



**HAL**  
open science

# Asymptotic behavior of a structure made by a plate and a straight rod

Dominique Blanchard, Georges Griso

► **To cite this version:**

Dominique Blanchard, Georges Griso. Asymptotic behavior of a structure made by a plate and a straight rod. 2011. hal-00611655

**HAL Id: hal-00611655**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00611655>**

Preprint submitted on 26 Jul 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Asymptotic behavior of a structure made by a plate and a straight rod.

D. Blanchard<sup>1</sup>, G. Griso<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Rouen, UMR 6085, 76801 Saint Etienne du Rouvray Cedex, France,  
E-mail: dominique.blanchard@univ-rouen.fr

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire J.-L. Lions–CNRS, Boîte courrier 187, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,  
4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France, Email: griso@ann.jussieu.fr

## Abstract

This paper is devoted to describe the asymptotic behavior of a structure made by a thin plate and a thin rod in the framework of nonlinear elasticity. We scale the applied forces in such a way that the level of the total elastic energy leads to the Von-Kármán's equations (or the linear model for smaller forces) in the plate and to a one dimensional rod-model at the limit. The junction conditions include in particular the continuity of the bending in the plate and the stretching in the rod at the junction.

KEY WORDS: nonlinear elasticity, junctions, straight rod, plate.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 74B20, 74K10, 74K30.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the junction problem between a plate and a rod as their thicknesses tend to zero. We denote by  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  the respective half thickness of the plate  $\Omega_\delta$  and the rod  $B_\varepsilon$ . The structure is clamped on a part of the lateral boundary of the plate and it is free on the rest of its boundary. We assume that this multi-structure is made of elastic materials (possibly different in the plate and in the rod). In order to simplify the analysis we consider Saint-Venant-Kirchhoff's materials with Lamé's coefficients of order 1 in the plate and of order  $q_\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^\eta$  in the rod with  $\eta > -1$  (see (1.1)). It allows us to deal with a rod made of the same material as the plate, or made of a softer material ( $\eta > 0$ ) or of a stiffer material ( $-1 < \eta < 0$ ). It is well known that the limit behaviors in both the two parts of this multi-structure depend on the order of the infimum of the elastic energy with respect to the parameters  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Indeed this order is governed by the ones of the applied forces on the structure. In the present paper, we

suppose that the orders of the applied forces depend on  $\delta$  (for the plate) and  $\varepsilon$  (for the rod) and via two new real parameters  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  (see Subsection 5.1). The parameters  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa'$  and  $\eta$  are linked in such a way that the infimum of the total elastic energy be of order  $\delta^{2\kappa-1}$ . As far as a minimizing sequence  $v_\delta$  of the energy is concerned, this leads to the following estimates of the Green-St Venant's strain tensors

$$\|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C\delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(B_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C \frac{\delta^{\kappa-1/2}}{q_\varepsilon}.$$

The limit model for the plate is the Von Kármán system ( $\kappa = 3$ ) or the classical linear plate model ( $\kappa > 3$ ). Similarly, in order to obtain either a nonlinear model or the classical linear model in the rod, the order of  $\|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(B_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}$  must be less than  $\varepsilon^{\kappa'}$  with  $\kappa' \geq 3$ . Hence,  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $q_\varepsilon$  are linked by the relation

$$\delta^{\kappa-1/2} = q_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\kappa'}.$$

Moreover, still for the above estimates of the Green-St Venant's strain tensors, the bending in the plate is of order  $\delta^{\kappa-2}$  and the stretching in the rod is of order  $\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}$ . Since, we wish at least these two quantities to match at the junction it is essential to have

$$\delta^{\kappa-2} = \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}.$$

Finally, the two relations between the parameters lead to

$$\delta^3 = q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^{2+2\eta}. \tag{1.1}$$

Under the relation (1.1), we prove that in the limit model, the rotation of the cross-section and the bending of the rod in the junction are null. The limit plate model (nonlinear or linear) is coupled with the limit rod model (nonlinear or linear) via the bending in the plate and the stretching in the rod.

A similar problem, but starting within the framework of the linear elasticity is investigated in [17]. In this work the rod is also clamped at its bottom. This additional boundary condition makes easier the analysis of the linear system of elasticity. In [17], the authors also assume that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2} \longrightarrow +\infty. \tag{1.2}$$

With this extra condition they obtain the same linear limit model as we do here in the case  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  and they wonder if the condition (1.2) is necessary or purely technical in order to obtain the junction conditions. The present article shows that this condition is not necessary to carry out the analysis.

The derivation of the limit behavior of a multi-structure such as the one considered here rely on two main arguments. Firstly it is convenient to derive "Korn's type inequalities" both in the plate and the rod. Secondly one needs estimates of a deformation in the junction (in order to obtain the limit junction conditions). In this paper this is

achieved through the use of two main tools given in Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2. For the plate, since it is clamped on a part of its lateral boundary, a 'Korn's type inequality' is given in [8]. For the rod the issue is more intricate because the rod is nowhere clamped. In a first step, we derive sharp estimates of a deformation  $v$  in the junction with respect to the parameters and to the  $L^2$  norm (over the whole structure) of the linearized strain tensor  $\nabla v + (\nabla v)^T - 2\mathbf{I}_3$ . This is the object of Lemma 4.1. In a second step, in Lemma 5.2, we estimate the  $L^2$  norm of the linearized strain tensor of  $v$  in the rod with respect to the parameters and to the  $L^2$  norms of  $\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))$  in the rod and in the plate. The proofs of these two lemmas strongly rely on the decomposition techniques for the displacements and the deformations of the plate and the rod. Once these technical results are established, we are in a position to scale the applied forces and in the case  $\kappa = 3$  or  $\kappa' = 3$  to state an adequate assumption on these forces in order to finally obtain a total elastic energy of order less than  $\delta^5$ .

In Section 2 we introduce a few general notations. Section 3 is devoted to recall a main tool that we use in the whole paper, namely the decomposition technique of the deformation of thin structures. In Section 4, the estimates provided by this method allow us to derive sharp estimates on the bending and the cross-section rotation of the rod at the junction together with the difference between the bending of the plate and the stretching of the rod at the junction. In Section 5 we introduce the elastic energy and we precise the scaling with respect to  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$  on the applied forces in order to obtain a total elastic energy of order  $\delta^{2\kappa-1}$ . In Section 6 we give the asymptotic behavior of the Green-St-Venant's strain tensors in the plate and in the rod. In Section 7 we characterize the limit of the sequence of the rescaled infimum of the elastic energy in terms of the minimum of a limit energy.

As general references on the theory of elasticity we refer to [2] and [12]. The reader is referred to [1], [27], [18] for an introduction of rods models and to [15], [14], [11], [16] for plate models. As far as junction problems in multi-structures we refer to [13], [14], [24], [25], [26], [3], [22], [23], [19], [17], [4], [5], [6], [21], [10]. For the decomposition method in thin structures we refer to [20], [7], [8], [9].

## 2 Notations and definition of the structure.

Let us introduce a few notations and definitions concerning the geometry of the plate and the rod. We denote  $I_d$  the identity map of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with lipschitzian boundary included in the plane  $(O; \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$  such that  $O \in \omega$  and let  $\delta > 0$ . The plate is the domain

$$\Omega_\delta = \omega \times ]-\delta, \delta[.$$

Let  $\gamma_0$  be an open subset of  $\partial\omega$  which is made of a finite number of connected components (whose closure are disjoint). The corresponding lateral part of the boundary of  $\Omega_\delta$  is

$$\Gamma_{0,\delta} = \gamma_0 \times ]-\delta, \delta[.$$

The rod is defined by

$$B_{\varepsilon,\delta} = D_\varepsilon \times ]-\delta, L[, \quad D_\varepsilon = D(O, \varepsilon), \quad D = D(O, 1)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and where  $D_r = D(O, r)$  is the disc of radius  $r$  and center the origin  $O$ . The whole structure is denoted

$$\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = \Omega_\delta \cup B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$$

while the junction is

$$C_{\delta,\varepsilon} = \Omega_\delta \cap B_{\varepsilon,\delta} = D_\varepsilon \times ]-\delta, \delta[.$$

The set of admissible deformations of the plate is

$$\mathbb{D}_\delta = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3) \mid v = I_d \text{ on } \Gamma_{0,\delta} \right\}.$$

The set of admissible deformations of the structure is

$$\mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^3) \mid v = I_d \text{ on } \Gamma_{0,\delta} \right\}.$$

The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of the structure  $\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  in the case where the both parameters  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  go to 0. In order to simplify this study, we link  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  by assuming that

$$\text{there exists } \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \text{ such that } \delta = \varepsilon^\theta \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\theta$  is a fixed constant (see Subsection 5.1). Nevertheless, we keep the parameters  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  in the estimates given in Sections 3 and 4.

### 3 Some recalls about the decompositions in the plates and the rods.

From now on, in order to simplify the notations, for any open set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and any field  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , we denote by

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, \mathcal{O}) = \|\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})}.$$

We recall Theorem 4.3 established in [20]. Any displacement  $u \in H^1(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)$  of the plate is decomposed as

$$u(x) = \mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2) + x_3 \mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 + \bar{u}(x), \quad x \in \Omega_\delta \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  belong to  $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\bar{u}$  belongs to  $H^1(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . The sum of the two first terms  $U_\varepsilon(x) = \mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2) + x_3 \mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mathbf{e}_3$  is called the elementary displacement associated to  $u$ .

The following Theorem is proved in [20].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $u \in H^1(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , there exists an elementary displacement  $U_e(x) = \mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2) + x_3 \mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mathbf{e}_3$  and a warping  $\bar{u}$  satisfying (3.1) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\delta \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta), \quad \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \\ \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial x_\alpha} \right\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \\ \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x_\alpha} - \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $\delta$ .

The warping  $\bar{u}$  satisfies the following relations

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \bar{u}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 = 0, \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} x_3 \bar{u}_\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } (x_1, x_2) \in \omega. \quad (3.3)$$

If a deformation  $v$  belongs to  $\mathbb{D}_\delta$  then the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  is equal to 0 on  $\Gamma_{0,\delta}$ . In this case the the fields  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and the warping  $\bar{u}$  satisfy

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R} = 0 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \quad \bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{0,\delta}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, from (3.2), for any deformation  $v \in \mathbb{D}_\delta$  the corresponding displacement  $u = v - I_d$  verifies the following estimates (see also [19]):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\mathcal{U}_3\|_{H^1(\omega)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta), \\ \|\mathcal{R}_3\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \|\mathcal{U}_\alpha\|_{H^1(\omega)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The constants depend only on  $\omega$ .

