



HAL
open science

Neutron structure of type III Antifreeze Protein allows the reconstruction of AFP-ice interface

Eduardo Howard, Matthew Blakeley, Michael Haertlein, Isabelle Petit-Hartlein, Andre Mitschler, Stuart Fisher, Alexandra Cousido-Siah, Andres Salvay, Alexandre Popov, Christophe Muller-Dieckmann, et al.

► To cite this version:

Eduardo Howard, Matthew Blakeley, Michael Haertlein, Isabelle Petit-Hartlein, Andre Mitschler, et al.. Neutron structure of type III Antifreeze Protein allows the reconstruction of AFP-ice interface. *Journal of Molecular Recognition*, 2011, 24 (4), pp.724. 10.1002/jmr.1130 . hal-00629934

HAL Id: hal-00629934

<https://hal.science/hal-00629934>

Submitted on 7 Oct 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Neutron structure of type III Antifreeze Protein allows the reconstruction of AFP-ice interface

Journal:	<i>Journal of Molecular Recognition</i>
Manuscript ID:	JMR-10-0126.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Jan-2011
Complete List of Authors:	Podjarny, Alberto; IGBMC, Structural Biology Howard, Eduardo; IFLYSIB Blakeley, Matthew; ILL Haertlein, Michael; ILL, PSB Petit-Hartlein, Isabelle; IBS Mitschler, Andre; IGBMC, Structural Biology and Genomics Fisher, Stuart; ILL Cousido-Siah, Alexandra; IGBMC, Structural Biology and Genomics Salvay, Andres; IFLYSIB Popov, Alexandre; ESRF Muller-Dieckmann, Christophe; ESRF Petrova, Tatiana; IMPB
Keywords:	neutron protein crystallography, antifreeze protein

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Neutron structure of type-III Antifreeze Protein allows the reconstruction of AFP-ice interface

Eduardo I. Howard^{a*}, Matthew P. Blakeley^b, Michael Haertlein^{b,c}, Isabelle Petit-Haertlein^{b,c}, Andre Mitschler^d, Stuart J. Fisher^{b,e}, Alexandra Cousido-Siah^d, Andrés G. Salvay^{a,f}, Alexandre Popov^g, Christoph Muller-Dieckmann^g, Tatiana Petrova^h, Alberto Podjarny^{d*}

^aIFLYSIB, UNLP-CONICET, Calle 59, 789, B1900BTE, La Plata, Argentina

^bInstitut Laue-Langevin, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, 38042, Grenoble, France,

^cILL-EMBL Deuteration Laboratory, Partnership for Structural Biology, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, 38042 Grenoble, France,

^dIGBMC, CNRS, INSERM, Université de Strasbourg, 1 rue Laurent Fries, Illkirch, France

^eDepartment of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria

^fUniversidad Nacional de Quilmes, Roque Sáenz Peña 352, B1876BXD Bernal, Argentina

^gESRF, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, 38043, Grenoble, France

^hInstitute of Mathematical Problems of Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, 142290 Russia

*Corresponding authors

howard@iflysib.unlp.edu.ar

podjarny@igbmc.fr

Abstract

Antifreeze proteins (AFPs) inhibit ice growth at sub-zero temperatures. The prototypical type-III AFPs have been extensively studied, notably by X-ray crystallography, solid-state and solution NMR, and mutagenesis, leading to the identification of a compound ice-binding surface (IBS) composed of two adjacent ice-binding sections, each which binds to particular lattice planes of ice crystals, poisoning their growth. This surface, including many hydrophobic and some hydrophilic residues, has been extensively used to model the interaction of AFP with ice. Experimentally observed water molecules facing the IBS have been used in an attempt to validate these models. However, these trials have been hindered by the

1
2
3 limited capability of X-ray crystallography to reliably identify all water molecules of the
4 hydration layer. Due to the strong diffraction signal from both the oxygen and
5 deuterium atoms, neutron diffraction provides a more effective way to determine the
6 water molecule positions (as D₂O). Here we report the successful structure
7 determination at 293K of fully perdeuterated type-III AFP by joint X-ray and neutron
8 diffraction providing a very detailed description of the protein and its solvent structure.
9 X-ray data were collected to a resolution of 1.05 Å, and neutron Laue data to a
10 resolution of 1.85 Å with a “radically small” crystal volume of 0.13 mm³. The
11 identification of a tetrahedral water cluster in nuclear scattering density maps has
12 allowed the reconstruction of the IBS-bound ice crystal primary prismatic face.
13 Analysis of the interactions between the IBS and the bound ice crystal primary
14 prismatic face indicates the role of the hydrophobic residues, which are found to bind
15 inside the holes of the ice surface, thus explaining the specificity of AFPs for ice
16 versus water.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Introduction

