



**HAL**  
open science

## Predictors of global methylation levels in blood DNA of healthy subjects: a combined analysis

Zhong-Zheng Zhu, L Hou, Valentina Bollati, Letizia Tarantini, Barbara Marinelli, Laura Cantone, Andrea Baccarelli

### ► To cite this version:

Zhong-Zheng Zhu, L Hou, Valentina Bollati, Letizia Tarantini, Barbara Marinelli, et al.. Predictors of global methylation levels in blood DNA of healthy subjects: a combined analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 2010, 10.1093/ije/DYQ154 . hal-00623791

**HAL Id: hal-00623791**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00623791>**

Submitted on 15 Sep 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Predictors of global methylation levels in blood DNA of healthy subjects: a combined analysis**



Journal:	<i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i>
Manuscript ID:	IJE-2009-11-1067.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Jul-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Zhu, Zhong-Zheng; Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health; No.3 People's Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Department of Oncology Hou, L; Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Department of Preventive Medicine & Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center Bollati, Valentina; Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Tarantini, Letizia; Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Marinelli, Barbara; Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Cantone, Laura; Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Baccarelli, Andrea; University of Milan & IRCCS Maggiore Hospital Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Centre of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology
Key Words:	Blood, DNA methylation, epigenetics, meta-analysis, repetitive elements

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

For Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Predictors of global methylation levels in blood DNA of healthy subjects: a combined analysis**

Zhong-Zheng Zhu,<sup>1,2§</sup> Lifang Hou,<sup>3§</sup> Valentina Bollati,<sup>1</sup> Letizia Tarantini,<sup>1</sup> Barbara Marinelli,<sup>1</sup> Laura Cantone,<sup>1</sup> Allen S. Yang,<sup>4</sup> Pantel Vokonas,<sup>5</sup> Jolanta Lissowska,<sup>6</sup> Silvia Fustinoni,<sup>1</sup> Angela C. Pesatori,<sup>1</sup> Matteo Bonzini,<sup>7</sup> Pietro Apostoli,<sup>8</sup> Giovanni Costa,<sup>1</sup> Pier Alberto Bertazzi,<sup>1</sup> Wong-Ho Chow,<sup>9</sup> Joel Schwartz<sup>10</sup> and Andrea Baccarelli<sup>1,10\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Università degli Studi di Milano and IRCCS Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation, Milan, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Oncology, No.3 People's Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine & Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

<sup>4</sup> Division of Hematology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California, USA

<sup>5</sup> VA Boston Healthcare System, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, The M. Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>7</sup> Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Department of Experimental and Applied Medicine, Occupational Medicine and Industrial Hygiene, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

<sup>9</sup> Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, DHHS, Rockville, MD, USA

<sup>10</sup> Exposure Epidemiology and Risk Program, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

§Drs. Zhu and Hou contributed equally to this work

\*Corresponding Author. Center of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via San Barnaba 8, 20122 Milan, Italy, Tel: +39 02 503 20145, Fax: +39 02 503 20103, Email: andrea.baccarelli@unimi.it

Word count for paper plus abstract: 6023.

## Summary

**Background** Estimates of global DNA methylation from repetitive DNA elements, such as Alu and LINE-1, have been increasingly used in epidemiology investigations because of their relative low cost, high throughput, and quantitative results. Nevertheless, determinants of these methylation measures in healthy individuals are still largely unknown. The aim of this study was to examine whether age, gender, smoking habits, alcohol drinking, and body mass index (BMI) are associated with Alu or LINE-1 methylation levels in blood leukocyte DNA of healthy individuals.

**Methods** Individual data from five studies including a total 1465 healthy subjects were combined. DNA methylation was quantified by PCR-pyrosequencing.

**Results** Age ( $\beta = -0.011$  %5mC/year, 95% CI  $-0.020$  to  $-0.001$  %5mC/year) and alcohol drinking ( $\beta = -0.214$ , 95% CI  $-0.415$  to  $-0.013$ ) were inversely associated with Alu methylation. Compared with females, males had lower Alu methylation ( $\beta = -0.385$ , 95% CI  $-0.665$  to  $-0.104$ ), and higher LINE-1 methylation ( $\beta = 0.796$ , 95% CI  $0.261$  to  $1.330$ ). No associations were found with smoking or BMI. Percent neutrophils and lymphocytes in blood counts exhibited a positive ( $\beta = 0.036$ , 95% CI  $0.010$  to  $0.061$ ) and negative ( $\beta = -0.038$ , 95% CI  $-0.065$  to  $-0.012$ ) association with LINE-1 methylation, respectively.

**Conclusions** Global methylation measures in blood DNA vary in relation with certain host and lifestyle characteristics, including age, gender, alcohol drinking, and white-blood-cell counts. These findings need to be considered in designing epidemiological investigations aimed at identifying associations between DNA methylation and health outcomes.

**Keywords** Blood, DNA methylation, epigenetics, meta-analysis, repetitive elements

## Introduction

DNA methylation is a well-defined epigenetic mechanism involved in the regulation of a wide variety of biological processes, including gene expression, genomic stability, and parental imprinting.<sup>1</sup> In mammals, methylation involves addition of methyl groups to cytosine to form 5-methyl-cytosine (5mC). It is estimated that more than one-third of DNA methylation occurs in repetitive elements,<sup>2,3</sup> which represent a large portion of the human genome.<sup>4</sup> Among these repetitive sequences, Alu and LINE-1 are the most plentiful families representing approximately 30% of the human genome.<sup>5-7</sup> Because of their high representation throughout the genome, Alu and LINE-1 have been used as surrogate markers for estimating global DNA methylation level.<sup>8,9</sup> Methodologically, a high-throughput quantitative method based on bisulfite treatment of DNA and subsequent PCR pyrosequencing<sup>9</sup> or real-time PCR<sup>8</sup> has been developed for estimating global DNA methylation level of Alu and LINE-1 elements. This method is amenable to use in screening large sets of samples because it is cost-effective and requires relatively small amounts of genomic DNA. As a result, DNA methylation analysis of Alu and/or LINE-1 methylation has been used in a number of epidemiological investigations.<sup>10-27</sup> Because of the growing interest in DNA methylation, as well as the wide availability of the technology necessary to conduct DNA methylation analyses, the number of epidemiological investigations including DNA methylation measures will increase rapidly in the next few years. Information about how DNA methylation measures vary in relation with subjects' characteristics and other information commonly collected in epidemiological investigations will be relevant for effective design and statistical analysis of such studies.

Abnormal DNA methylation patterns have been associated with various human diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular disease and autoimmune disease.<sup>28-30</sup> In healthy populations, inter-individual methylation variations at Alu and LINE-1 elements from blood DNA have

1  
2  
3 also been associated with risk factors for cancer, neurological and cardiovascular diseases.<sup>10-13</sup>  
4  
5 As recently discussed by Foley *et al.*,<sup>31</sup> the identification and validation of epigenetic markers  
6  
7 measured in easily obtainable tissues will be required for epidemiologic studies to benefit  
8  
9 from incorporation of epigenetics. Among the biospecimens suitable for DNA methylation  
10  
11 analysis, blood DNA has been the most widely collected in epidemiology investigations.  
12  
13  
14 Although global DNA methylation levels have been proposed to be influenced by a number of  
15  
16 endogenous and exogenous parameters,<sup>32</sup> determinants of blood DNA methylation in  
17  
18 repetitive elements in healthy individuals are still largely unexplored.  
19  
20  
21

22  
23 Although a number of demographic and lifestyle factors have been associated with global  
24  
25 hypomethylation, the results have been inconsistent including those from our own studies.<sup>12-14</sup>  
26  
27 Because of the moderate inter-individual differences in global methylation measures in blood  
28  
29 leukocyte DNA, the limited sample size of each investigation may have contributed to the  
30  
31 inconsistencies. In a study of elderly individuals in the VA Normative Aging Study (NAS),  
32  
33 we have shown that repetitive element methylation was cross-sectionally associated with age,  
34  
35 particularly in Alu element.<sup>14</sup> In the present work on a combined analysis of 1465 subjects  
36  
37 from five investigations, we sought to determine the association of age, gender, smoking  
38  
39 habits, alcohol drinking, and body mass index (BMI) with the methylation status of Alu and  
40  
41 LINE-1 elements among healthy individuals. Because DNA methylation was analyzed on  
42  
43 DNA from white blood cells in all studies, whether differences in white blood cell count were  
44  
45 associated with Alu and LINE-1 methylation was also explored.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## 52 53 **Methods**

