



HAL
open science

A Race for Space? How *Sphagnum fuscum* stabilizes vegetation composition during long-term climate manipulations

Frida Keuper, Ellen Dorrepaal, Peter M van Bodegom, Rien Aerts, Richard van Logtestijn, Terry V Callaghan, Johannes Hc Cornelissen

► **To cite this version:**

Frida Keuper, Ellen Dorrepaal, Peter M van Bodegom, Rien Aerts, Richard van Logtestijn, et al.. A Race for Space? How *Sphagnum fuscum* stabilizes vegetation composition during long-term climate manipulations. *Global Change Biology*, 2011, 17 (6), pp.2162. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02377.x . hal-00609003

HAL Id: hal-00609003

<https://hal.science/hal-00609003v1>

Submitted on 17 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A Race for Space? How *Sphagnum fuscum* stabilizes vegetation composition during long-term climate manipulations

Journal:	<i>Global Change Biology</i>
Manuscript ID:	GCB-10-0718
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Primary Research Articles
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Aug-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Keuper, Frida; VU University Amsterdam, Department of Systems Ecology Dorrepaal, Ellen; Climate Impacts Research Centre, Umeå University, Department of Ecology and Environmental Science van Bodegom, Peter M; VU University Amsterdam, Department of Systems Ecology Aerts, Rien; VU University Amsterdam, Dept of Systems Ecology van Logtestijn, Richard; VU University Amsterdam, Department of Systems Ecology Callaghan, Terry; Sheffield Centre for Arctic Ecology, University of Sheffield, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences; Abisko Naturvetenskapliga Station, Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences Cornelissen, Johannes HC; VU University Amsterdam, Department of Systems Ecology
Keywords:	climate change, long-term manipulation, peatland, vascular vegetation composition, resistance, bryophyte, diversity
Abstract:	Strong climate warming is predicted at higher latitudes this century, with potentially major consequences for productivity and carbon sequestration. Although northern peatlands contain one-third of the world's soil organic carbon, little is known about the long-term responses to experimental climate manipulations of vascular plant communities in these Sphagnum-dominated ecosystems. We aimed to see how long-term experimental climate change in and outside the growing season affects total vascular plant abundance and species composition when the community is dominated by mosses. During 8 years, we investigated how the vascular plant community of a <i>Sphagnum fuscum</i> -dominated subarctic peat bog responded to six experimental climate scenarios, including factorial combinations of summer as well as spring warming and a thicker snow cover. Vascular plant species composition in our peat bog was more stable than is typically

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	<p>observed in (sub)arctic experiments: neither changes in total vascular plant abundance, nor in individual species abundances, Shannon's diversity or evenness were found in response to the climate manipulations. For three key species (<i>Empetrum hermaphroditum</i>, <i>Betula nana</i> and <i>S. fuscum</i>) we also measured whether the treatments had a sustained effect on plant length growth responses and how these responses interacted. Contrasting with the stability at the community level, both key shrubs and the peatmoss showed sustained positive growth responses at the plant level to the climate treatments. However, a higher percentage of overgrown <i>E. hermaphroditum</i> shoots and a lack of change in <i>B. nana</i> net shrub height indicated encroachment by <i>S. fuscum</i>, resulting in long-term stability of the vascular community composition: in a warmer world, vascular species of subarctic peat bogs appear to just keep pace with growing <i>Sphagnum</i> in their race for space. Our findings contribute to general ecological theory by demonstrating that community resistance to environmental changes does not necessarily mean inertia in vegetation response.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6 **A Race for Space? How *Sphagnum fuscum* stabilizes vegetation**
7
8 **composition during long-term climate manipulations**
9

10
11
12
13 Peatland community resists climate change
14
15

16
17
18 **Frida Keuper^{1,*}, Ellen Dorrepaal^{1,2}, Peter M. van Bodegom¹, Rien Aerts¹,**
19
20 **Richard S. P. van Logtestijn¹, Terry V. Callaghan^{3,4}, Johannes H. C. Cornelissen¹**
21
22

23
24
25 ¹*Department of Systems Ecology, Institute of Ecological Science, VU University*
26
27 *Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085, NL-1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands;* ²*Climate*
28
29 *Impacts Research Centre, Umeå University, Department of Ecology and*
30
31 *Environmental Science, S-981 07 Abisko, Sweden.* ³*Abisko Naturvetenskapliga Station,*
32
33 *Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, SE-981 07 Abisko, Sweden.* ⁴*Sheffield Centre for*
34
35 *Arctic Ecology, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, 26*
36
37 *Taptonville Road, Sheffield S10 5BR, UK.*
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 * *Corresponding author. Tel. +31 20 598 6970; fax: +31 20 598 7211*
45

