



HAL
open science

The role of *Oxyrrhis marina* as a model prey: current work and future directions

Zhou Yang, Hae Jin Jeong, David Montagnes

► **To cite this version:**

Zhou Yang, Hae Jin Jeong, David Montagnes. The role of *Oxyrrhis marina* as a model prey: current work and future directions. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 2010, 10.1093/plankt/FBQ112 . hal-00617075

HAL Id: hal-00617075

<https://hal.science/hal-00617075>

Submitted on 26 Aug 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**The role of *Oxyrrhis marina* as a model prey: current work
and future directions**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Plankton Research</i>
Manuscript ID:	JPR-2010-135.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Jul-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Yang, Zhou; Nanjing Normal University, School of Biological Sciences Jeong, Hae Jin; SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SCHOOL OF EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES Montagnes, David; University of Liverpool, School of Biological Sciences
Keywords:	model organism, dinoflagellate, protozoa, <i>Oxyrrhis</i>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 **The role of *Oxyrrhis marina* as a model prey: current work and future directions**
5
6

7 **ZHOU YANG¹, HAE JIN JEONG² AND DAVID J. S. MONTAGNES^{3*}**
8
9

10
11
12 ¹JIANGSU PROVINCE KEY LABORATORY FOR BIODIVERSITY AND
13
14 BIOTECHNOLOGY, SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, NANJING NORMAL
15
16 UNIVERSITY, 1 WENYUAN ROAD, NANJING 210046, CHINA, ²SCHOOL OF EARTH
17
18 AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, SEOUL
19
20 NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, RO KOREA, ³SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL
21
22 SCIENCES, BIOSCIENCES BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, LIVERPOOL
23
24 L69 7ZB, UK
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 *CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: dmontag@liv.ac.uk
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 **ABSTRACT**
5
6

7 Protozoa hold a key trophic position in pelagic food webs. Primary and bacterial
8 production is consumed by them; their role as consumers is well established. Not as fully
9 explored is their fate, by predation from upper trophic levels and through viral lyses. Impacts
10 of this are: reduced trophic transfer efficiency; nutrient recycling; and increased respiration
11 (CO₂ generation). Studies that examine loss of protozooplankton via predation often employ
12 model species in lab-based experiments, rather than attempting to follow populations in
13 natural samples. Such model species are rarely ideal representatives of natural systems, but
14 various attributes (e.g. easy of culture and manipulation) facilitate experimentation and, in
15 many cases, provide more precise data. *Oxyrrhis marina* is one such model. Most studies on
16 the fate of *O. marina* are incidental, using it as a convenient prey and not focusing on it as a
17 model to assess general questions or recognising the breadth of its application. We have
18 assembled the dispersed works that uses *O. marina* as prey and synthesised their findings to
19 assess its utility as a model; our premise is that *O. marina* is a versatile and useful model prey
20 that should be adopted in future planktonic studies, ranging from those that examine nutrition
21 to feeding behaviour. To this end, we provide guidance for future work. However, we also
22 recognised that *O. marina* is a valuable food for maintaining laboratory species and have
23 augmented our study with a brief assessment of the pragmatic nature of using it to help
24 maintain its predators.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 INTRODUCTION 5

6
7 There is now, and has been for some time, compelling evidence that protozoa hold a key
8
9 position and are an essential link in pelagic food webs (Conover, 1982). A high portion of
10
11 primary and bacterial production is consumed by these “protozooplankton”, and their role as
12
13 consumers has been well established through field and laboratory studies (e.g. Calbet, 2008).
14
15 Possibly not as fully appreciated or understood is their fate, by predation from upper trophic
16
17 levels and through viral lyses (Fig. 1), although there has been, and continues to be, a growing
18
19 emphasis on this link (e.g. Hansen, 1991a; Sanders and Wickham, 1993; Pesian *et al.*, 1994;
20
21 Jakobsen, 2002; Irigoien *et al.*, 2003; Fileman *et al.*, 2010; Montagnes *et al.*, 2010).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 One typical approach to examine the loss of protozooplankton via predation is to
30
31 employ model species in lab-based experiments, rather than attempting to follow populations
32
33 in natural samples. Inevitably, such model species are rarely ideal representatives of natural
34
35 systems, but various attributes (e.g. easy of culture and manipulation) facilitate
36
37 experimentation and thus, in many cases, provide more precise, if not more accurate, data.
38
39 For instance, the ciliate *Strombidium sulcatum* has been extensively used as model for the
40
41 planktonic ciliates, which are often the dominant protozooplankton. Studies on this one
42
43 species include consumption by zooplankton (e.g. Wiadnyana and Rassoulzadegan, 1989;
44
45 Bamstedt, 1990; Broglio *et al.*, 2003), swimming and trophic behaviour (e.g. Fenchel and
46
47 Jonsson, 1988), and nutrient recycling and processing (e.g. Allali *et al.*, 1994; Dolan and
48
49 Simek, 1997). *Strombidium sulcatum*, however, is more epibenthic than planktonic and is not
50
51 generally found in the open water column; rather it has been isolated from shallow waters and
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 tide pools (e.g. Fenchel and Jonsson, 1988; Montagnes *et al.*, 1990; Modeo *et al.*, 2003).

5
6
7 Nonetheless, because of its morphological and behavioural similarities to other plankters and
8
9
10 its ease of maintenance, *S. sulcatum* has provided invaluable data. Such data (e.g. growth and
11
12 grazing responses) may then be used to stimulate and direct field-based studies, encourage
13
14
15 researchers to attempt to culture more representative taxa, and in many cases, may be used
16
17
18 directly in ecosystem models, as proxies for more common taxa that are impossible or
19
20
21 difficult to study. Here we examine the use of another, easily maintained model species, a
22
23
24 heterotrophic dinoflagellate (the other dominant group of protozooplankton; Jeong, 1999) and
25
26
27 suggest it can, and should be, used as suitable model in predation studies.

28
29 The heterotrophic dinoflagellate *Oxyrrhis marina* is planktonic, *sensu stricto*, in that it
30
31
32 remains in the water column, is moved by currents, is the same size as many planktonic
33
34
35 species (15-40 μm), and generally behaves like many planktonic protozoa. Furthermore,
36
37
38 similarly sized heterotrophic gymnodinoid dinoflagellates and a host of 10-30 μm
39
40
41 mixotrophic dinoflagellates occur in planktonic samples (e.g. Kim and Jeong, 2004); thus, *O.*
42
43
44 *marina* might act as a useful proxy for these planktonic taxa that are less tractable to culture.
45
46
47 However *O. marina* is rarely found in coastal waters and virtually never occurs in the open
48
49
50 ocean. Rather, *O. marina* thrives in shallow waters and littoral and supralittoral pools (Scott,
51
52
53 1987; Johnson, 2000; Kimmance *et al.*, 2006), although “red-tide” blooms occur in large
54
55
56 bays, reaching up to 10^5 cells ml^{-1} , and it can regularly be found in some estuaries at
57
58
59 abundances of 10 - 100 ml^{-1} (Fenchel *et al.*, 1995; Johnson *et al.*, 2003; Begun *et al.*, 2004;
60
61
62 Jeong *et al.*, 2004). Thus, occasionally *O. marina* may be trophically important as a grazer

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 and prey for other organisms, but in terms of large-scale plankton dynamics, assessing the
5
6 specific importance of *O. marina* in natural systems is probably a moot point.
7
8

