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#### Abstract

Missense, nonsense and translationally silent mutations can inactivate genes by altering the inclusion of mutant exons in mRNA, but their overall frequency amongst diseasecausing exonic substitutions is unknown. Here, we have tested missense and silent mutations deposited in the BRCA1 mutation databases of unclassified variants for their effects on exon inclusion. Analysis of $21 B R C A l$ variants using minigene assays revealed a single exon-skipping mutation $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$. Comprehensive mutagenesis of an adjacent 12-nt segment showed that this silent mutation resulted in a higher level of exon skipping than 35 other single-nucleotide substitutions. Exon inclusion levels of mutant constructs correlated significantly with predicted splicing enhancers/silencers, prompting the development of two online utilities freely available at http://www.dbass.org.uk. EX-SKIP quickly estimates which allele is more susceptible to exon skipping whereas HOT-SKIP examines all possible mutations at each exon position and identifies candidate exonskipping positions/substitutions. We demonstrate that the distribution of exon-skipping and disease-associated substitutions previously identified in coding regions was biased toward top-ranking HOT-SKIP mutations. Finally, we show that proteins 9G8, SC35, SF2/ASF, Tra2 and hnRNP A1 were associated with significant alterations of BRCA1 exon 6 inclusion in the mRNA. Together, these results facilitate prediction of exonic substitutions that reduce exon inclusion in mature transcripts.
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## INTRODUCTION

Exonic single-nucleotide substitutions are the most common type of mutations in human genetic disease [Cooper and Krawczak, 1993]. Missense (amino acid altering) and nonsense (stop codon creating) mutations form by far the largest group of mutations deposited in the Human Gene Mutation Database, accounting for $\sim 56 \%$ of over 92,000 alterations recorded until May 2010 [Stenson et al., 2009]. However, nonsense, missense and also translationally silent mutations can inactivate genes by altering the inclusion of the mutant exon in mature transcripts [Cartegni et al., 2002; Cooper and Mattox, 1997; Pagani et al., 2005; Valentine, 1998]. The most common outcome of these DNA alterations is exon skipping, which is usually dramatic in severe disease phenotypes as it is associated with diminished expression of canonical mRNAs. On the other hand, singlenucleotide variants in the coding region may also induce subtle changes in the relative expression of exon-lacking or exon-containing transcripts or activate or repress decoy splice sites [Cartegni et al., 2002; Cooper et al., 2009]. The exact proportion of such DNA alterations among disease-causing exonic substitutions is, however, unknown because routine mutation screening has been largely based on DNA analysis and RNA samples have not been examined in the majority of these cases.

Exonic substitutions often create or eliminate short elements that inhibit or activate exon inclusion or splice-site selection, termed splicing silencers or enhancers, respectively. These motifs are abundantly present both in exons (ESSs, ESEs) and introns (ISSs, ISEs) and have been derived by computational and/or experimental approaches [Fairbrother et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2004; Zhang and Chasin, 2004]. However, the effect of exonic mutations and variants on splicing is strongly dependent on
the sequence context and does not always correlate with in silico prediction [Goren et al., 2006; Lastella et al., 2006; Raponi et al., 2007; Skoko et al., 2008]. Although weak correlation with exon inclusion levels in minigenes was observed [Kralovicova and Vorechovsky, 2007], the predictive value of ab initio computation tools is currently suboptimal.

Splicing defects resulting from exonic substitutions have been found in a growing number of disease genes, such as CFTR [Pagani et al., 2005; Raponi et al., 2007], MLH1 [Auclair et al., 2006; Stella et al., 2001; Tournier et al., 2008], ATM [Teraoka et al., 1999], NF1 [Ars et al., 2000], SMN2 [Lorson and Androphy, 2000] or BRCA1 [Liu et al., 2001]. BRCA1 (OMIM \#113705) is one of the two most important breast cancer susceptibility genes [King et al., 2003], encoding a 1863 amino-acid protein involved in DNA damage repair and transcription regulation [Gowen et al., 1998]. Inheritance of a protein truncating mutation in this tumour suppressor gene is associated with a $40-80 \%$ lifetime risk of breast cancer [King et al., 2003]. Over 550 distinct missense mutations have been reported in patients with a strong family history of breast cancer and deposited in the Breast Cancer Information Core database (BIC; $\underline{\text { http://research.nhgri.nih.gov/bic/), }}$ but the functional significance of many of these changes is unclear, with profound implications for genetic counseling.

In the present study, we have systematically tested 21 missense and silent mutations selected from the BRCA1 mutation databases of unclassified variants for their effects on exon inclusion in the mRNA using two non-overlapping 3-exon minigenes. We have identified a single exon-skipping mutation $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$. Systematic mutagenesis of a 12-nt segment surrounding this alteration showed that this change gave the highest exon
skipping levels among 36 minigene substitutions and revealed a regular pattern of exon inclusion variations across this motif. We also show that recognition of this exon is influenced by heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A1 and serine/arginine (SR) proteins SC35, 9G8 and Tra2. In addition, we have developed novel online utilities (termed EX-SKIP and HOT-SKIP) for ab initio prediction of exonic substitutions that induce exon skipping. These results reveal a new splicing regulatory element in BRCA1 exon 6 and facilitate identification of mutations or variants in coding sequences that lead to exon exclusion from mature transcripts.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Selection of BRCA1 variants

The BIC database, an open-access on-line repository of mutations and polymorphisms of the inherited breast cancer genes, was searched to identify BRCAI missense and silent mutations reported prior to February 2006 (Supp. Table S1). Mutation nomenclature was based on cDNA numbering with +1 corresponding to the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the BRCAI GenBank reference sequence U14680 (www.hgvs.org/mutnomen). The initiation codon was codon 1. The number of cases per mutation varied between 1 and 222. For further experimental study, we selected exons that were flanked by smaller introns that would allow us to construct unabridged splicing reporters containing a high frequency of substitutions in vivo.

## Construction of splicing reporters

OLIGO $^{\circledR}$ online software (http://www.oligo.net) was used to design PCR primers to amplify three-exon BRCA1 minigenes 5-7 and 17-19 (Supp. Table S2). Amplification was performed with anonymous genomic DNA, 10 pmol of each primer and a mixture of Taq/Pfu DNA polymerases. PCR products were visualized on agarose gels, DNA fragments were extracted using GENECLEAN ${ }^{\circledR}$ and cloned into the mammalian expression vector pCR3.1 (Invitrogen). Restriction enzymes used for cloning were BamHI/XbaI (minigene 5-7) and HindIII/XhoI (minigene 17-19). Digested PCR products were purified by gel electrophoresis and ligated into linearized pCR3.1. Ligation reactions were incubated overnight at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added to competent $E$. coli strain $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$ for transformation. Bacterial colonies were grown in LB broth containing ampicillin at a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Plasmid DNA was extracted using Wizard ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System (Promega). Site-directed plasmid mutagenesis was carried out using a megaprimer approach [Sarkar and Sommer, 1990]; mutagenic primers are shown in the last column of Supp. Table S3. All wild-type and mutated clones were sequenced to confirm each substitution and exclude undesired mutations.

## Cell culture and transfections

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells, HeLa cells and breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 were grown in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum and 2 mM L-glutamine in 6-well plates. One $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of each plasmid was transfected into $3-5 \times 10^{5}$ cells per well in the serum-free medium containing Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's recommendations. Cells were grown for a total of 48 hrs post-transfection before harvesting for RNA extraction.

For RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated depletion, the HEK293 and HeLa cells were plated in 12 -well plates at a density of $8 \times 10^{4}$ per well. The next day, the indicated siRNA duplexes were combined with the Opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen) and HiPerfect (Qiagen). The mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 20 min before adding to the wells. Twenty-four hours later, the cells received the second hit with the same amount of siRNA. Forty-eight hours after the first hit, the cells were transfected with splicing reporter constructs and harvested 24 hours later. Sequences of siRNAs were as recently published [Kralovicova and Vorechovsky, 2010]. For SF2/ASF, we have also used the siRNA duplex as published previously [Blaustein et al., 2005].

## Analysis of spliced products and Western blotting

Total RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy Plus kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. One $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of total RNA was reverse transcribed with the Reverse Transcription System (Promega) and the first strand of cDNA was amplified with vector primers PL3 and PL4 as described [Kralovicova et al., 2004]. Endogenous transcripts were amplified using primers B 1 ex 5 F ( $5^{\prime}$-gca tgc tga aac ttc tca acc) and B1ex7R (5'-cac tct gta gaa gtc ttt tgg cac). PCR products were separated on agarose or polyacrylamide gels as indicated. Signal intensity was measured from at least two transfection experiments using tools described previously [Lei and Vorechovsky, 2005]. Western blot analysis was carried out with the indicated antibodies as published [Kralovicova and Vorechovsky, 2010].

## EX-SKIP and HOT-SKIP construction

Both tools were implemented as a common gateway interface script written in Perl. Each utility performs a local search of the user's exonic sequence for putative ESSs/ESEs (PESSs/PESEs) [Zhang and Chasin, 2004], FAS-ESSs [Wang et al., 2004], RESCUE-ESEs [Fairbrother et al., 2002; Fairbrother et al., 2004], neighbourhood inference (NI) [Stadler et al., 2006] and exon/intron identity elements (EIE/IIEs) [Zhang et al., 2008]. The HOT-SKIP algorithm generates all possible substitutions at each exonic position, extracts $15-\mathrm{bp}$ and 11-bp oligomers with the substituted base at the central position and computes the appropriate ESS/ESE profile for each oligomer. The profile is presented in a table that can be sorted online according to a chosen element or their counts/ratios. Both tools are available at http://www.dbass.org.uk or at http://exskip.img.cas.cz/ (EX-SKIP) or http://hot-skip.img.cas.cz/ (HOT-SKIP).

The performance of HOT-SKIP was tested with a set of 37 previously reported mutations that resulted in exon skipping and genetic disease and with a similar number of control mutations that did not lower exon inclusion (Supp. Table S4). Each mutation was within the exon but outside the splice site consensus sequences, ie. the first and the last three exon positions. Set 2 consisted of CFTR exon 12 inclusion levels measured after transfection of the wild-type and 42 mutated minigenes, as published previously [Pagani et al., 2005; Pagani et al., 2003] (Supp. Table S5).

## RESULTS Identification of a silent mutation in BRCA1 that induced exon skipping

A search of the BIC database for disease-associated exonic substitutions identified a total of 487 alterations. For further investigation, we selected 38 non-synonomous and 2
synonymous substitutions in BRCA1 exons 5, 6, 10 and 18 because they were flanked by relatively short introns and their length was below the average (78, 89,77 and 78 bp , respectively), thus facilitating DNA manipulation (Supp. Table S3).

Splicing of the wild-type reporter construct containing exons 5-7 produced a mixture of correctly spliced products and transcripts with skipped exon 6 in HEK293 cells (Fig. 1A-C). Examination of reporters mutated at each exonic variant revealed that the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation significantly increased exon skipping as compared to the wildtype construct, while the remaining exon 6 variants did not produce any splicing defects (Fig. 1A-C). A similar level of exon 6 skipping was found in other cell types, including breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 and HeLa cells (Fig. 1D). The exclusion of this exon from exogenous pre-mRNAs was negligible (Fig. 1C) and we did not observe exon 6 skipping in endogenous transcripts in any cell line using primers B1ex5F and B1ex7R located in flanking exons.

In contrast to the wild-type minigene 5-7, reporter construct 17-19 did not induce exon skipping (Supp. Figure S1). As the second intron of minigene 17-19 was partially retained, we decided to mutate this construct at each variant. Although none of the mutants generated significant exon skipping, mutation c.5138T>C improved splicing of the second intron, perhaps as a result of removal of a predicted ESS octamer AAATGGGT [Zhang and Chasin, 2004] and overlapping FAS-ESS hexamers TAGTTA, GTAGTT, GGTAGT and GGGTAG [Wang et al., 2004] from the wildtype construct. Finally, minigenes containing exons 5 and 19 as middle exons were either not informative or their cloning was unsuccessful.

Taken together, the introduction of 21 naturally occurring $B R C A 1$ variants in middle exons of two non-overlapping splicing reporter constructs revealed a single (1/21, $\sim 5 \%$ ) case of exon skipping resulting from the mutation $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$. As minigene assays generally recapitulate in vivo splicing patterns of ubiquitously expressed pre-mRNAs, this mutation is likely to diminish expression of canonical BRCA1 transcripts in vivo, although the patient RNA was not available to firmly establish its pathogenic character.

