22 national probability sample survey at the time of writing, conducted between May 1999
- 23 and February 2001. Logistic regression modelling was used to obtain adjusted odds

- 1 ratios (aOR) to control for any variation in the age, gender, marital status, and education
- 2 between the two data sources.
- 3 Due to the sample size it was not possible to analyse by individual countries. Rather,
- 4 because of the differing dates of accession (2004 vs. 2007), we grouped respondents into
- 5 two regions (the A8 and A2). Accession into Europe was dependent on countries
- 6 fulfilling certain political and economic conditions, both of which potentially influence
- 7 the sexual attitudes and behaviours of populations; in addition there were tighter controls
- 8 on A2 (compared to A8) migration to the UK. Also within the socio-political sciences,
- 9 'Eastern Europe' is often sub-divided into Central Europe (the A8 states) and South-
- 10 Eastern Europe (the A2). Historically Central European political culture has been
- 11 characterised as more rational, contractual and individualistic, while South-Eastern
- 12 Europe is more essentialist, collectivistic and arbitrary. Over the centuries this has
- 13 resulted in different ways of thinking about individual freedoms, the boundaries between
- 14 public and private and the role of the state all of which influences attitudes and
- behaviours in society (9-11).
- 16 The sample size of 2000 people provides 80% power to detect as significant the
- 17 association with an explanatory factor where the difference in prevalence is around 6%.
- 18 A sample of this size also allows for adequately powered subgroup analysis. Analysis
- was performed using Intercooled STATA 8.0 (12) and SPSS12.0 (13).
- 20 The study was approved in 2008 by the Camden & Islington Community Research
- 21 Ethics Committee, UK (07/H0722/110).

Role of the funding source:

- 1 The sponsor of the study had no role in study design; the collection, analysis or
- 2 interpretation of the data; the writing of the report; or the decision to submit the paper
- 3 for publication.

4 Results:

5

Sample characteristics:

- 6 This CEE population sample (n=2648) was derived by merging the community sample
- 7 (n=2276) with the Internet sample (n=372). The mean age of respondents was 29.0,
- 8 51.4% were married or cohabiting, and almost half (48.3%) of respondents were male
- 9 (Supplementary Table 1). The majority of respondents were Polish (n=1082, 40.9%),
- 10 Romanian (n=492, 18.6%), or Lithuanian (n=449, 17.0%). The Internet sample was
- more likely to be born in an A8 country (97.8% vs. 74.2%, p<0.001), to be educated to
- 12 degree level (43.9% vs. 29.4%, p<0.001) and in paid employment (84.1% vs. 72.8%,
- p<0.001), than the community sample. Most respondents had migrated post accession,
- 14 79.3% arriving after May 2004. Those who arrived in the UK post accession had been in
- the UK for a mean of around 1.5 years (median 17-19 months). Three quarters (74.6%)
- of respondents had returned to their home country at least once in the past year and
- 17 15.0% had returned four or more times.

Sexual behaviours:

- 19 Partners or sexual partners were defined as people who have had sex together whether
- 20 just once, or a few times, or as regular partners, or as married partners. This definition
- 21 was made explicit within the questionnaire. Table 1 shows the distribution of reported
- 22 numbers of partners (in the past year and past five years) by age and gender. There was

1 substantial heterogeneity in numbers of heterosexual partners reported in the past five 2 years; 70.3% of men and 48.8% of women reported more than one partner, whereas 3 29.1% of men and 6.9% of women reported more than five. Men consistently reported 4 higher numbers of heterosexual partners than women over all time periods. Same sex 5 partnerships were reported by 3.4% of men and 4.0% of women. 6 Nearly half (44.9%) of men and 29.1% of women had formed a new heterosexual 7 partnership over the past year. The mean number of new partnerships in the past year 8 varied from 5.3 among previously married men aged 25-34 to 0.1 among married 9 women aged over 34. The mean number of new partners declined with increasing age 10 for men but not for women. Younger respondents were less likely to be in a married or 11 cohabiting relationship. Across all age groups and both genders new partner acquisition 12 was highest among the single or previously married. Over half (55.6%) of all male 13 respondents were single or previously married; these men formed 74.0% of all new 14 heterosexual partnerships by men in the past year. 15 Among those respondents reporting sex in the past year a quarter of men and 7.6% of 16 women reported relationships that were concurrent (overlapped or were simultaneous). 17 Just over half (51.5%) of men and most (80.2%) women reported only one partner. The 18 majority of new heterosexual partnerships in the past year were consummated in the UK. 19 Approximately three quarters of most recent sexual partnerships were with nationals 20 from the home country of the respondent (75.6% for men and 71.1% for women). A UK

Sexually transmitted infections and HIV:

21

22

national was the most recent sexual partner for 10.4% of men and 14.5% of women.

