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#### Abstract

Trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs) and Centaurs display the widest color diversity in comparison to other small solar system bodies. The investigation of their properties can help in understanding the evolution of these objects. In this paper we propose a classification scheme based on multivariate statistical analysis of a homogeneous, high-quality set of $B-V, V-R, V-I$, and $V-J$ color indices. Analyzing a sample of 51 objects and using a high confidence level, four groups have been identified and named: $B B, B R, I R$, and $R R$. The group $B B$ contains objects with neutral color and $R R$ those with very red color, while the others have intermediate behavior. We extend the analysis to 84 other objects for which three colors are available, obtaining a preliminary classification. A tentative interpretation of these groups in terms of surface characteristics is given.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs) or the so-called EdgeworthKuiper Belt objects are believed to represent the most pristine and thermally unprocessed objects in the solar system that are accessible to ground-based observations. They are presumed to be remnants of external planetesimal swarms. Since the first discovery in 1992, more than 1000 objects have been detected, and their number increases continuously. These objects can be divided dynamically into several classes: classical objects, with orbits having low eccentricities and inclinations (semimajor axes between about 42 and 48 AU ); resonant objects, which are trapped in resonances with Neptune with the majority in or near the 3:2 mean motion resonance; scattered objects, with high-eccentricity, high-inclination orbits and a perihelion distance near $q=35 \mathrm{AU}$; and extended scattered disk objects, which do not have gravitational interactions with Neptune. With this population we can also associate the Centaurs, which seem to come from TNOs and were injected into their present orbits by gravitational instabilities and collisions.

The study of physical properties of TNOs and Centaurs can provide essential information about the conditions present in the early solar system environment. The physical and chemical knowledge of these objects is still very limited (see Barucci et al. 2004 for a review), with little information available on the compositional properties of their surfaces. Spectroscopy is the best method to investigate the surface composition of these remote objects. However, given their faintness, visible and near-infrared spectra are available only for a few of them at best, with very low signal-to-noise ratio. Today, photometry is the only technique that provides data for a large number of objects, particularly in the visible region. Recent large programs (Doressoundiram et al. 2001, 2002, 2005b; Boehnhardt et al. 2002; Peixinho et al. 2004) have provided high-quality, homogeneous $B, V, R$, and $I$ colors for about 130 objects. The relevant statistical analyses have been performed, and all possible correlations between optical colors and orbital parameters have been analyzed (see Doressoundiram

[^0]2003 for a review). Tegler \& Romanishin (1998, 2000, 2003), with a statistic based on the analysis of only two colors, $B-V$ and $V-R$, found a division into two distinct populations: one consisting of objects whose surface colors were similar to those of the Sun and the other consisting of the reddest objects known in the solar system.

The investigation of color diversity is important and can be a diagnostic of possible composition diversity and/or the different evolutions from different physical processes affecting the surfaces of objects. When dealing with a large number of objects, it is important to distinguish groups of objects with similar surface properties. Such an approach to studying the physical properties of asteroids has resulted in a taxonomy scheme based mostly on surface colors and albedos and has become an efficient tool in asteroid investigations.

In this paper we apply to 51 TNOs and Centaurs the same techniques used to classify asteroids in the 1980s. The first attempts at such an analysis were devoted to groups of 22 objects (Barucci et al. 2001) and 34 objects (Fulchignoni et al. 2003) and showed that $V-I$ and $V-J$ colors are the key parameters in dividing a sample into homogeneous groups. Barucci et al. (2001) found a continuous spread of these objects between neutral to very red colors. Further analysis yielded four groups, while Fulchignoni et al. (2003) showed that the larger the sample, the finer its description could be.

In this paper we analyze photometric data of a sample of 51 objects for which highly homogeneous four-color indices ( $B-V, V-R, V-I$, and $V-J$ ) exist, and then we enlarge the statistics to a large sample of 135 objects for which only threecolor indices $(B-V, V-R$, and $V-I)$ are available.

## 2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

We considered all the available colors published after 1996 for TNOs and Centaurs. We obtained (1) a set of data for 135 objects observed in the $B, V, R$, and $I$ bands, (2) a set of 51 objects observed in the $B, V, R, I$, and $J$ bands with high-quality homogeneous data, and (3) a subsample of 37 objects also including the $H$ band. We selected as the primary sample the complete set of 51 objects observed in five filters ( $B, V, R, I$, and $J$ ), owing to the importance of $V-J$ colors as shown by a previous analysis (Barucci et al. 2001). The list of objects and their colors together with the references to the original data are given in Table 1. When multiple observations of an object were available, we

TABLE 1
Average Colors of the Selected Sample of 51 Objects and the Proposed Taxonomical Classification

