



HAL
open science

Ethnic school context and the national and sub-national identifications of pupils

Orhan Agirdag, Mieke van Houtte, Piet van Avermaet

► **To cite this version:**

Orhan Agirdag, Mieke van Houtte, Piet van Avermaet. Ethnic school context and the national and sub-national identifications of pupils. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 2010, PP (PP), pp.1. 10.1080/01419870.2010.510198 . hal-00621300


HAL Id: hal-00621300

<https://hal.science/hal-00621300>

Submitted on 10 Sep 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Ethnic school context and the national and sub-national identifications of pupils

Journal:	<i>Ethnic and Racial Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	RERS-2010-0052.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	national identification, sub-national identification, educational segregation, ethnic school composition, school diversity, Flanders

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Ethnic school context and the national and sub-national identifications of pupils

Abstract

In various European countries, policymakers strive for educational desegregation to enhance pupils' national identifications. Since little empirical evidence supports such a policy and social identity theorists emphasize the importance of context, this article examines the impact of ethnic school composition—measured by the proportion of non-natives and ethnic heterogeneity—on the national (Belgian) and sub-national (Flemish) identifications of pupils. Multilevel data analyses by surveying 2,845 pupils (aged ten to twelve) in sixty-eight Flemish primary schools reveal differential effects for natives and non-natives. While the proportion of non-natives at school is negatively associated with non-native pupils' identifications, it is positively related to native pupils' identifications. In general, the ethnic heterogeneity of the school is negatively associated with pupils' national and sub-national identifications. Our findings indicate that the relation between ethnic school composition and pupils' identifications is mediated by the latter's interethnic friendships. The consequences of these findings for educational policy are discussed.

Keywords: national identification, sub-national identification, educational segregation, ethnic school composition, school diversity

Introduction

A growing concern about ethnic school segregation is evident in many European countries. Such separation is considered not only unfavourable for educational achievement but also an obstruction for the social integration of immigrant and minority pupils (*Belgium*: Jacobs, Rea and Teney 2009; Van Houtte and Stevens 2009; *for England*: Burgess, Wilson and Lupton 2005; *France*: Felouzis 2005; *Germany*: Kristen 2005; *the Netherlands*: Westerbeek 1999; Karsten *et al.* 2006; and *Sweden*: Szulkin and Jonsson 2006). For example, in the aftermath of the 2001 riots in the UK, the ever-growing absence of social cohesion was linked to educational and residential ethnic segregation. Policy makers argued that desegregation is a requisite for the cultivation of a sense of citizenship, in particular a stronger national identification, i.e. Britishness, and sub-national identification, i.e. Englishness (Cantle 2001; Ouseley 2001; Maxwell 2009). Similar evolutions took place in France. Although the topic of social integration there is commonly linked to the issue of residential segregation (*les banlieues*), educational segregation is seen as one of the most essential obstacles in attaining a stronger French national identification (Payet 1998; Felouzis 2005). In other words, policy makers in different countries generally work towards the dispersal of minority/immigrant pupils (we call these groups non-natives), as they believe that the mixing of ethnicities in schools will enhance the academic achievement and national identification, particularly with respect to non-native pupils (Mahieu 2002; Burgess *et al.* 2005).

However, these policies lack a firm evidential foundation, since little empirical research has been conducted into the determinants of national identifications among children and young adolescents (e.g. Carrington and Short 1995; Verkuyten 2001; Barrett 2002; Reizábal, Valencia and Barrett 2004; Lam and Smith 2009). Moreover,

1
2
3 although theorists of identity insist that social identifications are strongly dependent on
4 contextual factors (Oakes, Turner and Haslam 1994), the impact of school attendance
5 in segregated or integrated contexts on the national identifications of native and non-
6 native pupils is obscure. While research has been primarily conducted into the effect
7 of ethnic school segregation on educational achievement, interethnic friendship, and
8 racial attitudes (for reviews Schofield 1991; Braddock and Eitle 2004), studies linking
9 school segregation to social identifications have focused mostly on *ethnic* identity and
10 in-group/out-group evaluations (Kinket and Verkuyten 1999; Umaña-Taylor 2004).
11 Hence, the relation between de facto school segregation and the national
12 identifications of pupils remains generally unknown, and consequently, the above-
13 stated political beliefs concerning the negative impact of ethnic school context on the
14 development of national identifications are not based on empirical observations.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 The main aim of this study is to address this lacuna. More specifically, we
33 investigate the impact of ethnic school context—in terms of ethnic concentration and
34 the ethnic heterogeneity/diversity of the student body—on national identifications of
35 2,845 pupils in sixty-eight elementary schools in Flanders. Flanders, which is the
36 Dutch-speaking regional state of Belgium, is a unique context, since as an autonomous
37 state it is responsible for almost every aspect of educational policy. Therefore, besides
38 the Belgian national identification, we also investigate the determinants of sub-
39 national, that is, Flemish identification of pupils.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **National identification**

57
58 As with gender or religious identifications, national identification can be regarded as a
59 part of the individual's social identity (Barrett 2002). Tajfel (1981, p.255) defines
60

1
2
3 social identity as ‘that part of an individual’s self-concept which derives from his
4 knowledge of his membership of a social group (or groups) together with the value
5 and emotional significance attached to that membership’. Drawing upon this
6 definition, national identification can be considered as the self-identification and
7 emotive meaning that an individual derives from membership in a national group.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15
16 In contrast to the large number of studies on ethnic and gender identity,
17 relatively little research has been conducted on the national identity of children and
18 young adolescents. Early studies have examined the time span of the national
19 identification process in children. These studies reveal that children begin to
20 categorize themselves as members of a national group by ages five or six. At this
21 moment, however, children’s gender and age identities seem to be more salient than
22 their national identity. Nevertheless, national identity tends to increase in importance
23 until the age of eleven or twelve. By the age of ten, youngsters are able to describe the
24 characteristics of their national group (for reviews see Barrett 2002; Reizábal *et. al*
25 2004).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 More recently, different authors have focused on the impact of national
41 identification on children’s in-group favouritism. For example, Barrett (2002) reports
42 that youngsters who categorized themselves as ‘*quite British*’ or ‘*very British*’ were
43 more likely to link British people with positive adjectives, such as ‘*friendly*’, ‘*nice*’,
44 ‘*clean*’. Studies of children and young adolescents in the Netherlands (Kinket and
45 Verkuyten 1999) and in the Basque country (Reizábal *et. al* 2004) reveal similar
46 results.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 Qualitatively oriented research has focused on the negotiation of national
58 identity by children and young adolescents, concentrating on the meaning derived by
59
60

1
2
3 them in 'belonging' to a nation-state and the construction of their national
4 identifications through the education system (*for Australia*: Howard and Gill 2001; *for*
5 *Greek-Cyprus*: Philippou 2005; *for Ireland*: Tormey 2006; *for Scotland* Carrington
6 and Short 1995). These studies underline the importance of the national context in
7 which national identities are formed and negotiated. For example, Philippou (2005)
8 shows that the importance placed on national identity by Greek-Cypriot pupils was
9 related to the highly politicized context of Cyprus, namely, the presence of a 'national
10 enemy' on the island. In contrast, Howard and Gill (2001) indicate that Australian
11 children were mostly uninterested and unconcerned about being Australian.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **National identification and ethnic minorities**

