



**HAL**  
open science

## **IL-4 mediated transcriptional regulation of human CYP2E1 by two independent signaling pathways**

Jue Wang, Yin Hu, Jana Nekvindova, Magnus Ingelman-Sundberg, Etienne P.A. Neve

► **To cite this version:**

Jue Wang, Yin Hu, Jana Nekvindova, Magnus Ingelman-Sundberg, Etienne P.A. Neve. IL-4 mediated transcriptional regulation of human CYP2E1 by two independent signaling pathways. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 2010, 80 (10), pp.1592. 10.1016/j.bcp.2010.08.005 . hal-00626228

**HAL Id: hal-00626228**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00626228>**

Submitted on 24 Sep 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: IL-4 mediated transcriptional regulation of human CYP2E1 by two independent signaling pathways

Authors: Jue Wang, Yin Hu, Jana Nekvindova, Magnus Ingelman-Sundberg, Etienne P.A. Neve



PII: S0006-2952(10)00589-7  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2010.08.005  
Reference: BCP 10684

To appear in: *BCP*

Received date: 3-6-2010  
Revised date: 5-8-2010  
Accepted date: 5-8-2010

Please cite this article as: Wang J, Hu Y, Nekvindova J, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Neve EPA, IL-4 mediated transcriptional regulation of human CYP2E1 by two independent signaling pathways, *Biochemical Pharmacology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2010.08.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1  
2  
3 **IL-4 mediated transcriptional regulation of human CYP2E1 by two**  
4 **independent signaling pathways**  
5  
6  
7

8 Running title: Mechanisms for IL-4 induced CYP2E1 expression  
9  
10

11  
12  
13 Jue Wang<sup>a</sup>, Yin Hu<sup>b</sup>, Jana Nekvindova<sup>a</sup>, Magnus Ingelman-Sundberg<sup>a</sup> and Etienne P.A.  
14 Neve<sup>a\*</sup>  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 <sup>a</sup> Section of Pharmacogenetics, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology,  
22  
23 Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden  
24

25 <sup>b</sup> Department of DMPK, AstraZeneca R&D Södertälje, Sweden  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 \* To whom correspondence should be addressed:  
32

33 Etienne P.A. Neve. Section of Pharmacogenetics, Department of Physiology and  
34

35 Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Nanna Svartz väg 2, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden.  
36  
37

38 Tel: +46 8 52487711. Fax: +46 8 337327. E-mail: [etienne.neve@ki.se](mailto:etienne.neve@ki.se)  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Abstract**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1), the alcohol-inducible member of the cytochrome P450 super family, plays an important role in both physiological and pathophysiological processes. The present study focused on the induction of human CYP2E1 transcription by the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-4 (IL-4) in human hepatoma B16A2 cells and revealed that this regulation is mediated by two independent pathways. RNA interference and overexpression of STAT6, indicated that the JAK-STAT signaling pathway is involved in IL-4-dependent induction and mutagenesis revealed the presence of a STAT6 binding site in CYP2E1 proximal promoter region (-583/-574-bp). However, inhibition of the JAK-STAT6 pathway using JAK1 siRNA constructs could only partially inhibit the induction of CYP2E1 promoter constructs indicating the presence of a second IL-4 responsive element. Indeed by using a series of truncated CYP2E1 promoter constructs a second more distal IL-4 responsive element (-1604/-1428-bp) was identified, which was further shown to involve the activation of IRS1/2. This induction was dependent on the transcription factor NFATc1 as IL-4 induced CYP2E1 expression was altered by silencing or overexpressing NFATc1. A NFATc1 binding site was identified in the second distal IL-4 responsive element (-1551/-1545-bp) by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis. Finally simultaneous siRNA mediated down-regulation of both STAT6 and NFATc1 or mutation of both STAT6 and NFATc1 binding sites abolished the IL-4-dependent transcriptional induction of CYP2E1, demonstrating that both pathways are required for maximal activation. In conclusion, the present study indicates that the induction of CYP2E1 transcription by IL-4 is mediated through two independent parallel pathways, involving JAK-STAT6 and IRS1/2 and NFATc1.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

*Keywords:* cytochrome P450 2E1, B16A2 cell line, interleukin-4, transcriptional activation, transcription factors

*Abbreviations:* ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CYP, cytochrome P450; Elk1, member of ETS oncogene family; FOXO1, forkhead box protein O1; IL-4, interleukin-4; IL-4R, interleukin-4 receptor; IRS, insulin receptor substrate; JAK, janus protein kinase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NFATc1, nuclear factor of activated T-cells cytoplasmic 1; NF- $\kappa$ B, nuclear factor kappa B; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3-kinase; STAT6, signal transducer and activator of transcription 6.

## 1. Introduction

1  
2  
3  
4  
5           Cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1), the ethanol-inducible form of cytochrome  
6  
7 P450 (CYP), is an important phase I drug metabolizing enzyme since it plays a dual  
8  
9 physiological role [1-4]. It contributes to the defense against the penetration of  
10  
11 xenobiotics through its broad substrate specificity, allowing it to detoxify a wide variety  
12  
13 of exogenous and endogenous compounds and also plays a role in gluconeogenesis.  
14  
15 Paradoxically, it also metabolically activates biologically inert procarcinogens and  
16  
17 converts nontoxic substrates to more toxic forms [5]. Furthermore, CYP2E1 is an  
18  
19 effective generator of reactive oxygen species such as superoxide anion radicals,  
20  
21 hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals, rendering it an important component in the  
22  
23 process of oxidative stress [2, 3, 6, 7]. As an important component of the adaptive  
24  
25 system in the body, the expression of CYP2E1 is under strict regulation at different  
26  
27 levels including transcriptional, post-transcriptional, translational, and post-translational  
28  
29 [7-9]. CYP2E1 is induced by both acute and chronic alcohol treatment, as well as under  
30  
31 a variety of physiological and pathophysiological conditions. For example, CYP2E1  
32  
33 expression is decreased during inflammation [10] and in alcoholic or non-alcoholic  
34  
35 liver diseases [11, 12], and instead increased in diabetic patients [13, 14]. Cytokines, a  
36  
37 group of secreted peptides and glycoproteins essential for cellular signaling, are shown  
38  
39 to be key mediators in the regulation of CYPs during inflammation and infection [15].  
40  
41 Multiple cytokines, including interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor  
42  
43 necrosis factor (TNF) and interferon- $\alpha/\gamma$  (IFN- $\alpha/\gamma$ ) were found to function in the  
44  
45 regulation of specific CYPs during lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation.  
46  
47 CYP2E1 is also regulated by cytokines both in human and rodent models [16, 17].  
48  
49 Similar to most other CYPs, CYP2E1 is down-regulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines,  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 such as IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and TNF. Interestingly, Abdel-Razzak and coworkers demonstrated  
2 that in primary human hepatocytes interleukin-4 (IL-4), an anti-inflammatory cytokine,  
3 significantly up-regulates the expression of CYP2E1 as well as glutathione-S-  
4 transferases (GST), although it down-regulates most other P450s [16].  
5  
6  
7  
8

