



HAL
open science

On the spectrum of stochastic perturbations of the shift and Julia sets

El Houcein El Abdalaoui, Ali Messaoudi

► **To cite this version:**

El Houcein El Abdalaoui, Ali Messaoudi. On the spectrum of stochastic perturbations of the shift and Julia sets. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 2012, accepted for publication. hal-00628245v2

HAL Id: hal-00628245

<https://hal.science/hal-00628245v2>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE SPECTRUM OF STOCHASTIC PERTURBATIONS OF THE SHIFT AND JULIA SETS

E. H. EL ABDALAOUI AND A. MESSAOUDI

ABSTRACT. We extend the Killeen-Taylor study in [2] by investigating in different Banach spaces ($\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{N})$, $c_0(\mathbb{N})$, $c_c(\mathbb{N})$) the point, continuous and residual spectra of stochastic perturbations of the shift operator associated to the stochastic adding machine in base 2 and in Fibonacci base. For the base 2, the spectra are connected to the Julia set of a quadratic map. In the Fibonacci case, the spectra involve the Julia set of an endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 .

AMS Subject Classifications (2000): 37A30, 37F50, 47A10, 47A35.

Key words and phrases: Markov operator, Markov process, transition operator, stochastic perturbations of the shift, stochastic adding machine, Julia sets, residual spectrum, continuous spectrum.

¹Research partially supported by French-Brasilian Cooperation (French CNRS and Brasilian CNPq) and Capes-Cofecub Project 661/10 . The second author was Supported by Brasilian CNPq grant 305043/2006-4

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study in detail the spectrum of some stochastic perturbations of the shift operator introduced by Killeen and Taylor in [2]. We focus our study on large Banach spaces for which we complete the Killeen-Taylor study. We investigate also the case of Fibonacci base, but in this case, we are not able to compute the residual and continuous spectra exactly.

We recall that in [2], Killeen and Taylor defined the stochastic adding machine as a stochastic perturbation of the shift in the following way: let N be a nonnegative integer number written in base 2 as $N = \sum_{i=0}^{k(N)} \varepsilon_i(N)2^i$ where $\varepsilon_i(N) = 0$ or 1 for all i . It is known that there exists an algorithm that computes the digits of $N + 1$. This algorithm can be described by introducing an auxiliary binary "carry" variable $c_i(N)$ for each digit $\varepsilon_i(N)$ by the following manner:

Put $c_{-1}(N + 1) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(N + 1) &= \varepsilon_i(N) + c_{i-1}(N + 1) \pmod{2} \\ c_i(N + 1) &= \left\lfloor \frac{\varepsilon_i(N) + c_{i-1}(N + 1)}{2} \right\rfloor \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $i \geq 0$ and $[z]$ denote the integer part of $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let $\{e_i(n) : i \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an independent, identically distributed family of random variables which take the value 0 with probability $1 - p$ and the value 1 with probability p . Let N be an integer. Given a sequence $(r_i(N))_{i \geq 0}$ of 0 and 1 such that $r_i(N) = 1$ for finitely many indices i , we consider the sequences $(r_i(N + 1))_{i \geq 0}$ and $(c'_i(N + 1))_{i \geq -1}$ defined by $c'_{-1}(N + 1) = 1$ and for all $i \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(N + 1) &= r_i(N) + e_i(N)c'_{i-1}(N + 1) \pmod{2} \\ c'_i(N + 1) &= \left\lfloor \frac{r_i(N) + e_i(N)c'_{i-1}(N + 1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

With this we have that a number $\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r_i(N)2^i$ transitions to a number $\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r_i(N + 1)2^i$. In particular, an integer N having a binary representation of the form $\varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_{k+1} \underbrace{011 \dots 11}_k$ transitions to $\varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_{k+1} \underbrace{100 \dots 00}_k$ with probability p^{k+1} and a number having binary representation of the form $\varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_k \underbrace{11 \dots 11}_k$ transitions to $\varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_k \underbrace{00 \dots 00}_k$ with probability $p^k(1 - p)$. Equivalently, we obtain a Markov process $\psi(N)$ with state space \mathbb{N} by $\psi(N) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r_i(N)2^i$. The corresponding transition operator is denoted by S_p and given in Figure 2.

For $p = 1$ the transition operator equals the shift operator (cf. Figure 2), hence the stochastic adding machine can be seen as a stochastic perturbation of the shift operator. It is also a model of Weber law in the context of counter and pacemaker errors. This law is used in biology and psychophysiology [3].

In [KT], P.R. Killeen and J. Taylor studied the spectrum of the transition operator S_p (of $\psi(N)$) on ℓ^∞ . They proved that the spectrum $\sigma(S_p)$ is equal to the filled Julia set of the quadratic map $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ defined by: $f(z) = (z - (1 - p))^2/p^2$, i.e.: $\sigma(S_p) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, (f^n(z))_{n \geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\}$ where f^n is the n -th iteration of f .

In [5], Messaoudi and Smania defined the stochastic adding machine in the Fibonacci base. The corresponding transition operator is given in Figure 6. Their procedure can be extended to a large class of adding machine and is given by the following manner. Consider the Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ given by the relation

$$F_0 = 1, F_1 = 2, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

Using the greedy algorithm, we can write every nonnegative integer N in a unique way as $N = \sum_{i=0}^{k(N)} \varepsilon_i(N) F_i$ where $\varepsilon_i(N) = 0$ or 1 and $\varepsilon_i(N) \varepsilon_{i+1}(N) \neq 11$, for all $i \in \{0, \dots, k(N) - 1\}$ (see [10]). It is known that the addition of 1 in the Fibonacci base (adding machine) is recognized by a finite state automaton (transductor). In [5], the authors defined the stochastic adding machine by introducing a “probabilistic transductor”. They also computed the point spectrum of the transition operator acting in ℓ^∞ associated to the stochastic adding machine with respect to the base $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$. In particular, they showed that the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(S_p)$ in ℓ^∞ is connected to the filled Julia set $J(g)$ of the function $g : \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by:

$$g(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{p^2}(x - 1 + p)(y - 1 + p), x \right).$$

Precisely, they proved that

$$\sigma_{pt}(S_p) = \mathcal{K}_p = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (q_n(\lambda))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded} \},$$

where $q_{F_0}(z) = z$, $q_{F_1}(z) = z^2$, $q_{F_k}(z) = \frac{1}{p} q_{F_{k-1}}(z) q_{F_{k-2}}(z) - \frac{1-p}{p}$, for all $k \geq 2$ and for all nonnegative integers n , we have $q_n(z) = q_{F_{k_1}} \dots q_{F_{k_m}}$ where $F_{k_1} + \dots + F_{k_m}$ is the Fibonacci representation of n .

In particular, $\sigma_{pt}(S_p)$ is contained in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_p &= \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (q_{F_n}(\lambda))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded} \} \\ &= \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\lambda_1, \lambda) \in J(g) \} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_1 = 1 - p + \frac{(1-\lambda-p)^2}{p}$.




Fig.1. Transition graph of stochastic adding machine in base 2

Here we investigate the spectrum of the stochastic adding machines in base 2 and in the Fibonacci base in different Banach spaces. In particular, we compute exactly the point, continuous and residual spectra of the stochastic adding machine in base 2 for the Banach spaces c_0 , c , ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$.