29
30
31
32 When we take ethnic differences into account, this picture becomes more complex.
33
34 For years, the one-dimensional assimilation model was the dominant framework. The
35 theories based on it suggested that national identification and ethnic identification are
36 antipodal, implying that the strength of the ethnic identify of non-natives determines
37 the degree of their national identity (Phinney 1990). By contrast, current scholars
38 argue for a bi-dimensional model. They have pointed out that ethnic identification
39 does not necessarily compete with national identification, since people can combine
40 multiple identities (Hutnik 1991; Phinney, Horenczyk, Liebkind and Vedder 2001).
41
42 For example, a Turkish immigrant in Flanders may perceive himself as 'very
43 *Belgian*'(national identification) and simultaneously as 'very Flemish' (sub-national
44 identification) and 'very *Turkish*' (ethnic identification). This is the notion of the
45 hyphenated or multiple identities (Geartner and Dovidio 2000; Verkuyten 2004;
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 Modood 2005).

1
2
3 Previous empirical studies on national identifications of immigrants and ethnic
4 minorities have generally shown that these non-native groups identify less with the
5 national category than natives do (for studies of Belgium see Phalet and Swyngedouw
6 2002; Van Craen, Vancluysen and Ackaert 2007). Research on children and young
7 adolescents reveals similar results (Carrington and Short 1995; Barrett 2002; Lam and
8 Smith 2009). Barrett (2002) indicates that white-English children have a higher level
9 of both 'Englishness' and 'Britishness' than children from ethnic minority groups. A
10 study conducted by Carrington and Short (1995) with eight to eleven years-old
11 children demonstrates that more than 90 per cent of native children answered 'British'
12 when responding to the question 'Are you British or something else'. By contrast, only
13 38 per cent of non-native children said they were 'British', while a fifth claimed a
14 specific ethnic identity, such as 'Chinese' or 'Jamaican'. In line with the idea of
15 'hyphenated identities', 25 per cent of non-natives described themselves as having
16 both ethnic and national identity.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 For the purpose of the present study, it is important to note that various authors
38 have argued that national identification is partly determined by the social context. For
39 instance, Vadhvani and Barrett (2009) show that the British identity of Indian and
40 Pakistani is different in private and public spheres. These authors suggest that at public
41 level (e.g. at school) the British identity is more dominant than it is at the private level
42 (e.g. at home). In their discussion, Lam and Smith (2009) argue that the ethnic school
43 context may be an important determinant of national identifications; but unfortunately,
44 they do not provide empirical evidence to test this hypothesis.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Identification and ethnic school composition

1
2
3 Children and young adolescents spend almost half of their waking hours in a school
4 context. Most theories on identity, self-categorisation theory in particular, emphasize
5 that social identities are strongly dependent on context (Tajfel 1981; Oakes *et. al*
6 1994), and empirical evidence supports this point (Hopkins and Murdoch 1999). It is,
7 then, surprising that the relation between the school context and the national
8 identifications of pupils is widely neglected. Therefore, our primary goal in this paper
9 is to examine the manner in which pupils' national (Belgian) and sub-national
10 (Flemish) identifications are influenced by contextual school factors, especially the
11 ethnic composition of the student body, as measured by the proportion of non-native
12 pupils (i.e. ethnic minority concentration), and the ethnic diversity/heterogeneity at
13 school (see Variables section).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 *Reference group theory*

34 The reference group theory (Kelley 1952; Merton 1968; Hyman and Singer 1968) is a
35 suitable starting point to investigate the association between ethnic minority
36 concentration and the national and sub-national identifications of pupils. According to
37 this theory, a group, in a given context, might constitute either a *normative* reference
38 or a *comparative* reference group for individuals. When the group functions as the
39 former, it sets and enforces standards for individuals. This means that when the
40 normative reference group tends to exhibit high national identifications, the individual
41 will also be inclined to have a higher national identification. On the other hand, when
42 the group functions as a comparative reference group, it does not function as the norm,
43 but as a benchmark against which individuals compare and judge themselves. In this
44 case, the contrast between the individual and the group is highlighted, which might
45 enlarge the differences between them (Kelley, 1952).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

According to Merton (1968), individuals initially tend to choose their own group as their reference group. However, individuals might also choose groups to which they do not belong (e.g. a non-native pupil considering the native group as the point of reference). Because the relative size of a group in a given context (school) may increase or decrease the probability that it is chosen as a reference group, the proportion of natives or non-natives at school will be related to the likelihood that such groups will be chosen as the point of reference by individuals. As such, when *normative* reference group processes prevail and the proportion of native pupils at school increases (lower ethnic minority concentration), native pupils are more likely to be the normative reference group for both native and non-native individuals at school. This implies that the standards of natives (i.e. high national identification, see above) will be the norm for non-native individuals and might increase the latter's low national identifications. However, we do not expect that native individuals will be affected by the native reference group, since they already have high national identifications. In sum, the normative reference group theory generates the following hypothesis:

H1: An increasing proportion of native pupils at school (lower ethnic minority concentration) will be related to higher levels of national and sub national identifications for non-native pupils.

However, as stated above, *comparative* reference group processes might prevail as well. In this case, when the proportion of natives increases (lower ethnic minority concentration), the native groups' high-national identification becomes a benchmark against which non-native individuals will compare themselves, which might further decrease their national identifications. On the other hand, an increasing ethnic

1
2
3 minority concentration implies that the low national identifications of the non-native
4 group will be the yardstick for native pupils. This contrast between the low
5 identification of the non-native reference group and the high identifications of native
6 individuals can be expected to further increase the national identifications of native
7 pupils. Hence, drawing upon the comparative reference group framework following
8 hypotheses can be formulated. Note that Hypothesis 2 is in conflict with above-
9 mentioned Hypothesis 1.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 *H2*: An increasing proportion of native pupils at school (lower ethnic minority
23 concentration) will be related to lower levels of national and sub national
24 identifications for non-native pupils.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 *H3*: An increasing proportion of non-native pupils at school (higher ethnic
33 minority concentration) will be related to higher levels of national and sub
34 national identifications for native pupils.