9 IL-4, mainly produced by T helper (T<sub>H</sub>) 2 lymphocytes, is a key cytokine in the  
10 regulation of humoral immune response. It contributes to the activation, proliferation,  
11 and differentiation of B cells, the secretion of IgE by B lymphocytes, and the  
12 prevention of apoptosis in T lymphocytes. An essential biological activity of IL-4 in the  
13 development of allergic inflammation is the ability to drive the differentiation of naive  
14 T helper type 0 (T<sub>H</sub>0) lymphocytes into T<sub>H</sub>2 lymphocytes [18]. Its effect relies on  
15 signaling through a receptor complex consisting of the specific IL-4 receptor alpha  
16 chain (IL-4R $\alpha$ ) and the common gamma chain (IL-4R $\gamma$ c), resulting in a series of  
17 phosphorylation events mediated by receptor-associated kinases [18-21]. The IL-4R  
18 heterodimerization promotes the activation of Janus protein kinases (JAKs) that are  
19 constitutively associated with IL-4R $\alpha$  (JAK1) and the IL-4R $\gamma$ c (JAK3).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Phosphorylation of a cassette of three closely spaced tyrosine residues in the  
38 cytoplasmic domain of IL-4R $\alpha$  initiated by activated JAKs enables the recruitment of  
39 the transcription factor signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6). The  
40 tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT6 by JAKs leads to its dimerization and translocation  
41 to the nucleus, where it binds to specific *cis*-acting elements and activates the  
42 transcription of IL-4-responsive genes. In addition to the JAK-STAT pathway, the  
43 insulin receptor substrate (IRS) family of proteins is also involved in IL-4 signaling.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 Activation of IRS1/2 results in the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase  
55 (MAPK) signaling cascades as well as the phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) pathway  
56 [21]. Furthermore IL-4-mediated induction of 3 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/ $\Delta$ 5- $\Delta$ 4  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 isomerase as well as IL-4-induced proliferation and differentiation were shown to  
2 require simultaneous signaling via both STAT6 and IRS-2 [22, 23].  
3

4  
5 Previous studies showed that IL-4 induced expression of CYP2E1 is  
6  
7 transcriptionally regulated and results in increased mRNA and protein levels in primary  
8  
9 human hepatocytes and human hepatoma cells [16, 24]. In the present study using  
10  
11 human CYP2E1 promoter constructs transfected into human B16A2 hepatoma cells, we  
12  
13 identified two IL-4 responsive elements in the human CYP2E1 promoter, a proximal  
14  
15 region (-519/-669-bp) and a more distal one (-1604/-1428-bp). Both regions were  
16  
17 required for maximal IL-4 mediated induction of CYP2E1. Moreover siRNA  
18  
19 experiments and mutagenesis of the CYP2E1 promoter indicate that two well known IL-  
20  
21 4 signaling pathways are responsible for the IL-4 induction of CYP2E1, namely JAK1-  
22  
23 STAT6 and IRS1/2 involving the transcription factor nuclear factor of activated T-cells  
24  
25 cytoplasmic 1 (NFATc1).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1 Plasmids

Human CYP2E1 promoter constructs of various lengths were amplified by PCR and cloned in between *BglII/XhoI* restriction sites in pGL3-Basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), upstream of the *Firefly* luciferase reporter gene as previously described [25]. The lengths of CYP2E1 promoters varied from -519- to -3205-bp upstream of the transcriptional initiation codon. Reporter constructs generated 2E1-3205, 2E1-2651, 2E1-1604, 2E1-1428, 2E1-1231, 2E1-1009, 2E1-841, 2E1-669 and 2E1-519 contained CYP2E1 promoter regions up to -3205-bp, -2651-bp, -1604-bp, -1428-bp, -1231-bp, -1009-bp, -841-bp, -669-bp and -519-bp respectively. Mutations in the putative STAT6- and NFATc1-binding sites in the CYP2E1 promoter region were introduced by the QuikChange® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) with mutagenesis primers shown in the Supplemental data (Table S1). Expression constructs for the transcription factors STAT6, NFATc1 and FOXO1, were kindly provided by Dr. Ulrike Schindler (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA, USA), Dr. Edgar Serfling (Wurzburg University, Wurzburg, Germany) and Dr. Anjana Rao (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA) respectively. All constructs used in the present study were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

### 2.2 RNA interference assay

Potential siRNA sequences were designed using online siRNA selection algorithm (<https://www.genscript.com/ssl-bin/app/rnai>), DNA oligonucleotides (Supplemental data, Table S1) were ordered from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA) as specified in GeneBuster users manual (Genordia AB, Sundbyberg, Sweden), annealed

and cloned into GeneBuster vector according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Resulting siRNA expression constructs were transfected into B16A2 cells alone or together with CYP2E1 reporter constructs. At least two efficient siRNAs were used to target each gene to exclude the potential off-target effects of RNA interference.

### 2.3 Cell culture, transient transfection and reporter gene analysis

The human hepatoma cell line B16A2 [26] was maintained in William's E medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C in an incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, supplemented with 1 µg/ml insulin, 0.25 µg/ml hydrocortisone, 5% FBS, penicillin (100 IU/mL) and streptomycin (100 µg/mL) (Invitrogen). B16A2 cells were grown to confluence in 12 well clusters (after 1 week of culture following being split 1/6) and 4 weeks after reaching confluence were co-transfected with 1 µg of CYP2E1 reporter constructs and 50 ng pRL-TK (*Renilla* luciferase) control plasmid (Promega) using the DMRIE-C transfection reagent (Invitrogen).with weeks after reaching confluence. Where indicated, cells were also co-transfected with siRNA expression vectors (0.5 µg), expression vectors for the transcription factors STAT6, NFATc1, Elk1, NF-κB and FOXO1 (0.5 µg) or their corresponding empty control vectors. Twenty-four hours post-transfection cells were either left untreated or treated with 60 U/ml human recombinant IL-4 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The luciferase activity of both the CYP2E1 reporter gene (*Firefly* luciferase) and the internal control plasmid pRL-TK (*Renilla* luciferase) was measured in a TD-20/20 luminometer (Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) with the Dual Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

## 2.4 Northern blot assay

Total RNA was prepared from IL-4 treated and untreated B16A2 cells (4 weeks post-confluence) using single-step RNA isolation by acid guanidium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction method described by Chomczynski and Sacchi [27]. Northern blot assays were performed as previously described except that 20 µg of total RNA was loaded per lane [28].