## Characterization of a silencer element in BRCA1 exon 6

The $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation may induce exon 6 skipping by creating a splicing silencer or eliminate an exonic enhancer. A systematic search for splicing regulatory elements revealed that this mutation increased the predicted ESS/ESE ratio about 70-fold (Table 1). To identify residues that control exon 6 inclusion in this region and to systematically examine contribution of each nucleotide, we mutated each position of a 12-nt region surrounding this mutation. Interestingly, measurements of exon inclusion levels of the wild-type and 36 mutated constructs showed that the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ transversion gave the highest percentage of transcripts lacking exon 6 (Fig. 2A). This mutation creates a TAG trinucleotide, which are highly enriched in splicing silencers [Wang et al., 2004; Vorechovsky, 2010]. In addition, exon skipping/inclusion levels across the mutated region exhibited a pattern of regular fluctuations that were only sporadically interrupted by more erratic changes, such as 224 T or 231 T , suggesting that the outcome of these substitutions can be predicted. As expected, the average level of exon skipping was highest for alterations that introduced uracil in the pre-mRNA (Fig. 2B). This nucleotide is overrepresented in introns and in splicing silencers [Wang et al., 2004; Vorechovsky,

> 2010], including FAS-ESSs [Wang et al., 2004] and PESSs [Zhang and Chasin, 2004], but $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{T}$ substitutions in plasmid reporter constructs did not increase exon skipping at each position (Fig. 2A).

## Correlation of the ESS/ESE profile and exon inclusion

To determine which of the previously determined ESSs or ESEs correlate best with exon inclusion levels, the number of RESCUE-ESEs [Fairbrother et al., 2002; Fairbrother et al., 2004], FASS-ESSs [Wang et al., 2004], PESEs/PESSs [Zhang and Chasin, 2004], NI elements [Stadler et al., 2006] and EIE/IIEs [Zhang et al., 2008] was calculated for each segment. In addition, we employed the ESEfinder [Cartegni et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2006] to correlate exon inclusion levels with predicted scores of putative ESEs for serine/arginine-rich (SR) proteins that may interact with splicing regulatory sequences in exons [Sanford et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2009].

For the 12 -nt segment of exon 6 , the highest correlation with enhancers was observed for the scores of the improved SF2/ASF consensus (Fig. 2C, Supp. Tables S6 and S7). Altogether, the ESEfinder predictions identified a total of 669 potential ESE motifs, with 34 potential ESEs within exons 5, 6, 10 and 18 (Supp. Table S7). However, putative ESEs for the remaining SR proteins did not significantly correlate with exon inclusion. A significant correlation was also found for EIEs ( $\mathrm{r}=0.43$ ), followed by a simple sum of all enhancers ( $\mathrm{r}=0.37$, Supp. Table S8). Conversely, the highest correlation between exon skipping and silencers was found for counts of FAS-ESSs (hex2 subset) (r=0.27), followed by trusted NI ESSs (r=0.25; Supp. Table S8).

## A role for RNA secondary structure in exon skipping?

Of 36 minigene substitutions, the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation gave the highest levels of exon skipping that were not matched by any other point mutation in the 12 -nt segment, which is likely to contain short regulatory motifs engaged in intra- or inter-molecular contacts. This exclusivity could be due to a loss of a purine tetramer from the predicted terminal loop that might become less accessible to a ligand (Fig. 3A). Loss of the singlestranded configuration of this motif was consistent with the drop of PU (probability of $u$ npaired) values [Hiller et al., 2007] from 0.8 for guanine to 0.07 for uracil. In an attempt to address this hypothesis, we introduced mutation $\mathrm{c} .238 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{C}$ in both the wild-type and the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ constructs. In the wild-type minigene, cytosine would base-pair with guanine, reducing the PU value to less than 0.01 ; in contrast, the PU value would increase to 0.49 in the double mutant $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{c} .238 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{C}$. However, transient transfections showed that exon inclusion levels for these pre-mRNAs were higher than for the wildtype reporter construct (Fig. 3B), which makes such a simple explanation unlikely. Prediction of putative binding sequences using currently available online tools [Cartegni et al., 2003; Piva et al., 2009] has identified SR proteins SRp40 and SC35 as candidate factors (data not shown), perhaps suggesting that the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation could primarily alter protein binding, probably involving uracil in the predicted stem.

## SR proteins in BRCA1 exon 6 recognition

To determine the importance of trans-acting factors in exon 6 recognition and identify candidate ligands that interact with the novel silencer, we transiently transfected both wild-type and mutated reporter constructs into HeLa and HEK293 cell lines
individually depleted of 13 proteins known to influence splicing. The analysis of spliced products from the mutated reporter revealed an increased exon 6 skipping in cells lacking 9G8 and Tra2 (Fig. 4A). In contrast, exon skipping was reduced in cells depleted of hnRNP A1/A2 and SC35, while only minor increase of exon inclusion was observed in cells depleted of SRp40 (Supp. Figure S2). Conversely, overexpression of hnRNP A1 and SC35 increased exon 6 skipping, whereas overexpressed 9G8 and Tra2 enhanced exon 6 inclusion (Fig. 4B and data not shown).

Although downregulation of SF2/ASF was more efficient in HeLa cells than HEK293 cells (Fig. 4C), we did not see an increase of exon skipping in depleted cells, as predicted by the ESEfinder for the 12-nt segment (Fig. 2C), nor a decrease upon overexpression of this multifunctional protein, both in the wild-type and mutant BRCAI reporters (Fig. 4B). In fact, overexpression of SF2/ASF resulted in increased exon skipping for each reporter construct (Fig. 4B).

Taken together, hnRNP A1 and SR proteins 9G8, SC35, SF2/ASF and Tra2 were associated with significant exon 6 skipping/inclusion and are likely to regulate splicing this exon in vivo.

## HOT-SKIP and EX-SKIP: online tools predicting exon skipping mutations

As combination of independently derived splicing regulatory motifs provided a better predictor of the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation than individual elements (Table 1), we have developed two online tools, termed EX-SKIP and HOT-SKIP, that compute the ESS/ESE profile using a single click of the mouse and, as we show below, facilitate identification of exon-skipping alterations.

EX-SKIP is a simple utility that compares the ESE/ESS profile of two or more short sequences, typically a wild-type and a mutated allele, to quickly determine which exonic variant has a higher chance to skip this exon. In contrast, HOT-SKIP systematically examines all possible substitutions at each exonic position, computes the ESS/ESE profile for each alteration and identifies 'hot' positions and substitutions that are most likely to skip this exon.

The input to both utilities is an exonic sequence (strictly in upper case) in the FASTA format flanked by short intronic sequences (in lower case) on either side to account for adjacent auxiliary splicing motifs. Multiple FASTA format sequences are permitted up to a total length of $4,000 \mathrm{bp}$.

The output of EX-SKIP is a simple table showing the number of each ESSs/ESEs and their sum/ratio. The table is followed by a short statement which of the submitted allele is more likely to skip the exon. By contrast, the HOT-SKIP output is a large table listing all possible point mutations at each exon position. The user can sort the ESE/ESS data online by clicking on chosen elements or their sum/ratio in table headers. Substitutions with the highest values of ESSs and the lowest values of ESEs are likely to represent 'hot-spots' for exon skipping. The corresponding positions are highlighted in red in the exonic sequence, with the intensity of red color reflecting the values of ESS/ESE ratios. Thus, HOT-SKIP considers all possible exonic substitutions, except for changes in the first and the last three positions of the exon, ie. outside splice-site consensus signals. As an example, the ESS/ESE profile was calculated for each BRCA1 exon (Supp. Table S9).

## Prediction of exon skipping mutations by HOT-SKIP

To illustrate the utility of this tool, we computed the ESS/ESE ratio for all possible point mutations at each position of 33 exons that sustained a total of 37 alterations, each resulting in exon skipping observed in genetic diseases (Supp. Table S4). Mutations were ordered according to the ESS/ESE ratio for each exon. A subset of mutations that lacked any predicted ESSs was sorted according to the sum of ESEs. We found that 20/37 (54\%) of these mutations were in the first HOT-SKIP quartile, while 27/37 (73\%) were in the first two quartiles (Fig. 5A). The bias in their distribution toward top HOT-SKIP positions was statistically significant ( $P=0.0001$ and $P<0.003$, respectively, binomial tests). Interestingly, several of the remaining 10 mutations that were in the third and fourth quartiles were located in the vicinity of top-ranking positions. For example, as many as 10 mutations located up to 10 nt downstream of the diseaseassociated $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ substitution at position 37 of $A D A$ exon 7 [Ozsahin et al., 1997] were ranked among the first 12 positions with the highest ESS/ESE ratios. Similarly, mutations introducing guanine at position 195-197 of the BRCA2 exon 18 were ranked by HOTSKIP among the top 5 exon-skipping mutations, just 6 nt from the disease-causing substitution at position 189 [Fackenthal et al., 2002]. Exonic positions that were mutated in cryptic exons remained in the top quartile if mutated to other nucleotides [Dear et al., 2006; Ishii et al., 2002].

These observations suggest that in a subset of these cases, the ESS/ESE profile did not correctly predict mutations that were likely to reside within a shared secondary structure. This leads to the prediction that the ESS/ESE profile may be more useful for less structured pre-mRNAs. In addition, HOT-SKIP may not perform well for mutations
very close to natural splice sites. For example, two of the 10 'non-performing' mutations, located at position 7 of MLH 1 exon 3 [McVety et al., 2006] and at position 5 of GHI exon 3 [Ryther et al., 2003]), were very close to 3 ' splice sites, and the $3^{\prime}$ YAG splice-site consensus is likely to interfere with accurate prediction of exonic auxiliary sequences. In addition, these mutations were in exonic segments recognized by the auxiliary factor of the U 2 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein. The small subunit of this factor may bind up to 12 nt of the exon and has a preference for uracil [Wu et al., 1999].

Because formation of cross-exon spliceosomal network is likely to be less complete for longer exons, we plotted exon length against the HOT-SKIP rank, but no correlation was found ( $\mathrm{r}=0.027, \mathrm{P}>0.05$; Fig. 5 A ).

In contrast to exon-skipping substitutions, a similar number of exonic mutations that did not lower exon inclusion (Supp. Table S4), revealed the opposite trend. Only less than $9 \%$ mutations were in the first HOT-SKIP quartile ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), while 18/46 (39\%) were in the first two quartiles (Fig. 5B).

Finally, we compared previously published exon inclusion data for 42 mutations in CFTR exon 12 that were determined ex vivo [Pagani et al., 2005; Pagani et al., 2003]. We found a significant negative correlation of exon 12 inclusion with the ESS/ESE ratio $(\mathrm{r}=-0.28, \mathrm{P}=0.03)$ as well as for the total number of $\operatorname{ESSs}(-0.35)$, but not for ESEs or any of the remaining individual elements, except for trusted NI ESSs that gave the most significant correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.40, \mathrm{P}=0.004$ ). Thus, these data suggest that the best prediction method may vary from exon to exon and highlight the versatility of HOTSKIP to address this in future studies.

## DISCUSSION

This work has shown that (i) exonic point mutations that result in substantive exon skipping are infrequent in BRCA1 exons 6 and 18; (ii) prediction of exon-skipping mutations is facilitated by the ESS/ESS profile that takes into account independently derived elements and can now be easily determined online; (iii) exon 6 inclusion levels in the $B R C A 1$ mRNA were not irregular but showed an undulating pattern across a systematically mutated segment that contained the newly identified ESS; and (iv) modification of intracellular levels of hnRNP A1 and SR proteins 9G8, Tra2 and SC35 was associated with exon 6 skipping/inclusion, with each protein giving the opposite ratios of exon-lacking/exon-containing transcripts in depleted/overexpressing cell lines.

We believe that the first conclusion is not limited to the two BRCA1 exons studied here. Although nonsense, missense and translationally silent mutations can inactivate genes by skipping the mutant exons, most mutations that initially exemplified this point were in fact in the splice-site consensus (Table 1 in [Cartegni et al., 2002]). Second, the low frequency of such mutations has been supported by independent screens of candidate exonic variants in other genes. For example, BRCA2 substitutions deposited in BIC and screened by RT-PCR and minigene assays did not show a single example of aberrant splicing [Whiley et al., 2010]. On the other hand, more systematic studies [Sanz et al., 2010] confirmed previous findings [Pros et al., 2008; Teraoka et al., 1999] that in large genes with many introns up to a half of all disease gene mutations affected splicing, although only a few were in exons. For example, 2 of four exonic unclassified variants in BRCA2 showed aberrant splicing [Bonnet et al., 2008]. In BRCA1, only several exonskipping point mutations have been reported in patients with breast cancer, including a
nonsense mutation c.5199G>T and missense variant c.5242C>A in exon 18 [Mazoyer et al., 1998; Millevoi et al., 2010] and missense mutations c.5434C $>\mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{c} .5453 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ in exon 23 [Gaildrat et al. 2010; Rouleau et al. 2010], each pointing to exonic splicing regulatory sequences. Thus, although the overall fraction of substitutions that result in low exon inclusion levels is likely to be relatively small, they should always be considered, particularly in exons weakly included in mRNAs, as exemplified by the CFTR exon 12 where almost a third of all point mutations induced exon skipping in a minigene system, ranging between full inclusion and full exclusion [Pagani et al., 2005] (Supp. Table S5). In contrast, our systematic minigene substitutions around $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ altered exon inclusion levels within a rather limited range of $39-86 \%$, revealing a rather regular pattern of fluctuations (Fig. 2A). Disregarding the effect of the $\mathrm{c} .231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ mutation, these changes were translated only to $\sim 1.6$-fold difference between maximum and minimum exon skipping.

