



HAL
open science

Flexibility of affine cones over del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and 5

Alexander Perepechko

► **To cite this version:**

Alexander Perepechko. Flexibility of affine cones over del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and 5. 2011. hal-00617627v1

HAL Id: hal-00617627

<https://hal.science/hal-00617627v1>

Preprint submitted on 29 Aug 2011 (v1), last revised 17 Nov 2013 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FLEXIBILITY OF AFFINE CONES OVER DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 4 AND 5

ALEXANDER PEREPECHKO

ABSTRACT. We prove that the action of the special automorphism group on affine cones over del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and 5 is infinitely transitive.

1. INTRODUCTION

An affine algebraic variety X defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero is called *flexible* if the tangent space of X at any smooth point is spanned by the tangent vectors to the orbits of one-parameter unipotent group actions [1]. In this paper we establish flexibility of affine cones over del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and 5.

It is well known that every effective action of one-dimensional unipotent group $\mathbb{G}_a = \mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{K})$ on X defines a locally nilpotent derivation $\delta \in \text{LND}(\mathbb{K}[X])$ of the algebra of regular functions on X . All such actions generate a subgroup of *special automorphisms* $\text{SAut } X$ in the automorphism group $\text{Aut } X$.

A group G is said to act on a set S *infinitely transitively* if it acts transitively on the set of m -tuples of pairwise distinct points in S for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following theorem explains the significance of the flexibility concept.

Theorem 1.1 ([1, Theorem 0.1]). *Let X be an affine algebraic variety of dimension ≥ 2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The variety X is flexible;*
- (2) *the group $\text{SAut } X$ acts transitively on the smooth locus X_{reg} of X ;*
- (3) *the group $\text{SAut } X$ acts infinitely transitively on X_{reg} .*

Three classes of flexible affine varieties are described in [2], namely affine cones over flag varieties, non-degenerate toric varieties of dimension ≥ 2 , and suspensions over flexible varieties. Note that affine cones over del Pezzo varieties of degree ≥ 6 are toric, thereby they are flexible.

As for del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≤ 3 , the existence of at least one \mathbb{G}_a -action on an affine cone is still unknown, see [4], [6, Proposition 4.21]. In this paper we consider remaining cases of degree 4 and 5. In case of degree 5 we prove flexibility of affine cones corresponding to polarizations defined by arbitrary very ample divisors, whereas for degree 4 we prove flexibility only for certain very ample divisors, the anticanonical one included.

In the proof we use a construction from [6], which allows to associate a regular \mathbb{G}_a -action on an affine cone over a projective variety Y to every open cylindrical subset of Y of some special form. In Theorem 2.5 we provide a criterion of flexibility of an affine cone over a projective variety in terms of a transversal cover by such cylinders. We apply it to del Pezzo surfaces.

The author is grateful to M.G. Zaidenberg for posing the problem and numerous discussions and to I.V. Arzhantsev for useful remarks.

2. FLEXIBILITY OF AFFINE CONES

Let Y be a projective variety and H be a very ample divisor on Y . A polarization of Y by H provides an embedding $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Consider an affine cone $X = \text{AffCone}_H Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ corresponding to this embedding. There is a natural homothety action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{K})$ on X . It defines a grading on the algebra $\mathbb{K}[X]$. A derivation on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ is called *homogeneous* if it sends homogeneous elements into homogeneous ones. A subset of all

homogeneous locally nilpotent derivations is denoted by $\text{HLND}(\mathbb{K}[X])$. The subfield of rational functions annihilated by all (resp. homogeneous) locally nilpotent derivations,

$$(1) \quad \text{FML}(X) = \bigcap_{\delta \in \text{LND}(X)} \text{Quot}(\ker \delta), \text{ resp. } \text{FML}^h(X) = \bigcap_{\delta \in \text{HLND}(X)} \text{Quot}(\ker \delta) \subset \mathbb{K}(X)$$

is called a *field Makar–Limanov invariant* (a *homogeneous field Makar–Limanov invariant* respectively). There is an obvious inclusion $\text{FML}(X) \subset \text{FML}^h(X)$. The invariant is said to be *trivial* if it equals \mathbb{K} .