9
10 In contrast, there are significant benefits associated with examining *O. marina* as a
11
12 representative of other planktonic protozoa. It is easy to obtain from natural samples,
13
14 identify, maintain in culture, and manipulate in experiments. Furthermore, there is a long
15
16 history of research on this species, including extensive work that has examined its growth and
17
18 feeding (e.g. Droop, 1953; Montagnes *et al.*, this issue).
19
20
21
22

23
24 At present, however, many of the studies on the fate of *O. marina*, are incidental,
25
26 using it as a convenient prey and not focusing on it as a model to assess general questions
27
28 regarding predation on protozooplankton. Consequently, as an aid to continued research on
29
30 this species, we consider it timely to evaluate the dispersed works that uses *O. marina* as prey
31
32 and synthesise their findings to assess its general utility. Our premise, throughout the review,
33
34 is that *O. marina* is a versatile and extremely useful model prey that should be adopted in
35
36 future planktonic studies, ranging from those that examine nutrition to feeding behaviour. In
37
38 conducting this review, however, we recognised a further point: *O. marina* appears to be a
39
40 valuable food for maintaining many laboratory species. We, therefore, have augmented our
41
42 study with a brief assessment of the pragmatic nature of using this species to help maintain its
43
44 predators.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 CONSUMPTION WITHIN THE MICROBIAL FOOD WEB 58

59 There is a growing recognition that predation occurs within the microbial food web, at
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 a variety of levels (Fig. 1). Potential impacts of predation are a reduction in the trophic
5
6 transfer efficiency towards upper levels, such as copepods and ultimately fish; recycling of
7
8 nutrients within the smaller sized fraction of the food web; and increased pelagic food web
9
10 respiration (CO₂ generation) due to added heterotrophic activity. One such link is “group-
11
12 cannibalism”, a term applied to describe when protozoa eat other protozoa (Weisse, 1990); *O.*
13
14 *marina* may be used to help assess this process. For instance, *O. marina* is preyed upon by
15
16 the planktonic ciliate *Strombidinopsis jeokjo* (Jeong *et al.*, 2004; Fig. 2). To our knowledge,
17
18 however, there have been no other empirical studies on the feeding by heterotrophic protists
19
20 on *O. marina*, although Montagnes *et al.* (2008) have incorporated an *O. marina*-ciliate link
21
22 into a model of toxic dinoflagellate bloom control. There is, without doubt, scope here to use
23
24 *O. marina* in further experiments to assess group-cannibalism within the microbial food web.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 In contrast to group-cannibalism, true cannibalism also occur within protozoan, and
36
37 specifically dinoflagellate, populations, generally as a survival strategy to cope with food
38
39 limitation (Jeong and Latz, 1994; Latz and Jeong, 1996; Hausmann *et al.*, 2003). Again, *O.*
40
41 *marina* may act as a model, as it has long been known to be cannibalistic (Öpik and Flynn,
42
43 1989; Davidson *et al.*, 1995; Flynn *et al.*, 1996). Recently, Martel and Flynn (2008) reviewed
44
45 cannibalism for *O. marina*, obviating the need for us to do so in detail. In short, a small
46
47 proportion of a population (~ 2% or fewer) are cannibals, and this number seems to depend on
48
49 prey availability and the nutritional state of *O. marina*. However, unlike some other protozoa
50
51 (e.g. the freshwater ciliate *Blepharisma*, where some cells become cannibalistic “monsters”
52
53 when food is depleted, Giese, 1973), when *O. marina* is starved all cells decrease in size and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 there appears to be a decrease in cannibalism. These findings suggest that multiple cannibal-
5
6
7 strategies occur within the protozoa (and even within the dinoflagellates), which should
8
9
10 stimulate further research, possibly using *O. marina* as a useful representative for comparison.
11
12 Furthermore, the indication that cannibalism is relatively rare in cultures should provide
13
14
15 solace to those researchers who use *O. marina* to examine population dynamics, either in the
16
17
18 laboratory or in models. Likely, in laboratory work cannibalism can be ignored as a
19
20
21 confounding factor, and as Martel and Flynn (2008) suggest loss can be considered (if at all)
22
23
24 to be a constant in population models, rather than having to apply more complex population
25
26
27 dependent relationships.

28
29 Possibly the most unexpected microbial-predator of *O. marina* can be, paradoxically,
30
31
32 its prey. Although in most cases, *O. marina* engulfs prey, there is one case where the roles
33
34
35 become reversed, and the prey ingest *O. marina*. Specifically, the mixotrophic flagellate
36
37
38 *Prymnesium parvum* consumes *O. marina* under some conditions (Tillmann, 1998, 2003):
39
40
41 when *P. parvum* is not nutrient-stressed it is a suitable prey for *O. marina*, eliciting growth
42
43
44 and grazing responses similar to those produced by other suitable prey. However, when
45
46
47 exposed to toxin producing *P. parvum* cultures (induced through nutrient stress), *O. marina*
48
49
50 loses its motility, becomes rounded, hyaline, and finally lyses. Under these conditions,
51
52
53 individual *O. marina* are consumed, sometimes by several *P. parvum* at a time.

54
55 A wide range of protozoa are detrimentally influenced by this toxin producer
56
57
58 (Tillmann, 2003, and references within), and it is well accepted that protozoan grazing
59
60
(similar to that exhibited by *O. marina*) may prevent algal blooms (e.g. Tillmann, 2004).

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 Consequently, this reversal in roles, by reducing grazing pressure on *P. parvum*, may allow
5
6 the latter to bloom. In this case, *O. marina* has acted as a model to assess trophic role-reversal,
7
8 a process that has been recognised for some time (e.g. Hansen, 1991b) and may be more
9
10 prevalent than expected. We suggest that further studies on other mixotrophic prey be
11
12 conducted on *O. marina*, to assess the extent of role-reversal.
13
14
15
16
17

18 Finally, no recent review of “microbial predation” on protozooplankton would be
19
20 complete without including viruses, as although they are parasitic, they result in the demise of
21
22 their host, and thus from the hosts perspective and in terms of nutrient recycling the
23
24 consequence remains the same. Some of the earliest work linking viruses into the microbial
25
26 food web used *O. marina* as a convenient model to indicate that viral-like particles reduce
27
28 growth rate of protozoa (Pesan *et al.*, 1994). Since the early 1990s there has been a
29
30 substantial amount of research on how viruses will alter planktonic populations and
31
32 trophodynamics (the reader is directed to the review by Suttle, 2007, as a good starting place
33
34 on this topic). Given the interest in viruses, it is surprising that there appears to have been
35
36 little further research conducted on *O. marina* and viruses, or more importantly on viruses and
37
38 any other planktonic protozoa. We, therefore, propose that, given the ease of conducting
39
40 experiments with *O. marina*, it be reemployed to investigate viral infection on protozoa, using
41
42 new methodologies developed over the last 15 years (see Suttle, 2007).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 CONSUMPTION BY METAZOOPLANKTON

58

59 A range of planktonic invertebrates consume and grow on *O. marina*, including rotifers and
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 copepods. Furthermore, especially in the context of mesozooplankton, but also for other
5
6
7 invertebrates, *O. marina* has been used as both a dietary-staple and as a prey in a wide range
8
9
10 of experiments (Table 1). By assembling these studies, we hope to illuminate the versatility
11
12
13 of this species as a prey for invertebrates, and in the next two sections we suggest how such
14
15
16 versatility might be exploited.