### 54 55 **Study populations**

56  
57 Individual data from five studies were combined including a total 1465 healthy subjects: [1]

58  
59 722 subjects from the VA Normative Aging Study (NAS) in Eastern Massachusetts, USA; [2]

1  
2  
3 421 subjects from controls of a population-based case-control study of gastric cancer  
4  
5 conducted in Warsaw, Poland; [3] 211 subjects from a cross-sectional study of low-benzene  
6  
7 exposure conducted in Milan, Italy; [4] 63 healthy workers from a steel plant in Brescia, Italy;  
8  
9 and [5] 48 healthy workers from a perfluooctanoic acid (PFOA) production facility in  
10  
11 Trissino, Italy. All participants in studies #2, 3, 4 and 5, and 98.2% in study #1 were  
12  
13 Caucasians. Detailed information about gender, age, height, weight, drinking and smoking  
14  
15 was obtained by structured questionnaires, either self-administered (studies #1, 3 and 4) or  
16  
17 through in-person interviews (studies #2 and 5). White blood cell counts were performed on  
18  
19 fresh blood using automated methods (Coulter Cell Counter, Abbott or Bayer) within 3 hours  
20  
21 from the time of blood drawing for all studies. Informed consent was obtained from all  
22  
23 participants and the ethical committees of all participating centers approved the studies.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Details on each of the studies are provided below.

30  
31  
32 Study #1 included 722 men who were evaluated between March 1999 and October 2007 as  
33  
34 part of the Normative Aging Study (NAS), a longitudinal study of normal aging established in  
35  
36 1963 by the U.S. Veterans Administration.<sup>33</sup> The men, aged 55 to 100 during 1999-2007, are  
37  
38 given a health examination every 3-5 years and asked to donate a 7 ml blood sample at each  
39  
40 visit. DNA methylation data from only the first blood sample of each study participant was  
41  
42 included in our analysis.  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Study #2 was a population-based case-control study of gastric cancer conducted in Warsaw,  
48  
49 Poland during 1994-1996.<sup>34</sup> Four hundred and twenty-one subjects included in the present  
50  
51 analysis were non-cancer controls randomly selected from a computerized registry of the  
52  
53 Warsaw population, frequency-matched by age and gender to the cases.  
54  
55

56  
57 Study #3 was carried out among residents of Milan, Italy, from October 1999 to June  
58  
59 2000.<sup>12</sup> This study included 77 gasoline station attendants, 77 traffic-control officers (both  
60



1  
2  
3 groups exposed to low-benzene levels), and 57 office workers. All subjects were free of major  
4  
5 illnesses.  
6  
7

8  
9 Study #4 included 63 healthy male workers from a steel production plant in Brescia,  
10 Italy.<sup>17</sup> Between April and May 2006, every subject was asked to donate a blood sample at  
11  
12 two different times. In the current study, only blood samples of every participant collected in  
13  
14 the morning of the first work week day (after 2 days off work) before the beginning of any  
15  
16 work activity were analyzed.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Study #5 included 48 male workers who were employed in PFOA production during 1978-  
22  
23 2007.<sup>35</sup> Blood samples were collected in 2007, when 35 were still active workers in the PFOA  
24  
25 department, whereas 13 were no longer exposed after retirement or being transferred to other  
26  
27 departments.  
28  
29

### 30 31 **Completeness of the data**

32  
33 Some of the variables evaluated in our analysis were not available in all studies. BMI of the  
34  
35 211 subjects from Study #3 and information on alcohol drinking about the 63 subjects from  
36  
37 Study #4 were not recorded. Detailed information on smoking except for smoking status  
38  
39 (nonsmoker, former and current smoker) was not collected from the 48 subjects from Study  
40  
41 #5. In addition, white blood cell count data were not available on the 421 subjects from Study  
42  
43 #2, and only data on lymphocyte and monocyte counts, but not on neutrophils, eosinophils,  
44  
45 and basophils, were available on the 63 subjects from Study #4.  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 51 **Definition of the variables of interest**

52  
53 All variables used in the present analysis were defined for all studies using the same criteria.  
54  
55 An ever-smoker was defined as a smoker of at least 1 cigarette per day for 6 months or longer.  
56  
57 Pack-years of smoking were calculated as the product of packs of cigarettes smoked per day  
58  
59 and total years of smoking. An ever-drinker was defined as a person who reported drinking  
60

1  
2  
3 alcoholic beverage at least 1 time per month for 6 months or longer. The age and BMI at  
4  
5 blood draw were used for all analyses.  
6  
7

### 8 **Alu and LINE-1 methylation analyses**

9  
10 Alu and LINE-1 methylation analyses were conducted using the method developed by Yang  
11  
12 *et al.*<sup>9</sup> for all the studies, as previously described.<sup>12,14,17</sup> One of the study collaborators (V.B.)  
13  
14 participated in all DNA methylation analyses, either by directly performing them or  
15  
16 supervising the laboratory protocol application. Blood leukocyte DNA was extracted, bisulfite  
17  
18 treated, and PCR amplified. Pyrosequencing was done using the PSQHS96 Pyrosequencing  
19  
20 System (Pyrosequencing). The degree of methylation was expressed for both Alu and LINE-1  
21  
22 as percentage of methylated cytosines (%5mC). For all assays built-in controls were used to  
23  
24 verify bisulfite conversion. Each marker was tested in at least two replicates and their average  
25  
26 was used in the statistical analysis. The within-sample coefficient variations in duplicate runs  
27  
28 were 7.0% and 5.3% for Alu and LINE-1, respectively. Samples were dropped from analysis  
29  
30 when replication failed or replicates were not within a specified range (5%). Pyrosequencing  
31  
32 data for each marker were successful obtained for  $\geq 98.6\%$  of the samples.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

### 40 **Statistical methods**

41  
42 Multivariable linear regression models were used to evaluate Alu and LINE-1 methylation  
43  
44 levels in relation to i) subjects' characteristics (age, gender, BMI, smoking status, current  
45  
46 cigarettes/day, past cigarettes/day, total smoking years, pack-years, age smoking started, age  
47  
48 smoking stopped and alcohol drinking) with adjustment for age (as a continuous variable),  
49  
50 gender (male vs. female), and study (using indicator variables), and to ii) total number of  
51  
52 white blood cells and the proportions of white-blood-cell types (neutrophils, lymphocytes,  
53  
54 monocytes, eosinophils and basophils) with adjustment for age (as a continuous variable),  
55  
56 gender (male vs. female), alcohol drinking (ever-drinker vs. nondrinker) and study (using  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 indicator variables). To evaluate whether differences in differential blood counts confounded  
4  
5 the potential associations between subjects' characteristics and DNA methylation,  
6  
7 multivariable models were fitted adjusting for percent lymphocytes, in addition to age, gender  
8  
9 and study. Since blood count data were missing in Study #2 (Warsaw, Poland), percent  
10  
11 lymphocyte data were imputed using linear prediction from a multiple-equation (ME)  
12  
13 regression model<sup>36</sup> that included age, gender, smoking status, current cigarettes/day, pack-  
14  
15 years and alcohol drinking. All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 10.1 (Stata  
16  
17 Corporation, College Station, TX).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 Results

25  
26  
27 The characteristics of the study populations, as well as of the combined data, are shown in  
28  
29 Table 1. In the combined data, mean blood DNA methylation levels, expressed as percentage  
30  
31 of 5-methylcytosine (%5mC), were 26.0 (SD = 1.8) for Alu, and 76.2 (SD = 6.2) for LINE-1.  
32  
33

