



HAL
open science

On the counting function of the embedded eigenvalues for some manifold with cusps, and magnetic Laplacian

Abderemane Morame, Françoise Truc

► **To cite this version:**

Abderemane Morame, Françoise Truc. On the counting function of the embedded eigenvalues for some manifold with cusps, and magnetic Laplacian. *Mathematical Review Letters*, 2012, 19 ((2)), pp 417-429. hal-00621070v1

HAL Id: hal-00621070

<https://hal.science/hal-00621070v1>

Submitted on 9 Sep 2011 (v1), last revised 5 Dec 2012 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the counting function of the embedded eigenvalues for some manifold with cusps, and magnetic Laplacian

Abderemane MORAME¹ and Françoise TRUC²

¹ *Université de Nantes, Faculté des Sciences, Dpt. Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS, B.P. 99208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, (FRANCE), E.Mail: morame@math.univ-nantes.fr*

² *Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, B.P. 74, 38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex, (France), E.Mail: Francoise.Truc@ujf-grenoble.fr*

Abstract

We consider a non compact, complete manifold \mathbf{M} of finite area with cuspidal ends. The generic cusp is isomorphic to $\mathbf{X} \times]1, +\infty[$ with metric $ds^2 = (h + dy^2)/y^{2\delta}$. \mathbf{X} is a compact manifold with nonzero first Betti number equipped with the metric h . For a one-form A on \mathbf{M} such that in each cusp A is a non exact one-form on the boundary at infinity, we prove that the magnetic Laplacian $-\Delta_A = (id + A)^*(id + A)$ satisfies the Weyl asymptotic formula with sharp remainder. We deduce an upper bound for the counting function of the embedded eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta = -\Delta_0$.¹

1 Introduction

We consider a smooth, connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) , ($n \geq 2$), such that

$$\mathbf{M} = \bigcup_{j=0}^J \mathbf{M}_j \quad (J \geq 1), \quad (1.1)$$

where the \mathbf{M}_j are open sets of \mathbf{M} . We assume that the closure of \mathbf{M}_0 is compact and that the other \mathbf{M}_j are cuspidal ends of \mathbf{M} .

¹Keywords : spectral asymptotics, magnetic Laplacian, embedded eigenvalues, cuspidal manifold.

This means that $\mathbf{M}_j \cap \mathbf{M}_k = \emptyset$, if $1 \leq j < k$, and that there exists, for any j , $1 \leq j \leq J$, a closed compact $(n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold $(\mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{h}_j)$ such that \mathbf{M}_j is isometric to $\mathbf{X}_j \times]a_j^2, +\infty[$, ($a_j > 0$) equipped with the metric

$$ds_j^2 = y^{-2\delta_j}(\mathbf{h}_j + dy^2); \quad (1/n < \delta_j \leq 1). \quad (1.2)$$

So there exists a smooth real one-form $A_j \in T^*(\mathbf{X}_j)$, non exact, such that

$$\begin{cases} i) dA_j \neq 0 \\ \text{or} \\ ii) dA_j = 0 \text{ and } [A_j] \text{ is not integer.} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

In *ii*) we mean that there exists a smooth closed curve γ in \mathbf{X}_j such that

$$\int_{\gamma} A_j \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then one can always find a smooth real one-form $A \in T^*(\mathbf{M})$ such that

$$\forall j, 1 \leq j \leq J, \quad A = A_j \quad \text{on } \mathbf{M}_j. \quad (1.4)$$

We define the magnetic Laplacian, the Bochner Laplacian

$$-\Delta_A = (i d + A)^*(i d + A), \quad (1.5)$$

($i = \sqrt{-1}$, $(i d + A)u = i du + uA$, $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{C})$, the upper star, $*$, stands for the adjoint in $L^2(\mathbf{M})$).

As \mathbf{M} is a complete metric space, by Hopf-Rinow theorem \mathbf{M} is geodesically complete, so it is well known, (see [Shu]), that $-\Delta_A$ has a unique self-adjoint extension on $L^2(\mathbf{M})$, containing in its domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{C})$, the space of smooth and compactly supported functions. The spectrum of $-\Delta_A$ is gauge invariant : for any $f \in C^1(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$, $-\Delta_A$ and $-\Delta_{A+df}$ are unitary equivalent, hence they have the same spectrum.