- 1 An ever previously diagnosed STI (excluding HIV) was reported by 11.1% of
- 2 respondents. Most infections had not been diagnosed in the UK (Table 2). A third of
- 3 respondents had ever had an HIV test, and a third of these had their last HIV test in the
- 4 UK. Just over 1% of respondents reported they were HIV positive (18 men and 11
- 5 women), with 31.0% being diagnosed in the UK. The majority of respondents who
- 6 reported they were HIV positive were Polish (n=23, 79%). Reported risk factors for HIV
- 7 were: previously injecting drugs (n=2), sex between men (n=1), six (21%) had ever paid
- 8 for sex but none reported having been paid for sex, and eight (28%) reported a previous
- 9 STI diagnosis.

13

- Amongst the 546 (21%) respondents who reported recreational drug use in the past year,
- marijuana was most widely used (87.8%), followed by ecstasy (31.0%), cocaine
- 12 (23.4%), speed (18.6%), and crystal-meth (5.4%).

Risk behaviours by region and sex:

- 14 Table 3 presents the prevalence of sexual behaviours, attitudes and drug use by sex and
- 15 region of origin. Many risk behaviours varied by region and sex. Compared to their A2
- counterparts, A8 respondents were more likely to report heterosexual oral or anal sex
- and recreational drug use in the past year. A8 men were more likely to have ever
- injected drugs (6.6% vs. 1.2%, p<0.001), whilst A8 women were more likely to report
- 19 concurrent relationships in the past year, a same sex partner ever, and more heterosexual
- 20 partners in the past year than those from the A2. Having paid for sex was widely
- 21 reported across both regions but was more likely among men from the A2 countries
- 22 (44.1% vs. 28.5%, p<0.001), as was concurrency in the past year (32.2% vs. 23.4%, p<0.001)
- p=0.019). A2 men were also more likely to have had an HIV test than A8 men (37.5%)
- 24 vs. 31.0%, p=0.032), and to have used condoms consistently in the past four weeks,

- 1 especially among those reporting two or more partners in the past year (55.3% vs.
- 2 34.8%, p=0.002). A2 men and women were significantly less likely to be registered with
- a general practitioner (GP) although this association was lost when adjusted for time in
- 4 the UK.
- 5 To examine high-risk sexual behaviour we calculated the odds ratio (OR), 95%CI and
- 6 the aOR of factors associated with one or more new heterosexual partners in the past
- 7 year (Supplementary Table 2). Male respondents reporting other risk behaviours in the
- 8 same time period such as recreational drug use (aOR 1.37, 95%CI 1.01-1.87)), drinking
- 9 alcohol on average three or more days a week (aOR 1.62, 95%CI 1.14-2.28) and anal
- sex (aOR 1.89, 95%CI 1.35-2.64) had increased odds of new heterosexual partners, as
- were those reporting a previous STI diagnosis (aOR 1.69, 95%CI 0.99-2.86) or oral sex
- 12 (aOR 3.61 95%CI 1.64-3.31); whilst married or cohabiting men and men registered with
- 13 a GP were less likely (aOR 0.35, 95%CI 0.25-0.47, and aOR 0.69, 95%CI 0.51-0.93
- 14 respectively).
- 15 CEE women were more likely to have had new sexual partner(s) in the past year if they
- reported a same sex partner ever (aOR 2.31, 95%CI 1.26-4.26), anal or oral sex in the
- 17 past year (aOR 1.48, 95%CI 1.01-2.15 and aOR 1.76, 95%CI 1.23-2.52 respectively),
- drinking alcohol more frequently (aOR 1.68, 95%CI 1.02-2.80), and a previous STI
- 19 diagnosis (aOR 1.75, 05%CI 1.13-2.73); they were less likely if they were married or
- 20 cohabiting (aOR 0.28, 95%CI 0.20-0.38), from the A8 compared to the A2 (aOR 0.60,
- 21 95%CI 0.37-0.97), and over the age of 24 (aOR 0.71, 95%CI 0.51-0.98).
- 22 No association between time in the UK and most of the risk behaviours was found (data
- 23 not shown). The notable exception was reporting of ever having had sex with someone
- of the same sex, which was more likely with increasing time in the UK (p=0.034).