## 2.5 Real time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from treated and untreated B16A2 cells with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The mRNA levels were quantified by real time PCR using SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on a smart cycler (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) as described [29]. The mRNA levels were normalized against  $\beta$ -actin. Primers used are listed in Supplemental data (Table S1).

## 2.6 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

ChIP assays were performed using the ChIP assay kit from Upstate according to the manufacturer's instructions (Upstate, Temecula, CA, USA). B16A2 cells were grown confluent in 10 cm cell culture dishes for 4 weeks, transfected with 2E1-2651 and 24 h post-transfection cells were either left untreated or treated with 60 U/ml IL-4. Cells were processed for ChIP analysis 24 h after the addition of IL-4. DNA-protein complexes were cross-linked by the addition of 1% formaldehyde directly to the culture medium after which cells were washed and lysed in SDS Lysis buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche) and processed as suggested by the

1 manufacturer. DNA-protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with a mouse  
2 monoclonal anti-NFATc1 antibody and normal mouse IgG (both from Santa Cruz  
3 Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Immunoprecipitated DNA was eluted, purified  
4 and amplified by PCR for 25-30 cycles in the presence of 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 μM  
5 dNTPs and 10 pmol of primers (Supplemental data, Table S1). The PCR primer set was  
6 designed to yield a 160-bp product that encompassed the region containing the  
7 NFATc1 responsive element. 10% of the precipitated DNA was used for each PCR.  
8 PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels and visualized  
9 with ethidium bromide.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 IL-4 induces CYP2E1 expression

In a previous study we demonstrated that when grown confluent for 5 weeks B16A2 cells display a 7-fold increase in CYP2E1 mRNA expression as compared to non-confluent cells, although these levels still were low compared to human liver [30]. Others demonstrated that IL-4 treatment increased both CYP2E1 mRNA and protein levels in primary human hepatocytes cultures and also, in a concentration dependent manner, in confluent grown B16A2 cells [16, 24]. To verify the induction in our experimental system, 4 week confluent B16A2 cells were treated with IL-4 (Fig. 1). As expected in untreated control cells low levels of CYP2E1 mRNA could be detected, which increased 2.5-fold 4 hr after the addition of IL-4 (Fig. 1) and remained stable up to 24 hrs after the addition of IL-4 (data not shown). These results confirm that the induction pathway mediated by IL-4 is functional in our cultured B16A2 cells and possibly is regulated at the transcriptional level as was also previously demonstrated [24].

In order to elucidate the regions in the human CYP2E1 promoter responsible for the transcriptional induction of CYP2E1 by IL-4, a set of CYP2E1 promoter constructs were generated (Fig. 2). Confluent B16A2 cells were transfected with these CYP2E1 reporter constructs of variable length and promoter activities were determined after IL-4 treatment. IL-4 treatment resulted in a 2.5- to 6-fold induction of the luciferase activity depending on the length of CYP2E1 promoter as compared to untreated cells, with the exception of the shortest construct, 2E1-519, which was unresponsive to IL-4. The data indicate that two regions in the CYP2E1 promoter contained IL-4 responsive elements, a proximal region between -669- and -519-bp upstream of the transcriptional

1 initiation site and a second more distal region between -1604- and -1428-bp. Deletion  
2 of either site resulted in an over 2-fold decrease in promoter activity while deletion of  
3  
4 the other regions did not have any significant effect on the IL-4-induced CYP2E1  
5 promoter activity (Fig. 2). Together these results indicate that IL-4-induced CYP2E1  
6  
7 gene transcription is mediated by 2 distinct regions in the CYP2E1 promoter and that  
8  
9 both regions are required for maximal activation of the IL-4-induced promoter activity.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 **3.2 JAK1-STAT6 signaling pathway**

18  
19 Although the JAK1-STAT6 signaling pathway is well-known and specifically  
20 activated by IL-4 [21], the direct involvement of STAT6 in IL-4-mediated induction of  
21  
22 CYP2E1 has not been demonstrated, despite the presence of several STAT6 binding  
23  
24 sites in the proximal IL-4 responsive element. We initially studied the involvement of  
25  
26 JAK1-STAT6 signaling in IL-4-mediated induction of CYP2E1 using silencing and  
27  
28 overexpression of several of the components involved in this signaling pathway. First  
29  
30 we observed that IL-4 treatment resulted in a 2.8-fold increase in JAK1 mRNA levels,  
31  
32 which could be effectively prevented by 2 different JAK1-specific siRNA constructs  
33  
34 down to or below control levels (Fig. 3A). Silencing of JAK1 expression using either  
35  
36 one of these siRNAs prevented the IL-4-induced transcription of CYP2E1 promoter  
37  
38 construct 2E1-669 that contained only the proximal IL-4 responsive element (Fig. 3B,  
39  
40 left). When using the longer reporter construct, 2E1-1604 that contained both the  
41  
42 proximal and distal IL-4 responsive element, the JAK1 siRNAs significantly inhibited,  
43  
44 but not completely abolished, the IL-4-induced CYP2E1 transcription (Fig. 3B, right).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 This suggested that JAK1 is involved in the IL-4 transcriptional induction of CYP2E1  
50  
51 and that JAK1 mediated its effect through the proximal IL-4 responsive element.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59 Moreover, since JAK1 down-regulation was not able to completely prevent the IL-4  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 response in the presence of both the proximal and distal IL-4 responsive element  
2 suggested that the IL-4 response via the distal element, most likely, is mediated by a  
3  
4  
5 second signaling pathway.  
6