| Object | Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $B-V$ | $V-R$ | $V-I$ | $V-J$ | $V-H$ | References | Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.67 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 1.08 | 1.37 | 1,2 |  |
| 2060 Chiron.. | C | $0.66 \pm 0.04$ | $0.36 \pm 0.01$ | $0.74 \pm 0.04$ | $1.13 \pm 0.06$ | $1.42 \pm 0.07$ | 14, 15, 17, 25 | BB |
| 5145 Pholus ..... | C | $1.23 \pm 0.03$ | $0.77 \pm 0.01$ | $1.57 \pm 0.01$ | $2.59 \pm 0.01$ | $2.97 \pm 0.01$ | 8, 15, 17, 25 | RR |
| 7066 Nessus.. | C | $1.09 \pm 0.01$ | $0.79 \pm 0.01$ | $1.47 \pm 0.03$ | $2.29 \pm 0.01$ | $2.57 \pm 0.10$ | 14, 15, 17, 25 | RR |
| 8405 Asbolus ......... | C | $0.75 \pm 0.01$ | $0.47 \pm 0.02$ | $0.98 \pm 0.01$ | $1.65 \pm 0.01$ | $2.02 \pm 0.06$ | 15, 17, 18, 19, 25 | $B R$ |
| 10199 Chariklo. | C | $0.80 \pm 0.02$ | $0.48 \pm 0.01$ | $1.01 \pm 0.01$ | $1.74 \pm 0.02$ | $2.15 \pm 0.01$ | 3, 5, 17, 25, 42 | $B R$ |
| 10370 Hylonome .......... | C | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $0.43 \pm 0.02$ | $0.96 \pm 0.03$ | $1.32 \pm 0.01$ | $1.50 \pm 0.08$ | 5, 9, 15, 17, 25, 42 | $B R$ |
| 157881993 SB ............ | R | $0.80 \pm 0.02$ | $0.47 \pm 0.01$ | $1.01 \pm 0.01$ | $1.43 \pm 0.11$ |  | 3, 4, 6, 20, 22 | $B R$ |
| 157891993 SC. | R | $1.08 \pm 0.08$ | $0.70 \pm 0.06$ | $1.49 \pm 0.04$ | $2.42 \pm 0.11$ | $2.82 \pm 0.20$ | 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, | RR |
| 158201994 TB... | R | $1.10 \pm 0.02$ | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $1.42 \pm 0.04$ | $2.35 \pm 0.12$ | $2.76 \pm 0.08$ | 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20 | RR |
| $158741996 \mathrm{TL}_{66} \ldots . . .$. | S | $0.72 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.01$ | $0.73 \pm 0.01$ | $1.28 \pm 0.08$ | $1.63 \pm 0.14$ | 3, 4, 5, 7, 10 | $B B$ |
| $158751996 \mathrm{TP}_{66}$......... | R | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ | $0.66 \pm 0.02$ | $1.31 \pm 0.07$ | $2.16 \pm 0.04$ | $2.33 \pm 0.06$ | 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 | RR |
| $192991996 \mathrm{SZ}_{4}$........ | R | $0.75 \pm 0.08$ | $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $0.97 \pm 0.14$ | $1.87 \pm 0.13$ |  | 3, 4, 22, 24 | $B R$ |
| $193081996 \mathrm{TO}_{66} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | Cl | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | $0.40 \pm 0.02$ | $0.74 \pm 0.02$ | $0.85 \pm 0.10$ | $0.64 \pm 0.17$ | 3, 5, 7, 10, 16, 26, 27 | $B B$ |
| 19521 Chaos ............... | Cl | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.62 \pm 0.01$ | $1.16 \pm 0.05$ | $1.88 \pm 0.05$ | $2.28 \pm 0.05$ | 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 22 | IR |
| 20000 Varuna... | Cl | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $0.61 \pm 0.02$ | $1.25 \pm 0.02$ | $2.01 \pm 0.05$ |  | 4, 9, 13, 28 | IR |
| 248351995 SM $_{55} \ldots \ldots . .$. | Cl | $0.65 \pm 0.01$ | $0.38 \pm 0.02$ | $0.71 \pm 0.02$ | $1.01 \pm 0.01$ | $0.54 \pm 0.07$ | 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 28 | $B B$ |
| $249521997 \mathrm{QJ}_{4} \ldots . . . . . .$. | R | $0.76 \pm 0.04$ | $0.43 \pm 0.06$ | $0.81 \pm 0.05$ | $1.23 \pm 0.31$ |  | 3, 4, 6, 20, 24 | $B B$ |
| $261811996 \mathrm{GQ}_{21} \ldots \ldots . .$. | S | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $0.71 \pm 0.02$ | $1.42 \pm 0.06$ | $2.44 \pm 0.06$ | $2.92 \pm 0.07$ | 11, 13, 24, 29 | RR |
| $263081998 \mathrm{SM}_{165} \ldots \ldots .$. | Cl | $0.97 \pm 0.03$ | $0.69 \pm 0.04$ | $1.29 \pm 0.07$ | $2.37 \pm 0.01$ | $2.88 \pm 0.08$ | 4, 11, 12, 22 | RR |
| $263751999 \mathrm{DE}_{9} . . . . . . . . .$. | S | $0.97 \pm 0.03$ | $0.58 \pm 0.01$ | $1.15 \pm 0.01$ | $1.89 \pm 0.07$ | $2.19 \pm 0.01$ | 3, 9, 11, 20, 29, 30 | IR |
| $299811999 \mathrm{TD}_{10}$......... | S | $0.77 \pm 0.02$ | $0.48 \pm 0.02$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $1.81 \pm 0.06$ |  | 4, 20, 28 | $B R$ |
| 32532 Thereus ........... | C | $0.75 \pm 0.05$ | $0.49 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.01$ | $1.66 \pm 0.03$ | $2.17 \pm 0.06$ | 17, 31, 32 | $B R$ |
| 329291995 QY9.......... | R | $0.70 \pm 0.02$ | $0.51 \pm 0.04$ | $0.86 \pm 0.06$ | $2.02 \pm 0.01$ |  | 4, 6, 9, 14 | $B R$ |
| $331281998 \mathrm{BU}_{48} \ldots \ldots . .$. | C | $0.95 \pm 0.08$ | $0.64 \pm 0.02$ | $1.18 \pm 0.01$ | $2.27 \pm 0.05$ | $2.76 \pm 0.09$ | 9, 11, 12, 13, 17 | RR |
| $333401998 \mathrm{VG}_{44} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | R | $0.91 \pm 0.01$ | $0.60 \pm 0.01$ | $1.23 \pm 0.11$ | $1.80 \pm 0.16$ | $2.23 \pm 0.06$ | 4, 9, 10 | IR |
| $356711998 \mathrm{SN}_{165} \ldots . . . .$. | Cl | $0.71 \pm 0.06$ | $0.42 \pm 0.03$ | $0.82 \pm 0.01$ | $1.27 \pm 0.05$ |  | 3, 4, 6, 9, 20 | $B B$ |
| 38628 Huya.............. | R | $0.95 \pm 0.02$ | $0.59 \pm 0.02$ | $1.21 \pm 0.02$ | $1.97 \pm 0.05$ |  | 3, 4, 9, 24, 28, 33, 34 | IR |
| $403141999 \mathrm{KR}_{16} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | Cl | $1.06 \pm 0.03$ | $0.76 \pm 0.01$ | $1.50 \pm 0.03$ | $2.37 \pm 0.10$ | $2.95 \pm 0.06$ | 3, 11, 12, 13, 35, 36 | RR |