From the above estimates we deduce the following Korn's type inequalities for the displacement  $u$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} &\leq C \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta), \quad \|u_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta), \\ \|u - \mathcal{U}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta), \\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we consider a displacement  $u \in H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)$  of the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ . This displacement can be decomposed as (see Theorem 3.1 of [20])

$$u(x) = \mathcal{W}(x_3) + \mathcal{Q}(x_3) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) + \bar{w}(x), \quad x \in B_{\varepsilon,\delta}, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$  belong to  $H^1(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\bar{w}$  belongs to  $H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . The sum of the two first terms  $\mathcal{W}(x_3) + \mathcal{Q}(x_3) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2)$  is called an elementary displacement of the rod.

The following Theorem is established in [20] (see Theorem 3.1).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $u \in H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , there exists an elementary displacement  $\mathcal{W}(x_3) + \mathcal{Q}(x_3) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2)$  and a warping  $\bar{w}$  satisfying (3.7) and such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}), \quad \|\nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \\ \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \\ \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dx_3} - \mathcal{Q} \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \right\|_{L^2(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$  and  $L$ .

The warping  $\bar{w}$  satisfies the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \bar{w}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 &= 0, \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} x_\alpha \bar{w}_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 = 0, \\ \int_{D_\varepsilon} \{x_1 \bar{w}_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) - x_2 \bar{w}_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)\} dx_1 dx_2 &= 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x_3 \in ]-\delta, L[. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Then, from (3.8), for any displacement  $u \in H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)$  the terms of the decomposition of  $u$  verify

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q}(0)\|_{H^1(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}), \\ \|\mathcal{W}_3 - \mathcal{W}_3(0)\|_{H^1(-\delta, L)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}), \\ \|\mathcal{W}_\alpha - \mathcal{W}_\alpha(0)\|_{H^1(-\delta, L)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) + C\varepsilon \|\mathcal{Q}(0)\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, in order to obtain Korn's type inequalities for the displacement  $w$ , the following section is devoted to give estimates on  $\mathcal{Q}(0)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(0)$ .

## 4 Estimates at the junction.

Let us set

$$H_{\gamma_0}^1(\omega) = \{\varphi \in H^1(\omega); \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \gamma_0\}.$$

Let  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  be a deformation whose displacement  $u = v - I_d$  is decomposed as in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. We define the function  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  as the solution of the following variational problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 \in H_{\gamma_0}^1(\omega), \\ \int_{\omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 \nabla \varphi = \int_{\omega} (\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_\alpha}, \\ \forall \varphi \in H_{\gamma_0}^1(\omega). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Indeed  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  satisfies due to the third estimate in (3.5)

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{H^1(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \quad (4.2)$$

The definition (4.1) of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  together with the fourth estimate in (3.2) lead to

$$\|\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{H^1(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \quad (4.3)$$

and moreover

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3}{\partial x_\alpha} - (\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 \right\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \quad (4.4)$$

Now, let  $\rho_0 > 0$  be fixed such that  $D(O, \rho_0) \subset\subset \omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{R} \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , the function  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  belongs to  $H^2(D(O, \rho_0))$  and the third estimate in (3.5) gives

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{H^2(D(O, \rho_0))} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  belongs to  $C^0(\overline{D(O, \rho_0)})$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have the following estimates on  $\mathcal{W}(0)$ :*

$$|\mathcal{W}_\alpha(0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon \delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 + C \left[1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right] \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2 \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{W}_3(0) - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0, 0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta}\right] [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 + C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2. \quad (4.7)$$

The vector  $\mathcal{Q}(0)$  satisfies the following estimate:

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(0)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 \delta} \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}\right] [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 + C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^4} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2. \quad (4.8)$$

The constants  $C$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* The two decompositions of  $u = v - I_d$  give, for a.e.  $x$  in the common part of the plate and the rod  $C_{\delta, \varepsilon}$

$$\mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2) + x_3 \mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 + \bar{u}(x) = \mathcal{W}(x_3) + \mathcal{Q}(x_3) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) + \bar{w}(x). \quad (4.9)$$

*Step 1. Estimates on  $\mathcal{W}(0)$ .*

In this step we prove (4.6) and (4.7). Taking into account the equalities (3.3) and (3.9) on the warplings  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{w}$ , we deduce that the averages on the cylinder  $C_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  of the both sides of the above equality (4.9) give

$$\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}) \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{|D_\varepsilon|} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \mathcal{U}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \mathcal{W}(x_3) dx_3$ .

Besides using (3.5) we have

$$\|\mathcal{U}_\alpha\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathcal{U}_\alpha\|_{L^4(\omega)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|\mathcal{U}_\alpha\|_{H^1(\omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2.$$

From these estimates we get

$$|\mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_\alpha)|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon\delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, for any  $p \in [2, +\infty[$  using (4.3) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{1-2/p} \|\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{L^p(\omega)} \\ &\leq C_p \varepsilon^{1-2/p} \|\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{H^1(\omega)} \leq C_p \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2/p}}{\delta^{1/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Then we replace  $\mathcal{U}_3$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$  in (4.10) to obtain

$$|\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3) - \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_3)|^2 \leq \frac{C_p}{\varepsilon^{4/p}\delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2. \quad (4.13)$$

We carry on by comparing  $\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3)$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0, 0)$ . Let us set

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha = \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 = \frac{1}{|D_\varepsilon|} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 dx_1 dx_2 \quad (4.14)$$

and consider the function  $\Psi(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3) - x_1 \mathbf{r}_2 - x_2 \mathbf{r}_1$ . Due to the estimate (4.5) we first obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \right\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta). \quad (4.15)$$

Secondly, from (3.2) and the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in the disc  $D_\varepsilon$  we get

$$\|(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}((\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_3)\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} \leq C \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{3/2}} \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta).$$

Using the above inequality and (4.4) we deduce that

$$\|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^3} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2, \quad (4.16)$$

Noting that  $\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\Psi) = 0$ , the above inequality and the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in the disc  $D_\varepsilon$  and lead to

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}^2 \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2. \quad (4.17)$$

From inequalities (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17) we deduce that

$$\|\Psi\|_{C^0(\overline{D_\varepsilon})}^2 \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^3} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2$$

which in turn gives

$$|\Psi(0, 0)|^2 = |\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0, 0) - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3)|^2 \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^3} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2.$$

This last estimate and (4.13) yield

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0, 0) - \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_3)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left( \frac{C_p}{\varepsilon^{4/p}} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2. \quad (4.18)$$

In order to estimate  $\mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_3) - \mathcal{W}_3(0)$ , we set  $y(x_3) = \mathcal{W}(x_3) - \mathcal{Q}(0)x_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3$ . Estimates in Theorem 3.2 together with the use of Poincaré inequality in order to estimate  $\|\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q}(0)\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta; \mathbb{R}^3)}$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{dy_\alpha}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta)} &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}), \\ \left\| \frac{dy_3}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}). \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_\alpha - y_\alpha(0)\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta)}^2 &\leq C \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2, \\ \|y_3 - y_3(0)\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta)}^2 &\leq C \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the averages on  $]-\delta, \delta[$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_\alpha) - \mathcal{W}_\alpha(0)|^2 &\leq C \left( 1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2, \\ |\mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{W}_3) - \mathcal{W}_3(0)|^2 &\leq C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Finally, from (4.11), (4.18) and the above last inequality, we obtain (4.6) and the following estimate:

$$|\mathcal{W}_3(0) - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0, 0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left[ \frac{C_p}{\varepsilon^{4/p}} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2} \right] [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 + C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})]^2. \quad (4.20)$$

Choosing  $p = \max(2, 4/\theta)$  (recall that  $\delta = \varepsilon^\theta$ ) we get (4.7).

*Step 2.* We prove the estimate on  $\mathcal{Q}(0)$ . We recall (see Definition 3 in [20]) that the field  $\mathcal{Q}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_1(x_3) &= \frac{4}{\pi \varepsilon^4} \int_{D_\varepsilon} x_1 u_3(x) dx_1 dx_2, & \mathcal{Q}_2(x_3) &= -\frac{4}{\pi \varepsilon^4} \int_{D_\varepsilon} x_2 u_3(x) dx_1 dx_2, \\ \mathcal{Q}_3(x_3) &= \frac{2}{\pi \varepsilon^4} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \{x_1 u_2(x) - x_2 u_1(x)\} dx_1 dx_2, & \text{for a.e. } x_3 \in ]-\delta, L[. \end{aligned}$$

Now, again using the equalities (3.3) and (3.9) on the warpings  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{w}$ , the two decompositions (4.9) of  $u$  in the cylinder  $C_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  lead to

$$\left| \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha) \right| = \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_3 x_\alpha) \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{Q}_3) \right| = \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_2 x_1 - \mathcal{U}_1 x_2) \right|.$$

Noticing that  $\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_1 x_2) = \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}([\mathcal{U}_1 - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_1)]x_2)$  and applying the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality with (3.5) yield

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{Q}_3) \right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 \delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2. \quad (4.21)$$

From the definition of the function  $\Psi$  and the constants  $\mathbf{r}_\alpha$  introduced in Step 1 we deduce that

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_3 x_\alpha) \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\Psi x_\alpha) \right| + \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}([\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3]x_\alpha) \right| + C\varepsilon^2 |\mathbf{r}_\alpha|. \quad (4.22)$$

Estimate (4.17) give

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\Psi x_\alpha) \right|^2 \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 \quad (4.23)$$

while (3.5) leads to

$$|\mathbf{r}_\alpha|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{L^4(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon \delta^3} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 \quad (4.24)$$

and (4.3) with the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality yield

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}([\mathcal{U}_3 - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3]x_\alpha) \right|^2 \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\delta} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 \quad (4.25)$$

Finally, (4.22), (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25) we obtain

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha) \right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 \delta} \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2} \right) [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 \quad (4.26)$$

The third estimate in (3.8) implies

$$\left\| \mathcal{Q}(0) - \mathcal{M}_{I_\delta}(\mathcal{Q}) \right\|_2^2 \leq C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^4} [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta})]^2. \quad (4.27)$$

From (4.26) and (4.27) we get (4.8).  $\square$

## 5 Elastic structure.

In this section we assume that the structure  $\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  is made of an elastic material. The associated local energy  $\widehat{W}_\varepsilon : \mathbf{X}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is the following St Venant-Kirchhoff's law (see [9])

$$\widehat{W}_\varepsilon(F) = \begin{cases} Q_\varepsilon(F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3) & \text{if } \det(F) > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } \det(F) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where the quadratic form  $Q$  is given by

$$Q_\varepsilon(E) = \begin{cases} Q_p(E) & \text{in the plate } \Omega_\delta, \\ q_\varepsilon^2 Q_r(E) & \text{in the rod } B_{\varepsilon,\delta}, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

with

$$Q_p(E) = \frac{\lambda_p}{8} (\text{tr}(E))^2 + \frac{\mu_p}{4} \text{tr}(E^2), \quad Q_r(E) = \frac{\lambda_r}{8} (\text{tr}(E))^2 + \frac{\mu_r}{4} \text{tr}(E^2), \quad (5.3)$$

and where  $(\lambda_p, \mu_p)$  (resp.  $(q_\varepsilon^2 \lambda_r, q_\varepsilon^2 \mu_r)$ ) are the Lamé's coefficients of the plate (resp. the rod). The constant  $q_\varepsilon$  depends only on the rod, we set  $q_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\eta$ , the parameter  $\eta$  being such that

- $\eta = 0$  for the same order for the the Lamé's coefficients in the plate and the rod,
- $\eta > 0$  for a softer material in the rod than in the plate,
- $\eta < 0$  for a softer material in the plate than in the rod.