7 STAT6 is a well-known downstream component of JAK1 after IL-4 stimulation  
8  
9 and we therefore studied its involvement in the IL-4 induction of CYP2E1. Co-  
10  
11 expression of STAT6 (in the absence of IL-4) with 2E1-1428, containing only the  
12  
13 proximal IL-4 responsive element, or 2E1-1604, containing both the proximal and  
14  
15 distal responsive elements, increased the promoter activity about 2-fold as compared to  
16  
17 2E1-519 that lacks both IL-4 responsive elements (Fig. 4A). Because no further  
18  
19 induction by STAT6 overexpression was observed in the presence of the distal element  
20  
21 it was concluded that the STAT6 binding site is located in the proximal promoter  
22  
23 region. Four potential STAT6 binding sites (STAT6-1: -42-/-34-bp; STAT6-2: -583-/-  
24  
25 574-bp; STAT6-3: -623-/-615-bp and STAT6-4: -699-/-690-bp) were identified in the  
26  
27 proximal CYP2E1 promoter that exhibited the STAT6 consensus (TTCN<sub>3-6</sub>GAA).  
28  
29 These 4 sites were mutated individually in the reporter construct 2E1-841 using the  
30  
31 mutagenesis primers listed in Supplemental data (Table S1). Wild-type 2E1-841 and  
32  
33 the mutants  $\Delta$ STAT6-1 to -4 were transfected into confluent B16A2 cells and  
34  
35 transfected cells were treated with IL-4 or left untreated (Fig. 4B). With the exception  
36  
37 of the mutant  $\Delta$ STAT6-2 promoter construct, which was unresponsive to IL-4 treatment,  
38  
39 the wild-type construct and the mutants  $\Delta$ STAT6-1,  $\Delta$ STAT6-3 and  $\Delta$ STAT6-4 all  
40  
41 displayed approximately a 2-fold increase after IL-4 treatment. These data show that  
42  
43 the IL-4 responsive element for the JAK1-STAT6 pathway is located between -583-  
44  
45 and -574-bp in the CYP2E1 promoter and that mutation of this site abolishes the IL-4  
46  
47 response.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

### 3.3 IRS signaling

1  
2 The data presented above suggests that the proximal IL-4 responsive element is  
3  
4 activated through JAK1-STAT6 signaling, while the distal IL-4 responsive element is  
5  
6 not. Because IL-4 can signal via the IL-4R not only through the JAK-STAT pathway  
7  
8 but also through activation of IRS, we decided to investigate the involvement of IRS in  
9  
10 the IL-4 induction of CYP2E1. Indeed both IRS1 and IRS2 mRNA levels were  
11  
12 significantly up-regulated after IL-4 treatment of confluent B16A2 cells (Fig. 5A).  
13  
14 Silencing of IRS1 or IRS2 alone did not significantly inhibit the IL-4-induced reporter  
15  
16 gene expression when using 2E1-1606 that contains both the proximal and distal IL-4  
17  
18 responsive element (Fig. 5B), despite the fact that both siIRS1-2 and siIRS2-2  
19  
20 effectively down-regulated their respective targets (Fig. 5A). However, when both IRS1  
21  
22 and IRS2 were silenced simultaneously, the IL-4-induced CYP2E1 reporter activity  
23  
24 could be significantly inhibited by about 40% (Fig. 5B).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 We next analyzed the sequence of the distal IL-4 responsive element between -  
32  
33 1604- and -1428-bp upstream of CYP2E1 initiation codon using the TESS  
34  
35 (Transcriptional Element Search System) program for the presence of putative  
36  
37 transcription factor binding sites [31]. Eight of the transcription factors identified, were  
38  
39 chosen for further evaluation since they have been shown to be involved in IL-4  
40  
41 response (KEGG, SPAD and ProteinLounge Pathway databases). First we determined  
42  
43 the fold induction at the mRNA level of these eight transcription factors after IL-4  
44  
45 treatment of B16A2 cells (Fig. 6A) and observed that 4 of them, more specifically NF-  
46  
47  $\kappa$ B (nuclear factor kappa B), Elk1 (member of ETS oncogene family), NFATc1  
48  
49 (nuclear factor of activated T-cells cytoplasmic 1) and FOXO1 (forkhead box protein  
50  
51 O1) were induced 2- to 5-fold by IL-4 treatment. In order to establish the direct  
52  
53 involvement of these transcription factors in the IL-4-induced CYP2E1 expression, we  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 generated siRNA expression vectors to effectively silence these transcription factors  
2 (Supplemental data, Fig. S1). Silencing of NFATc1 significantly attenuated the IL-4  
3 induced CYP2E1 expression, while down-regulation of the other factors, NF- $\kappa$ B, Elk1  
4 and FOXO1 had no significant effect (Fig. 6B). To corroborate the involvement of  
5 NFATc1 in the IL-4-induced CYP2E1 expression, B16A2 cells were transfected with  
6 the CYP2E1 promoter construct containing the proximal and distal IL-4 responsive  
7 element (2E1-1604) in the presence and absence of a NFATc1 expression plasmid (Fig.  
8 6C). Indeed co-expression of 2E1-1604 and NFATc1 resulted in a 2-fold increase in  
9 promoter activity as compared to 2E1-1604 alone. In contrast, co-expression with  
10 FOXO1 did not alter the promoter activity as was expected from the lack of effect from  
11 the down regulation of FOXO1, thereby excluding a role of FOXO1 in the IL-4-  
12 dependent induction of CYP2E1 expression. To test whether NFATc1 could directly  
13 interact with the distal IL-4 responsive element in the CYP2E1 promoter, we performed  
14 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis using primers for this specific region  
15 containing the putative NFATc1 binding site (Supplemental data, Table S1). In B16A2  
16 transfected with 2E1-2651, NFATc1 was found to interact with the distal IL-4  
17 responsive element in the CYP2E1 promoter (Fig. 6D). Stimulation of these transfected  
18 cells with IL-4 however did not enhance but rather decreased the binding of NFATc1 to  
19 the CYP2E1 promoter, indicating that in control confluent B16A2 cells, which contain  
20 low CYP2E1 levels, NFATc1 is already constitutively associated with the CYP2E1  
21 promoter. Moreover we also could detect a weak interaction of NFATc1 with the  
22 endogenous CYP2E1 promoter in non-transfected cells (Supplemental data, Fig. S2). In  
23 contrast we did observe an IL-4 dependent interaction with the distal IL-4 responsive  
24 element containing the NFATc1 binding site using electrophoretic mobility shift assay  
25 and nuclear extracts isolated from control confluent and IL-4 treated confluent B16A2  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 cells (Supplemental data, Fig. S3). The reason for the discrepancy between the ChIP  
2 and EMSA and the slight decrease in NFATc1 binding to the CYP2E1 promoter in the  
3 presence of IL-4 observed by ChIP is at present unclear, but involvement of additional  
4 transcription factors can at this point not be excluded.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 **3.4 Both STAT6 and NFATc1 are required for efficient induction by IL-4**