17
18 However, our review of the literature has also recognized that some planktonic
19
20
21 vertebrates (i.e. fish larvae) may also consume *O. marina*. Such a “protozooplankton-
22
23
24 ichthyoplankton trophic link” could be important for larval survival, and there is a long
25
26
27 history of protozoa being used as food for larval fish, in aquaculture and the tropical fish trade
28
29
30 (for a recent review on this subject see Montagnes *et al.*, 2010). Although *O. marina* has
31
32
33 been successfully used to rear young stages of larval black porgy, *Mylio macrocephalus*,
34
35
36 supplemented the diet of larval lemonpeel angelfish, *Centropyge flavissimus*, and, anecdotally,
37
38
39 used to raise grey mullet larvae (Kasahara *et al.*, 1960; Nash and Kuo, 1975; Olivotto *et al.*,
40
41
42 2006), there is limited research into its use, in this respect. Given the ease of growing *O.*
43
44
45 *marina* to high abundances, its potential nutritional quality (see below), and its appropriate
46
47
48 size for small fish larvae (May, 1970), *O. marina* may prove to be useful. We propose that
49
50
51 feeding it to fish larvae is a direction for aquaculture-based research and laboratory-
52
53
54 exploration of the role of protozoa as food for larval fish in natural waters.
55
56

57 **ASPECTS OF OXYRRHIS MARINA ACTING AS A NUTRITIONAL-MODEL**

58
59
60 Evidence suggests that protozoa, in general, are nutritious for a range of organisms (Sanders

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 and Wickham, 1993). Specifically, Table 1 indicates that *O. marina* is a suitable food source
5
6
7 for a broad taxonomic range of organisms, and it is an appropriate prey size for many protists
8
9
10 and invertebrates such as large (~100 µm) ciliates, rotifers, and many copepods (Hansen *et al.*,
11
12
13 1994).

14
15 Like other protozoa, the composition of *O. marina*, and hence its potential nutritional
16
17
18 quality, is in part determined by its diet (typically microalgae but also bacteria), but *O. marina*
19
20
21 synthesises a range of compounds that do not occur in its prey. Thus, feed-cultures
22
23
24 containing *O. marina* and microalgae may enhance the growth of higher order predators. For
25
26
27 instance, *O. marina* grown on the autotrophic flagellate *Dunaliella* sp., produces long-chain
28
29
30 fatty acids and sterols that are not abundant in *Dunaliella* sp.; the combined diet of *O. marina*
31
32
33 and *Dunaliella* sp. then allows the copepods *Temora longicornis* and *Pseudocalanus*
34
35
36 *elongatus*, to grow successfully, whereas they do not survive well on *Dunaliella* sp. alone
37
38
39 (Klein Breteler *et al.*, 1999). Lund *et al.* (2008) have also indicated that *O. marina* does not
40
41
42 simply elongate and desaturate fatty acid precursors from its prey to produce long chain fatty
43
44
45 acids but produces these *de novo*. In a similar study on fatty acids and amino acids Kleppel *et*
46
47
48 *al.* (1998) indicated that the copepod *Acartia tonsa* grew better when fed mixed cultures
49
50
51 containing *O. marina*, again suggesting a trophic upgrade by *O. marina*. Furthermore, Scott
52
53
54 (1987) indicated that *O. marina* provides a needed source of tryptophan to rotifers that was
55
56
57 lacking in the algal prey. Finally, in a recent work, Malzahn *et al.* (2010) indicated that when
58
59
60 *Acartia tonsa* was fed *O. marina* that had been maintained on low-quality autotrophic
flagellates (*Rhodomonas salina*) the copepod was not affected, but when the copepod fed

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 directly on the low-quality primary producers, their respiration rates were higher and
5
6
7 development rate was lower; thus, *O. marina* was used to examine the general principle that
8
9
10 an intermediate step in the food chain may ultimately improve upper level productivity when
11
12 primary producers are of low nutritional quality. These studies above not only use *O. marina*
13
14
15 but also include other model plankters (e.g. *Dunaliella*, *Rhodomonas*, *Acartia*, *Temora*,
16
17
18 *Pseudocalanus*) illustrating the utility of model systems and the versatility of *O. marina*.

19
20
21 Heterotrophic dinoflagellates are argued to play a unique role within the
22
23
24 microzooplankton, being able to produce key nutritional substances (Klein Breteler *et al.*,
25
26
27 1999). If this is so, then it seems *O. marina* may act as a useful model to pursue such
28
29
30 research. However, of equal interest, in some cases predators consume *O. marina* but are
31
32
33 unable to reproduce on this diet (e.g. Scott, 1987), indicating that it can fail to provide all the
34
35
36 essential dietary nutrients to predators. One promising direction for future study is to
37
38
39 establish which nutrients occur and which are missing in *O. marina* and then assess if those
40
41
42 that are missing can be provided by trophic upgrading; i.e. providing *O. marina* with a
43
44
45 defined, complete diet. In this sense, we may be able to use *O. marina* to better understand
46
47
48 fundamental processes of survival of heterotrophic dinoflagellates in natural systems. Such
49
50
51 work will be facilitated by research that now indicates *O. marina* can easily be grown
52
53
54 axenically and on heat-killed bacteria (Droop, 1953; C. D. Lowe, pers. comm.).
55
56

57 **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

58
59
60 Given that *O. marina* is a suitably sized, nutritious prey for a wide range of organisms, it is

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 not surprising that, over the years, numerous experiment have used it (Table 1). Below, we
5
6 briefly expand on these types of experiments, suggesting how continued use of the *O. marina*
7
8 might be appropriate.
9

10
11
12 Much of the experimental work on *O. marina* has been directed towards assessing why
13
14 it is such a good supplement or sole diet for grazers and how this influences the growth and
15
16 reproduction of the grazers (Table 1). This work has focused on several calanoid copepods
17
18 commonly used to elucidate plankton dynamics. Furthermore, the work has focused on how
19
20 *O. marina* provides essential fatty acids and sterols to its predator and how these improve
21
22 their development and egg production. It is, therefore, surprising that comparable studies
23
24 have not been conducted on the nutritional benefits obtained through group-cannibalism (i.e.
25
26 between protozoa eating protozoa). Given the evidence that *O. marina* is nutritious and may
27
28 act as a trophic-upgrader (see the previous section), using this species to investigate the
29
30 potential importance of group-cannibalism seems a logical future direction of study.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 There are also surprisingly few studies of grazing and growth rates of predators fed on
42
43 *O. marina*; those that do so describe a classic Holling type-II response (Fig. 2). Grazing
44
45 experiments using *O. marina* and *Gymnodinium dominans* (a similarly sized heterotrophic
46
47 dinoflagellate) as prey for the large ciliate *Strombidinopsis jeokjo* suggest that predator
48
49 growth rate is similar when fed these two species, but grazing rates differ (Fig. 2a,b). Noting
50
51 the difference in these responses, Jeong *et al.* (2004) postulated that *O. marina* may yield a
52
53 higher gross growth efficiency than *G. dominans*. Two other data sets that examine predation
54
55 rates on *O. marina* both use the calanoid copepod genus *Acartia* (Fig. 2c); these data suggest
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 similar rates of ingestion, although there are study- and predator-differences. Finally, there
5
6 are only two studies that assess the bioenergetics of copepods (in both cases using the *Acartia*
7
8 *tonsa*) when provided with *O. marina*: one examines faecal pellet production (Feinberg and
9
10 Dam, 1998), while the other is more extensive and examines how food concentration alters
11
12 feeding, egestion, and ultimately assimilation efficiency (Besiktepe and Dam, 2002). Clearly,
13
14 if *O. marina* is to be included in food web models (e.g. Lee *et al.*, 2003; Montagnes *et al.*,
15
16 2008), then there is a need to better parameterise these responses in the future, using other
17
18 predators.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 There is a growing recognition that mesozooplankton, and specifically copepod
27
28 swimming behaviour may play an important role in pelagic ecosystems (e.g. Kiørboe, 2008).
29
30 To this end, *O. marina* has been used in a model that assess mesozooplankton swimming and
31
32 feeding (e.g. Mariani *et al.*, 2008). Unfortunately, though, there has been little work on the
33
34 behavioural changes of zooplankton, when feeding on *O. marina*. Schmitt and Seuront
35
36 (2001) examined the three-dimensional swimming of *Temora longicornis* and noted changes
37
38 in behaviour when it was fed *O. marina* and the smaller flagellate *Nannochloropsis oculata*.
39
40 Furthermore, unpublished data (M. Steinke, pers. comm.) indicate that the feeding history of
41
42 *O. marina* may affect dimethyl sulphide (DMS) production, and this, in turn, influences
43
44 copepod swimming behaviour (*Centropages hamatus*, *Acartia tonsa*); thus, indirectly, the
45
46 prey may alter the predator. These few, and disparate, studies suggest there is substantial
47
48 scope for future work using *O. marina* as a prey, directed at parameterising models such as
49
50 the one developed by Mariani *et al.* (2008).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 Finally, *O. marina* has played a role in multi-trophic level laboratory microcosm studies
5
6 and computer models (e.g. Hansen, 1993; Montagnes *et al.*, 2008; Mariani *et al.*, 2008;
7
8 Malzahn *et al.*, 2010) and may continue to do so given its extensive use as a model
9
10 (Montagnes *et al.*, this issue). It thus would seem appropriate that further work focuses on a
11
12 range of behaviours associated with grazers on *O. marina*, to better understand and model
13
14 predator-prey dynamics.
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 **A BRIEF NOTE ON MAINTAINING PREDATORS** 24