34  
35 The associations between the subjects' characteristics and Alu and LINE-1 methylation are  
36  
37 shown in combination, as well as within each of the studies (Table 2). In the combined data,  
38  
39 age ( $\beta = -0.011$  %5mC/year, 95% CI  $-0.020$  to  $-0.001$  %5mC/year) was negatively  
40  
41 associated with DNA methylation in Alu elements. Compared with females, males had a  
42  
43 lower Alu methylation levels ( $\beta = -0.385$ , 95% CI  $-0.665$  to  $-0.104$ ), but a higher LINE-1  
44  
45 methylation levels ( $\beta = 0.796$ , 95% CI  $0.261$  to  $1.330$ ). As Studies #1, 4 and 5 contained  
46  
47 males only, further analyses of gender in relation to Alu and LINE-1 methylation were  
48  
49 repeated using only subjects from Studies #2 and 3. In this analysis (Supplementary Table S1),  
50  
51 no major differences in effect estimates were observed from the results reported above. In  
52  
53 addition, alcohol drinkers tended to have a lower Alu methylation levels than nondrinkers ( $\beta$   
54  
55  $= -0.214$ , 95% CI  $-0.415$  to  $-0.013$ ). Age and alcohol drinking showed no association with  
56  
57 LINE-1 methylation level. DNA methylation in both Alu and LINE-1 elements was not  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 associated with BMI, smoking status (Table 2), current cigarettes/day, past cigarettes/day,  
4  
5 total smoking years, pack-years, age smoking started or age smoking stopped (data not  
6  
7 shown). Further analyses on the associations of age, gender, and BMI with Alu and LINE-1  
8  
9 methylation were conducted on subsets of the study population by including alcohol drinkers  
10  
11 only (n = 246), smokers only (n = 371), or individuals who were both alcohol drinkers and  
12  
13 smokers (n = 481). These sets of analyses produced comparable results (data not shown). As  
14  
15 smoking habits, alcohol drinking, and BMI differed between males and females ( $P < 0.001$   
16  
17 for all, Table 1), we also performed gender-stratified analyses of smoking habits, alcohol  
18  
19 drinking, and BMI, which showed no major differences in their associations with Alu or  
20  
21 LINE-1 methylation (data not shown). Since 265 subjects (18.1% of the total number of  
22  
23 subjects) were exposed to certain occupational pollutants, including benzene,<sup>12</sup> particulate  
24  
25 matter<sup>17</sup> and PFOA,<sup>35</sup> which may exert some effects on global DNA methylation and thus  
26  
27 modify the effects of characteristics on global DNA methylation, further association analyses  
28  
29 of subjects' characteristics with DNA methylation were repeated by excluding these exposed  
30  
31 subjects. In this analysis (Supplementary Table S2), only minor departures of the effect  
32  
33 estimates were observed from the results based on the total number of subjects.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 As DNA methylation was measured on white blood cells, whether the differential white  
43  
44 blood cell count was associated with Alu and LINE-1 element methylation was examined  
45  
46 (Table 3). The total number of white blood cells was not associated with either Alu or LINE-1  
47  
48 methylation. Percent neutrophils and lymphocytes, the two major types of white blood cells,  
49  
50 were inversely correlated with each other ( $r = -0.936$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and exhibited a positive ( $\beta$   
51  
52 = 0.036, 95% CI 0.010 to 0.061) and negative ( $\beta = -0.038$ , 95% CI -0.065 to -0.012)  
53  
54 association with LINE-1 methylation, respectively. No association between the differential  
55  
56 blood count and Alu element methylation was found. Adding percent lymphocytes into  
57  
58 multivariable linear regression models produced no major difference in the effects of  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 characteristics on Alu and LINE-1 methylation level (Table 4). When these analyses were  
4  
5 repeated without imputation of percent lymphocytes for Study #2 (i.e., by excluding Study  
6  
7 #2), the results were generally similar to the ones reported (data not shown). The only  
8  
9 noticeable difference was that alcohol drinking was not associated with Alu methylation in  
10  
11 this set of models ( $\beta = -0.178$ , 95% CI  $-0.433$  to  $0.076$ ). However, this appeared to result  
12  
13 from the exclusion of Study #2, which was the study with the highest number of ever drinkers  
14  
15 (n=283), rather than from adjustment for percent lymphocytes, as also demonstrated in a  
16  
17 model adjusting for age, gender and study, but not for percent lymphocytes, fitted without  
18  
19 including Study #2 ( $\beta$  for alcohol drinking =  $-0.177$ , 95% CI  $-0.428$  to  $0.073$ ).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 26 Discussion

27  
28 In a combined analysis of blood DNA methylation from five investigations, we showed that  
29  
30 age, gender and alcohol drinking are predictors for global methylation levels in blood DNA  
31  
32 from healthy subjects. In particular, age and alcohol were inversely associated with Alu  
33  
34 element methylation. Gender had opposite associations with Alu and LINE-1 methylation  
35  
36 levels, with males having lower Alu and higher LINE-1 methylation levels than females. We  
37  
38 also showed that the neutrophil and lymphocyte proportions of white-blood-cell types  
39  
40 modified LINE-1 methylation level measured in blood DNA, but did not confound the  
41  
42 association between global methylation levels and subjects' age, gender, and alcohol drinking.  
43  
44 Although Alu and LINE-1 methylation levels were shown to be correlated with each other in  
45  
46 tumor tissue DNA,<sup>26</sup> no such correlation has been found in surrounding normal tissues,<sup>26</sup> or in  
47  
48 non-target tissues such as blood and buccal cells.<sup>12,13,37</sup> In this respect, the differences  
49  
50 between Alu and LINE-1 in methylation regulation mechanisms,<sup>38</sup> responses to cellular  
51  
52 stressors and environmental exposures,<sup>12,13,37</sup> and average levels of DNA methylation<sup>9,13</sup> may  
53  
54 account for the different findings for Alu and LINE-1 methylation in association with the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 potential determinants evaluated in the present study, such as age, gender, alcohol drinking  
4  
5 and the proportions of white-blood-cell types.  
6  
7

8 The effect of age on global DNA methylation has been widely discussed.<sup>39,40</sup> In analysis of  
9  
10 blood DNA derived from healthy subjects, several studies based on relatively small study  
11  
12 samples (between 76 and 237 subjects) reported an inverse association between age and  
13  
14 genomic 5mC content,<sup>41,42</sup> concluding that age is an independent predictor for genomic 5mC  
15  
16 content. However, several other similarly sized or larger studies (between 32 and 526 samples)  
17  
18 reported no association of age with genomic 5mC content<sup>43</sup> or other estimates of global DNA  
19  
20 methylation obtained from various genomic components, including LINE-1, Alu, and CCGG  
21  
22 sequences.<sup>13,44-46</sup> Our finding that Alu, but not LINE-1, methylation levels decreased in  
23  
24 association with age suggests that age-related decreases in DNA methylation are not  
25  
26 occurring homogeneously across the human genome. Also, our recent longitudinal analysis in  
27  
28 the VA Normative Aging Study,<sup>14</sup> along with analyses in the present study and in a previous  
29  
30 investigation,<sup>41</sup> showed that age-related methylation alteration are small, particularly  
31  
32 compared with the inter-individual variability in DNA methylation. Thus, the lack of  
33  
34 association with age in several studies<sup>13,44-46</sup> could be partially due to smaller sample size,  
35  
36 insufficient age-range evaluated, and/or limitations of the analytical methods used.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 We found that gender had opposite associations with Alu and LINE-1 methylation levels,  
45  
46 with males having lower Alu but higher LINE-1 methylation levels. Previous studies have  
47  
48 also observed that males, compared with females, had elevated LINE-1 methylation in blood  
49  
50 DNA,<sup>15,45</sup> consistent with our data for LINE-1. Yet, our observation that males had lower Alu  
51  
52 methylation levels may seem paradoxical. One of the two X chromosomes in females is  
53  
54 transcriptionally inactive and heavily methylated. This, together with differences in Alu  
55  
56 element density and composition between chromosome X and Y,<sup>5</sup> may partly account for the  
57  
58 lower Alu methylation levels observed in males. Two investigations of global 5mC content,  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 reflecting a net effect of increase and decrease of methylation of various components in  
4 genomic DNA,<sup>47</sup> revealed a higher global 5mC content of blood DNA in males than in  
5 females.<sup>41,42</sup> Our data seemed to be consistent with these findings, since male gender was  
6 associated with higher  $\beta$  estimates for LINE-1 ( $\beta = 0.796$ ) than for Alu ( $\beta = -0.385$ ), which  
7 may contribute to an elevated net global methylation levels in males.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Smoking has been associated with a state of global hypomethylation in genomic DNA  
16 derived from tumor tissues in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).<sup>19,45,48,49</sup>  
17  
18 Vaissiere *et al.*<sup>50</sup> found that smoking was associated with increased methylation in lung  
19 cancer tissues of MTHFR, a gene participating in the methyl donor pathway, and that in turn  
20 MTHFR methylation showed a marked inverse correlation with LINE-1 methylation. We  
21 hypothesized that smoking would exert effects on global methylation levels in genomic DNA  
22 derived from blood of healthy subjects, but failed to find any association between smoking  
23 habits (smoking status, current cigarettes/day, past cigarettes/day, total smoking years, pack-  
24 years, age smoking started and age smoking stopped) and Alu or LINE-1 methylation levels.  
25 Consistent with our data, other studies of smoking effects on global methylation in blood  
26 DNA of healthy subjects also reported negative results.<sup>13,43,45</sup> The lack of association between  
27 smoking habits and global methylation in genomic DNA from blood of healthy subjects,  
28 which are at variance with inverse associations found in tumor tissues,<sup>19,45,48,49</sup> may suggest  
29 that smoking acts differently in different tissue types, or acts differently in healthy versus  
30 tumor tissues. Although we did not observe a direct effect of smoking on global DNA  
31 methylation among healthy subjects, a possible involvement of smoking in modifying global  
32 DNA methylation cannot be excluded. Hillemaier *et al.*<sup>51</sup> recently reported that smoking  
33 modifies the correlation between paternal and offspring DNA methylation. Although neither  
34 paternal smoking nor offspring smoking had effect on global DNA methylation estimated in  
35 CCGG sequences, correlation between offspring and paternal DNA methylation was strongest  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 when both were non-smokers, and absent when the offsprings smoked.<sup>51</sup> In addition, Breton  
4  
5 *et al.*<sup>52</sup> reported that prenatal exposure to tobacco smoke was associated with decreased global  
6  
7 methylation level in buccal cell DNA in children. Because DNA methylation is largely  
8  
9 established in utero or in early life,<sup>53</sup> these findings may reflect higher susceptibility to  
10  
11 smoking in specific periods of life.  
12  
13