Proposition 2.1 ([1, Proposition 5.1]). *The field Makar–Limanov invariant $\text{FML}(X)$ is trivial if and only if the group $\text{SAut } X$ acts on X with an open orbit.*

Definition 2.2 ([6, Definitions 3.5, 3.7]). Let H be a divisor on a variety Y . We say that an open subset $U \subset Y$ is a *cylinder* if $U \cong Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where Z is a smooth variety with $\text{Pic } Z = 0$. We say that a cylinder U is *H -polar* if $U = Y \setminus \text{supp } D$ for some effective divisor $D \in |dH|$, where $d > 0$.

Definition 2.3. We call a subset $W \subset Y$ *invariant* with respect to a cylinder $U = Z \times \mathbb{A}^1$ if $W \cap U = \pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(W))$, where $\pi_1: U \rightarrow Z$ is the first projection of the direct product. In other words, every \mathbb{A}^1 -fiber of the cylinder is either contained in W or does not meet W .

Definition 2.4. We say that a variety Y is *transversally covered* by cylinders U_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, if $Y = \bigcup U_i$ and there is no proper subset $W \subset Y$ invariant with respect to all U_i .

The following theorem gives a criterion of flexibility for the affine cone over a projective embedding $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ corresponding to the polarization by H .

Theorem 2.5. *If for some very ample divisor H on a normal projective variety Y there exists a transversal covering by H -polar cylinders, then the affine cone $X = \text{AffCone}_H Y$ is flexible.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 3.9] to every covering cylinder there corresponds a \mathbb{G}_a -action on X . As follows from the explicit construction [6, Proposition 3.5], the orbits of this action map onto fibers of the cylinder under the cone projectivization, whereas the set of fixed points is a preimage of the cylinder complement.

Let us check similarly as in the proof of [6, Theorem 3.21] that the homogeneous field Makar–Limanov invariant $\text{FML}^{(h)}(X)$ is trivial. Indeed, let $h = \frac{f}{g}$ be a non-constant homogeneous rational function annihilated by all homogeneous locally nilpotent derivations on $\mathbb{K}[X]$, where $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[X] \setminus \{0\}$ are homogeneous regular functions of degrees d_1 and d_2 respectively. Then the divisor $\mathbb{V}_0(h) + \mathbb{V}_\infty(h)$ on X is invariant under the \mathbb{G}_a -actions corresponding to all covering cylinders. Thereby, $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}_0(h)) \in |d_1 H|$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}_\infty(h)) \in |d_2 H|$ are effective Cartier divisors on Y , and the union of their supports is invariant with respect to all covering cylinders, which is a contradiction.

Thus $\text{FML}^{(h)}(X) = \mathbb{K}$. Hence also $\text{FML}(X) = \mathbb{K}$ and by Proposition 2.1 the group $\text{SAut}(X)$ acts on X with an open orbit. It remains to verify that the orbit complement is the only singular point of X , namely the origin. Since X is a cone, the open orbit is invariant under a \mathbb{G}_m -action by homotheties. This yields that the projectivization of the complement to the open orbit and the origin is a closed subset $W \subset Y$ which is invariant with respect to all cylinders of the transversal cover. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

3. DEL PEZZO SURFACE OF DEGREE 5

Let Y be a del Pezzo surface of degree 5. It is obtained by blowing up the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 in four points P_1, \dots, P_4 , no three of which are collinear [7, Theorem IV.2.5]. Since the automorphism group of the projective plane acts transitively on such 4-tuples of points, such a surface is unique up to isomorphism.

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be an arbitrary very ample divisor on the del Pezzo surface Y of degree 5. Then the corresponding affine cone $\text{AffCone}_H Y$ is flexible.*

The proof proceeds in several steps, see Sections 3.1 and 3.2. We let E_i denote the exceptional divisor (i.e. the (-1) -curve), which is the preimage of the blown up point P_i . Let e_0 be the divisor class of a line, which contains none of the points P_i , and let e_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) be a divisor class of E_i . These classes generate a Picard group $\text{Pic } Y = \langle e_0, \dots, e_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^5$. The intersection index defines a symmetric bilinear form on the Picard group such that the basis $\{e_0, \dots, e_4\}$ is orthogonal, $e_0^2 = 1$ and $e_i^2 = -1$. Exceptional divisor classes are e_i and $e_0 - e_i - e_j$ for distinct $i, j \neq 0$.