| $445941999 \mathrm{OX}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . . .$. | C | $1.14 \pm 0.02$ | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $1.38 \pm 0.03$ | $2.11 \pm 0.08$ | $2.59 \pm 0.07$ | 4, 9, 11, 17, 22, 35, 43 | RR |
| $471711999 \mathrm{TC}_{36}$......... | R | $1.01 \pm 0.04$ | $0.69 \pm 0.03$ | $1.32 \pm 0.05$ | $2.33 \pm 0.07$ | $2.74 \pm 0.03$ | 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 30, 41 | RR |
| $479322000 \mathrm{GN}_{171} \ldots \ldots .$. | R | $0.92 \pm 0.01$ | $0.63 \pm 0.01$ | $1.21 \pm 0.02$ | $1.77 \pm 0.06$ |  | 4, 13, 24 | IR |
| $486391995 \mathrm{TL}_{8}$.......... | S | $1.04 \pm 0.01$ | $0.69 \pm 0.01$ | $1.33 \pm 0.01$ | $2.42 \pm 0.05$ | $2.82 \pm 0.07$ | 11, 20 | RR |
| 52975 Cyllarus............ | C | $1.12 \pm 0.04$ | $0.69 \pm 0.01$ | $1.36 \pm 0.03$ | $2.42 \pm 0.07$ | $2.87 \pm 0.09$ | 9, 10, 11, 12, 17 | RR |
| 54598 Bienor ......... | C | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $1.69 \pm 0.06$ | $2.12 \pm 0.17$ | $9,11,12,17,30,41$ | $B R$ |
| $555652002 \mathrm{AW}_{197}$....... | Cl | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $1.18 \pm 0.03$ | $1.82 \pm 0.06$ | $2.15 \pm 0.06$ | 39 | IR |
| $555762002 \mathrm{~GB}_{10} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | C | $1.12 \pm 0.03$ | $0.72 \pm 0.03$ | $1.32 \pm 0.03$ | $2.11 \pm 0.08$ | $2.40 \pm 0.08$ | 39 | RR |
| $585341997 \mathrm{CQ}_{29} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | Cl | $0.99 \pm 0.01$ | $0.73 \pm 0.06$ | $1.29 \pm 0.03$ | $1.84 \pm 0.37$ |  | 3, 6, 9, 10, 16 | RR |
| $605582000 \mathrm{EC}_{98} . . . . . . . .$. | S | $0.85 \pm 0.08$ | $0.47 \pm 0.01$ | $0.94 \pm 0.02$ | $1.49 \pm 0.10$ | $2.05 \pm 0.06$ | 11, 12, 17, 24 | $B R$ |
| 632522001 BL $_{41}$......... | C | $0.72 \pm 0.05$ | $0.48 \pm 0.03$ | $1.06 \pm 0.03$ | $1.65 \pm 0.07$ | $2.02 \pm 0.05$ | 17, 29, 30, 35 | BR |
| $793601997 \mathrm{CS}_{29} \ldots \ldots . . . .$. | Cl | $1.08 \pm 0.03$ | $0.66 \pm 0.04$ | $1.25 \pm 0.03$ | $2.06 \pm 0.03$ | $2.45 \pm 0.08$ | 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11 | RR |
| 839822002 GO9.......... | C | $1.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.76 \pm 0.03$ | $1.44 \pm 0.03$ | $2.44 \pm 0.06$ | $2.81 \pm 0.06$ | 39 | RR |
| 90377 Sedna .............. | ES | $1.08 \pm 0.06$ | $0.67 \pm 0.08$ | $1.26 \pm 0.07$ | $2.06 \pm 0.03$ | $2.61 \pm 0.06$ | 21 | RR |
| 90482 Orcus.............. | R | $0.68 \pm 0.04$ | $0.37 \pm 0.04$ | $0.74 \pm 0.04$ | $1.08 \pm 0.04$ | $1.21 \pm 0.05$ | 40 | $B B$ |
| $911331998 \mathrm{HK}_{151} \ldots \ldots . .$. | R | $0.72 \pm 0.05$ | $0.49 \pm 0.03$ | $0.88 \pm 0.01$ | $1.57 \pm 0.09$ |  | 4, 9, 10 | $B R$ |
| $1996 \mathrm{TQ}_{66} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | R | $1.19 \pm 0.02$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $1.44 \pm 0.14$ | $2.41 \pm 0.08$ |  | 3, 4, 5, 6 | RR |
| $1996 \mathrm{TS}_{66}$.................. | Cl | $1.06 \pm 0.03$ | $0.72 \pm 0.03$ | $1.31 \pm 0.08$ | $1.87 \pm 0.03$ |  | 3, 5, 7, 16 | RR |
| $1998 \mathrm{WU}_{24}$................. | ? | $0.78 \pm 0.03$ | $0.53 \pm 0.04$ | $0.99 \pm 0.03$ | $1.67 \pm 0.04$ |  | 38 | $B R$ |
| $1999 \mathrm{CD}_{158} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | Cl | $0.86 \pm 0.01$ | $0.52 \pm 0.02$ | $1.10 \pm 0.04$ | $1.86 \pm 0.07$ | $2.30 \pm 0.09$ | 9, 11, 12 | $B R$ |
| $2000 \mathrm{OJ}_{67}$.................. | Cl | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ | $0.67 \pm 0.05$ | $1.27 \pm 0.07$ | $1.98 \pm 0.10$ | $2.26 \pm 0.08$ | 9, 11 | RR |
| $2000 \mathrm{OK}_{67}$................. | Cl | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ | $0.60 \pm 0.05$ | $1.22 \pm 0.08$ | $2.42 \pm 0.08$ | $2.88 \pm 0.08$ | 9, 11, 12 | ... |
| $2000 \mathrm{PE}_{30}$................... | S | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | $0.83 \pm 0.04$ | $1.40 \pm 0.10$ | $1.82 \pm 0.09$ | 9, 11, 12 | $B B$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ (C) centaurs; (Cl) classical; (R) resonant; (S) scattered; (ES) extended scattering disk; (?) unusual, Halley family comet orbit.
References.-(1) Hardorp 1980; (2) Hartmann et al. 1982; (3) Jewitt \& Luu 2001; (4) McBride et al. 2003; (5) Tegler \& Romanishin 1998; (6) Gil-Hutton \& Licandro J. 2001; (7) Jewitt \& Luu 1998; (8) Tegler \& Romanishin 1997; (9) Doressoundiram et al. 2002; (10) Boehnhardt et al. 2001; (11) Delsanti et al. 2005; (12) Delsanti et al. 2004; (13) Sheppard \& Jewitt 2002; (14) Luu \& Jewitt 1996; (15) Davies et al. 1998; (16) Davies et al. 2000; (17) Bauer et al. 2003; (18) Romanishin et al. 1997; (19) Romon-Martin et al. 2002; (20) Delsanti et al. 2001; (21) Barucci et al. 2005; (22) Tegler \& Romanishin 2000; (23) Davies et al. 1997; (24) Boehnhardt et al. 2002; (25) Davies 2000; (26) Hainaut et al. 2000; (27) Barucci et al. 1999; (28) Tegler \& Romanishin 2003; (29) Doressoundiram et al. 2003; (30) Tegler et al. 2003; (31) Barucci et al. 2002; (32) Farnham \& Davies 2003; (33) Ferrin et al. 2001; (34) Schaefer \& Rabinowitz 2002; (35) Peixinho et al. 2003; (36) Trujillo \& Brown 2002; (37) Doressoundiram et al. 2001; (38) Davies et al. 2001; (39) Doressoundiram et al. 2005a; (40) de Bergh et al. 2005; (41) Dotto et al. 2003a; (42) McBride et al. 1999; (43) Doressoundiram et al. 2005b.