For the Fibonacci base, we improve the result in [5] by proving that the spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^∞ contain \mathcal{E}_p . The same result will be proven for the Banach spaces c_0 , c and ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some basic facts on spectral theory. In section 3, we state our main results (Theorems 1, 2 and 3). Section 4 contains the proof in the case of the base 2 and finally, in section 5, we present the proof in the case of the Fibonacci base.

2. Basic facts from the spectral theory of operators (see for instance [1], [7],[8], [9])

Let E be a complex Banach space and T a bounded operator on it. The spectrum of T , denoted by $\sigma(T)$, is the subset of complex numbers λ for which $T - \lambda Id_E$ is not an isomorphism (Id_E is the identity maps).

As usual we point out that if λ is in $\sigma(T)$ then one of the following assertions hold:

- (1) $T - \lambda Id_E$ is not injective. In this case we say that λ is in the point spectrum denoted by $\sigma_{pt}(T)$.
- (2) $T - \lambda Id_E$ is injective, not onto and has dense range. We say that λ is in the continuous spectrum denoted by $\sigma_c(T)$.
- (3) $T - \lambda Id_E$ is injective and does not have dense range. We say that λ is in residual spectrum of T denoted by $\sigma_r(T)$.

It follows that $\sigma(T)$ is the disjoint union

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_{pt}(T) \cup \sigma_c(T) \cup \sigma_r(T).$$

It is well known and it is an easy consequence of Liouville Theorem that the spectrum of any bounded operator is a non empty compact set of \mathbb{C} . There is a connection between the spectrum of T and the spectrum of the dual operator T' acting on the dual space E' by $T' : \phi \mapsto \phi \circ T$. In particular, we have

Proposition 2.1 (Phillips Theorem). *Let E be a Banach space and T a bounded operator on it, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T')$.*

We also have a classical relation between the point and residual spectra of T and the point spectrum of T' .

Proposition 2.2. *For a bounded operator T we have*

$$\sigma_r(T) \subset \sigma_{pt}(T') \subset \sigma_r(T) \cup \sigma_{pt}(T).$$

In particular, if $\sigma_{pt}(T)$ is an empty set then

$$\sigma_r(T) = \sigma_{pt}(T').$$

3. Main results.

Our main results are stated in the following three theorems.

Theorem 1. The spectrum of the operator S_p acting on c_0 , c and ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$ is equal to the filled Julia set $J(f)$ of the quadratic map $f(z) = (z - (1-p))^2/p^2$. Precisely, in c_0 (resp. ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$), the continuous spectrum of S_p is equal to $J(f)$ and the point and residual spectra are empty. In c , the point spectrum is

equal $\{1\}$, the residual spectrum is empty and the continuous spectrum equals $J(f) \setminus \{1\}$.

Theorem 2. In ℓ^1 , the point spectrum of S_p is empty. The residual spectrum of S_p is not empty and contains a dense and countable subset of the Julia set $\partial(J_f)$, i.e. $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\} \subset \sigma_r(S_p)$. The continuous spectrum is equal to the relative complement of the residual spectrum with respect to the filled Julia set J_f .

Theorem 3. The spectra of S_p acting respectively in ℓ^∞ , c_0 , c and ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$, associated to the stochastic Fibonacci adding machines contain the set $\mathcal{E}_p = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\lambda_1, \lambda) \in J(g)\}$ where $J(g)$ is the filled Julia set of the function g and $\lambda_1 = 1 - p + \frac{(1-\lambda-p)^2}{p}$.

Conjecture: We conjecture that in the case of ℓ^1 , the residual spectrum of the transition operator associated to the stochastic adding machine in base 2 is $\sigma_r(S_p) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\}$. For Fibonacci stochastic adding machine, we conjecture that the spectra of S_p in the Banach spaces cited in Theorem 3 are equals to the set \mathcal{E}_p .

Remark 3.1. *The methods used for the proof of our results can be adapted for a large class of stochastic adding machine given by transductors.*

Remark 3.2. *We point out that from Killeen and Taylor method one may deduce in the case of ℓ^∞ that the residual and continuous spectrum is empty. On the contrary here we compute directly the residual and continuous spectrum in ℓ^α , c_0 and c .*

$$S_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p(1-p) & 1-p & p^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p^2(1-p) & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p & p^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p & p^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p^3(1-p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & p^2(1-p) & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p & p^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p & p^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p^2(1-p) & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p & p^2 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 1-p \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Fig.2. Transition operator of stochastic adding machine in base 2

4. Proof of our main results for stochastic adding machine in base 2.

We are interested in the spectrum of S_p on three Banach spaces connected by duality. The space c_0 is the space of complex sequences which converge to zero, in other words, the continuous functions on \mathbb{N} vanishing at infinity. The

dual space of c_0 is by Riesz Theorem the space of bounded Borel measures on \mathbb{N} with total variation norm. This space can be identified with ℓ^1 , the space of summable row vectors. Finally, the dual space of ℓ^1 is ℓ^∞ the space of bounded complex sequences.

We are also interested in the spectrum of S_p as operator on the space ℓ^α with $\alpha > 1$ and also in the space c of complex convergent sequences.

Proposition 4.1. *The operator S_p (acting on the right) is well defined on the space X where $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$, moreover $\|S_p\| \leq 1$.*

Since the operator S_p is bi-stochastic, the proof of this proposition is a straightforward consequence of the following more general lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite matrix with nonnegative coefficients. Assume that there exists a positive constant M such that*

$$(1) \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} \right) \leq M,$$

$$(2) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} \right) \leq M.$$

Then A defines a bounded operator on the spaces c_0, c, ℓ^∞ and $\ell^\alpha(\mathbb{N})$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. In addition the norm of A is less than M .

Proof. By the assumption (1) it is easy to get that A is well defined on $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ and its norms is less than M .

Now, let $v = (v_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $v \neq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} v_n = l \in \mathbb{C}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer j_0 such that for any $j \geq j_0$, we have $|v_j - l| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$.

Let $d = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{n,j}$, then from the assumption (1), we have that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1) \quad |(Av)_n - d \cdot l| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{n,j}(v_j - l) \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} a_{n,j}|v_j - l| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

But by the assumption (2), for any $j \in \{0, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$, we have $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n,j} < \infty$.

Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and for any $j \in \{0, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$, we have

$$(2) \quad |a_{n,j}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2j_0(\delta + 1)} \text{ where } \delta = \sup\{|v_j - l|, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Combined (1) with (2) we get that

$$|(Av)_n - d \cdot l| \leq \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Hence $AX \subset X$ if $X = c_0$ or c .

Now take $\alpha > 1$ and $v \in \ell^\alpha$. For any integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|(Av)_i|^\alpha \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j| \right)^\alpha.$$

Let α' be a conjugate of α , i.e., $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} = 1$. Then, by Hölder inequality we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j| \right)^\alpha \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j|^\alpha \right).$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j| \right)^\alpha \leq \left(\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{l,j} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j|^\alpha \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|Av\|_\alpha^\alpha &\leq M^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{i,j} |v_j|^\alpha \right) \\ &= M^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} \right) |v_j|^\alpha \\ &\leq M^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,j} \right) \|v\|_\alpha^\alpha \\ &\leq M^{1+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \|v\|_\alpha^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|Av\|_\alpha \leq M \|v\|_\alpha.$$

Hence A is a continuous operator and $\|A\| \leq M$.