39
40
41 The so-called Antifreeze Proteins (AFPs) allow certain organisms living in cold
42 environments to survive sub-zero temperatures. They do this by preventing ice
43 growth and recrystallization in internal fluids through binding to ice surfaces (Davies
44 *et al.*, 2002; Margesin *et al.*, 2007; Venketesh and Dayananda, 2008). This presents
45 a unique case of molecular recognition, as AFPs need to discriminate between ice
46 nuclei and bulk water at slightly sub-zero temperatures, and therefore, they need to
47 recognize local structural features of ice which are not present in liquid water (Jia and
48 Davies, 2002).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The recognition and further binding to ice is the first stage in a two-step *adsorption*
4 *and growth inhibition* mechanism (Raymond and DeVries, 1977). In this model, AFP
5 molecules bind to well-defined sites on the ice surface. Ice may continue to grow
6 between the adsorbed AFPs (which act as impurities), developing a curved front,
7 which eventually leads to the termination of crystal growth, a phenomenon known as
8 the Kelvin effect (Wilson, 1993; Kristiansen and Zachariassen, 2005).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17
18 The success of this protection strategy is illustrated by the wide distribution of AFPs
19 in psychrophilic organisms, such as insects, plants, fungi and fish living in cold
20 regions. Each of these groups contains proteins which have different origins,
21 sequences and structures. In particular, five different types of polar fish AFPs have
22 been described (Types I–IV and antifreeze glycoproteins) with a characteristic
23 taxonomical distribution (DeVries, 1971; Ewart and Hew, 2002).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 We have studied fish type-III AFP (HPLC-12 isoform), a prototypical globular AFP of
34 7 kDa which has been the subject of a large number of experimental and
35 computational studies, allowing the identification of a region on the protein surface as
36 responsible for the recognition of particular lattice planes of ice (Chen and Jia, 1999;
37 Antson *et al.*, 2001; Siemer and McDermott, 2008; Siemer *et al.*, 2010; Garnham *et*
38 *al.*, 2010). This compound ice-binding surface (IBS) is composed of two adjacent,
39 nearly-flat surfaces inclined at approximately 150° to each other (Figure 1a). One
40 binds the primary prismatic plane of ice; the other, the pyramidal plane. The IBS has
41 the peculiarity of including a large proportion of hydrophobic residues (Figure 1b).
42 This characteristic was unexpected as it went against early proposals for the
43 interaction of AFP with ice, which were based on a hydrogen-bonding match of AFPs
44 to the ice surface. However, this characteristic becomes reasonable in the
45 perspective of differentiating locally the binding to ice, from that to bulk water. For the
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

case of liquid water, binding is indeed dominated by hydrogen-bonding, whereas ice presents other structural features, such as the holes in the middle of six-membered water rings, which can accommodate hydrophobic residues. As proposed by modeling studies (Yang *et al.*, 1998) these interactions could play a main differentiation role, and would be complemented by hydrogen-bonds.




Figure 1. a) Surface view of the compound ice-binding surface (IBS) of type-III AFP. The primary prismatic and pyramidal plane binding sections of AFP are indicated, along with some of the residues identified at the IBS (Gln-9, Leu-10, Pro-12, Thr-18, Leu-19 and Val-20). b) Ribbon representation of type-III AFP with all the compound ice-binding surface residues given as space-filled spheres (Gln-9, Leu-10, Pro-12, Ile-13, Asn-14, Thr-15, Ala-16, Thr-18, Leu-19, Val-20, Met-21, Gln-44). Residues are colored as follows: nitrogen = blue, oxygen = red, carbon = green, and deuterium = grey.

The structure of type-III AFP protein has been extensively studied, and there are about 30 models in the Protein Data Bank (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/>) that have been solved by X-ray crystallography at various levels of resolution, including structures at different pH and at different temperatures (Table I, supplementary material). Several faces of the hexagonal ice structure (prismatic, basal and others) have been fitted to

1
2
3 the IBS of each of these structures using modelling techniques which maximize the
4 energy of interaction (Antson *et al.*, 2001). In this study it is briefly mentioned that
5 crystallographically observed waters are used as a hint to the position of ice water
6 molecules. The result is a series of interface models, which explain the binding of
7 AFP to several ice planes. These models are supported experimentally by
8 macroscopic observations from etching experiments (Antson *et al.*, 2001; Garnham
9 *et al.*, 2010). Nevertheless, there is no direct structural experimental evidence
10 specifically identifying the atomic interactions of the IBS with specific water molecules
11 in the ice planes.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 In order to increase the visibility of partially disordered waters facing the IBS we have
26 used neutron crystallographic techniques in combination with fully perdeuterated
27 crystals of type-III AFP in heavy water (D₂O). In this way, the signal from D₂O water
28 molecules is significantly increased (about 3 times) relative to H₂O water molecules,
29 since deuterium atoms have a similar neutron scattering strength to carbon, nitrogen
30 or oxygen atoms (note that deuterium atoms diffract X-rays very weakly). This is
31 particularly useful in difficult cases where the diffraction signal is close to the noise
32 level. In addition, neutrons do not provoke any observable crystal radiation damage,
33 and therefore allow studies without cryo-cooling of the crystals, in conditions which
34 are close to those *in-vivo*.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 In spite of these advantages with respect to X-ray protein crystallography, fewer than
51 50 structures solved by neutron diffraction techniques are deposited in the Protein
52 Data Bank (around 1/1000 of the total), mostly from partially deuterated (with only
53 exchangeable hydrogen atoms replaced by deuterium) crystals. The reasons for this
54 low number are that neutron sources are very few and their fluxes are relatively low,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and therefore very large crystals (which are difficult to grow) and long data collection
4
5 times (in the order of weeks) have been needed to compensate for these limitations.
6
7

8
9 Recently, the use of fully perdeuterated crystals, obtained from samples from
10
11 dedicated deuteration facilities (Forsyth *et al.*, 2001), and the improvement of data
12
13 collection beam-lines, have allowed the use of much smaller crystals and therefore
14
15 enlarged the field of applicability of neutron diffraction to biological problems
16
17 (Blakeley, 2009). This is the case in the present work, where high quality neutron
18
19 data has been obtained from a radically small crystal (volume = 0.13mm³) by neutron
20
21 diffraction standards.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Materials and Methods**