35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 *Constrict theory*

44
45
46 The above stated reference group theory is related to ethnic minority concentration,
47 that is, the proportion of non-native pupils at school. In the literature, minority
48 concentration is often confused with *ethnic diversity/heterogeneity*. However, these
49 are two distinct concepts and two distinct measurements of ethnic composition (see
50 Putnam 2007; Van Houtte and Stevens 2009). Ethnic diversity or heterogeneity refers
51 to the degree of ethnic difference in a given context. For example, a school in England
52 with Pakistani children only is less diverse than a school in which all the children are

1
2
3 from different ethnic groups. To conceptualise the impact of ethnic
4 diversity/heterogeneity at school level, we consider the ‘constrict theory’ as described
5 in Putnam (2007).
6
7
8
9

10
11 According to the constrict theory the degree of ethnic diversity in a given
12 context triggers social anomie or social isolation. In ethnically diverse environments,
13 both natives and non-natives will be less engaged in collective actions and will have
14 fewer close friends. It should be noted that Putnam states that this tendency applies
15 only to the short run; in the long term, wider benefits of ethnic diversity are evident.
16 However, in the short-term, ethnic diverse settings can be expected to be associated
17 with a decrease in collectivity: ‘People living in ethnically diverse settings appear to
18 “hunker down”– that is, to pull in like a turtle’ (Putnam 2007, p.149). The original
19 constrict theory takes neighbourhoods as units of contextual analysis, while we will
20 test this hypothesis in the school context. Similarly, the original constrict theory does
21 not link ethnic diversity/heterogeneity *directly* to national identifications, but to
22 collectivity. However, we consider pupils’ national and sub-national identifications as
23 an utterance of such collectivity. After all, both native and non-natives are expected to
24 share these collective identities. Flanders, for instance, witnesses a growing tendency
25 of addressing the non-natives as ‘new-Belgians’ or ‘new-Flemings’, whereas in the
26 past, they were primarily called ‘immigrants’ or ‘allochtones’. In sum, the following
27 hypothesis can be formulated by drawing upon the constrict theory:
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 *H4*: Increasing ethnic diversity at school level will be associated with a
53 decrease in national and sub-national identification for both native and non-
54 native pupils.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Interethnic friendship as mediator

The school-effects literature underlines the importance of intermediate relations, that is, of mediator variables that clarify the relationship between structural school features and the dependent variable (for reviews see Teddlie and Reynolds 2000; Van Houtte 2005). Therefore, the impact of ethnic school composition on the identifications of pupils should not be understood as a mechanical one, but rather as a mediating process. With regard to social identification, the Common Ingroup Identity Model (CIIM; Gaertner and Dovidio 2000) points at the importance of interethnic contact and friendships. According to the CIIM, when extended contact takes place between individuals from different social groups, these individuals will show a greater propensity to re-categorize their social identities towards those that connect both groups. For non-natives, this implies that increasing contact and friendship with natives might result in increasing national and sub-national identification (see Van Craen *et. al* 2007; Maxwell 2009). For natives, on the other hand, increasing contact and friendship with non-natives might temper their national and sub national identifications, this, to minimize the social distance between them.

For pupils, these interethnic friendships, in turn, are partly determined by the ethnic composition of the school, since several studies have shown that in schools with higher ethnic heterogeneity, pupils are more engage in interethnic friendships (Goldsmith 2004; Van Houtte and Stevens 2009). Hence, a mediational model can be formulated, which states that given the expected association between ethnic school composition and interethnic friendship and the relationship between interethnic friendship and national identification, the following hypothesis emerges:

1
2
3 *H5*: The impact of the ethnic school composition on pupils' national and sub-
4 national identifications (H1, H2, H3, and H4) will be mediated by the amount
5 of their interethnic friendships.
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 14 **Methods**

15 16 17 *Sample*

18
19
20 We used data gathered in 2008–2009 from 2,845 pupils (mean age 11.61) in a sample
21 of sixty-eight primary schools in Flanders as part of the *Segregation in Primary*
22 *Education in Flanders* (SIPEF) project. Multistage sampling was conducted. In the
23 first instance, in order to encompass the entire range of ethnic composition, we
24 selected three cities in Flanders with ethnically diverse populations. Second, using
25 data gathered from the Flemish Educational Department, 116 primary schools within
26 these selected cities were asked to participate; this yielded a positive response of 54
27 per cent. This relatively high non-response of schools is because Flemish schools are
28 commonly swamped with such requests from investigators, generally resulting in a
29 'first come, first served' outcome. As such, the participating schools did not differ
30 from those that opted out in terms of school sector (i.e. private and public schools) or
31 ethnic composition (as measured by the proportion of non-native pupils). The schools
32 in this dataset encompass the entire range of ethnic composition, from schools having
33 almost no non-native pupils to pure-minority-concentrated schools having 100 per cent
34 non-native pupils. Within these schools, our research team asked all fifth-grade pupils
35 present at school during our visit to fill out a written questionnaire. If the number of
36 fifth-grade pupils was less than thirty, we surveyed all the sixth-grade pupils as well.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The pupils completed the questionnaires in class in the presence of one or two

1
2
3 researchers and a teacher. The questionnaires were anonymous and were analyzed in
4
5 complete confidentiality.
6
7
8
9

10 11 *Research design*

12
13
14
15 Given that we are dealing with a clustered sample of pupils nested within schools and
16
17 with data at different levels, namely, variables of ethnic school composition as the
18
19 main determinants at the school level and national and sub-national identifications as
20
21 outcome at pupil level, the use of hierarchical linear modelling is most appropriate
22
23 (HLM6, Raudenbush and Bryk 2002). As is common in multilevel analyses, we start
24
25 by estimating unconditional models to determine the amount of variance that occurs
26
27 among schools regarding national and sub-national identifications. Then, we add the
28
29 main determinants to the model, controlling systematically for school features (size
30
31 and sector) and pupil features [gender, grade, socioeconomic status (SES)]. In the
32
33 second model, we add ethnic heterogeneity/diversity at school level, and in the third
34
35 model interethnic friendship at pupil level. HLM only provides unstandardized gamma
36
37 coefficients; to evaluate the strength of the associations, we standardize these
38
39 coefficients. We carry out each analysis separately for native and non-native pupils,
40
41 since the association between ethnic school composition and national/sub-national
42
43 identification is hypothesized to be different for both groups.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 *Variables*

56 57 58 *Ethnic origin*

59
60

1
2
3 Since all of the analyses are performed separately for native and immigrant pupils, it is
4 important to explain how we have made the distinction between them. The principal
5 criterion was the birthplace of the pupils' grandmothers. If these data were missing,
6 we considered their mothers and fathers' birthplaces, as most non-native pupils in
7 Flanders are second- or third-generation immigrants. We consider eleven broad ethnic
8 groups: (1) Native Belgians (46.7 per cent), (2) West-Europeans including pupils of
9 Dutch, French, or German origin (5.6 per cent), (3) South-Europeans, including pupils
10 of Italian or Spanish origin (6.6 per cent), (4) Turks (13.0 per cent), (5) Moroccans
11 (15.6 per cent), (6) Other North-Africans (1.0 per cent), (7) East-Europeans (5.8 per
12 cent), (8) Sub-Sahara Africans (1.8 per cent), (9) Middle-Easterners (1.2 per cent),
13 (10) South-East Asians (1.7 per cent), and (11) others (1.1 per cent). As is common
14 practice, and in line with the official Flemish definition of non-native groups, only
15 West European origins (groups 1 and 2) were considered as producing native descent
16 (see Van Houtte and Stevens 2009). As such, we created a dichotomous variable
17 (0=ative, 1=non-native).