46
47 *E-mail address: frida.keuper@ecology.falw.vu.nl*
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 **Keywords**
55

56 Bryophyte, climate change, diversity, long-term manipulation, peatland, resistance,
57
58 snow addition, spring warming, summer warming, vascular vegetation composition
59
60

Abstract

Strong climate warming is predicted at higher latitudes this century, with potentially major consequences for productivity and carbon sequestration. Although northern peatlands contain one-third of the world's soil organic carbon, little is known about the long-term responses to experimental climate manipulations of vascular plant communities in these *Sphagnum*-dominated ecosystems. We aimed to see how long-term experimental climate change in and outside the growing season affects total vascular plant abundance and species composition when the community is dominated by mosses. During 8 years, we investigated how the vascular plant community of a *Sphagnum fuscum*-dominated subarctic peat bog responded to six experimental climate scenarios, including factorial combinations of summer as well as spring warming and a thicker snow cover. Vascular plant species composition in our peat bog was more stable than is typically observed in (sub)arctic experiments: neither changes in total vascular plant abundance, nor in individual species abundances, Shannon's diversity or evenness were found in response to the climate manipulations. For three key species (*Empetrum hermaphroditum*, *Betula nana* and *S. fuscum*) we also measured whether the treatments had a sustained effect on plant length growth responses and how these responses interacted. Contrasting with the stability at the community level, both key shrubs and the peatmoss showed sustained positive growth responses at the plant level to the climate treatments. However, a higher percentage of overgrown *E. hermaphroditum* shoots and a lack of change in *B. nana* net shrub height indicated encroachment by *S. fuscum*, resulting in long-term stability of the vascular community composition: in a warmer world, vascular species of subarctic peat bogs appear to just keep pace with growing *Sphagnum* in their race for space. Our findings contribute to

1
2
3 general ecological theory by demonstrating that community resistance to
4
5
6 environmental changes does not necessarily mean inertia in vegetation response.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Introduction

Growth and survival of plants in high-latitude ecosystems are limited by harsh environmental conditions, such as low nutrient availability, low temperatures and low irradiance during a large part of the year. Increases in both summer and spring temperatures and in winter snowfall have been recorded in these areas over the past decades and are predicted to continue in this century (IPCC, 2007). It is currently widely recognized that these climatic changes will have immediate and persistent effects on composition and structure of Arctic vascular plant communities (Wullschleger *et al.*, 2010). This notion is primarily based on the results of meta-analyses showing rapid and consistent responses to experimental climate warming in general across plant communities. Indeed, Walker *et al.* (2006) showed that across many sites, experimental warming of tundra ecosystems not only increased height and cover of deciduous and evergreen shrubs and graminoids, but also decreased species diversity and evenness. However, it is important to realize that these meta-analyses did not address climatic changes other than summer warming, nor have they included all predominant tundra ecosystem types, with strong underrepresentation of *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands.

Few studies so far accounted for the impact of climatic changes both inside and outside the growing season, even though increased snow in winter and increased spring temperatures are expected to strongly affect plant performance in the Arctic. Indeed phenological responses to short-term spring warming have been reported (Aerts *et al.*, 2006). Changes in the winter climate and increases in snow cover in particular have been linked with observed changes in Arctic shrub growth, possibly because overwintering shoots benefit from increased protection of a thicker snow layer and a

1
2
3 concomitantly higher nutrient availability due to higher winter soil temperatures
4 (Sturm *et al.* 2001b; Sturm *et al.*, 2005; Tape *et al.*, 2006). Most experiments on
5
6 impacts of a thicker snow cover so far have, however, made use of snow fences, which
7
8 often cause an extreme and highly unrealistic increase in snow thickness (but see
9
10
11
12
13 Johansson (2009)).
14

