



Web 2.0 is challenging school

Caroline Jouneau-Sion, Eric Sanchez

► To cite this version:

Caroline Jouneau-Sion, Eric Sanchez. Web 2.0 is challenging school. IIGWE2011, Aug 2011, Mombasa, Kenya. pp.5-7. hal-00618285

HAL Id: hal-00618285

<https://hal.science/hal-00618285>

Submitted on 1 Sep 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Web 2.0 is challenging school

Caroline Jouneau-Sion, *cjouneau@clionautes.org*

Lycée Germaine Tillon, Sain Bel, France

IFé/EducTice/Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Lyon, France

Eric Sanchez, *eric.sanchez@usherbrooke.ca*

CREAS, Université de Sherbrooke, Qc Canada

IFé/EducTice/Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Lyon, France

Abstract

With laptops, mobile phones, tablets and broadband wireless access becoming more widely available, Web 2.0 is now entering schools. This changes the way students work and communicate, altering their relationship with knowledge, and generating new objectives for media literacy in the digital society. Thus, schools face new challenges and this paper aims at highlighting four of them. A first challenge relates to trust. Web 2.0 opens the classroom to the world and educators have to face new dangers and irrelevant uses, while bringing their students to gain better access to information and culture. The second challenge relates to teachers' professional identities. The role of teachers is changing as Web 2.0 tools are begin used by students and policymakers should take this into account. A third challenge relates to a growing need to control working time, timetable organisation and rhythm in schools. The fourth challenge that we underline is the need for common rules that allow the students to benefit from the opportunities offered by Web 2.0 to develop their autonomy and to foster ethical practices.

Keywords

Web 2.0, participatory culture, challenges. Teacher's professional identity. future of education

Web 2.0 is now entering schools. More and more teachers allow their students to use *Facebook* to carry out collaborative work or to stay connected as "friends", to get information from *Wikipedia* during school time, to display school projects on weblogs and to communicate with *Twitter*. As Web 2.0 applications allow users to do more than just retrieve information, they meet the need schools have for a digital participatory culture in the 21st century (Jenkins, Clinton, Purushotma, Robison, & Weigel, 2006). However, letting students use social networks at school or to freely access and display information can be a source of problems for teachers. How can educators deal with the use of a participatory web, interactive contents and virtual communities? What questions should be addressed to face the reality of a digital society?

Schools now have to face new challenges. This paper aims at highlighting four of them and at proposing some orientations for the future of education.

First challenge: Trust!

Web 2.0 offers the opportunity to open classrooms to the world by allowing students to get real-time information and to find instant answers to most of the questions that can be addressed by a teacher. Moreover, Web 2.0 is a way to produce and to display information; for example, by using *Twitter* to interview a personality, by publishing the interview with *Facebook*, by writing a post for a weblog or by participating to the writing of a *Wikipedia* article. However, digital and social media sometimes lead to irrelevant or unacceptable uses such as videotaping teachers or classmates and publishing the video on *Youtube*, texting with a cellphone during school time, cheating or plagiarism. Educators have to face the challenge of being able to control bad practices without preventing useful learning uses. They need to manage to keep students' attention and to protect them from bad content. Many schools try to solve the problems caused by Web 2.0 technologies with injunctions, filters and blacklists. But these protections are easily by-passed by students with proxies and 3G networks and educators have to run an endless race with newly released technologies.

However, some teachers have started to think differently and to experiment with another way of dealing with these problems. For example, in a primary school from the north of France¹, the pupils (6-7 years old) of JR Masson's class microblog with *Twitter*. They write the news of the day in 140 characters, they play chess with another "twitter-class", solve a riddle sent by a *Twitter* follower and try to answer philosophical questions.