Apart from canonical splicing signals, the extent to which exonic substitutions lower exon inclusion levels can be influenced by more distant intronic sequences that may form intra-molecular contacts with the exon. For example, we observed no exon skipping for the mutation $\mathrm{c} .5242 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}$ in our 17-19 construct (Supplementary Fig. S1), which can be attributed to a deletion of an intron 17 segment that may repress exon 18 inclusion in the mRNA. The observed small variations in intron 18 retention may in fact signify variable exon inclusion levels that are not detected by the minigene with the shortened intron, but may be observed in vivo. Thus, the variability in exon inclusion should be always interpreted in the context of adjacent segments, including flanking introns and exons and the strength of their splice sites and splicing regulatory signals.

Before concluding pathogenicity, the results of minigene assays should be complemented with the analysis of spliced products in fresh RNA samples extracted from affected individuals and appropriate controls.

Remarkably, the ESE/ESS profile correctly predicted a putative exon-skipping mutation over a considerable distance from its exonic position (Table 1) and suggested that a combination of independently derived elements had a better predictive and perhaps practical value. This observation is exploited by new online tools that are publicly available and should facilitate detection of DNA variants that alter splicing in conjunction with utilities that assess the strength of natural splice sites [Senapathy et al., 1990; Yeo and Burge, 2004] and branch points [Kol et al., 2005]. Recently developed web servers that distinguish exon skipping and cryptic splice site activation ab initio [Divina et al., 2009] and that integrate numerous methods for estimating the intrinsic strength of splice sites and for defining splicing regulatory sequences and their change upon mutation [Schwartz et al., 2009] represent just the starting point toward more accurate and sensitive algorithms in the future.

Our screen of splicing factors known to influence exon inclusion has identified candidates that may bind to the newly identified ESS. Although they may exert this influence by contacting the RNA, this will require in vitro evidence to exclude alternative explanations. Likewise, the opposite effects of individual SR proteins on exon inclusion (Fig. 4) are consistent with the notion that these multifunctional factors act both as splicing activators and inhibitors [Kanopka et al., 1996]. Finally, the discrepancy between the prediction that SF2/ASF would act as an activator, based on $\sim 13 \%$ of the total exonic sequence (Fig. 2C), and the experimentally determined inclusion levels in cells with
modified SF2/ASF levels (Fig. 4A-C) clearly point to additional interactions involved in the SF2/ASF-mediated regulation of this exon.

Taken together, screening of 21 unclassified exonic variants in BRCAl has identified a single case of exon skipping. Systematic mutagenesis surrounding the new ESS in BRCA1 exon 6 revealed only modest variations in exon inclusion for the majority of changes, supporting the view that exonic point mutations that result in substantial exon skipping are relatively infrequent. The newly developed online tools should facilitate detection of exonic alterations that reduce exon inclusion in mRNAs.
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

## Figure 1 Identification of the BRCA1 missense mutation that induced exon

 skippingLegend: A: 5-7 minigene reporter construct. Exons are shown as boxes, introns as lines. Aberrantly spliced products, including 2 minor cryptic 5' splice sites (cr5'ss) observed 22 nt upstream and 186 nt downstream of canonical 5' splice site, are shown as dotted lines. Repetitive elements in introns are schematically shown as grey (AluJb), white (3'end of L2) and black (MER104) rectangles; their orientation is denoted by arrowheads. Cloning primers are shown as grey arrows. B: exon 6 sequence (upper case) with flanking intronic sequences (lower case). Substitutions (bold) are shown above mutated residues (highlighted). c. $231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ substitution creates the TACTAG hexamer (underlined) predicted by FAS-ESS [Wang et al., 2006] and also the CTAGAT splicing regulatory motif (highlighted in grey) predicted by a computational method based on the conservation of wobble positions between human and mouse orthologous exons [Goren et al., 2006]. C: Splicing products of the BRCA1 5-7 minigene determined by reversetranscription PCR and separated by PAGE. RNA products are schematically shown to the right. The use of minor cryptic 5'ss-22 as shown in panel $\mathbf{A}$ is denoted by a shorter exon 5. Minigene mutations (top) correspond to panel B. D: the splicing patterns of both the wild-type and mutant minigenes are maintained in other cell types. RNA products separated on agarose gels are shown to the right.

Figure 2 Systematic mutagenesis of a new splicing regulatory motif in BRCA1 exon 6

Legend: A: exon 6 inclusion/skipping levels of the wild-type (WT) and 36 mutated minigenes. Designation of substitutions (horizontal axis) corresponds to the numbering shown in Fig. 1B. The BIC-derived transversion is boxed. Error bars represent standard deviations of two transfections experiments; B: The average exon skipping of $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{G}$, $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{X}>\mathrm{A}$ substitutions. Error bars represent standard deviations; C : A high correlation between the improved SF2/ASF ESE scores and exon inclusion. Predicted SR ESEs were calculated using the ESEfinder (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgi$\underline{\text { bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home) as a sum of all scores at each position of the }}$ wild-type (WT) sequence and 36 mutated constructs. The scores for the original SF2/ASF matrix and other SR proteins are shown in Supp. Table S6.

Figure 3 Predicted RNA secondary structure of the c. 231G/T alleles
Legend: A: predicted secondary structure of the wild type (WT) and mutated (positions 231 and 238) pre-mRNA. Mutations are denoted by black and grey arrows, respectively. Secondary structure predictions were carried out using the dynamic programming algorithm implemented in the RNAStructure program (v. 4.6) [Mathews et al., 2004]. The algorithm is based on free energy minimization with nearest neighbor parameters and includes free energy increments for coaxial stacking of helices when they are either adjacent or separated by a single mismatch [Mathews et al., 2004]. B: The splicing pattern of four mutated reporter constructs upon transient transfections in HEK293 cells. EI, exon inclusion.

Figure 4 Trans-acting factors that influence recognition of BRCA1 exon 6
Legend: A: RNAi-mediated depletion of selected SR-like proteins. SC, scrambled controls. Spliced products are shown to the right. The extended experiment with additional SR proteins and hnRNPs is shown in Supp. Figure S2. B: Splicing of the wildtype and mutated BRCA1 reporter constructs in cells overexpressing a subset of SR proteins. C: Western blot analysis showing the extent of SF2/ASF RNAi-mediated depletion in transfected HEK293 and Hela cells. siRNAs sequences are denoted as K [Kralovicova and Vorechovsky, 2010] and N [Blaustein et al., 2005]. Final concentration of siRNAs in each well was $100 \mathrm{nM}(50 \mathrm{nM}$ each for a combination of $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{K}$ siRNAs). SC, scrambled controls.

Figure 5 Prediction of exon-skipping substitutions by HOT-SKIP
Legend: Each point represents a disease-causing mutation that resulted in exon skipping (A) or a mutation that did not alter splicing (B). Full details of these cases are shown in Supp. Table S4. HOT-SKIP percentiles were calculated as $(a-4) * 400 / n$, where $n$ is exon length in nucleotides and $a$ is the HOT-SKIP rank of the mutation among all possible exon substitutions, as determined by sorting the ESS/ESE ratios.

## TABLES

Table 1 Counts of predicted ESSs and ESEs in the wild-type and c.231G>T alleles

|  | Exon 6 segment | RESCUE-ESE | FAS-ESS | PESE | PESS | EIE | IIE | ESE total | ESS total | ESS/ESE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wild type | AGTACG $_{231}$ AGATT | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 0.053 |
| Mutant | AGTACT ${ }_{231}$ AGATT | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 3.667 |
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## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# Prediction of single-nucleotide substitutions that result in exon skipping: identification of a splicing silencer in BRCA1 exon 6 
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## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

## Supplementary Figure S1 Splicing pattern of the 17-19 reporter construct



Legend: A: Exons are shown as numbered boxes, introns as lines. Normal and aberrantly spliced products are shown as dotted lines above and below the pre-mRNA, respectively. Repetitive elements in introns are schematically shown as grey (Alu) and white (L1) rectangles; their orientation is denoted by arrowheads. Cloning primers are shown as grey arrows. B: Exon 18 sequence and single-nucleotide substitutions introduced in mutant reporter constructs. C: RNA products of the 17-19 minigene (schematically shown to the right) visualized by reverse-transcription PCR and separated by PAGE. Minigene mutations shown at the top correspond to positions in panel B.

Supplementary Figure S2 RNAi-mediated screen of trans-acting factors involved in exon 6 recognition


Legend: sc $47 \%$, sc $68 \%$, scrambled controls with the indicated GC content. Spliced products are schematically shown to the right, measurements of two fragments with the strongest signal intensity (exon 6 inclusion and skipping) is at the top.

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1 Exon-by-exon breakdown of BRCA1 missense/silent mutations in BIC

| Exon $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Exon size <br> $\mathbf{( b p )}$ | Size of <br> $\mathbf{5}$ ' intron (bp) | Size of <br> $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ intron (bp) | Number of <br> missense/silent <br> changes per exon | Number of <br> missense/silent <br> changes per bp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 378 |  | 621 |  |  |
| 2 | 99 | 621 | 8237 | 13 | 0.131 |
| 3 | 54 | 8237 | 9192 | 13 | 0.241 |
| 5 | 78 | 9192 | 1499 | 15 | 0.192 |
| 6 | 89 | 1499 | 606 | 7 | 0.079 |
| 7 | 140 | 606 | 4241 | 8 | 0.057 |
| 8 | 106 | 4241 | 2485 | 8 | 0.075 |
| 9 | 46 | 2485 | 1321 | 3 | 0.065 |
| 10 | 77 | 1321 | 985 | 4 | 0.052 |
| 11 | 3426 | 985 | 402 | 250 | 0.073 |
| 12 | 89 | 402 | 8638 | 5 | 0.056 |
| 13 | 172 | 8638 | 5789 | 14 | 0.008 |
| 14 | 127 | 5789 | 1966 | 8 | 0.063 |
| 15 | 191 | 1966 | 3092 | 15 | 0.079 |
| 16 | 311 | 3092 | 3232 | 33 | 0.106 |
| 17 | 88 | 3232 | 3656 | 14 | 0.159 |
| 18 | 78 | 3656 | 500 | 15 | 0.192 |
| 19 | 41 | 500 | 6197 | 5 | 0.122 |
| 20 | 84 | 6197 | 5934 | 15 | 0.179 |
| 21 | 55 | 5934 | 1868 | 8 | 0.145 |
| 22 | 74 | 1868 | 1417 | 8 | 0.108 |
| 23 | 61 | 1417 | 1840 | 11 | 0.180 |
| 24 | 1502 | 1840 |  | 15 | 0.010 |

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Supplementary Table } 2 \text { Primers for cloning } \begin{array}{l}\text { BRCA1 minigene reporter constructs }\end{array} \\ \text { Minigene } \\ \hline \begin{array}{ll}\text { Forward primer name and } \\ \text { sequence (5'-3') }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Reverse primer name and } \\ \text { sequence (5'-3') }\end{array}\right]$