Let us recall (see e.g. [16] or [7]) that for any  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $F$  such that  $\det(F) > 0$  we have

$$\text{tr}([F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3]^2) = |||F^T F - \mathbf{I}_3|||^2 \geq \text{dist}(F, SO(3))^2. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, we denote by

$$\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) = [\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)]^2 + q_\varepsilon^2 [\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta})]^2 \quad (5.5)$$

the linearized energy of a displacement  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . We define the total energy  $J_\delta(v)$ <sup>1</sup> over  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  by

$$J_\delta(v) = \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v)(x) dx - \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta(x) \cdot (v(x) - I_d(x)) dx. \quad (5.6)$$

## 5.1 Relations between $\delta$ , $\varepsilon$ and $q_\varepsilon$ .

In Section Subsection 5.2 we scale the applied forces in order to have the infimum of this total energy of order  $\delta^{2\kappa-1}$  with  $\kappa \geq 3$ . In such way, the minimizing sequences  $(v_\delta)$  satisfy

$$\|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C \frac{\delta^{\kappa-1/2}}{q_\varepsilon}.$$

The above estimate in the plate  $\Omega_\delta$  leads to the Von Kármán limit model ( $\kappa = 3$ ) or the classical linear plate model ( $\kappa > 3$ ). Since we wish at least to recover the linear model

---

<sup>1</sup>For later convenience, we have added the term  $\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta(x) \cdot I_d(x) dx$  to the usual standard energy, indeed this does not affect the minimizing problem for  $J_\delta$ .

in the rod which corresponds to a Green-St Venant's strain tensor in the rod of order  $\varepsilon^{\kappa'}$  with  $\kappa' > 3$ , we are led to assume that

$$\delta^{\kappa-1/2} = q_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\kappa'}. \quad (5.7)$$

Furthermore, still for the above estimates of the Green-St Venant's strain tensors, the bending in the plate is of order  $\delta^{\kappa-2}$  and the stretching in the rod is of order  $\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}$ . In this paper, we wish these two quantities to match at the junction it is essential to have

$$\delta^{\kappa-2} = \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}. \quad (5.8)$$

As a consequence of the above relations (5.7) and (5.8) we deduce that

$$\delta^3 = q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^{2\eta+2} \quad (5.9)$$

which implies that  $\eta$  must be chosen such that  $\eta > -1$ .

From now on we assume that (5.9) holds true and to recover a slightly general model in the rod we extend the analysis to  $\kappa' \geq 3$ .

## 5.2 Assumptions on the forces and energy estimate.

Let  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  be a deformation. The estimates in Lemma 4.1 become (taking into account (5.9))

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{W}_\alpha(0)|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right] \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}), \\ |\mathcal{W}_3(0) - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3(0,0)|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^3} (\varepsilon^2 + \delta) \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) \\ \|\mathcal{Q}(0)\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon \delta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}) \leq C(\delta + \varepsilon) \frac{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon^2 \delta^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

The following lemma give the estimates of the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  in the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *For any deformation  $v$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  satisfies the following Korn's type inequality in the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 &\leq C \frac{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon^2}, \quad \|u_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 \leq C \frac{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}{q_\varepsilon^2}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 &\leq C \frac{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon^2}, \quad \|u - \mathcal{W}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq C \frac{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}{q_\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

*Proof.* We define the rigid displacement  $\mathbf{r}$  by  $\mathbf{r}(x) = \mathcal{W}(0) + \mathcal{Q}(0) \wedge x$ . From (3.10) we obtain the following inequalities for the displacement  $u - r$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\alpha\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}), \\ \|u_3 - \mathbf{r}_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} &\leq C \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}), \\ \|\nabla u - \nabla \mathbf{r}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Then, the above estimates and (5.10) give (observe that due to relation (5.9) we have  $\|\mathcal{Q}(0)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^4 q_\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon})$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{r}_\alpha\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}), & \|\mathbf{r}_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{q_\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}), \\ \|\nabla \mathbf{r}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

which lead to the first third estimates in (5.11) using (5.12). Before obtaining the estimate of  $u - \mathcal{W}$  we write (see (3.7))

$$u(x) - \mathcal{W}(x_3) = (\mathcal{Q}(x_3) - \mathcal{Q}(0)) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) + \bar{u}(x) + \mathcal{Q}(0) \wedge (x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2).$$

Then due to estimates (3.8), (3.10) and (5.10) we finally get the last inequality in (5.11).  $\square$

The following lemma is one of the key point of this article in order to obtain a priori estimates on minimizing sequences of the total energy.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  be a deformation and  $u = v - I_d$ . We have*

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} + C_1 \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\delta^{5/2}} \quad (5.13)$$

and the following estimate on  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) &\leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} + C_2 \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \\ &\quad + C [\delta + \varepsilon^{1/2}] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon \delta^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

The constants  $C$  do not depend on  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

The proof is postponed in the Appendix.

As an immediate consequence of the Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, we get the full estimates of the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  in the rod.

**Corollary 5.3.** *For any deformation  $v$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  satisfies the*

following nonlinear Korn's type inequality in the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} &\leq C \left[ \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}}{\varepsilon q_\varepsilon} + (\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon^3 q_\varepsilon^2} \right] \\
&\quad + C \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}}{\varepsilon} + 2C_2 \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^4}, \\
\|u_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} &\leq C \left[ \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}}{q_\varepsilon} + (\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon^2 q_\varepsilon^2} \right] \\
&\quad + C \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 + 2C_2 \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^2}, \\
\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq C \left[ \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}}{\varepsilon q_\varepsilon} + (\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon^3 q_\varepsilon^2} \right] \\
&\quad + C \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}}{\varepsilon} + 2C_2 \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

**First assumptions on the forces.** To introduce the scaling on  $f_\delta$ , let us consider  $f_r$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  in  $L^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $f_p \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and assume that the force  $f_\delta$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\delta(x) &= q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{\kappa'} \left[ f_{r,1}(x_3) \mathbf{e}_1 + f_{r,2}(x_3) \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_{r,3}(x_3) \mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon^2} g_1(x_3) + \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon^2} g_2(x_3) \right], \\
x &\in B_{\varepsilon,\delta}, \quad x_3 > \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

$$f_{\delta,\alpha}(x) = \delta^{\kappa-1} f_{p,\alpha}(x_1, x_2), \quad f_{\delta,3}(x) = \delta^\kappa f_{p,3}(x_1, x_2), \quad x \in \Omega_\delta.$$

We set

$$N(f_p) = \|f_p\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad N(f_r) = \|f_r\|_{L^2(0,L; \mathbb{R}^3)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \|g_\alpha\|_{L^2(0,L; \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{5.17}$$

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  be such that  $J(v) \leq 0$  and  $u = v - I_d$ . Under the assumption (5.16) on the applied forces, we have

- if  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} + q_\varepsilon \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\
&\leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} (N(f_p) + N(f_r)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

- if  $\kappa = 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  then there exists a constant  $C^*$  which do not depend on  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that, if the forces applied to the plate  $\Omega_\delta$  satisfy

$$N(f_p) < C^* \mu_p \tag{5.19}$$

then (5.18) still holds true,

- if  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' = 3$  then there exists a constant  $C^{**}$  which do not depend on  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that, if the forces applied to the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  satisfy

$$N(f_r) < C^{**} \mu_r \quad (5.20)$$

then (5.18) still holds true,

- if  $\kappa = 3$  and  $\kappa' = 3$  then if the applied forces satisfy (5.19) and (5.20) then (5.18) still holds true.

The constants  $C$ ,  $C^*$  and  $C^{**}$  depend only on  $\omega$  and  $L$ .

Recall that we want a geometric energy in the plate  $\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}$  of order less than  $\delta^{5/2}$  in order to obtain a limit Von Kármán plate model. Lemma 5.4 prompts us to adopt the conditions (5.19) if  $\kappa = 3$  and (5.20) if  $\kappa' = 3$ . Let us notice that in the case  $\kappa = 3$  under the only assumption (5.16) on the forces (i.e. without assumption (5.19)) the geometric energy is generally of order  $\delta^{3/2}$  which corresponds to a limit model allowing large deformations (see [9]).