The case $\alpha = 1$ is an easy exercise and it is left to the reader. □

From Proposition 4.1, we deduce that S_p is a Markov operator and its spectrum is contained in the unit disc of complex numbers.

Consider the map $f : z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \left(\frac{z-(1-p)}{p} \right)^2$ and denote by $J(f)$ the associated filled Julia set defined by:

$$J(f) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, |f^{(n)}(z)| \not\rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Killeen and Taylor investigated the spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^∞ . They proved that the point spectrum of S_p is equal to the filled Julia set of f . In addition, they showed that the spectrum is invariant under the action of f . As a consequence, one may deduce that the continuous and residual spectra in this case are empty.

Here we will compute exactly the residual part and the continuous part of the spectrum of S_p acting on the spaces c_0 , c and ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$.

Theorem 4.3. *The spectrum of the operator S_p acting on X where $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$ is equal to the filled Julia set of f , $J(f)$. Precisely, in c_0 (resp. ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$), the continuous spectrum of S_p is equal to $J(f)$ and the point and residual spectra are empty. In c , the point spectrum is the singleton $\{1\}$, the residual spectrum is empty and the continuous spectrum is $J(f) \setminus \{1\}$.*

For the proof of Theorem 4.3 we shall need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *The spectrum of S_p in X , where $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq +\infty\}$, is contained in the filled Julia set of f .*

The main idea of the proof of Proposition 4.4 can be found in the Killen-Taylor proof. The key argument is that the \widetilde{S}_p^2 is similar to the operator $ES_p \oplus OS_p$, where $\widetilde{S}_p = \frac{S_p - (1-p)Id}{p}$ and E, O denote the even and odd operators acting on X by

$$E(h_0, h_1, \dots) = (h_0, 0, h_1, 0, h_2, \dots),$$

and

$$O(h_0, h_1, \dots) = (0, h_0, 0, h_1, 0, h_2, \dots),$$

for any $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots)$ in X . Precisely, for all $v = (v_i)_{i \geq 0} \in X$, we have

$$\widetilde{S}_p^2(v) = ES_p(v_0, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}, \dots) + OS_p(v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2n+1}, \dots).$$

As a consequence we deduce from the mapping spectral theorem [8] that the spectrum of S_p is invariant under f .

Let us start the proof of Theorem 4.3 by proving the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *The point spectrum of S_p acting on X where $X \in \{c_0, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$ is empty, and the point spectrum of S_p on c is equal to $\{1\}$.*

For the proof, we need the following lemma from [2].

Lemma 4.6. [2]. *Let n be a nonnegative integer and $X_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : (S_p)_{n,m} \neq 0\}$, then the following properties are valid.*

- (1) *For all nonnegative integers n , we have $n \in X_n$ and $(S_p)_{n,n} = 1 - p$.*
- (2) *If $n = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_1 0$, $k \geq 2$, is an even integer then $X_n = \{n, n+1\}$ and $(S_p)_{n,n+1} = p$.*
- (3) *If $n = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{0 \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_s}_{s+1}$ is an odd integer with $s \geq 1$ and $k \geq t \geq s+1$,*

then $X_n = \{n, n+1, n-2^m+1, 1 \leq m \leq s\}$ and n transitions to $n+1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{1 \underbrace{0 \dots 00}_s}_{s+1}$ with probability $(S_p)_{n,n+1} = p^{s+1}$, and n transitions

to $n - 2^m + 1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{0 \underbrace{1 \dots 10}_{s-m} \dots 0}_m$, $1 \leq m \leq s$ with probability

$$(S_p)_{n, n-2^m+1} = p^m(1-p).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Let λ be an eigenvalue of S_p associated to the eigenvector $v = (v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in X where $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of S_p associated to the eigenvector $v = (v_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in X . By Lemma 4.6, we see that the operator S_p satisfies $(S_p)_{i, i+k} = 0$ for all $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$. Therefore, for all integers $k \geq 1$, we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k (S_p)_{k-1, i} v_i = \lambda v_{k-1}.$$

Then, one can prove by induction on k that for all integers $k \geq 1$, there exists a complex number $q_k = q_k(p, \lambda)$ such that

$$(5) \quad v_k = q_k v_0$$

By Lemma 4.6 and the fact that $(S_p - \lambda I)v_{2^n} = 0$ for all nonnegative integers n , we get

$$(6) \quad p^{n+1} v_{2^n} + (1 - p - \lambda) v_{2^n - 1} + \sum_{i=1}^n p^i (1 - p) v_{2^n - 2^i} = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$v_{2^n} = \frac{1}{p} A - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) v_0,$$

$$\text{where } A = -\frac{1}{p^n} ((1 - p - \lambda) v_{2^{n-1} + (2^{n-1} - 1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p^i (1 - p) v_{2^{n-1} + (2^{n-1} - 2^i)}).$$

On the other hand, by the self similarity structure of the transition matrix S_p , one can prove that if i and j are two integers such that for some positive integer n we have $2^{n-1} \leq i, j < 2^n$, then the transition probability from i to j is equal to the transition probability from $i - 2^{n-1}$ to $j - 2^{n-1}$. Using this last fact and (6), it follows that

$$v_{2^n} = \frac{1}{p} q_{2^{n-1}} v_{2^{n-1}} - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right) v_0.$$

This gives

$$(7) \quad q_{2^n} = \frac{1}{p} q_{2^{n-1}}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right),$$

where

$$q_{2^0} = q_1 = -\frac{1 - p - \lambda}{p}.$$

Case 1: $v \in c_0$ or ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$.

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{2^n} = 0$. Thus by (7), we get $p = 1$, which is absurd, then the point spectrum is empty.

Case 2: $v \in c$. Assume that $\lim q_n = l \in \mathbb{C}$, then by (7), we deduce that $l = 1$ or $l = p - 1$. On the other hand, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist k nonnegative integers $n_1 < n_2 \dots < n_k$ such that $n = 2^{n_1} + \dots + 2^{n_k}$. We can prove (see [2]) that

$$(8) \quad q_n = q_{2^{n_1}} \dots q_{2^{n_k}}.$$

Then $\lim q_{2^{n-2}+2^n} = l^2 = l$, thus $l = p - 1$ is excluded. Since S_p is stochastic, we conclude that $l = 1$ and $\sigma_{pt,c}(S_p) = \{1\}$. \square

Remark 4.7. *By the same arguments as above, Killeen and Taylor in [2] proved that the point spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^∞ is equal to the filled Julia set of the quadratic map f . In fact, it is easy to see from the arguments above that $\sigma_{pt,l^\infty}(S_p) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, q_n(\lambda) \text{ bounded}\}$. Indeed, (7) implies that if $(q_{2^n})_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded, then for all $n \geq 0$, $|q_{2^n}| \leq 1$. This clearly forces $\sigma_{pt,l^\infty}(S_p) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, q_{2^n}(\lambda) \text{ bounded}\}$ by (8). Now, since*

$$q_{2^n} = h \circ f^{n-1} \circ h^{-1}(q_1) = h \circ f^{n-1}(\lambda), \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $h(x) = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{1-p}{p}$, we conclude that $\sigma_{pt,l^\infty}(S_p) = J(f)$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that $\sigma_{l^\infty}(S_p) = J(f)$ and the residual and continuous spectra are empty.