15
16
17 In addition to the paucity of data on the impact of realistic climatic changes outside the
18
19 growing season, a distinction between peatland versus non-peatland vegetation
20
21 responses is largely missing. Peatlands are important in the light of climate change
22
23 because of their relatively large carbon storage capacity (one-third of the world's
24
25 terrestrial carbon) (Gorham, 1991). In northern peatlands, vascular plant life is more
26
27 controlled by the often dominant presence of peat mosses (*Sphagnum spp.*) than in
28
29 other northern ecosystem types. By efficiently accumulating nutrients from
30
31 atmospheric deposition and by slowing down soil organic matter decay and nutrient
32
33 mineralization, *Sphagnum* mosses strongly reduce the availability of nutrients to
34
35 vascular plants (Aerts *et al.*, 1992; Rydin *et al.*, 2006). In addition, the progressively
36
37 increasing height of the *Sphagnum* forces vascular plants to keep pace in order not to
38
39 become buried (Van Breemen, 1995; Rydin *et al.*, 2006; Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2006). Thus,
40
41 both the abiotic as well as the biotic environment places extreme demands on vascular
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 were largely dominated by changes in cover of the dominant shrubs. This strong
4
5 impact of climate change is often attributed to a positive nutrient feedback favouring
6
7 shrubs (Wookey *et al.*, 2009). A general negative correlation between vascular and
8
9 non-vascular species biomass has been observed in studies which addressed responses
10
11 of both plant types to warming (Press *et al.*, 1998; Cornelissen *et al.*, 2001; van Wijk *et*
12
13 *al.*, 2004; Walker *et al.*, 2006). However, these studies were all performed in non-
14
15 *Sphagnum*-dominated ecosystems. Moreover, this negative correlation between the
16
17 responses of vascular and non-vascular species was largely dominated by a decrease in
18
19 lichen biomass. Hence, extending these findings to *Sphagnum*-dominated peat bogs is
20
21 not self-evident.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 There are several reasons to expect that a dominant presence of the peat moss
30
31 *Sphagnum* in an ecosystem will counteract the abovementioned effects of climate on
32
33 plant community composition. In addition to its influences on nutrient dynamics and
34
35 vascular plant growth, a positive growth response to climatic changes of *Sphagnum*
36
37 *fuscum* itself might negatively impact shrub growth (Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2006), especially
38
39 in the long term. Hence, in contrast with responses in other Arctic ecosystems (Walker
40
41 *et al.*, 2006; Wipf *et al.*, 2010), climatic changes might not result in increased height or
42
43 closure of the vascular canopy above thick *Sphagnum* carpets, despite positive effects
44
45 of increased temperatures on shrub growth. Yet, no long-term data on responses of
46
47 vegetation composition to climate manipulations in northern *Sphagnum*-dominated
48
49 peatlands have been published thus far.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 Here, we present, to our knowledge, the first long-term experimental climate change
59
60 study to take into account both realistic and independent climate changes inside and

1
2
3 outside the growing season as well as peatland-specific vascular plant community
4 responses. Our aims were: (1) to quantify, over the course of 8 years, how the vascular
5 plant community of a subarctic peat bog changed in species composition, diversity and
6 evenness in response to six different experimental climate scenarios (summer
7 warming, increased winter snow, increased winter snow plus spring warming and
8 combinations thereof); and (2) to clarify how interactions between dominant growth
9 forms at the plant level may affect community responses and community resistance or
10 resilience to induced climate change, by measuring growth responses of the two
11 dominant shrubs (*Empetrum hermaphroditum* and *Betula nana*) and the dominant
12 peatmoss (*Sphagnum fuscum*).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Methods

STUDY SITE

The study was performed on a slightly sloping sub-arctic bog on the southern shore of Lake Torneträsk, near the Abisko Scientific Research Station in northern Sweden (68°21'N, 18°49'E, alt. 340 m). The recent decade (1999–2008) showed a mean annual rainfall of 352 mm and mean monthly January and July temperatures of -9.7°C and 12.3°C, respectively, (meteorological data Abisko Scientific Research Station, Freschet *et al.*, 2010). The length of the growing season is 130 days (Karlsson *et al.*, 1996), and the site is permafrost underlain with an active layer thickness of approx. 55 cm. The dominant component of the bog vegetation is the peat moss *Sphagnum fuscum* (Schimp.) H. Klinggr. The vascular plant community is low-statured and open (maximum shrub height 15 cm, average cover 25%) and mainly consists of the evergreen dwarf shrubs *Empetrum hermaphroditum* Hagerup (41%) and *Andromeda polifolia* L. (5%), the deciduous dwarf shrubs *Betula nana* L. (22%) and *Vaccinium uliginosum* L. (6%), the grass *Calamagrostis lapponica* (Wahlenb.) Hartm. (5%) and the forb *Rubus chamaemorus* L. (10%).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN OF THE CLIMATE CHANGE EXPERIMENT