25
26 Although *O. marina* may act to help model predator-prey dynamics and behaviours, possibly
27
28 its most pragmatic use has been simply as food, for maintaining stock and experimental
29
30 cultures of laboratory organisms. For most applications, *O. marina* is mixed with other
31
32 smaller organisms, to provide a composite diet for an upper level grazer. For instance many
33
34 studies on copepods indicate that a combined diet of *O. marina* plus autotrophic flagellates
35
36 provides a better diet than either prey alone, and similar findings have been obtained for
37
38 feeding *O. marina* to rotifers (Table 1). In addition, benthic bryozoa have been successfully
39
40 grown on a prey mixture of *O. marina* and yeast (Kitamura and Hirayama, 1984). All of
41
42 these cases illustrate that there is a general improvement in predator growth when they are
43
44 supplemented with *O. marina*.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 From purely empirical observations, we might then suggest that this species should be
55
56 a recommended food for planktivorous proto- and metazoa that have proven difficult to rear
57
58 in the lab, and researchers conducting studies on such organisms should maintain stocks of *O.*
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 *marina*. Given that it is simple to establish *O. marina* cultures (e.g. Lowe *et al.*, 2005), and
5
6
7 strains are available from commercial culture collections (the CCMP, CCAP) or can be
8
9
10 obtained from colleagues, it would seem sensible for researchers to consider this species as a
11
12 routine stock prey item.
13

14 15 16 17 18 **CONCLUSIONS**

19
20
21 What then makes *O. marina* so special? It is a robust experimental protozoa that acts as a
22
23
24 proxy for many of the more common, but difficult to culture, planktonic species. Furthermore,
25
26
27 this review indicates that *O. marina* has the capability to be used to examine many aspects of
28
29
30 predation associated with the microbial food web (Fig. 1), from viral infection through to
31
32
33 group- and real cannibalism, trophic role-reversal, and ultimately to predation by
34
35
36 mesozooplankton and possibly larval fish. Finally, *O. marina* provides either all the dietary
37
38
39 needs or supplements the diet of many predators and can be used to reveal processes
40
41
42 associated with trophic-upgrading. Thus, *O. marina* acts as a useful, if not ideal, model in
43
44
45 this sense, and we recommend its use in future experiments.

46
47 We do provide the caveats that: 1) *O. marina* is not found in open waters and therefore
48
49
50 does not necessarily act as ideal representative of planktonic systems; 2) almost by definition,
51
52
53 as *O. marina* is a weed (i.e. easy to grow), it is robust and, therefore, not representative of
54
55
56 many planktonic protozoa; and finally, 3) recent work indicates that there are many strains of
57
58
59 *O. marina* (e.g. Lowe *et al.*, 2005, 2010) that can have different ecophysiological responses to
60
61
62 salinity (Lowe *et al.*, 2005) and to temperature and prey types (Montagnes, unpublished data).

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 Therefore, there is a need for researchers to work on defined, well characterised strains of *O.*
5
6
7 *marina* (note this concern is, undoubtedly applies to many model species).
8

9
10 Thus, after reviewing the literature, we recommend the following directions: 1)
11
12 establish more functional and numerical responses of predators (viral, proto-, and metazoan)
13
14 feeding on *O. marina*; 2) further examine predator behavior associated with the presence of *O.*
15
16 *marina* (e.g. swimming, prey rejection); and 3) compare 1 and 2 (above) to other non-toxic,
17
18 similar sized dinoflagellate prey, to establish *O. marina*'s appropriateness as a model
19
20 organism. Finally, there is a growing potential for the use of dinoflagellates to produce
21
22 nutraceuticals for human and agricultural consumption (e.g. Mansour *et al.*, 2003); possibly *O.*
23
24 *marina*, with its ability to trophically upgrade the quality of fatty acids could be a focus for
25
26 investigating commercial products, extending the range of predators to ourselves.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

39
40 We would like to thank Mark Breckels, Keith Davidson, Chris Lowe, Emily Roberts, Michael
41
42 Steinke, and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on this manuscript.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 FUNDING

50
51 This work was, in part, supported by a Project of International Cooperation and Exchanges
52
53 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30970500) awarded to YZ and a UK
54
55 NERC grant (NE/F005237/1) awarded to P. C. Watts, C. D. Lowe, and DJSM.
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 REFERENCES 5 6

7 Allali, K., Dolan, J. and Rassoulzadegan, F. (1994) Culture characteristics and orthophosphate
8 excretion of a marine oligotrich ciliate, *Strombidium sulcatum*, fed heat-killed
9 bacteria. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **105**, 159-165.
10
11

12 Augustin, C. B. (2006) Food and climate effects on copepod reproduction in the North and
13 Baltic Seas. PhD Dissertation, Universität zu Kiel. pp. 110.
14
15

16 Bamstedt, U. (1990) Trophodynamics of the scyphomedusae *Aurelia aurita*. Predation rate in
17 relation to abundance, size and type of prey organism. *J. Plankton Res.*, **12**, 215-229.
18
19

20 Begun, A. A., Orlova T. Yu. and Selina, M. S. (2004) A “bloom” in the water of Amursky
21 Bay (Sea of Japan) caused by the dinoflagellate *Oxyrrhis marina* Dujardin, 1841. *Russ.*
22 *J. Mar. Biol.*, **30**, 51-55.
23
24

25 Besiktepe, S. and Dam, H. G. (2002) Coupling of ingestion and defecation as a function of
26 diet in the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **229**, 151-164.
27
28

29 Boersma, M., Aberle, N., Hantzsche, F. M. *et al.* (2008). Nutritional limitation travels up the
30 food chain. *Internat. Rev. Hydrobiol.*, **93**, 479-488.
31
32

33 Broglio, E., Jónasdóttir, S. H., Calbet, A. *et al.* (2003) Effect of heterotrophic versus
34 autotrophic food on feeding and reproduction of the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa*:
35 relationship with prey fatty acid composition. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **31**, 277-278.
36
37