TABLE 2
Eigenvectors, Eigenvalues, and Percentage of Total Variance Contributed by Each Eigenvalue from the PC Analysis

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B-V$.................................... | 0.290 | 0.577 | -0.755 | -0.114 |
| $V-R$ | 0.225 | 0.278 | 0.160 | 0.920 |
| $V-I$. | 0.436 | 0.526 | 0.626 | -0.375 |
| $V-J$. | 0.821 | -0.560 | -0.110 | -0.013 |
| Eigenvalues ............................. | 0.282 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| Percentage of total variance ..... | 94.195 | 4.721 | 0.767 | 0.316 |

adopted the mean values of their colors weighted with the inverse of the error of individual measurement and used the standard deviation as the associated error. In the case of a single measurement we selected only those objects for which colors were determined with an error less than 0.1 mag. The selected data represent a homogeneous data set in the $B, V, R, I$, and $J$ bands obtained by the same observer during the same run or intercalibrated through $V$ measurements.

## 3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We applied to the 51 TNOs and Centaurs (the sample) described by the four-color indices $B-V, V-R, V-I$, and $V-J$ (the variables) the same statistical analysis used in the 1980s to classify the asteroid population: the $G$-mode analysis from Barucci et al. (1987) and principal component analysis (Tholen 1984; Tholen \& Barucci 1989). Principal components are linear combinations of the original variables, where the coefficients represent the relative importance of a variable within a principal component. These coefficients are the eigenvectors of the variance-covariance matrix of the variables. The sum of the eigenvalues of this matrix (its trace) accounts for the total variance of the sample. Each eigenvalue reflects the percentage contributed by each principal component to the total variance.

The results of this analysis (reported in Table 2) show that the first principal component (PC1) accounts for most of the variance of the sample ( $94 \%$ ), with $46 \%$ due to $V-J$; PC2 adds less than $5 \%$ to the total variance. Most of the information is concentrated in PC1, which shows a continuous trend from the objects having a neutral color with respect to the Sun (lower PC1 scores) toward being the reddest objects of the solar system (higher PC1 scores). The object number density along the PC1 is not homogeneous, indicating the presence of some grouping that overlaps the continuous trend. In Figure 1 the histogram represents the number of objects projected onto the PC1, showing four peaks. The relationship between the variables used is probably nonlinear, so the PC analysis does not allow us to discriminate between the intrinsic structures of these groups within only one component.

For this reason we use a powerful statistical grouping method to recognize the structure of the number density distribution on the PC1 axis. The $G$-mode method (Coradini et al. 1977) allows the user to obtain an automatic clustering of a statistical sample containing $N$ objects described by $M$ variables (for a total of $M \times N$ degrees of freedom [dof]; the dof must be $>100$ ) in terms of homogeneous taxonomic groups with no a priori grouping criteria and taking into account the instrumental errors in measuring each variable. The method also gives indications as to the relative importance of the variables in separating the groups.