30 **Production and characterization of perdeuterated type-III AFP (AFP** 31 **D)**

32
33 The synthetic gene of the type-III AFP corresponding to the sequence of the 1HG7
34
35 PDB entry (<http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/explore/explore.do?structureId=1HG7>) (Antson *et*
36
37 *al.*, 2001) was used (Salvay *et al.*, 2007). It was over-expressed in *Escherichia coli*
38
39 BL21(DE3) at the ILL-EMBL Deuteration Facility in Grenoble, France (Petit-Haertlein
40
41 *et al.*, 2009). The molecular weight of AFP D and its deuteration level were
42
43 determined by MALDI Mass Spectrometry. Samples of concentrated native AFP
44
45 diluted in trifluoroacetic acid 0.1% (Sigma) (final concentration about 10 mM), were
46
47 mixed with an equal volume of a saturated solution of sinapinic acid (Fluka) prepared
48
49 in a 50% (v/v) solution of acetonitrile/aqueous 0.3% trifluoroacetic acid directly on the
50
51 stainless steel sample plate and air-dried prior to analysis. The measured molecular
52
53 weight obtained (7456 Da), when AFP D was refolded in H₂O buffer, is very close to
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the theoretical value (7452 Da), indicating that more than 99% of the 418 non-
4 exchangeable (carbon-bound) hydrogen atoms were replaced by deuterium atoms.
5
6
7
8 We conclude that AFP expressed under the conditions described above, and
9
10 refolded in deuterated buffer, is fully deuterium-labeled *i.e.* perdeuterated.
11

12 13 14 15 **Crystallization**

16
17 The crystallization of AFP D in D₂O was reported previously (Petit-Haertlein *et al.*,
18 2009; Petit-Haertlein *et al.*, 2010). All crystallization experiments were carried out by
19 the sitting-drop vapour-diffusion method in 24-well sitting-drop Cryschem plates
20 (Hampton Research). The particular conditions were adapted from those of AFP H in
21 aqueous solution by a grid search for the optimal conditions for obtaining large
22 crystals. The differences between AFP D and AFP H crystallization conditions
23 respectively were: (i) temperature 12°C *versus* 22°C; (ii) pH=4.8 (pD=5.2) *versus*
24 pH=4.5; (iii) sodium acetate concentration in the reservoir 50 mM *versus* 20 mM; (iv)
25 initial concentration of ammonium sulfate in the drop 1.5 M *versus* 1.1 M.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The 50µl sitting-drop was prepared at 285K using 16µl protein sample and 34µl
39 reservoir solution and was equilibrated against 800µl reservoir solution (2.2 M
40 ammonium sulfate, 9% d₈-glycerol, 50mM sodium acetate, pD 5.2, temperature
41 12°C). With these conditions, orthorhombic-shaped crystals appeared after 3 weeks
42 and grew to maximum dimensions of 0.35 x 0.55 x 0.7 mm, corresponding to a
43 crystal volume of 0.13mm³.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 54 55 **X-ray data collection**

56
57 X-ray diffraction data of perdeuterated type-III AFP crystals were measured at the
58 ESRF beam-line ID29 at room temperature. Special care was taken to minimize the
59
60

radiation-induced damage when collecting data at room temperature, especially as high doses of X-ray photons are needed to measure accurately the highest possible resolution data. Three crystals of the same batch with approximate dimensions of 0.2 x 0.2 x 0.6 mm were measured – the best of these provided X-ray diffraction data to a resolution of 1.05 Å with adequate data processing statistics [see Table 1].

Table 1. X-ray data collection statistics for the AFP D crystal with volume of 0.024 mm³. Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

X-ray source, beam-line	ESRF, ID29
Wavelength (Å)	0.65250
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Unit-cell parameters	<i>a</i> = 32.7 Å, <i>b</i> = 39.1 Å, <i>c</i> = 46.5 Å, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$
Resolution range (Å)	50 – 1.05 (1.09 – 1.05)
No. of observations	173588
No. of unique reflections	28534 (2819)
Completeness (%)	99.7 (99.9)
$R_{\text{merge}}^{\#}$	0.048 (0.638)
Mean $I/\sigma(I)$	32.5 (2.66)
Multiplicity	6.1

$$^{\#}R_{\text{merge}} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)}, \text{ where } I(hkl) \text{ is the intensity of reflection } hkl, \sum_{hkl}$$

is the sum over all reflections and \sum_i is the sum over *i* measurements of reflection *hkl*.

