

Synchronous resection for colorectal liver metastases: The future

S. Pathak, G. Sarno, G.J. Poston

▶ To cite this version:

S. Pathak, G. Sarno, G.J. Poston. Synchronous resection for colorectal liver metastases: The future. EJSO - European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 36 (11), pp.1044. 10.1016/j.ejso.2010.08.137. hal-00630329

HAL Id: hal-00630329

https://hal.science/hal-00630329

Submitted on 9 Oct 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Synchronous resection for colorectal liver metastases: The future

Authors: S. Pathak, G. Sarno, G.J. Poston

PII: S0748-7983(10)00479-8

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2010.08.137

Reference: YEJSO 3040

To appear in: European Journal of Surgical Oncology

Received Date: 6 January 2010

Revised Date: 9 July 2010

Accepted Date: 19 August 2010

Please cite this article as: Pathak S, Sarno G, Poston GJ. Synchronous resection for colorectal liver metastases: The future, European Journal of Surgical Oncology (2010), doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2010.08.137

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Synchronous resection for colorectal liver metastases:

The future

Pathak S, Sarno G, Poston GJ

Background:

As many as 25% of colorectal cancer patients will have liver metastasis (CRLM) at presentation and a further 20-30% will develop metachronous disease following colorectal surgery ⁽¹⁾. Hepatectomy is feasible in 20% of cases and five year survival rates of up to 37-58% have been reported ⁽²⁾.

When hepatic metastases are diagnosed concurrently with the primary colorectal tumour, the timing of surgical intervention remains controversial. Traditionally most centres have adopted a staged treatment with the colorectal primary being dealt with first, followed by hepatic resection. However recently there has been increasing enthusiasm for synchronous surgical management, or in some cases, a liver first approach. The surgical management of patients with synchronous colorectal metastases has not been clearly defined.

The aim of this article is to examine the arguments for these management strategies in patients who present with Stage 4 colorectal cancer.

Arguments against synchronous resection

Traditionally patients who have simultaneous detection of hepatic metastases and primary colorectal disease were regarded as a less favourable group in terms of tumour biology, as metastasis had occurred even before diagnosis ^(3, 4, 5). Most surgeons have advocated resection of the primary disease first, followed by hepatic resection if the disease has remained operable in the interval time period. ⁽⁶⁾

R0 resection may appear technically feasible at the time of surgery for the primary, however, partial hepatectomy will not provide additional benefit if occult distant disease is present. Therefore theoretically, delaying the resection of synchronous metastases for approximately 3-6 months may allow occult disease to become clinically overt ^(7, 8,). Using this approach, patients who become unresectable in the interval time period (due to aggressive tumour behaviour) can be spared the morbidity of additional major intra-abdominal surgery and instead undergo focussed palliative chemotherapy to prolong their survival, and increase their overall quality of life. Therefore the interval time period allows for assessment of tumour behaviour as well as selecting those patients most likely to benefit from partial hepatectomy. Additionally, neoadjuvant chemotherapy administered in this time interval may decreases the likelihood of micrometastases and hence improve overall length of survival.

A concern regarding this approach is that additional metastases may be found at hepatectomy ⁽⁸⁾. Additionally it is hypothesized that the doubling time of metastases from colorectal cancer is approximately 14 weeks and that at least 30 doubling cycles

are required for a tumour cell to become clinically apparent. Hence, it is more likely that additional disease found at re-evaluation is actually occult disease that was present at diagnosis/ primary surgery ^(8, 9). However, the increasing use of CT-PET, combined with very high quality CT and liver contrast enhanced MRI scanning will significantly reduce the risk of missing small volume occult disease.