# Supplementary Table 3 Summary of missense/silent mutations in BRCA1 exons 5, 6, 10 and 18 

| Exon | Mutation ${ }^{1}$ | Predicted amino-acid | Number of reports | Source | Mutagenic primer ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | c. $135 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$ | K45N | 3 | Myriad | tataattatagTTTTTGCATGCTG |
| 5 | c. $139 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}$ | C47G | 1 | Casey | attatagATtTGGCATGCTGAAAC |
| 5 | c. $140 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | C47F | 2 | Myriad | ttatagATtTTTCATGCTGAAAC |
| 5 | c. $154 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | L52F | 5 | Myriad, Casey | atgctganactiticanccagang |
| 5 | c.181T>G | C61G | 222 | Myriad, others | GGGCCTTCACAGGGTCCTTTATGTA |
| 5 | c.181T>C | C61R | 1 | Bond | GGGCCTTCACAGĖGTCCTTTATGTA |
| 5 | c. $182 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | C61Y | 6 | Myriad | GCCTTCACAGTÄtcctitatgta |
| 5 | c. $189 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$ | L63F | 1 | Ostrow | agTGTCCTtTTTGTAAGAATGA |
| 5 | c.190T>G | C64G | 4 | Myriad, others | agtgicctitaggtangantgat |
| 5 | c.190T>C | C64R | 11 | Myriad, Radice | agtgicctitacgiangantgat |
| 5 | c.191G>A | C64Y | 19 | Myriad, others | agtgtcctttatãtangatgata |
| 5 | c.199G>T | D67Y | 8 | Myriad | tatgtangatitatatanccanaig |
| 5 | c. $201 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}$ | D67E | 3 | Patmisiriwat | atgtangattgagatanccanaig |
| 5 | c. $203 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}$ | I68K | 1 | Myriad | TAAGAATGATAAAACCAAAAGgta |
| 5 | c. $211 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | R71G | 35 | Myriad, others | TATAACCAAAGGgtatataattgg |
| 6 | c. $216 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}$ | S72R | 1 | Myriad | TaattcagGAGACTACAAGAAAGT |
| 6 | c. $230 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | T77M | 2 | Myriad, Radice | acanganagtatgagattiagtcan |
| 6 | c. $231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ |  | 1 | Casey | tacanganagtactagatttagtca |
| 6 | c. $259 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}$ | L87V | 2 | Myriad | gttgangagctagitanaantcatt |
| 6 | c. $266 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ | I89T | 2 | Myriad, Caldes | gctattganalccattrgicctitt |
| 6 | c. $269 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ | I90T | 1 | Weber | tattganaitcacttctgctitic |
| 6 | c. $286 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | D96N | 1 | Myriad | tGCttttcagctidacacaggtteg |
| 6 | c. $292 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ | G98R | 1 | Diez |  |
| 10 | c. $612 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ | L204F | 6 | Myriad, Lidereau | gagatcanganttçitacanatcac |
| 10 | c. $637 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | R213G | 1 | Myriad | CTCAAGGAACCGGGGATGAAATC |
| 10 | c. $641 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | D214G | 11 | Myriad, Cheetham |  |
| 10 | c. $661 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | A221S | 2 | Myriad | GTTT GAtTCTITCAAAAAAGG gtaa |
| 18 | c. $5085 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}$ | F1695L | 1 | Myriad |  |
| 18 | c. $5086 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ | V1696L | 1 | Myriad | gATGCTGAGTTTĒTGTGTGAACGGA |
| 18 | c. $5089 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ | C1697R | 2 | Borg, Bergthorsson | GCTGAGTTTGTGCGTGAACGGACAC |
| 18 | c. $5095 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | R1699W | 13 | Myriad, others | ttgtgtatgantggacactg anat |
| 18 | c. $5096 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | R1699Q | 11 | Myriad, Abbs | ttgigtgicaicägacactganata |
| 18 | c. $5096 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | R1699L | 1 | Myriad | ttgigtgiganctigacactg amata |
| 18 | c. $5113 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ |  | 1 | Murphy, Voglino | CtGaAatattrititagaattgcga |
| 18 | c. $5117 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | G1706E | 7 | Myriad, others | aAatatttictaganattgcggeag |
| 18 | c. $5117 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ | G1706A | 6 | Myriad, Cheetham | aAatatttictagcaattgcgagag |
| 18 | c. $5123 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}$ | A1708E | 45 | Myriad, others | ttctagganttgagghagganaitg |
| 18 | c. $5138 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}$ | V1713A | 3 | Myriad, Struewing | gagganaatggicagttagctatt |
| 18 | c. $5143 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{C}$ | S1715R | 1 | Borg | aAtGGgTagttçgctattectgtaa |
| 18 | c. $5143 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}$ | S1715C | 1 | Myriad | aATGGGTAGTTTGGCTATTTCTgtaa |

Legend: ${ }^{1}$, the first nucleotide of the start codon is $1 .{ }^{2}$, mutated nucleotides are highlighted in grey. Large case indicates
exonic sequences, small case indicates intronic sequences.

## Supplementary Table 4 Exons skipped as a result of pathogenic exonic mutations and a control group

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Gene \& Exon \& Phenotype \& Mutation \& Reference \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { нот-SKIP } \\
\& \text { rank }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
нот-SKIP \\
percentile
\end{tabular} \& ID \({ }^{1}\) \& 15-mer included \& 15-mer excluded \& Exon length \\
\hline RET \& 11 \& Hirschsprung disease \& E11+62C>T \& Auricchio, A. et
al.(1999)
Am. J. Hum. Genet. 64,
\(1216-1221\). \& 9 \& 0.89 \& S1 \& CCTTCATCGTCTCGG \& CCTTCATTGTCTCGG \& 257 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { TNFRSF } \\
\& 5
\end{aligned}
\] \& 5 \& Hyper IgM syndrome \& E5 \(+5 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ferrari, S. et al. } \\
\& \text { (2001) } \\
\& \text { Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. } \\
\& \text { USA } 98,12614-12619 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 80 \& 22.22 \& S2 \& cagCTACAGGGGTTT \& cagCtactaggett \& 94 \\
\hline PTPRC \& 4 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { I cell } \\
\& \text { activation }
\end{aligned}
\] \& E4+76C>G \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Thude, H. et al. (1995) } \\
\& \text { Eur. J. Immunol. 25, } \\
\& \text { 2101-2106. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 560 \& 72.16 \& S3 \& TCTCACCCGCAAGCA \& TCTCACCGGCAAGCA \& 198 \\
\hline HPRT1 \& 3 \& HPRT deficiency \& E3+29A>T \& Steingrimsdottir, H. et al. (1992) Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 1201-1208. \& 129 \& 17.92 \& S4 \& TGTGATGAAGGAGAT \& TGTGATGTAGGAGAT \& 184 \\
\hline FBN1 \& 52 \& Marfan syndrome \& E \(52+41 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) \& ```
Liu, W.G.et al. (1997)
Nat. Genet. 16, 328-
329.
``` \& 33 \& 13.31 \& S5 \& GGATCATCGTGGGAC \& GGATCATTGTGGGAC \& 66 \\
\hline HMBS \& 3 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Acute \\
intermittend porphyria
\end{tabular} \& E3 \(+33 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{G}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Llewellyn, D.H.et al } \\
\& \text { (1996) } \\
\& \text { J. Med. Genet. 33, } 437- \\
\& 438 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 3 \& 1.50 \& S6 \& TGATTCGCGTGGGTA \& TGATTCGGGTGGGTA \& 54 \\
\hline GLA \& Cryp-
tic \& Fabry disease \& Ecr \(+54 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ishii, S. et al. } \\
\& \text { Am J Hum Genet, } 70 \text {, } \\
\& 994-1002 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 111 \& 52.36 \& S7 \& CCCACTAAAGTgtaa \& CCCACTAGAGTgtaa \& 57 \\
\hline FGB \& Cryp-
tic \& Afibrinogenemia \& Ecr \(+23 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Dear, A. et al. \\
J. Thromb. Haemost, 4, 471-472.
\end{tabular} \& 109 \& 59.24 \& S8 \& CACAGATGAAGCAAC \& CACAGATAAAGCAAC \& 50 \\
\hline MLH1 \& 3 \& Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer \& E3+7G>T \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { McVety, S. et al. } \\
\& \text { (2006) } \\
\& \text { J. Med. Genet. 43, 153- } \\
\& \text { 156. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 233 \& 61.32 \& S9 \& gAAAGAAGATCTGGA \& gAAAGAATATCTGGA \& 99 \\
\hline DMD \& 27 \& Muscular dystrophy \& E27+27G>T \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Shiga, N. et al. (1997) \\
J. Clin. Invest. 100, 2204-2210.
\end{tabular} \& 89 \& 12.43 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
11
\end{gathered}
\] \& ACAAAAAGAAGCGAA \& ACAAAAATAAGCGAA \& 183 \\
\hline COL 4A5 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Cryp- } \\
\& \text { tic }
\end{aligned}
\] \& Alport syndrome \& Ecr \(+45 A>G\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { King, K. et al. (2002) } \\
\& \text { Hum. Genet, 111, } 548 \text { ) } \\
\& 554 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 277 \& 48.43 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s} \\
12
\end{gathered}
\] \& TAACAAGATGGAGAG \& TAACAAGGTGGAGAG \& 147 \\
\hline GH1 \& 3 \& Isolated growth hormone Deficiency, type II \& E3+5A>G \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ryther, R.C. et al. } \\
\& \text { (2003) } \\
\& \text { Hum. Genet. 113, } 140- \\
\& 148 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 413 \& 89.01 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
13
\end{gathered}
\] \& tagGAAGAAGCCTAT \& tagGAAGGAGCCTAT \& 120 \\
\hline NF1 \& 30 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Neurofibro- \\
matosis, \\
type I
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{E} 30+174 \mathrm{G}> \\
\& \mathrm{T}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Zatkova, A. et al. (2004) \\
Hum. Mutat. 24, 491501.
\end{tabular} \& 104 \& 13.07 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
14
\end{gathered}
\] \& ATTITTGGAAGAGTG \& ATITTTGTAAGAGTG \& 203 \\
\hline ADA \& 7 \& Adenosine deminase deficiency \& E7+37G>A \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ozsahin, H. et al. \\
(1997) \\
Blood 89, 2849-2855.
\end{tabular} \& 190 \& 69.85 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
15
\end{gathered}
\] \& TGTCCACGCCGGGGA \& TGTCCACACCGGGGA \& 72 \\
\hline ATM \& 44 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ataxia \\
telangiectasia
\end{tabular} \& E44+58G>A \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Teraoka, S.n. et al. (1999) \\
Am. J. Hum. Genet. 64, 1617-1631.
\end{tabular} \& 293 \& 73.99 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
16
\end{gathered}
\] \& TGACCTCGAAACAGC \& TGACCTCAAAACAGC \& 103 \\
\hline ATP 7A \& 4 \& Menkes disease \& E4+103G>A \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Vulpe, C. et al.(1993) \\
Nat. Genet. 3, 7-13.
\end{tabular} \& 288 \& 36.00 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
17
\end{gathered}
\] \& GGGAGATGGAATCAA \& GGGAGATAGAATCAA \& 204 \\
\hline BRCA1 \& 18 \& Breast and ovarian cancer \& E18+18G>T \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Mazoyer, s. et } \\
\& \text { al.(1998) } \\
\& \text { Am. J. Hum. Genet. 62, } \\
\& 713-715 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 50 \& 16.89 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
18
\end{gathered}
\] \& TGTGTGTGAACGGAC \& TGTGTGTTAACGGAC \& 78 \\
\hline F8 \& 19 \& Haemophilia \& E19+47C>T \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Theophilus, B.D. et al. } \\
\& \text { (2001) } \\
\& \text { Haemophilia 7, 381-391. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 3 \& 0.66 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
19
\end{gathered}
\] \& AATTTGGCGGGTGGA \& AATTTGGTGGGTGGA \& 117 \\
\hline HEXB

IVD \& 11

2 \& | Sandhoff |
| :--- |
| disease |
| Isovaleri- |
| acidemia | \& E11 $+8 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$

$\mathrm{E} 2+4 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ \& | Wakamatsu, N. et al. (1992) |
| :--- |
| J. Biol. Chem. 267, 2406-2413. |
| Vockley, J., et al. (2000) |
| Am. J. Hum. Genet. 66, 356-367. | \& 164 \& 23.98

2.62 \& S
20 \& CTTGCGCCGGGCACA \& CTTGCGCTGGGCACA \& 175
90 <br>

\hline MAPT \& 10 \& Frontotemporal dementia \& E10+15G>T \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jiang, Z et al. (2000) } \\
& \text { Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, } \\
& 4036-4048 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 87 \& 24.44 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s} \\
23
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& TAATTAAGAAGAAGC \& TAATTAATAAGAAGC \& 93 <br>

\hline MAPT \& 10 \& Frontotemporal dementia \& E10 $+29 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jiang, Z et al. (2000) } \\
& \text { Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, } \\
& 4036-4048 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 91 \& 25.56 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
24
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& CTGGATCCTAGCAAC \& CTGGATCTTAGCAAC \& 93 <br>

\hline MAPT \& 10 \& Frontotemporal dementia \& E10+66C>T \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jiang, Z et al. (2000) } \\
& \text { Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, } \\
& 4036-4048 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 65 \& 18.26 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s} \\
25
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& AGGATAACATCAAAC \& AGGATAATATCAAAC \& 93 <br>

\hline MLH1 \& 17 \& | Hereditary nonpolyposis |
| :--- |
| colorectal cancer | \& E17+79C>T \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nystrom-Lahti, M., et } \\
& \text { al. (1999) } \\
& \text { Genes Chromos. Cancer } \\
& \text { 26, } 372-375 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 68 \& 19.10 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S} \\
26
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& CATICTTCGACTAGC \& CATTCTTTACTAGCC \& 93 <br>

\hline MLH1 \& 17 \& | Hereditary nonpolyposis |
| :--- |
| colorectal |
| cancer | \& E17+90G>C \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nystrom-Lahti, M., et } \\
& \text { al. (1999) } \\
& \text { Genes Chromos. Cancer } \\
& \text { 26, } 372-375 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 69 \& 19.38 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { s } \\
27
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& ATTCTTCGACTAGCC \& ATTCTTCCACTAGCC \& 93 <br>

\hline PMM2 \& 5 \& Carbohydratedeficient glycoprotein syndrome \& E5 +68G>A \& ```
Vuillaumier-Barrot, S.
et al.(1999)
Hum. Mutat. 14, 543-
544.
Lorson, C.L. \&
Androphy, E.J. (2000)