By the Nakai–Moishezon criterion [5, Theorem V.1.10] the closure of the ample cone $\text{Ample } Y$ is dual to the cone of effective divisors $\text{Eff } Y$. In case of a del Pezzo surface the cone $\text{Eff } Y$ is generated by exceptional divisors [3, Theorem 8.2.19]. Therefore, the ample cone is defined by inequalities

$$(2) \quad x_i > 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 4,$$

$$(3) \quad x_0 + x_i + x_j > 0, \quad 0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0,$$

where $(x_0, \dots, x_4) \in \text{Pic } Y$. It has the following ten extremal rays

$$(4) \quad e_0, e_0 - e_j, 2e_0 - \sum_{i \neq 0} e_i, 2e_0 - \sum_{i \neq 0, j} e_i \quad j = 1, \dots, 4.$$

3.1. Cylinders. We have fixed above a blowing down $\varphi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of four pairwise disjoint (-1) -curves E_1, \dots, E_4 . Let $l_{ij} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the line passing through the points P_i and P_j . Consider the open subset $U_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (l_{12} \cup l_{34})) \subset Y$. This is a cylinder defined by a pencil of lines passing through the base point $\text{Bs}(U_1) = l_{12} \cap l_{34}$. We have $U_1 \cong \mathbb{A}_*^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Similarly let $U_2 = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (l_{13} \cup l_{24}))$ and $U_3 = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (l_{14} \cup l_{23}))$, see fig. 1. Furthermore, consider the blowings down of other 4-tuples of non-intersecting (-1) -curves on Y . There are five of them as shown on fig. 2. For every blowing down we define three cylinders in a similar way.




FIGURE 1. Cylinders on the incidence graph of (-1) -curves on the del Pezzo surface of degree 5. The gray and the black vertices correspond to (-1) -curves forming the complement to a cylinder. The dashed edges correspond to (-1) -curve intersections contained in the cylinder. The double edge corresponds to the base point of the cylinder.

Thus we have cylinders U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{15} as shown on Figures 1 and 2. It is easy to check that every intersection of (-1) -curves is contained in some cylinder, hence $\bigcup U_i = Y$. We claim that there is no proper subset $W \subset Y$, which is invariant with respect to all 15 cylinders. Assume on the contrary that there exists such a subset W . Let us fix an arbitrary point of W . It is covered by a fiber S of some cylinder, hence W contains S . Without loss of generality S is a fiber of U_1 . Then a line $l = \varphi(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ passes through the base point $\text{Bs}(U_1)$. Since the points $\text{Bs}(U_1)$, $\text{Bs}(U_2)$, and $\text{Bs}(U_3)$ do not lie on the same line, one of them does not belong to l . Suppose $\text{Bs}(U_2) \notin l$. Then the fiber S intersects almost every fiber of the cylinder U_2 , and W contains them. So, W is dense in Y . The complement $Y \setminus W$ is also invariant with respect to all cylinders, and by the same reason it is dense in Y , a contradiction.




FIGURE 2. Black vertices correspond to 4-tuples of (-1) -curves. Every blowing down defines three cylinders similarly as on fig. 1.

3.2. Flexible polarizations. In this subsection we show that for any ample divisor H on Y all the 15 cylinders U_i are H -polar. Consider the set of effective divisors $\{\alpha_i E_i + \beta_1 l_{12} + \beta_3 l_{34} \mid \alpha_i, \beta_i > 0\}$ whose support is the complement to U_1 . The image of this set in the Picard group is an open cone C , whose extremal rays are $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_0 - e_1 - e_2$, and $e_0 - e_3 - e_4$. It is easy to check that the primitive vectors of the ample cone (4) can be expressed as linear combinations with non-negative rational coefficients of the primitive vectors of the cone C . Therefore the cylinder U_1 is H -polar for any ample divisor H . Similarly, the cylinders U_i are H -polar for any ample divisor H . Using Theorem 2.5 we obtain the assertion. Now Theorem 3.1 is proved.

4. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 4

Every del Pezzo surface of degree 4 is isomorphic to a blowing up of a projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 in five points, where no three are collinear. Such surfaces form a two-parameter family.

By E_i we denote the (-1) -curve which is the preimages of the blown up point P_i . As before, let e_0 be the divisor class of a line which does not contain the blown up points, and e_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) be the divisor class of E_i . The tuple $\{e_0, \dots, e_5\}$ forms an orthogonal basis of the Picard group $\text{Pic } Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^6$, and $e_0^2 = 1, e_i^2 = -1$. The classes of (-1) -curves are $e_i, e_0 - e_i - e_j, 2e_0 - \sum_{k \neq 0} e_k$ for any pair of distinct indices $i, j \neq 0$. The ample cone is defined by inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & x_i > 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 5, \\ (6) \quad & x_0 + x_i + x_j > 0, \quad 0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0, \\ (7) \quad & 2x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_5 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $(x_0, \dots, x_5) \in \text{Pic } Y$. Its extremal rays are

$$(8) \quad e_0, e_0 - e_j, 2e_0 - \sum_{k \neq 0, i} e_k, 2e_0 - \sum_{k \neq 0, i, j} e_k, \text{ and } 3e_0 - \sum_{k \neq 0} e_k - e_i$$

for any pair of distinct indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$.

4.1. Cylinders. Let us fix some (-1) -curve C_1 and consider the blowing down $\sigma: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of the five (-1) -curves F_1, \dots, F_5 that meet C_1 , see fig. 3. This blowing down is well defined since the contracted divisors do not intersect. The image $\sigma(C_1)$ is a smooth conic c passing through the blown down points Q_1, \dots, Q_5 . Take an arbitrary line $l \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ which is tangent to c at a point different from Q_1, \dots, Q_5 . A conic pencil in \mathbb{P}^2 generated by divisors c and $2l$ determines a cylinder $U \cong \mathbb{A}_*^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ whose complement is the complete preimage of the support of the divisor $c + 2l$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Denote by \mathcal{U}_{C_1} the family of all such cylinders in Y for all such tangents l . Note that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{C_1}} U$ is a union of C_1 and the exceptional divisors F_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$). Applying this construction to the (-1) -curves C_2, \dots, C_5 as shown on fig. 3, overall we obtain five cylinder families $\mathcal{U}_{C_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{C_5}$. It is easy to see that their union covers Y .

Let W be a proper subset of Y which is invariant with respect to the cylinders of all families, and let $w \in W$ be an arbitrary point. We may suppose that w belongs to a cylinder of the family \mathcal{U}_{C_1} . Then the image $\sigma(W) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is invariant with respect to the cylinder family $\{\sigma(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_{C_1}\}$. Note that every cylinder of this family is a complement to the conic c and its tangent line. It is well known that given a conic and two points outside it we can find a

conic passing through these two points and tangent to the given conic. Therefore, for almost every point $x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus c$ there exists a fiber of some cylinder which contains x and $\sigma(w)$. Namely, x must not lie on the tangent line to c passing through $\sigma(w)$ as well as on the conics which are tangent to c at blown down points and contain $\sigma(w)$. Thus W is dense in Y . Similarly, $Y \setminus W$ is dense in Y , a contradiction. Finally, the families $\mathcal{U}_{C_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{C_5}$ form a transversal cover of Y .




FIGURE 3. The incidence graph of (-1) -curves on a del Pezzo surface of degree 4. On the left the gray vertex corresponds to the conic preimage C_1 and black vertices correspond to the contracted (-1) -curves. The dashed edges correspond to (-1) -curve intersections contained in the cylinders of a family. Four other families corresponding to C_2, \dots, C_5 are obtained symmetrically by the graph rotations.