Neutron data collection

Neutron quasi-Laue data were collected at 293 K on the LADI-III beam-line (Blakeley *et al.*, 2010) installed on cold neutron guide H142 at the Institut Laue-Langevin. Using the crystal of AFP D with a volume of 0.13 mm³, diffraction data were collected to 1.85 Å resolution. As is typical for a Laue experiment, the crystal was held stationary

1
2
3 at a different ϕ setting for each exposure. Initially, 13 contiguous images ($\Delta\phi = 7^\circ$)
4
5 were collected using an exposure time of 24 h per image in order to collect the high-
6
7 resolution data, followed by a low-resolution pass of 18 images ($\Delta\phi = 5^\circ$) using an
8
9 exposure time of 2 h per image. Next, the crystal orientation was modified and a
10
11 further 13 images were collected ($\Delta\phi = 7^\circ$) using an exposure time of 6 h per image,
12
13 followed by 18 images ($\Delta\phi = 5^\circ$) using an exposure time of 2 h per image. Finally, the
14
15 crystal orientation was modified again and a further 20 images (2h per image, $\Delta\phi =$
16
17 5°) were collected, such that the complete data set comprised 82 images with an
18
19 average exposure time of 6.15h per image. The neutron Laue data images were
20
21 processed using the Daresbury Laboratory *LAUE* suite program *LAUEGEN*, which
22
23 was modified to account for the cylindrical geometry of the detector (Campbell *et al.*,
24
25 1998). The program *LSCALE* (Arzt *et al.*, 1999) was used to determine the
26
27 wavelength-normalization curve using the intensities of symmetry-equivalent
28
29 reflections measured at different wavelengths and to apply wavelength-normalization
30
31 calculations to the observed data. The data were then scaled and merged in *SCALA*
32
33 (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994). Data collection statistics are
34
35 summarized in Table 2.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2. Neutron quasi-Laue data collection statistics for the AFP D crystal with volume of 0.13mm³. Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Neutron source, guide, instrument	Institut Laue-Langevin, Cold neutron guide H142, LADI-III
Wavelength (Å)	3.18 – 4.22
No. of images	82
Image width	Stationary
Setting spacing (°)	5, 7
Average exposure time (h)	6.15
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Unit-cell parameters	<i>a</i> = 32.7Å, <i>b</i> = 39.1Å, <i>c</i> = 46.5Å, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$
Resolution range (Å)	32.74 – 1.85 (1.95 – 1.85)
No. of observations	71182 (3133)
No. of unique reflections	4803 (544)
Completeness (%)	89.3 (71.4)
$R_{\text{merge}}^{\#}$	0.140 (0.195)
$R_{\text{p.i.m.}}^{\S}$ (all <i>I</i> ⁺ and <i>I</i>) [§]	0.031 (0.069)
Mean <i>I</i> / σ (<i>I</i>)	17.5 (5.5)
Multiplicity	14.8 (5.8)

[#] $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$, where *I*(*hkl*) is the intensity of reflection *hkl*, \sum_{hkl} is the sum over all reflections and \sum_i is the sum over *i* measurements of reflection *hkl*.

[§] $R_{\text{p.i.m.}} = \sum_{hkl} [1/(N - 1)]^{1/2} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$ (Weiss, 2001), where *I*(*hkl*) is the intensity of reflection *hkl*, \sum_{hkl} is the sum over all reflections and \sum_i is the sum over *i* measurements of reflection *hkl*.

X-ray structure determination and refinement

The D AFP structure was initially solved by molecular replacement using the PDB entry 1UCS (Ko *et al.*, 2003) and the program AMoRe (Navaza, 1994). The structure was initially refined in a single conformation mode against the X-ray data alone using the program REFMAC5 (Murshudov *et al.*, 1997) to an R_{work} of 18.7 % and an R_{free} of 21.2 % to 1.05Å resolution.

Joint X+N refinement

The single conformation X-ray structural model of AFP D to 1.05Å resolution determined at room-temperature was used as the starting model for the refinement using both the X-ray and neutron data in a joint refinement strategy using the *phenix.refine* program (Afonine *et al.*, 2010) in PHENIX (version 1.6.2). The model was first modified by removing all water molecules, and then random atom shifts (0.2 Å) were applied to the coordinates. Deuterium atoms were then added to the protein model using the *ReadySet!* program in the PHENIX program suite. Initially rigid-body refinement was performed, followed by several cycles of maximum-likelihood-based refinement of individual coordinates, atomic displacement parameters (ADPs) and atomic occupancies (isotropic for D-atoms, anisotropic for all other atoms). Using the modeling program *Coot* (Emsley *et al.*, 2010), rotamer and torsion angle adjustments were made manually throughout the model according to positive nuclear scattering density in both σ_A -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ and $F_o - F_c$ maps. The N-terminal methionine residue was seen to be disordered in the nuclear scattering density maps and could not be modeled. D₂O molecules were added to the model according to positive nuclear density in σ_A -weighted $F_o - F_c$ maps, with manual adjustment of all D₂O molecules completed using both σ_A -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ and $F_o - F_c$ nuclear scattering

density maps. A total of 73 solvent molecules were included in the final round of refinement using *phenix.refine*; 64 of these could be modeled as full D₂O molecules, with another 9 exhibiting spherical nuclear density and hence were modeled as O-only. The neutron R_{work} and R_{free} values for the final model were 16.2% and 21.6%, respectively, while the X-ray R_{work} and R_{free} values were 15.7% and 18.2%, respectively. The final refinement statistics from *phenix.refine* are summarized in Table 3. Molprobit (Davis *et al.*, 2007) was used to analyze the stereochemistry of the final model (see Table 3).

Table 3. Joint X-ray and neutron refinement statistics.