1 Comparison with British national data:

- 2 Among respondents who reported heterosexual sex ever, the CEE sample was younger
- 3 (27.7 vs. 31.8 yrs, p<0.001) than the Natsal sample, more likely to be single (40.2% vs.
- 4 35.0%, p<0.001), and less likely to have a degree (31.8% vs. 35.8%, p<0.001).
- 5 Significant behavioural differences between samples remained after adjusting for socio-
- 6 demographic variables in multivariate analyses (Table 4). Male CEE respondents were
- 7 more likely to report two or more partners in the past year (aOR 2.1, 95%CI 1.3-2.1) and
- 8 in the past five years (aOR 1.7, 95%CI 1.6-2.6), but were less likely to report having had
- 9 an STI (aOR 0.7; 95%CI 0.5-1.0) than Natsal respondents. CEE men were more than
- three times as likely to have paid for sex with a woman (aOR 3.2; 95%CI 2.5-4.0) and
- twice as likely to have injected non-prescribed drugs (, aOR 2.2; 95%CI 1.3-3.9).
- 12 CEE women were three times more likely to have injected non-prescribed drugs than
- Natsal women (aOR 3.0, 95% CI 1.1-8.1) and were less likely to report having had an
- 14 STI (aOR 0.7, 95%CI 0.6-1.0). The proportion of female respondents reporting two or
- more partners in the past five years and in the past year were similar across surveys.
- 16 Consistent condom use in the past four weeks was higher in the CEE sample (aOR 1.3,
- 17 95%CI 1.0-1.8).

18

Discussion

- 19 The accession of ten CEE countries to the EU has resulted in one of the largest
- 20 migratory influxes in peacetime British history. This project provides estimates of sexual
- 21 behaviour patterns in these new communities. As would be expected we found wide
- 22 variability in sexual lifestyles by gender, age, relationship status, and region of origin.

- 1 Whilst reports of prior STIs are lower than in the general British population, CEE
- 2 migrants, especially male migrants, report high rates of behaviours associated with
- 3 increased risk of HIV and STI transmission. The benefits of more consistent condom use
- 4 may be offset by higher rates of partner acquisition, paying for sex and injecting drug
- 5 use.
- 6 Like other communities, heterosexual CEE migrants demonstrate assortative sexual
- 7 mixing (whereby their most recent partner was from their home country) (14;15). Nearly
- 8 three-quarters of last sexual partnerships in the general CEE population sample were
- 9 with a national from the home country of the CEE respondent. Risk of HIV and other
- 10 STIs may increase as CEE communities become more integrated with the British
- population. Currently the reported high-risk behaviours appear offset by low prevalence
- 12 of infections within the CEE migrant community. With increasing time in the UK it is
- 13 possible that CEE migrants will have sexual partners from more diverse backgrounds,
- potentially increasing the likelihood of exposure to infections (5).
- 15 STI screening opportunities differ in the UK compared to many CEE countries (16),
- which may impact on STI reports, especially on infections that are often asymptomatic.
- 17 However over 30% of respondents reported ever having an HIV test, higher than the
- 18 13% reporting an HIV test ever in the last Natsal survey (17).
- 19 The reported HIV prevalence of 1.1% is substantially higher than the estimated
- prevalence of 0.09% in the general British population (18). This burden of infection is
- 21 not, however, reflected in national HIV surveillance data. Although the numbers remain
- 22 relatively small, there was a tenfold increase between 2000 and 2007 in the total number
- 23 (8 to 84), and proportion (0.3% to 2.3%), of all new HIV diagnoses that are in people
- 24 from the A8 (19). Eastern Europe does have the highest rate of HIV across Europe but

- 1 Poland has one of the lowest (20). In Eastern Europe although mode of acquisition is
- 2 often unreported (21), the epidemic is believed to be largely driven by injecting drug use
- 3 (a behaviour reported by ~ 4% of our respondents), although heterosexual transmission
- 4 is also on the increase (20;21).
- 5 Only 31% of the reported HIV was diagnosed in the UK. Potentially migrants may be
- 6 aware of their HIV infection but not accessing services in the UK, and hence not
- 7 impacting on national surveillance data as yet. This seems unlikely but is not impossible,
- 8 especially as our qualitative data suggests people continue to access health care in home
- 9 countries and use the Internet to obtain medications (Burns, unpublished data). In
- 10 Central & Eastern Europe there is a high degree of stigma and discrimination attached to
- being HIV positive (22;23;23;24); given this data relies on self-reports a bias towards
- 12 underreporting of HIV seropositivity would be expected. Conversely HIV positive
- 13 people may be more interested in sexual health matters which may impact on
- 14 participation.