**Second assumptions on the forces.** From now on, in the whole paper we assume that

- if  $\kappa = 3$  then

$$N(f_p) < C^* \mu_p, \quad (5.21)$$

- if  $\kappa' = 3$  then

$$N(f_r) < C^{**} \mu_r. \quad (5.22)$$

*Proof.* *Proof of Lemma 5.4.* Notice that  $J_\delta(I_d) = 0$ . So, in order to minimize  $J_\delta$  we only need to consider deformations  $v$  of  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  such that  $J_\delta(v) \leq 0$ . From (3.6), (5.11) and the assumptions (5.16) on the body forces, we obtain for any  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  and for  $u = v - I_d$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta(x) \cdot u(x) dx \right| &\leq C_3 \delta^{\kappa-1/2} N(f_p) \mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \\ &\quad + C_4 q_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\kappa'} N(f_r) \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Now we use the definition (5.5)  $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$  and Lemma 5.2 to bound  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta)$  and  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta})$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon})$ . Taking into account the relations (5.7)-(5.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta(x) \cdot u(x) dx \right| &\leq C_1 C_3 \delta^{\kappa-3} N(f_p) \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 \\ &\quad + C [\sqrt{\delta} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}] \varepsilon^{\kappa'-3} N(f_r) \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2C_2 C_4 q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{\kappa'-3} N(f_r) \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 \\ &\quad + C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} \{N(f_p) + N(f_r)\} \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ &\quad + C q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{\kappa'} N(f_r) \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

From (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu_p}{4} \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + \frac{\mu_r q_\varepsilon^2}{4} \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v)(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} f_\delta(x) \cdot u(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Then using (5.24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\mu_p}{4} - C_1 C_3 \delta^{\kappa-3} N(f_p) - C[\delta + \varepsilon^{1/2}] \varepsilon^{\kappa'-3} N(f_r) \right] \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 \\ & + \left[ \frac{\mu_r}{4} - 2C_2 C_4 \varepsilon^{\kappa'-3} N(f_r) \right] q_\varepsilon^2 \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2 \\ & \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} \{ N(f_p) + N(f_r) \} \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ & + C q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{\kappa'} N(f_r) \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} \\ & \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} \{ N(f_p) + N(f_r) \} (\| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} + q_\varepsilon \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Now, recall that  $\kappa \geq 3$  and  $\kappa' \geq 3$ , so that first  $[\delta + \varepsilon^{1/2}] \varepsilon^{\kappa'-3} \rightarrow 0$ . Secondly, setting  $C^* = 4C_1 C_3$  and  $C^{**} = 8C_2 C_4$  then (5.18) holds true in any case of the lemma.  $\square$

Recalling that  $\delta^{\kappa-1/2} = q_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\kappa'}$ , we first deduce from Lemma 5.4

$$\| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa'}. \quad (5.27)$$

Then applying (5.26) of Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, \Omega_\delta) \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} \quad (5.28)$$

while (5.14) gives

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa'} + C[\delta + \varepsilon^{1/2}] \frac{\| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}}{\varepsilon \delta^3} \leq C \delta^{\kappa-1/2} + C[\delta + \varepsilon^{1/2}] \frac{\delta^{2\kappa-4}}{\varepsilon}$$

and using (5.8) yields

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa'}. \quad (5.29)$$

Finally for any deformation  $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  and  $u = v - I_d$  such that  $J(v) \leq 0$  we have

$$\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}) \leq C \delta^{2\kappa-1} = C q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{2\kappa'}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} f_\delta \cdot u \leq C \delta^{2\kappa-1}. \quad (5.30)$$

Moreover, the above inequality together with (5.25) show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v)(x) dx \leq C \delta^{2\kappa-1} \quad (5.31)$$

which in turn leads to

$$\|\nabla v^T \nabla v - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C\delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \|\nabla v^T \nabla v - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'} \quad (5.32)$$

From (5.30) we also obtain

$$c\delta^{2\kappa-1} \leq J_\delta(v) \leq 0. \quad (5.33)$$

We set

$$m_\delta = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} J_\delta(v). \quad (5.34)$$

In general, a minimizer of  $J_\delta$  does not exist on  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ . As a consequence of (5.33) we have

$$c \leq \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \leq 0.$$

## 6 Limits of the Green-St Venant's strain tensors.

In this subsection and the following one, we consider a sequence of deformations  $(v_\delta)$  belonging to  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  and satisfying  $(u_\delta = v_\delta - I_d)$

$$\mathcal{E}(u_\delta, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}) \leq C\delta^{2\kappa-1} \quad (6.1)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{E}(u_\delta, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}) \leq Cq_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{2\kappa'}.$$

Inequality (6.1) implies

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u_\delta, \Omega_\delta) \leq C\delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \mathbf{G}_s(u_\delta, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'}.$$

For any open subset  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and for any field  $\psi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , we denote

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial \psi_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha} \right), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (6.2)$$

### 6.1 The rescaling operators.

Before rescaling the domains, we introduce the reference domain  $\Omega$  for the plate and the one  $B$  for the rod

$$\Omega = \omega \times ]-1, 1[, \quad B = D \times ]0, L[ = D(O, 1) \times ]0, L[.$$

As usual when dealing with thin structures, we rescale  $\Omega_\delta$  and  $B_{\varepsilon, \delta}$  using -for the plate- the operator

$$\Pi_\delta(w)(x_1, x_2, X_3) = w(x_1, x_2, \delta X_3) \text{ for any } (x_1, x_2, X_3) \in \Omega$$

defined for e.g.  $w \in L^2(\Omega_\delta)$  for which  $\Pi_\delta(w) \in L^2(\Omega)$  and using -for the rod- the operator

$$P_\varepsilon(w)(X_1, X_2, x_3) = w(\varepsilon X_1, \varepsilon X_2, x_3) \text{ for any } (X_1, X_2, x_3) \in B$$

defined for e.g.  $w \in L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})$  for which  $P_\varepsilon(w) \in L^2(B)$ .

## 6.2 Asymptotic behavior in the plate.

Following Section 2 we decompose the restriction of  $u_\delta = v_\delta - I_d$  to the plate. The Theorem 3.1 gives  $\mathcal{U}_\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_\delta$  and  $\bar{u}_\delta$ , then estimates (3.5) lead to the following convergences for a subsequence still indexed by  $\delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-2}} \mathcal{U}_{3,\delta} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_3 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\omega), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-1}} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\delta} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\omega), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-2}} \mathcal{R}_\delta &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{R} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^\kappa} \Pi_\delta(\bar{u}_\delta) &\rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}^3)), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_\delta}{\partial x_\alpha} - \mathcal{R}_\delta \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha \right) &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z}_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The boundary conditions (3.4) give here

$$\mathcal{U}_3 = 0, \quad \mathcal{U}_\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{R} = 0 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \tag{6.4}$$

while (6.3) show that  $\mathcal{U}_3 \in H^2(\omega)$  with

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_1} = -\mathcal{R}_2, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_2} = \mathcal{R}_1. \tag{6.5}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-1}} \Pi_\delta(u_{\alpha,\delta}) &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_\alpha - X_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\alpha} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega), \\
\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-2}} \Pi_\delta(u_{3,\delta}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_3 \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

which shows that the rescaled limit displacement is a Kirchhoff-Love displacement.

In [8] the limit of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor of the sequence  $v_\delta$  is also derived. Let us set

$$\bar{u}_p = \bar{u} + \frac{X_3}{2} (\mathcal{Z}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{X_3}{2} (\mathcal{Z}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) \mathbf{e}_2 \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\beta}, & \text{if } \kappa = 3, \\ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}) & \text{if } \kappa > 3. \end{cases} \tag{6.8}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{2\delta^{\kappa-1}} \Pi_\delta((\nabla_x v_\delta)^T \nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{E}_p \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^9),$$

where the symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{E}_p$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}_p = \begin{pmatrix} -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_1^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{11} & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \mathcal{Z}_{12} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,1}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_2^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{22} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,2}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & * & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,3}}{\partial X_3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.9)$$

### 6.3 Asymptotic behavior in the rod.

Now, we decompose the restriction of  $u_\delta = v_\delta - I_d$  to the rod. The Theorem 3.2 gives  $\mathcal{W}_\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_\delta$  and  $\bar{w}_\delta$ , then the estimates in (3.10), (5.10) allow to claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{w}_\delta\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'+1}, & \|\nabla \bar{w}_\delta\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'}, \\ \|\mathcal{Q}_\delta - \mathcal{Q}_\delta(0)\|_{H^1(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}, & \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\delta}{dx_3} - \mathcal{Q}_\delta \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \right\|_{L^2(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} \\ \|\mathcal{W}_{\delta,3} - \mathcal{W}_{\delta,3}(0)\|_{H^1(-\delta, L)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}, \\ \|\mathcal{W}_\delta - \mathcal{W}_\delta(0) - \mathcal{Q}_\delta(0)x_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3\|_{H^1(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Moreover from (5.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\delta}(0)| &\leq C\sqrt{\delta(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2)}\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}, \\ |\mathcal{W}_{3,\delta}(0) - \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{3,\delta}(0,0)| &\leq C\sqrt{\delta + \varepsilon^2}\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}, \\ \|\mathcal{Q}_\delta(0)\|_2 &\leq C\sqrt{\delta + \varepsilon}\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Due to the above estimates we are in a position to prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a subsequence still indexed by  $\delta$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\delta} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(0, L), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \mathcal{W}_{3,\delta} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{W}_3 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(0, L), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}} \mathcal{Q}_\delta &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{Q} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'}} P_\varepsilon(\bar{w}_\delta) &\rightharpoonup \bar{w} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, L; H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta,1}}{\partial x_3} - \mathcal{Q}_{\delta,2} \right) &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z}_1 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(B), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta,2}}{\partial x_3} + \mathcal{Q}_{\delta,1} \right) &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{Z}_2 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(B). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

We also have  $\mathcal{W}_\alpha \in H^2(0, L)$  and

$$\frac{d\mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3} = \mathcal{Q}_2, \quad \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3} = -\mathcal{Q}_1. \quad (6.13)$$

The junction conditions

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{Q}(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{W}_3(0) = \mathcal{U}_3(0, 0) \quad (6.14)$$

hold true. Setting

$$\bar{w}_r = \bar{w} + [X_1 \mathcal{Z}_1 + X_2 \mathcal{Z}_2] \mathbf{e}_3 \quad (6.15)$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon((\nabla v_\delta)^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{E}_r + \mathbf{F} \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(B; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (6.16)$$

where the symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{E}_r$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  are defined by

$$\mathbf{E}_r = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(\bar{w}_r) & \gamma_{12}(\bar{w}_r) & -\frac{1}{2} X_2 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}}{\partial X_1} \\ * & \gamma_{22}(\bar{w}_r) & \frac{1}{2} X_1 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}}{\partial X_2} \\ * & * & -X_1 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_1}{dx_3^2} - X_2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_2}{dx_3^2} + \frac{d\mathcal{U}_3}{dx_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathcal{Q}\|_2^2 \mathbf{I}_3 - \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q}^T) & \text{if } \kappa' = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa' > 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* First, the estimates (6.10) and (6.11) imply that the sequences  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\delta}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \mathcal{W}_{3,\delta}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}} \mathcal{Q}_\delta$  are bounded in  $H^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^k)$ , for  $k = 1$  or  $k = 3$ . Taking into account also (6.10) and upon extracting a subsequence it follows that the convergences (6.12) hold true together with (6.13). The first strong convergence in (6.12) is in particular a consequence of (6.10). The junction conditions on  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$  are immediate consequences of (6.11) and the convergences (6.12).