11 To further confirm the involvement of STAT6 and NFATc1, the activity of both  
12 transfection factors was inhibited by using either RNA interference or by mutation of  
13 the transcription factor binding sites (Fig. 7). Mutation of either the STAT6 ( $\Delta$ STAT6)  
14 or NFATc1 ( $\Delta$ NFATc1) binding site (schematically shown at the bottom of Fig. 7)  
15 resulted only in a slight (about 30-35%) but significant inhibition of the IL-4-mediated  
16 induction of CYP2E1 promoter activity. When both sites were mutated simultaneously  
17 ( $\Delta$ STAT6+ $\Delta$ NFATC1) the effect of IL-4 on CYP2E1 promoter activity was essentially  
18 eliminated, confirming that efficient IL-4 induction of CYP2E1 expression required  
19 both STAT6 and NFATc1 interaction with the CYP2E1 promoter. In addition, siRNA-  
20 mediated down-regulation of both transcription factors STAT6 and NFATc1 also  
21 abolished the IL-4 induction of CYP2E1 promoter activity (Fig. 7), confirming once  
22 more their involvement. Thus, our data strongly indicate that the induction of CYP2E1  
23 transcription by IL-4 treatment is mediated by two independent pathways, involving the  
24 transcription factors STAT6 and NFATc1.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

#### 4. Discussion

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 In the present study we provide evidence that IL-4 induction of CYP2E1 in the  
6  
7 human hepatoma cell line B16A2 is mediated by two classical IL-4 signaling pathways,  
8  
9 involving the JAK1-STAT6 pathway and activation of IRS1/2 and NFATc1. Using  
10  
11 different length promoter constructs combined with siRNA constructs against JAK1,  
12  
13 STAT6, IRS1/2 and NFATc1 we could show that IL-4 mediates its effect through two  
14  
15 distinct regions in the human CYP2E1 promoter: a proximal IL-4 responsive element (-  
16  
17 669/-519-bp) activated by the JAK1-STAT6 pathway and a more distal site (-1604/-  
18  
19 1428-bp) activated by IRS1/2. Finally, we showed using mutagenesis and siRNA  
20  
21 constructs that these two IL-4 responsive elements are activated by different  
22  
23 transcription factors, the proximal IL-4 responsive element (-583/-574-bp) by STAT6  
24  
25 and the distal IL-4 responsive element (-1551/-1545-bp) by NFATc1. Both pathways  
26  
27 appear to operate in parallel and are required for maximal response since in order to  
28  
29 prevent the IL-4-dependent CYP2E1 induction, we needed to block both pathways  
30  
31 simultaneously. These findings are schematically summarized in Fig. 9, together with  
32  
33 the factors and sites that were, in the present study, shown to be important for the IL-4  
34  
35 induction of CYP2E1. In contrast to the well characterized JAK-STAT pathway, at  
36  
37 present it is unclear how activation of IRS could lead to the activation of NFATc1. Our  
38  
39 results clearly show that both IRS and NFATc1 mediate their effect on CYP2E1  
40  
41 transcription via the distal IL-4 responsive element. In a recent report it was shown that  
42  
43 the expression of NFATc1 was regulated by the ERK signaling pathway as it was  
44  
45 shown that NFATc1 expression was inhibited by the MEK inhibitor U0126 [32]. We  
46  
47 could partially inhibit the IL-4 induction of CYP2E1 with the same MEK inhibitor  
48  
49 (U0126), indicating the involvement of this protein kinase (Supplemental data, Fig. S4).  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 No effect could be observed using a p38-MAPK inhibitor (SB203580) or a PI3K  
2 inhibitor (wortmannin), suggesting these two protein kinases are not involved in the IL-  
3 4-induced expression of CYP2E1 (Supplemental data, Fig. S4). Interestingly, IL-4  
4 mediated stimulation of IRS leads to the activation of MEK/ERK pathway [22], which  
5 raises the possibility that IL-4 is able to activate CYP2E1 expression via activation of  
6 IRS and subsequently MEK resulting in activation of NFATc1.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 Confluent grown B16A2 cells start expressing several liver specific genes  
15 including CYP2E1 both at the mRNA and protein level [24, 30]. Low amounts of  
16 CYP2E1 protein could already be observed after 1-2 weeks of confluence and gradually  
17 increased with time of confluence (data not shown). Stimulation of confluent B16A2  
18 cells with the cytokine IL-4 resulted in an additional increase in CYP2E1 mRNA and  
19 protein expression (present study) [24], a phenomenon that was also observed in  
20 primary human hepatocytes [16]. Although in our study we present evidence for the  
21 involvement of STAT6, a well-known downstream target of IL-4 signaling, a previous  
22 study suggested that IL-4-induced CYP2E1 transcription independent of STAT6, and  
23 instead proposed the involvement of protein kinase C [24]. In the present study a  
24 STAT6 binding site in the CYP2E1 promoter is identified by mutagenesis and shown to  
25 be involved in the IL-4 induced expression of CYP2E1. The same region in the  
26 CYP2E1 promoter was identified in another study to be important for IL-4-induced  
27 CYP2E1 expression, but electrophoretic mobility shift assays failed to show STAT6  
28 binding and instead AP1 and NFAT were shown to bind to this IL-4 responsive element  
29 [33]. These results are in contrast to ours, as IL-4 induction of CYP2E1 could be  
30 completely prevented after mutagenesis of the STAT6 binding site present in the  
31 proximal IL-4 responsive element ( $\Delta$ STAT6-2) when using the short promoter  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 constructs that lacked the second more distal IL-4 response element. The reason for this  
2 inconsistency is at present not clear.  
3