``` & 332 & 86.46 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s} \\
28
\end{gathered}
\] & CAGCCAAGAAGAACG & CAGCCAAAAAGAACG & 100 \\
\hline SMN1 & 7 & Spinal muscular atrophy & E7 \(725 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hum. Mo1. Genet. 9, } \\
& 259-265 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 184 & 92.00 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{S} \\
29
\end{gathered}
\] & AAAAGAAGGAAGGTG & AAAAGAATGAAGGTG & 54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
APC & 14 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Familial \\
adenomatous \\
polyposis
\end{tabular} \\
MCAD & 5 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Medium-chain \\
acyl-CoA \\
dehydrogenase \\
deficiency
\end{tabular} \\
PTEN & 6 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Bannayan-Riley \\
Ruvalcaba \\
syndrome
\end{tabular} \\
PDHA1 & 6 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Pyruvate \\
dehydrogenase \\
complex \\
deficiency
\end{tabular} \\
DMD & 38 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Muscular \\
dystrophy
\end{tabular} \\
BRCA2 & 18 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Breast cancer
\end{tabular} \\
SMD & 29 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Muscular \\
dystrophy
\end{tabular} \\
SEST1 & 6 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Spinal muscular \\
atrophy
\end{tabular} \\
Vitreoretino- \\
choroidopathy
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 14+175 \mathrm{C}> \\
& \mathrm{G}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline E5 \(+76 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) \\
\hline E6 +19C> \({ }^{\text {P }}\) \\
\hline E6 \(+82 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E38+108 } \\
& \text { del4 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E18+189 } \\
& \text { C>G }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline E29+19C>T \\
\hline E7 \(+6 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) \\
\hline E6+68T>C \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Goncalves, V., et al.
(2008) Res. 662, 33-36.
Mutat. Res.
Nielsen, K.B. et
al. (2007)
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Okajima, K. et al.
(2006)
Mol. Genet. Metab. 87,
162-168.
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Fackenthal, J.D. et
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Am. J. Hum. Genet. 71,
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BEST1 \(6 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Vitreoretino- } \\ & \text { choroidopathy }\end{aligned}\)
\[
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\end{array}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline HRPT2 & 2 & No exon skipping & E2 2 34C>G \\
\hline HRPT2 & 5 & No exon skipping & E5 \(+36 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) \\
\hline HRPT2 & 7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
No exon \\
skipping
\end{tabular} & E7+152C>T \\
\hline NF1 & 4a & No exon skipping & E4a+62T>G \\
\hline NF1 & 12a & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E12a+76 } \\
& G>A
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline NF1 & 13 & No exon skipping & E13+40C>T \\
\hline NF1 & 20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
No exon \\
skipping
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E20+105 } \\
& \text { C>G }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline NF1 & 21 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 21+114 \\
& \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline NF1 & 25 & No exon skipping & E25 \(+5 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}\) \\
\hline NF1 & 27 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 27 \mathrm{a}+23 \\
& \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline NF1 & 29 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 29+183 \\
& \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline NF1 & 37 & No exon skipping & E37+34T>A \\
\hline BRCA2 & 18 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E18+186 } \\
& \text { T>G }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BRCA2 & 23 & No exon skipping & E23+19G>A \\
\hline BRCA2 & 24 & No exon skipping & E24+55A>G \\
\hline CFTR & 9 & No exon skipping & E9+118G>T \\
\hline F8 & 9 & No exon skipping & E9+126G>A \\
\hline F8 & 12 & No exon skipping & E12+52C>G \\
\hline F8 & 16 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 16+184 \mathrm{G}> \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline F8 & 18 & No exon skipping & \[
{ }_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E} 18+139 \mathrm{G}>}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline F8 & 19 & No exon skipping & E19+13C>G & Theophilus, B. D. et al. (2001) Haemophilia. 7(4):381-91. & 289 & 63.94 & N
21 & TTTGAGACAGTGGAA & TTTGAGAGAGTGGAA & 117 \\
\hline F8 & 22 & No exon skipping & E22+4G>T & Theophilus, B. D. et al. (2001) Haemophilia. 7(4):381-91. & 104 & 17.11 & N
22 & gtagGTGGATCTGTT & gtagGTGTATCTGTT & 156 \\
\hline F8 & 23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
No exon \\
skipping
\end{tabular} & E23+77G>A & Theophilus, B. D. et al. (2001) Haemophilia. 7(4):381-91. & 183 & 32.45 & N
23 & TACATCCGTtTGCAC & TACATCCATTIGCAC & 145 \\
\hline F8 & 24 & No exon skipping & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} 24+109 \mathrm{G}> \\
& \mathrm{T}
\end{aligned}
\] & Theophilus, B. D. et al. (2001) Haemophilia. 7(4):381-91. & 444 & 76.55 & N
24 & AAAGCTCGACTTCAC & AAAGCTCTACTTCAC & 149 \\
\hline MSH2 & 2 & No exon skipping & E2+128G>A & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 583 & 96.52 & N
25 & CATCCAAGGAGAATG & CATCCAAAGAGAATG & 155 \\
\hline MSH2 & 3 & No exon skipping & E3+229T>C & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 727 & 66.09 & N
26 & AAAGGAATGTGTTTT & AAAGGAACGTGTTTT & 279 \\
\hline MSH2 & 6 & No exon skipping & E6 6 49A>G & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 231 & 44.42 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
27
\end{gathered}
\] & CTTGCTGAATAAGTG & CTTGCTGGATAAGTG & 134 \\
\hline MSH2 & 9 & No exon skipping & E9 9 102A>G & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
29(12):1412-24.
\end{tabular} & 145 & 30.21 & N
28 & CAACATTAATAAGTG & CAACATTGATAAGTG & 124 \\
\hline MSH2 & 10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
No exon \\
skipping
\end{tabular} & E10 \(+92 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}\) & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 541 & 92.01 & N
29 & TCCTTCGTAACAATA & TCCTTCGAAACAATA & 151 \\
\hline MSH2 & 11 & No exon skipping & E11+76A>G & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tournier, I. et al. } \\
& \text { (2008) Hum. Mutat. } \\
& 29(12): 1412-24 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 312 & 82.98 & N
30 & TTGItAAAGAAATTG & ttgitahg inattig & 98 \\
\hline MSH2 & 12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
No exon \\
skipping
\end{tabular} & E12+69C>A & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12): 1412-24. & 510 & 52.69 & \[
\begin{gathered}
N \\
31
\end{gathered}
\] & CTTTGCTCACGTGTC & CTTTGCTAACGTGTC & 246 \\
\hline MSH2 & 13 & No exon skipping & E13+82C>T & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
\(29(12): 1412-24\).
\end{tabular} & 359 & 44.65 & N
32 & TTTGTGCCATGTGAG & TTTGTGCTATGTGAG & 205 \\
\hline MSH2 & 14 & No exon skipping & E14+32G>T & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. \(29(12): 1412-24\). & 390 & 39.96 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
33
\end{gathered}
\] & AATCATAGATGAATT & AATCATATATGAATT & 248 \\
\hline MSH2 & 15 & No exon skipping & E15+59T>A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
\(29(12): 1412-24\).
\end{tabular} & 557 & 80.96 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
34
\end{gathered}
\] & Ctangcatgtantag & CTAAGCAAGTAATAG & 176 \\
\hline MLH1 & 2 & No exon skipping & E2 \(+83 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
29(12):1412-24.
\end{tabular} & 276 & 79.31 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
35
\end{gathered}
\] & TGGCACCGGGATCAG & TGGCACCAGGATCAG & 91 \\
\hline MLH1 & 3 & No exon skipping & E3+85G>A & Tournier, I. et al (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 146 & 38.42 & N
36 & TACCTATGGCTTTCG & tacctatagctttcg & 99 \\
\hline MLH1 & 4 & No exon skipping & E4+44C>T & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
29(12):1412-24.
\end{tabular} & 250 & 89.29 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
37
\end{gathered}
\] & ATtacancganaica & ATTACAATGAAAACA & 74 \\
\hline MLH1 & 8 & No exon skipping & E8+59T>G & Tournier, I. et al (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 180 & 52.94 & \[
\begin{gathered}
N \\
38
\end{gathered}
\] & GACAATATTCGCTCC & GACAATAGTCGCTCC & 89 \\
\hline MLH1 & 9 & No exon skipping & E9 \(+101 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
\(29(12): 1412-24\).
\end{tabular} & 12 & 2.75 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
39
\end{gathered}
\] & CTICTTACTCTTCAT & CTTCTTATTCTTCAT & 113 \\
\hline MLH1 & 11 & No exon skipping & E11+76G>C & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
29(12):1412-24.
\end{tabular} & 529 & 88.17 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
40
\end{gathered}
\] & ACGAGGAGAGCATCC & ACGAGGACAGCATCC & 154 \\
\hline MLH1 & 12 & No exon skipping & \[
\underset{\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{E} 12+345}
\] & Lastella, \(P\) et al. (2004) J Med Genet. 41(6):e72. & 979 & 66.69 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
41
\end{gathered}
\] & CAGAGAAGAGAGGAC & CAGAGAATAGAGGAC & 371 \\
\hline MLH1 & 13 & No exon skipping & E13+12G>A & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. \(29(12): 1412-24\). & 540 & 93.10 & N
42 & AGACATCGGGAAGAT & AGACATCAGGAAGAT & 149 \\
\hline MLH1 & 14 & No exon skipping & E14+58C>A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
\(29(12): 1412-24\).
\end{tabular} & 112 & 26.67 & N
43 & CAGTGGGCCTTGGCA & CAGTGGGACTIGGCA & 109 \\
\hline MLH1 & 16 & No exon skipping & E16+89T>A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
29 (12): 1412-24.
\end{tabular} & 553 & 85.87 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
44
\end{gathered}
\] & GAAGGACTTGCTGAA & GAAGGACATGCTGAA & 165 \\
\hline MLH1 & 17 & No exon skipping & E17+63G>T & Tournier, I. et al. (2008) Hum. Mutat. 29(12):1412-24. & 121 & 33.99 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N} \\
& 45
\end{aligned}
\] & AGGGACTGCCTATCT & AGGGACTTCCTATCT & 93 \\
\hline MLH1 & 18 & No exon skipping & E18+52G>A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tournier, I. et al. \\
(2008) Hum. Mutat. \\
\(29(12): 1412-24\).
\end{tabular} & 163 & 37.05 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N} \\
46
\end{gathered}
\] & AGAATGCGCTATGTT & AGAATGCACTATGTT & 114 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Legend: \({ }^{1}\) ID, identification number for cases of disease-causing exon skipping (S; test group) and for non-skipping ( N ) mutations (control group).