In order to obtain the junction condition between the bending in the plate and the stretching in the rod, note first that the sequence  $\frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-2}} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\delta,3}$  converges strongly in  $H^1(\omega)$  to  $\mathcal{U}_3$  because of (4.3) and the first convergence in (6.3). Besides this sequence is uniformly bounded in  $H^2(D(O, \rho_0))$ , hence it converges strongly to the same limit  $\mathcal{U}_3$  in  $C^0(D(O, \rho_0))$ . Moreover the weak convergence of the sequence  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \mathcal{W}_{\delta,3}$  in  $H^1(0, L)$ , implies the convergence of  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} \mathcal{W}_{\delta,3}(0)$  to  $\mathcal{W}_3(0)$ . Using the third estimate in (6.11) gives the last condition in (6.14).

Once the convergences (6.12) are established, the limit of the rescaled Green-St Venant strain tensor of the sequence  $v_\delta$  is analyzed in [7] and it gives (6.17).  $\square$

The above Lemma and the decomposition (3.7) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-2}} P_\varepsilon(u_{\alpha,\delta}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(B), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon(u_{1,\delta} - \mathcal{W}_{1,\delta}) &\rightharpoonup -X_2 \mathcal{Q}_3 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(B), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon(u_{2,\delta} - \mathcal{W}_{2,\delta}) &\rightharpoonup X_1 \mathcal{Q}_3 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(B), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon(u_{3,\delta}) &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{W}_3 - X_1 \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3} - X_2 \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(B), \end{aligned}$$

which show that the limit rescaled displacement is a Bernoulli-Navier displacement.

## 7 Asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}}$ .

The goal of this section is to establish Theorem 7.2. Let us first introduce a few notations. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3 = \left\{ (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(0, L) \mid \right. \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_3 \in H^2(\omega), \quad \mathcal{W}_\alpha \in H^2(0, L), \quad \mathcal{U} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \\ \mathcal{W}_3(0) = \mathcal{U}_3(0, 0), \quad \mathcal{W}_\alpha(0) = \frac{d\mathcal{W}_\alpha}{dx_3}(0) = \mathcal{Q}_3(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

We introduce below the "limit" rescaled elastic energies for the plate and the rod

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) &= \frac{E_p}{3(1-\nu_p^2)} \int_\omega \left[ (1-\nu_p) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \right|^2 + \nu_p (\Delta \mathcal{U}_3)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{E_p}{(1-\nu_p^2)} \int_\omega \left[ (1-\nu_p) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 |\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + \nu_p (\mathcal{Z}_{11} + \mathcal{Z}_{22})^2 \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) &= \frac{E_r \pi}{8} \int_0^L \left[ \left| \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3^2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3^2} \right|^2 \right] + \frac{E_r \pi}{2} \left| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3}{dx_3} + \mathbf{F}_{33} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_r \pi}{8} \int_0^L \left| \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3}{dx_3} \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where the  $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}$ 's are given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3}{\partial x_\beta}, & \text{if } \kappa = 3, \\ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}) & \text{if } \kappa > 3. \end{cases}$$

and where  $\mathbf{F}_{33}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{33} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \left| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3} \right|^2 \right) & \text{if } \kappa' = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa' > 3. \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

The total energy of the plate-rod structure is given by the functional  $\mathcal{J}$  defined over  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$

$$\mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) = \mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) + \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) - \mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \quad (7.4)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) = 2 \int_{\omega} f_p \cdot \mathcal{U} + \pi \int_0^L f_r \cdot \mathcal{W} dx_3 + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^L g_\alpha \cdot (\mathcal{Q} \wedge \mathbf{e}_\alpha) dx_3 \quad (7.5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q} = -\frac{d\mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3} \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{Q}_3 \mathbf{e}_3. \quad (7.6)$$

It is worth noting that the functional  $\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U})$  corresponds to the elastic energy of a Von Kármán plate model for  $\kappa = 3$  (see e.g. [11]) and to the classical linear plate model for  $\kappa > 3$ . Similarly the functional  $\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3)$  corresponds to a nonlinear rod model derived in [7] for  $\kappa' = 3$  and to the classical linear rod model for  $\kappa' > 3$ . Let us also notice that in the space  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$  the bending in the plate is equal to the stretching in the rod at the junction while the bending and the section-rotation of the rod in the junction are equal to 0 (see (7.6)).

In the lemma below we give sufficient conditions on the applied forces in order to insure the existence of at least a minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}$  (see [11] for a proof of the result for different boundary conditions for the displacement on  $\partial\omega$ ).

**Lemma 7.1.** *We have*

- if  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  then the minimization problem

$$\min_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \quad (7.7)$$

admits an unique solution,

- if  $\kappa = 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  then there exists a constant  $C_l^*$  such that, if  $(f_{p1}, f_{p2})$  satisfies

$$\|f_{p1}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|f_{p2}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 < C_l^* \quad (7.8)$$

then (7.7) admits at least a solution,

- if  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' = 3$  then there exists a constant  $C_l^{**}$  such that, if  $f_{r3}$  satisfies

$$\|f_{r3}\|_{L^2(0,L)} < C_l^{**} \quad (7.9)$$

then (7.7) admits at least a solution,

- if  $\kappa = 3$  and  $\kappa' = 3$  then if the applied forces  $(f_{p1}, f_{p2})$  and  $f_{r3}$  satisfy (7.8) and (7.9) then (7.7) admits at least a solution.

*Proof.* First, in the case  $\kappa > 3$  and  $\kappa' > 3$  the result is well known.

We prove the lemma in the case  $\kappa = 3$  and  $\kappa' = 3$ . The two other cases are simpler and left to the reader.

Due to the boundary conditions on  $\mathcal{U}_3$  in  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ , we immediately have

$$\|\mathcal{U}_3\|_{H^2(\omega)}^2 \leq C\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}). \quad (7.10)$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 \|\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{U})\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 &\leq \mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) + C\|\nabla\mathcal{U}_3\|_{L^4(\omega;\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) + C[\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U})]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

Thanks to the 2D Korn's inequality we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{U}_1\|_{H^1(\omega)}^2 + \|\mathcal{U}_2\|_{H^1(\omega)}^2 \leq C\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) + C_P[\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U})]^2. \quad (7.12)$$

Again, due to the boundary conditions on  $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_3$  in  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ , we immediately have

$$\|\mathcal{W}_1\|_{H^2(0,L)}^2 + \|\mathcal{W}_2\|_{H^2(0,L)}^2 + \|\mathcal{Q}_3\|_{H^1(0,L)}^2 \leq \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3). \quad (7.13)$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(0,L)}^2 &\leq \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) + C \left\{ \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^4(0,L)}^2 + \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^4(0,L)}^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) + C[\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

From the above inequality and(7.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{W}_3\|_{L^2(0,L)}^2 &\leq C|\mathcal{W}_3(0)|^2 + C \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(0,L)}^2 \\ &\leq C\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}) + C\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) + C_R[\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

Since  $\mathcal{J}_3(0, 0, 0) = 0$ , let us consider a minimizing sequence  $(\mathcal{U}^{(N)}, \mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)}) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$  satisfying  $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(N)}, \mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)}) \leq 0$

$$m = \inf_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(N)}, \mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)})$$

where  $m \in [-\infty, 0]$ .

With the help of (7.10)-(7.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}^{(N)}) + \mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)}) &\leq C\|f_{p3}\| \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}^{(N)})} \\ &+ (\|f_{p1}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 + \|f_{p2}\|_{L^2(\omega)}^2)^{1/2} (C\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}^{(N)})} + \sqrt{C_P}\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}^{(N)})) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 (\|f_{r\alpha}\|_{L^2(0,L)} + \|g_\alpha\|_{L^2(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3)}) \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)})} \\ &+ \|f_{r3}\|_{L^2(0,L)} (C\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)})} + C\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_p(\mathcal{U}^{(N)})} + \sqrt{C_R}\mathcal{J}_r(\mathcal{W}^{(N)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)})) \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

Choosing  $C_l^* = \frac{1}{C_P}$  and  $C_R^{**} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_R}}$ , if the applied forces satisfy (7.8) and (7.9) then the following estimates hold true

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{U}_3^{(N)}\|_{H^2(\omega)} + \|\mathcal{U}_1^{(N)}\|_{H^1(\omega)} + \|\mathcal{U}_2^{(N)}\|_{H^1(\omega)} + \|\mathcal{W}_1^{(N)}\|_{H^2(0,L)} \\ & + \|\mathcal{W}_2^{(N)}\|_{H^2(0,L)} + \|\mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)}\|_{H^1(0,L)} + \|\mathcal{W}_3^{(N)}\|_{H^1(0,L)} \leq C \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $N$ .

As a consequence, there exists  $(\mathcal{U}^{(*)}, \mathcal{W}^{(*)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(*)}) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$  such that for a subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_3^{(N)} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_3^{(*)} \quad \text{weakly in } H^2(\omega) \text{ and strongly in } W^{1,4}(\omega), \\ \mathcal{U}_\alpha^{(N)} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_\alpha^{(*)} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\omega), \\ \mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(N)} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(*)} \quad \text{weakly in } H^2(0, L) \text{ and strongly in } W^{1,4}(0, L), \\ \mathcal{Q}_3^{(N)} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{Q}_3^{(*)} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(0, L), \\ \mathcal{W}_3^{(N)} &\rightharpoonup \mathcal{W}_3^{(*)} \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(0, L). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $\mathcal{J}_3$  is weakly sequentially continuous in

$$H^2(\omega) \times H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \times L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^2) \times L^2(0, L)$$

with respect to

$$(\mathcal{U}_3, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \mathcal{Z}_{11}, \mathcal{Z}_{12}, \mathcal{Z}_{22}, \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \mathcal{W}_3, \mathcal{Q}_3, \mathbf{F}_{33})$$

The above weak and strong converges imply that

$$\mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(*)}, \mathcal{W}^{(*)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(*)}) = m = \min_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3)$$

which ends the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of the paper. It characterizes the limit of the rescaled infimum of the total energy  $\frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} = \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \inf_{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} J_\delta(v)$  as the minimum of the limit energy  $\mathcal{J}_3$  over the space  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ . Due to the conditions on the fields  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3$  in  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ , this minimization problem modelizes the junction of a 2d plate model with a 1d rod model of the type "plate bending-rod stretching".