The original multivariate sample is collapsed into a univariate sample through successive transformations. The new variable $(g)$ is normalized to a quasi-Gaussian distribution with a mean equal to 0 and a variance equal to $1 ; N^{\prime}$ out of the $N$ elements are


Fig. 1.-Number of objects projected onto the PC1 axis (histogram). The four Gaussian curves, computed using the average values of the four groups and the standard deviations obtained by the $G$-mode analysis, have been transformed into PC space and superposed on the histogram.
selected on the basis of a test of the hypothesis of membership in the first group, which comes out through an automatic choice once a starter is selected. The starter is defined as the set of the three elements having the minimum reciprocal distance. The selection procedure is iterated using as starter all the selected elements until their number $N^{\prime}$ does not change. The method is then iterated on the $N-N^{\prime}$ elements left, in order to identify the second group, composed of $N^{\prime \prime}$ elements. The iteration proceeds on $N-\left(N^{\prime}+N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ elements, and so on, until all the elements are classified into homogeneous groups. Homogeneous means that each group belongs to the same statistical population characterized by mean values of variables and relative standard deviations. The membership of an element in a given group, or the selection criteria, is based on the statistical inference rules, the only a priori choice in the decision process being that of the confidence level defined as the probability of accepting the tested hypothesis. The user selects the confidence level that corresponds to a given critical value $Q$.

Using the $G$-mode analysis, we analyzed a sample of 51 objects, and $B-V, V-R, V-I$, and $V-J$ colors were taken as variables ( $51 \times 4=204$ dof $)$. This data set is listed in Table 1 . Four homogeneous groups were obtained using a high confidence level $Q$ (corresponding to $3 \sigma$ ). The weight of each variable in separating these groups was $32 \%$ for the $V-I$ color, $26 \%$ for $V-R, 22 \%$ for $V-J$, and $20 \%$ for $B-V$, implying that all variables contribute to the definition of the four groups. In Table 3 the number of samples, the color average value, and the relative standard deviation for each group are given.

To verify the consistence of these groups with the indication obtained by the PC analysis, we use the values of Table 3, transformed into PC space, to superpose the distributions representing the four groups found by the $G$-mode analysis on the histogram in Figure 1. Each Gaussian, representing the relative group, is centered on the average value of PC1, has the same standard deviation, and has an area representing the number of objects belonging to its group. The $\chi^{2}$ test shows that the distribution represented by the histogram in Figure 1 and that corresponding to the sum of the four Gaussians representing the groups are similar within the $95 \%$ probability level. This implies that the four groups are at the origin of the nonhomogeneity of the PC1 number density.

TABLE 3
The Relative Number of Samples, Average Color, and Relative Standard Deviation for the Four Taxonomic Groups Attained by the $G$-Mode Analysis in the Sample of 51 Objects

| Class | Number of Objects | $B-V$ | $V-R$ | $V-I$ | $V-J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BB....................... | 8 | $0.70 \pm 0.04$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $0.77 \pm 0.05$ | $1.16 \pm 1.17$ |
| BR....................... | 14 | $0.76 \pm 0.06$ | $0.49 \pm 0.03$ | $0.9 \pm 0.07$ | $1.67 \pm 0.19$ |
| IR........................ | 7 | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $0.61 \pm 0.03$ | $1.20 \pm 0.04$ | $1.88 \pm 0.09$ |
| RR...................... | 21 | $1.08 \pm 0.08$ | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ | $1.37 \pm 0.09$ | $2.27 \pm 0.20$ |

The distributions of the objects within the four groups are illustrated in Figure 2. The two complementary three-dimensional plots, namely, the $(B-V, V-R, V-I)$ and $(B-V, V-R$, $V-J)$ color spaces, show the different behaviors of the four groups, as well as the role of each color (particularly $V-I$ and $V-J$ ) in assigning the samples to each group. One object, 2000 $\mathrm{OK}_{67}$, does not belong to any group.

Moreover, we analyzed a subset of 37 objects for which the $V-H$ color was also available. $G$-mode analysis applied to this subsample described by five colors (for a total of $37 \times 5=$ 185 dof) provides practically the same well-determined four groups. The same objects fall in the same groups that were obtained with four variables. The color average $V-H$ and the relative standard deviation for each group are $1.21 \pm 0.52(B B)$, $2.04 \pm 0.24(B R), 2.21 \pm 0.06(I R)$, and $2.70 \pm 0.24(R R)$.

On the basis of these results, we propose to introduce a taxonomy of TNOs and Centaurs based on their surface broadband colors. A two-letter designation for the derived groups is introduced to distinguish TNO taxonomy from the asteroid one. Objects having a neutral color with respect to the Sun are classified as the $B B$ ("blue") group, and those having a very high red color are classified as $R R$ ("red"). The $B R$ group consists of objects with an intermediate blue-red color, while the $I R$ group includes moderately red objects. $2000 \mathrm{OK}_{67}$, which the $G$-mode analysis left out of this scheme, might be considered as a "single-object group" in a manner similar to 4 Vesta, 1862 Apollo, and 349 Dembowska, which formed the V, Q, and R classes, respectively, in the Tholen asteroid taxonomy (Tholen \& Barucci 1989). Since then, the V class has been populated by plenty of small asteroids (Binzel \& Xu 1993), and several new objects can be considered
to belong to the Q and R classes (Bus \& Binzel 2002). The assignment of each object to one of these groups is reported in the last column of Table 1.