Refinement	Neutron	X-ray
Resolution range (Å)	29.95 – 1.85	19.6 – 1.05
R_{work} (%)	16.2	15.7
R_{free} (%)	21.6	18.2
No. of reflections	4792	28514
Model		
RMSD _{bonds} (Å)	0.012	
RMSD _{angles} (°)	1.35	
Atoms	1290	
Solvent molecules	73 (64 D ₂ O, 9 O-only)	
Deuterium atoms	689	
Residues with alternate conformations	Gln-9, Val-20, Glu-25, Thr-28, Asp-36, Val-45, Leu-55	
Ramachandran plot		
Favoured (%)	98.6	
Outliers (%)	0	
Rotamer outliers (%)	0	
Accepted regions (%)	1.4	

Results: Description of the joint X-ray/neutron structure

In this work, we have used joint neutron and X-ray diffraction data to obtain a very complete description of the AFP structure, including the positions of all deuterium atoms of both the protein and ordered water molecules. Examples of the high quality nuclear scattering density maps are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3, for the protein and solvent respectively.




Figure 2. σ_A -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ nuclear scattering density maps (contour level = 1.6 r.m.s.) for AFP D at 1.85Å resolution. a) Threonine-53. b) Asparagine-8. c) Valine-5. The orientations of the side-chains of amino-acid residues (e.g. methyl- and hydroxyl groups) are clearly seen due to the high quality of the nuclear scattering density maps obtained when using perdeuterated samples for data collection.




Figure 3. σ_A -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ nuclear scattering density map (contour level = 1.5 r.m.s.) for a water-cluster away from the IBS and located between two proline residues (Pro-29 & Pro-57). Note that both the O and D atoms are clearly seen in the map, showing the water molecule positions and their orientations.

1
2
3 A comparison between the present work and the previously published type-III AFPs
4 shows that the protein structure is essentially conserved. However, the joint X+N
5
6 refinement leads to a more complete description of the hydration layer, including both
7
8 ordered (64 D₂O molecules) and slightly disordered waters (9 O-only), which are
9
10 difficult to see with X-ray diffraction. Note that disordered water molecules do not
11
12 contribute to high-resolution diffraction, and therefore this difficulty is not overcome
13
14 by obtaining very high resolution X-ray data.
15
16
17
18

21 Identification of the tetrahedral water

22
23 From analysis of the nuclear scattering density maps for the solvent structure, we
24
25 were able to identify a cluster of four water molecules bound to a pocket in the IBS
26
27 (Figure 4) formed by Gln-9, Thr-18, Val-20 and Met-21. This water cluster was seen
28
29 to be close to tetrahedral geometry with one of the water molecules (1004) clearly
30
31 more disordered than the three others (Figure 5a). Upon close examination of the X-
32
33 ray maps for this disordered water, two positions (1004A and 1004B) could be
34
35 modeled (Figure 5b) indicating a split water position, with one of them (1004A) being
36
37 in almost perfect tetrahedral geometry. The fact that this water has a split
38
39 conformation and a relatively weak signal explains why it has been overlooked by
40
41 previous structure determinations. Note also that this water cluster is observed in a
42
43 region accessible to the solvent, while other parts of the IBS are involved in
44
45 intermolecular contacts in the crystal and therefore possible similar clusters cannot
46
47 be observed as the accessible surface is blocked by neighbouring molecules.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4. Stereo view of the tetrahedral water cluster bound to a pocket in the IBS. Some of the side-chains of amino-acid residues (Ala-16, Thr-18, Leu-19 and Val-20) in the vicinity of the water cluster are shown also.




Figure 5. Superposition of the tetrahedral water cluster model and density maps.

a) In blue, the σ_A -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ nuclear scattering density map (contour level = 1 r.m.s.) for the tetrahedral water cluster. Note that while there are large nuclear scattering peaks for the three water molecules 1001, 1002 and 1003, the nuclear scattering peak for water

1
2
3 1004 is much weaker, indicating disorder – as such it can only be
4 modeled as an oxygen atom.

5 b) In orange, the σ_A -weighted $F_o - F_c$ omit nuclear scattering density
6 map (contour level = 2.6σ) for the tetrahedral water cluster, showing the
7 strong signal for three of the four water molecules (1001, 1002, 1003)
8 and a relatively weaker signal for the disordered water 1004. From
9 analysis of the room temperature X-ray data for this tetrahedral water
10 cluster, the water identified as disordered in the nuclear scattering
11 density maps (1004) is found to be disordered in the electron density
12 maps also. The electron density maps indicate that this water is in a
13 split conformation, with the 2 sites (1004A and 1004B) located either
14 side of the position identified from the nuclear scattering density maps
15 (1004). In magenta, the σ_A -weighted $F_o - F_c$ electron density map
16 (contour level = 2.6σ) showing the peak for the first position 1004A of
17 the disordered water. The position of 1004A is seen to be in ideal
18 tetrahedral geometry for the water cluster. In green, the σ_A -weighted F_o
19 $- F_c$ electron density map (contour level = 2.6σ) showing the peak for
20 the second position 1004B of the disordered water.
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Ice Model building

28
29 The tetrahedral water cluster was then used to fit a primary prismatic plane of ice to
30 the protein. This was done by simple least-squares superposition using the CCP4
31 program LSQKAB (Kabsch, 1976; see figure 1S). Three waters of the tetrahedral
32 cluster were then expanded to a six member water ring, which is the basic building
33 block of the hexagonal ice structure. When doing this expansion, there are two
34 possibilities for the water ring conformation: (1) boat or (2) chair. The boat
35 conformation clearly placed the primary prismatic face roughly parallel to the
36 orientation of the IBS plane and therefore was chosen as the most plausible
37 orientation (Figure 6). The chair conformation placed the basal face roughly parallel
38 to the IBS plane. It should be noted that the fit between a complete ice face and the
39 IBS is not perfect, as there are short contacts between Pro-12 (which protrudes
40 slightly from the IBS plane) and the ice faces (Figure 7).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6. Primary prismatic ice face bound to the IBS in type-III AFP, built by expansion of the experimentally determined positions of the tetrahedral water cluster.