Limitations

- 16 The dilemma over how to interpret the HIV findings highlights one of the major
- 17 limitations of our survey, the absence of biological samples. The high-risk behaviours
- 18 reported suggest that blood-borne virus screening in this population would be
- 19 informative and should be considered in future studies. A further limitation is that the
- 20 data is based on self-reports. Central and Eastern Europeans are comprised of
- 21 heterogeneous populations that differ sociologically in ways that may impact on sexual
- 22 attitudes and lifestyles, for example religiosity and social liberalism. Numbers precluded
- 23 analysis by specific country of origin, Polish, Lithuanian and Romanian respondents
- 24 accounted for 76% of all data. A separate analysis was undertaken to ensure Polish

responses did not substantially differ from responses from the other 'A8' nationalities combined; they did not (data not shown). The limitations relating to convenience samples have previously been published (7). Natsal 2000 data was collected nine years prior to this data. It is possible that the frequency of reported behaviours would have changed in the British population over this time. However, there is no more recent survey with which to compare our data until Natsal 2010 data collection is completed. It is also possible that the sampling method may have created participation bias. Internet surveys of men who have sex with men show higher risk behaviours than the general population (ref), however these surveys use social networking sites often used to find sexual partners. None of the websites used to recruit for this study were social networking sites. The economic recession has seen the rate of influx decline, however large numbers of CEE nationals continue to migrate into the UK (1), and many migrants have now made the UK their permanent home. The UK has a duty of care to ensure appropriate risk reduction strategies are in place and that these new communities are aware of and able to access these services. Paying for sex was reported by over a third of all CEE men. Further research is needed on the cultural factors associated with commercial sex, what type of sex is occurring and where, and the risks involved. No association between time in the UK and many of the risk behaviours was found. The notable exception was reporting of ever having had sex with someone of the same sex, which was more likely with increasing time in the UK (p=0.034). High-risk behaviours, regardless of time in the UK, support the concept of the migrant as a 'risk-taker'. In Africa migration has been identified as a critical factor in high-risk sexual behaviour independent of marital and cohabitation status, social milieu, or awareness of HIV (25-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 27); it may be that this also holds true in other populations. Voluntary migrants are
- 2 individuals who take a risk to travel to, and work in, environments that they hope will be
- 3 beneficial to them. This risk-taking may permeate into the choices they make in other
- 4 areas of their lives (28).

24

Public health implications:

6 Migrants are often viewed as a health threat, yet evidence shows that the process of 7 migration can present a health threat to migrants themselves. The 'healthy migrant' effect (whereby because of the health and human capital required for migration, 8 9 immigrants are on average healthier than the population they originate from, and often 10 also the population in their host country (29)) is likely to be evident in these new 11 communities. Research, however, suggests that migrant health deteriorates more rapidly 12 than the general population with time (30). The mobile nature of migrant communities, 13 language barriers and confusion over entitlement to services often means members are 14 unlikely to benefit fully from public health programmes or access to health services. 15 Men in particular were less likely to be registered with a GP yet reported significant risk 16 behaviours for blood-borne viruses. Whilst this study focused on sexual and 17 reproductive health there are, of course, many other aspects to health likely to be 18 relevant to CEE migrants, and subsequently for their host nations. These include high 19 rates of smoking, alcohol consumption, and cardiovascular disease (31-33). 20 A feature of CEE migration to the UK is that many people migrate for relatively short 21 but recurring periods (1). Similarly our respondents returned home frequently. In a 22 borderless EU management of chronic health conditions and surveillance of 23 communicable diseases will increasingly involve trans-national collaborations. Systems

to monitor and facilitate trans-national health-care and disease surveillance for migrant

communities are needed. Improving health outcomes for migrants are likely to benefit both the receiving (or host) and home countries (34). This study helps to illuminate our understanding of the sexual lifestyles, sexual and reproductive health risks, and health service needs of these migrant communities. Results from this study will help inform service planning and identify where STI and HIV interventions should be targeted. It also provides baseline data to help evaluate the effectiveness of interventions and provides a useful adjunct to interpreting data derived from other community and clinic based surveys.