The $G$-mode analysis has been extended (Fulchignoni et al. 2000) to assign to one of the already defined taxonomic groups any object for which the same set of variables becomes available. Moreover, even if a subset of the variables used in the initial development of the taxonomy is known for an object, the algorithm allows us to assign a preliminary indication of its likely group. The lack of information on a variable is reflected by the fact that an object could be assigned to two different classes when that variable is the one that discriminates between these classes.

We applied this algorithm to each of the 84 other TNOs for which the $B-V, V-R$, and $V-I$ colors are available. In Table 4 we report a preliminary classification for these objects, but their belonging to a given group always has to be considered with some caution because it is only an indication obtained with an incomplete data set. As an example, consider the object $2000 \mathrm{OK}_{67}$; when one applies the algorithm using four variables it cannot be assigned to any class, confirming the result obtained directly by the $G$-mode analysis, but if one does not take into consideration its $V-J$ color, the algorithm assigns it to the $I R$ group because of its similitude in $(B-V, V-R, V-I)$ space to the average value of that group. A double assignment is obtained for 13 objects, while 15 objects are not classified at all. If the single-object group is added to the four main groups, all the objects that were classified on the basis of the four classes continue to be assigned to the same groups, and three of the nonclassified objects ( $20 \%$ of the total) are attributed to the fifth group. This consideration


Fig. 2.-Well-separated groups in two complementary three-dimensional spaces, namely, $(B-V, V-R$, and $V-I)(l e f t)$ and $(B-V, V-R$, and $V-J)$ (right). The object $2000 \mathrm{OK}_{67}$ (circle) does not belong to any group.

TABLE 4
Preliminary Classification for the 84 Other Objects Based
on Three-Color Indices $(B-V, V-R$, and $V-I)$

| Object | $G$-Mode Class | Object | $G$-Mode Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157601992 QB $_{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | RR | $1996 \mathrm{RR}_{20} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $R R$ |
| $158101994 \mathrm{JR}_{1} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | $1996 \mathrm{TK}_{66}$ | RR |
| $158361995 \mathrm{DA}_{2} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | $1997 \mathrm{QH}_{4}$. | $R R$ |
| $158831997 \mathrm{CR}_{29} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | BR | $1997 \mathrm{RT}_{5} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ |
| 166841994 JQ $_{1} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | RR | $1998 \mathrm{KG}_{62} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | IR-RR |
| $192551994 \mathrm{VK}_{8} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $R R$ | $1998 \mathrm{UR}_{43}$ | $B R$ |
| 28978 Ixion..................... | IR-RR | $1998 \mathrm{WS}_{31}$ | $B R$ |
| 31824 Elatus | RR-IR | $1998 \mathrm{WT}_{31}$ | $B B$ |
| $330011997 \mathrm{CU}_{29} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .$. | RR | $1998 \mathrm{WV}_{31}$......................... | $B R$ |
| 38083 Rhadamanthus............ | $B R$ | $1998 \mathrm{WZ}_{31}$ | $B B-B R$ |
| 380841999 HB12. | BR | 1998 XY $9_{5}$. | $R R$ |
| $423012001 \mathrm{UR}_{163} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | 1999 CB ${ }_{119}$ | RR |
| 49036 Pelion..................... | BR | $1999 \mathrm{CF}_{119}$.. | BR |
| 52872 Okyrnoe .................... | $B R$ | 1999 CX 131 ......................... | RR |
| $556362002 \mathrm{TX}_{300}$.............. | $B B$ | $1999 \mathrm{HC}_{12}$. | BR |
| $593581999 \mathrm{CL}_{158}$............. | $B B-B R$ | $1999 \mathrm{HR}_{11}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $604542000 \mathrm{CH}_{105} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . .$. | $R R$ | $1999 \mathrm{HS}_{11}$ | RR |
| $606082000 \mathrm{EE}_{173} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | IR | $1999 \mathrm{OE}_{4}$........................... | $R R$ |
| $606202000 \mathrm{FD}_{8}$.................. | RR | $1999 \mathrm{OJ}_{4}$ | $R R$ |
| $606212000 \mathrm{FE}_{8}$.................. | BR | $1999 \mathrm{OM}_{4}$ | RR |
| $664521999 \mathrm{OF}_{4} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | RR | $1999 \mathrm{RB}_{216}$. | BR |
| $699861998 \mathrm{WW}_{24}$.............. | $B R$ | $1999 \mathrm{RE}_{215}$.................... | RR |
| $699881998 \mathrm{WA}_{31} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | BR | 1999 RX 214 .................... | RR-IR |
| $699901998 \mathrm{WU}_{31} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | 1999 RY 214 | BR |
| $799781999 \mathrm{CC}_{158} \ldots \ldots . . . .$. | IR-RR | 1999 XX 143 | RR |
| $799831999 \mathrm{DF}_{9}$................. | $R R$ | $2000 \mathrm{CL}_{104}$. | $R R$ |
| $820752000 \mathrm{YW}_{134} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $B R$ | 2000 FZ $53 . \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |
| $821582001 \mathrm{FP}_{185} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | $2000 \mathrm{GP}_{183} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $B B-B R$ |
| $856331998 \mathrm{KR}_{65} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | RR |  | $B R$ |
| $860471999 \mathrm{OY}_{3} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $B B$ |  | RR |
| $861771999 \mathrm{RY}_{215}$............... | BR | $2001 \mathrm{KD}_{77} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | RR |
| 912051998 US $_{43} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | $B R$ | 2001 KP 77 ........................... | $\ldots$ |
| $915541999 \mathrm{RZ}_{215} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| $956262002 \mathrm{GZ}_{32} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | BR | $2001 \mathrm{QF}_{298}$ | $B B$ |
| 1993 RO. | IR-RR | 2001 QY 297 | BR |
| 1993 FW | RR-IR | $2001 \mathrm{UQ}_{18}$.......................... | RR |
| $1994 \mathrm{ES}_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $2002 \mathrm{DH}_{5}$ | $B R-B B$ |
| $1994 \mathrm{EV}_{3}$......................... | RR | $2002 \mathrm{GF}_{32}$. | $R R$ |
| 1994 TA. | RR | $2002 \mathrm{GH}_{32} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $\ldots$ |
| $1995 \mathrm{HM}_{5} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $B R$ | $2002 \mathrm{GJ}_{32} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $\ldots$ |
| $1995 \mathrm{WY}_{2}$.......................... | RR-IR | $2002 \mathrm{GP}_{32}$.......................... |  |
| $1996 \mathrm{RQ}_{20} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | IR-RR |  | RR |

suggests that other groups could be found and that the taxonomy can still be enlarged and refined.