42 *Outcomes*

43
44 To assess national (Belgian) and sub-national (Flemish) identification, we used a scale
45 based on five items from the Collective Self-Esteem Scale twice (Luhtanen and
46 Crocker 1992). Similar items were successfully used with same aged children in
47 previous studies in the Netherlands (Verkuyten 2001). The items are as follows: 'I
48 consider myself as a Belgian/Fleming'; 'I often regret that I am Belgian/Flemish'
49 (reverse scored); 'I am glad to be a Belgian/Fleming'; 'I often feel that
50 Belgium/Flanders is worthless' (reverse scored); 'I feel good about Belgium/Flanders'.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 There are five answer categories, ranging from *absolutely do not agree* (1) to
4
5 *completely agree* (5). Responses to these five items were averaged. An exploratory
6
7 factor analysis revealed that there was one underlying identity dimension for both
8
9 scales. For the Belgian identification scale, the lowest loading is .62, with an
10
11 Eigenvalue of 2.76 (explaining 55.26 per cent of variance) and Cronbach's alpha of
12
13 .80. For the Flemish identification scale, the lowest loading is .66, with an Eigenvalue
14
15 of 2.95 (explaining 58.52 per cent of variance) and Cronbach's alpha of .82. Table 1
16
17 demonstrates that, on average, native pupils have a significantly stronger Belgian
18
19 identification ($M=4.38$, $SD=0.69$) and Flemish identification ($M=4.22$, $SD=0.83$) than
20
21 non-native pupils, respectively ($M=3.18$, $SD=0.89$, $t=37.99$, $p<0.001$) and ($M=3.13$,
22
23 $SD=0.92$; $t=31.10$, $p<0.001$).

24 25 26 27 28 29 30 *School-level variables*

31
32
33 As stated above, the school *ethnic composition* is measured by two indicators. First,
34
35 we consider *ethnic minority concentration*, that is, the proportion of non-native
36
37 respondents in a school in our database. On average, the proportion of non-native
38
39 pupils is 51.50 per cent ($SD = 34.16$; Table 1). The minority concentration ranges from
40
41 2.63 per cent to 100 per cent non-native pupils.

42
43
44
45
46 The second indicator of school's ethnic composition measures the *ethnic*
47
48 *diversity/heterogeneity* within a school, expressed as the total number of different
49
50 groups of non-natives, corrected by their size. We use an index of ethnic diversity,
51
52 which is the Herfindahl index as used by Putnam (2007) multiplied by -1, since
53
54 Putnam in fact calculated an index of homogeneity, whereas we are interested in
55
56 heterogeneity. The used index is calculated as $(p_{\text{ethnic group 1}})^2 + (p_{\text{ethnic group 2}})^2 + \dots + (p_{\text{ethnic group n}})^2$. We included the eleven ethnic groups listed above. The index has a range
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of -1 till 0 and a value of -1 implies no diversity at all, that is, only one ethnic group is
4
5 enrolled in the school. A value that approaches zero means total diversity: all pupils in
6
7 school have a different ethnic origin. In our data, on average, schools have a value of -
8
9 0.46 ($SD = 0.20$).

10
11
12
13 We determined the *school size* from the total number of pupils, using data
14
15 gathered from the Flemish Educational Department. The number of pupils varies from
16
17 ninety-one in the smallest school to 526 in the largest. The schools catered for an
18
19 average of 223 pupils ($SD=104.03$; Table 1). Finally, the variable *school sector*
20
21 distinguishes between thirty-three public schools (municipal schools and state schools;
22
23 score 0) and thirty-five private schools (score 1). It should be noted that in the Flemish
24
25 educational system, no distinction is made between public schools and private schools
26
27 with respect to state support.
28
29
30
31

32 33 *Individual-level independent variables*

34
35
36 Four individual-level variables were included in the multilevel analysis. Our research
37
38 concentrated on the *fifth- and sixth-grade* pupils. Therefore, most of the respondents
39
40 were age eleven (about 49 per cent) or twelve (about 36 per cent) in 2009. It should be
41
42 noted that psychological research points out that this period is decisive for developing
43
44 a national identity (Barrett, Wilson and Lyons 2003). Given the high multicollinearity
45
46 between age and grade (Cramer's $V=0.64$; $p<0.000$), we had to choose between one of
47
48 these two variables to enter the model. We opted for grade because the sample was
49
50 unbalanced for grade.
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 The sample was equally divided with respect to *gender*, with 51.2 per cent of
58
59 the respondents being female (male=0, female=1).
60

1
2
3 We measured the *socioeconomic status* (SES) of origin of the pupils by means
4 of the occupational prestige of the father and mother (Erikson, Goldthorpe and
5 Portocarero 1979); the higher of the two is used as an indicator of the SES of the
6 family. The respondents have a mean SES of 4.44 ($SD=2.22$). On average, the non-
7 native pupils have a significantly lower SES ($M=3.24$, $SD=1.92$) than the native pupils
8 (mean=5.44, $SD=1.94$; Table 1).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Finally, to measure pupils' *interethnic friendship* we asked native respondents
19 to state how many of their friends at school had a non-Belgian origin, and we asked
20 non-native pupils to state how many of their friends at school had a Belgian origin.
21 There were five possible answers: nobody (score 1), a few (score 2), half of them
22 (score 3), most of them (score 4), and all of them (score 5). As can be seen from Table
23 1, on average native pupils have lower scores on interethnic friendship ($M=1.95$,
24 $SD=0.84$) than non-native pupils do ($M=2.66$, $SD=1.17$, $t=17.37$, $p<0.001$).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

[TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE]

45 Results

46 *Unconditional Model*

47
48 Does the school context matter with respect to pupils' identifications? To provide an
49 answer to this question, Table 2 presents the variance components from the
50 unconditional models. We are particularly interested in the explained variance at
51 school-level, computed as the between-school variance component divided by the sum
52 of within-school and between-school variance ($\tau_0 / \sigma^2 + \tau_0$). Consistent with other
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 school-effect research (Teddlie and Reynolds 2000), most of the variation occurs
4 within schools, between pupils. Nevertheless, justifying the need for a multilevel
5 analysis, Table 2 indicates that significant amounts of the variances in pupils'
6 identifications are explained at school level ($p < 0.001$). It is also clear that the school-
7 context is more prevalent for non-native pupils than it is for native pupils'
8 identifications: for non-natives around 12 per cent of the variance in national
9 identification and 11 per cent variance in sub-national identification are among
10 schools, whereas the figure for natives is respectively around 3 per cent and 6 per cent
11 (Table 2).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

[TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE]