Proposition 4.8. *The residual spectrum of S_p acting on $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha > 1\}$ is empty.*

Proof. Let λ be an element of the residual spectrum of S_p acting on c_0 (resp. c). Then, by Proposition 2.2, we deduce that there exists a sequence $u = (u_k)_{k \geq 0} \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$ such that $u(S_p - \lambda Id) = 0$.

Claim. $u_k = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have

$$\forall k \in 2\mathbb{N}, (u(S_p - \lambda Id))_{k+1} = pu_k + (1 - p - \lambda)u_{k+1} = 0.$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \forall k \in 2\mathbb{N}, u_k = q_1 u_{k+1}.$$

If k is odd, then $k = 2^n - 1 + t$ where $t = 0$ or $t = \sum_{j=2}^s 2^{n_j}$ where $1 \leq n < n_2 < n_3, \dots < n_s$. Since $(u(S_p - \lambda Id))_{k+1} = 0$, then we have

$$(10) \quad p^{n+1}u_k + (1 - p - \lambda)u_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n p^i(1 - p)u_{k+2^i} = 0.$$

Observe that the relation (10) between u_k and u_{k+2^n} is similar to the relation (6) between v_{2^n} and v_0 . Hence, by induction on n , we obtain

$$(11) \quad u_k = q_{2^n} u_{k+2^n}.$$

Indeed, if $n = 1$ then by (10) and (9), we get

$$p^2 u_k + (q_1(1 - p - \lambda) + p(1 - p))u_{k+2} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$u_k = \left(\frac{q_1^2}{p} - \frac{1-p}{p} \right) u_{k+2} = q_2 u_{k+2}.$$

Then (11) is proved for $n = 1$.

Now, assume that (11) holds for the numbers $1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.

Take $n = m$ and $1 \leq i < m$, then $k + 2^i = 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{m-1} + 2^i + t = 2^i - 1 + t'$ where $t' = 2^m + t$. Applying the induction hypothesis, we get

$$u_{k+2^i} = q_{2^i} u_{k+2^{i+1}} = q_{2^i} q_{2^{i+1}} \dots q_{2^{m-1}} u_{k+2^m}.$$

On the other hand, since $2^i + \dots + 2^{m-1} = 2^m - 2^i$, we have

$$(12) \quad u_{k+2^i} = q_{2^m-2^i} u_{k+2^m}.$$

Considering (10) with $n = m$ and (12) yields

$$u_k = -\frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \left((1-p-\lambda) q_{2^m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m p^i (1-p) q_{2^m-2^i} u_{k+2^m} \right).$$

Combined (5) and (6), we obtain (11) for $n = m$. Then (11) holds for all integers $n \geq 1$.

In particular, we have $u_{2^{n-1}-1} = q_{2^{n-1}} u_{2^n-1}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$. Thus

$$(13) \quad u_{2^n-1} = \frac{1}{q_{2^0} q_{2^1} \dots q_{2^{n-1}}} u_0 = \frac{1}{q_{2^n-1}} u_0, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, for all integers $n \geq 1$, by (9) we have $u_{2^n} = q_{2^0} u_{2^n+2^0}$. and from (11), we see that

$$(14) \quad u_{2^n} = q_{2^0} q_{2^1} u_{2^{2-1}+2^n} = \dots = q_{2^0} q_{2^1} \dots q_{2^{n-1}} u_{2^{n+1}-1}.$$

Consequently from (13) and (14), we obtain

$$(15) \quad u_{2^n} = \frac{1}{q_{2^n}} u_0, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Now fix an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $k = \sum_{i=1}^s 2^{n_i}$ where $0 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s$. We will prove by induction on s that the following statement holds

$$(16) \quad u_k = \frac{1}{q_{2^{n_1}} q_{2^{n_2}} \dots q_{2^{n_s}}} u_0 = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0.$$

Indeed, it follows from (15), that (16) is true for $s = 1$.

Now assume that (16) is true for all integers $1 \leq i < s$.

Case 1. k is odd.

In this case $k = \sum_{i=1}^s 2^{n_i} = 2^n - 1 + l = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^j + l$ where $l = 0$ if $n = s + 1$ and

$$l = \sum_{i=n}^s 2^{n_i} \text{ if } n \leq s.$$

If $n \geq 2$, we use (11) to get $u_{k-2^{n-1}} = q_{2^{n-1}} u_k$ and by induction hypothesis, we have

$$u_k = \frac{1}{q_{2^{n-1}} q_{k-2^{n-1}}} u_0 = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0.$$

If $n = 1$, we consider (9) to write $u_k = \frac{1}{q_1} u_{k-1}$. Thus, we deduce, by induction hypothesis, that

$$u_k = \frac{1}{q_1 q_{k-1}} u_0 = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0.$$

Case 2. k is even.

In this case $n_1 > 0$. and by (9), we deduce that

$$u_k = q_{2^0} u_{k+2^0} = q_{2^0} u_{k+2^1-1}.$$

Applying (11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= q_{2^0} q_{2^1} u_{k+2^2-1} = \dots = q_{2^0} q_{2^1} \dots q_{2^{n_1-1}} u_{k+2^{n_1}-1} \\ &= q_{2^0} q_{2^1} \dots q_{2^{n_1-1}} u_{(k-2^{n_1})+2^{n_1+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= q_{2^0} \dots q_{2^{n_1-1}} q_{2^{n_1+1}} u_{(k-2^{n_1})+2^{n_1+2}-1} \\ &= q_{2^0} \dots q_{2^{n_1-1}} q_{2^{n_1+1}} \dots q_{2^{n_2-1}} u_{(k-2^{n_1}-2^{n_2})+2^{n_2+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(17) \quad u_k = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n_s} q_{2^i}}{s} u_{2^{n_s+1}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n_s} q_{2^{n_i}}$$

$$\text{By (17) and (13) we get } u_k = \frac{1}{s} u_0 = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0.$$

Therefore we have proved that for all nonnegative integers

$$(18) \quad u_k = \frac{1}{q_k} u_0.$$

We conclude that u is in $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_k(\lambda)} \right| < \infty$.

But this gives that the residual spectrum of S_p acting on c_0 or c satisfy

$$(19) \quad \sigma_{r, C_0}(S_p) \subset \left\{ \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, 1)} : \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_k(\lambda)} \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

We claim that $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_k(\lambda)} \right| < \infty$ implies $|q_{2^n-1}| \geq 1$, for all integers $n \geq 1$.

Indeed, by D'Alembert's Theorem, we have

$$(20) \quad \limsup \frac{|q_n|}{|q_{n+1}|} \leq 1.$$

Now assume that n is even. Then $n = 2^{k_0} + \dots + 2^{k_m}$ where $1 \leq k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ (representation in base 2). In this case $n+1 = 2^0 + 2^{k_0} + \dots + 2^{k_m}$.

Using (8), we obtain $\frac{|q_n|}{|q_{n+1}|} = \frac{1}{|q_1|}$ and by (20), we get

$$(21) \quad |q_1| \geq 1.$$

Since for all integers $n \geq 0$, we have $q_{2^n} = \frac{1}{p}q_{2^{n-1}}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)$. It follows, from the triangle inequality, that $|q_{2^n}| \geq 1$ for all integers $n \geq 1$. Let i be a positive integer. Since $2^i - 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^j$, we obtain by (8) that $q_{2^i-1} = q_{2^{i-1}}q_{2^{i-2}} \cdots q_1$.