For a self-adjoint operator P on a Hilbert space H , $\text{sp}(P)$, $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(P)$, $\text{sp}_p(P)$ and $\text{sp}_d(P)$ will denote respectively the spectrum, the essential spectrum, the point spectrum and the discrete spectrum of P . We recall that $\text{sp}(P) = \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(P) \cup \text{sp}_d(P)$, $\text{sp}_d(P) \subset \text{sp}_p(P)$ and $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(P) \cap \text{sp}_d(P) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1 *Under the above assumptions on \mathbf{M} , the essential spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbf{M} , $-\Delta = -\Delta_0$ is given by*

$$\begin{cases} \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) = [0, +\infty[, & \text{if } 1/n < \delta < 1 \\ \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) = [\frac{(n-1)^2}{4}, +\infty[, & \text{if } \delta = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (1.6)$$

When (1.3) and (1.4) are satisfied, the magnetic Laplacian $-\Delta_A$ has a compact resolvent. The spectrum $\text{sp}(-\Delta_A) = \text{sp}_d(-\Delta_A)$ is a sequence of non-decreasing eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1}$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$, such that the sequence of normalized eigenfunctions $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is a Hilbert basis of $L^2(\mathbf{M})$. Moreover $\lambda_0 > 0$.

For any self-adjoint operator P with compact resolvent, and for any real λ , $N(\lambda, P)$ will denote the number of eigenvalues, (repeated according to their multiplicity), of P less than λ ,

$$N(\lambda, P) = \text{trace}(\chi_{]-\infty, \lambda[}(P)), \quad (1.7)$$

(for any $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\chi_I(x) = 1$ if $x \in I$ and $\chi_I(x) = 0$ if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I$).

The asymptotic behavior of $N(\lambda, -\Delta_A)$ satisfies the Weyl formula with the following sharp remainder.

Theorem 1.2 *Under the above assumptions on \mathbf{M} and on A , we have the Weyl formula with remainder as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A) = |\mathbf{M}| \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{n/2} + \mathbf{O}(r(\lambda)), \quad (1.8)$$

with

$$r(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{(n-1)/2} \ln(\lambda), & \text{if } 1/(n-1) \leq \delta \\ \lambda^{1/(2\delta)}, & \text{if } 1/n < \delta < 1/(n-1) \end{cases}, \quad (1.9)$$

$\delta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq J} \delta_j$, $|\mathbf{M}|$ is the Riemannian measure of \mathbf{M} and ω_d is the euclidian

volume of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d , $\omega_d = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})}$.

The asymptotic formula (1.8) without remainder is given in [Go-Mo], and with remainder but only for $n = 2$ (and $\delta_j = 1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq J$) in [Mo-Tr].

The Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta = -\Delta_0$ may have embedded eigenvalues in its essential spectrum $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$. Let $N_{\text{ess}}(\lambda, -\Delta)$ denote the number of eigenvalues of $-\Delta$, (counted according to their multiplicity), less than λ .

Theorem 1.3 *There exists a constant $C_{\mathbf{M}}$ such that, for any $\lambda \gg 1$,*

$$N_{\text{ess}}(\lambda, -\Delta) \leq |\mathbf{M}| \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{n/2} + C_{\mathbf{M}} r_0(\lambda), \quad (1.10)$$

with $r_0(\lambda)$ defined by

$$r_0(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \ln(\lambda), & \text{if } 2/n \leq \delta \leq 1 \\ \lambda^{\frac{n-(n\delta-1)}{2}}, & \text{if } 1/n < \delta < 2/n \end{cases} ; \quad (1.11)$$

δ is the one defined in Theorem 1.2 .

The above upper bound proves that any eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ has finite multiplicity.

The estimate (1.10) is sharp when $n = 2$. There exist hyperbolic surfaces \mathbf{M} of finite area so that

$$N_{\text{ess}}(\lambda, -\Delta) = |\mathbf{M}| \frac{\omega_2}{(2\pi)^2} \lambda + \Gamma_{\mathbf{M}} \lambda^{1/2} \ln(\lambda) + \mathbf{O}(\lambda^{1/2}),$$

for some constant $\Gamma_{\mathbf{M}}$. See [Mul] for such examples.

Still in the case of surfaces, a compact perturbation of the metric of non compact hyperbolic surface \mathbf{M} of finite area can destroy all embedded eigenvalues, see [Col1].

2 Proof

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since the essential spectrum of an elliptic operator on a manifold is invariant by compact perturbation of the manifold, (see for example [Do-Li], Proposition 2.1), we can write

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_A) = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}$ denotes the self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbf{M}_j)$ associated to $-\Delta_A$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the boundary $\partial\mathbf{M}_j$ of \mathbf{M}_j .