Table 1: Distribution of numbers of partners over past 5 years and past year by gender and age-group

	Men (age-group [years])				Women (age-group [years])			
	18-24	25-34	35 +	All	18-24	25-34	35+	All
Past 5 years								
Heterosexual partnerships	n=374	n=589	n=250	n=1213	n=470	n=637	n=207	n=1314
0 partners	12.8%	7.5%	5.2%	8.7%	11.1%	3.9%	4.3%	6.5%
1 partner	16.3%	19.2%	32.8%	21.1%	35.7%	46.0%	60.9%	44.7%
2 partners	11.2%	13.4%	16.4%	13.4%	28.3%	22.1%	19.3%	23.9%
3 to 5 partners	24.3%	29.5%	28.8%	27.8%	17.7%	19.5%	14.0%	18.0%
6 to 10 partners	18.7%	15.8%	10.0%	15.5%	4.7%	5.7%	0.5%	4.5%
11 or more partners	16.6%	14.6%	6.8%	13.6%	2.6%	2.8%	1.0%	2.4%
2 or more partners in past 5 years	70.8%	73.3%	62.0%	70.3%	53.3%	50.1%	34.8%	48.8%
Same sex partner in past 5 years	n=378	n=606	n=271	n=1255	n=478	n=654	n=222	n=1354
• •	4.2%	3.6%	1.8%	3.4%	3.6%	4.6%	3.2%	4.0%
Last heterosexual partner among those who've								
ever had sex:	n=326	n=551	n=247	n=1124	n=420	n=616	n=208	n=1244
From UK	12.9%	10.3%	7.3%	10.4%	10.0%	17.0%	15.9%	14.5%
From home country	69.6%	76.4%	81.8%	75.6%	78.1%	66.6%	70.2%	71.1%
From another country	13.2%	12.0%	9.7%	11.8%	11.4%	15.7%	13.9%	14.0%
Don't know	4.3%	1.3%	1.2%	2.1%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Heterosexual partnerships in the past year	n=353	n=559	n=235	n=1147	n=440	n=594	n=196	n=1230
One or more new partners in past year	46.7%	46.9%	37.4%	44.9%	35.0%	27.6%	20.4%	29.1%
Among those who've had sex in the past year:	n=284	n=504	n=201	n=989	n=391	n=569	n=169	n=1129
Only one partner	42.3%	52.6%	61.7%	51.5%	75.7%	82.1%	84.0%	80.2%
Serial monogamy	29.6%	23.2%	14.9%	23.4%	15.1%	10.2%	12.4%	12.2%
Concurrency	28.2%	24.2%	23.4%	25.2%	9.2%	7.7%	3.6%	7.6%
Mean number of UK consummated partners (sd)	1.2 (2.9)	1.1 (2.0)	0.7 (1.8)	1.0 (2.3)	0.5(0.9)	0.8 (2.0)	0.4 (0.9)	0.6 (1.5)
Mean number of partners without condom (sd) ¹	1.2 (2.0)	1.1 (1.7)	1.0 (1.6)	1.1 (1.8)	1.9 (18.9)	0.8 (1.0)	0.7 (0.6)	1.1 (11.1

New partners in past year								
1+ new heterosexual partners	n=353	n=559	n=235	n=1147	n=440	n=594	n=196	n=1230
	46.7%	46.9%	37.4%	44.9%	35.0%	27.6%	20.4%	29.1%
1+ new homosexual partners ²	n=377	n=606	n=271	n=1254	n=477	n=654	n=222	n=1354
	1.3%	1.3%	0.7%	1.2%	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.0%
Number of new heterosexual partners in past year								
Married: mean (SD)	2.35 (4.7)	0.72 (1.7)	0.52 (1.2)	0.73 (1.9)	0.33 (0.6)	0.19 (0.7)	0.10(0.3)	0.19(0.6)
Cohabiting: mean (SD)	1.19 (2.6)	0.90 (1.9)	0.63 (1.8)	0.96 (2.1)	0.34 (0.6)	0.52 (2.1)	0.6 (1.9)	0.46 (1.6)
Previously married: mean (SD)	5.0 (2.8)	5.31 (10.2)	1.05 (1.5)	2.1 (5.2)	1.0 (1.4)	1.19 (2.1)	0.55 (0.9)	0.73 (1.4)
Single: mean (SD)	2.18 (7.5)	1.69 (2.9)	1.95 (3.6)	1.93 (5.5)	0.66 (1.1)	0.94 (2.6)	0.24 (0.6)	0.75 (1.8)
All: mean (SD)	2.00 (6.6)	1.40 (3.1)	0.88 (1.9)	1.48 (4.4)	0.50(0.9)	0.52 (1.9)	0.32 (0.9)	0.48 (1.4)
Among those who've had sex in past year Only includes men reporting anal intercourse with a homosexual partner								

Table 2: Self-reported HIV and sexually transmitted infections¹ and place of diagnosis.