14  
15 Several studies have examined the association between alcohol drinking and global 5mC  
16  
17 content or LINE-1 element methylation in both normal and tumor tissue DNA, with most  
18  
19 studies reporting no association.<sup>43,45,48,49</sup> Only one study of HNSCC tumor tissues reported  
20  
21 that alcohol use was inversely associated with LINE-1 methylation levels in univariate  
22  
23 analysis, but this significance disappeared in multivariate analysis.<sup>19</sup> In our data, no  
24  
25 association was found between alcohol drinking and LINE-1 methylation, while we showed  
26  
27 for the first time that drinking was inversely associated with Alu methylation levels. Alcohol  
28  
29 drinkers might have lower intakes as well as lower serum levels of vitamin B<sub>12</sub> and folate,<sup>54</sup>  
30  
31 and thus have lower availability of methyl sources, as well as decreased efficiency of the  
32  
33 enzymatic pathways responsible for DNA methylation.<sup>54,55</sup> In addition, animal models have  
34  
35 shown that chronic alcohol exposure can decrease cytosine methyltransferase mRNA levels in  
36  
37 sperm<sup>56</sup> and DNA methylation in tissues of liver and colon mucosa.<sup>57</sup> It should be noted that  
38  
39 most of the studies included in the present analysis did not have detailed data on alcohol  
40  
41 drinking, which hindered our ability to further evaluate alcohol dose-related effects on global  
42  
43 DNA methylation. Moreover, our present analysis, together with all other studies on the  
44  
45 association between alcohol drinking and global DNA methylation,<sup>19,43,45,48,49</sup> mostly included  
46  
47 social drinkers. Additional studies on alcohol abusers and dependence are required.  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 Our data showed that the proportions of white-blood-cell subsets affected LINE-1  
53  
54 methylation levels measured in blood DNA, with percent neutrophils and lymphocytes, the  
55  
56 major types of white blood cells, exhibiting opposite effects on LINE-1 methylation levels.  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Previous studies have shown that there exist between-tissue methylation variations, which  
4 contribute to regulating functions that are specific to each tissue or cell types.<sup>58</sup> In the present  
5 work, further analysis showed that the addition in multivariable regression models of percent  
6 lymphocytes did not modify the associations of the subjects' characteristics we evaluated with  
7 repetitive-element methylation levels. However, the association we observed between  
8 differential white blood count and LINE-1 methylation indicates that findings from  
9 epidemiological studies based on DNA methylation analyses on blood DNA might be  
10 confounded by differences in the proportion of white blood cell types. This would be a  
11 concern for exposures or disease states that are associated with inflammatory responses that  
12 may produce shifts in white blood cell type proportions.<sup>59,60</sup>

13  
14  
15 Our study had the advantages of being based on a large sample size and accurate  
16 quantitative analysis using pyrosequencing methodology, which is suitable for measuring  
17 subtle changes in DNA methylation. In the present work, all DNA methylation analyses were  
18 performed using the same laboratory protocol, and each variable used in the present analysis  
19 was defined using the same criteria. To account for potential confounding due to differences  
20 between the five studies, all our analyses were adjusted by study using indicator variables in  
21 the regression models, thus also minimizing the potential effect on methylation caused by  
22 between-study differences in ethnic background, which has been shown to be associated with  
23 global DNA methylation levels,<sup>18,61</sup> as well as potential analytical batch effects. One  
24 limitation of our analysis is that results on the association of gender with LINE-1 or Alu  
25 methylation could be confounded by the origin of the study and by the difference in lifestyle  
26 factors between males and females. Only two (Studies #2 and 3) of the five studies used in the  
27 combined analysis included females (only 14.1% of the study subjects were females). In  
28 addition, smoking habits, alcohol drinking, and BMI differed between males and females.  
29 However, similar effect estimates were observed after excluding studies containing males

1  
2  
3 only (Studies #1, 4 and 5) from the analyses (Supplementary Table S1), or by further  
4  
5 adjusting for variables in multivariable analyses that might have confounded the associations,  
6  
7 including smoking habits, alcohol drinking, BMI and percent lymphocytes (data not shown).  
8  
9 Nonetheless, it cannot be excluded that other unmeasured lifestyle or personal factors might  
10 underline the gender-related differences in DNA methylation levels we observed. Secondly,  
11 dietary differences were not investigated here, which may also influence methylation levels in  
12 genomic DNA.<sup>62</sup> Lastly, we assumed that DNA methylation in Alu and LINE-1 repetitive  
13 elements may be used as a surrogate for global DNA methylation content. However,  
14 methylation levels of both repetitive elements are not equivalent to, albeit vastly represents,  
15 global DNA methylation content.<sup>9</sup> Also, measures of repetitive element-based methylation  
16 analyses as used in the present study, representing a pool of multiple unique Alu or LINE-1  
17 repeats in the entire genome, are different from measures of gene-specific or genome-wide  
18 array-based (genomic site-specific) methylation analyses, and thus the extent to which our  
19 conclusions apply to site-specific methylation measures remains to be determined. In  
20 particular, whereas the present of associations of the variables we evaluated with Alu and/or  
21 LINE-1 methylation might suggest that there may also exist associations with gene-specific  
22 methylation, lack of association with Alu and LINE-1 methylation does not exclude  
23 associations with specific loci. Both Alu and LINE-1 methylation levels represent an average  
24 measure of methylation at multiple sites across the genome. Opposite concurrent changes of  
25 hypo- and hyper-methylation might offset each other without being reflected in these average  
26 measures of methylation.