Six climatic regimes were established in June 2000, relevant to different possible future climate scenarios in the Arctic (Sæthun, 2003; ACIA, 2004; Kohler *et al.*, 2008) and allowing to study separate and interactive effects of climate change in different seasons (Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2004). Our experimental manipulations led to full-factorial combinations of two summer treatments (ambient, warming) and three treatments during winter and spring (ambient, snow addition, snow addition plus spring warming). For brevity, the latter are referred to as winter treatments ($n=5$; Table

1
2
3 1). Warming treatments were imposed by passive warming using a modified, larger
4 version of the transparent, hexagonal ITEX Open Top Chambers (OTCs; 50 cm high,
5 2.2-2.5m bottom diameter; cf. Marion *et al.* 1997). Average daily mean air temperature
6 in the OTCs increased by 0.3-1.0 °C in spring (late-April- 1 June) and by 0.2-0.9 °C in
7 summer (for treatment codes see Table 1). Soil temperature responses generally
8 tracked those of air temperatures, with an increase in spring soil temperature of about
9 1.0 °C, and a summer increase of 0.6-0.9 °C. During winter (October-April) the OTCs
10 passively accumulated snow, thereby increasing the average temperatures by 0.5-2.8
11 °C and 0.5-2.2 °C at +5 and -5 cm respectively, and doubling the ambient snow-cover
12 thickness (max. approx. 15 cm). Full details of the experimental procedures and their
13 effects on summer and winter microclimate were presented by Dorrepaal *et al.* (2004,
14 2009).

VEGETATION ABUNDANCE MEASUREMENTS

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 In the first two weeks of August 2000, 2002, 2006 and 2008, i.e. 0, 2, 6 and 8 years
37 after the start of the experiment, vegetation cover was measured by means of the point
38 intercept method (Jonasson, 1988). We used a 60*60cm metal frame with adjustable
39 legs and a metal mobile double-strip with 11 holes in a row. By moving the double-
40 strip 5 cm horizontally each time, a grid with 121 holes was created. The position of
41 the frame was marked permanently and chosen at a minimum of 65cm from the plot
42 edge to prevent edge effects like reduced precipitation or clonal connections beyond
43 the plots. A metal pin 5 mm in diameter was lowered through each hole in the strip and
44 each contact of the pin with green living vegetation was recorded by species until the
45 pin reached the moss substrate. Depending on the species, a hit related to a single
46 bigger leaf (of e.g. *R. chamaemorus*, *C. lapponica*, *V. uliginosum*, *B. nana*) or to a
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 single stem or branch with several smaller leaves (e.g. *E. hermaphroditum*, *A. polifolia*,
4
5
6 *V. microcarpum*). Earlier studies have shown that point intercept measurements are a
7
8 good estimator of plant biomass (Jonasson, 1988; Hobbie *et al.*, 1999; Aerts, 2009) .
9

10
11
12 SHRUB LENGTH GROWTH, SHRUB HEIGHT ABOVE *SPHAGNUM* AND *SPHAGNUM* VERTICAL
13
14
15 GROWTH MEASUREMENTS
16

17
18 To assess the effects of changes in summer and winter climate on shrub growth and on
19
20 interactions between shrubs and their living *S. fuscum* substrate, we performed
21
22 additional measurements on *E. hermaphroditum*, *B. nana* and *S. fuscum*. Because the
23
24 shrubs are long-lived perennials, the ramets of which are connected below the moss
25
26 layer through overgrown stems, we defined a shoot as a stem that emerged above the
27
28 moss layer (Chapin *et al.*, 1985).
29
30

31
32 Annual stem-length increments of ten randomly selected *E. hermaphroditum* shoots
33
34 per plot were measured for years 7 and 8. Current-year growth was identified using
35
36 colour differences of the stem, bud scars and changes in leaf length between the
37
38 growth segments of the different years. Annual stem-length increment data for years 1
39
40 and 2 were taken from Dorrepaal *et al.* (2006). In addition, from a random subsample
41
42 of 10 shoots per plot the percentage of shoots of which fewer than two year-segments
43
44 or less than one year-segment was visible above the moss-layer was counted in year 8
45
46 (as an estimate of overgrowth by *S. fuscum*).
47
48
49