Let us consider a cusp $\mathbf{M}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \times]a_j^2, +\infty[$ equipped with the metric (1.2). Then for any $u \in C^2(\mathbf{M}_j)$,

$$-\Delta_A u = -y^{2\delta_j} \Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j} u - y^{n\delta_j} \partial_y (y^{(2-n)\delta_j} \partial_y u), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j}$ is the magnetic Laplacian on \mathbf{X}_j : if for local coordinates $\mathbf{h}_j =$

$\sum_{k,\ell} G_{k\ell} dx_k dx_\ell$ and $A_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{j,k} dx_k$, then

$$-\Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(G)}} \sum_{k,\ell} (i\partial_{x_k} + a_{j,k}) \left(\sqrt{\det(G)} G^{k\ell} (i\partial_{x_\ell} + a_{j,\ell}) \right).$$

We perform the change of variables $y = e^t$, and define the unitary operator $U : L^2(\mathbf{X}_j \times]2 \ln(a_j), +\infty[) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{M}_j)$, where $]2 \ln(a_j), +\infty[$ is equipped with the standard euclidian metric dt^2 , by $U(f) = y^{(n\delta_j-1)/2} f$. Thus $L^2(\mathbf{M}_j)$ is unitary equivalent to $L^2(\mathbf{X}_j \times]2 \ln(a_j), +\infty[)$, and

$$-U^* \Delta_A U f = -e^{2\delta_j t} \Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j} f + \frac{(n\delta_j - 1)[3 + \delta_j(n - 4)]}{4} e^{2t(\delta_j-1)} f - \partial_t (e^{2t(\delta_j-1)} \partial_t f). \quad (2.3)$$

Let us denote by $(\mu_\ell(j))_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j}$, each eigenvalue repeated according to its multiplicity. Then $-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}$

is unitary equivalent to $\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} L_{j,\ell}^D$,

$$\text{sp}(-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) = \text{sp}\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} L_{j,\ell}^D\right), \quad (2.4)$$

where $L_{j,\ell}^D$ is the Dirichlet operator on $L^2(]2 \ln(a_j), +\infty[)$ associated to

$$L_{j,\ell} = e^{2\delta_j t} \mu_\ell(j) + \frac{(n\delta_j - 1)}{4} [3 + \delta_j(n - 4)] e^{2t(\delta_j-1)} - \partial_t (e^{2t(\delta_j-1)} \partial_t). \quad (2.5)$$

If $\mu_\ell(j) > 0$ then $\text{sp}(L_{j,\ell}^D) = \text{sp}_d(L_{j,\ell}^D) = \{\mu_{\ell,k}(j); k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $(\mu_{\ell,k}(j))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $L_{j,\ell}^D$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{\ell,k}(j) = +\infty$.

If $\mu_\ell(j) = 0$ then $\text{sp}(L_{j,\ell}^D) = \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(L_{j,\ell}^D) = [\alpha_n, +\infty[$, with $\alpha_n = 0$ if $\delta_j < 1$, and $\alpha_n = (n - 1)^2/4$ if $\delta_j = 1$.

Since we have $\mu_0(j) = 0$ when $A = 0$, we get that $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_0) = [\alpha_n, +\infty[$.

If A satisfies assumptions (1.3) and (1.4), then $0 < \mu_0(j) \leq \mu_\ell(j)$ for all j and ℓ , (see for example [Hel]), so $\text{sp}(-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) = \{\mu_{\ell,k}(j); (\ell, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$. As $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{\ell,k}(j) = +\infty$, each $\mu_{\ell,k}(j)$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}$ of finite multiplicity, so $\text{sp}(-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) = \text{sp}_d(-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D})$. Therefore, we get that $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_A) = \emptyset$ \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We proceed as in [Mo-Tr].