Figure 7. A close-up of the superposed prismatic plane of ice interaction with the IBS, illustrating the short contacts between Pro-12 and the ice face (other side chains have been removed for clarity).

Analysis of the ice model

From inspection of the AFP-ice interaction it could be clearly seen that the hydrophobic patches (such as the methyl groups of Thr-18, Val-20, Met-21) at the IBS face the holes in the middle of the ice water rings (Figure 8). This is in agreement

1
2
3 with the NMR observation (Siemer and McDermott, 2008) that these hydrophobic
4 residues make strong van der Waals interactions with ice, necessitating a large
5
6 residues make strong van der Waals interactions with ice, necessitating a large
7
8 interaction surface that can be provided by burying these residues in the water rings.
9
10 The ice waters resulting from this model show clear H-Bond interactions with the
11
12 polar IBS residues. The van der Waals interactions observed here are similar to
13
14 those in water clathrate-protein interactions, such as those identified in crambin
15
16 (Teeter *et al.*, 2001), however, in the AFP-ice case the van der Waals interactions are
17
18 made with the six-membered rings of the ice structure, while in water clathrate-
19
20 protein interactions the van der Waals interactions are more commonly observed for
21
22 pentagonal arrangements of water molecules.
23
24
25



26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46 Figure 8. Detail of the interface showing the methyl groups of the hydrophobic
47 residues Thr-18, Val-20 and Met-21 are placed facing the holes in the
48 ice structure.
49

50 51 52 Discussion

53
54 We have determined the structure of type-III AFP and its hydration layer using joint
55
56 neutron and X-ray diffraction data to obtain a maximum signal for the water
57
58 molecules. Within the solvent structure we have been able to identify a water cluster
59
60 with a tetrahedral geometry, typical of ice crystals. We have made the assumption

1
2
3 that this water cluster corresponds to the binding of type-III AFP to ice nuclei, and we
4
5 have built a model of the interface of the IBS with the primary prismatic face of ice by
6
7 extending this tetrahedral ice water cluster and its environment. This resulted in a
8
9 model which explains the importance of the hydrophobic patches at the IBS, as they
10
11 are placed facing the holes in the ice structure in the middle of the six-membered
12
13 water rings and therefore can make strong van der Waals contacts with ice.
14
15

16
17 It should be noted that one of the waters in the tetrahedral cluster is more disordered,
18
19 which has probably prevented the previous identification of this tetrahedral
20
21 arrangement, and that some of the IBS residues, notably Pro-12, disrupt the
22
23 prismatic face continuity. On the other hand, the position of Pro-12 could favor the
24
25 binding of the pyramidal face as proposed by Garnham *et al.*, 2010 (Fig 1a). A key
26
27 question is the reliability of the positioning of the tetrahedral water (1004A) facing the
28
29 IBS. To verify this hypothesis, we analyzed all related structures of type-III AFP's
30
31 deposited crystallographically determined and currently in the PDB (18 in total, see
32
33 Table 1S). The positions of the water molecules described in this paper were
34
35 compared with those observed in these structures.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43




Figure 9. Comparison of tetrahedral waters with those observed in similar structures deposited in the PDB. The waters observed in this paper are

1
2
3 shown as red spheres, the superposed tetrahedral ice cluster is shown
4 as yellow spheres (see figure 1S, supplementary material), and the
5 waters from related PDB structures are shown as grey spheres.
6
7
8
9

10 The positions of the water molecules described in this paper were compared with
11 those observed in similar protein structures deposited in the PDB (Figure 9, and
12 figures 2S and 3S in supplementary material). Note that PDB observed water
13 molecules which make direct protein contacts are well represented and tightly
14 clustered around the tetrahedral positions (1001 and 1002). On the other hand, those
15 corresponding to water 1003 are more disperse. The fourth position (1004) is the
16 least represented and most disperse, agreeing with the double conformation that we
17 observe. Of the two positions, 1004A and 1004B, the one with higher occupation
18 (1004B) is the most represented in the PDB.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Conclusions

36
37 The main structural question posed by the AFP biological function is how the protein
38 binds preferentially to ice than to water. Type-III AFP is highly soluble and does not
39 aggregate even at high concentrations (Salvay *et al.*, 2010), so in solution it is
40 surrounded by liquid water. On the other hand, it has a unique IBS surface, which in
41 the presence of ice nuclei recognizes the structural features which do not exist in
42 liquid water. Clear examples of such unique features are the holes in the centre of
43 the water rings of ice.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 Our proposition is that the IBS face of type-III AFP uses these holes to specifically
54 recognize ice against cold but liquid water, which has a short-range order similar to
55 ice but in which the holes in the middle of the six-membered water rings can be filled.
56
57
58
59
60

Note that this feature directly follows from the fitting of the experimentally determined

1
2
3 tetrahedral water cluster, which has been reliably determined by the joint X+N
4
5 structure determination at room temperature.
6