**Theorem 7.2.** *Under the assumptions (5.16), (5.21)- (5.22) and (7.8)-(7.9) on the forces, we have*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} = \min_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3), \quad (7.18)$$

where the functional  $\mathcal{J}$  is defined by (7.4).

*Proof. Step 1.* In this step we show that

$$\min_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \leq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}}. \quad (7.19)$$

Let  $(v_\delta)_\delta$  be a sequence of deformations belonging to  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$  and such that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\delta(v_\delta)}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}}. \quad (7.20)$$

One can always assume that  $J_\delta(v_\delta) \leq 0$  without loss of generality. From the analysis of the previous section and, in particular from estimates (5.30) the sequence  $v_\delta$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u_\delta, \mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}) &\leq C\delta^{2\kappa-1} = Cq_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{2\kappa'}, & \|\text{dist}(\nabla v_\delta, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} &\leq C\delta^{\kappa-1/2} \\ \|\text{dist}(\nabla v_\delta, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} &\leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

Estimates (5.32) give

$$\|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C\delta^{\kappa-1/2}, \quad \|\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\kappa'}. \quad (7.22)$$

Firstly, for any fixed  $\delta$ , the displacement  $u_\delta = v_\delta - I_d$ , restricted to  $\Omega_\delta$ , is decomposed as in Theorem 3.1. Due to the second estimate in (7.21), we can apply the results of Subsection 6.2 to the sequence  $(v_\delta)$ . As a consequence there exist a subsequence (still indexed by  $\delta$ ) and  $\mathcal{U}^{(0)}, \mathcal{R}^{(0)} \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , such that the convergences (6.3) and (6.6) hold true. Due to (6.4) and (6.5) the field  $\mathcal{U}_3$  belongs to  $H^2(\omega)$ , and we have the boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{U}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \nabla \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)} = 0, \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \quad (7.23)$$

Subsection 6.2 also shows that there exists  $\bar{u}_p^{(0)} \in L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}^3))$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2\delta^{\kappa-1}} (\nabla v_\delta^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{E}_p^{(0)} \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^9) \quad (7.24)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}$  is defined

$$\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_1^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{11}^{(0)} & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \mathcal{Z}_{12}^{(0)} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,1}^{(0)}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_2^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{22}^{(0)} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,2}^{(0)}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & * & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{p,3}^{(0)}}{\partial X_3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.25)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}^{(0)}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_\beta}, & \text{if } \kappa = 3, \\ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{U}^{(0)}) & \text{if } \kappa > 3. \end{cases} \quad (7.26)$$

Moreover thanks to the first estimate in (7.22), the weak convergence (7.24) actually occurs in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^9)$ .

Secondly, still for  $\delta$  fixed, the displacement  $u_\delta = v_\delta - I_d$ , restricted to  $B_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ , is decomposed as in Theorem 3.1. Again due to the third estimate in (7.22), we can apply the results of Subsection 6.3 to the sequence  $(v_\delta)$ . As a consequence there exist a subsequence (still indexed by  $\delta$ ) and  $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)} \in H^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , such that the convergences (6.12). As a consequence of (6.13) the fields  $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$  belongs to  $H^2(0, L)$  and we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{W}^{(0)}}{dx_3} = \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)} \wedge \mathbf{e}_3.$$

The junction conditions (6.14) and (6.14) give

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(0)}(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(0)}(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{W}_3^{(0)}(0) = \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}(0, 0). \quad (7.27)$$

The triplet  $(\mathcal{U}^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}^{(0)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)})$  belongs to  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ .

Subsection 6.3 also shows that there exists  $\bar{w}_r^{(0)} \in L^2(0, L; H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^3))$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon((\nabla v_\delta)^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{E}_r^{(0)} \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(B; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \quad (7.28)$$

where the symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(\bar{w}_r^{(0)}) & \gamma_{12}(\bar{w}_r^{(0)}) & -\frac{1}{2}X_2 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}^{(0)}}{\partial X_1} \\ * & \gamma_{22}(\bar{w}_r^{(0)}) & \frac{1}{2}X_1 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}^{(0)}}{\partial X_2} \\ * & * & -X_1 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_1^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} - X_2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_2^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} + \frac{d\mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{F}^{(0)}, \quad (7.29)$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathcal{Q}^{(0)}\|_2^2 \mathbf{I}_3 - \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} (\mathcal{Q}^{(0)})^T) & \text{if } \kappa' = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa' > 3, \end{cases} \quad (7.30)$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} = -\frac{d\mathcal{W}_2^{(0)}}{dx_3} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1^{(0)}}{dx_3} \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)} \mathbf{e}_3.$$

Moreover thanks to the second estimate in (7.22), the weak convergence (7.28) actually occurs in  $L^2(B; \mathbb{R}^9)$ .

First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\delta) &= \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\delta) + \frac{1}{q_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{2\kappa'}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon, \delta} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\delta, \varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\delta) \\ &= \int_\Omega Q_p \left( \Pi_\delta \left[ \frac{1}{\delta^{\kappa-1}} ((\nabla v_\delta)^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \right] \right) + \int_B Q_r \left( \chi_{B \setminus D \times ]0, \delta[} P_\varepsilon \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} ((\nabla v_\delta)^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

From the weak convergences of the Green-St Venant's tensors in (7.24) and (7.28) (recall that these convergences hold true in  $L^2$ ) and the limit of the term involving the forces (7.32) we obtain

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\delta(v_\delta)}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \geq \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}) + \int_B Q(\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}) - \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta \cdot (v_\delta - I_d) \quad (7.31)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}$  and  $\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}$  are given by (7.25) and (7.29). In order to derive the last limit in (7.31) we use the assumptions on the forces (5.16) and the convergences (6.3) and (6.12) and this leads to

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta \cdot (v_\delta - I_d) = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}^{(0)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}) \quad (7.32)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3)$  is given by (7.5) for any triplet in  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$ . From (7.31) and (7.32), we obtain

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_\delta(v_\delta)}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \geq \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}) + \int_B Q(\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}) - \mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(0)}, \mathcal{W}^{(0)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}). \quad (7.33)$$

The next step in the derivation of the limit energy consists in minimizing  $\int_{-1}^1 Q_p(\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}) dX_3$  (resp.  $\int_D Q_r(\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}) dX_1 dX_2$ ) with respect to  $\bar{u}_p^{(0)}$  ( resp.  $\bar{w}_r^{(0)}$ ).

First the expressions of  $Q_p$  and of  $\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}$  under a few calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 Q_p(\mathbf{E}_p^{(0)}) dX_3 &\geq \frac{E_p}{3(1-\nu_p^2)} \left[ (1-\nu_p) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)}}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \right|^2 + \nu_p (\Delta \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)})^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{E_p}{(1-\nu_p^2)} \left[ (1-\nu_p) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^2 |\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}|^2 + \nu_p (\mathcal{Z}_{11}^{(0)} + \mathcal{Z}_{22}^{(0)})^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

the expression in the right hand side of (7.34) is obtained through replacing  $\bar{u}_p^{(0)}$  by

$$\bar{u}_p^{(0)}(\cdot, \cdot, X_3) = \frac{\nu_p}{1-\nu_p} \left[ \left( \frac{X_3^2}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \Delta \mathcal{U}_3^{(0)} - X_3 (\mathcal{Z}_{11}^{(0)} + \mathcal{Z}_{22}^{(0)}) \right] \mathbf{e}_3. \quad (7.35)$$

Then the expressions of  $Q_r$  and of  $\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}$  permit to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D Q_r(\mathbf{E}_r^{(0)}) dX_1 dX_2 &\geq \frac{E_r \pi}{8} \left[ \left| \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_1^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_2^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} \right|^2 \right] + \frac{E_r \pi}{2} \left| \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} + \mathbf{F}_{33}^{(0)} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_r \pi}{8} \left| \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.36)$$

and similarly the expression in the right hand side of (7.36) is derived through replacing  $\overline{w}_r^{(0)}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\overline{w}}_{r,1}^{(0)} &= -\nu_r \left[ \frac{X_2^2 - X_1^2}{2} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_1^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} - X_1 X_2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_2^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} + X_1 \left( \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} + \mathbf{F}_{33}^{(0)} \right) \right] - X_1 \mathbf{F}_{11}^{(0)} - \frac{X_2}{2} \mathbf{F}_{12}^{(0)} \\
\overline{\overline{w}}_{r,2}^{(0)} &= -\nu_r \left[ \frac{X_1^2 - X_2^2}{2} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_2^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} - X_1 X_2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{W}_1^{(0)}}{dx_3^2} + X_2 \left( \frac{d\mathcal{W}_3^{(0)}}{dx_3} + \mathbf{F}_{33}^{(0)} \right) \right] - \frac{X_1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{12}^{(0)} - X_2 \mathbf{F}_{22}^{(0)} \\
\overline{\overline{w}}_{r,3}^{(0)} &= -X_1 \mathbf{F}_{13}^{(0)} - X_2 \mathbf{F}_{23}^{(0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

In view of (7.33), (7.34) and (7.36), the proof of (7.19) is achieved.

*Step 2.* Under the assumptions (7.8)-(7.9), we know that there exists  $(\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)}) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3$  such that

$$\min_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}_3} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Q}_3) = \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)}).$$

Now, in this step we show that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \leq \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)}).$$

Let  $\overline{\overline{u}}_p^{(1)}$  be in  $L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}^3))$  obtained through replacing  $\mathcal{U}^{(0)}$  by  $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$  in (7.26)-(7.35) and  $\overline{\overline{w}}_r^{(1)}$  be in  $L^2(0, L; H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^3))$  obtained through replacing  $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}$  by  $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(0)}$  in (7.30)-(7.37).