We begin by establishing formula (1.8) for \mathbf{M}_j , with $-\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}$ defined in (2.1), instead of $-\Delta_A$. When $\delta_j = 1$ we make the same change of variables and functions as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, but when $1/n < \delta_j < 1$, we set $y = [(1 - \delta_j)t]^{1/(1-\delta_j)}$, and define the unitary operator

$$U : L^2(\mathbf{X}_j \times]\frac{a_j^{2(1-\delta_j)}}{1-\delta_j}, +\infty[) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{M}_j), \text{ by } U(f) = y^{(n-1)\delta_j/2} f.$$

Then when $1/n < \delta_j < 1$,

$$-U^* \Delta_A U f = -[(1-\delta_j)t]^{\frac{2\delta_j}{1-\delta_j}} \Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j} f + \frac{(n-1)\delta_j[(n-3)\delta_j+2]}{4(1-\delta_j)^2 t^2} f - \partial_t^2 f. \quad (2.6)$$

As a matter of fact,

$$-U^* y^{n\delta_j} \partial_y [y^{(2-n)\delta_j} \partial_y U(f)] = -y^{(n+1)\delta_j/2} \partial_y [y^{(3-n)\delta_j/2} \partial_y f] - \frac{(n-1)\delta_j}{2} y^{2\delta_j-1} \partial_y f + \frac{(n-1)\delta_j[(n-3)\delta_j+2]}{4} y^{-2(1-\delta_j)} f,$$

then using that $y^{\delta_j} \partial_y = \partial_t$ and that $t^\rho \partial_t = \partial_t(t^\rho \cdot) - \rho t^{\rho-1}$, we get easily (2.6).

Equality (2.4) still holds when $L_{j,\ell}^D$ is the Dirichlet operator on $L^2(] \frac{a_j^{2(1-\delta_j)}}{1-\delta_j}, +\infty[)$ associated to

$$L_{j,\ell} = \mu_\ell(j) [(1-\delta_j)t]^{\frac{2\delta_j}{1-\delta_j}} + \frac{(n-1)\delta_j[(n-3)\delta_j+2]}{4(1-\delta_j)^2 t^2} - \partial_t^2. \quad (2.7)$$

From now on, any constant depending only on δ_j and on $\min_j \mu_0(j)$ will be invariably denoted by C .

As in [Mo-Tr], we will follow Titchmarsh's method. Using Theorem 7.4 in [Tit] page 146, we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *There exists $C > 1$ so that for any $\lambda \gg 1$ and any $\ell \in K_\lambda = \{l \in \mathbb{N}; \mu_\ell(j) \in [0, \lambda / \min_j a_j^2]\}$,*

$$|N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D) - \frac{1}{\pi} w_{j,\ell}(\lambda)| \leq C \ln(\lambda), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{with } w_{j,\ell}(\mu) = \int_{\alpha_j}^{+\infty} [\mu - V_{j,\ell}(t)]_+^{1/2} dt = \int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\mu)} [\mu - V_{j,\ell}(t)]_+^{1/2} dt.$$

The potential $V_{j,\ell}$ is defined as following:

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \delta_j = 1 & V_{j,\ell}(t) = \mu_\ell(j)e^{2t} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \\ \text{if } 1/n < \delta_j < 1 & V_{j,\ell}(t) = \mu_\ell(j)[(1-\delta_j)t]^{\frac{2\delta_j}{1-\delta_j}} + \frac{(n-1)\delta_j[(n-3)\delta_j+2]}{4(1-\delta_j)^2}t^{-2} \end{cases}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \delta_j = 1 & \alpha_j = 2 \ln(a_j), \quad T_j(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\mu/\mu_0(j)) \\ \text{if } 1/n < \delta_j < 1 & \alpha_j = \frac{a_j^{2(1-\delta_j)}}{1-\delta_j}, \quad T_j(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\delta_j} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0(j)} \right)^{\frac{1-\delta_j}{2\delta_j}} \end{cases}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1

When $1/n < \delta_j < 1$, by enlarging \mathbf{M}_0 and reducing \mathbf{M}_j , we can take α_j large enough so that $V_{j,\ell}(t)$ is an increasing function on $[\alpha_j, +\infty[$ and $\lambda/\mu_\ell(j) \gg 1$ when $\ell \in K_\lambda$. Then, if $\alpha_j \leq Y < X(\lambda) = V_{j,\ell}^{-1}(\lambda)$, following the proof of Theorem 7.4 in [Tit] pages 146-147, we get that

$$|N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D) - \frac{1}{\pi} w_{j,\ell}(\lambda)| \leq \quad (2.11)$$

$$C[\ln(\lambda - V_{j,\ell}(\alpha_j)) - \ln(\lambda - V_{j,\ell}(Y)) + (X(\lambda) - Y)(\lambda - V_{j,\ell}(Y)) + 1].$$

When $\delta_j = 1$, we choose $Y = X(\lambda) - \frac{\sqrt{\ln \lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

When $1/n < \delta_j < 1$, we choose $Y = X(\lambda) - \frac{\sqrt{\ln \lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_\ell(j)} \right)^{\frac{1-\delta_j}{4\delta_j}}$;

$$(X(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{1-\delta_j} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_\ell(j)} \right)^{\frac{1-\delta_j}{2\delta_j}}) \square$$

Let us recall the sharp asymptotic Weyl formula of L. Hörmander [Hor1] (see also [Hor2]).