38 Calbet, A. (2008) The trophic roles of microzooplankton in marine systems. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*
39 **65**, 325-331.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Carotenuto, Y., Ianora, A., Buttino, I. *et al.* (2002) Is postembryonic development in the
5
6 copepod *Temora stylifera* negatively affected by diatom diets? *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*,
7
8 **276**, 49-66.
9

10
11
12 Chu, F. L. E., Lund, E. D. and Podbesek, J. A. (2008a) Quantitative significance of n-3
13
14 essential fatty acid contribution by heterotrophic protists in marine pelagic food webs.
15
16 *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **354**, 85-95.
17

18
19
20 Chu, F. L. E., Lund, E. D., Littreal, P. R. *et al.* (2008b) Sterol production and phytosterol
21
22 bioconversion in two species of heterotrophic protists, *Oxyrrhis marina* and *Gyrodinium*
23
24 *dominans*. *Mar. Biol.*, **156**, 155-169.
25
26

27
28
29 Conover, R. J. (1982) Interrelations between microzooplankton and other planktonic
30
31 organisms. *Ann. Instit. Oceanogr.* **58(S)**, 31-46.
32
33

34
35 Davidson, K., Cunningham, A. and Flynn, K. J. (1995) Predator-prey interactions between
36
37 *Isochrysis galbana* and *Oxyrrhis marina* III. Mathematical modelling of predation and
38
39 nutrient regeneration. *J. Plankton Res.* **17**, 465-492.
40
41

42
43 Dolan., J. R. and Simek, K. S. (1997) Processing of ingested matter in *Strombidium sulcatum*
44
45 a marine ciliate (Oligotrichida). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **42**, 393-397.
46
47

48
49 Droop, M. R. (1953) Phagotrophy in *Oxyrrhis marina* Dujardin. *Nature*, **172**, 250.
50

51
52 Feinberg, R. L. and Dam, H. G. (1998) Effects of diet on dimensions, density and sinking
53
54 rates of fecal pellets of the copepod *Acartia tonsa*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **175**, 87-96.
55
56

57
58 Fenchel, T. Bernard, C. Estaban, G. *et al.* (1995) Microbial diversity and activity in a Danish
59
60 fjord with anoxic deep water. *Ophelia*, **43**, 45-100.

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

2
3
4 Fenchel, T. and Jonsson, P. R. (1988) The functional biology of *Strombidium sulcatum*, a
5
6
7 marine oligotrich ciliate (Cilophora, Oligotrichina). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **48**,1-15.

8
9
10 Figueiredo, G. M., Nash, R. D. M. and Montagnes, D. J. S. (2007) Do protozoa contribute
11
12 significantly to the diet of larval fish in the Irish sea? *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK*, **87**, 843-
13
14
15 850.

16
17
18 Fileman, E., Petropavlovsky, A. and Harris, R. (2010) Grazing by the copepods *Calanus*
19
20
21 *helgolandicus* and *Acartia clausi* on the protozooplankton community at station L4 in
22
23
24 the Western English Channel. *J. Planktonic Res.*, **32**, 709-724.

25
26
27 Flynn, K. J., Davidson, K. and Cunningham, A. (1996) Prey selection and rejection by a
28
29
30 microflagellate implications for the study and operation of microbial food webs. *J. Exp.*
31
32
33 *Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **196**, 357-372.

34
35
36 Fryd, M., Haslund, O. H. and Wohlgemuth, O. (1991) Development, growth and egg
37
38
39 production of the two copepod species *Centropages hamatus* and *Centropages typicus*
40
41
42 in the laboratory. *J. Plankton Res.*, **13**, 683-689.

43
44
45 Giese, A. C. (1973) *Blepharisma, the Biology of a Light-Sensitive Protozoan*. Stanford
46
47
48 University Press, Stanford. pp. 366.

49
50
51 Gifford, D. J. (1991) The protozoan-metazoan trophic link in pelagic ecosystems, *J. Eukaryot.*
52
53
54 *Microbiol.*, **38**, 81-86.

55
56
57 Guérin, J. P., Kirchner, M. and Cubizolles, F. (2001) Effects of *Oxyrrhis marina*
58
59
60 (Dinoflagellata), bacteria and vitamin D2 on population dynamics of *Tisbe holothuriae*
(Copepoda). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **261**, 1-16.

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Hansen, B., Bjornsen, P. K. and Hansen, P. J. (1994) The size ratio between planktonic
5
6 predators and their prey. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **39**, 395-403.
7

8
9
10 Hansen, F. C., Reckermann, M. and Klein Breteler, W. C. M. (1993) *Phaeocystis* blooming
11
12 enhanced by copepod predation on protozoa: evidence from incubation experiments.
13
14 *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **102**, 51-57.
15
16

17
18 Hansen, P. J. (1991a) Quantitative importance and trophic role of heterotrophic
19
20 dinoflagellates in a coastal pelagial food web. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **73**, 253-261.
21
22

23
24 Hansen, P. J. (1991b) *Dinophysis* -a planktonic dinoflagellate genus which can act both as a
25
26 prey and a predator of a ciliate. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **69**, 201-204.
27
28

29
30 Hausmann K., Hülsmann, N. and Radek, R. (2003) *Protistology*. E. Schweizerbart'sche
31
32 Buchhandlung (Nägele u. Obermiller), Stuttgart. pp. 379.
33
34

35
36 Irigoien, X., Titelman, J., Harris R, P. *et al.* (2003) Feeding of *Calanus finmarchicus* nauplii
37
38 in the Irminger Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **262**, 193-200.
39

40
41 Jakobsen, H. H. (2002) Escape of protists in predator-generated feeding currents. *Aquat.*
42
43 *Microb. Ecol.*, **26**, 271-281.
44
45

46
47 Jebram, D. (1970) Preliminary experiments with bryozoa in a simple apparatus for producing
48
49 continuous water currents. *Helgoländer wiss. Meeresunters.*, **20**, 278-292.
50

51
52 Jebram, D. S. and Everitt, B. (1982) New victorellids (Bryozoa, Ctenostomata) from North
53
54 America: the use of parallel cultures in bryozoan taxonomy. *Biol. Bull.*, **163**, 172-187.
55
56

57
58 Jeong, H. J. (1999) The ecological roles of heterotrophic dinoflagellates in marine planktonic
59
60 community. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, **46**, 390-396.