\section*{Supplementary Table 5 HOT－SKIP output for CFTR exon 12 mutations}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{y y}{\%} \\
& \text { M }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\mathscr{N}^{2}
\] &  &  & 四亳 & 四圌 &  & \[
\frac{\sqrt[n]{x}}{\stackrel{y}{i}}=
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { N } \\
\text { N } \\
\\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { N } \\
& \text { N } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { 首 } \\
& 0 \\
& \text { N } \\
& \text { N }
\end{aligned}
\] & 気 蒠 & 畏思 &  &  & 为 & 四 &  \\
\hline 80 & 12 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & －0．2635 & 0 & 4 & 6 & 69.6107 & 4 & 4.2636 & 0 & 14 & 0 \\
\hline 10 & 12 & T & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7.603 & 3 & －3．2152 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.3799 & 5 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline 95 & 12 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 57.6878 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 13 & 0 \\
\hline 60 & 13 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & －0．9999 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 20.0724 & 1 & 2.6736 & 1 & 5 & 0.2 \\
\hline 95 & 13 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 63.2879 & 4 & 4.9964 & 0 & 10 & 0 \\
\hline 10 & 14 & A & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & －4．9999 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 65.7805 & 0 & 0.632 & 8 & 5 & 1.6 \\
\hline 10 & 14 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & －0．3026 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 14.0768 & 2 & 3.4767 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline 5 & 14 & T & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & －4．0897 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 23.4016 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 1.33 \\
\hline 50 & 15 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 51.24 & 0 & －0．574 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.5646 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
\hline 35 & 15 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 24.33 & 0 & －0．2152 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5.4886 & 1 & 2.0346 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 95 & 16 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 126.3512 & 6 & 6 & 0 & 19 & 0 \\
\hline 95 & 16 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 19.7412 & 4 & 4.6168 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\
\hline 90 & 16 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 26.3952 & 5 & 5.4927 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\
\hline 5 & 17 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & －0．4099 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 17.4719 & 3 & 3.3977 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
\hline 45 & 17 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 6 & 58.4969 & 6 & 5.9973 & 0 & 16 & 0 \\
\hline 5 & 18 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 112.9 & 0 & －0．9958 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.2636 & 6 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 40 & 18 & G & 1 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 60.66 & 1 & －2．1332 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9.8008 & 1 & 1.3364 & 8 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline 40 & 19 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & －0．3026 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 19.6334 & 3 & 3.6372 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline 95 & 19 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.7983 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 95 & 19 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 17.90 & 0 & －0．2152 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2.4378 & 1 & 2 & 0.5 \\
\hline 90 & 22 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 50.70 & 1 & －1．165 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3.0764 & 5 & 2 & 2.5 \\
\hline 25 & 25 & A & 5 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 79.38 & 5 & －5．5458 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 14.3023 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline 95 & 28 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 10.69 & 2 & －3．1782 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 12.0784 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1.5 \\
\hline 100 & 34 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 57.20 & 1 & －1．7667 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 35.9767 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 10 & 0.4 \\
\hline 100 & 37 & T & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 23.09 & 1 & －2．185 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.6804 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline 90 & 40 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9.112 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 39.0741 & 0 & 2.2617 & 1 & 5 & 0.2 \\
\hline 5 & 40 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.571 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 100 & 40 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 14.84 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.9789 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 100 & 43 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 29.76 & 0 & －1．0397 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.4219 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 90 & 43 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 38.66 & 0 & －0．4563 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.9951 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 90 & 43 & G & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 27.83 & 2 & －3．0345 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.05 & 7 & 0 & 7 \\
\hline 0 & 47 & A & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 52.85 & 3 & －4．034 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6.9016 & 1 & 0.8854 & 9 & 2 & 4.5 \\
\hline 5 & 48 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 65.76 & 0 & －0．9088 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 23.3866 & 0 & 1.9339 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline 5 & 48 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 45.12 & 2 & －2．6413 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline 10 & 49 & T & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 69.62 & 3 & －3．6678 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 36.6295 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline 10 & 49 & G & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 58.31 & 3 & －3．4007 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 13.1954 & 0 & 0.2474 & 8 & 1 & 8 \\
\hline 75 & 50 & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 13.77 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 6 & 101.6249 & 5 & 5.664 & 1 & 16 & 0.06 \\
\hline 10 & 50 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 16.14 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 109.1461 & 6 & 5.9468 & 1 & 13 & 0.08 \\
\hline 95 & 51 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 15.39 & 1 & \(-1.3821\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6.6422 & 1 & 2.027 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 95 & 51 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 12.01 & 0 & －0．3412 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 32.4598 & 1 & 1.7985 & 1 & 4 & 0.25 \\
\hline 15 & 52 & T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & －2．1118 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5.5892 & 1 & 1.4898 & 2 & 3 & 0.67 \\
\hline 5 & 52 & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & －0．6537 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 14.8965 & 0 & 0.5058 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Legend：\({ }^{1}\) Exon inclusion data were published previously［Pagani et al．，2005；Pagani et al．， 2003］．\({ }^{2}\) Auxiliary elements abbreviated as PESEs and PESSs［Zhang and Chasin，2004］， FAS－ESSs［Wang et al．，2004］，IIEs［Zhang et al．，2008］and（trusted）NI－ESSs［Stadler et al．， 2006］were as defined previously．

Supplementary Table 6 BRCA1 exon 6 inclusion levels correlate with SF2/ASF ESE scores
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Mutation & SF2/ASF & SF2/ASF (IgM-BRCA1) & SC35 & SRp40 & SRp55 & \% EI \\
\hline 224G & -58.3 & -45.3 & -40.4 & -46.6 & -41.8 & 72.5 \\
\hline 224 T & -63.9 & -49.5 & -38.8 & -40.4 & -41.3 & 54.0 \\
\hline 224 C & -55.3 & -41.5 & -38.6 & -40.9 & -43.2 & 85.2 \\
\hline 225G & -55.7 & -43.5 & -40.1 & -46.0 & -43.2 & 78.3 \\
\hline 225 T & -63.4 & -49.2 & -39.3 & -41.8 & -43.5 & 63.6 \\
\hline 225C & -55.3 & -41.3 & -37.3 & -40.6 & -42.8 & 72.5 \\
\hline 226G & -56.5 & -43.9 & -41.4 & -43.6 & -43.2 & 75.2 \\
\hline 226 T & -63.8 & -49.4 & -38.2 & -41.8 & -43.5 & 76.5 \\
\hline 226C & -57.5 & -42.8 & -37.0 & -39.1 & -42.8 & 71.4 \\
\hline 227A & -63.3 & -50.4 & -40.7 & -38.9 & -42.7 & 66.8 \\
\hline 227T & -67.2 & -52.7 & -39.9 & -39.4 & -43.2 & 73.8 \\
\hline 227C & -60.9 & -46.0 & -38.7 & -36.7 & -42.6 & 79.1 \\
\hline 228G & -52.6 & -41.7 & -41.7 & -43.1 & -42.7 & 85.4 \\
\hline 228A & -56.0 & -44.9 & -41.6 & -40.7 & -42.5 & 82.8 \\
\hline 228 C & -53.6 & -40.5 & -39.6 & -38.5 & -42.3 & 81.9 \\
\hline 229G & -56.5 & -43.9 & -40.9 & -43.6 & -43.2 & 85.9 \\
\hline 229 T & -63.8 & -49.4 & -40.0 & -41.8 & -43.5 & 81.2 \\
\hline 229 C & -57.5 & -42.8 & -38.8 & -39.1 & -42.8 & 79.3 \\
\hline 230G & -58.9 & -48.4 & -43.0 & -45.8 & -43.4 & 55.3 \\
\hline 230A & -62.3 & -51.6 & -42.9 & -43.5 & -43.1 & 57.8 \\
\hline 230 T & -66.2 & -53.9 & -42.1 & -44.0 & -43.6 & 65.5 \\
\hline 231A & -63.3 & -50.4 & -40.7 & -38.9 & -42.7 & 71.0 \\
\hline 231 T & -67.2 & -52.7 & -39.9 & -39.4 & -43.2 & 38.8 \\
\hline 231C & -60.9 & -46.0 & -38.7 & -36.7 & -42.6 & 66.4 \\
\hline 232G & -56.5 & -43.9 & -40.9 & -43.6 & -43.2 & 76.9 \\
\hline 232 T & -63.8 & -49.4 & -40.0 & -41.8 & -43.5 & 74.6 \\
\hline 232C & -57.5 & -42.8 & -38.8 & -39.1 & -42.8 & 85.0 \\
\hline 233A & -63.3 & -50.4 & -40.7 & -38.9 & -42.7 & 66.8 \\
\hline 233 T & -67.2 & -52.7 & -39.9 & -39.4 & -43.2 & 64.5 \\
\hline 233 C & -60.9 & -46.0 & -38.7 & -36.7 & -42.6 & 77.5 \\
\hline 234G & -56.5 & -43.9 & -40.9 & -43.6 & -43.2 & 64.7 \\
\hline 234 T & -63.8 & -49.4 & -40.0 & -41.8 & -43.5 & 78.1 \\
\hline 234C & -57.5 & -42.8 & -38.8 & -39.1 & -42.8 & 70.4 \\
\hline 235G & -52.6 & -41.7 & -41.7 & -43.1 & -42.7 & 66.1 \\
\hline 235A & -56.0 & -44.9 & -41.6 & -40.7 & -42.5 & 79.5 \\
\hline 235C & -53.6 & -40.5 & -39.6 & -38.5 & -42.3 & 81.5 \\
\hline WT & -59.9 & -47.2 & -40.8 & -41.3 & -43.0 & 69.8 \\
\hline r & 0.52 & 0.57 & 0.18 & 0.06 & 0.04 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Legend: Predicted SR ESEs were calculated using the ESEfinder (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgibin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home) as a sum of all scores at each position of the wild-type (WT) sequence and 36 mutated constructs. We used overlapping 24-mers as an input. r, correlation coefficient (values in bold are significant at the 0.01 level). EI\%, per cent of exon inclusion.

\title{
Supplementary Table 7 ESEFinder predictions for each BIC substitution
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exon & Mutation & ESE & Exonic position & Motif & Wildtype score & Mutation score & Predicted Effect \\
\hline 5 & c. \(135 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & c.139T>G & SRp55 & 5 & TGCATG & 3.763907 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(140 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\)} & SC35 & 4 & TTTCATG & - & 2.942525 & + \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 5 & TGCATG & 3.763907 & - & - \\
\hline 5 & c. \(154 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp40 & 19 & TTTCAAC & 3.866663 & 3.554607 & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{c.181T>G} & SRp40 & 41 & TCACAGG & 3.942668 & 6.324404 & \(\uparrow\) \\
\hline & & SF2/ASF & 42 & CACAGGG & 3.138162 & 5.258191 & \(\uparrow\) \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 45 & AGTGTC & 2.697407 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(182 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\)} & SF2/ASF & 42 & CACAGTA & 3.138162 & 3.864944 & \(\uparrow\) \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 45 & AGTGTC & 2.697407 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(189 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}\)} & SC35 & 48 & GTCCTTTA & 2.865828 & - & - \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 54 & TATGTA & 3.610283 & - & - \\
\hline 5 & c.190T>G & SRp55 & 54 & TATGTA & 3.610283 & - & - \\
\hline 5 & c.191G>A & SRp55 & 54 & TATATA & 3.610283 & 3.001623 & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline 5 & c. \(199 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp55 & 65 & TATATA & - & 3.610283 & + \\
\hline 5 & c. \(201 \mathrm{~T}>\) G & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & c. \(203 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & c. \(211 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}\) & SRp40 & 72 & CCAAAGG & 3.830855 & 4.398684 & \(\uparrow\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{c. \(216 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}\)} & SF2/ASF & 1 & GAGACTA & - & 2.645522 & + \\
\hline & & SRp40 & 2 & AGACTAC & - & 2.797046 & + \\
\hline & & SC35 & 3 & GACTACAA & - & 3.914543 & + \\
\hline 6 & c. \(230 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & c. \(231 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp40 & 15 & GTACTAG & - & 2.694455 & + \\
\hline 6 & c. \(259 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}\) & SC35 & 42 & AGCTAGTG & - & 3.081021 & + \\
\hline 6 & c. \(266 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & c. \(269 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}\) & SRp40 & 54 & TCACTTG & - & 4.056130 & + \\
\hline 6 & c. \(286 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) & SRPp40 & 73 & TGACACA & 2.815500 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(292 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}\)} & SF2/AS & 74 & GACACAA & - & 2.434044 & + \\
\hline & & SRp40 & 75 & ACACAAG & 5.274785 & 4.706956 & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline 10 & c. \(612 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & c. \(637 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}\) & Nil & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & c. \(641 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}\) & SF2/ASF & 43 & CAGGGGT & & 2.476925 & + \\
\hline 10 & c. \(661 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp40 & 63 & ATTCTGC & 2.827091 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(5085 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{A}\)} & SC35 & 7 & AGTTAGTG & & 2.512089 & + \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 11 & TGTGTG & 2.820871 & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(5086 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}\)} & SC35 & 7 & AGTTTCTG & - & 3.140572 & + \\
\hline & & SRp55 & 11 & TGTGTG & 2.820871 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5089 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}\) & SRp55 & 11 & TGTGTG & 2.820871 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5095 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp40 & 17 & TGAATGG & 3.688106 & + & + \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5096 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) & SC35 & 22 & AGACACT & 4.968561 & 3.216489 & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{c. \(5096 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}\)} & SC35 & 22 & TGACATG & 4.968561 & 2.9188919 & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline & & SRp40 & 22 & TGACACT & - & 2.815500 & + \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5113 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}\) & SRp40 & 36 & TTTCTAG & 4.151518 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5117 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}\) & SC35 & 43 & GAATTGCG & 2.530293 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5117 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}\) & SC35 & 43 & GAATTGCG & 2.530293 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5123 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}\) & SRp40 & 47 & TGCGGG & 3.558695 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5138 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{C}\) & SRp55 & 60 & TGGGTA & 2.708481 & - & - \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5143 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{C}\) & SC35 & 66 & GTTCGCTA & - & 3.887473 & + \\
\hline 18 & c. \(5143 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{T}\) & SC35 & 66 & GTTTGCTA & - & 3.656632 & + \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Legend: Predicted effect of missense mutations within BRCA1 exons 5, 6, 10 and 18 SR ESEs according to the ESEfinder algorithm ( - , loss of ESE, + , gain of ESE, \(\downarrow\), reduced score above threshold, \(\uparrow\), increased score above threshold).