National identification

35
36
37
38 With respect to national (Belgian) identifications of non-native pupils, Model 1b
39 (Table 3) indicates that non-native pupils attending schools with a higher proportion of
40 non-native pupils, tend to exhibit lower levels of national identity ($\gamma = -3.20$;
41 $p < 0.001$), controlling for school characteristics (size and sector) and pupil-level
42 control variables (grade, gender and SES). The addition of ethnic heterogeneity in the
43 Model 2b does not change this picture, and ethnic heterogeneity is initially not
44 significantly associated with Belgian identification of non-native pupils ($\gamma = -0.066$; p
45 $= 0.158$; Table 3). However, as we consider interethnic friendship in Model 3b, the
46 relation between both the ethnic composition variables and national identification
47 changes considerably. Firstly, entering interethnic friendship reduces the effect of
48 minority concentration, which is no longer significant. Interethnic friendship, in turn,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 is positively related to non-native pupils' Belgian identifications ($\gamma = 0.298$; $p < 0.001$).
4
5 Secondly, ethnic diversity/heterogeneity becomes negatively related to national
6
7 identifications of non-native pupils (Model 3b, Table 3). These findings are in line
8
9 with the hypotheses which we derived from normative reference group theory (H1),
10
11 constrict theory (H4) and Common Ingroup Identity Model (CIIM, H5) and disconfirm
12
13 the hypothesis drawn from comparative reference group theory (H2): for non-native
14
15 pupils ethnic minority concentration has a negative effect on their national
16
17 identifications, which can be explained by the number of their interethnic friendships.
18
19 The negative impact of ethnic diversity/heterogeneity is suppressed by interethnic
20
21 friendship.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Considering the effects on native pupils, ethnic school composition variables
29
30 are initially not significantly associated with their national identifications (Model 1a-
31
32 2a, Table 3). However, in Model 3a, it becomes clear that there is a suppression effect
33
34 caused by interethnic friendship. After the addition of interethnic friendship, ethnic
35
36 minority concentration became significantly positively related to the national
37
38 identifications of native pupils, while interethnic friendship is negatively related to
39
40 their national identifications (Model 3a, Table 3). This means that the increasing
41
42 presence of non-native pupils at school positively impacts this group's national
43
44 identification, while interethnic friendship tempers their national identification.
45
46 Secondly, when interethnic friendship is hold constant, ethnic diversity/heterogeneity
47
48 is negatively related to native pupils' Belgian identification, even though this effect is
49
50 borderline ($\gamma = -0.119$; $p < 0.065$). These findings are in line with the hypotheses,
51
52 which we derived from comparative reference group theory (H3), constrict theory
53
54 (H4), and CIIM (H5).
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Finally, while the individual level influences are not the primary concern of
4 this article, it is worth mentioning that SES is only positively related to native pupils'
5 national identifications (a stronger Belgian identification for pupils with higher SES)
6 and that non-native girls exhibit higher level of Belgian identity than non-native boys.
7
8 Grade is not associated to Belgian identification (Table 3). When we enter age instead
9 of grade (not shown here), there was no substantial difference with respect to the
10 results.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 [TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE]
25
26
27
28
29

30 *Sub-national identification*

31
32
33 Considering sub-national (Flemish) identifications of non-native pupils, the results are
34 similar to those of their Belgian identifications (Table 4; Model 1b-3b): the strong
35 negative impact of minority concentration in the first two models disappears in the
36 third model, after controlling for interethnic friendship, while a relatively small, but
37 significant negative effect of ethnic heterogeneity appears after the addition of
38 interethnic friendship ($\gamma = -0.080$; $p < 0.05$). This means that non-native pupils tend to
39 exhibit higher levels of both national and sub-national identity in schools with a lower
40 proportion of non-native pupils, and this finding can be explained by the increasing
41 opportunities of interethnic friendship in such schools. In addition, in schools with a
42 higher ethnic diversity/heterogeneity, non-native pupils have lower Flemish
43 identifications, when their interethnic friendships are held constant. Analogous to the
44 effects on Belgian identification, non-native pupils tend to have higher Flemish
45 identification when they have more native friends, and non-native girls are more likely
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to have higher sub-national identifications than non-native boys (Model 1b-3b; Table
4
5 4). Again, these findings are in line with H1, H4 and H5, while disconfirming H2.
6
7

8
9 With respect to native pupils' sub-national identifications, however, Table 4
10 indicates that there is no school-level variable significantly associated with native
11 pupils' sub-national identifications. Hence, with respect to Flemish identification of
12 native pupils, we could not find support for any of our hypotheses. The individual
13 level effects were similar to those on Belgian identification: a higher SES is positively
14 related to native pupils' Flemish identifications, and increasing inter-ethnic friendships
15 is associated with decreasing Flemish identification. (Table 4; Model 1a-3a)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 [TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE]
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Discussion and conclusion**

37

38
39 In different European countries, policy makers strive for the dispersal of non-native
40 pupils, believing that the mixing of pupils of different ethnic groups will enhance the
41 social integration and national identifications of youngsters. Given the lack of research
42 supporting such policy practices and because theorists of identity emphasize the
43 importance of contexts, the aim of this study was to explore the association between
44 ethnic school composition and pupils' national and sub-national (Belgian and Flemish)
45 identifications.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 The results of this inquiry indeed indicate that the ethnic school composition is
58 significantly related to national identifications and partly related to sub-national
59 identifications of native and non-native pupils. Firstly, ethnic minority concentration,
60

1
2
3 as measured by the proportion of non-native pupils at school, has a negative impact on
4
5 non-native pupils' Belgian and Flemish identifications, while it has a positive impact
6
7 on native pupils' Belgian identifications. In other words, normative and comparative
8
9 reference group processes prevail respectively for non-natives and for natives. An
10
11 explanation of this differential effect could be derived from the general reference
12
13 group theory, as it insists that normative reference processes are more likely to occur
14
15 when individuals are striving for acceptance by the reference group (Merton 1968).
16
17 Given the socially dominant position of natives within Flemish society, we assume
18
19 that non-natives are striving to obtain recognition from the native group. This might
20
21 explain why normative reference processes prevailed for non-natives. Furthermore,
22
23 this study lends support to the prediction that we derived from the constrict theory: as
24
25 ethnic heterogeneity/diversity rises at school level, the national identifications of
26
27 native and non-native pupils' decrease.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Four remarks should be added to what has been stated above. First, the relation
36
37 between ethnic school composition variables and pupils' national and sub-national
38
39 identifications is not a mechanical one, but as expected, it is mediated by interethnic
40
41 friendships. In general, interethnic friendship has a positive impact on non-native
42
43 pupils' national and sub-national identifications, while it tempers the identifications of
44
45 native pupils. Secondly, compared to the impact of ethnic minority concentration, the
46
47 size of the effect of ethnic diversity/heterogeneity is much smaller. Thirdly, ethnic
48
49 diversity/heterogeneity only decreases pupils' identifications when interethnic
50
51 friendship is held constant. In other words, the negative impact of ethnic
52
53 diversity/heterogeneity is suppressed by interethnic friendship. This means that ethnic
54
55 diversity/heterogeneity *as such* does not affect Flemish and Belgian identifications;
56
57 rather it impacts them only if pupils do not engage in interethnic friendship relations.
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fourth, we did not find a significant association between any school-level variable and
4 native pupils' Flemish identifications. This could be explained by the political
5 situation in Flanders where Flemish identity is being used by far right-wing and racist
6 politics. Therefore, native pupils' Flemish identifications are potentially expressed
7 with a certain restraint to avert an association with racism. However, this post-hoc
8 explanation should be examined in further research.
9