Of further concern are metastases that are originally resectable at the time of primary surgery, that become unresectable during the time lag. This concern is more pressing for large hepatic metastases, as though they may be amenable for treatment via chemotherapy initially, chemotherapy cannot be administered during treatment of the primary, during which time it may enlarge. Additionally, rapid growth of metastases after removal of the primary tumour has been described in mouse models. The loss of primary tumour induced inhibition of angio-genesis in the metastases has been described as the model responsible for this phenomenon. (16, 17)

Arguments for synchronous resection

Several developments over the past few years have challenged the classical strategy of interval hepatic resection. In particular the continuing development of anaesthesia and critical care, improvements in radiological imaging and developments in hepatobiliary surgery have allowed traditional methods to be questioned ⁽⁶⁾. Increased pressures to decrease health care costs have also contributed to alternative approaches being considered. Clear disadvantages associated with staged resections include the cumulative duration of hospitalisation which is considerably longer and a second

surgical procedure with its additional costs as well as the psychological stress for the patient ⁽¹⁸⁾.

Several studies have demonstrated that simultaneous resection increases the morbidity and length of hospital stay ^(10, 11). This is countered by the fact that a second admission for intra-abdominal surgery is not required. Additional studies have also shown that simultaneous resections are not associated with increased hepatic (perihepatic abscess due to translocation of intestinal bacteria or decreased hepatocyte regeneration) or colonic (increased incidence of anastamotic leakage) complications when compared against staged procedures ^(12, 13, 14). However, excessive blood loss has been shown to have a deleterious effect on both short and long-term outcomes post hepatectomy ⁽¹⁵⁾. Therefore, one should be cautious in offering any patient with expected high blood (complex pelvic surgery, hepatic fibrosis, pre-treatment with oxaliplatin) loss a simultaneous resection

The argument for undertaking simultaneous resections is strengthened by recent studies which demonstrate the potential hepatotoxicity of some of the neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens now deployed ^(19, 20). The simultaneous approach essentially eradicates the role of chemotherapy. However, if chemotherapy is required the simultaneous resection may in fact potentate the effects of systemic chemotherapy by reducing the total volume of tumour present.

Additionally, it has been proposed that post operative infective complications are associated with a poorer long term outlook secondary to excessive pro-inflammatory cytokine release ^(21, 22, 23, 24). It is therefore possible, that a second major intra-abdominal operation could predispose to a poorer long term outcome, via a similar mechanism. The risk of post-operative infections would still be present and may in fact be higher on re-laparotomies.

Recommendations

We believe that there are certain situations which preclude a synchronous resection. Liver metastases found during emergency colorectal cancer resection (following obstruction, perforation or bleeding) should not be resected synchronously due to the high likelihood of peritoneal and other overt disease ⁽²⁵⁾. Additionally, cases where the probability of postoperative liver insufficiency is increased (cirrhotics or patients in whom a major hepatectomy is being undertaken) should not undergo synchronous resection. Simultaneous hepatectomy should not be performed unless the chance of achieving a negative resection margin is high ^(10, 14).

Therefore our recommendations are:

- a) Easy primary tumour resection and easy liver resection: synchronous resection
- b) Easy primary tumour resection, borderline/unresectable liver tumours: chemotherapy, followed by hepatectomy, followed by primary resection

c) Difficult/unresectable primary tumour resection, easy liver liver resection:
 chemo radiotherapy for primary tunour, primary resection followed by a
 hepatectomy

Most importantly, simultaneous resections should only be considered by surgical teams with considerable experience in both fields. With increasing financial demands on modern health care services and continued developments within surgery and anaesthetics, every patient with synchronous disease should be considered for simultaneous resection via a multi and inter disciplinary approach.

References:

- Cancer Research UK Information Centre (2003) CancerStats. Available at: http://www.info.cancerresearch.org/cancerstats
- Abdalla EK, Adam R, Bilchik AJ et al. Improving resectability of hepatic colorectal metastase: expert consensus statement. Ann Surg Oncol 2006; 13: 1271-80
- 3) Bolton JS, Fuhrman GM. Survival after resection of multiple bilobar hepatic metastases from colorectal carcinoma. Ann Surg 2000; 231: 743-751
- 4) Cady B, Stone MD. The role of surgical resection of liver metastases in colorectal carcinoma. Semin Oncol 1991; 18: 399-406
- Bismuth H, Castaing D, Traynor O. Surgery for synchronous hepatic metastases of colorectal cancer. Scand J Gastroenetrol Suppl 1998; 149: 144-149