We now consider a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}^{(n)}, \mathcal{W}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}, \overline{u}^{(n)}, \overline{w}^{(n)})_{n \geq 2}$  such that

- $\mathcal{U}_\alpha^{(n)} \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega) \cap H_{\gamma_0}^1(\omega)$  and

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } H^1(\omega),$$

- $\mathcal{U}_3^{(n)} \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega) \cap H_{\gamma_0}^2(\omega)$  and

$$\mathcal{U}_3^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_3^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } H^2(\omega),$$

- $\mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(n)} \in W^{3,\infty}(-1/n, L)$  with  $\mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(n)} = 0$  in  $[-1/n, 1/n]$  and

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_\alpha^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } H^2(0, L),$$

- $\mathcal{W}_3^{(n)} \in W^{2,\infty}(-1/n, L)$  with  $\mathcal{W}_3^{(n)} = \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(0, 0)$  in  $[-1/n, 1/n]$  and

$$\mathcal{W}_3^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_3^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } H^1(0, L),$$

- $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)} \in W^{2,\infty}(-1/n, L)$  with  $\mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)} = 0$  in  $[-1/n, 1/n]$  and

$$\mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } H^1(0, L),$$

- $\bar{u}^{(n)} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\bar{u}^{(n)} = 0$  on  $\partial\omega \times ]-1, 1[$ ,  $\bar{u}^{(n)} = 0$  in the cylinder  $D(O, 1/n) \times ]-1, 1[$  and

$$\bar{u}^{(n)} \longrightarrow \bar{\bar{u}}_p^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } L^2(\omega; H^1(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}^3)),$$

- $\bar{w}^{(n)} \in W^{1,\infty}(]-1/n, L[ \times D; \mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\bar{w}^{(n)} = 0$  in the cylinder  $D \times ]-1/n, 1/n[$  and

$$\bar{w}^{(n)} \longrightarrow \bar{\bar{w}}_r^{(1)} \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L; H^1(D; \mathbb{R}^3)).$$

First, the above strong convergences and the expression of  $\mathcal{J}$  show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(n)}, \mathcal{W}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}) = \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)}). \quad (7.38)$$

For  $n$  fixed, let us consider the following sequence  $(v_\delta)$  of deformations of the whole structure  $\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ , defined below:

- in  $\Omega_\delta$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\delta,1}(x) &= x_1 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) + \delta \bar{u}_1^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \frac{x_3}{\delta}) \right), \\ v_{\delta,2}(x) &= x_2 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) + \delta \bar{u}_2^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \frac{x_3}{\delta}) \right), \\ v_{\delta,3}(x) &= x_3 + \delta^{\kappa-2} \left( \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) + \delta^2 \bar{u}_3^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \frac{x_3}{\delta}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

- in  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\delta,1}(x) &= x_1 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) \right) + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-2} (\mathcal{W}_1^{(n)}(x_3) \\ &\quad - x_2 \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}(x_3) + \varepsilon^2 \bar{w}_1^{(n)}(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3)), \\ v_{\delta,2}(x) &= x_2 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) \right) + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-2} (\mathcal{W}_2^{(n)}(x_3) \\ &\quad + x_1 \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}(x_3) + \varepsilon^2 \bar{w}_2^{(n)}(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3)), \\ v_{\delta,3}(x) &= x_3 + \delta^{\kappa-2} \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} ([\mathcal{W}_3^{(n)}(x_3) - \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(0, 0)] - \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1^{(n)}}{dx_3}(x_3) \\ &\quad - \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2^{(n)}}{dx_3}(x_3) + \varepsilon \bar{w}_3^{(n)}(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.40)$$

Obviously, if  $\delta$  is small enough (in order to have  $\delta \leq 1/n$ ) the two expressions of  $v_\delta$  match in the cylinder  $C_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  and are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\delta,1}(x) &= x_1 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) \right), \\ v_{\delta,2}(x) &= x_2 + \delta^{\kappa-1} \left( \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{x_3}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) \right), \\ v_{\delta,3}(x) &= x_3 + \delta^{\kappa-2} \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

By construction the deformation  $v_\delta$  belongs to  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . Then we have

$$m_\delta \leq J_\delta(v_\delta). \quad (7.42)$$

In the expression (7.39) of the displacement  $v_\delta - I_d$  the explicit dependence with respect to  $\delta$  permits to derive directly the limit of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor as  $\delta$  tends to 0 ( $n$  being fixed)

$$\frac{1}{2\delta^{\kappa-1}} \Pi_\delta((\nabla_x v_\delta)^T \nabla_x v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_p^{(n)} \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^9), \quad (7.43)$$

where the symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{E}_p^{(n)}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}_p^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{11}^{(n)} & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \mathcal{Z}_{12}^{(n)} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_1^{(n)}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & -X_3 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2^2} + \mathcal{Z}_{22}^{(n)} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_2^{(n)}}{\partial X_3} \\ * & * & \frac{\partial \bar{u}_3^{(n)}}{\partial X_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, in the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\delta,1}(x) &= x_1 + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-2} \left[ \mathcal{W}_1^{(n)}(x_3) + \delta \varepsilon \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(0,0) - \varepsilon x_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(0,0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x_2 \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}(x_3) \right] + \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,1}^{(n)}(x), \\ v_{\delta,2}(x) &= x_2 + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-2} \left[ \mathcal{W}_2^{(n)}(x_3) + \delta \varepsilon \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(0,0) - \varepsilon x_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(0,0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x_1 \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}(x_3) \right] + \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,2}^{(n)}(x), \\ v_{\delta,3}(x) &= x_3 + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} \left[ \mathcal{W}_3^{(n)}(x_3) - \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_1^{(n)}}{dx_3}(x_3) + x_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(0,0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\mathcal{W}_2^{(n)}}{dx_3}(x_3) + x_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(0,0) \right] + \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,3}^{(n)}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (7.44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,1}^{(n)}(x) &= \varepsilon^{\kappa'} \bar{w}_1^{(n)}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3\right) + \delta \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} (\mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}(0, 0)) \\
&\quad - x_3 \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(0, 0) \right), \\
\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,2}^{(n)}(x) &= \varepsilon^{\kappa'} \bar{w}_2^{(n)}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3\right) + \delta \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} (\mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}(0, 0)) \\
&\quad - x_3 \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(0, 0) \right), \\
\tilde{w}_{\varepsilon,3}^{(n)}(x) &= \varepsilon^{\kappa'} \bar{w}_3^{(n)}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon}, x_3\right) + \varepsilon^{\kappa'-1} (\mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}(0, 0)) \\
&\quad - x_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1}(0, 0) - x_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2}(0, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

First notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa'}} P_\varepsilon(\tilde{w}_\varepsilon^{(n)}) &\longrightarrow \bar{w}_r^{(n)} = \bar{w}^{(n)} - x_3 \left[ X_1 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1^2}(0, 0) + X_2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(0, 0) \right] \mathbf{e}_1 \\
&\quad - x_3 \left[ X_1 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(0, 0) + X_2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{\partial x_2^2}(0, 0) \right] \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{strongly in } W^{1,\infty}(B; \mathbb{R}^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.45}$$

As above, the expression (7.44) of the displacement  $v_\delta - I_d$  being explicit with respect to  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , a direct calculation gives

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\kappa'-1}} P_\varepsilon((\nabla v_\delta)^T \nabla v_\delta - \mathbf{I}_3) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_r^{(n)} \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(B; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}), \tag{7.46}$$

where the symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{E}_r^{(n)}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{(n)}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_r^{(n)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(\bar{w}_r^{(n)}) & \gamma_{12}(\bar{w}_r^{(n)}) & -\frac{1}{2} X_2 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}^{(n)}}{\partial X_1} \\ * & \gamma_{22}(\bar{w}_r^{(n)}) & \frac{1}{2} X_1 \frac{d\mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}}{dx_3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{r,3}^{(n)}}{\partial X_2} \\ * & * & -X_1 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_1^{(n)}}{dx_3^2} - X_2 \frac{d^2 \mathcal{U}_2^{(n)}}{dx_3^2} + \frac{d\mathcal{U}_3^{(n)}}{dx_3} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{F}^{(n)}, \\
\mathbf{F}^{(n)} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathcal{Q}^{(n)}\|_2^2 \mathbf{I}_3 - \mathcal{Q}^{(n)} \cdot (\mathcal{Q}^{(n)})^T) & \text{if } \kappa' = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \kappa' > 3. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.47}$$

From the strong convergences (7.43)-(7.46) and taking to account the expressions of the

applied forces (5.16) and the ones of the deformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} \widehat{W}_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\delta)(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{E}_p^{(n)}) + \int_B Q(\mathbf{E}_r^{(n)}) \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta,\varepsilon}} f_\delta \cdot (v_\delta - I_d) &= \mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(n)}, \mathcal{W}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the above limits and (7.42) we finally get

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \leq \int_{\Omega} Q(\mathbf{E}_p^{(n)}) + \int_B Q(\mathbf{E}_r^{(n)}) - \mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(n)}, \mathcal{W}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(n)}). \quad (7.48)$$

Now,  $n$  goes to infinity, the above inequality and (7.38) give

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_\delta}{\delta^{2\kappa-1}} \leq \mathcal{J}_3(\mathcal{U}^{(1)}, \mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Q}_3^{(1)}). \quad (7.49)$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** *Let us point out that Theorem 7.2 shows that for any minimizing sequence  $(v_\delta)_\delta$  as in Step 1, the third convergence of the rescaled Green-St Venant's strain tensor in (7.24) is a strong convergence in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  and the convergence (7.28) is a strong convergence in  $L^2(B; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$ .*

## 8 Appendix

*Proof of Lemma (5.2).* The first estimate (5.13) is proved in Lemma 4.3 of [8]. Now we carry on by estimating  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta})$ .

*Step 1. In this step we prove the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) &\leq C \| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\ &\quad + C \frac{\| \text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3)) \|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} + C\varepsilon \| \mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3 \|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

The restriction of the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  to the rod  $B_{\varepsilon,\delta}$  is decomposed as (see Theorem II.2.2 of [7])

$$u(x) = \mathcal{W}(x_3) + (\mathbf{Q}(x_3) - \mathbf{I}_3)(x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) + \overline{w}'(x), \quad x \in B_{\varepsilon,\delta}, \quad (8.2)$$

where we have  $\mathcal{W} \in H^1(-\delta, L; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q} \in H^1(-\delta, L; SO(3))$  and  $\overline{w}' \in H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ . This displacement is also decomposed as in (3.7). In both decompositions the field  $\mathcal{W}$  is the average of  $u$  on the cross-sections of the rod.

We know (see Theorem II.2.2 established in [7]) that the fields  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\bar{w}'$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}'\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}, \\
\|\nabla\bar{w}'\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})} &\leq C\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\
\left\|\frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{dx_3}\right\|_{L^2(-\delta,L;\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2}\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\
\left\|\frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dx_3} - (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_3\right\|_{L^2(-\delta,L;\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\
\|\nabla v - \mathbf{Q}\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})} &\leq C\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$  and  $L$ .