Theorem 2.2 *There exists $C > 0$ so that for any $\mu \gg 1$*

$$|N(\mu, -\Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j}) - \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} |\mathbf{X}_j| \mu^{(n-1)/2}| \leq C \mu^{(n-2)/2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Lemma 2.3 *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \gg 1$*

$$|N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) - \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} |\mathbf{M}_j| \lambda^{n/2}| \leq \quad (2.13)$$

$$C \begin{cases} \lambda^{(n-1)/2} \ln(\lambda), & \text{if } 1/(n-1) \leq \delta_j \leq 1 \\ \lambda^{1/(2\delta_j)}, & \text{if } 1/n < \delta_j < 1/(n-1) \end{cases}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3 By the formula (2.4),

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D). \quad (2.14)$$

As $N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D) = 0$ when $\ell \notin K_\lambda$, (K_λ is defined in Lemma 2.1), the estimates (2.8), (2.12) and formula (2.14) prove that

$$|N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} w_{j,\ell}(\lambda)| \leq C\lambda^{(n-1)/2} \ln(\lambda). \quad (2.15)$$

Let us denote

$$\Theta_j(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} w_{j,\ell}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad R_j(\mu) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} [\mu - \mu_\ell(j)]_+^{1/2}. \quad (2.16)$$

As $R_j(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} [\mu - s]_+^{-1/2} N(s, -\Delta_{A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j}) ds$,

the Hörmander estimate (2.12) entails the following one.

There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $\mu \gg 1$,

$$|R_j(\mu) - \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2(2\pi)^{n-1}} |\mathbf{X}_j| \int_0^{+\infty} [\mu - s]_+^{-1/2} s^{(n-1)/2} ds| \leq C\mu^{(n-1)/2}. \quad (2.17)$$

Writing in (2.9)

$$V_{j,\ell}(t) = \mu_\ell(j) V_j(t) + W_j(t), \quad (2.18)$$

we get that $\Theta_j(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\lambda)} V_j^{1/2}(t) R_j\left(\frac{\lambda - W_j(t)}{V_j(t)}\right) dt$.

So according to (2.17)

$$|\Theta_j(\lambda) - \frac{\omega_{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{(2\pi)^n \Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})} |\mathbf{X}_j| \int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda - W_j(t))^{n/2}}{V_j^{(n-1)/2}(t)} dt| \leq \quad (2.19)$$

$$C \int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda - W_j(t))^{(n-1)/2}}{V_j^{(n-2)/2}(t)} dt.$$

From the definitions (2.9) and (2.18) we get that

$$\left| \int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda - W_j(t))^{n/2}}{V_j^{(n-1)/2}(t)} dt - \lambda^{n/2} \frac{1}{(\delta_j n - 1) a_j^{2(\delta_j n - 1)}} \right| \leq C \lambda^{(n-1)/2}, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha_j}^{T_j(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda - W_j(t))^{(n-1)/2}}{V_j^{(n-2)/2}(t)} dt \leq \quad (2.21)$$

$$C \begin{cases} \lambda^{(n-1)/2} & \text{if } 1/(n-1) < \delta_j \leq 1 \\ \lambda^{(n-1)/2} \ln \lambda & \text{if } 1/(n-1) = \delta_j \\ \lambda^{1/(2\delta_j)} & \text{if } 1/n < \delta_j \leq 1/(n-1) \end{cases}.$$

As $|\mathbf{M}_j| = \frac{|\mathbf{X}_j|}{(\delta_j n - 1) a_j^{2(\delta_j n - 1)}}$, we get (2.13) from (2.15), (2.16) and (2.19)—
(2.21) \square

To achieve the proof of Theorem 1.2, we proceed as in [Mo-Tr].