Sexually Transmitted Infection	%, 95% CI, (n) N=2296	Proportion diagnosed in the UK %, 95% CI
Chlamydia	2.7, 2.13.4 (63)	44.4, 31.9-57.5
Genital herpes	1.8, 1.3-2.4 (42)	28.6, 15.7-44.6
Genital warts	1.1, 0.7-1.6 (25)	32.0, 14.9-53.5
Gonorrhoea	2.0, 1.4-2.6 (45)	15.6, 6.5-29.5
Non-specific urethritis	0.5, 0.2-0.8 (11)	18.2, 2.3-51.8
Pelvic inflammatory disease (women only)	2.1, 1.4-3.1 (25)	8.0, 1.0-26.0
Syphilis	0.6, 0.3-1.0 (13)	15.4, 1.9-45.4
Trichomonas vaginalis	1.2, 0.8-1.7 (28)	21.4, 8.3-41.0
HIV	1.1, 0.8-1.8 (29)	31.0, 15.3-50.8

¹Data based on self-reports of ever-diagnosed infections rather than biological samples.

Table 3: Prevalence of sexual behaviours and drug use: Men and women by region of origin

	A8* n=939	Men A2 [¶] n=329	p-value [§]	A8 n=1097	Women A2 n=264	p-value
Heterosexual practices						
Vaginal intercourse in past month Oral-genital contact in the past year	61.2% 69.0%	53.4% 60.6%	0.057 0.008	70.1% 61.6%	76.0% 44.6%	0.374 <0.001
Anal sex in the past year	30.6%	23.5%	0.018	22.9%	13.3%	0.001
Condom used on all occasions, past						
4 weeks $^{\Psi}$ and:	n=224	n=76		n=135	n=18	
One partner past year	31.6%	29.6%	0.913	24.9%	30.1%	0.197
Two or more partners past year	34.8%	55.3%	0.002	34.1%	33.3%	0.950
All	33.6%	42.7%	0.050	27.0%	31.5%	0.389
Risk perception						
More at risk of HIV in UK than	n=767	n=271		n=884	n=225	
home country	57.0%	52.0%	0.066	52.8%	47.1%	0.271
Sexual partnerships						
Number of heterosexual partners past year: mean (SD)	2.36 (3.7)	3.29 (8.5)	0.064	1.42 (3.8)	1.07 (1.0)	0.010
Ever had homosexual partners	5.0%	2.8%	0.091	6.1%	1.9%	0.007
Concurrent partnerships, past year [†]	23.4%	32.2%	0.019	8.9%	3.3%	0.010
Ever paid for sex	28.5%	44.1%	< 0.001	NA	NA	NA
Where paid for sex (n=382)			0.911			NA
UK [#]	31.7%	31.6%		NA	NA	
Home country [#]	35.0%	38.2%		NA	NA	
Both in UK and home country [#]	17.1%	15.4%		NA	NA	
Elsewhere	16.3%	14.7%		NA	NA	
Drug use						
Ever injected non-prescribed drugs Injected non-prescribed drugs past	6.6%	1.2%	< 0.001	2.5%	0.0%	NA
year	2.4%	0.0%	NA	1.3%	0.0%	NA
Recreational drug use in past year (excluding intravenous drugs)	37.3%	14.2%	< 0.001	13.3%	5.0%	< 0.001
Sexual health						
Ever had HIV test	31.0%	37.5%	0.032	32.4%	34.9%	0.450
Ever diagnosed with a STI	n=798	n=277		n=988	n=236	
(excludes candidiasis)	8.6%	11.6%	0.153	12.8%	11.0%	0.455
Registered with a GP	45.1%	35.1%	0.002	67.5%	58.6%	0.006

^{*} A8 refers to Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia.

[¶] A2 refers to Bulgaria and Romania

[§] Comparing A8 with A2

[†] Among those who had vaginal or anal sex in the past year

^{*}May also include men who have paid for sex elsewhere in addition to UK or home country

 $^{^{\}Psi}$ Restricted to those reporting sex in past 4 weeks, refers to anal or vaginal sex.

Table 4: Multivariate analysis of risk behaviours comparing SALLEE respondents to

Natsal $^{\Psi}$ respondents.