27  
28  
29 In conclusion, this combined analysis of blood DNA methylation showed that study  
30 participant data that are routinely collected in most epidemiological investigations, including  
31 age, gender and alcohol drinking, are predictors of global DNA methylation levels. We also  
32 showed that the neutrophil and lymphocyte proportions of white-blood-cell types modified  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 LINE-1 methylation level measured in blood DNA. These findings provide valuable  
4  
5 information that can be used in planning future epidemiological studies, as well as in  
6  
7 analyzing existing datasets.  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 **Funding**

13  
14  
15 This work was supported by grants as follows:  
16  
17

18       Study #1: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [ES015172-01]; and  
19  
20 Environmental Protection Agency [R83241601, R827353]. The VA Normative Aging Study  
21  
22 is supported by the Cooperative Studies Program/Epidemiology Research and Information  
23  
24 Center of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and is a component of the Massachusetts  
25  
26 Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center (MAVERIC).  
27  
28

29  
30       Study #2: Intramural Research Program (Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics,  
31  
32 NCI) of the National Institutes of Health; Diane Belfer Program for Human Microbial  
33  
34 Diversity; and the National Institutes of Health [R01GM63270].  
35  
36

37  
38       Study #3: Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) (2008-2010) [6016].  
39  
40

41       Study #4: Cariplo Foundation [2007-5469]; and Italian Ministry of Scientific Research  
42  
43 (MIUR) [PRIN-20072S2HT8].  
44  
45

46       Study #5: Milan University intramural funding.  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 **Conflict of interest:** None declared.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**KEY MESSAGES**

- Information on how global methylation measured in blood leukocyte DNA varies in relation with subjects' characteristics and other factors commonly collected in epidemiological investigations will be relevant for effective study design and statistical analysis.
- We showed that study participant data that are generally collected in most epidemiological investigations, including age, gender, alcohol drinking, and blood neutrophil and lymphocyte proportions, are predictors of global DNA methylation levels.
- These findings need to be considered in designing epidemiological investigations aiming at identifying associations between DNA methylation and health outcomes.

new Only

## References

- 1 Bird A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Genes Dev* 2002;**16**:6-21.
- 2 Kochanek S, Renz D, Doerfler W. DNA methylation in the Alu sequences of diploid and haploid primary human cells. *Embo J* 1993;**12**:1141-51.
- 3 Schmid CW. Does SINE evolution preclude Alu function? *Nucleic Acids Res* 1998;**26**:4541-50.
- 4 Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B *et al.* Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 2001;**409**:860-921.
- 5 Grover D, Mukerji M, Bhatnagar P, Kannan K, Brahmachari SK. Alu repeat analysis in the complete human genome: trends and variations with respect to genomic composition. *Bioinformatics* 2004;**20**:813-7.
- 6 Babushok DV, Kazazian HH Jr. Progress in understanding the biology of the human mutagen LINE-1. *Hum Mutat* 2007;**28**:527-39.
- 7 Kazazian HH Jr, Goodier JL. LINE drive: retrotransposition and genome instability. *Cell* 2002;**110**:277-80.
- 8 Weisenberger DJ, Campan M, Long TI *et al.* Analysis of repetitive element DNA methylation by MethyLight. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;**33**:6823-36.
- 9 Yang AS, Estecio MR, Doshi K, Kondo Y, Tajara EH, Issa JP. A simple method for estimating global DNA methylation using bisulfite PCR of repetitive DNA elements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;**32**:e38.
- 10 Baccarelli A, Wright RO, Bollati V *et al.* Rapid DNA methylation changes after exposure to traffic particles. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;**179**:572-8.
- 11 Baccarelli A, Wright RO, Bollati V *et al.* Low blood DNA methylation determines higher risk and mortality from ischemic heart disease and stroke among elderly individuals. *Circulation* 2009;**119**:E281.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- <sup>12</sup> Bollati V, Baccarelli A, Hou L *et al.* Changes in DNA methylation patterns in subjects exposed to low-dose benzene. *Cancer Res* 2007;**67**:876-80.
- <sup>13</sup> Rusiecki JA, Baccarelli A, Bollati V, Tarantini L, Moore LE, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC. Global DNA hypomethylation is associated with high serum-persistent organic pollutants in Greenlandic Inuit. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008;**116**:1547-52.
- <sup>14</sup> Bollati V, Schwartz J, Wright R *et al.* Decline in genomic DNA methylation through aging in a cohort of elderly subjects. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2009;**130**:234-9.
- <sup>15</sup> El-Maarri O, Becker T, Junen J *et al.* Gender specific differences in levels of DNA methylation at selected loci from human total blood: a tendency toward higher methylation levels in males. *Hum Genet* 2007;**122**:505-14.
- <sup>16</sup> Ogino S, Nosho K, Kirkner GJ *et al.* A cohort study of tumoral LINE-1 hypomethylation and prognosis in colon cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;**100**:1734-8.
- <sup>17</sup> Tarantini L, Bonzini M, Apostoli P *et al.* Effects of particulate matter on genomic DNA methylation content and iNOS promoter methylation. *Environ Health Perspect* 2009;**117**:217-22.
- <sup>18</sup> Figueiredo JC, Grau MV, Wallace K *et al.* Global DNA hypomethylation (LINE-1) in the normal colon and lifestyle characteristics and dietary and genetic factors. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;**18**:1041-9.
- <sup>19</sup> Smith IM, Mydlarz WK, Mithani SK, Califano JA. DNA global hypomethylation in squamous cell head and neck cancer associated with smoking, alcohol consumption and stage. *Int J Cancer* 2007;**121**:1724-8.
- <sup>20</sup> Richards KL, Zhang B, Baggerly KA *et al.* Genome-wide hypomethylation in head and neck cancer is more pronounced in HPV-negative tumors and is associated with genomic instability. *PLoS One* 2009;**4**:e4941.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 21 Yamamoto E, Toyota M, Suzuki H *et al.* LINE-1 hypomethylation is associated with increased CpG island methylation in *Helicobacter pylori*-related enlarged-fold gastritis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;**17**:2555-64.
- 22 Woloszynska-Read A, Mhaweck-Fauceglia P, Yu J, Odunsi K, Karpf AR. Intertumor and intratumor NY-ESO-1 expression heterogeneity is associated with promoter-specific and global DNA methylation status in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;**14**:3283-90.
- 23 Geli J, Kiss N, Karimi M *et al.* Global and regional CpG methylation in pheochromocytomas and abdominal paragangliomas: association to malignant behavior. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;**14**:2551-9.
- 24 Ogino S, Kawasaki T, Nosho K *et al.* LINE-1 hypomethylation is inversely associated with microsatellite instability and CpG island methylator phenotype in colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2008;**122**:2767-73.
- 25 Iacopetta B, Grieu F, Phillips M *et al.* Methylation levels of LINE-1 repeats and CpG island loci are inversely related in normal colonic mucosa. *Cancer Sci* 2007;**98**:1454-60.
- 26 Choi IS, Estecio MR, Nagano Y *et al.* Hypomethylation of LINE-1 and Alu in well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors (pancreatic endocrine tumors and carcinoid tumors). *Mod Pathol* 2007;**20**:802-10.
- 27 Estecio MR, Gharibyan V, Shen L *et al.* LINE-1 hypomethylation in cancer is highly variable and inversely correlated with microsatellite instability. *PLoS One* 2007;**2**:e399.
- 28 Lucchinetti E, Feng J, Silva R *et al.* Inhibition of LINE-1 expression in the heart decreases ischemic damage by activation of Akt/PKB signaling. *Physiol Genomics* 2006;**25**:314-24.
- 29 Castro R, Rivera I, Struys EA *et al.* Increased homocysteine and S-adenosylhomocysteine concentrations and DNA hypomethylation in vascular disease. *Clin Chem* 2003;**49**:1292-6.
- 30 Wilson AS, Power BE, Molloy PL. DNA hypomethylation and human diseases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007;**1775**:138-62.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 31 Foley DL, Craig JM, Morley R *et al.* Prospects for epigenetic epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;**169**:389-400.
- 32 Jaenisch R, Bird A. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat Genet* 2003;**33**:245-54.
- 33 Bell B, Rose C, Damon A. The normative aging study: an interdisciplinary and longitudinal study of health and aging. *Aging Hum Dev* 1972;**3**:4-17.
- 34 Chow WH, Swanson CA, Lissowska J *et al.* Risk of stomach cancer in relation to consumption of cigarettes, alcohol, tea and coffee in Warsaw, Poland. *Int J Cancer* 1999;**81**:871-6.
- 35 Costa G, Sartori S, Consonni D. Thirty years of medical surveillance in perfluooctanoic acid production workers. *J Occup Environ Med* 2009;**51**:364-72.
- 36 McCleary R, Dick MB, Buckwalter G, Henderson V, Shankle WR. Full-information models for multiple psychometric tests: annualized rates of change in normal aging and dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 1996;**10**:216-23.
- 37 Li TH, Schmid CW. Differential stress induction of individual Alu loci: implications for transcription and retrotransposition. *Gene* 2001;**276**:135-41.
- 38 Jones PA, Baylin SB. The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. *Nat Rev Genet* 2002;**3**:415-28.
- 39 Fraga MF, Agrelo R, Esteller M. Cross-talk between aging and cancer: the epigenetic language. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2007;**1100**:60-74.
- 40 Liu L, Wylie RC, Andrews LG, Tollefsbol TO. Aging, cancer and nutrition: the DNA methylation connection. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2003;**124**:989-98.
- 41 Fuke C, Shimabukuro M, Petronis A *et al.* Age related changes in 5-methylcytosine content in human peripheral leukocytes and placentas: an HPLC-based study. *Ann Hum Genet* 2004;**68**:196-204.