4  
5 Confluent grown B16A2 cells already do express low levels of CYP2E1 [24,  
6  
7 30], which suggests that during confluence the CYP2E1 promoter becomes activated by  
8  
9 as yet unknown molecular mechanisms. We observed a weak interaction of NFATc1  
10  
11 with the endogenous CYP2E1 promoter in the absence of IL-4 (Supplemental data, Fig.  
12  
13 S2), suggesting that NFATc1 is associated with the CYP2E1 promoter and is able to  
14  
15 regulate its activity. We propose that prolonged confluence induces certain signaling  
16  
17 cascades ultimately leading to activation and binding of NFATc1 and subsequent  
18  
19 transcriptional activation of CYP2E1. In rat hepatocytes, low amounts of insulin  
20  
21 induced CYP2E1 expression, while higher concentrations, led to suppression [34].  
22  
23 B16A2 cells are grown confluent in the presence of low amounts of insulin that  
24  
25 possibly may result in activation of IRS signaling and ultimately lead to transcriptional  
26  
27 activation of CYP2E1.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 The regulation of CYP2E1 is complex and is know to occur at the  
35  
36 transcriptional, translational, and posttranscriptional level [7, 9], although  
37  
38 transcriptional activation of CYP2E1 remains poorly understood. Immediately after  
39  
40 birth CYP2E1 becomes transcriptionally activated, and was accompanied by  
41  
42 demethylation at cytosine residues located in the 5'-flanking region of the rat gene [35].  
43  
44 Several transcription factors have been shown to interact with and activate the CYP2E1  
45  
46 promoter as was shown for HNF-1 $\alpha$  in rat [17, 36], Sp1 and NF- $\kappa$ B in rabbit [37] and  
47  
48 STAT5 (tonicity response element binding protein) in human [38]. Furthermore also in  
49  
50 human, a far upstream enhancer site was identified and shown to be regulated by the  
51  
52 transcription factors GATA4 and NR5A2 (fetoprotein transcription factor) [39]. IL-1 $\alpha$   
53  
54 was shown to up-regulate CYP2E1 promoter activity in rabbit through activation of  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Sp1, while IL-4 had no significant effect on the promoter activity in rabbit [37]. In  
2 contrast, in rats, IL-1 $\alpha$  treatment down-regulated CYP2E1 mRNA levels [40] and  
3  
4 comparison of the rabbit rat and human CYP2E1 promoter revealed that the Sp1 site  
5  
6 found in rabbit is not conserved in the rat and human promoter [37]. This illustrates that  
7  
8 regulation of CYP2E1 transcription is highly species dependent and that the same  
9  
10 transcription factor or stimulus can have opposite effects depending on the species  
11  
12 studied as illustrated by IL-1 $\alpha$  and Sp1.  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 In conclusion, we demonstrate that the regulation of CYP2E1 by IL-4 was  
18  
19 mediated by two independent pathways, the JAK1-STAT6 pathway and activation of  
20  
21 IRS1/2. These two pathways were independent from each other, both requiring specific  
22  
23 transcriptional factors as well as distinct responsive sites in the CYP2E1 promoter, a  
24  
25 proximal site involving STAT6 and a distal one dependent on NFATc1. The regulation  
26  
27 is under the control of a complex set of factors and constitutes part of the signaling  
28  
29 transduction network. In addition we demonstrate that the human hepatoma cell line  
30  
31 B16A2 when grown confluent, can be a useful tool for studying the regulation of  
32  
33 endogenous hepatic proteins.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Acknowledgements:** This project was supported by The Swedish Research Council.

42  
43 The authors would like to thank Dr. Ulrike Schindler (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA,  
44  
45 USA), Dr. Edgar Serfling (Wurzburg University, Wurzburg, Germany) and Dr. Anjana  
46  
47 Rao (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA) for sharing expression constructs for  
48  
49 STAT6, NFATc1 and FOXO1 respectively.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**References**