This alternative approach is based on trust. The teacher has to trust in his pupils and accept that they are free to decide whether the content of their microblogging is relevant or not, whilst parents must trust the teacher because *Twitter* links pupils to unknown adults. Dealing with this risk is quite similar to other social spaces. Firstly, educators must discuss with students the rules that they want to apply. These rules have to be clear enough to be understood by all (*i.e.* both students themselves and their parents). Secondly, these rules have to be flexible enough to fit usual teaching practices, especially concerning Internet access and web publication.

Therefore, it is important to foster the digital media literacy of parents, children and educators. One challenge to face is managing to open a safe-zone where everybody shares common deontic norms.

Second challenge: the teacher's professional identity

As digital and social networks allow one to ask questions and get answers without delay, relationship of students with knowledge is now changing. Web 2.0 technologies allow youths to participate in networks and to share knowledge and "expertise", while new media are altering how youths learn and socialize (Ito et al., 2008; Lenhart et al., 2008). In this context, students are not necessarily ready to continue accepting a school model based on a pyramidal organisation where knowledge comes from experts. Thus, a growing gap separates youth digital culture from the mainly academic school culture (Bonfils, 2007). This raises the question of teacher identity in the 21st century.

When teenagers play online multiplayer games, or when they share and remix web content, they collaborate to reach a common objective. Web 2.0 is fundamentally interactive and collaborative. As a result, Web 2.0 can foster team-work skills. Moreover, collaborative skills are essential to living in the digital world and to dealing with the ever-complexifying problems faced by modern societies. Schools should thus prepare students to collaborative team-work and there are many ways to do so. For example, teachers can organize collaborative writing with *Etherpad* (a collaborative text editing web app), ask students to prepare a joint project with a wiki or to share documents with *Dropbox* (a shared online folder), *Google Docs* or one of the numerous tools available online for free

Despite the availability of information, students need to be able to evaluate the reliability of the answers that they get from the Internet. Of course, books, lectures and lessons have always contained errors but, for most people, it was difficult to spot them. Within the digital society, students can easily have access to different historical or scientific interpretations, thus bringing them to question the models conveyed in the classroom. They can identify multiple opinions and contradictions, but they don't necessarily have the key to deciding whether a piece of information is relevant. "By its nature, the Internet rewards critically comparing multiple sources of information, individually incomplete, and collectively inconsistent. This predicament encourages learning based on seeking, sieving, and synthesizing, rather than on assimilating a single validated source of knowledge as from books, television, or a professor's lectures" (Dieterle, Dede, & Schrier, 2007). The effects of these changes on the teacher's professional identity are very important. Teaching thus becomes less about providing relevant information and more about helping students retrieve, shape and assess information by themselves. Starting from this, what does it mean to be a 21st century teacher?

There is a need for the renewal of pedagogy. Chalk and talk do not fit the expectations of the students anymore. The teacher now has to accompany his students in their learning process, to teach them how to handle information, to criticize it, to shape it and to communicate it, without being reduced to being a tutor who executes technical tasks to develop the skills of the students. As an engineer, he/she is responsible for designing complex learning situations that permit the students to choose their own strategy for learning. He/she must therefore master the core concepts of his/her discipline and design motivating projects that lead students to using the concepts that they have to learn and to helping students develop relevant skills. The teacher also has to help his/her students to find answers by themselves and he/she has to be able to assess the different strategies followed by the students and provide feedback. Furthermore, he/she still has to encourage them and he/she is responsible for equity and ethics in the classroom. The teacher is still the expert in his/her field of knowledge and he/she has a crucial role in helping the students become aware of the implicit knowledge that they use to solve

a specific problem by making the learning explicit and, therefore, making its transfer to other contexts possible. They have to permit their students to take initiative and thus, they face unexpected situations. In this way, the role of teachers becomes more and more complex and multifaceted. As a result, teacher training is crucial to help them to be prepared for the complexity of the task.