4.2. Flexible polarizations. Ample divisors H such that cylinders of the family \mathcal{U}_C are H -polar, form the image of the set $\{\alpha_1 F_1 + \dots + \alpha_5 F_5 + \alpha_6 C + \alpha_7 l \mid \alpha_i > 0\}$ in $\text{Pic } Y$. This set is an open cone which we denote by $\text{Ample}(C, Y)$. It does not depend on a choice of a tangent line l since it does not contain blown up points by definition. Then the set of such divisors H that cylinders in $\bigcup_i \mathcal{U}_{C_i}$ are H -polar is an open cone $\bigcap_i \text{Ample}(C_i, Y)$. A computation shows that it has exactly 72 extremal rays, which can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 e_0, & 9e_0 - 5e_{i_1} - e_{i_2} - 2e_{i_3} - 4e_{i_4} - 3e_{i_5}, \\
 4e_0 - 2e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - e_{i_3} - e_{i_4} - e_{i_5}, & 9e_0 - 4e_{i_1} - 4e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5}, \\
 5e_0 - 2e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - e_{i_3} - 3e_{i_4} - e_{i_5}, & 11e_0 - 6e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 2e_{i_3} - 4e_{i_4} - 4e_{i_5}, \\
 5e_0 - 2e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 2e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4}, & 11e_0 - 6e_{i_1} - 4e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5}, \\
 5e_0 - 2e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 2e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5}, & 11e_0 - 6e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 4e_{i_4} - 4e_{i_5}, \\
 6e_0 - 2e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 3e_{i_3} - e_{i_4} - 3e_{i_5}, & 11e_0 - 6e_{i_1} - 4e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 4e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5}, \\
 7e_0 - 4e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 2e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5}, & 15e_0 - 8e_{i_1} - 2e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 6e_{i_4} - 6e_{i_5}, \\
 9e_0 - 5e_{i_1} - 3e_{i_2} - 4e_{i_3} - 2e_{i_4} - 1e_{i_5}, & 15e_0 - 8e_{i_1} - 6e_{i_2} - 6e_{i_3} - 4e_{i_4} - 2e_{i_5},
 \end{array}$$

where the tuple (i_1, \dots, i_5) runs over all cyclic permutations of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.

It is easy to see that the anticanonical divisor $(-K_Y)$ is contained in $\bigcap_i \text{Ample}(C_i, Y)$. Similarly to Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Y be a del Pezzo surface of degree 4, and H be a very ample divisor in the open cone $\bigcap_{i=1}^5 \text{Ample}(C_i, Y)$. Then the affine cone $\text{AffCone}_H Y$ is flexible. In particular, this holds for the anticanonical divisor $H = -K_Y$.*

We have identified a subcone of the ample cone such that the very ample divisors contained in this subcone define a flexible affine cone. However, this subcone is strictly contained in the ample cone. For example, the ample divisor class $8e_0 - 2e_1 - 4e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - 3e_5$ lies outside

of that subcone. Thus the flexibility problem for the affine cone over the polarization of a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 by any very ample divisor remains open.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, M. Zaidenberg, *Flexible varieties and automorphism groups*, arXiv:1011.5375v1, 41p.
- [2] I.V. Arzhantsev, K. Kuyumzhiyan, M. Zaidenberg, *Flag varieties, toric varieties, and suspensions: three instances of infinite transitivity*, arXiv:1003.3164v1, 25p.
- [3] I.V. Dolgachev, *Topics in classical algebraic geometry*, 524p.; available at <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/topics.pdf>.
- [4] H. Flenner, M. Zaidenberg *Rational curves and rational singularities*, Math. Zeitschrift **244** (2003), 549–575.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [6] T. Kishimoto, Yu. Prokhorov, M. Zaidenberg, *Group actions on affine cones*, arXiv:0905.4647v2, 41p.; Montreal Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes 54, 2011, 41p. (to appear).
- [7] Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*, Nauka, Moscow, 1972 (Russian); English transl. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [8] W. A. Stein et al., Sage Mathematics Software (Version 4.6.1). The Sage Development Team, 2011, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY GSP-1, MOSCOW 119991, RUSSIA

UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE I, INSTITUT FOURIER, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, BP 74, 34802 ST MARTIN D'HÈRES CÉDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: perepechko@mcme.ru