The average values of the five broadband colors obtained for each group and the relative error bars are represented in Figure 3 as reflectances $R_{c \lambda}$ normalized to the Sun and to the $V$ colors:

$$
R_{c_{\lambda}}=10^{ \pm 0.4\left(c_{\lambda}-c_{\lambda_{0}}\right)}
$$

where $c_{\lambda}$ and $c_{\lambda 0}$ are the $\lambda-V$ colors of the object and of the Sun, respectively.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The four groups found by $G$-mode analysis are well defined and homogeneous in color properties. The investigation of TNOs by analyzing the diversity among the groups could help in understanding the evolutionary path of TNOs and/or the effects of different physical processes on their surfaces.

Each group contains objects whose spectra indicate particular compositional characteristics of the surface. The available spectra of members of each group are inside the error bars of the average broadband reflectance spectrum.

As a reference, we superposed on the average spectrum of each group a surface composition model obtained by interpreting the spectral characteristics of the group member for which the best quality spectrum was available (Fig. 2).

The $R R$ group contains the reddest objects of the solar system. Spectra are available for 5145 Pholus (Cruikshank et al. 1998), $471711999 \mathrm{TC}_{36}$ (Dotto et al. 2003a), $555762002 \mathrm{~GB}_{10}$ (Doressoundiram et al. 2005a), $839822002 \mathrm{GO}_{9}$ (Doressoundiram et al. 2003), and 90377 Sedna (Barucci et al. 2005). All surface composition models of these objects contain a few percent of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ice on the surface. In Figure 2 the model of $1999 \mathrm{TC}_{36}$ (Dotto et al. 2003a) has been superposed as a reference for the broadband reflectance of the group. The model is composed of $57 \%$ Titan tholin, $25 \%$ ice tholin, $10 \%$ amorphous carbon, and $8 \%$ water ice. The redness of the group could imply large amounts


Fig. 3.-Four obtained groups reported with the average broadband reflectance spectra normalized to the $V$ band $(550 \mathrm{~nm})$ and the relative error bars. For each group the compositional model of the spectrum of one of the members of the group is superposed. For $R R$ the spectral model of $471711999 \mathrm{TC}_{36}$ (Dotto et al. 2003a) is superposed; for $B B$ the spectra of 90482 Orcus (Fornasier et al. 2004); for $I R$ the spectra of $263751999 \mathrm{DE}_{9}$ (Doressoundiram et al. 2003); and for $B R$ the spectra of $632522001 \mathrm{BL}_{41}$ (Doressoundiram et al. 2005a).
of Titan tholin and/or ice tholin on the surface. Tholins are complex organic solids (Roush \& Cruikshank 2004) produced by the irradiation of mixtures of cosmically abundant reducing gases and ices.

The $B B$ group contains objects with a neutral reflectance spectra. Typical objects of the group with available spectra are 2060 Chiron (Luu et al. 2000; Romon-Martin et al. 2003), 90482 Orcus (Fornasier et al. 2004), $193081996 \mathrm{TO}_{66}$ (Brown et al. 1999), and 158741996 TL $_{66}$ (Luu \& Jewitt 1998). The typical spectra are flat and somewhat bluish in the near-IR. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ absorption bands seem generally stronger than in the other groups, although the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ice presence in the Chiron spectrum seems connected to temporal/orbital variations, and the spectrum of 1996 $\mathrm{TL}_{66}$ is completely flat. The model spectrum of 90482 Orcus (Fornasier et al. 2004) has been associated with this class and is reported in Figure 2. It contains 4\% Titan tholin, $85 \%$ amorphous carbon, and $11 \%$ water ice. The presence of large amounts of amorphous carbon (Zubko et al. 1996) is common to the members of this group.

The $I R$ group is less red than $R R$ group. Typical members of this group are 20000 Varuna (Licandro et al. 2001), 38628 Huya (de Bergh et al. 2004), $479322000 \mathrm{GN}_{171}$ (de Bergh et al. 2004), 263751999 DE $_{9}$ (Jewitt et al. 2001; Doressoundiram et al. 2003), and $555652002 \mathrm{AW}_{197}$ (Doressoundiram et al. 2005a). Three of these objects seem to contain hydrous silicates on the surface. The model spectrum reported in Figure 2 is that of the scattered object $263751999 \mathrm{DE}_{9}$ composed of $24 \%$ Titan tholin, $15 \%$ ice tholin, $54 \%$ amorphous carbon, and $7 \%$ water ice (Doressoundiram et al. 2003).

The $B R$ group is an intermediate group between $B B$ and $I R$, even if its color behavior is closer to that of the $I R$ group. The typical members of this group are 8405 Asbolus (Barucci et al. 2000; Romon-Martin et al. 2002), 10199 Chariklo (Dotto et al. 2003b), 54598 Bienor (Dotto et al. 2003a), and 32532 Thereus (Barucci et al. 2002). A few percent of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is present on the surface of these objects, but for Asbolus, Romon-Martin et al. (2002) did not find any ice absorption features during a complete
rotational period. For this group we associated (Fig. 2) the surface composition model of Centaur $2001 \mathrm{BL}_{41}$, containing $17 \%$ Triton tholin, $10 \%$ ice tholin, and $73 \%$ amorphous carbon (Doressoundiram et al. 2005a).