Natsai respondents.					
Behaviour	SALLEE † (n=2323) %	Natsal [†] (n=2883, 1526)*	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)¶	p value
Men	n=1102	n=1216, 773*			
2+ partners (past 5 years)	77.3	58.9	2.4 (1.9, 2.9)	2.1 (1.6, 2.6)	< 0.001
2+ partners (past year)	45.0	29.4	2.0 (1.6, 2.4)	1.7 (1.3, 2.1)	< 0.001
Ever paid for sex with a woman	35.5	15.8	2.9 (2.3, 3.7)	3.2 (2.5, 4.0)	< 0.001
Ever injected drugs	5.9	2.4	2.6 (1.5, 4.5)	2.2 (1.3, 3.9)	0.005
Consistent condom use (past 4 weeks) #	36.6	29.2	1.4 (1.1, 1.8)	1.2 (1.0, 1.6)	0.099
Ever diagnosed with STI	8.9	13.6	0.6 (0.5, 0.8)	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	0.045
Women	n=1221	n=1667, 753*			
2+ partners (past 5 years)	52.9	40.9	1.6 (1.3, 2.0)	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)	0.058
2+ partners (past year)	18.7	15.6	1.2 (1.0, 1.6)	1.0 (0.8, 1.3)	0.915
Ever injected drugs	2.2	0.7	3.3 (1.3, 8.8)	3.0 (1.1, 8.1)	0.035
Consistent condom use (past 4 weeks) #	28.2	21.7	1.4 (1.1, 1.8)	1.3 (1.0, 1.8)	0.034
Ever diagnosed with STI	12.8	18.1	0.7 (0.5, 0.9)	0.7 (0.6, 1.0)	0.038

 $^{^{\}Psi}$ National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles 2000

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Restricted to London respondents aged 18-44 who reported ever having had sex (age range related to Natsal upper limit and SALLEE lower limit).

^{*} Unweighted, weighted denominator

[¶]Adjusted for age, relationship status, education: comparing SALLEE to Natsal respondents

^{*}Condoms used on all occasions of vaginal and or anal sex in the past 4 weeks

Key messages:

The accession of ten Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries to the EU has

resulted in the largest migratory influx in peacetime British history.

• This is the first study to provide estimates of sexual behaviour patterns in these new

communities.

• High rates of partner acquisition, paying for sex and injecting drug use were

reported; behaviours associated with increased risk of HIV and STI transmission.

• Reports of prior STIs were lower in CEE migrants to London than in the general

British population however 1.1% reported being HIV positive.

• Heterosexual CEE migrants demonstrate assortative sexual mixing.

Funding: This research was supported by the MRC Sexual Health and HIV Research

Strategy Committee (Grant: G0601703).

Acknowledgements: We are grateful for the expert guidance provided by our expert and

community advisory boards, for the hard work and commitment of the fieldworkers who

collected the data. We would also like to thank the staff and management at the Mortimer

Market Centre, the Archway Sexual Health Clinic and the Margaret Pyke Centre; the

websites that fielded the questionnaire; the many commercial, social and educational

premises that facilitated recruitment for our fieldworkers; and everyone who took part in

the study. This study was supported by the MRC Sexual Health and HIV Research

Strategy Committee, the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily

those of the MRC or the Health Departments.

Contributors: FB, CM, CG, RM, RF, JI, GH participated in the design of this study. AE, VP and FB were responsible for study coordination and data collection. FB, AE and CM conducted the statistical analysis. FB was the lead writer of this paper. All authors have seen and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts of interest.

All authors declare that the answer to the questions on your <u>competing interest form</u> are all No and therefore have nothing to declare

Reference List

- (1) Home Office, Department for Communities and Local Government, Department for Work and Pensions, HM Revenue & Customs. Accession Monitoring Report May 2004 March 2009. Crown Copyright; 2009.
- (2) Uuskula A, Puur A, Toompere K, *et al*. Trends in the epidemiology of bacterial sexually transmitted infections in eastern Europe, 1995-2005. Sex Transm Infect 2010 Feb;86(1):6-14.
- (3) Hamers FF, Downs AM. HIV in central and eastern Europe. Lancet 2003 Mar 22;361(9362):1035-44.
- (4) UNAIDS. Global Report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2010. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS); 2010.
- (5) Evans AR, Hart GJ, Mole R, et al. Central and East European migrant men who have sex with men: an exploration of sexual risk in the UK. Sex Transm Infect 2010 Dec 8.
- (6) Evans A, Mercer C, Parutis V, et al. Factors associated with GUM clinic attendance and STI diagnosis among Central and East European migrants in London. Sex Transm Infect 2011;in press.
- (7) Evans AR, Parutis V, Hart G, et al. The sexual attitudes and lifestyles of London's Eastern Europeans (SALLEE Project): design and methods. BMC Public Health 2009;9:399.