We denote $\mathbf{M}_0^0 = \mathbf{M} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathbf{M}_j} \right)$, then

$$\mathbf{M} = \overline{\mathbf{M}_0^0} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^J \overline{\mathbf{M}_j} \right). \quad (2.22)$$

Let us denote respectively by $-\Delta_A^{\Omega, D}$ and by $-\Delta_A^{\Omega, N}$ the Dirichlet operator and the Neumann-like operator on an open set Ω of \mathbf{M} associated to $-\Delta_A$. $-\Delta_A^{\Omega, N}$ is the Friedrichs extension defined by the associated quadratic form $q_A^\Omega(u) = \int_{\Omega} |idu + Au|^2 d\mathbf{m}$, $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C})$, u with compact support in $\overline{\Omega}$. ($d\mathbf{m}$ is the n -form volume of \mathbf{M}).

The minimax principle and (2.22) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_0^0, D}) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, D}) &\leq N(\lambda, -\Delta_A) \quad (2.23) \\ &\leq N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_0^0, N}) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, N}) \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl formula with remainder, (see [Hor2] for Dirichlet boundary condition and [Sa-Va] p. 9 for Neumann-like boundary condition), gives that

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_0^0, Z}) = \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} |\mathbf{M}_0^0| \lambda^{n/2} + \mathbf{O}(\lambda^{(n-1)/2}); \quad (\text{for } Z = D \text{ and for } Z = N). \quad (2.24)$$

For $1 \leq j \leq J$, the asymptotic formula for $N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, N})$,

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^{\mathbf{M}_j, N}) = \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} |\mathbf{M}_j| \lambda^{n/2} + \mathbf{O}(r(\lambda)), \quad (2.25)$$

is obtained as for the Dirichlet case (2.13) by noticing that $N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D) \leq N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^N) \leq N(\lambda, L_{j,\ell}^D) + 1$, where $L_{j,\ell}^D$ and $L_{j,\ell}^N$ are Dirichlet and Neumann-like operators on a half-line $I =]\alpha_j, +\infty[$, associated to the same differential Schrödinger operator $L_{j,\ell}$ defined by (2.5) when $\delta_j = 1$, and by (2.7) otherwise. (The Neumann-like boundary condition is of the form $\partial_t u(\alpha_j) + \beta_j u(\alpha_j) = 0$ because of the change of functions performed by U^*).

We get (1.8) from (2.13) and (2.23)—(2.25) \square

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 2.4 *For any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, there exists a one-form A_j satisfying (1.3) and the following property.*

There exists $\tau_0 = \tau_0(A_j) > 0$ and $C = C(A_j) > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \gg 1$, if $e(\tau, j) = \inf_{u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{X}_j), \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}=1} \|idu + \tau u A_j\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2$ denotes the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\tau A_j}^{\mathbf{X}_j}$, then

$$e(\tau, j) \geq C\tau^2; \quad \forall \tau \in]0, \tau_0]. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. When $n = 2$, we can take $A_j = \omega_j d\mathbf{x}_j$, ($d\mathbf{x}_j$ is the $(n-1)$ -form volume of \mathbf{X}_j), for some constant $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{X}_j|} \mathbb{Z}$, then $e(\tau, j) = \tau^2 \omega_j^2$ for small $|\tau|$.

When $n \geq 3$, we have $e(0, j) = 0$, $\partial_\tau e(0, j) = 0$ and

$$\partial_\tau^2 e(0, j) = \int_{\mathbf{X}_j} \left[|A_j|^2 - (-\Delta_0^{\mathbf{X}_j})^{-1} (d^* A_j) \cdot (d^* A_j) \right] d\mathbf{x}_j.$$

(d^* is the adjoint of d defined on functions, and $(-\Delta_0^{\mathbf{X}_j})^{-1}$ is the inverse of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on functions, which is well-defined on the space $\{f \in L^2(\mathbf{X}_j); \int_{\mathbf{X}_j} f d\mathbf{x}_j = 0\}$).

To the non-negative quadratic form $A_j \rightarrow \partial_\tau^2 e(0, j)$, we associate a self-adjoint operator P on $T^*(\mathbf{X}_j)$, which is a pseudodifferential operator of order 0 with principal symbol, the square matrix $p_0(x, \xi) = (p_0^{ik}(x, \xi))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n-1}$ defined as follows. In local coordinates, if $\mathbf{h}_j = G_{ik}(x) dx_i dx_k$, then

$$p_0^{ik}(x, \xi) = G^{ik}(x) - \sum_{\ell, m} G^{im}(x) G^{\ell k}(x) \frac{\xi_m}{|\xi|} \frac{\xi_\ell}{|\xi|}; \quad (|\xi|^2 = \sum_{\ell, m} G^{m\ell}(x) \xi_m \xi_\ell).$$