50
51 For *B. nana*, the length of the current-year growth of the main axis of 10 randomly
52
53 selected shoots per plot was measured in year 8. Current-year growth was identified
54
55 using colour differences of the stem and scars of the terminal bud. Additionally,
56
57 current-year stem-length growth data for years 1 and 2 were taken from Dorrepaal *et*
58
59 *al.* (2006). Unlike *E. hermaphroditum* shoots, *B. nana* shoots in our plots do not grow
60

1
2
3 perpendicular to the moss substrate. Therefore, *B. nana* shrub height after 9 years was
4 measured as the vertically projected distance from the top of the shoot to the *S. fuscum*
5 surface (five shoots per plot). *S. fuscum* length growth was measured in all plots in
6 year 9 using a modification (Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2004) of the cranked wire technique
7 (Clymo, 1970), with five wires per plot. In addition, *S. fuscum* length-growth data for
8 year 1 and 2 for the same plots were taken from Dorrepaal *et al.* (2004).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 19 20 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

21
22 Prior to statistical analysis, plot means were calculated for all parameters. All plot
23 mean data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances by visual estimation
24 of residual and probability plots. For the vegetation cover data ln-transformation
25 improved the homogeneity of variances considerably. Transformation did not improve
26 the distribution of *E. hermaphroditum* length increment data for years 7 and 8. As
27 ANOVA is robust to considerable heterogeneity of variances as long as sample sizes are
28 nearly equal (Zar, 1999), we included these data untransformed. All other data
29 approximated normal distributions and homogeneous variance. All analyses were
30 performed with SPSS 15.0 for Windows.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 The effects of the summer and winter climate manipulations on vegetation cover
44 (number of hits) over years 0-8 were analysed using repeated measures (RM-)ANCOVAs.
45
46 'Year' was the within-subject factor, 'initial cover' the covariate (to account for
47 differences in initial cover), and 'summer treatment' and 'winter treatment' were the
48 between-subject factors. 'Winter treatment' was ambient, snow addition or snow plus
49 spring warming, the effects of which were separated by a Tukey's HSD post hoc test
50 on data corrected for the covariate to allow post hoc testing. This analysis was
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 performed for the total cover as well as for individual cover of each of the six most
4
5 abundant species.
6

7
8 Likewise, the effects of the summer and winter climate manipulations on *E.*
9
10 *hermaphroditum* annual stem-length increments over years 1, 2, 7 and 8 were analysed
11
12 with RM-ANOVAS, with year as the within-subject factor, and summer treatment and
13
14 winter treatment as between-subject factors. This same RM-ANOVA was also applied to
15
16 *S. fuscum* vertical growth over years 1, 2 and 9. The data for *B. nana* growth year 8,
17
18 and shrub height *B. nana* in year 9 were analysed using an ANOVA with summer
19
20 treatment and winter treatment as fixed factors. Treatment effects on the percentage of
21
22 *E. hermaphroditum* shoots that had fewer than two year-segments or fewer than one
23
24 year-segment visible above the moss in year 8 were analysed with the χ^2 -test.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

COMMUNITY PARAMETERS

ABOVEGROUND VASCULAR PLANT ABUNDANCE

There were no significant main treatment effects of the climate manipulations on total vascular plant abundance in the course of 8 years. The interaction between the effects of the summer and winter treatments (Fig. 1; Table 2) was most likely caused by the contrasting effects of summer warming in winter ambient vs. snow addition plus warming treatments. Correspondingly, no change in abundance in response to any climate manipulation was observed at the species level, as no changes were detected in the abundances of the main representatives of the growth forms in our system: evergreen shrubs (*E. hermaphroditum*, *A. polifolia*), deciduous shrubs (*B. nana*, *V. uliginosum*), graminoids (*C. lapponica*) and forbs (*R. chamaemorus*) in the course of 8 years (Table 2). Apparent trends ($P < 0.1$) of winter and winter x summer treatments affecting the abundance of *C. lapponica* and *R. chamaemorus* respectively seem to be statistical artefacts caused by the low number of hits for these individual species, which made them particularly sensitive to variance in initial cover. Indeed, these apparent trends disappeared when cover was analysed as differences compared to initial cover in a RM-ANOVA. However, the apparent trend towards interaction between year and summer treatment for *E. hermaphroditum* was consistent in both analyses. *E. hermaphroditum* abundance increased under ambient conditions in the last 2 years of the experiment, but remained unchanged in any of the other year-treatment combinations.