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [1] Badger TM, Ronis MJ, Seitz HK, Albano E, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Lieber CS. Alcohol metabolism: role in toxicity and carcinogenesis. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2003;27:336-47.
- [2] Caro AA, Cederbaum AI. Oxidative stress, toxicology, and pharmacology of CYP2E1. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2004;44:27-42.
- [3] Gonzalez FJ. Role of cytochromes P450 in chemical toxicity and oxidative stress: studies with CYP2E1. *Mutat Res* 2005;569:101-10.
- [4] Lieber CS. The discovery of the microsomal ethanol oxidizing system and its physiologic and pathologic role. *Drug Metab Rev* 2004;36:511-29.
- [5] Lieber CS. Cytochrome P-4502E1: its physiological and pathological role. *Physiol Rev* 1997;77:517-44.
- [6] Cederbaum AI. Iron and CYP2E1-dependent oxidative stress and toxicity. *Alcohol* 2003;30:115-20.
- [7] Ingelman-Sundberg M, Ronis MJ, Lindros KO, Eliasson E, Zhukov A. Ethanol-inducible cytochrome P4502E1: regulation, enzymology and molecular biology. *Alcohol Alcohol Suppl* 1994;2:131-9.
- [8] Aguiar M, Masse R, Gibbs BF. Regulation of cytochrome P450 by posttranslational modification. *Drug Metab Rev* 2005;37:379-404.
- [9] Novak RF, Woodcroft KJ. The alcohol-inducible form of cytochrome P450 (CYP 2E1): role in toxicology and regulation of expression. *Arch Pharm Res* 2000;23:267-82.
- [10] Projean D, Dautrey S, Vu HK, Groblewski T, Brazier JL, Ducharme J. Selective downregulation of hepatic cytochrome P450 expression and activity in a rat model of inflammatory pain. *Pharm Res* 2005;22:62-70.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [11] Lieber CS. CYP2E1: from ASH to NASH. *Hepatol Res* 2004;28:1-11.
- [12] Nagy LE. Molecular aspects of alcohol metabolism: transcription factors involved in early ethanol-induced liver injury. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2004;24:55-78.
- [13] Haufroid V, Ligocka D, Wallemacq P, Lison D, Horsmans Y. Comparison of cytochrome P4502E1 (CYP2E1) activity and hepatic and lymphocyte mRNA expression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Toxicol Lett* 2005;155:171-7.
- [14] Wang Z, Hall SD, Maya JF, Li L, Asghar A, Gorski JC. Diabetes mellitus increases the in vivo activity of cytochrome P450 2E1 in humans. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2003;55:77-85.
- [15] Aitken AE, Richardson TA, Morgan ET. Regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in inflammation. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2006;46:123-49.
- [16] Abdel-Razzak Z, Loyer P, Fautrel A, Gautier JC, Corcos L, Turlin B, et al. Cytokines down-regulate expression of major cytochrome P-450 enzymes in adult human hepatocytes in primary culture. *Mol Pharmacol* 1993;44:707-15.
- [17] Hakkola J, Hu Y, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Mechanisms of down-regulation of CYP2E1 expression by inflammatory cytokines in rat hepatoma cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2003;304:1048-54.
- [18] Steinke JW, Borish L. Th2 cytokines and asthma. Interleukin-4: its role in the pathogenesis of asthma, and targeting it for asthma treatment with interleukin-4 receptor antagonists. *Respir Res* 2001;2:66-70.
- [19] Boothby M, Mora AL, Stephenson LM. Lymphokine-dependent proliferation of T-lymphoid cells: regulated responsiveness and role in vivo. *Crit Rev Immunol* 2001;21:487-522.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [20] Jiang H, Harris MB, Rothman P. IL-4/IL-13 signaling beyond JAK/STAT. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;105:1063-70.
- [21] Nelms K, Keegan AD, Zamorano J, Ryan JJ, Paul WE. The IL-4 receptor: signaling mechanisms and biologic functions. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1999;17:701-38.
- [22] Gingras S, Cote S, Simard J. Multiple signal transduction pathways mediate interleukin-4-induced 3beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/Delta5-Delta4 isomerase in normal and tumoral target tissues. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2001;76:213-25.
- [23] Wurster AL, Withers DJ, Uchida T, White MF, Grusby MJ. Stat6 and IRS-2 cooperate in interleukin 4 (IL-4)-induced proliferation and differentiation but are dispensable for IL-4-dependent rescue from apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol* 2002;22:117-26.
- [24] Lagadic-Gossman D, Lerche C, Rissel M, Joannard F, Galisteo M, Guillouzo A, et al. The induction of the human hepatic CYP2E1 gene by interleukin 4 is transcriptional and regulated by protein kinase C. *Cell Biol Toxicol* 2000;16:221-33.
- [25] Hu Y, Hakkola J, Oscarson M, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Structural and functional characterization of the 5'-flanking region of the rat and human cytochrome P450 2E1 genes: identification of a polymorphic repeat in the human gene. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999;263:286-93.
- [26] Le Jossic C, Glaise D, Corcos L, Diot C, Dezier JF, Fautrel A, et al. trans-Acting factors, detoxication enzymes and hepatitis B virus replication in a novel set of human hepatoma cell lines. *Eur J Biochem* 1996;238:400-9.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [27] Chomczynski P, Sacchi N. Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. *Anal Biochem* 1987;162:156-9.
- [28] Hu Y, Mishin V, Johansson I, von Bahr C, Cross A, Ronis MJ, et al. Chlormethiazole as an efficient inhibitor of cytochrome P450 2E1 expression in rat liver. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1994;269:1286-91.
- [29] Wang J, Pitarque M, Ingelman-Sundberg M. 3'-UTR polymorphism in the human CYP2A6 gene affects mRNA stability and enzyme expression. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;340:491-7.
- [30] Butura A, Johansson I, Nilsson K, Warngard L, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Schuppe-Koistinen I. Differentiation of human hepatoma cells during confluence as revealed by gene expression profiling. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2004;67:1249-58.
- [31] Schug J. Using TESS to predict transcription factor binding sites in DNA sequence. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2008;Chapter 2:Unit 2 6.
- [32] Lee MS, Kim HS, Yeon JT, Choi SW, Chun CH, Kwak HB, et al. GM-CSF regulates fusion of mononuclear osteoclasts into bone-resorbing osteoclasts by activating the Ras/ERK pathway. *J Immunol* 2009;183:3390-9.
- [33] Abdel-Razzak Z, Garlatti M, Aggerbeck M, Barouki R. Determination of interleukin-4-responsive region in the human cytochrome P450 2E1 gene promoter. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2004;68:1371-81.
- [34] Woodcroft KJ, Hafner MS, Novak RF. Insulin signaling in the transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of CYP2E1 expression. *Hepatology* 2002;35:263-73.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- [35] Ueno T, Gonzalez FJ. Transcriptional control of the rat hepatic CYP2E1 gene. *Mol Cell Biol* 1990;10:4495-505.
- [36] Liu SY, Gonzalez FJ. Role of the liver-enriched transcription factor HNF-1 alpha in expression of the CYP2E1 gene. *DNA Cell Biol* 1995;14:285-93.
- [37] Peng HM, Coon MJ. Promoter function and the role of cytokines in the transcriptional regulation of rabbit CYP2E1 and CYP2E2. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2000;382:129-37.
- [38] Ito T, Asakura K, Tougou K, Fukuda T, Kubota R, Nonen S, et al. Regulation of cytochrome P450 2E1 under hypertonic environment through TonEBP in human hepatocytes. *Mol Pharmacol* 2007;72:173-81.
- [39] Shadley JD, Divakaran K, Munson K, Hines RN, Douglas K, McCarver DG. Identification and functional analysis of a novel human CYP2E1 far upstream enhancer. *Mol Pharmacol* 2007;71:1630-9.
- [40] Sindhu RK, Sakai H, Okamoto T, Kikkawa Y. Differential effect of interleukin-1 alpha on rat hepatic cytochrome P450 monooxygenases. *Toxicology* 1996;114:37-46.

## Figure legends

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Figure 1.** IL-4 induces CYP2E1 mRNA expression in B16A2 cells. Confluent B16A2  
6  
7 cells were treated with 60 U/ml IL-4 for 4 hrs and CYP2E1 mRNA levels were  
8  
9 determined by Northern blot analysis (upper panel) and quantified by densitometry  
10  
11 (lower panel). mRNA levels are expressed relative to untreated (control) cells and  
12  
13 normalized to  $\beta$ -actin levels. Results are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.  
14  
15  
16  
17 \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ .

18  
19  
20  
21  
22 **Figure 2.** Identification of the IL-4 responsive elements in the human CYP2E1  
23  
24 promoter. Different lengths of the CYP2E1 promoter were cloned upstream the  
25  
26 luciferase reporter gene and transfected in confluent B16A2 cells. Transfected cells  
27  
28 were either left untreated or treated with IL-4 for 24 hours, after which the luciferase  
29  
30 activity was measured. Results are expressed as the fold induction of IL-4 treated over  
31  
32 untreated control cells and normalized against *Renilla* luciferase activity. The values  
33  
34 represent the average of at least 3 experiments. A schematic representation of the  
35  
36 different lengths of the CYP2E1 promoter constructs is shown on the left side of the  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 figure.