Hence

$$|q_{2^i-1}| \geq 1, \text{ for any integer } i \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, consider the first coordinate of the vector $\mu(S_p - \lambda Id) = 0$. Then we have

$$(1 - p - \lambda)\mu_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} p^i(1 - p)\mu_{2^i-1} = 0.$$

Dividing the two members of the last equality by p , we obtain

$$(22) \quad q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} p^{i-1}(1 - p)/q_{2^i-1}.$$

We claim that there exists an integer $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|q_{2^{i_0-1}}| > 1$. Indeed, if not the series $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{|q_{2^i-1}|}$ will diverge. Thus $|q_1| < \sum_{i \neq i_0}^{+\infty} p^i(1-p) + p^{i_0-1}(1-p) < 1$.

Absurd. We conclude that the residual spectrum of S_p acting on c_0 (resp. c) is empty.

The same proof yields that the residual spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$, is empty and the proof of the proposition is complete. \square

Remark 4.9. By (19), it follows that λ belongs to $\sigma_{r,X}$ where $X = c_0$ or c or ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$, implies $\lim |q_n(\lambda)| = +\infty$. But this contradicts Proposition 4.4, which forces $\sigma_{r,c_0}(S_p) = \sigma_{r,\ell^\alpha}(S_p) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 4.10. The following equalities are satisfied:

$$\sigma_{c,c}(S_p) = J(f) \setminus \{1\}, \quad \sigma_{c,c_0}(S_p) = \sigma_{c,\ell^\alpha}(S_p) = J(f) \text{ for all } \alpha > 1.$$

Proof. Assume that $X \in \{c_0, c\}$. Then, by Phillips Theorem, we see that the spectrum of S_p in X is equal to the the spectrum of S_p in ℓ^∞ and from Propositions 4.5 and 4.8, we obtain the result.

Now, assume $X = \ell^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$. According to Propositions 4.4, 4.5 and 4.8, it is enough to prove that $J(f) \subset \sigma(S_p)$. Consider $\lambda \in J(f)$. We will prove that λ belongs to the approximate point spectrum of S_p . For all integers $k \geq 2$, put $w^{(k)} = (1, q_1(\lambda), \dots, q_k(\lambda), 0 \dots 0, \dots)^t \in \ell^\alpha$ where $(q_k(\lambda))_{k \geq 1} = (q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is the sequence defined in (5) of the proof of Theorem 4.3 and let $u^{(k)} = \frac{w^{(k)}}{\|w^{(k)}\|_\alpha}$, then we have the following claim.

$$\textbf{Claim:} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(2^n)}\|_\alpha = 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\forall i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}, \quad \left((S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(k)} \right)_i = 0.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \left| ((S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(k)})_i \right|^\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j} w_j^{(k)} \right|^\alpha}{\|w^{(k)}\|_\alpha^\alpha}.$$

Putting $a_{i,j} = |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}|$ for all i, j and using (3), we get

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^k (S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j} w_j^{(k)} \right|^\alpha \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}| |w_j^{(k)}|^\alpha$$

where $C = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}| \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}}$ and α' is the conjugate of α .

Observe that C is a finite nonnegative constant because S_p is a stochastic matrix and λ belongs to $J(f)$ which is a bounded set.

In this way we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(k)} \right\|_\alpha^\alpha &\leq C \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^k |w_j^{(k)}|^\alpha |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}| \right)}{\|w^{(k)}\|_\alpha^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{C}{\|w^{(k)}\|_\alpha^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^k |w_j^{(k)}|^\alpha \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $k = 2^n$, we will compute the following terms

$$A_{kj} = \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j}|, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k.$$

Assume that $0 \leq j < k = 2^n$. Then $(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,j} = (S_p)_{i,j}$ for all $i \geq k$.

Case 1: j is odd. Then by Lemma 4.6, $(S_p)_{i,j} \neq 0$ if and only if $i = j - 1$ or $i = j$. Hence $(S_p)_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \geq k$. Thus

$$(23) \quad A_{kj} = 0.$$

Case 2: $j = 0$. Then by Lemma 4.6, we have

$$(24) \quad A_{kj} = \sum_{i=2^n}^{+\infty} (S_p)_{i0} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} p^i (1-p) = p^{n+1}.$$

Case 3: j is even and $j > 0$. Then $j = \varepsilon_{n-1} \dots \varepsilon_s \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_s = \sum_{i=s}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i 2^i$ with $s \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon_s = 1$. But by Lemma 4.6, $(S_p)_{i,j} \neq 0$ if and only if $i = 2^m - 1 + j$ where $0 \leq m \leq s$. Hence $i < 2^n = k$.

Therefore, in this case

$$(25) \quad A_{kj} = 0.$$

Now assume $j = k = 2^n$. In this case, we have $A_{kj} = |1 - p - \lambda| + \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{+\infty} (S_p)_{i,2^n}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.6, we deduce that $(S_p)_{i,2^n} \neq 0$ if and only if $i = 2^n + 2^m - 1$ where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $(S_p)_{2^n+2^m-1,2^n} = p^m(1-p)$. Therefore

$$(26) \quad A_{kj} = \sum_{i=2^n}^{+\infty} |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{i,2^n}| = |1 - p - \lambda| + \sum_{m=0}^n p^m(1-p).$$

By (23),(24),(25) and (26), we have for $k = 2^n$ and $0 \leq j \leq k$,

$$A_{kj} \neq 0 \iff j = 0 \text{ or } j = k = 2^n.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(2^n)} \right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} &\leq C \cdot \frac{|w_0^{(k)}|^{\alpha} A_{k0} + |w_k^{(k)}|^{\alpha} A_{kk}}{\|w^{(k)}\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}} \\ &= C \cdot \frac{p^{n+1} + |q_{2^n}|^{\alpha} \left(|1 - p - \lambda| + \sum_{m=0}^n p^m(1-p) \right)}{\|w^{(2^n)}\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\|w^{(2^n)}\|_{\alpha}$ goes to infinity as n goes to infinity. Indeed, if not since the sequence $\|w^{(2^n)}\|_{\alpha}$ is a increasing sequence, it must converge. Put $w = (q_i)_{i \geq 0}$ with $q_0 = 1$. It follows that the sequence $(w^{(2^n)})_{n \geq 0}$ converges to w in ℓ^{α} which means that there exists a nonzero vector $w \in \ell^{\alpha}$ such that $(S_p - \lambda Id)w = 0$. This contradicts Proposition 4.5. Now, since λ belongs to the filled Julia set which is a bounded set and $(q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a bounded sequence, it follows that $\|(S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(2^n)}\|_{\alpha}$ converge to 0, and the claim is proved. We conclude that λ belongs to the approximate point spectrum of S_p and the proof of Proposition 4.10 is complete. \square

This ends the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Spectrum of S_p acting on the right on ℓ^1 .