Third challenge: keeping the control of the timetable

Web 2.0 also changes the relationship with time as teachers and students can stay connected after school *via* emails, weblogs, *Twitter* or *Facebook*. As it has been argued that permitting school staff to interact with students increases the risk of sexual misconduct, some school boards are drafting policies that dictate how school staff can interact with students via new networks and technologies. For example, teachers and other employees from the state of Virginia are not allowed “to use personal wireless communications devices to “text” students and are prohibited from interacting one-on-one with students through personal online social-networking sites”². However, more and more teachers accept to stay connected with students via emails or online platforms and the border between private time and professional time, which has always been blurry for teachers, is now disappearing. The increasing time devoted to online students' coaching after school time has to be taken into account. One can wonder if the use of Web 2.0 tools to text messages to parents, to coach students via *Skype*, *MSN* or *Moodle* or to comment students' blog entries should now be considered as a part of the teacher's work. Educational institutions try to encourage the use of ICT by improving infrastructures and enabling access to teaching and learning resources, but much more attention should be paid to take into account the meaning of the use of ICT in terms of professional identity of teachers.

In addition, the school timetable is still based on a model designed for mono-disciplinary content and individual learning. As this model is now changing, the timetable has to be changed too. Web 2.0 tools allow students to perform complex activities that encompass both individual (*i.e.* seeking, sieving, and synthesizing information) and collective (*i.e.* cooperation, collaboration) tasks. Pedagogies based on a competency approach, such as Project-Based Learning, that bring the student to perform complex tasks (thus developing autonomy and high-level skills) take time. In a previous work related to a pretend game dedicated to land-use management and sustainable development (Sanchez, Delorme, Jouneau-Sion, & Prat, 2010), we described how students had to mobilize geography and science concepts, communicate with experts and local authorities, carry out fieldwork to get real data, use a Geographical Information System to process this data and prepare a video to communicate their findings. Such projects do not fit the official curriculum and schools timetables need reorganization to encourage this kind of collaborative learning.

On the other hand, Web 2.0 tools permit short school activities. Indeed, students benefit from instant access to information and an easy way to communicate. For example, in 45 minutes, secondary students can compare the same information (about the political changes in Egypt, for example) displayed by *Twitter*, TV and newspapers' websites. A primary student needs less than 10 minutes to text a short message in a foreign language to the whole class. Due to the diversity of school activities permitted by Web 2.0 tools, schools need a tailored and flexible timetable suited to learning situations based on social network use. Already, some schools have decided to shift to a Web 2.0 timetable. For example, in the *School of the Future* of Philadelphia, the teachers choose the classroom timetable every three months. Each period is devoted to a theme. The students are encouraged to use a

multidisciplinary approach as each theme is studied during science, history, geography, literature or philosophy courses. Moreover, students are involved in various classroom settings. Sometimes a single teacher gives a one-hour course, sometimes students are involved in team-work with two teachers during two or three hours and sometimes each class of students can be divided into two or three groups where students are involved in different activities according to their individual needs. This organisation has an impact on the work of teachers, as they are expected to be available during the whole week, to be flexible and to accept to work together.

Moreover, time is also a matter of rhythm and delay in which everybody is concerned. What is the acceptable delay a student can wait when he/she asks a question to his/her teacher? How many times a day is a teacher supposed to check his/her emails? Every business day? Twice a day? Due to the possibility of establishing new relationships with students and families, many teachers are frightened of losing control of their agenda. This stems mostly from the fact that the rules to communicate with Web 2.0 tools are not clear enough; new norms and standards of communication have to be settled.