We set  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{Q}(0)^T v$  and  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - I_d$ . The deformation  $\mathbf{v}$  belongs to  $H^1(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^3)$  and satisfies

$$\|\text{dist}(\nabla\mathbf{v}, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} = \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}.$$

The last estimate in (8.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})} &\leq C\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}(0) - 2\mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta,L;\mathbb{R}^9)}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

First, we observe that for any matrices  $\mathbf{R} \in SO(3)$  we get  $\|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2 = \sqrt{2}\|\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T - 2\mathbf{I}_3\|$ . Hence, we have  $\sqrt{2}\|\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}(0) - 2\mathbf{I}_3\| = \|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}(0)\|^2$  and using again (8.3) we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}(0) - 2\mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta,L;\mathbb{R}^9)} \leq C \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^4}$$

which implies with (8.4)

$$\mathbf{G}_s(\mathbf{u}, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \leq C\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})} + C \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3}. \tag{8.5}$$

Observing that  $\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T = \nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T + (\mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{Q}(0))^T(\nabla u - (\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)) + (\nabla u - (\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3))^T(\mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{Q}(0)) + 2(\mathbf{Q}(0) + \mathbf{Q}(0)^T - 2\mathbf{I}_3)$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) &\leq \mathbf{G}_s(\mathbf{u}, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) + 2\|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|\|\nabla u - (\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta};\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{Q}(0) + \mathbf{Q}(0)^T - 2\mathbf{I}_3\| \\
&\leq \mathbf{G}_s(\mathbf{u}, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) + C\|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|\frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}}{\varepsilon} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2 \\
&\leq \mathbf{G}_s(\mathbf{u}, B_{\varepsilon,\delta}) + C \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} + C\varepsilon\|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (8.5) we obtain (8.1).

Now we carry on by giving two estimates on  $|||\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3|||^2$ .

*Step 2. First estimate on  $|||\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3|||^2$ .*

We deal with the restriction of  $v$  to the plate. Due to Theorem 3.3 established in [8], the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  is decomposed as

$$u(x) = \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) + x_3(\mathbf{R}(x_1, x_2) - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_3 + \bar{v}(x), \quad x \in \Omega_\delta \quad (8.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  belongs to  $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$  belongs to  $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})$  and  $\bar{v}$  belongs to  $H^1(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)$  and we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C\delta \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ \|\nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq C \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial x_\alpha} \right\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{3/2}} \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x_\alpha} - (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_\alpha \right\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1/2}} \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \\ \|\nabla v - \mathbf{R}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta; \mathbb{R}^9)} &\leq C \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)} \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $\delta$ . The following boundary conditions are satisfied

$$\mathcal{V} = 0, \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_3 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0, \quad \bar{v} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{0,\delta}. \quad (8.8)$$

The last estimates in (8.3) and (8.7) allow to compare  $\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3$  and  $\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3$  in the cylinder  $C_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta,\delta; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 &\leq C \left\{ \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + C\delta \|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Besides, the third estimate in (8.7) and the boundary condition on  $\mathbf{R}$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2} \|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^8(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2} \|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{H^1(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{3/2} \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\delta^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta,\delta; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 &\leq C \left\{ \|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon,\delta})}^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^{3/2} \frac{\|dist(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

Furthermore, the third estimate in (8.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 + C\delta \left\| \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{dx_3} \right\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta}; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^2(-\delta, \delta; \mathbb{R}^9)}^2 + C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^4} \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which using (8.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2 &\leq C \left[ \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \right] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\delta^3} \\ &\quad + C \left[ \delta + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \right] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \end{aligned}$$

Finally (8.1) and the above estimate lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) &\leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} + C \left[ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \right] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \\ &\quad + C [\delta^2 + \varepsilon] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon \delta^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

*Step 3. Second estimate on  $\|\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3\|^2$ .*

Now, we consider the traces of the two decompositions (8.2) and (8.6) of the displacement  $u = v - I_d$  on  $D_\varepsilon \times \{0\}$ . From (8.3) and (8.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|u(x_1, x_2, 0) - \mathcal{W}(0) - (\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)(0)(x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2)\|_2^2 \\ = \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|\bar{w}'(x_1, x_2, 0)\|_2^2 &\leq C\varepsilon \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2, \\ \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|u(x_1, x_2, 0) - \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2)\|_2^2 &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|\bar{v}(x_1, x_2, 0)\|_2^2 \leq C\delta \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimates lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|\mathcal{W}(0) + (\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)(x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) - \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2)\|_2^2 \\ \leq C\delta \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|(\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)(x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2) - (\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{V}))\|_2^2 \\ \leq C\delta \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

We carry on by estimating  $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{V})$ . Let us set

$$\mathbf{R}_\alpha = \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}((\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \frac{1}{|D_\varepsilon|} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\mathbf{R}(x_1, x_2) - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_\alpha dx_1 dx_2$$

and we consider the function  $\Phi(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) - \mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{V}) - x_1\mathbf{R}_1 - x_2\mathbf{R}_2$ . Due to the fourth estimate in (8.7) and the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality (in order to estimate  $\|(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}_\alpha\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)}$ ) we obtain

$$\|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq C\left(\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^3}\right)\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2, \quad (8.13)$$

Noting that  $\mathcal{M}_{D_\varepsilon}(\Psi) = 0$ , the above inequality and the Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality in the disc  $D_\varepsilon$  lead to

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}^2 \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta}\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta^2}\right)\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2. \quad (8.14)$$

Estimates (8.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \|(\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)(x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + x_2\mathbf{e}_2)\|_2^2 &\leq C(\|\Phi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}^2 \\ &+ \varepsilon^4\|\mathbf{R}_1\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^4\|\mathbf{R}_2\|_2^2 + \delta\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + \varepsilon\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2) \end{aligned}$$

which in turns with (8.9) and (8.14) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon^4(\|(\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_1\|_2^2 + \|(\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)\mathbf{e}_2\|_2^2) \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\delta} + \frac{\varepsilon^{7/2}}{\delta^3} + \delta\right)\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2 + C\varepsilon\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon\|(\mathbf{Q}(0) - \mathbf{I}_3)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{\delta^4}{\varepsilon^3}\right)\frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\delta^3} + C\varepsilon\frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

Estimates (8.1) and (8.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) &\leq C\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} + C\frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \\ &+ C\left[\varepsilon^{1/2} + \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta^4}{\varepsilon^2}\right]\frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon\delta^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.16)$$

*Step 4. Final estimate on  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})$ .*

The two estimates of  $\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta})$  given by (8.11) and (8.16) lead to

- if  $\varepsilon^2 \leq \delta$  then

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} + C \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \\ + C[\delta + \varepsilon] \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon \delta^3}.$$

- if  $\delta \leq \varepsilon^2$  then

$$\mathbf{G}_s(u, B_{\varepsilon, \delta}) \leq C \|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})} + C \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon, \delta})}^2}{\varepsilon^3} \\ + C\varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{\|\text{dist}(\nabla v, SO(3))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\delta)}^2}{\varepsilon \delta^3}.$$

We immediately deduce (5.14). □

## References

- [1] S. S. Antman. The theory of rods, in Handbuch der Physik, Band VIa/2, S. Flügge & C. Truesdell eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1972), 641–703.
- [2] S. S. Antman. Nonlinear Problems of Elasticity, Applied Mathematical Sciences 107, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).
- [3] M. Aufranc. Junctions between three-dimensional and two-dimensional nonlinearly elastic structures. *Asymptotic Anal.* 4 (1991), 4, 319–338.
- [4] D. Blanchard, A. Gaudiello, G. Griso. Junction of a periodic family of elastic rods with a  $3d$  plate. I. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 88 (2007), no 1, 149-190.
- [5] D. Blanchard, A. Gaudiello, G. Griso. Junction of a periodic family of elastic rods with a thin plate. II. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 88 (2007), no 2, 1-33.
- [6] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Microscopic effects in the homogenization of the junction of rods and a thin plate. *Asympt. Anal.* 56 (2008), no 1, 1-36.
- [7] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Decomposition of deformations of thin rods. Application to nonlinear elasticity, *Ana. Appl.* 7 (1) (2009) 21-71.
- [8] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Decomposition of the deformations of a thin shell. Asymptotic behavior of the Green-St Venant's strain tensor. *J. of Elasticity*, 101 (2), 179-205 (2010).
- [9] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. A simplified model for elastic thin shells (arXiv: 1002.3595 and paper to appear in *Asymptotic Analysis*).

- [10] D. Blanchard, G. Griso. Modeling of rod-structures in nonlinear elasticity. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 348 (2010), 19-20, 1137–1141.
- [11] P.G. Ciarlet and P. Rabier. *Les équations de Von Kármán. Lectures Notes in Mathematics.* (Springer Verlag 1980).
- [12] P.G. Ciarlet. *Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. I,* North-Holland, Amsterdam (1988).
- [13] P.G. Ciarlet, H. Le Dret and R. Nzingwa. Junctions between three dimensional and two dimensional linearly elastic structures. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 68 (1989), 261–295.
- [14] P.G. Ciarlet. *Plates and Junctions in Elastic Multi-Structures: An Asymptotic Analysis* (Masson, Paris, 1990).
- [15] P.G. Ciarlet. *Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. II: Theory of plates,* North-Holland, Amsterdam (1997).
- [16] G. Friesecke, R. D. James and S. Müller. A hierarchy of plates models derived from nonlinear elasticity by  $\Gamma$ -convergence, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 180 (2006) 183-236.
- [17] A. Gaudiello, R. Monneau, J. Mossino, F. Murat, A. Sili. Junction of elastic plates and rods. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 13 (2007), no. 3, 419–457.
- [18] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of rods by the unfolding method. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 2004; 27: 2081-2110.
- [19] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of structures made of plates. *Analysis and Applications* 3 (2005), 4, 325-356.
- [20] G. Griso. Decomposition of displacements of thin structures. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 89 (2008), 199-233.
- [21] G. Griso. Asymptotic behavior of structures made of curved rods. *Analysis and Applications*, 6 (2008), 1, 11-22.
- [22] I. Gruais. Modélisation de la jonction entre une plaque et une poutre en élasticité linéarisée. *RAIRO: Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* 27 (1993) 77-105.
- [23] I. Gruais. Modeling of the junction between a plate and a rod in nonlinear elasticity. *Asymptotic Anal.* 7 (1993) 179-194.
- [24] H. Le Dret. Modeling of the junction between two rods, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 68 (1989), 365–397.
- [25] H. Le Dret. Modeling of a folded plate, *Comput. Mech.*, 5 (1990), 401–416.

- [26] H. Le Dret. Problèmes Variationnels dans les Multi-domaines: Modélisation des Jonctions et Applications. Masson, Paris (1991).
- [27] L. Trabucho and J.M. Viano. Mathematical Modelling of Rods, Handbook of Numerical Analysis 4. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1996).