10
11 While the individual level influences were not the primary concern of this
12 article, an interesting finding is that non-native girls have higher Belgian and Flemish
13 identifications than non-native boys. Maxwell (2009) argues that higher level of
14 perceived discrimination by the broader society contributes to lower levels of national
15 identification and research in Belgium shows that non-native men report more
16 perceived discrimination than non-native women (De Rycke and Swyngedouw 1997).
17 In other words, while we do not have a conclusive explanation for the gender gap with
18 respect to national and sub-national identifications, we can hypothesize that perceived
19 discrimination might explain this gap.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 It is important to keep in mind a few limitations of this study. Firstly, because
41 the focus of the research project is on the impact of school ethnic composition, we
42 only considered the influence of school context variables. However, other contexts
43 (e.g. neighbourhood, country) might also contribute to youth's identifications and the
44 position of the school on the school market might play a role as well (see Verhoeven,
45 2002). Secondly, this investigation employs a limited measure of interethnic
46 friendship. We asked all pupils to state how many of their friends were natives and
47 how were many non-natives. A more elaborated technique would be to provide pupils
48 a list with the names of all pupils at school and to ask to indicate their best friends and
49 friends. However, such a technique was unsuitable not only because the survey would
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 have needed a higher time investment but also because it was completely anonymous.
4
5
6 Thirdly, we made a raw distinction between native and non-native pupils. This
7
8 distinction neglects the ethnic differences *within* the non-native group. However, a
9
10 separate analysis for each ethnic minority group would have harmed the reliability of
11
12 our analysis, because the individual groups in our data are too small. While
13
14 considering non-natives as one category is consistent with most of the previous studies
15
16 conducted in Belgium (e.g., Jacobs *et al.*, 2009; Agirdag, 2010), future research
17
18 should, if possible, make a distinction within the non-native groups.
19
20
21
22

23 In terms of educational policy, this article finds considerable support for the
24
25 concerns about the negative impact of ethnic school segregation, but most importantly,
26
27 it does also challenge these policies. At the one hand, our findings indicate that
28
29 schools with a high minority concentration and high ethnic heterogeneity might indeed
30
31 have a negative impact on non-native pupils' national and sub-national identifications.
32
33 However, we demonstrate that these effects are mediated by their interethnic
34
35 friendships. While the school is an important context in which pupils make friends,
36
37 opportunities outside the school for interethnic friendships should not be neglected.
38
39 Hence, besides school desegregation, policy makers should consider stimulating
40
41 interethnic contact and friendship opportunities, both inside and outside the school. At
42
43 this point, we should also notice that cultivating national identification might not be
44
45 considered as a means of assimilation. As noted in our introduction section, national
46
47 identities do not necessarily compete with ethnic identities and most people have
48
49 multiple or hyphenated identities, which are very important with respect to pupils'
50
51 well-being and educational achievement (see Phinney *et al.* 2001; Modood 2005). In
52
53 this study, however, it was not feasible to measure all different ethnic identities, due to
54
55 the large scale design of the research. However, we strongly recommend further
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 studies that investigate the intersections between the school context and pupils' ethnic
4
5 and national identities and examine the mediating role of interethnic relationships.
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 **Acknowledgements** 13

14
15 This article was made possible through funding from the the Research Foundation
16
17 Flanders (FWO-project G.040908). The authors would like to thank two anonymous
18
19 referees for their constructive comments. Special thanks to Paul Mahieu, Loes
20
21 Vandenbroucke and Simon Boone for their helpful comments.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- AGIRDAG, ORHAN 2010 'Exploring bilingualism in a monolingual school system: insights from Turkish and native students from Belgian schools', *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 307-321.
- BARRETT, MARTYN 2002 'Children's views of Britain and Britishness in 2001', *Annual Conference of the Developmental Psychology Section of the British Psychological Society*. Sussex.
- BARRETT, M., WILSON, H. and LYONS, E. 2003 'The development of national in-group bias: English children's attributions of characteristics to English, American and German people', *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, vol. 21, pp. 193-220.
- BRADDOCK, JOMILLS HENRY and EITLE, TAMELA MCNULTY 2004 'The effects of school desegregation', in BANKS, J. A. & BANKS, C. A. M. (eds) *Handbook of research on multicultural education. Second Edition*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- BURGESS, SIMON, WILSON, DEBORAH and LUPTON, RUTH 2005 'Parallel lives? Ethnic segregation in schools and neighbourhoods', *Urban Studies*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1027-1056.
- CANTLE, TED 2001 'Community Cohesion: A Report of the Independent Review Team'. London: The Home Office.
- CARRINGTON, BRUCE and SHORT, GEOFFREY 1995 'What Makes a Person British - Childrens Conceptions of Their National Culture and Identity', *Educational Studies*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 217-238.

- 1
2
3 DE RYCKE, LIEVEN and SWYNGEDOUW, MARC 1997 'The subjective
4
5 experience of discrimination of Moroccan and Turkish immigrants in Brussels',
6
7
8 *Annual Conference of the ESA*. University of Essex.
9
- 10 ERIKSON, ROBERT, GOLDTHORPE, JOHN H and PORTOCARERO, LUCIENNE
11
12 1979 'Intergenerational Class Mobility in 3 Western European Societies -
13
14 England, France and Sweden', *British Journal of Sociology*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp.
15
16 415-441.
17
18
- 19 FELOUZIS, GEORGES 2005 'Ethnic segregation and its effects in middle school in
20
21 France', *Revue Francaise De Sociologie*, vol. 46, pp. 3-35.
22
23
- 24 GAERTNER, SAMUEL L. and DOVIDIO, JOHN F. 2000 *Reducing intergroup bias:*
25
26 *The common ingroup identity model*. Philadelphia, PA: Psychology Press.
27
28
- 29 GOLDSMITH, PAT ANTONIO 2004 'Schools ' role in shaping race relations:
30
31 Evidence on friendliness and conflict', *Social Problems*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp.
32
33 587-612.
34
35
- 36 HOPKINS, N. and MURDOCH, N. 1999 'The role of the 'other' in national identity:
37
38 Exploring the context-dependence of the national ingroup stereotype', *Journal*
39
40 *of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 321-338.
41
42
- 43 HOWARD, SUE and GILL, JUDITH 2001 "It's like we're a normal way and everyone
44
45 else is different': Australian children's constructions of citizenship and national
46
47 identity', *Educational Studies*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 87-103.
48
49
- 50 HUTNIK, NIMMI 1991 *Ethnic minority identity : a social psychological perspective*.
51
52 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
53
54
- 55 HYMAN, HERBERT HIRAM and SINGER, ELEANOR 1968 *Readings in reference*
56
57 *group theory and research*. New York: Free Press.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 JACCARD, JAMES, TURRISI, ROBERT and WAN, CHOI K. 1990 *Interaction*
4
5 *effects in multiple regression*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
6
7
8 JACOBS, DIRK, REA, ANDREA and TENNEY, CELINE 2009 *De sociale lift blijft*
9
10 *steken. De prestaties van allochtone leerlingen in de Vlaamse Gemeenschap en*
11 *de Franse Gemeenschap [The social lift remains stabbing. The performance of*
12 *immigrant pupils in the Flemish Community and the French Community]*.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- KARSTEN, SJOERD, FELIX, CHARLES, LEDOUX, GUUSKE, MEIJNEN, WIM,
ROELEVELD, JAAP and VAN SCHOOTEN, ERIK 2006 'Choosing
Segregation or Integration? The Extent and Effects of Ethnic Segregation in
Dutch Cities', *Education and Urban Society*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 228-247.
- KELLEY, HAROLD 1952 'Two functions of reference groups', in SWANSON, G.,
NEWCOMB, T. & HARTLEY, E. (eds) *Readings in social psychology*. New
York: Henry Holt.
- KINKET, BARBARA and VERKUYTEN, MAYKEL 1999 'Intergroup evaluations
and social context: a multilevel approach', *European Journal of Social
Psychology*, vol. 29, no. 2-3, pp. 219-237.
- KRISTEN, CORNELIA 2005 *School choice and ethnic school segregation: Primary
school selection in Germany*. Münster: Waxmann Verlag.
- LAM, VIRGINIA and SMITH, GORDON 2009 'African and Caribbean adolescents in
Britain: ethnic identity and Britishness', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 32, no.
7, pp. 1248-1270.
- LUHTANEN, RIIA and CROCKER, JENNIFER 1992 'A Collective Self-Esteem
Scale - Self-Evaluation of Ones Social Identity', *Personality and Social
Psychology Bulletin*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 302-318.