Fourth challenge: ethics

As Web 2.0 tools are user-centred designed, usually available for free and easy to use, teachers are tempted to register their students without asking their permission. Nevertheless, it is important to take into account the ethical dimension of leaving personal data on the Internet. Firstly, personal data is recorded by private companies that are mostly motivated by business rather than education. This data encompasses at least names and email address, often sex, date of birth and students interests. Secondly, resources produced by students can be stored for a long time on a website and can participate in shaping their digital identity. For example, files are stored on *Google Docs* services and articles published by students remain on *Facebook* or weblogs. Few teachers read the General Conditions of Use of websites or consider children's copyrights. Indeed, students are considered the authors of the resources they produce and their work cannot be published without their authorisation. As a result, teachers ought to choose tools that respect the rights of users, by ensuring that data will not be used for commercial purposes and do not expose the children to advertisement. That is why, in some countries and especially in France, educational boards prefer to develop specific tools designed for educational purposes. *Environnements Numériques de Travail* (Digital Working Platforms) are such tools that permit both to share, communicate and store data within the school community. Those tools are secured by logins and passwords and access is limited to the school community members³. However, one can argue that offering students a secured space is not the right way to prepare them to facing the wild Web 2.0 era. Moreover, if a school is considered as an isolated world, students cannot benefit from authentic experiences and cannot develop relationships with experts or peers from other schools.

Conclusion

A recent report from the European commission underlines that "Educational systems should [] take into account the fact that new technologies can create an empowerment culture, which puts the learner at the centre of the learning process. Otherwise, there is the risk that education policies and systems become irrelevant for students' real and future needs" (Cachia, Ferrari, Ala-Mutka, & Punie, 2010). Nevertheless, integrating the participative Internet into school is a great challenge as there is a need for radical changes in terms of the professional identity of teachers, school organization, new rules and new relationships in school. These changes

cannot result from dogmatic innovation (i.e. a rigid top-down process which aims at encouraging teachers to introduce new technologies into their practices). These changes will result from a participatory process in which educators, students and their parents will engage. Therefore, it will be possible to imagine the future of education for 21st century citizens.

¹ http://twitter.com/classe_masson


² Virginia poised to ban student – teachers texting and Facebooking, January 9, 2011, http://www.readwriteweb.com/archives/virginia_poised_to_ban_teacher-student_texting_fac.php

³ As an example, Lilie is one of what is called ENT in France <http://lilie.iledefrance.fr>

References

- Bonfils, P. (2007). *Dispositifs socio-techniques et mondes persistants : Quelles médiations pour quelle communication dans un contexte situé*. thèse de doctorat, Université de Toulon et du Var.
- Dieterle, E., Dede, C., & Schrier, K. (2007). "Neomillennial" learning styles propagated by wireless handheld devices. In M. Lytras & A. Naeve (Eds.), *Ubiquitous and pervasive knowledge and learning management: Semantics, social networking and new media to their full potential* (pp. 35-65). Hershey, PA: Idea Group, Inc.
- Ito, M., Horst, H., Bittanti, M., Boyd, D., Herr-Stephenson, B., Lange, P., . . . Robinson, L. (2008). *Living and Learning with New Media: Summary of Findings from the Digital Youth Project*. Chicago: MacArthur Foundation.
- Jenkins, H., Clinton, K., Purushotma, R., Robison, A. J., & Weigel, M. (2006). *Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education For the 21st Century*. Chicago: The MacArthur Foundation.
- Lenhart, A., Kahne, J., Middaugh, E., Rankin Macgill, A., Evans, C., & Vitak, J. (2008). *Teens, Video Games and Civics Pew Internet and American Life Project*. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center.
- Sanchez, E., Delorme, L., Jouneau-Sion, C., & Prat, A. (2010). Designing a pretend game with geotechnologies: toward active citizenship. In T. Jekel, A. Koller, K. Donert & R. Vogler (Eds.), *Learning with geoinformation V* (pp. 31-40). Heidelberg: Wichman.

Biography



Caroline Jouneau-Sion is a secondary history and geography teacher. She is involved in research & development projects into the uses of Information and Communication Technology for secondary education. She is also president of e.l@b a teachers association and network which aims at imagine the future of education for the digital society.



Eric Sanchez is professor at the faculty of education of the University of Sherbrooke, QC (Canada) and assistant professor at École Normale Supérieure de Lyon (France). His research work concerns the uses of Information and Communication Technology for educational purposes (elearning, simulation, serious games).

Copyright

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative Works 3.0 unported License. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>.