- 1  
2  
3 42 Shimabukuro M, Sasaki T, Imamura A *et al.* Global hypomethylation of peripheral  
4  
5 leukocyte DNA in male patients with schizophrenia: a potential link between epigenetics  
6  
7 and schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007;**41**:1042-6.  
8  
9
- 10 43 Moore LE, Pfeiffer RM, Poscablo C *et al.* Genomic DNA hypomethylation as a biomarker  
11  
12 for bladder cancer susceptibility in the Spanish Bladder Cancer Study: a case-control study.  
13  
14 *Lancet Oncol* 2008;**9**:359-66.  
15  
16
- 17 44 Chalitchagorn K, Shuangshoti S, Hourpai N *et al.* Distinctive pattern of LINE-1  
18  
19 methylation level in normal tissues and the association with carcinogenesis. *Oncogene*  
20  
21 2004;**23**:8841-6.  
22  
23
- 24 45 Hsiung DT, Marsit CJ, Houseman EA *et al.* Global DNA methylation level in whole blood  
25  
26 as a biomarker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers*  
27  
28 *Prev* 2007;**16**:108-14.  
29  
30
- 31 46 Bjornsson HT, Sigurdsson MI, Fallin MD *et al.* Intra-individual change over time in DNA  
32  
33 methylation with familial clustering. *JAMA* 2008;**299**:2877-83.  
34  
35
- 36 47 Dunn BK. Hypomethylation: one side of a larger picture. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003;**983**:28-  
37  
38 42.  
39  
40
- 41 48 Furniss CS, Marsit CJ, Houseman EA, Eddy K, Kelsey KT. Line region hypomethylation  
42  
43 is associated with lifestyle and differs by human papillomavirus status in head and neck  
44  
45 squamous cell carcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;**17**:966-71.  
46  
47
- 48 49 Guerrero-Preston R, Baez A, Blanco A, Berdasco M, Fraga M, Esteller M. Global DNA  
49  
50 methylation: a common early event in oral cancer cases with exposure to environmental  
51  
52 carcinogens or viral agents. *P R Health Sci J* 2009;**28**:24-9.  
53  
54
- 55 50 Vaissiere T, Hung RJ, Zaridze D *et al.* Quantitative analysis of DNA methylation profiles  
56  
57 in lung cancer identifies aberrant DNA methylation of specific genes and its association  
58  
59 with gender and cancer risk factors. *Cancer Res* 2009;**69**:243-52.  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 51 Hillemecher T, Frieling H, Moskau S *et al.* Global DNA methylation is influenced by smoking behaviour. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2008;**18**:295-8.
- 52 Breton CV, Byun HM, Wenten M, Pan F, Yang A, Gilliland FD. Prenatal Tobacco Smoke Exposure Affects Global and Gene-Specific DNA Methylation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;**180**:462-7.
- 53 Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**:61-73.
- 54 Gibson A, Woodside JV, Young IS *et al.* Alcohol increases homocysteine and reduces B vitamin concentration in healthy male volunteers--a randomized, crossover intervention study. *QJM* 2008;**101**:881-7.
- 55 Purohit V, Abdelmalek MF, Barve S *et al.* Role of S-adenosylmethionine, folate, and betaine in the treatment of alcoholic liver disease: summary of a symposium. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;**86**:14-24.
- 56 Bielawski DM, Zaher FM, Svinarich DM, Abel EL. Paternal alcohol exposure affects sperm cytosine methyltransferase messenger RNA levels. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2002;**26**:347-51.
- 57 Fiala ES, Sohn OS, Hamilton SR. Effects of chronic dietary ethanol on in vivo and in vitro metabolism of methylazoxymethanol and on methylazoxymethanol-induced DNA methylation in rat colon and liver. *Cancer Res* 1987;**47**:5939-43.
- 58 Eckhardt F, Lewin J, Cortese R *et al.* DNA methylation profiling of human chromosomes 6, 20 and 22. *Nat Genet* 2006;**38**:1378-85.
- 59 Von Essen S, Romberger D. The respiratory inflammatory response to the swine confinement building environment: the adaptation to respiratory exposures in the chronically exposed worker. *J Agric Saf Health* 2003;**9**:185-96.
- 60 Requena L, Sanchez Yus E. Erythema nodosum. *Semin Cutan Med Surg* 2007;**26**:114-25.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

<sup>61</sup> Piyathilake CJ, Henao O, Frost AR *et al.* Race- and age-dependent alterations in global methylation of DNA in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 2003;**14**:37-42.

<sup>62</sup> Suzuki H, Toyota M, Sato H, Sonoda T, Sakauchi F, Mori M. Roles and causes of abnormal DNA methylation in gastrointestinal cancers. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2006;**7**:177-85.

For Review Only

**Table 1** Subject characteristics of the five studies included in a combined analysis of determinants of blood DNA methylation in repetitive elements in healthy individuals [mean or *n* (%)]