- 1
2
3 MAHIEU, PAUL 2002 'Desegregatie in functie van integratie [Desegregation in
4 function of integration]', in TIMMERMAN, C., HERMANS, P. &
5 HOORNAERT, J. (eds) *Allochtone jongeren in het onderwijs. Een*
6 *multidisciplinair perspectief [Non-native youth in education. A*
7 *multidisciplinary perspective]*. Leuven: Garant.
- 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 MAXWELL, RAHSAAN 2009 'Caribbean and South Asian identification with British
16 society: the importance of perceived discrimination', *Ethnic and Racial*
17 *Studies*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 1449 - 1469.
- 18
19
20
21
22 MERTON, ROBERT KING 1968 'Continuities in the theory of reference groups and
23 social structure', in MERTON, R. K. (ed) *Social theory and social structure*.
24 Glencoe, IL: Free Press.
- 25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- MODOOD, TARIQ 2005 *Multicultural politics : racism, ethnicity, and Muslims in*
Britain. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- OAKES, PENELOPE J, HASLAM, S. ALEXANDER and TURNER, JOHN C 1994
Stereotyping and social reality. Oxford: Blackwell.
- OUSELEY, HERMAN 2001 'Community Pride Not Prejudice: Making Diversity
Work in Bradford'. Bradford: Bradford Vision.
- PAYET, JEAN-PAUL 1998 'La ségrégation scolaire: Une perspective sociologique
sur la violence à l'école', *Revue française de pédagogie*, no. 123, pp. 21-34.
- PHALET, KAREN and SWYNGEDOUW, MARC 2002 'National identities and
representations of citizenship: A comparison of Turks, Moroccans and
working-class Belgians in Brussels', *Ethnicities*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 5-30.
- PHILIPPOU, STAVROULA 2005 'Constructing national and European identities: the
case of Greek-Cypriot pupils', *Educational Studies*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 293-
315.

- 1
2
3 PHINNEY, JEAN S. 1990 'Ethnic-Identity in Adolescents and Adults - Review of
4
5 Research', *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 499-514.
6
7
8 PHINNEY, JEAN S., HORENCZYK, GABRIEL, LIEBKIND, KARMELA and
9
10 VEDDER, PAUL 2001 'Ethnic Identity, Immigration, and Well-Being: An
11
12 Interactional Perspective', *Journal of Social Issues*, vol. 57, pp. 493-510.
13
14
15 PUTNAM, ROBERT D. 2007 'E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the
16
17 Twenty-first Century The 2006 Johan Skytte Prize Lecture', *Scandinavian
18
19 Political Studies*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 137-174.
20
21
22 RAUDENBUSH, STEPHEN W. and BRYK, ANTHONY S. 2002 *Hierarchical linear
23
24 models : applications and data analysis methods*, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks:
25
26 Sage Publications.
27
28
29 REIZABAL, LUIXA, VALENCIA, JOSE and BARRETT, MARTYN 2004 'National
30
31 identifications and attitudes to national ingroups and outgroups amongst
32
33 children living in the Basque Country', *Infant and Child Development*, vol. 13,
34
35 no. 1, pp. 1-20.
36
37
38 SCHOFIELD, JENET WARD 1991 'School Desegregation and Intergroup Relations:
39
40 A Review of the Literature', *Review of Research in Education*, vol. 17, pp.
41
42 335-409.
43
44
45 SZULKIN, RYSZARD and JONSSON, O. JAN 2006 'Ethnic segregation and
46
47 educational outcomes in Swedish comprehensive schools: a multilevel
48
49 analysis.', *NEP: New Economic Papers*.
50
51
52
53 TAJFEL, HENRI 1981 *Human groups and social categories*. Cambridge: Cambridge
54
55 University Press
56
57
58 TEDDLIE, CHARLES and REYNOLDS, DAVID 2000 *The international handbook
59
60 of school effectiveness research*. London: Falmer Press.

- 1
2
3 TORMEY, ROLAND 2006 'The construction of national identity through primary
4 school history: the Irish case', *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, vol.
5
6 27, no. 3, pp. 311 - 324.
7
8
9
- 10 UMAÑA-TAYLOR, ADRIANA J. 2004 'Ethnic identity and self-esteem: examining
11 the role of social context', *Journal of Adolescence*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 139-146.
12
13
14
- 15 VADHER, KIREN and BARRETT, MARTYN 2009 'Boundaries of Britishness in
16 British Indian and Pakistani young adults', *Journal of Community & Applied
17 Social Psychology*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 442-458.
18
19
20
21
- 22 VAN CRAEN, MAARTEN , VANCLUYSEN, KRIS and ACKAERT, JOHAN 2007
23
24 *Voorbij wij en zij? De sociaal-culturele afstand tussen autochtonen en
25 allochtonen tegen de meetlat [Beyond us and them? Social-cultural distance
26 between natives and immigrants measured]*. Brugge: Vandenbroele.
27
28
29
30
- 31 VAN HOUTTE, MIEKE 2005 'Climate or culture? A plea for conceptual clarity in
32 school effectiveness research', *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*,
33 vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 71-89.
34
35
36
37
- 38 VAN HOUTTE, MIEKE and STEVENS, PETER A. J. 2009 'School Ethnic
39 Composition and Students' Integration Outside and Inside Schools in Belgium',
40
41 *Sociology of Education*, vol. 82, pp. 217-239.
42
43
44
- 45 VERHOEVEN, MARIE 2002 *École et diversité culturelle : regards croisés sur
46 l'expérience scolaire de jeunes issus de l'immigration*. Louvain-la-Neuve:
47
48 Academia-Bruylant.
49
50
51
52
- 53 VERKUYTEN, MAYKEL 2001 'National identification and intergroup evaluations in
54 Dutch children', *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, vol. 19, pp.
55
56 559-571.
57
58
59
60
- 2004 *The social psychology of ethnic identity*. London: Psychology Press.