Here, we will study the spectrum of S_p acting (on the right) in ℓ^1 . We deduce from Proposition 4.4 that the Spectrum of S_p on ℓ^1 is contained in the filled Julia set $J(f)$. On the other hand, using the same proof than Proposition 4.10, we obtain that $J(f)$ is contained in the approximate point spectrum of S_p . This yields that the spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^1 is equal to $J(f)$.

Theorem 4.11. *In ℓ^1 , the residual spectrum contains a dense and countable subset of the Julia set $\partial(J(f))$. The continuous spectrum is not empty and is equal to the relative complement of the residual spectrum with respect to the the filled Julia set $J(f)$.*

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.8, shows that the residual spectrum of S_p in ℓ^1 is equal to the point spectrum of S_p (acting on right) in $l^{1'} = l^\infty$. By (18) and (22), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_r(S_p) &= \\ &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, (q_n(\lambda)) \text{ and } (1/q_n(\lambda)) \text{ are bounded and } q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-1}(1-p)}{q_{2^i-1}} \right\} \\ &= J(f) \cap \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, (1/q_n(\lambda)) \text{ is bounded and } q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-1}(1-p)}{q_{2^i-1}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$(27) \quad q_{2^n}^2 = f(q_{2^{n-1}}^2) = \dots f^n(q_1^2) = f^{n+1}(\lambda), \forall n \geq 0.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, q_{2^n}(\lambda) = 1\}$

Claim 1: $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\}$.

Indeed, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f^n(\lambda) = 1$ for some nonnegative integer $n \geq 1$. Then, by (27), we have $q_{2^{n-1}} = 1$ or $q_{2^{n-1}} = -1$. From (7), we see that $q_{2^{n-1}} = -1$ implies $q_{2^n} = 1$. Hence $f^{-n}\{1\} \subset E_{n-1} \cup E_n$. Since $1 \in E_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$, we conclude that, $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\} \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n$. The other inclusion follows from (27).

Claim 2: $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n \subset \sigma_r(S_p)$.

Indeed, assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in E_n$. Then by (7), we get that

$$(28) \quad q_{2^k} = 1, \forall k \geq n.$$

But from (28) and (8), we have that $(q_k(\lambda))_{k \geq 0}$ and $(1/q_k(\lambda))_{k \geq 0}$ are bounded. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-1}(1-p)}{q_{2^i-1}} &\iff q_2 = \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-2}(1-p)q_1}{q_{2^i-1}} \\ &\iff q_{2^k} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} p^{i-k-1}(1-p) \frac{q_{2^0} \dots q_{2^{k-1}}}{q_{2^i-1}}, \quad \forall k \geq 0 \\ &\iff q_{2^k} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-k-1}(1-p)}{q_{2^k} q_{2^{k+1}} \dots q_{2^i-1}}, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{p^{i-1}(1-p)}{q_{2^i-1}} \iff 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} p^i(1-p).$$

From this $\lambda \in \sigma_r(S_p)$ and the claim 2 is proved.

But 1 is a repulsor fixed point of f , it follows that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\}$ is a dense subset of the Julia set $\partial J(f)$. By this fact combined with claims 1 and 2, we

conclude that the residual spectrum contains a dense and countable subset of the Julia set $\partial(J(f))$.

On the other hand, $(p - 1)^2 \in J(f)$ since $f((p - 1)^2) = (p - 1)^2$, but $(p - 1)^2 \notin \sigma_r(S_p)$ because for any positive integer n , $q_{2^n}((p - 1)^2) = (p - 1)$, which implies that $\lim q_n = 0$ and hence $1/q_n$ is not bounded. Thus $(p - 1)^2 \in \sigma_c(S_p)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Conjecture 4.12. *We conjecture that the residual spectrum in ℓ^1 equals the set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} f^{-n}\{1\}$.*

Spectrum of S_p acting on the left.

Phillips Theorem combined with Proposition 2.2, Theorems 4.3 and 4.11, leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.13. *The spectrum of S_p (acting on the left) in the spaces c_0, c, l^α where $1 \leq \alpha \leq +\infty$ equals to the filled Julia set $J(f)$. Precisely:*

In c_0, l^α where $1 \leq \alpha < +\infty$, the spectrum of S_p equals to the continuous spectrum of S_p .

In c , the point spectrum of S_p equals $\{1\}$ and the continuous spectrum equals $J(f) \setminus \{1\}$.

In ℓ^∞ , the point spectrum equals to the residual spectrum of S_p in ℓ^1 .




Fig.3. Filled Julia set: $p = 0.7$

5. FIBONACCI STOCHASTIC ADDING MACHINE (SEE [5])

Let us consider the Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ given by the relation

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

Using greedy algorithm, we can write (see [10]) every nonnegative integer N in a unique way as $N = \sum_{i=0}^{k(N)} \varepsilon_i(N) F_i$ where $\varepsilon_i(N) = 0$ or 1 and $\varepsilon_i(N)\varepsilon_{i+1}(N) \neq 0$, $\forall 0 \leq i \leq k(N) - 1$.

It is known that the addition of 1 in base $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ (called Fibonacci adding machine) is given by a finite state automaton transductor on $A^* \times A^*$ where $A = \{0, 1\}$ (see Fig.4). This transductor is formed by two states (an initial state I and a terminal state T). The initial state is connected to itself by 2 arrows. One of them is labeled by $(10, 00)$ and the other by $(101, 000)$. There are also 2 arrows going from the initial state to the terminal one. One of these arrows is labeled by $(00, 01)$ and the other by $(001, 010)$. The terminal state is connected to itself by 2 arrows. One of them is labeled by $(0, 0)$ and the other by $(1, 1)$.

Assume that $N = \varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_0$. To find the digits of $N + 1$, we will consider the finite path $c = (p_{k+1}, a_k/b_k, p_k) \dots (p_2, a_1/b_1, p_1)(p_1, a_0/b_0, p_0)$ where $p_i \in \{I, T\}$, $p_0 = I$, $p_{k+1} = T$, $a_i, b_i \in A^*$ where $A = \{0, 1\}$ and the words $a_k \dots a_0$ and $b_k \dots b_0$ have no two consecutive 1. Moreover $\dots 0 \dots 0 a_k \dots a_0 = \dots 0 \dots 0 \varepsilon_n \dots \varepsilon_0$.

Hence $N + 1 = \varepsilon'_n \dots \varepsilon'_0$, where

$$\dots 0 \dots 0 b_k \dots b_0 = \dots 0 \dots 0 \varepsilon'_n \dots \varepsilon'_0.$$

Example: If $N = 10 = 10010$ then

N corresponds to the path $(T, 1/1, T) (T, 00/01, I) (I, 10/00, I)$.

Hence $N + 1 = 10100 = 11$.




Fig.4. Transductor of Fibonacci adding machine

In [5], the authors define the stochastic adding machine by the following way:

Consider "probabilistic" transductor \mathcal{T}_p (see Fig.5) where $0 < p < 1$, by the following manner.

The states of \mathcal{T}_p are I and T . The labels are of the form $(0/0, 1)$, $(1/1, 1)$, $(a/b, p)$ or $(a/a, 1 - p)$ where a/b is a label in \mathcal{T} .

The labeled edges in \mathcal{T}_p are of the form $(T, (x/x, 1), T)$ where $x \in \{0, 1\}$ or of the form $(r, (a/b, p), q)$ or $(T, (a/a, 1 - p), q)$ where $(r, a/b, q)$ is a labeled edge in \mathcal{T} , with $q = I$.