Variable	Study #1	Study #2	Study #3	Study #4	Study #5	All studies
	Boston, USA	Warsaw, Poland	Milan, Italy	Brescia, Italy	Trissino, Italy	( <i>n</i> =1465)
<b>Age, years (min-max)</b>	72 (55-100)	63 (28-80)	37 (19-74)	44 (27-55)	43 (26-62)	62 (19-100)
<b>Gender, <i>n</i> (%)</b>						
Female	0 (0.0)	149 (35.4)	58 (27.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	207 (14.1)
Male	722 (100.0)	272 (64.6)	153 (72.5)	63 (100.0)	48 (100.0)	1258 (85.9)
<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup> (SD)</b>	28.2 (4.1)	25.7 (3.7)	N/A	26.5 (2.7)	25.5 (2.9)	27.2 (4.0)
<b>Smoking status, <i>n</i> (%)</b>						
Nonsmoker	212 (29.4)	169 (40.1)	95 (45.0)	20 (33.3)	28 (58.3)	524 (35.8)
Former smoker	482 (66.8)	143 (34.0)	33 (15.6)	15 (25.0)	2 (4.2)	675 (46.2)
Current smoker	28 (3.9)	109 (25.9)	83 (39.3)	25 (41.7)	18 (37.5)	263 (18.0)
<b>Current cigarettes, <i>n</i>/day (min-max)</b>	20 (3-40)	18 (2-97)	15 (1-40)	13 (1-30)	N/A	17 (1-97)
<b>Past cigarettes, <i>n</i>/day (min-max)</b>	26 (1-144)	21 (2-97)	19 (2-60)	17 (5-50)	N/A	24 (1-144)
<b>Total smoking years (min-max)</b>	24 (1-63)	30 (1-59)	19 (1-48)	21 (3-38)	N/A	25 (1-63)
<b>Pack-years of smoking (min-max)</b>	32 (0.1-267)	31 (0.1-162)	17 (0.3-82)	16 (0.7-50)	N/A	29 (0.1-267)
<b>Age smoking started, years (min-max)</b>	18 (6-44)	20 (11-73)	17 (13-28)	18 (10-30)	N/A	18 (6-73)
<b>Age smoking stopped, years (min-max)</b>	42 (13-84)	49 (19-75)	33 (19-56)	34 (20-53)	N/A	43 (13-84)
<b>Alcohol drinking, <i>n</i> (%)</b>						
Nondrinker	390 (58.4)	138 (32.8)	77 (36.8)	N/A	14 (29.2)	619 (46.0)
Ever-drinker	278 (41.6)	283 (67.2)	132 (63.2)	N/A	34 (70.8)	727 (54.0)
<b>Blood count</b>						
White blood cells, 10 <sup>3</sup> cell/mm <sup>3</sup> (min-max)	6.6 (1.8-16.4)	N/A	7.1 (3.5-18.5)	7.3 (3.6-10.4)	7.0 (4.1-12.6)	6.6 (1.8-18.5)
Neutrophils, % (min-max)	62.2 (31-85)	N/A	54.5 (33.7-84.3)	N/A	53.3 (34.7-87.9)	60.1 (31-87.9)
Lymphocytes, % (min-max)	25.5(5-65)	N/A	34.3 (8.5-55.5)	30.7 (15.4-44.1)	36.1 (7.7-60.1)	28.2 (5-65)
Monocytes, % (min-max)	8.7 (2-17)	N/A	8.0 (2.6-14.5)	10.4 (4.5-19.4)	9.1 (4.2-18.7)	8.7 (2-19.4)
Eosinophils, % (min-max)	3.3 (0-22)	N/A	2.9 (0.1-9.2)	N/A	2.8 (0.1-7.1)	3.2 (0-22)
Basophils, % (min-max)	0.6 (0-2)	N/A	0.3 (0-1.5)	N/A	0.4 (0-1.4)	0.5 (0-2)
<b>DNA methylation, %5mC (SD)</b>						
Alu	26.3 (1.1)	25.1 (1.4)	27.1 (3.4)	25.8 (0.7)	24.9 (1.0)	26.0 (1.8)
LINE-1	76.9 (2.0)	80.2 (3.2)	71.7 (5.9)	78.8 (1.0)	78.7 (3.2)	76.2 (6.2)

Abbreviations: %5mC, percentage of 5-methyl cytosine; max, maximum; min, minimum; N/A, not available; SD, standard deviation.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

**Table 2** Association of Alu and LINE-1 methylation with the characteristics of the study subjects, in combination as well as within each of the five studies

Variable	Alu				LINE-1			
	No.	%5mC <sup>a</sup>	95% CI <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	No.	%5mC <sup>a</sup>	95% CI <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
<b>All studies</b>								
<b>Age, years</b>								
<48	285	26.1	25.8, 26.4		264	77.2	76.7, 77.8	
48-63	279	26.0	25.8, 26.2		271	77.4	77.0, 77.8	
64-69	314	26.0	25.8, 26.2		307	77.2	76.8, 77.6	
70-74	267	25.9	25.7, 26.1		263	77.1	76.7, 77.6	
≥75	320	25.9	25.7, 26.1	-0.011 (-0.020 to -0.001) <sup>b</sup>	313	77.2	76.8, 77.6	0.003 (-0.016 to 0.021) <sup>b</sup>
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	206	26.3	26.1, 26.6		202	76.6	76.1, 77.0	
Male	1238	25.9	25.8, 26.0	-0.385 (-0.665 to -0.104)	1216	77.4	77.2, 77.5	0.796 (0.261 to 1.330)
<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
<25.3	413	25.8	25.7, 25.9		408	78.0	77.8, 78.3	
25.3-28.5	415	25.8	25.6, 25.9		415	78.3	78.1, 78.5	
≥28.6	410	25.9	25.7, 26.0	0.012 (-0.005 to 0.030) <sup>b</sup>	408	78.2	78.0, 78.4	0.003 (-0.033 to 0.040) <sup>b</sup>
<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
Nondrinker	609	26.1	26.0, 26.3		604	77.2	76.9, 77.4	
Ever-drinker	717	25.9	25.8, 26.0	-0.214 (-0.415 to -0.013)	700	77.1	76.9, 77.3	-0.053 (-0.430 to 0.323)
<b>Smoking status</b>								
Nonsmoker	519	26.0	25.8, 26.1		504	77.2	77.0, 77.5	
Former smoker	665	26.0	25.9, 26.1		659	77.3	77.0, 77.5	
Current smoker	257	26.0	25.8, 26.2		252	77.2	76.7, 77.6	
Trend statistics					0.018 (-0.107 to 0.143)			
<b>Study #1</b>								
<b>Age, years</b>								
<48	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
48-63	63	26.5	26.2, 26.7		63	77.4	77.0, 77.9	
64-69	199	26.5	26.3, 26.6		196	76.9	76.6, 77.1	
70-74	185	26.3	26.1, 26.4		186	76.8	76.5, 77.1	
≥75	261	26.2	26.1, 26.3	-0.022 (-0.034 to -0.010) <sup>b</sup>	258	76.9	76.6, 77.1	-0.011 (-0.033 to 0.010) <sup>b</sup>
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	

1										
2		Male	708	26.3	26.2, 26.4	NA	703	76.9	76.8, 77.0	NA
3		<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
4		<25.3	160	26.3	26.1, 26.4		156	76.9	76.6, 77.2	
5		25.3-28.5	251	26.3	26.1, 26.4		252	77.0	76.7, 77.2	
6		≥28.6	297	26.4	26.2, 26.5	0.021 (0.001 to 0.041) <sup>b</sup>	295	76.9	76.6, 77.1	0.005 (-0.032 to 0.042) <sup>b</sup>
7										
8		<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
9		Nondrinker	383	26.3	26.2, 26.5		381	76.7	76.5, 76.9	
10		Ever-drinker	271	26.3	26.2, 26.4	-0.023 (-0.188 to 0.143)	272	76.9	76.7, 77.1	0.239 (-0.037 to 0.515)
11										
12		<b>Smoking status</b>								
13		Nonsmoker	208	26.3	26.2, 26.5		206	76.7	76.5, 77.0	
14		Former smoker	473	26.3	26.2, 26.4		470	76.9	76.8, 77.1	
15		Current smoker	27	26.2	25.8, 26.6		27	77.4	76.7, 78.2	
16		Trend statistics				-0.015 (-0.173 to 0.143)				0.252 (-0.033 to 0.536)
17										
18	<b>Study #2</b>	<b>Age, years</b>								
19		<48	41	25.0	24.6, 25.5		40	79.4	78.4, 80.4	
20		48-63	141	25.1	24.8, 25.3		141	80.5	79.9, 81.0	
21		64-69	109	24.9	24.7, 25.2		108	80.2	79.6, 80.9	
22		70-74	75	24.9	24.6, 25.3		75	80.2	79.5, 80.9	
23		≥75	55	25.4	25.0, 25.8	0.004 (-0.009 to 0.017) <sup>b</sup>	55	80.2	79.4, 81.1	0.017 (-0.012 to 0.047) <sup>b</sup>
24										
25		<b>Gender</b>								
26		Female	149	25.2	25.0, 25.4		148	79.6	79.1, 80.1	
27		Male	272	25.0	24.8, 25.1	-0.201 (-0.486 to 0.084)	271	80.6	80.2, 80.9	0.951 (0.304 to 1.597)
28										
29		<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
30		<25.3	206	25.1	24.9, 25.3		205	80.1	79.6, 80.5	
31		25.3-28.5	126	25.0	24.7, 25.2		125	80.4	79.8, 81.0	
32		≥28.6	88	25.0	24.7, 25.3	-0.013 (-0.051 to 0.025) <sup>b</sup>	88	80.3	79.6, 81.0	-0.024 (-0.110 to 0.062) <sup>b</sup>
33										
34		<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
35		Nondrinker	138	25.2	24.9, 25.5		138	80.4	79.8, 81.0	
36		Ever-drinker	283	25.0	24.8, 25.2	-0.227 (-0.567 to 0.112)	281	80.2	79.8, 80.6	-0.218 (-0.991 to 0.554)
37										
38		<b>Smoking status</b>								
39		Nonsmoker	169	24.9	24.7, 25.1		168	80.3	79.7, 80.8	
40		Former smoker	143	25.1	24.9, 25.4		143	80.3	79.7, 80.8	
41		Current smoker	109	25.2	24.9, 25.5		108	80.1	79.5, 80.8	
42										
43										
44										
45										
46										
47										