The groups $B B$ and $B R$ have color spectra very similar to those of C-type and D-type asteroids. Unfortunately, we cannot associate an albedo range with each taxonomic group because of the lack of albedo data. The few available determinations based on ground observations are very uncertain: in fact, for the $R R$ and $B B$ groups, the albedo is known only for Pholus $(0.04 \pm 0.03$; Davies et al. 1993) and Chiron ( $0.17 \pm 0.02$; Fernandez et al. 2002), respectively, while the albedo has been determined for two objects in both the $I R$ and $B R$ groups: Varuna ( $0.038 \pm 0.022$ by Lellouch et al. [2002]; $0.07 \pm 0.03$ by Jewitt et al. [2001]) and 55565 ( $0.17 \pm 0.03$ by Cruikshank et al. [2005]) in the $I R$ group, and Asbolus ( $0.12 \pm 0.03$ by Fernandez et al. [2002]) and Chariklo ( $0.045 \pm 0.010$ by Jewitt \& Kalas [1998]; $0.055 \pm$ 0.008 by Altenhoff et al. [2001]) in the $B R$ group.

Approximate fits to the characteristic spectra indicate that going from the neutral $(B B)$ group to the very red $(R R)$ group requires an increase in the content of organic material. The group $B B$ in general does not require the presence of organic materials, as discussed by Cruikshank \& Dalle Ore (2003). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ice, even if not always detectable on the spectra, has to be present in all groups, as ices are expected to be a major constituent of this population.

We analyzed the behavior of each group with respect to the orbital elements and found an indication that almost all Centaurs are concentrated in the $B R$ and $R R$ groups (the $I R$ group does not contain any Centaurs), confirming the Peixinho et al. (2003) result. In fact, Peixinho et al. reopened the TNO color controversy by arguing the existence of two color groups among the Centaurs and not in the TNO population. We found also that the average inclination of the orbits of the $R R$ group members is the lowest, while that of the $B B$ group members is the highest, mainly in the classical population. This is in agreement with previous results (Tegler \& Romanishin 2000; Levison \& Stern 2001; Brown 2001; Doressoundiram et al. 2002; Tegler et al. 2003). No relation between groups and TNO diameters has been found.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed a classification for TNOs and Centaurs based on their broadband colors using a two letter designation for each group ( $B B, B R, I R$, and $R R$ ). Such a classification gives a snapshot on the similarities and/or differences among the objects.

We applied a multivariate statistical method ( $G$-mode analysis) to a sample of 51 TNOs and Centaurs for which a homogeneous set of four colors $(B-V, V-R, V-I$, and $V-J)$ are available. The results, obtained using a robust statistical analysis, show that the population is divided into four well-defined groups, confirming the preliminary results obtained by Barucci et al. (2001), who analyzed only 22 objects described by the same variables.

Analyzing a subsample of 37 objects for which a fifth variable (the $V-H$ color) was available yielded the same four groups, thus confirming the results. Using the extended version of the $G$-mode analysis for those objects with only three variable colors ( $B-V, V-R$, and $V-I$ ), we obtained a preliminary classification for 84 other objects. Among these, 15 objects remain unclassified and 13 are assigned to two groups. The availability of the fourth variable $(V-J)$ is indispensable for good statistical applications.

A model spectrum of one of the members has been associated to each group. The models that fit the reflectance spectra can be matched with synthetic spectra calculated with different percentages of ices, organics, and minerals. A higher content of organic material is necessary to fit the redder spectra, while only a small amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ice is, in general, needed in all spectra. In fact, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ice, which is supposed to be the bulk constituent of these bodies, could be hidden by low-albedo, opaque surface materials and for this reason may appear on the surface only in sparse outcrops.

Cruikshank \& Dalle Ore (2003) modeled the full range of the redness of TNO and Centaur reflectance spectra with combinations of different percentages of organics and amorphous carbon without requiring the addition of space-weathered, igneous rockforming minerals. However, Moroz et al. (2004) showed that space weathering is an important phenomenon that can influence the surface composition of these objects, and it is not well understood. In general, simulations of aging effects by ion irradiation show an increase in reddening. But some experiments on particularly dark material with red spectra (i.e., asphaltite) exhibit the opposite behavior: flattening of the spectra. The direction of the trend is difficult to interpret without knowledge of the albedos. Albedo is an important parameter for the analysis of surface com-
position, and the knowledge of it for a large number of objects, which will increase with Spitzer observations, will be fundamental for the statistical analysis of the TNO population.

We can conclude that multivariate statistical analysis of broad bands of visible and near-IR colors provides a strong indication for differences in the surface natures of the TNO population. The obtained different groups indicate the present physico-chemical surface state of the analyzed objects. The trend from neutral ( $B B$ ) to very red $(R R)$ groups indicates the possible sequence of alteration processes (collisions, resurfacing, craters, UV and/or energetic particle bombardment, etc.). Each group would represent an evolutionary stage of the population, indicating the duration for which each object has been exposed to these different alteration processes. The relative number of objects in each group would account for the time spent in the different evolutionary stages. The presence of a single-object group could imply the possible existence of another class. As more objects are discovered and more data are available on their properties, our classification scheme may have to be expanded by the addition of more groups. Clearly, more observations at different wavelengths and laboratory work will be indispensable to enhancing our understanding of the different classes and evolutions of the TNO population.
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