7
8 This work highlights the importance of neutron diffraction to reliably identify hydration
9
10 features in macromolecules, in particular those slightly disordered, based on its
11
12 special property of the strong neutron diffraction signal of deuterium atoms. It should
13
14 lead the way to further studies of this type, especially using the newly available
15
16 spallation neutron sources and the methodological developments around them.
17
18

19 20 21 22 **Acknowledgments**

23
24 This work has benefitted from the activities of the DLAB consortium funded by the EU
25
26 under contracts HPRI-2001-50065 and RII3-CT-2003-505925, and from UK EPSRC-
27
28 funded activity within the ILL-EMBL Deuteration Laboratory under grants
29
30 GR/R99393/01 and EP/C015452/1. This work was supported by the Human Frontiers
31
32 Science Program grant RGP0021/2006-C, the Centre National de la Recherche
33
34 Scientifique (CNRS), the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale,
35
36 the Hôpital Universitaire de Strasbourg (H.U.S), the Université de Strasbourg, the
37
38 Universidad de La Plata and the CONICET (PIP 112-200801-03247). EIH is a
39
40 member of the career of Scientific Investigator of CONICET (Argentina).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 49 **References**

50
51 Afonine PV, Mustyakimov M, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Moriarty NW, Langan P, Adams
52
53 PD. 2010. Joint X-ray and neutron refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Cryst. D66*(Pt
54
55 11): 1153-63.

56 [DOI:10.1107/S0907444910026582](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910026582)
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Antson AA, Smith DJ, Roper DI, Lewis S, Caves LSD, Verma CS, Buckley SL, Lillford
4 PJ, Hubbard RE. 2001. Understanding the mechanism of ice binding by type-III
5 antifreeze proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* **305**: 875-889.

6
7
8 [DOI:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4336](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4336).

9
10
11 Arzt S, Campbell JW, Harding MM, Hao Q, Helliwell JR. 1999. LSCALE – the new
12 normalization, scaling and absorption correction program in the Daresbury *Laue*
13 software suite. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**: 554–562.

14
15
16 [DOI:10.1107/S0021889898015350](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889898015350)

17
18
19
20
21 Blakeley MP. 2009. Neutron macromolecular crystallography. *Crystallogr. Rev.* **15**:
22 157–218.

23
24 [DOI:10.1080/08893110902965003](https://doi.org/10.1080/08893110902965003)

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Blakeley MP, Teixeira SC, Petit-Haertlein I, Hazemann I, Mitschler A, Haertlein M,
Howard E, Podjarny AD. 2010. Neutron macromolecular crystallography with LADI-
III. *Acta Cryst. D* **66**(Pt 11):1198-205.

[DOI:10.1107/S0907444910019797](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910019797)

Campbell JW, Hao Q, Harding MM, Nguti ND, Wilkinson C. 1998. LAUEGEN version
6.0 and INTLDM. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **31**: 496–502.

[DOI:10.1107/S0021889897016683](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889897016683)

Chen G, Jia Z. 1999. Ice-binding surface of fish type-III antifreeze. *Biophys J.* **77**:
1602–1608.

[DOI:10.1016/S0006-3495\(99\)77008-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77008-6)

Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4. 1994. The CCP4 suite: programs for
protein crystallography. *Acta Cryst.*, **D50**: 760–763.

[DOI:10.1107/S0907444994003112](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444994003112)

Davies PL, Hew CL. 1990. Biochemistry of fish antifreeze proteins. *FASEB J.* **4**:
2460–2468.

[PMID: 2185972](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2185972/)

1
2
3
4
5 Davies PL, Baardsnes J, Kuiper MJ, Walker VK. 2002. Structure and function of
6 antifreeze proteins. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **357**: 927–935.

7
8
9 [DOI:10.1098/rstb.2002.1081](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2002.1081)

10
11
12 Davis IW, Leaver-Fay A, Chen VB, Block JN, Kapral GJ, Wang X, Murray LW,
13 Arendall WB, Snoeyink J, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2007. MolProbity: all-atom
14 contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
15 **35**: W375–W383.

16
17
18 [DOI:10.1093/nar/gkm216](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm216)

19
20
21
22
23 DeLano WL. 2008. The PyMOL molecular graphics system. DeLano Scientific LLC,
24 Palo Alto, CA, USA. <http://www.pymol.org>.

25
26
27
28 DeVries AL 1971. Glycoproteins as biological antifreeze agents in Antarctic fishes.
29 *Science* **172**: 1152–1155.

30
31
32 [DOI:10.1126/science.172.3988.1152](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.172.3988.1152)

33
34 Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. (2010). Features and development of
35 Coot. *Acta Cryst. D* **66**(Pt 4):486-501.

36
37
38 [DOI:10.1107/S0907444910007493](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493)

39
40
41 Ewart KV, Hew CL. 2002. *Fish antifreeze proteins*. World Scientific: London
42 ISBN: 9789810248994

43
44
45
46 Forsyth VT, Myles DAA, Timmins PA, Haertlein M. 2001. Possibilities for the
47 Exploitation of Biological Deuteration in Neutron Scattering. In *Opportunities for*
48 *Neutron Scattering in the 3rd Millennium*, Dianoux J (Ed) Grenoble: Institut Laue-
49 Langevin; 47-54.

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

[DOI:10.1021/bi100516e](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi100516e)

1
2
3 Jia Z, Davies PL. 2002. Antifreeze proteins: an unusual receptor-ligand interaction.
4
5 *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **27**: 101-106.