As the non-negative symmetric matrix $p_0(x, \xi)$ is not the zero matrix, there exists A_j such that $P(A_j) \neq 0$ and by the positivity of P , $\partial_\tau^2 e(0, j) = \int_{\mathbf{X}_j} \langle P(A_j) | A_j \rangle d\mathbf{x}_j > 0 \square$

Lemma 2.5 *For a one-form A satisfying (1.4), there exists a constant $C_A > 0$ such that, if u is a function in $L^2(\mathbf{M})$ such that $du \in L^2(\mathbf{M})$ and*

$$\forall j = 1, \dots, J, \quad \int_{\mathbf{X}_j} u(x_j, y) d\mathbf{x}_j = 0, \quad \forall y \in]a_j^2, +\infty[, \quad (2.27)$$

then $\forall \tau \in]0, 1]$,

$$\|idu + \tau u A\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2 \leq (1 + \tau C_A) \|idu\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2 + C_A \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. First we remark that the inequality

$$|idu + \tau u A|^2 \leq (1 + \rho) |du|^2 + (1 + \rho^{-1}) |\tau u A|^2 \quad (2.29)$$

is satisfied for any $\rho > 0$.

For $\rho = \tau$ we get that there exists a constant $C_A^0 > 0$, depending only on A/\mathbf{M}_0 , such that

$$\|idu + \tau u A\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M}_0)}^2 \leq (1 + \tau) \|idu\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M}_0)}^2 + \tau C_A^0 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M}_0)}^2. \quad (2.30)$$

We get also for $\rho = \tau$ that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$,

$$\int_{a_j^2}^{+\infty} \|idu + \tau u A\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2 y^{(2-n)\delta_j} dy \leq \quad (2.31)$$

$$\int_{a_j^2}^{+\infty} \left((1 + \tau) \|idu\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2 + \tau C_A^j \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2 \right) y^{(2-n)\delta_j} dy ,$$

for some constant C_A^j depending only on A/X_j .

But (2.27) implies that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\mu_1(j)} \|idu\|_{L^2(\mathbf{X}_j)}^2 , \quad (2.32)$$

with $(\mu_\ell(j))_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of eigenvalues of Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbf{X}_j , $\mu_0(j) = 0 < \mu_1(j) \leq \mu_2(j) \leq \dots$. So if (2.27) is satisfied then (2.31) and (2.32) imply that

$$\|idu + \tau u A\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M}_j)}^2 \leq (1 + \tau c_A^j) \|idu\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M}_j)}^2 , \quad (2.33)$$

for some constant c_A^j depending only on A/X_j .

The existence of a constant $C_A > 0$ satisfying the inequality (2.28) follows from (2.30) and (2.33) for $j = 1, \dots, J$ \square

Lemma 2.6 *When A satisfies (1.3), (1.4) and Lemma 2.4 , then as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, the following Weyl formula is satisfied.*

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_{(\lambda^{-\rho} A)}) = |\mathbf{M}| \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n} \lambda^{n/2} + \mathbf{O}(r_0(\lambda)) , \quad (2.34)$$

with

$$\rho = \begin{cases} 1/2, & \text{if } 2/n \leq \delta \leq 1 \\ (n\delta - 1)/2, & \text{if } 1/n < \delta < 2/n \end{cases} , \quad (2.35)$$

δ and ω_d are as in Theorem 1.2, and the function $r_0(\lambda)$ is the one defined by (1.11) .

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Since A satisfies Lemma 2.4, we have

$$C/\lambda^{2\rho} \leq \mu_0(j) \quad \text{and} \quad C \leq \mu_1(j) ,$$

where $(\mu_\ell(j))_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ denotes now the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\lambda^{-\rho} A_j}$. Hence we can mimick the proof of Theorem 1.2. More precisely Lemma 2.1 holds for any $\ell \in K_\lambda$, $\ell \neq 0$, and to get the result it only remains to prove that we have, for $L_{j,0}$ defined by (2.5) if $\delta_j = 1$, and by (2.7) otherwise,

$$N(\lambda, L_{j,0}^D) = \mathbf{O}(r_0(\lambda)) .$$

This can easily be done as follows.

When $\delta_j = 1$, ($\rho = 1/2$), it is easy to see that

$$N(\lambda, L_{j,0}^D) \leq N(\lambda + C, L^{D,\lambda}) \leq C\lambda^{1/2} \ln(\lambda),$$

where $L^{D,\lambda}$ is the Dirichlet operator on $]0, +\infty[$ associated to $\frac{C}{\lambda}e^{2t} - \partial_t^2$.