The stochastic process $\psi(N)$ is defined by $\psi(N) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r_i(N)F_i$ where $(r_i(N))_{i \geq 0}$ is an infinite sequence of 0 or 1 without two 1 consecutive and with finitely many non zero terms.

The sequence $(r_i(N))_{i \geq 0}$ is defined by the following way:

Put $r_i(0) = 0$ for all i , and assume that we have defined $(r_i(N-1))_{i \geq 0}$, $N \geq 1$. In the transductor \mathcal{T}_p , consider a path

$\dots (T, (0/0, 1), T) \dots (T, (0/0, 1), T)(p_{n+1}, (a_n/b_n, t_n), p_n) \dots (p_1, (a_0/b_0, t_0), p_0)$ where $p_0 = I$ and $p_{n+1} = T$, such that the words $\dots r_1(N-1)r_0(N-1)$ and $\dots 00a_n \dots a_0$ are equal.

We define the sequence $(r_i(N))_{i \geq 0}$ as the infinite sequence whose terms are 0 or 1 such that $\dots r_1(N)r_0(N) = \dots 00b_n \dots b_0$.

We remark that $\psi(N-1)$ transitions to $\psi(N)$ with probability of $p_{\psi(N-1)\psi(N)} = t_n t_{n-1} \dots t_0$.

Example 1: If $N = 10 = 10010$, then, in the transductor of Fibonacci adding machine, N corresponds to the path $(T, 1/1, T) (T, 00/01, I) (I, 10/00, I)$.

In the stochastic Fibonacci adding machine, we have the following paths (see Figure 2):

- (1) $(T, (1/1, 1), T) (T, (0/0, 1), T)(T, (0/0, 1), T) (T, (10/10, 1-p), I)$. In this case $N = 10010$ transitions to 10010 with probability $1 - p$.
- (2) $(T, (1/1, 1), T) (T, (00/00, 1 - p), I) (I, (10/00, p), I)$. In this case $N = 10$ transitions to $10000 = 8$ with probability $p(1 - p)$.
- (3) $(T, (1/1, 1), T) (T, (00/01, p), I) (I, (10/00, p), I)$. In this case $N = 10$ transitions to $10100 = 11$ with probability p^2 .




Fig.5. Transductor of Fibonacci fallible adding machine

By using the transductor \mathcal{T}_p , we can prove the following result (see [5]).

Proposition 5.1. *Let N be a nonnegative integer, then the following results are satisfied.*

- (1) N transitions to N with probability $1 - p$.
- (2) If $N = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_2 00$, $k \geq 2$, then N transitions to $N + 1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_2 01$ with probability p .
- (3) If $N = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{001010 \dots 1010}_{2s}$ with $s \geq 1$ and $k \geq t \geq 2s + 2$, then N transitions to $N + 1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{010 \dots 00}_{2s}$ with probability p^{s+1} , and N transitions to $N - \sum_{i=1}^m F_{2i-1} = N - \underbrace{F_{2m} + 1}_{2s} = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{0010 \dots 10}_{2s-2m} \underbrace{0 \dots 00}_{2m}$, $1 \leq m \leq s$ with probability $p^m(1 - p)$.
- (4) If $N = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{0101 \dots 0101}_{2s}$, $s \geq 2$ and $k \geq t \geq 2s + 1$, then N transitions to $N + 1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{01000 \dots 000}_{2s}$ with probability p^s , and N transitions to $N - \sum_{i=0}^m F_{2i} = N - \underbrace{F_{2m+1} + 1}_{2s} = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t \underbrace{0010 \dots 10}_{2s-2m} \underbrace{0 \dots 00}_{2m-1}$, $2 \leq m \leq s$ with probability $p^{m-1}(1 - p)$.
- (5) If $N = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_3 001$, $k \geq 3$, then N transitions to $N + 1 = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_3 010$ with probability p .

By Proposition 5.1, we construct the transition graph. We also find the transition operator S_p associated to the transition graph.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p(1-p) & 0 & 1-p & p^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p(1-p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ p^2(1-p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 0 & 1-p & p^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 0 & 0 & p(1-p) & 0 & 1-p & p^2 & 0 \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Fig.6. Transition graph of stochastic adding machine in Fibonacci base.

Remark 5.2. In [5], the authors prove that the point spectrum of S_p in ℓ^∞ is equal to the set $\mathcal{K}_p = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, (q_n(\lambda))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded}\}$, where $q_{F_0}(z) = z$, $q_{F_1}(z) = z^2$, $q_{F_k}(z) = \frac{1}{p} q_{F_{k-1}}(z) q_{F_{k-2}}(z) - \frac{1-p}{p}$, for all $k \geq 2$ and for all nonnegative integers n , we have $q_n(z) = q_{F_{k_1}} \dots q_{F_{k_m}}$ where $F_{k_1} + \dots + F_{k_m}$ is the Fibonacci representation of n . In particular, $\sigma_{pt}(S_p)$ is contained in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_p &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (q_{F_n}(\lambda))_{n \geq 1} \text{ is bounded}\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\lambda_1, \lambda) \in J(g)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $J(g)$ is the filled Julia set of the function $g : \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by: $g(x, y) = (\frac{1}{p^2}(x-1+p)(y-1+p), x)$ and $\lambda_1 = 1 - p + \frac{(1-\lambda-p)^2}{p}$. They also investigated the topological properties of \mathcal{E}_p .

Proposition 5.3. *The operator S_p is well defined in the Banach spaces c_0, c and ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$. The point spectra of S_p acting in the spaces c_0 , and ℓ^α associated to the stochastic Fibonacci adding machines are empty sets. In c , the point spectrum equals $\{1\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, we can prove that the sum of coefficients of every column of S_p is bounded by a fixed constant $M > 0$.

Indeed, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} p_{i,n}$ be the sum of coefficients of the n -th column.

If $n = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_2 01$ or $n = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_3 010$ (Fibonacci representation), then by 1), 2) and 5) of Proposition 5.1, we have $s_n = 1$

If $n = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t 01 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_s 00$, $s \geq 2$, then for all integers $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_{i,n} > 0$ implies that $i = n$ or $i = n - 1$ or $i = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t 01 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{s-2m} \underbrace{01 \dots 01}_{2m}$, $s \geq 2m$ or $i = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t 01 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{s-2m} \underbrace{10 \dots 10}_{2m}$, $s \geq 2m$. Hence $s_n \leq 1 - p + p^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p^m (1-p) \leq 1 + 2p$.

If $n = 0$, then $s_n \leq 1 + p$.

On the other hand, since S_p is a stochastic matrix, then by Proposition 4.1, S_p is well defined in the spaces c_0, c (resp. in ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$).