- 6) Reddy SK, Pawlik TM, Zorzi D et al. Simultaneous Resections of Colorectal Cancer and Synchronous Liver Metastases: A Multi-institutional Analysis. Ann Surg Oncol 14(12):3841-3491
- 7) Scheele J. Hepatectomy for liver metastases. Br J Surg 1993;80:274-276
- 8) Lambert LA, Colacchio TA, Barth RJ. Interval Hepatic Resection of Colorectal Metastases Improves Patient Selection. Arch Surg 2000; 135:473-480
- Tannock IF, Hill RP. Tumour Growth and cell kinetics. The Basic Sciences of Oncology. E Pergamon Press Inc; 1987; 141
- 10) Belghiti J, Hiramatsu K, Benoist S, et al. Seven hundred forty-seven hepatectomies in the late 1990s: an update to evaluate the actual risk of liver resection. J Am Coll Surg 2000; 191:38-46
- 11) Poon RT, Fan ST, Lo CM et al. Improving perioperative outcome expands the role of hepatectomy in management of benign and malignant hepatobiliary disease. Analysis of 1222 consecutive patients from a consecutive database. Ann Surg 2004; 240:698-710
- 12) Martin R, Paty P, Fong Y et al. Simultaneous liver and colorectal resections are safe for synchronous colorectal liver metastases. J Am Coll Surg 2003; 197:233-42
- 13) Capusotti L, Ferrero A, Vigano L et al. Major liver resections synchronous with colorectal surgery. Ann Surg Oncol 2007; 14: 196-202

- 14) De Santibanes E, Lassalle FB, McCormack L at al. Simultaneous colorectal and hepatic resections for colorectal cancer: post operative and longterm outcomes. J Am Coll Surg 2002; 195:196-202
- 15) Kooby DA, Stockman J, Ben-Porat L at al. Influence of transfusion on periopertaive and long-term outcome in patients following hepatic resection for colorectal metastases. Ann Surg 134: 1123-1130
- 16) O'Reilly MS, Holmgren L, Shing Y et al. Angiostatin: a novel angiogenesis inhibitor that mediates the suppression of metastases by a Lewis lung carcinoma. Cell 1994; 79:315-28
- 17) O'Reilly MS, Holmgren L, Chen C et al. Angiostatin induces and sustains dormancy of human primary tumours in mice. Nat Med 1996; 2:689-692
- 18) Mentha G, Majno P, Terraz S et al. Treatment strategies for the management of advanced colorectal liver metastases detected synchronously with the primary tumour. Eur J Surg Oncol 2007 33: S76-S83
- 19) Rubbia-Brandt L, Audard V, Sartoretti P et al. Severe hepatic sinusoidal obstruction associated with Oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol 2004; 15:460-6
- 20) Vauthey JN, Pawlik TM, Ribeiro D et al. Chemotherapy regimen predicts steatohepatitis and an increase in 90-day mortality after surgery for hepatic colorectal metastases. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:2065-72
- 21) Law W, Choi H, Lee Y et al. The impact of postoperative complications on long-term outcomes following curative resection for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2007;14(9):2559-2566

- 22) Laurent C, Cunha A, Coudere P et al. Influence of post operative morbidity on long-term survival following liver resection for colorectal metastases. Br J Surg 2003; 90:1131-1136
- 23) Ito H, Chandrakanth A Gonen M et al. Effect of postoperative morbidity on long-term survival after hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Surg 2008; 247:994-1002
- 24) Schiesser M, Chen WC, Maddern GJ et al. Perioperative morbidity affects long-term survival in patients following liver resection for colorectal liver metastases. J Gastroenetrol Surg 2008;12: 1054-1060
- 25) Adam R. Colorectal cancer with synchronous metastases. Br J Surg 2007;94:129-31