1
2
3 WESTERBEEK, KARIN 1999 'The colours of my classroom: a study into the effects
4 of the ethnic composition of classrooms on the achievement of pupils from
5 different ethnic backgrounds', PhD, European University Institute, Florence.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 **ORHAN AGIRDAG** is Researcher in Research Group CuDOS, Department of
18 Sociology at Ghent University.
19

20 ADDRESS: Korte Meer 5, 9000 Gent, Belgium. Email: orhan.agirdag@ugent.be
21
22

23
24
25 **MIEKE VAN HOUTTE** is Lecturer in Research Group CuDOS, Department of
26 Sociology at Ghent University.
27

28 ADDRESS: Korte Meer 5, 9000 Gent, Belgium. Email: mieke.vanhoutte@ugent.be
29
30

31
32
33 **PIET VAN AVERMAET** is Director of Centre for Diversity and Learning at Ghent
34 University.
35

36 ADDRESS: Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 49, 9000 Gent, Belgium. Email:
37 piet.vanavermaet@ugent.be
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for the Dependent and Independent Variables: Frequencies , Means, Standard Deviations and Results of t-test comparing native and non-native pupils.

Variables	Total		Natives		Non-natives		Mean difference
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
<i>School Level</i>							
% School sector (private)	51.47 (N=68)	50.35	53.23 (N=62)	50.30	51.47 (N=68)	50.35	
School size	222.91 (N=68)	104.03	226.27 (N=62)	107.68	222.91 (N=68)	104.03	
% Non-Native	51.50 (N=68)	34.16	46.80 (N=62)	32.06	51.50 (N=68)	34.16	
Ethnic diversity	-0.46 (N=68)	0.20	-0.44 (N=62)	0.18	-0.46 (N=68)	0.20	
<i>Pupil Level</i>							
% Grade (sixth)	29.98 (N=2845)	45.82	26.83 (N=1487)	44.32	33.43 (N=1358)	47.19	
% Gender (female)	50.53 (N=2827)	49.98	52.12 (N=1479)	49.97	50.89 (N=1348)	50.01	
SES	4.44 (N=2676)	2.22	5.44 (N=1464)	1.94	3.24 (N=1212)	1.92	2.20 t=29.40***
Inter-ethnic friendship	2.28 (N=2561)	1.07	1.95 (N=1371)	0.84	2.66 (N=1190)	1.17	0.71 t=17.37***
National identification	3.82 (N=2621)	0.98	4.38 (N=1409)	0.69	3.18 (N=1212)	0.89	1.20 t=37.99***
Sub-national identification	3.72 (N=2522)	1.03	4.22 (N=1370)	0.83	3.13 (N=1152)	0.92	1.09 t=31.10***

*p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001

Table 2. Variance components for national (Belgian) and sub-national (Flemish) identification from the unconditional model.

	Natives		Non-natives	
	Belgian identification	Flemish identification	Belgian identification	Flemish identification
Within-school variance τ_0	0.458	0.660	0.712	0.769
Between-school variance σ^2	0.013	0.039	0.095	0.092
% Variance between school	2.76%***	5.53%***	11.82%***	10.68%***

* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$

Table 3 Influences on national (Belgian) identification: results of the multilevel analysis, standardized gamma coefficients with the standard errors in parentheses

	Natives			Non-natives		
	Model 1a	Model 2a	Model 3a	Model 1b	Model 2b	Model 3b
<i>School Level</i>						
School sector	-0.032 (0.048)	-0.041 (0.047)	-0.028 (0.042)	-0.059 (0.076)	-0.055 (0.074)	-0.051 (0.063)
School size	0.018 (0.000)	0.030 (0.000)	0.024 (0.000)	0.019 (0.000)	0.029 (0.000)	0.018 (0.000)
Minority concentration	0.011 (0.084)	0.104 (0.209)	0.247** (0.187)	-0.320*** (0.140)	-0.297*** (0.141)	-0.072 (0.139)
Heterogeneity		-0.078 (0.274)	-0.119° (0.243)		-0.066 (0.207)	-0.082* (0.174)
<i>Pupil Level</i>						
Grade	0.023 (0.063)	0.019 (0.063)	0.017 (0.065)	0.067 (0.076)	0.064 (0.076)	0.084 (0.69)
Gender	-0.022 (0.035)	-0.022 (0.035)	-0.008 (0.036)	0.134*** (0.044)	0.133*** (0.044)	0.123*** (0.044)
SES	0.092** (0.011)	0.093** (0.011)	0.076* (0.011)	0.029 (0.014)	0.029 (0.014)	0.015 (0.014)
Interethnic friendship			-0.156*** (0.028)			0.298*** (0.029)

*p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001, °p=0.065

Table 4. Influences on sub-national (Flemish) identification: results of the multilevel analysis, standardized gamma coefficients with the standard errors in parentheses

	Natives			Non-natives		
	Model 1a	Model 2a	Model 3a	Model 1b	Model 2b	Model 3b
<i>School Level</i>						
School sector	0.015 (0.056)	0.006 (0.054)	0.011 (0.051)	-0.041 (0.073)	-0.040 (0.072)	-0.049 (0.063)
School size	0.044 (0.000)	0.057 (0.000)	0.043 (0.000)	0.050 (0.000)	0.052 (0.000)	0.017 (0.000)
Minority concentration	-0.075 (0.110)	0.023 (0.229)	0.067 (0.231)	-0.301*** (0.148)	-0.299*** (0.153)	-0.069 (0.139)
Heterogeneity		-0.083 (0.313)	-0.083 (0.308)		-0.008 (0.213)	-0.080* (0.174)
<i>Pupil Level</i>						
Grade	0.051 (0.058)	0.049 (0.059)	0.040 (0.062)	0.130*** (0.075)	0.130*** (0.074)	0.081** (0.069)
Gender	-0.055 (0.042)	-0.055 (0.042)	-0.045 (0.046)	0.080** (0.051)	0.080** (0.051)	0.119*** (0.044)
SES	0.147*** (0.014)	0.150*** (0.014)	0.151*** (0.014)	0.089** (0.015)	0.088** (0.015)	0.015 (0.014)
Interethnic friendship			-0.071* (0.034)			0.288*** (0.029)

* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$