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

		Trend statistics			0.136 (-0.046 to 0.317)				-0.053 (-0.466 to 0.361)
<b>Study #3</b>	<b>Age, years</b>								
	<48	164	27.2	26.7, 27.7		150	71.8	70.8, 72.7	
	48-63	36	26.7	25.6, 27.8		31	71.3	69.2, 73.4	
	64-69	3	26.8	23.0, 30.7		3	72.7	65.9, 79.4	
	70-74	2	27.4	22.7, 32.1		2	69.5	61.1, 77.8	
	≥75	0	NA	NA	-0.027 (-0.069 to 0.014) <sup>b</sup>	0	NA	NA	0.007 (-0.071 to 0.084) <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Gender</b>								
	Female	57	27.6	26.8, 28.5		54	71.4	69.8, 73.0	
	Male	148	26.9	26.3, 27.4	-0.782 (-1.811 to 0.247)	132	71.8	70.8, 72.8	0.397 (-1.500 to 2.294)
	<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
	<25.3	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	
	25.3-28.5	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	
	≥28.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
	Nondrinker	74	27.6	26.7, 28.4		71	72.3	70.8, 73.8	
	Ever-drinker	129	26.8	26.2, 27.4	-0.756 (-1.831 to 0.319)	113	71.2	70.0, 72.3	-1.164 (-3.128 to 0.800)
	<b>Smoking status</b>								
	Nonsmoker	94	27.2	26.5, 27.8		82	72.0	70.7, 73.3	
	Former smoker	32	26.9	25.7, 28.1		29	71.2	69.0, 73.4	
	Current smoker	79	27.1	26.3, 27.8		75	71.5	70.2, 72.9	
		Trend statistics			-0.051 (-0.554 to 0.451)				-0.232 (-1.171 to 0.707)
<b>Study #4</b>	<b>Age, years</b>								
	<48	43	25.8	25.5, 26.0		43	78.8	78.5, 79.1	
	48-63	19	26.0	25.7, 26.3		19	78.9	78.4, 79.3	
	64-69	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	70-74	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	≥75	0	NA	NA	0.014 (-0.010 to 0.039) <sup>b</sup>	0	NA	NA	-0.006 (-0.040 to 0.027) <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Gender</b>								
	Female	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	Male	62	25.8	25.7, 26.0	NA	62	78.8	78.6, 79.1	NA
	<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
	<25.3	21	25.7	25.4, 26.0		21	79.1	78.7, 79.5	

	25.3-28.5	26	25.8	25.5, 26.1		26	78.8	78.4, 79.2	
	≥28.6	15	26.0	25.6, 26.4	0.035 (-0.034 to 0.104) <sup>b</sup>	15	78.4	77.9, 78.9	-0.108 (-0.201 to -0.016) <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
	Nondrinker	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	
	Ever-drinker	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	<b>Smoking status</b>								
	Nonsmoker	20	26.2	25.9, 26.5		20	78.8	78.3, 79.2	
	Former smoker	15	25.5	25.1, 25.8		15	78.8	78.3, 79.4	
	Current smoker	24	25.9	25.6, 26.1		24	78.9	78.4, 79.3	
	Trend statistics				-0.162 (-0.380 to 0.055)				0.057 (-0.259 to 0.373)
<b>Study #5</b>	<b>Age, years</b>								
	<48	31	24.9	24.6, 25.3		31	79.1	77.9, 80.2	
	48-63	17	24.8	24.3, 25.3		17	78.1	76.6, 79.7	
	64-69	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	70-74	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	≥75	0	NA	NA	0.006 (-0.025 to 0.037) <sup>b</sup>	0	NA	NA	-0.061 (-0.161 to 0.039) <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Gender</b>								
	Female	0	NA	NA		0	NA	NA	
	Male	48	24.9	24.6, 25.2	NA	48	78.7	77.8, 79.7	NA
	<b>Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>								
	<25.3	26	25.0	24.6, 25.4		26	77.6	76.4, 78.8	
	25.3-28.5	12	24.6	24.1, 25.2		12	79.7	77.9, 81.5	
	≥28.6	10	24.8	24.1, 25.5	-0.093 (-0.198 to 0.011) <sup>b</sup>	10	80.5	78.5, 82.5	0.386 (0.058 to 0.714) <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
	Nondrinker	14	25.4	24.8, 25.9		14	77.6	75.8, 79.5	
	Ever-drinker	34	24.7	24.3, 25.0	-0.687 (-1.379 to 0.005)	34	79.2	78.0, 80.3	1.557 (-0.722 to 3.836)
	<b>Smoking status</b>								
	Nonsmoker	28	24.9	24.5, 25.3		28	79.1	77.9, 80.3	
	Former smoker	2	25.3	23.8, 26.8		2	74.5	70.0, 79.1	
	Current smoker	18	24.8	24.3, 25.3		18	78.6	77.1, 80.2	
	Trend statistics				-0.027 (-0.341 to 0.287)				-0.290 (-1.300 to 0.719)

Abbreviations: %5mC, percentage of 5-methyl cytosine; NA, not available.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, gender and study.

<sup>b</sup> Beta for age and body mass index were obtained using continuous variables.



**Table 3** Effect of blood count on Alu and LINE-1 methylation (combined analysis of the five studies)

Blood count	Alu		LINE-1	
	No.	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	No.	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
White blood cells, 10 <sup>3</sup> cell/mm <sup>3</sup>	1013	0.001 (-0.060 to 0.062)	991	0.077 (-0.034 to 0.187)
Neutrophils, %	939	0.008 (-0.006 to 0.022)	917	0.036 (0.010 to 0.061)
Lymphocytes, %	1001	-0.009 (-0.023 to 0.006)	979	-0.038 (-0.065 to -0.012)
Monocytes, %	994	0.001 (-0.040 to 0.042)	972	-0.032 (-0.105 to 0.042)
Eosinophils, %	932	-0.012 (-0.070 to 0.047)	910	0.010 (-0.094 to 0.114)
Basophils, %	932	0.008 (-0.254 to 0.270)	910	-0.198 (-0.668 to 0.272)

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, alcohol drinking and study.

For Review Only

**Table 4** Effects of age, gender and alcohol drinking on Alu and LINE-1 methylation in multivariable models including percent lymphocytes in blood count, age, gender and study as independent variables (combined analysis of the five studies)

Variable	Alu				LINE-1			
	No.	%5mC <sup>a</sup>	95% CI <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	No.	%5mC <sup>a</sup>	95% CI <sup>a</sup>	Beta (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
<b>Age, years</b>								
<48	285	26.2	25.9, 26.5		264	77.4	76.8, 77.9	
48-63	279	26.0	25.8, 26.2		271	77.5	77.1, 77.9	
64-69	314	26.0	25.8, 26.2		307	77.2	76.8, 77.6	
70-74	267	25.9	25.7, 26.1		263	77.1	76.7, 77.5	
≥75	320	25.9	25.7, 26.1	-0.012 (-0.022 to -0.002) <sup>b</sup>	313	77.1	76.7, 77.5	-0.003 (-0.022 to 0.016) <sup>b</sup>
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	206	26.3	26.0, 26.6		202	76.5	76.0, 77.0	
Male	1238	25.9	25.8, 26.0	-0.361 (-0.642 to -0.079)	1216	77.4	77.2, 77.5	0.874 (0.339 to 1.409)
<b>Alcohol drinking</b>								
Nondrinker	609	26.1	26.0, 26.3		604	77.2	76.9, 77.4	
Ever-drinker	717	25.9	25.8, 26.0	-0.208 (-0.409 to -0.007)	700	77.1	76.9, 77.3	-0.049 (-0.425 to 0.327)

Abbreviations: %5mC, percentage of 5-methyl cytosine.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, percent lymphocytes in blood count, and study.

<sup>b</sup> Beta for age were obtained using continuous variables.