- (8) SNAP survey software [computer program]. Version 9. Bristol, UK: SNAP Surveys Ltd.; 2008.
- (9) Berend I. The historical evolution of Eastern Europe as a region. International Organization 1986;40(2).
- (10) Stokes G. Eastern Europe's Defining Fault Lines. In: Ramet S, editor. Eastern Europe:Politics, Culture and Society since 1939.Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Press; 2010. p. 15-34.
- (11) Szucs J. Three Historical Regions of Europe. In: Keane J, editor.London: Verso; 1998.
- (12) Intercooled STATA 8.0 [computer program]. College Station, Texas, USA: STATA Corp.; 2003.
- (13) SPSS [computer program]. Version 12.0. Chicago, Illinois, USA: SPSS Inc.; 2005.
- (14) Barlow D, Daker-White G, Band B. Assortative sexual mixing in a heterosexual clinic population--a limiting factor in HIV spread? AIDS 1997 Jul;11(8):1039-44.
- (15) Ford K, Sohn W, Lepkowski J. American adolescents: sexual mixing patterns, bridge partners, and concurrency. Sex Transm Dis 2002 Jan;29(1):13-9.
- (16) Riedner G, Dehne KL, Gromyko A. Recent declines in reported syphilis rates in eastern Europe and central Asia: are the epidemics over? Sex Transm Infect 2000 Oct;76(5):363-5.
- (17) McGarrigle CA, Mercer C, Fenton KA, *et al.* Investigating the relationship between HIV testing and risk behaviour in Britain: Analysis of the National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles 2000. AIDS 2005;19(1):77-84.
- (18) Health Protection Agency. Sexually transmitted infections in black African and black Caribbean communities in the UK: 2008 report. London: Health Protection Agency Centre for Infections; 2008.
- (19) Delpech VC, Yin Z, Abernethy J, *et al.* The impact in the UK of the Central and Eastern European HIV epidemics. Epidemiol Infect 2009 Sep;137(9):1266-71.
- (20) EuroHIV. HIV/AIDS Surveillance in Europe. Mid-year report 2007. Saint Maurice: Institut de Veille Sanitaire; 2007. Report No.: 76.
- (21) European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control/WHO Regional Office for Europe. HIV/AIDS Surveillance in Europe 2008. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Control & Prevention; 2009.
- (22) Downes.P. Living with Heroin: Identity, Social Exclusion and HIV among the Russian-Speaking Minorities in Estonia and Latvia. Tallinn: Legal Information Centre for Human Rights; 2003.

- (23) Bozicevic I, Voncina L, Zigrovic L, *et al.* HIV epidemics among men who have sex with men in central and eastern Europe. Sex Transm Infect 2009 Sep;85(5):336-42.
- (24) Sarang A, Stuikyte R, Bykov R. Implementation of harm reduction in Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Int J Drug Policy 2007 Mar;18(2):129-35.
- (25) Brockerhoff M, Biddlecom AE. Migration, sexual behaviour and the risk of HIV in Kenya. International migration review 1999;4:833-56.
- (26) Lurie MN, Williams BG, Zuma K, *et al.* The impact of migration on HIV-1 transmission in South Africa: a study of migrant and nonmigrant men and their partners. Sex Transm Dis 2003 Feb;30(2):149-56.
- (27) Zuma K, Gouws E, Williams B, *et al.* Risk factors for HIV infection among women in Carletonville, South Africa: migration, demography and sexually transmitted diseases. Int J STD AIDS 2003 Dec;14(12):814-7.
- (28) UNAIDS, International organisation for migration, Duckett M. Migrants' Right to Health. 2001. Geneva, UNAIDS. UNAIDS Best Practice Collection. Ref Type: Serial (Book, Monograph)
- (29) Marmot MG, Adelstein AM, Bulusu L. Lessons from the study of immigrant mortality. Lancet 1984 Jun 30;1(8392):1455-7.
- (30) Ronellenfitsch U, Razum O. Deteriorating health satisfaction among immigrants from Eastern Europe to Germany. Int J Equity Health 2004 Jun 13;3(1):4.
- (31) Yusuf S, Reddy S., Ôunpuu S, *et al.* Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases. Circulation 2001;104:2746-53.
- (32) Kern J, Strnad M, Coric T, *et al.* Cardiovascular risk factors in Croatia: struggling to provide the evidence for developing policy recommendations. BMJ 2005 Jul 23;331(7510):208-10.
- (33) McKee M, Shkolnikov V. Understanding the toll of premature death among men in eastern Europe. BMJ 2001 Nov 3;323(7320):1051-5.
- (34) European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control/WHO Regional Office for Europe. Migrant Health: Background note to the 'ECDC Report on migration and infectious diseases in the EU'. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2009.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in STI and any other BMJPGL products and sub-licences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms

Word count: 3694 (abstract 249)