When $0 < \delta_j < 1$, by scaling we have that

$$N(\lambda, L_{j,0}^D) \leq N((\lambda + C)^{1+2\rho(1-\delta_j)}, L^D) \leq C\lambda^{(1+2\rho(1-\delta_j))/(2\delta_j)},$$

where L^D is the Dirichlet operator on $]0, +\infty[$ associated to $\frac{1}{C^2}t^{\frac{2\delta_j}{1-\delta_j}} - \partial_t^2$.

When $2/n \leq \delta < 1$, as $2/n \leq \delta \leq \delta_j$, then

$$\lambda^{(1+2\rho(1-\delta_j))/(2\delta_j)} = \lambda^{(2-\delta_j)/(2\delta_j)} \leq \lambda^{(2-\delta)/(2\delta)} \leq \lambda^{(n-1)/2} = \mathbf{O}(r_0(\lambda)).$$

When $1/n < \delta < 2/n$, as $\delta \leq \delta_j$, then

$$\lambda^{(1+2\rho(1-\delta_j))/(2\delta_j)} \leq \lambda^{(1+2\rho(1-\delta))/(2\delta)} = \lambda^{(n-(n\delta-1))/2} = \mathbf{O}(r_0(\lambda)) \quad \square$$

To achieve the proof of Theorem 1.3, we take a one-form A satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 2.6.

We remark that any eigenfunction u of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta$ on \mathbf{M} associated to an eigenvalue in $] \inf \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta), +\infty[$, satisfies (2.27). So if H_λ is the subspace of $L^2(\mathbf{M})$ spanned by eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ associated to eigenvalues in $]0, +\infty[$, then, by (2.28) of Lemma 2.5 with $\tau = 1/\lambda^\rho$, with ρ defined by (2.35), we have

$$\forall u \in H_\lambda, \quad \|idu + \frac{1}{\lambda^\rho}uA\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2 \leq (1 + \frac{C_A}{\lambda^\rho})\|du\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2 + C_A\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{M})}^2 \quad (2.36)$$

So

$$\dim(H_\lambda) \leq N((1 + \frac{C_A}{\lambda^\rho})\lambda + C_A, -\Delta_{(\lambda^{-\rho}A)}). \quad (2.37)$$

The estimates (2.34) and (2.37) prove (1.10), by noticing that $\lambda^{n/2}/\lambda^\rho = \mathbf{O}(r_0(\lambda)) \quad \square$

References

- [Col1] Y. Colin de Verdière : Pseudo-laplaciens II, Ann. Institut Fourier, 33 (2), (1983), p. 87-113.
- [Do-Li] H. Donnelly, P. Li : Pure point spectrum and negative curvature for noncompact manifold, Duke Math. J. 46, (1979), p. 497-503.

- [Go-Mo] S. Golénia, S. Moroianu : Spectral Analysis of Magnetic Laplacians on Conformally Cusp Manifolds, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 9, (2008), p. 131-179.
- [Hej] D. Hejhal : The Selberg trace formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, II, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1001, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Hel] B. Helffer : Effet d’Aharonov Bohm sur un état borné de l’équation de Schrödinger, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 119, (1988), p. 315-329.
- [Hor1] Lars Hörmander : The spectral function of an elliptic operator, *Acta Math.*, 88, (1968), p. 341-370.
- [Hor2] Lars Hörmander : The Analysis of Linear P.D.O. IV , Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [Ivr] V. J. Ivrii : Microlocal Analysis and Precise Spectral Asymptotics, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Mo-Tr] A. Morame, F. Truc : Eigenvalues of Laplacian with constant magnetic field on non-compact hyperbolic surfaces with finite area spectral asymptotics, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, vol. 97(2), (2011), p.203-211.
- [Mul] W. Müller : Weyl’s law in the theory of automorphic forms, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes*, 354,(2008), p. 133-163.
- [Sa-Va] Y. Safarov, D. Vassiliev : The Asymptotic distribution of Eigenvalues of Partial Differential Operators, *AMS Trans.*155, 1996.
- [Shu] M. Shubin : The essential Self-adjointness for Semi-bounded Magnetic Schrödinger operators on Non-compact Manifolds, *J. Func. Anal.*, 186, (2001), p. 92-116.
- [Tit] E. C. Titchmarsh : Eigenfunction Expansions associated with Second-order Differential equations I, second edition, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1962.