6
7 [DOI:10.1016/S0968-0004\(01\)02028-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(01)02028-X).

8
9
10 Kabsch W. 1976. A solution for the best rotation to relate two sets of vectors. *Acta*
11
12 *Cryst.* **A32**: 922-923.

13
14 [DOI:10.1107/S0567739476001873](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567739476001873)

15
16
17 Kristiansen E, Zachariassen KE. 2005. The mechanism by which fish antifreeze
18
19 proteins cause thermal hysteresis. *Cryobiology* **51**: 262-280.

20
21 [DOI:10.1016/j.cryobiol.2005.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2005.07.007)

22
23 Ko T-P, Robinson H, Gao Y-G, Cheng C-HC, DeVries AL, Wang AH-J. 2003. The
24
25 Refined Crystal Structure of an Eel Pout Type-III Antifreeze Protein RD1 at 0.62-Å
26
27 Resolution Reveals Structural Microheterogeneity of Protein and Solvation. *Biophys.*
28
29 *J.* **84**: 1228-1237

30
31 [DOI:10.1016/S0006-3495\(03\)74938-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(03)74938-8)

32
33 Margesin R, Neuner G, Storey KB. 2007. Cold-loving microbes, plants, and animals -
34
35 fundamental and applied aspects. *Naturwissenschaften* **94**: 77-99.

36
37 [DOI:10.1007/s00114-006-0162-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-006-0162-6)

38
39
40 Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ. 1997. Refinement of Macromolecular
41
42 Structures by the Maximum-Likelihood Method. *Acta Cryst.* **D53**: 240-255.

43
44 [DOI:10.1107/S0907444996012255](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444996012255)

45
46
47 Navaza J. 1994. *AMoRe*: an automated package for molecular replacement. *Acta*
48
49 *Cryst.* **A50**: 157-163.

50
51 [DOI:10.1107/S0108767393007597](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767393007597)

52
53
54 Petit-Haertlein I, Blakeley MP, Howard E, Hazemann I, Mitschler A, Haertlein M,
55
56 Podjarny A. 2009. perdeuteration, purification, crystallization and preliminary neutron
57
58 diffraction of an ocean pout type-III antifreeze protein. *Acta Cryst.* **F65**: 406-409.

59
60 [DOI:10.1107/S1744309109008574](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1744309109008574)

1
2
3 Petit-Haertlein I, Blakeley MP, Howard E, Hazemann I, Mitschler A, Podjarny A,
4 Haertlein M. 2010. Incorporation of methyl-protonated valine and leucine residues
5 into deuterated ocean pout type-III antifreeze protein: expression, crystallization and
6 preliminary neutron diffraction studies. *Acta Cryst. F* **66**: 665-669.
7
8

9
10 [DOI:10.1107/S1744309110012352](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1744309110012352)
11

12
13
14 Raymond JA, DeVries AL. 1977. Adsorption inhibition as a mechanism of freezing
15 resistance in polar fishes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **74**: 2589–2593.
16

17 [PMID: 267952](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/267952/)
18

19
20
21 Salvay AG, Santos J, Howard EI. 2007. Electro-optical properties characterization of
22 Fish Type-III Antifreeze Protein. *J. Biol. Phys.* **33**: 389-398.
23

24 [DOI:10.1007/s10867-008-9080-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10867-008-9080-5)
25

26
27
28 Salvay AG, Gabel F, Pucci B, Santos J, Howard EI, Ebel C. 2010. Structure and
29 interactions of fish type-III antifreeze protein in solution. *Biophys. J.* **99**: 609-618.
30

31 [DOI:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.04.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2010.04.030).
32

33
34
35 Siemer AB, McDermott AE. 2008. Solid-State NMR on a Type-III Antifreeze Protein in
36 the Presence of Ice. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**: 17394-17399.
37

38 [DOI:10.1021/ja8047893](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8047893)
39

40
41
42 Siemer AB, Huang K-Y, McDermott AE. 2010. Protein-ice interaction of an antifreeze
43 protein observed with solid-state NMR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **107**: 17580-
44 17585.
45

46 [DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1009369107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009369107)
47

48
49
50
51 Teeter MM, Yamano A, Stec B, Mohanty U. 2001. On the nature of a glassy state of
52 matter in a hydrated protein: Relation to protein function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*
53 **98**(20):11242-11247.
54

55 [DOI:10.1073/pnas.201404398](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.201404398)
56

57
58
59 Venketesh S, Dayananda C. 2008. Properties, potentials, and prospects of antifreeze
60 proteins. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **28**: 57–82.

1
2
3 [DOI:10.1080/07388550801891152](https://doi.org/10.1080/07388550801891152)
4
5

6 Weiss MS. 2001. Global indicators of X-ray data quality. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **34**: 130–135.

7
8 [DOI:10.1107/S0021889800018227](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889800018227)
9

10
11 Wilson PW. 1993. Explaining thermal hysteresis by the Kelvin effect. *Cryo-Letters* **14**:
12 31-36.
13
14

15
16
17 Yang DSC, Hon W-C, Bubanko S, Xue Y, Seetharaman J, Hew CL, Sicheri F. 1998.
18 Identification of the ice-binding surface on a type-III antifreeze protein with a “flatness
19 function” algorithm. *Biophys J.* **74**: 2142-2151.
20
21

22 [DOI:10.1016/S0006-3495\(98\)77923-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(98)77923-8)
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60