\section*{Supplementary Table 8 Correlation of BRCA1 exon 6 inclusion levels with auxiliary splicing elements}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Mutation & Input 15-mer & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PESS } \\
& \text { (ent) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FAS- } \\
& \text { ESS } \\
& \text { (hex2) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FAS- } \\
& \text { ESS } \\
& \text { (hex3) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & IIE(cnt) & IIE(sum) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NI-ESS- } \\
& \text { trusted(cnt) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NI-ESS- } \\
& \text { all(sum) }
\end{aligned}
\] & PESE(cnt) & RESCUE- & EIE(cnt) & EIE(sum) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ni-ESE- } \\
& \text { trusted(ent) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NI-ESE- } \\
& \text { all(sum) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { total }}{\text { ESS }}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { total }}{\text { EStal }}
\] \\
\hline 224 G & ctacaaggatgiacg & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 113.28 & 5 & 5.58 & 0 & 16 \\
\hline 224 T & Ctacaagiahgtacg & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.26 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 54.55 & 3 & 4.02 & 0 & 8 \\
\hline 224 C & Ctacaagcaagtacg & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 & 102.64 & 5 & 5.63 & 0 & 13 \\
\hline 225 G & TACAAGAgAGTACGA & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 92.41 & 6 & 6.00 & 0 & 14 \\
\hline 225 T & tacaagatagtacga & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.6 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 71.50 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 11 \\
\hline 225 C & TACAAGAcAGTACGA & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 94.11 & 5 & 5.77 & 0 & 14 \\
\hline \({ }^{226 \mathrm{G}}\) & acaagaggtacgag & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \({ }^{-1}\) & 1 & 4 & 4 & 109.68 & 4 & 4.64 & 1 & 13 \\
\hline 226 T & acaagaaigtacgag & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 13.40 & 1 & -1.46 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 96.30 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 13 \\
\hline 226 C & ACAAGAAcGTACGAG & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 91.61 & 5 & 4.89 & 0 & 12 \\
\hline 227 A & Cahganaitacgaga & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \(-1\) & 0 & 4 & \({ }^{6}\) & 159.06 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 14 \\
\hline 227 T & Cahganatacgaga & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \(-0.89\) & 0 & 2 & 6 & 142.98 & 3 & 3.67 & 1 & 11 \\
\hline 227 C & Cahganactacgaga & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 149.85 & 5 & 5.55 & 0 & \({ }^{21}\) \\
\hline 228 G & afganaggacgagat & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \({ }_{0}\) & 4 & 6 & 118.79 & 5 & 5.78 & 0 & 15 \\
\hline 228 A & afganagaicgagat & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \({ }_{0}\) & 0 & 3 & 5 & \({ }_{6}\) & 166.33 & \({ }^{6}\) & 6 & 0 & \({ }^{20}\) \\
\hline 228 C & afganagcacgagat & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 98.59 & 5 & 5.77 & 0 & 15 \\
\hline \({ }^{229 \mathrm{G}}\) & aganagtgcgagatt & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 51.28 & 3 & 3.87 & 0 & 8 \\
\hline \({ }^{229 \mathrm{~T}}\) & aganagticgagatt & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \(-1.21\) & \({ }_{0}\) & 1 & 2 & 35.44 & 2 & 2.53 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline \({ }^{229 \mathrm{C}}\) & aganagtccgagatt & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \({ }^{1.15}\) & \({ }_{0}\) & 1 & 2 & 35.44 & 2 & 2.50 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 230 G & ganagtaggagattt & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 11.70 & 4 & 4.37 & 2 & 7 \\
\hline 230 A & ganagtaagagattt & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(-0.26\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 11.70 & 1 & 2.28 & 0 & \({ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 230 T & gaahgtaigagattt & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1.64 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 53.90 & 1 & 1.77 & 1 & 6 \\
\hline 231A & anagtacaagattia & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 73.62 & 3 & 4.30 & 0 & 11 \\
\hline 231 T & afagtaciagatta & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1.46 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 23.71 & 1 & 2.41 & 2 & 4 \\
\hline 231 C & afagtaccagattia & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 52.27 & \({ }^{2}\) & 3.68 & 0 & 6 \\
\hline 232 G & afgtacgggatttag & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.22 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 33.83 & 1 & 1.95 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline \({ }^{232 \mathrm{~T}}\) & afgtacgigatttag & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \({ }^{-0.42}\) & 0 & 0 & 4 & 38.65 & \({ }^{2}\) & 2.59 & 0 & 6 \\
\hline 232 C & afgtacgigatttag & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 22.17 & 1 & 1.46 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline 233A & agtacgaaatttagt & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 50.18 & 2 & 3.41 & 0 & 9 \\
\hline \({ }^{233 \mathrm{~T}}\) & agtacgatatttagt & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 10.81 & 0 & \({ }^{-0.22}\) & \({ }_{0}\) & 0 & 2 & 15.89 & 0 & 1.62 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 233 C & agtacgacatttagt & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 49.15 & 3 & 4.24 & 0 & 9 \\
\hline 234 G & gtacgaggttagtc & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 9.88 & 1 & \({ }^{-1}\) & 0 & 0 & 3 & 34.55 & 1 & 2.83 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 234 T & gtacgagitttagtc & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 33.31 & 1 & \(-1.64\) & 0 & 0 & 3 & 34.70 & 0 & 1.55 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline 234 C & gtacgagitttagtc & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 10.89 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 39.13 & 3 & 3.93 & 1 & 7 \\
\hline 235 G & tacgagagttagtca & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.41 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 43.16 & 3 & 3.19 & 0 & 6 \\
\hline 235A & tacgagaattagtca & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 79.24 & 3 & 4.18 & 0 & 9 \\
\hline \({ }^{235 \mathrm{C}}\) & tacgagacttagtca & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 58.59 & 3 & 3.89 & 0 & 8 \\
\hline wT & anagtacgagattia & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 58.45 & \({ }^{2}\) & 3.34 & 0 & 7 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Correlation \\
(r)
\end{tabular} & exon 6 skipping/inclusion & \({ }^{0.133}\) & 0.274 & 0.161 & 0.016 & \({ }^{-0.023}\) & 0.249 & -0.344 & 0.106 & 0.281 & 0.433 & 0.370 & \({ }^{0.321}\) & 0.291 & 0.239 & 0.372 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Legend: References to auxiliary splicing elements are in the legend to Supplementary Table S5. Cnt, count. Splicing silencers are highlighted in grey.

Supplementary Table 9 Top ten positions in BRCA1 exons that have the highest ESS／ESE ratios
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exon & Pastion & \({ }_{\text {Bsec }}\) & PEsS（cme） & FAs．ESShaxer \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & FASSESSthex \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \＃E（can） & HEs（um） & Ni－Ess．rusadedm） & NLESS．allum） & PESE（ant） &  & EE（m） & EEEs（sm） & NTEEEE－masededan） & NRESE．all（um） & Esstoul） & EsE（toal） & Es（tobal）ESE（Colal） \\
\hline ， & 41 & － & 。 & 5 & 3 & 。 & \({ }^{104.1312}\) & 。 & －5．9074 & － & － & － & 0．000 & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{20}\) & － & 20.00 \\
\hline ， & \({ }^{40}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 4 & 3 & － & \({ }^{1081.134}\) & s & ． 5.2873 & － & － & － & 0.000 & 0 & 0.0000 & \({ }_{18}\) & － & 18.00 \\
\hline ， & 4 & T & － & 3 & 3 & － & \({ }^{1386529}\) & － & ．5972 & － & － & － & 0000 & － & 0.0000 & \({ }_{18}\) & － & 18.80 \\
\hline ， & \({ }_{4}\) & т & － & 4 & 2 & － & 96.688 & － & ．59074 & － & － & － & 0.000 & 0 & 0.0000 & \({ }_{18}\) & － & 18.80 \\
\hline 1 & \({ }^{37}\) & a & － & 3 & 3 & － & 1007785 & 3 & －3．5964 & － & － & － & 00000 & 0 & \({ }^{0.8139}\) & \({ }^{15}\) & － & 15，00 \\
\hline ， & \({ }^{43}\) & т & 。 & 3 & 2 & 5 & \({ }_{771529}\) & 5 & 5．2201 & 0 & － & － & 0，000 & 0 & 00000 & \({ }_{15}\) & － & 15，00 \\
\hline ， & \({ }_{4}\) & a & 。 & 3 & \({ }^{3}\) & s & \({ }_{78.5736}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & 4.8877 & 1 & － & － & 0000 & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }_{14}\) & 1 & 14.40 \\
\hline ， & \({ }_{36}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & ， & 2 & 2 & 5 & \({ }^{101.5939}\) & 3 & \({ }^{-3.6659}\) & － & － & － & 0.000 & － & \({ }_{0}^{0.000}\) & \({ }^{13}\) & － & 13.30 \\
\hline ＇ & \({ }^{34}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 4 & 1 & 4 & \({ }^{70.5812}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & －3．3027 & － & － & 1 & \({ }_{6}^{6767}\) & － & \({ }_{0} 0.496\) & 12 & 1 & 12.00 \\
\hline ， & \({ }^{45}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 2 & 2 & 5 & \({ }^{953331}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & －3．469 & － & － & 1 & \({ }_{60839}\) & 0 & \({ }^{0.293}\) & 12 & 1 & 12.00 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{24}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & 3 & 2 & 5 & \({ }^{8.4 .591}\) & － & ．5．992 & － & － & 1 & \({ }^{61003}\) & 0 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{19}\) & 1 & 19.00 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{87}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 0 & 5 & 4 & 4 & \({ }_{508820}\) & 5 & ．5．000 & － & － & － & 0.000 & － & 0.0000 & 18 & － & 18.80 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{24}\) & T & \({ }^{3}\) & 1 & & 5 & \({ }^{121.7984}\) & － & －5．9999 & － & － & － & 0.0000 & － & 0.0000 & 16 & － & 16.00 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & － & 0 & － & 4 & \({ }_{6} 62914\) & － & 60000 & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0000\) & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{16}\) & － & 1600 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{23}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & 0 & － & 4 & \({ }_{713012}\) & － & －6000 & － & － & － & 00000 & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{14}\) & － & 14.40 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{6}\) & T & 4 & 0 & － & 4 & 58．919 & － & 60000 & － & － & 1 & 8.1962 & － & \({ }^{0.0000}\) & 14 & 1 & 14.00 \\
\hline 2 & 87 & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & 2 & 5 & \({ }^{992044}\) & 4 & 4.889 & － & － & 1 & \({ }^{10.1294}\) & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{14}\) & 1 & 14.00 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{3}\) & т & － & 2 & 1 & 4 & \({ }^{1062723}\) & 5 & －5．7688 & － & － & 1 & \({ }_{6042}\) & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & 12 & 1 & 1200 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{30}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & 2 & 0 & － & － & \({ }_{138.834}\) & 4 & 4.429 & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0000\) & 0 & 0.0000 & 12 & － & 1200 \\
\hline 2 & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & 2 & 0 & － & 5 & \({ }^{90.3886}\) & 5 & －5．6131 & － & － & － & 0.000 & － & 0.0000 & 12 & － & 1200 \\
\hline 3 & \({ }^{\prime \prime}\) & т & － & 3 & \({ }^{3}\) & 4 & \({ }_{6}^{68302}\) & 4 & ＋4364 & 。 & 。 & 1 & \({ }_{8,607}\) & 0 & \({ }_{0}^{0.351}\) & \({ }^{14}\) & 1 & 14.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{47}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & 1 & \({ }^{3}\) & 2 & 4 & \({ }_{6} 6,432\) & 4 & ＋3920 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & － & 00000 & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{14}\) & － & 14.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & － & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 0 & \({ }^{3}\) & 1 & － & \({ }_{967877}\) & 3 & －39788 & 0 & － & － & 0 00000 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & \({ }^{13}\) & － & 13.30 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & 5 & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & － & 2 & 1 & － & \({ }_{8} 85776\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{-3.5658}\) & － & － & － & 00000 & 1 & 1.1000 & 12 & 1 & 1200 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{47}\) & T & 0 & － & － & 4 & \({ }^{876095}\) & － & －5．7488 & 0 & － & － & 00000 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & \({ }^{10}\) & － & 10.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }_{48}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & － & － & － & 5 & \({ }^{98.3617}\) & 5 & －5．401 & － & 0 & － & 00000 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & \({ }^{10}\) & － & 10.00 \\
\hline 3 & \({ }_{4}\) & A & 0 & 0 & － & 3 & \({ }^{684} 469\) & － & ．5．8634 & － & ， & 。 & nooxe & 0 & 0000 & ， & － & 9．00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & 46 & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & 1 & 0 & － & 4 & 1277230 & 4 & \({ }^{42153}\) & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & － & \({ }^{0.1120}\) & ， & － & 9，00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }_{48}\) & A & 3 & 0 & － & 3 & 44370 & 3 & 4.1261 & － & － & & 52486 & － & \({ }^{0.1120}\) & ， & 1 & 9．00 \\
\hline \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{49}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & － & － & － & 5 & \({ }^{98.3617}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & 4.1547 & － & 。 & － & 0000 & － & \({ }_{0}^{02913}\) & ， & 。 & 9．00 \\
\hline 4 & \({ }_{56}\) & － & 0 & s & 5 & 2 & \({ }_{19} 9673\) & s & \({ }^{5.3776}\) & 。 & － & － & 0000 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & \({ }^{17}\) & － & 17.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{50}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & 2 & 1 & 1 & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{116.9913}\) & 5 & －5．6670 & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0000\) & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{15}\) & － & 15．00 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & 5 & T & 2 & 0 & － & － & \({ }^{14878466}\) & 5 & －5275 & 0 & － & － & 0000 & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{13}\) & － & 13.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & － & т & 2 & 0 & － & 5 & \({ }_{879461}\) & 5 & －5．459 & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0 \times 00\) & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & 12 & － & 1200 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }_{48}\) & T & 1 & \({ }^{3}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{43,7527}\) & 5 & －49988 & － & － & － & 00000 & － & 0.0000 & 12 & － & 1200 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & 59 & T & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4 & \({ }_{573263}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & －3．449 & － & － & 1 & \({ }_{7} 7277\) & － & 0.7985 & 12 & 1 & 1200 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }_{5}\) & т & － & 0 & － & － & \({ }^{1832497}\) & 5 & －5．0016 & － & － & － & 00000 & － & 0.0000 & ＂ & － & 11.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{66}\) & － & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \({ }^{1.677}\) & － & －5．7951 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \({ }^{10.7713}\) & － & 0．0000 & ＂ & 1 & \({ }^{11.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & 57 & т & 2 & 0 & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{\text {soctso }}\) & 5 & 4.8483 & － & － & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & － & \({ }^{02153}\) & \({ }^{10}\) & － & 10.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }_{6}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & 2 & 0 & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{486613}\) & 5 & －5．401 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 1 & 55915 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.000\) & \({ }^{10}\) & 1 & \({ }^{10.00}\) \\
\hline 5 & \({ }^{6}\) & т & \({ }^{3}\) & 1 & － & － & \({ }^{220.592} 2\) & 。 & －6000 & 0 & － & － & \({ }^{0.000}\) & － & 0．0000 & \({ }^{16}\) & － & 16.00 \\
\hline 5 & \(\infty\) & т & 4 & 0 & － & － & \({ }^{14.4614}\) & 5 & －5．525 & － & － & － & 0 0000 & 0 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{15}\) & － & 15，00 \\
\hline 5 & \({ }^{6}\) & T & 0 & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{2}\) & － & \({ }^{1627235}\) & 5 & －5．7816 & 0 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{\circ}\) & \({ }^{0.0000}\) & \({ }^{15}\) & － & 15.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