Now, let λ be an eigenvalue of S_p in X where $X \in \{c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$ associated to the eigenvector $v = (v_i)_{i \geq 0} \in X$. Since the transition probability from any nonnegative integer i to any integer $i + k$, $k \geq 2$ is $p_{i,i+k} = 0$ (see Proposition 5.1), the operator S_p satisfies $(S_p)_{i,i+k} = 0$ for all $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$. Thus for every integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$(29) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k p_{k-1,i} v_i = \lambda v_{k-1}.$$

Then, we can prove by induction on k that for any integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a complex number $c_k = c_k(p, \lambda)$ such that

$$(30) \quad v_k = c_k v_0$$

Using the fact that the matrix S_p is auto-similar, we can prove that $c_k = q_k$ for all integers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Theorem 1, page 303, [5]). Since

$$q_{F_n}(z) = \frac{1}{p} q_{F_{n-1}}(z) q_{F_{n-2}}(z) - \frac{1-p}{p}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and (q_{F_n}) converges to 0 when n goes to infinity, we obtain that the point spectrum of S_p acting in c_0 (resp. in ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$) is empty. Using the same idea than proposition 4.5, we see that $\sigma_{pt,c} = \{1\}$. □

Remark 5.4. *By Phillips Theorem and duality, it follows that the spectra of S_p acting in X where $X \in \{c_0, c, l^1, l^\infty\}$ associated to the stochastic Fibonacci adding machine are equals.*

Theorem 5.5. *The spectra of S_p acting in X where $X \in \{l^\infty, c_0, c, \ell^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\}$ contain the set $\mathcal{E}_p = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, (q_{F_n}(\lambda))_{n \geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.10 and will be done in case ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_p$ and let us prove that λ belongs to the approximate point spectrum of S_p in ℓ^α , $\alpha > 1$.

For every integer $k \geq 2$, consider $w^{(k)} = (1, q_1(\lambda), \dots, q_k(\lambda), 0 \dots 0, \dots)^t \in \ell^\alpha$ where $(q_k(\lambda))_{k \geq 1} = (q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is the sequence defined in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Let $u^{(k)} = \frac{w^{(k)}}{\|w^{(k)}\|_\alpha}$, then we have the following claim.

Claim: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(F_n)}\|_\alpha = 0$.

By using the same proof than Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\left\| (S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(F_n)} \right\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \frac{D}{\|w^{(F_n)}\|_\alpha^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{F_n} |w_j^{(F_n)}|^\alpha B_{F_n, j}$$

where D is a positive constant and $B_{F_n, j} = \sum_{i=F_n}^{+\infty} |(S_p - \lambda Id)_{ij}|$.

We can prove by the same manner done in Proposition 4.10 that for $0 \leq j \leq F_n$,

$$B_{F_n, j} \neq 0 \iff j = 0 \text{ or } j = F_n.$$

Indeed, if $j \in \{1, \dots, F_n - 1\}$, then since $i \geq F_n$, we have $(S_p - \lambda Id)_{ij} = p_{i, j}$. If the Fibonacci representation of j is $j = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_2 0 1$ or $j = \varepsilon_k \dots \varepsilon_t 1 0 \dots 0$, it is easy to see by Proposition 5.1 that $p_{i, j} \neq 0$ implies $i < F_n$.

On the other hand, if $j = 0$ then $B_{F_n, j} = \sum_{l=F_n}^{+\infty} p_{l, 0}$. Since $p_{l, 0} \neq 0$ if and only $l = F_i - 1$ and $p_{F_i - 1, 0} = p^{\lceil i/2 \rceil} (1 - p)$, we have $B_{F_n, j} \leq 2 \sum_{i=m}^{+\infty} p^i (1 - p) = 2p^m$ where $m = \lceil (n + 1)/2 \rceil$.

Now assume $j = F_n$. In this case, we have $B_{F_n, j} = |1 - p - \lambda| + \sum_{i=F_n+1}^{+\infty} p_{i, F_n}$.

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.1, we deduce that $p_{i, F_n} \neq 0$ if and only if $i = F_n + F_m - 1$ where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $p_{F_n + F_m - 1, F_n} = p^{\lceil m/2 \rceil} (1 - p)$. Therefore

$$(31) \quad B_{F_n, F_n} = |1 - p - \lambda| + \sum_{m=0}^n p^{\lceil m/2 \rceil} (1 - p) \leq |1 - p - \lambda| + 2$$

Hence



$$\left\| (S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(F_n)} \right\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq D \frac{2p^m + |q_{F_n}|^\alpha (|1 - p - \lambda| + 2)}{\|w^{(F_n)}\|_\alpha^\alpha}.$$

Since $\|w^{(F_n)}\|_\alpha$ goes to infinity as n goes to infinity and $(q_{F_n})_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded, it follows that $\|(S_p - \lambda Id)u^{(F_n)}\|_\alpha$ converge to 0. Therefore λ belongs to the approximate point spectrum of S_p . Thus the spectrum of S_p acting on ℓ^α $\alpha > 1$, contains \mathcal{E}_p .

This finishes the proof for ℓ^α $\alpha > 1$. The case ℓ^1 can be handled in the same way, the details being left to the reader. \square

Open questions. We are not yet able to compute the residual and continuous spectrum of S_p acting in the Banach spaces ℓ^∞ , c_0 , c or in ℓ^α , $\alpha \geq 1$. We conjecture that $\sigma(S_p) = \mathcal{E}_p$. Moreover, in the case of ℓ^∞ we conjecture that the residual spectrum is empty and the continuous spectrum is the set $\mathcal{E}_p \setminus \mathcal{K}_p$. The difficulty here is that the matrix S_p is not bi-stochastic. One may also look for a characterization of all real numbers $0 < p < 1$ for which $\mathcal{E}_p \neq \mathcal{K}_p$.

Acknowledgment 1. *The first author would like to express a heartfelt thanks to Albert Fisher, Eduardo Garibaldi, Paulo Ruffino and Margherita Disertori for stimulating discussions on the subject. It is a pleasure for him to acknowledge the warm hospitality of the UNESP University in São José of Rio Preto, Campinas University and USP in São Paulo (Brazil) where a most of this work has been done. The second author would like to thanks University of Rouen where a part of this work has been realized.*

Fig.7. $p = 0.625$ Fig.8. $p = 0.621$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 19. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 17. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [2] Peter R. Killeen and Thomas J Taylor, A stochastic adding machine and complex dynamics, *Nonlinearity*, **13** (2000), no. 6, 1889–1903.
- [3] Peter R. Killeen and Thomas J Taylor, How the Propagation of Error Through Stochastic Counters Affects Time Discrimination and Other Psychophysical Judgments, *Psychological Review*, **Vol. 107**, No. 3 (2000), 430-459
- [4] Steven G. Krantz, *Complex analysis: the geometric viewpoint*, Second edition. Carus Mathematical Monographs, 23, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2004.

- [5] A. Messaoudi and D. Smania, Eigenvalues of Fibonacci stochastic adding machine, **Vol 10**, No. 2 (2010), 291-313.
- [6] W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungary*, **11** (1960), 401-416.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [8] M. Schechter, *Principles of functional analysis*, Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **36**. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [9] K. Yoshida, *functional analysis*, Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [10] E. Zeckendorff, *Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas*, Bull. Soc. Royale Sci. Liègege 42 (1972) 179-182.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROUEN, LMRS, UMR 60 85, AVENUE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ, BP.12, 76801 SAINT ETIENNE DU ROUVRAY - FRANCE

E-mail address: `elhoucein.elabdalaoui@univ-rouen.fr`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, IBILCE-UNESP, RUA CRISTOVÃO COLOMBO, 2265, CEP 15054-0000, SÃO JOSÉ DE RIO PRETO-SP, BRASIL

E-mail address: `messaooud@ibilce.unesp.br`