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Jeong, H. J. and Latz M. I. (1994) Growth and grazing rates of the heterotrophic
5

6
7 dinoflagellates *Protopendinum* spp. on red tide dinoflagellates. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*
8

9
10 **106**, 173-185.
11

12 Jeong, H. J., Kang, H., Shim, J. H. *et al.* (2001) Interactions among the toxic dinoflagellate
13

14
15 *Amphidinium carterae*, the heterotrophic dinoflagellate *Oxyrrhis marina*, and the
16

17
18 calanoid copepods *Acartia* spp. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **218**, 77-86.
19

20 Jeong, H. J., Yoo, Y. D., Kim, J. S. *et al.* (2004) Feeding by the marine planktonic ciliate
21

22
23 *Strombidinopsis jeokjo* on common heterotrophic dinoflagellates. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*,
24

25
26 **36**, 181-187.
27

28 Johnson, M. P. (2000) Physical control of plankton population abundance and dynamics in
29

30
31 intertidal rock pools. *Hydrobiologia*, **440**, 145–152.
32

33
34 Johnson, M. D., Rome, M. and Stoecker, D. K. (2003) Microzooplankton grazing on
35

36
37 *Prorocentrum minimum* and *Karlodinium micrum* in Chesapeake Bay. *Limnol.*
38

39
40 *Oceanogr.* **48**, 238-248.
41

42
43 Kasahara, S., Hirano, R. and Oshima, Y. (1960) A study on the growth and rearing methods
44

45
46 of black porgy, *Mylio macrocephalus* (Basilwesky). *Bull. Jap. Soc. Sci. Fish.*, **26**, 239-
47

48
49 244.
50

51 Kim, J. S. and Jeong, H. J. (2004) Feeding by the heterotrophic dinoflagellates *Gyrodinium*
52

53
54 *dominans* and *G. spirale* on the red-tide dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*. *Mar.*
55

56
57 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **280**, 85-94.
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Kimmance, S. E., Atkinson, D. and Montagnes, D. J. S. (2006) Do temperature -food
5

6
7 interactions matter? Responses of production and its components in the model
8

9
10 heterotrophic flagellate *Oxyrrhis marina*. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* **42**, 63-73.
11

12
13 Kirchner, M. and Guérin, J. P. (1995) Influence of the heterotrophic dinoflagellate *Oxyrrhis*
14

15
16 *marina* on the population dynamics of *Tisbe holothuriae*. *Vie Milieu*, **45**, 27-38.
17

18
19 Kitamura, H. and Hirayama, K. (1984) Suitable food plankton for growth of a Bryozoan
20

21
22 *Bugula neritina* under laboratory conditions. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.*, **50**, 973-977.
23

24
25 Kiørboe, T. (2008) *A Mechanistic Approach to Plankton Ecology*. Princeton University Press,
26

27
28 Princeton. pp. 228
29

30
31 Kiørboe, T. and Tiselius, P. T. (1987) Gut clearance and pigment destruction in a herbivorous
32

33
34 copepod, *Acartia tonsa*, and the determination of in situ grazing rates. *J. Plankton Res.*
35

36
37 **9**, 525-534.
38

39
40 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. (1980) Continuous breeding of marine pelagic copepods in the
41

42
43 presence of heterotrophic dinoflagellates. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.*, **2**, 229-233.
44

45
46 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. and Gonzalez, S. R. (1982) Influence of cultivation and food
47

48
49 concentration on body length of calanoid copepods. *Mar. Biol.*, **71**, 157-161.
50

51
52 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. and Gonzalez, S. R. (1986) Culture and development of *Temora*
53

54
55 *longicornis* (Copepoda, Calanoida) at different conditions of temperature and food.
56

57
58 *Syllogeus*, **58**, 71-84.
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. and Gonzalez, S. R. (1988) Influence of temperature and food
5
6 concentration on body size, weight and lipid content of two calanoid copepod species.
7

8
9
10 *Hydrobiologia*, **167/168**, 201-210.
11

12 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. and Koski, M. (2003) Development and grazing of *Temora*
13

14
15 *longicornis* (Copepoda, Calanoida) nauplii during nutrient limited *Phaeocystis globosa*
16

17
18 blooms in mesocosms. *Hydrobiologia*, **491**, 185-192.
19

20 Klein Breteler, W. C. M., Koski, M. and Rampen, S. (2004) Role of essential lipids in
21

22
23 copepod nutrition: no evidence for trophic upgrading of food quality by a marine ciliate.
24

25
26 *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **274**, 199–208.
27

28
29 Klein Breteler, W. C. M. and Schogt, N. (1994) Development of *Acartia clausi* (Copepoda,
30

31
32 Calanoida) cultured at different conditions of temperature and food. *Hydrobiologia*,
33

34
35 **292/293**, 469-479.
36

37 Klein Breteler, W. C. M., Gonzales, S. R. and Schogt, N. (1995) Development of
38

39
40 *Pseudocalanus elongatus* (Copepoda, Calanoida) cultured at different temperature and
41

42
43 food conditions. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **119**, 99–110.
44

45 Klein Breteler, W. C. M., Schogt, N., Baas, M. *et al.* (1999) Trophic upgrading of food
46

47
48 quality by protozoans enhancing copepod growth: role of essential lipids. *Mar. Biol.*,
49

50
51 **135**, 191-198.
52

53
54 Klein Breteler, W. C. M., Schogt, N. and Gonzalez, S. R. (1990) On the role of food quality in
55

56
57 grazing and development of life stages, and genetic change of body size during
58

59
60 cultivation of pelagic copepods. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **135**, 177-189.

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 Kleppel, G. S. and Burkart, C. A. (1995) Egg production and the nutritional environment of
5
6
7 *Acartia tonsa*: the role of food quality in copepod nutrition. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, **52**, 297-
8
9
10 304.

11
12 Kleppel, G. S., Burkart, C. A. and Houchin, L. (1998) Nutrition and the regulation of egg
13
14
15 production in the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **43**, 1000-1007.
16
17

18 Koski, M. and Riser, C. W. (2006) Post-bloom feeding of *Calanus finmarchicus* copepodites:
19
20
21 Selection for autotrophic versus heterotrophic prey. *Mar. Biol. Res.*, **2**: 109–119.
22
23

24 Koski, M., Klein Breteler, W., Schogt, N. *et al.* (2006) Life-stage-specific differences in
25
26
27 exploitation of food mixtures: diet mixing enhances copepod egg production but not
28
29
30 juvenile development. *J. Plankton Res.*, **28**, 919-936.
31

32 Latz, M. I. and Jeong, H. J. (1996) Effect of red tide dinoflagellate diet and cannibalism on
33
34
35 the bioluminescence of the heterotrophic dinoflagellates *Protoperdinium* spp. *Mar.*
36
37
38 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 132, 275-285.
39

40 Lee J-Y., Tett, P. and Kim, K-R. (2003) Parameterising a microplankton model. *J. Korean*
41
42
43 *Soc. Oceanogr.*, **38**, 185-210.
44
45

46 Lowe, C. D., Montagnes, D. J. S., Martin, L. E. *et al.* (2010). Patterns of genetic diversity in
47
48
49 the marine heterotrophic flagellate *Oxyrrhis marina* (Alveolata: Dinophyceae). *Protist.*
50
51
52 **161**, 212-221.
53

54 Lowe, C. D., Kemp, S. J., Day, A. *et al.* (2005) There are high levels of functional and genetic
55
56
57 diversity on *Oxyrrhis marina*. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, **52**, 250-257.
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Lund, E. D., Chu, F. L. E., Harvey, E. *et al.* (2008) Mechanism(s) of long chain n-3 essential
5
6 fatty acid production in two species of heterotrophic protists: *Oxyrrhis marina* and
7
8
9
10 *Gyrodinium dominans*. *Mar. Biol.*, **155**, 23-36.
11

12 Mansour, M. P., Volkman, J. K. and Blackburn, S. I. (2003) The effect of growth phase on the
13
14 lipid class, fatty acid and sterol composition in the marine dinoflagellate, *Gymnodinium*
15
16 sp. in batch culture. *Phytochem.* **63**, 145-153.
17

18
19
20
21 Mariani, P., Botte, V. and d'Alcala, M. R. (2008) A numerical investigation of the impact of
22
23 turbulence on the feeding rates of *Oithona davisae*. *J. Mar. Syst.*, **70**, 273-286.
24

25
26
27 Martel, C. M. and Flynn, K. J. (2008) Morphological controls on cannibalism in a planktonic
28
29 marine phagotroph. *Protist*, **159**, 41-51.
30