43  
44  
45  
46 **Figure 3.** IL-4-mediated up-regulation of CYP2E1 is mediated through JAK1. (A) IL-4  
47  
48 treatment of confluent B16A2 cells induced the expression of JAK1 mRNA levels as  
49  
50 determined by real-time PCR. Total RNA was prepared from B16A2 cells treated with  
51  
52 IL-4 or left untreated and JAK1 mRNA levels were determined and normalized to  $\beta$ -  
53  
54 actin levels. Two siRNA expression plasmids harboring the sequence targeting JAK1  
55  
56 (siJAK1-1 and siJAK1-2) were transfected prior to IL-4 treatment. Significant  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 inhibition of JAK1 mRNA level was observed with both siRNA's. (B) IL-4 induced  
2 transcription of CYP2E1 is inhibited by down-regulation of JAK1. The two siRNA  
3  
4 expression constructs targeting JAK1 were co-transfected with the CYP2E1 promoter  
5  
6 constructs 2E1-669 (left-side) and 2E1-1604 (right-side). Twenty-four hours post-  
7  
8 transfection cells were treated with IL-4 or left untreated for an additional 24 hrs and  
9  
10 luciferase activity was measured. Results are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three individual  
11  
12 experiments. \*,  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ .  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 **Figure 4.** IL-4 induced CYP2E1 transcription is mediated through STAT6. (A) A  
20 STAT6 expression plasmid was co-transfected with CYP2E1 reporter plasmids of  
21  
22 different lengths, 2E1-519, 2E1-1428 and 2E1-1609, and 48 hrs post-transfection  
23  
24 luciferase activity was determined. (B) Four putative binding sites for STAT6 in the  
25  
26 CYP2E1 promoter were mutated as described in Materials and Methods. The STAT6  
27  
28 mutants ( $\Delta$ STAT6-1 to  $\Delta$ STAT6-4) together with the wild-type CYP2E1 (wt 2E1)  
29  
30 reporter construct were co-transfected with the STAT6 expression plasmid, 24 hrs post-  
31  
32 transfection cells were treated with IL-4 (filled bars) or left untreated (empty bars) and  
33  
34 after an additional 24 hrs luciferase activity was determined. Results are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of  
35  
36 three individual experiments. \*,  $P < 0.05$ .  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 **Figure 5.** IL-4-induced expression of CYP2E1 is mediated through the activation of  
47  
48 IRS1/2. (A) mRNA levels of IRS1 and IRS2 were measured in confluent control and  
49  
50 IL-4 treated B16A2 cells. Two different siRNA's targeting IRS1 (siIRS1-1 and -2) or  
51  
52 IRS2 (siIRS2-1 and -2) were designed and shown to effectively knockdown the mRNA  
53  
54 levels of their respective targets after IL-4 treatment. (B) Simultaneous down-regulation  
55  
56 of IRS1 and IRS2 attenuates the IL-4 response. IRS1-2 and IRS2-2 siRNA targeting  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65


1 constructs were individually or together co-transfected with the CYP2E1 promoter  
2 construct 2E1-1604, 24 hrs post-transfection cells were treated with IL-4 or left  
3 untreated and luciferase activity was measured after 24 hrs of IL-4 treatment. Results  
4 are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three individual experiments. \*,  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ .  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 **Figure 6.** IL-4-induced expression of CYP2E1 is mediated through the activation of  
12 NFATc1. (A) mRNA levels of the transcription factors NF- $\kappa$ B, Elk1, c-fos, c-jun, Sp1,  
13 NFATc1, NFAT4 and FOXO1 were measured in IL-4 treated or untreated B16A2 cells.  
14 Results are expressed as the fold induction of IL-4 treated over untreated control cells  
15 and normalized against  $\beta$ -actin. (B) siRNA expression constructs targeting the  
16 transcription factors NF- $\kappa$ B, Elk1, NFATc1 and FOXO1 were co-transfected together  
17 with the CYP2E1 promoter construct 2E1-1604. Twenty-four hours post-transfection  
18 cells were treated with IL-4 and luciferase activity was measured after an additional 24  
19 hrs. (C) The CYP2E1 promoter construct 2E1-1604 was co-transfected with expression  
20 constructs for NFATc1 or FOXO1 and luciferase activity was determined 48 hours later.  
21 Results are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three individual experiments. \*,  $P < 0.05$ . (D). Interaction of  
22 NFATc1 with the CYP2E1 promoter as determined by chromatin immunoprecipitation  
23 (ChIP) analysis. Confluent B16A2 cells transfected with 2E1-2651 were treated with IL-  
24 4 or left untreated and DNA-protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with a  
25 specific NFATc1 antibody or as a control a non-relevant IgG. Input DNA is an aliquot  
26 of the sheered DNA prior to immunoprecipitation.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51


52  
53  
54 **Figure 7.** Both STAT6 and NFATc1 are required for efficient IL-4 induction of  
55 CYP2E1. Mutations in the STAT6 and NFATc1 responsive elements were introduced  
56 in the CYP2E1 promoter construct 2E1-1604, resulting in  $\Delta$ STAT6 (mutation of the  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 proximal STAT6 responsive element only),  $\Delta$ NFATc1 (mutation of the distal NFATc1  
2 responsive element only) and  $\Delta$ STAT6+ $\Delta$ NFATc1 (mutation of both STAT6 and  
3 NFATC1 responsive elements). siRNA expression constructs targeting STAT6  
4 (siSTAT6) and NFATc1 (siNAFTc1) were co-transfected with the wtCYP2E1  
5 promoter construct 2E1-1604, 24 hours post-transfection cells were treated with IL-4  
6 and luciferase activity was measured. The position of the STAT6 and NFATc1  
7 responsive elements in the human CYP2E1 are schematically shown at the bottom of  
8 the figure together with the mutated residues (shown in black box). Results are mean  $\pm$   
9 S.D. of three individual experiments. \*,  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*,  $P < 0.01$  as compared to IL-4  
10 treated cells.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 **Figure 8.** Diagram of IL-4 mediated CYP2E1 gene transcription. The factors that were  
28 identified in the present study to be important for IL-4 induced CYP2E1 expression are  
29 indicated with grey arrows. STAT6-BE: STAT6 binding element; NFATc1-BE:  
30 NFATc1 binding element. See text for further details.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



Relative CYP2E1 mRNA levels






Figure 3





Figure 4

A



B





Figure 5

A



B






Figure 6


A




B




C



D





IL-4	-	+	+	+	+	+
ΔSTAT6	-	-	+	-	+	-
ΔNFATc1	-	-	-	+	+	-
siSTAT6	-	-	-	-	-	+
siNFATc1	-	-	-	-	-	+



**TTC**AAAc  
ΔNFATc1

**AAG**catgGAA  
ΔSTAT6

## Figure 8