John Wiley \＆Sons，Inc．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }_{10}\) & \({ }^{299}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & ， & 。 & 。 & 5 & \({ }^{7} 4.3033\) & 。 & －6000 & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0 & 。 & 0.000 & \({ }^{24}\) & 。 & \({ }^{24.40}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & 2580 & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 2 & \({ }^{\circ}\) & s & 4 & \({ }^{502710}\) & － & －6000 & 。 & 。 & 。 & nosa & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{23}\) & － & 23，00 \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & 2592 & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & ， & － & s & s & 977238 & － & －6000 & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0000 & 。 & 0.0000 & 23 & － & 23．00 \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & \({ }^{2184}\) & － & － & － & － & 4 & \({ }^{74.9888}\) & － & －6000 & － & － & 。 & noxa & － & 0.000 & \({ }^{2}\) & － & 22.0 \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & \({ }^{293}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 。 & 5 & s & 。 & \({ }_{96293}\) & 。 & ． 5.8884 & 。 & 。 & 。 & noso & － & 0.000 & \({ }^{2}\) & － & 22.00 \\
\hline \({ }_{10}\) & \({ }^{124}\) & т & 2 & 5 & 2 & 。 & 209278 & － & －6000 & 。 & 。 & 。 & noma & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{21}\) & － & 21.00 \\
\hline \({ }_{10}\) & \({ }_{2585}\) & т & 。 & 。 & 5 & 4 & \({ }_{54} 4338\) & － & －6000 & 。 & 。 & 。 & nowo & 。 & 0.000 & \({ }^{21}\) & － & 21.10 \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & 2589 & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 2 & － & 4 & 3 & \({ }^{323861}\) & － & －6000 & 。 & － & 。 & nose & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{21}\) & － & \({ }^{21.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & 2593 & T & 。 & 5 & 4 & － & \({ }^{1169981}\) & － & ．58854 & － & 。 & 。 & 0 nowo & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{21}\) & － & \({ }^{21.100}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{10}\) & 2786 & т & 。 & 5 & s & － & \({ }_{1226675}\) & 5 & 5．7818 & 。 & 。 & 。 & nose & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{21}\) & － & \({ }^{21.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }_{18}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & 5 & \({ }_{83} 8373\) & 3 & 4.084 & 。 & \(\bigcirc\) & 。 & 0000 & 0 & \({ }_{0} 0251\) & \({ }^{14}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \({ }_{14,00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }^{17}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & 。 & 3 & 1 & － & 110078 & 3 & \({ }^{-3.7068}\) & － & 。 & 1 & 9.129 & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{13}\) & 1 & 13．00 \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }^{16}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & 。 & \({ }^{3}\) & 1 & ， & 95．8874 & 3 & \({ }_{3} .35817\) & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0000 & ， & 1．000 & 12 & ， & 12.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }^{21}\) & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & 。 & 2 & 1 & 5 & \({ }_{6}^{63,477}\) & 3 & \({ }_{3}^{-3.880}\) & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0000 & 。 & 0.0000 & ＂ & － & \({ }^{1.1 .0}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & 52 & T & 。 & \({ }^{3}\) & 2 & 2 & \({ }^{17.8374}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }_{4}^{4.458}\) & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0000 & 。 & \({ }^{0249}\) & \({ }^{10}\) & － & \({ }_{10.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & 5 & т & 。 & \({ }^{3}\) & 2 & & \({ }_{8834}\) & 3 & \({ }^{-3.654}\) & 。 & － & 。 & nowo & － & 0．000 & ， & － & 9.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }_{4}\) & T & ， & － & － & \({ }^{\circ}\) & \({ }^{85.176}\) & 2 & \({ }^{26637}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 。 & 0 00000 & － & \({ }^{03364}\) & ， & － & \({ }^{200}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }^{13}\) & т & 。 & － & ＇ & 4 & 70.589 & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{26241}\) & － & － & 。 & 0000 & 1 & 1．000 & 8 & 1 & \({ }_{800}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & \({ }^{17}\) & т & － & － & － & － & \({ }^{1005347}\) & 2 & \({ }^{278881}\) & 0 & 。 & － & 0 aoxe & － & \({ }^{0249}\) & \({ }^{8}\) & － & \({ }^{800}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{11}\) & 5 & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 2 & \({ }^{2}\) & 1 & \({ }_{7} 7965\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{-3.988}\) & － & － & 。 & \({ }^{0} 0000\) & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{8}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \({ }_{800}\) \\
\hline 12 & 4 & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & 3 & \({ }^{31.998}\) & 3 & \({ }^{-3.334}\) & 。 & 。 & 。 & 0000 & 1 & \({ }^{1.1651}\) & 12 & 1 & 1200 \\
\hline 12 & 8 & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & \(=\) & \({ }^{2}\) & 5 & \({ }_{5} 52318\) & & \({ }_{208156}\) & 0 & － & 。 & \({ }_{0}\) o．ox0 & － & \({ }_{0} 0.504\) & 12 & － & 12.00 \\
\hline 12 & \(\infty\) & т & － & 1 & － & － & \({ }_{1450319}\) & 4 & 4.5502 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 。 & noxa & ， & 1．000 & ＂ & 1 & \({ }^{1.1 .00}\) \\
\hline 12 & \({ }^{6}\) & т & － & 2 & ＇ & 5 & \({ }_{124150}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{-3.549}\) & 。 & 。 & ， & \({ }^{7} \times 277\) & 。 & \({ }^{03364}\) & ＂ & 1 & \(1 . .5\) \\
\hline 12 & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & － & 2 & \({ }^{1}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{1149747}\) & 3 & \({ }^{29880}\) & 1 & － & 。 & 0．0x0 & － & 0.0659 & \({ }^{10}\) & 1 & \({ }^{10.00}\) \\
\hline 12 & \({ }^{125}\) & т & － & － & － & 5 & \({ }^{104.1831}\) & 4 & \({ }^{-3.8551}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & － & － & \({ }_{0}\) o．ax0 & － & \({ }^{02913}\) & ， & － & \(9 \times 0\) \\
\hline 12 & 8 & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 2 & 2 & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{202038}\) & 2 & \({ }^{-3.1024}\) & － & 0 & 。 & 00000 & － & \({ }^{0.1989}\) & 8 & － & \({ }_{800}\) \\
\hline 12 & 4 & т & － & 2 & ＇ & ＇ & 9.1281 & 4 & 4.3290 & 0 & － & － & 0 00000 & － & \({ }_{0}^{03027}\) & 8 & － & \({ }_{800}\) \\
\hline 12 & 82 & т & － & 1 & 1 & 4 & \({ }_{549358}\) & \(\stackrel{2}{ }\) & \({ }^{26,351}\) & 。 & ， & － & nooxo & － & 0.1489 & 8 & － & 880 \\
\hline 12 & 9 & \({ }^{\text {T }}\) & － & 0 & － & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{662369}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{4.601}\) & － & － & \(\bigcirc\) & nomo & － & 0.1974 & \({ }^{8}\) & － & \({ }^{800}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }^{76}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & 。 & \({ }^{4}\) & － & \({ }^{12588801}\) & － & －6000 & 。 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 0 ooxe & － & 0.0000 & \({ }^{22}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & \({ }^{2200}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }_{75}\) & т & － & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{29330}\) & － & ．58882 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & － & oome & － & 0.000 & \({ }^{16}\) & \(\bigcirc\) & 16.60 \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }^{28}\) & т & － & 2 & 2 & \(\stackrel{ }{4}\) & \({ }^{61.7993}\) & 4 & 4971 & － & － & 1 & 92039 & － & 0.0000 & 12 & 1 & 12.20 \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }_{88}\) & \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{350210}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{-3.120}\) & － & － & 1 & \({ }^{63108}\) & 0 & 09867 & 12 & 1 & 12.20 \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & 8 & т & 2 & 。 & 。 & 4 & 53．2288 & s & 4．980 & 。 & 。 & 1 & 93056 & － & \({ }_{02153}\) & ＂ & 1 & \({ }^{11.50}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }^{6}\) & т & － & － & － & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }_{160438}\) & ＋ & \({ }_{4}^{4.087}\) & － & － & － & noma & － & \({ }_{0} 0376\) & ＂ & － & \({ }^{11.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & so & т & － & 2 & ＇ & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{620599}\) & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{-30068}\) & － & － & － & nomo & 1 & \({ }^{1.421}\) & ＂ & 1 & \({ }^{11.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }_{88}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{23.8012}\) & \({ }^{3}\) & \({ }^{-3 / 435}\) & 0 & － & － & noma & 0 & \({ }^{1.0695}\) & ＂ & － & \({ }^{11.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & 5 & T & － & 1 & － & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{7}\) \％oss2 & 5 & \({ }^{-9.467}\) & － & － & 。 & nome & － & \({ }_{0} 0307\) & \({ }^{10}\) & － & \({ }^{10.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{13}\) & \({ }^{78}\) & т & － & ＇ & 1 & \({ }^{6}\) & 99，380 & \({ }^{2}\) & \({ }^{23326}\) & － & － & － & nomo & － & \({ }_{0}^{0302}\) & \({ }^{10}\) & 。 & \({ }^{10.00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }^{117}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 5 & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }^{96.651}\) & 5 & ．53364 & \(\bigcirc\) & \(\bigcirc\) & 1 & \({ }_{5} 5380\) & 0 & 00000 & \({ }^{20}\) & 1 & \({ }^{20,00}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }_{5} 5\) & т & － & 4 & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{6}\) & 1065998 & ＋ & ． 511182 & \(\bigcirc\) & － & 。 & nomo & － & \({ }^{0.000}\) & \({ }^{18}\) & － & 11800 \\
\hline \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }^{120}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & － & 4 & \({ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{6}\) & \({ }_{1050993}\) & \(\stackrel{ }{4}\) & 4.3799 & 0 & － & 1 & 6.631 & － & \({ }^{02913}\) & \({ }^{18}\) & 1 & 18.80 \\
\hline \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }_{38}\) & \({ }^{\circ}\) & － & s & 4 & 2 & \({ }^{26.1236}\) & s & 5．4621 & － & － & 。 & noxa & － & 0.000 & \({ }^{17}\) & 。 & 12.00 \\
\hline \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }_{107}\) & т & － & 5 & 3 & 3 & \({ }^{297321}\) & 。 & \({ }^{-5.8483}\) & 0 & 。 & － & 0 0．000 & 。 & 0.0000 & \({ }^{17}\) & － & \({ }_{1720}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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