31
32 May, R. C. (1970). Feeding larval marine fishes in the laboratory: a review. *Calif. Mar. Res.*
33
34 *Comm. CalCOFI Rept.*, **14**, 76-83.
35

36
37
38 Menden-Deuer, S. and Grünbaum, D. (2006) Individual foraging behaviors and population
39
40 distributions of a planktonic predator aggregating to phytoplankton thin layers. *Limnol.*
41
42 *Oceanogr.*, **51**, 109-116.
43
44

45
46 Menden-Deuer, S. and Lessard, E.J. (2000) Carbon to volume relationships for
47
48 dinoflagellates, diatoms, and other protist plankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **45**, 569-579.
49

50
51
52 Modeo, L., Petroni, G., Rosati, G. *et al.* (2003) A multidisciplinary approach to describe
53
54 protists: redescrptions of *Novistrombidium testaceum* Anigstein 1914 and *Strombidium*
55
56 *inclinatum* Montagnes, Taylor, and Lynn 1990 (Ciliophora, Oligotrichia). *J. Eukaryot.*
57
58 *Microbiol.*, **50**, 175-189.
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Montagnes, D. J. S., Chambouvet, A., Guillou, L. *et al.* (2008) Responsibility of
5

6
7 microzooplankton and parasite pressure be responsible for the demise of toxic
8

9
10 dinoflagellate blooms. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **53**, 211-225.
11

12 Montagnes, D. J. S., Dower, J. F. and Figueiredo, G. M (2010) The protozooplankton-
13

14
15 ichthyoplankton trophic link: an overlooked aspect of aquatic food webs. *J. Eukaryot.*
16

17
18 *Microbiol.*, **57**, 223-228.
19

20 Montagnes, D. J. S., Lowe, C. D., Roberts, E. C. *et al.* (this issue). An introduction to the
21

22
23 special issue: *Oxyrrhis marina*, a model organism? *J. Plankton Res.*, **xx**, xx-xx.
24

25
26 Montagnes, D. J. S., Taylor, F. J. R. and Lynn, D. H. (1990) *Strombidium inclinatum* n. sp.
27

28
29 and a reassessment of *Strombidium sulcatum* Claparède and Lachmann (Ciliophora) *J.*
30

31
32 *Euk. Microbiol.*, **37**, 318-323.
33

34
35 Morris, R. W. (1956) Some aspects of the problem of rearing marine fishes. *Bull. Inst.*
36

37
38 *Oceanogra. Monaco*, **1082**, 61.
39

40 Nash, C. E. and Kuo, C. M. (1975) Hypotheses for problems impeding the mass propagation
41

42
43 of grey mullet and other finfish. *Aquaculture*, **5**, 119-134.
44

45
46 Olivotto, I., Holt, S. A., Carnevali, O. *et al.* (2006) Spawning, early development, and first
47

48
49 feeding in the lemonpeel angelfish *Centropyge flavissimus*. *Aquaculture*, **253**, 270-278.
50

51 Öpik, H. And Flynn, K. J. (1989) The digestive process of the dinoflagellate, *Oxyrrhis marina*
52

53
54 Dujardin, feeding on the chlorophyte, *Dunaliella primolecta* Butcher: a combined study
55

56
57 of ultrastructure and free amino acids. *New Phytol.*, **113**, 143-151.
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Pesan, B. F, Weinbauer, M. G. and Peduzzi P. (1994) Significance of the virus-rich 2-200 nm
5
6 size fraction of seawater for heterotrophic flagellates. I. Impact on growth. *Mar. Ecol.*,
7
8
9 **15**, 281-290.
10

11
12 Ptacnik, R., Sommer, U., Hansen, T. *et al.* 2004. Effects of microzooplankton and mixotrophy
13
14 in an experimental planktonic food web. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **49**, 1435-1445.
15

16
17 Saiz, E., Movilla, J., Yebra, L. *et al.* (2009) Lethal and sublethal effects of naphthalene and
18
19 1,2-dimethylnaphthalene on naupliar and adult stages of the marine cyclopoid copepod
20
21 *Oithona davisae*. *Environ. Pollut.*, **157**, 1219-1226.
22
23

24
25 Sanders, R. W. and Wickham, S. A. (1993) Planktonic protists and metazoa: predation, food
26
27 quality and population control. *Mar. Microb. Food Webs*, **7**, 197-223.
28
29

30
31 Schmitt, F. G. and Seuront, L. (2001) Multifractal random walk in copepod behavior. *Physica*
32
33 *A*, **301**, 375-396.
34
35

36
37 Scott, J. M. (1987) Further nutritional studies on the marine rotifer *Enicentrum linnhei*.
38
39 *Hydrobiologia*, **147**, 303-306.
40
41

42
43 Stevens, C. J., Deibel, D. and Parrish, C. C. (2004) Incorporation of bacterial fatty acids and
44
45 changes in a wax ester-based omnivory index during a long-term incubation experiment
46
47 with *Calanus glacialis* Jaschnov. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **303**, 135-156.
48
49

50
51 Stoecker, D. K. and Capuzzo, J. M. (1990) Predation on protozoa: its importance to
52
53 zooplankton. *J. Plankton Res.* **12**, 891-908.
54
55

56
57 Suttle, C. A. (2007) Marine viruses - major players in the global ecosystem. *Nature Rev.*
58
59 *Microbiol.*, **5**, 801-812.
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2

3
4 Tang, K. W. and Taal, M. (2005) Trophic modification of food quality by heterotrophic
5
6
7 protists: species-specific effects on copepod egg production and egg hatching. *J. Exp.*
8
9
10 *Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **318**, 85- 98.

11
12 Tillmann, U. (1998) Phagotrophy by a plastidic haptophyte, *Prymnesium patelliferum*. *Aquat.*
13
14
15 *Microb. Ecol.*, **14**, 155-160.

16
17
18 Tillmann, U. (2003) Kill and eat your predator: a winning strategy of the planktonic flagellate
19
20
21 *Prymnesium parvum*. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, **32**, 73-84.

22
23
24 Tillmann, U. (2004) Interactions between planktonic microalgae and protozoan grazers. *J.*
25
26
27 *Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, **51**, 156-168.

28
29 van Duren, L. A., Stamhuis, E. J. and Videler, J. J. (2003) Copepod feeding currents: flow
30
31
32 patterns, filtration rates and energetics. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **206**, 255-267.

33
34
35 Veloza, A. J., Chu, F. L. E. and Tang, K. W. (2006) Trophic modification of essential fatty
36
37
38 acids by heterotrophic protists and its effects on the fatty acid composition of the
39
40
41 copepod *Acartia tonsa*. *Mar. Biol.*, **148**, 779-788.

42
43 Weisse, T. (1990) Trophic interactions among heterotrophic microplankton, nanoplankton,
44
45
46 and bacteria in Lake Constance. *Hydrobiologia*, **191**, 111-122.

47
48
49 Wiadnyana, N. N. and Rassoulzadegan, F (1989) Selective feeding of *Acartia clausi* and
50
51
52 *Centropages typicus* on microzooplankton. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **53**, 37-45.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
2
3

4 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

5
6

7 **Fig. 1** An illustration of the potential links by predation within and extending from the
8
9 microbial food web: c, cannibalism; f, larval fish; g, “group-cannibalism”; mz, micro-
10 metazooplankton; v, viral, z mesozooplankton. The illustration is liberally modified from a
11 food web presented by Conover (1982) using information from a range of sources (Stoecker
12 and Capuzzo, 1990; Gifford, 1991; Sanders and Wickham, 1993; Pesan *et al.*, 1994; Irigoien
13 *et al.*, 2003; Tillmann, 2003; Jeong, *et al.*, 2004; Figueiredo *et al.*, 2007; Martel and Flynn,
14 2008)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Fig. 2.** A comparison of grazing and growth rates on *Oxyrrhis marina*. a. grazing by the
30 ciliate *Strombidinopsis jeokjo* on *O. marina* (solid line) and the similarly sized heterotrophic
31 dinoflagellate *Gyrodinium dominans* (dotted line). b. specific growth rate of *Strombidinopsis*
32 *jeokjo* on *O. marina* (solid line) and *Gyrodinium dominans* (dotted line). c. Grazing rate on
33 *O. marina* from two copepod-studies: *Acartia* spp. (*A. omorii* and *A. hongii*) (solid line) and *A.*
34 *tonsa* (dotted line). Data on *Strombidinopsis jeokjo* are from Jeong *et al.* (2004); data on
35 *Acartia* spp. are from Jeong *et al.* (2001); data on *A. tonsa* are from Besiktepe and Dam
36 (2002). Where necessary *O. marina* volume was converted to carbon following the equation
37 for heterotrophic dinoflagellates presented in Menden-Deuer and Lessard (2000) and volume
38 estimates presented in Jeong *et al.* (2004).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?
 2
 3

4 Table 1. Predators on *Oxyrrhis marina*, indicating the type of grazer and type of study(ies), a
 5
 6
 7 brief indication of the scope of the study(ies), and the authors of the studies.
 8
 9
 10


Type of study/grazer	Direction of study	Source
Animal husbandry		
Bryozoa: <i>Bugula avicularia</i> , <i>B. neritina</i> , <i>B. stolonifera</i> , <i>Bowerbankia gracilis</i> , <i>Conopeum reticulurn</i> , <i>Conopeurn seurati</i> , <i>Electra monostachys</i> , <i>Electra pilosa</i> , <i>Farella repens</i> , <i>Farrella repens</i> , <i>Tanganella appendiculata</i> , <i>Tanganella mulleri</i> , <i>Victorella pseudoarachnidia</i>	Supplement to diet of alga and yeast	Jebram, 1970; Jebram and Everitt, 1982; Kitamura and Hirayama, 1984 and references therein
Copepod: <i>Acartia clausi</i> , <i>Acartia tonsa</i> , <i>Calanus finmarchicus</i> , <i>Centropages hamatus</i> , <i>Centropages typicus</i> , <i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Pseudocalanus sp.</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i> , <i>Tisbe holothuriae</i>	Supplement to diet of alga	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1982; 1994; 2004; Klein Breteler and Gonzalez, 1982; 1986; Fryd <i>et al.</i> , 1991; Klein Breteler and Schogt, 1994; Kirchner and Guérin, 1995; Klein Breteler and Koski, 2003; van Duren <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Besiktepe and Dam, 2002; Koski and Riser, 2006; Koski <i>et al.</i> , 2006
Fish larvae: <i>Centropyge flavissimus</i> , <i>Mylio macrocephalzis</i> , <i>Mugil cephalus</i> , <i>Mugilidae sp.</i>	Diet of first feeding	(Morris, 1956; Kasahara <i>et al.</i> , 1960; May, 1970; Nash and Kuo, 1975; Olivotto <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
Nutrition, food quality, and trophic upgrade		
<i>Acartia longiremis</i> (copepod)	Fatty acid content effect on egg production and hatching success	Augustin, 2006
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Amino and fatty acids regulation of egg production	Kleppel <i>et al.</i> , 1998
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Establishing a trophic upgrade index	Tang and Taal, 2005
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Trophic modification of essential fatty acids	Veloza <i>et al.</i> , 2006
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	3 and 4 trophic-level interactions	Malzahn <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>Calanus glacialis</i> (copepod)	Production of polyunsaturated fatty acids	Stevens <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i> (copepod)	Production of unsaturated fatty acids and sterols	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1999
<i>Encentrum linnhei</i> (rotifer)	Trophic transfer of tryptophan	Scott, 1987
No specific predator	Production of long-chain n-3 essential fatty acids and sterols	Chu <i>et al.</i> , 2008a
No specific predator	The kinetics and efficiency of sterol production and bioconversion of phytosterols	Chu <i>et al.</i> , 2008b
Reproduction, development, and growth		
<i>Acartia clausi</i> , <i>Centropages hamatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i> (copepod)	Breeding success and population characteristics	Klein Breteler, 1980
<i>Acartia longiremis</i> (copepod)	Effect of fatty acid content on egg production rate and egg hatching success	Augustin, 2006
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Egg production	Kleppel <i>et al.</i> , 1998
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Egg production and hatching success	Kleppel and Burkart, 1995
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Egg production rate and egg hatching success	Tang and Taal, 2005
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i>	Development from young nauplius	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1999

Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

(copepod)	larvae to maturity	
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i>	Body size in the adult stage and rate of development	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1990
(copepod)		
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> (copepod)	Rate of development from egg to adult	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1995
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i>	Prosome length and biomass	Klein Breteler and Gonzalez, 1988
(copepod)		
<i>Temora stylifera</i> (copepod)	Postembryonic development	Carotenuto <i>et al.</i> , 2002
<i>Tisbe holothuriae</i> (copepod)	Population dynamics	Guérin <i>et al.</i> , 2001
Behaviour		
<i>Oithona davisae</i> (copepod)	Impact of turbulence on feeding	Mariani <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>Oxyrrhis marina</i>	Cannibalism in protozoa	Martel and Flynn, 2008
<i>Temora longicornis</i> (copepod)	Swimming behaviour	Schmitt and Seuront, 2001
Bioenergetics		
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Diet effect on faecal pellet production, grazing rate, and assimilation efficiency	Besiktepe and Dam, 2002
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Diet effect on faecal pellet characteristics	Feinberg and Dam, 1998
Ecotoxicology		
<i>Oithona davisae</i> (copepod)	Sublethal/lethal bioassay and toxin transfer in food chain	Saiz <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Grazing and ingestion		
<i>Acartia omorii</i> , <i>Acartia hongii</i> (copepod)	Ingestion rates	Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2001
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	<i>O. marina</i> used as non fluorescing particle to assess gut clearance	Kjørboe and Tiselius, 1987
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Establishing functional response	Besiktepe and Dam, 2002
<i>Pseudocalanus elongatus</i> , <i>Temora longicornis</i>	Weight-specific ingestion	Klein Breteler <i>et al.</i> , 1990
(copepod)		
<i>Strombidinopsis jeokjo</i> (ciliate)	Grazing impact on populations	Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Food web dynamics		
<i>Acartia</i> spp. (copepod)	Toxic dinoflagellates bloom dynamics	Jeong <i>et al.</i> , 2001
<i>Acartia tonsa</i> (copepod)	Food chain stoichiometry, review	Boersma <i>et al.</i> , 2008
Calanoid copepods (various life stages)	Microcosm manipulation	Ptacinik <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Temora longicornis</i> (copepod)	Laboratory study of trophic cascade	Hansen <i>et al.</i> , 1993
Virtual (i.e. models)		
<i>Oithona davisae</i> (copepod)	Impact of turbulence on feeding	Mariani <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>Strombidinopsis multiauricis</i> (ciliate)	Parasite control of toxic algal blooms	Montagnes <i>et al.</i> , 2008
Interaction		
<i>Prymnesium parvum</i> (alga)	Mixotrophic prey eat <i>O. marina</i>	Tillmann, 1998; 2003

Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?

1 Fig. 1



view

Yang *et al.* 11. Is *Oxyrrhis marina* a model prey?2 **Fig. 2**

3