DIVERSITY & EVENNESS

1
2
3 The unaltered total vascular plant abundance and individual species abundances were
4 reflected in the diversity indices, as none of the treatments affected the Shannon's
5 diversity index (Table 2). Similarly, Shannon's evenness index remained unchanged in
6 response to the treatments, although the higher order interaction between year, summer
7 treatments and winter treatments indicated a minor influence caused by the
8 combination of treatments in some years (Table 2).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 GROSS GROWTH PARAMETERS

21
22 Apical growth of *E. hermaphroditum* showed a positive trend in response to the winter
23 treatments, in particular to spring warming (Tukey's HSD, AS+/WS+ vs AS/WS, P
24 =0.053) (Fig. 2; Table 2) and this effect became more pronounced in the later years of
25 the experiment. Summer warming did not affect *E. hermaphroditum* stem growth. In
26 contrast to the positive effect of spring warming on *E. hermaphroditum*, the winter
27 treatments did not affect *B. nana* stem length growth. However, summer warming had
28 a sustained positive effect on *B. nana* stem growth (Fig. 2; Table 2). *S. fuscum* vertical
29 growth was higher in response to summer warming, but was not affected by the winter
30 treatments (Fig. 3; Table 2), except for a higher order interaction effect with time.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 NET GROWTH PARAMETERS (YEAR 8)

47
48 In year 8 of the treatment, more *E. hermaphroditum* branches were partly overgrown
49 (*i.e.* a higher percentage of branches had less than one or two year segments above the
50 moss) by *S. fuscum* in the manipulation treatments than in the ambient plots (χ^2 -test, all
51 six treatments, $P < 0.01$). In particular, the summer warming treatment increased the
52 percentage of partly overgrown branches (χ^2 -test, AA/AS/AS+ vs WA/WS/WS+, P
53 <0.001) (Fig. 4; Table 2).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Despite its increased apical growth rate in response to summer warming, net shrub
4 height of *B. nana* (vertical distance from the shoot tip to the *S.fuscum* moss layer)
5
6 remained the same under all climate manipulations (Fig. 4), as did the average stem
7
8 inclination towards the moss-surface (results not shown).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Discussion

Vascular plant community composition in our peat bog was more resistant to climate manipulations than is typically observed in other (sub)arctic ecosystems: vascular plant abundance, individual species abundances, Shannon's diversity or evenness did not change across any growth form in response to 8 years of either winter snow addition or experimental spring or summer warming. This resistance occurred despite the fact that growth rates of *B. nana* and *E. hermaphroditum* responded strongly and significantly and sustained this response over 8 years of treatments. This paradox of no effects of the climate manipulations at the community level *versus* significant individual growth responses may be explained by the role of *S. fuscum* in modifying effects of climate change at the community level.

CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS ON THE VASCULAR PLANT COMMUNITY

Winter snow addition did not change our vascular plant community, which contrasts with the generally found pattern of increasing deciduous shrub abundance and decreased species richness in response to thicker snow cover (Sturm *et al.*, 2005; Tape *et al.*, 2006; Wipf *et al.*, 2010). A hypothesized mechanism behind observed increases in shrub abundance is a positive feedback loop leading from more shrubs to thicker snow cover to higher subnival temperatures, with associated increases in decomposition and nutrient mineralization (Sturm *et al.*, 2001a). This mechanism seems a plausible explanation for systems with relatively large shrubs (e.g. *B. nana* up to approx. 0.3 m in Alaska, Happy Valley, and in Siberia, Kytalyk Reserve (F. Keuper, data unpublished)), as compared to the shrubs in our system (*B. nana* up to approx. 0.15 m). Moreover, the vascular plant community on *S. fuscum* dominated peatlands is generally low and open due to competition between the vascular community and the peatmoss (e.g. 25% vascular plant cover in the current study). Accordingly, the

1
2
3 experimental increase in snow thickness was much lower in our experiment than in
4
5 most experimental snow increase studies so far (Wahren *et al.*, 2005; Borner *et al.*,
6
7 2008; but see Johansson *et al.*, 2009). The unresponsiveness of the vascular
8
9 community and in particular of the dwarf shrubs to our moderate snow treatment may
10
11 thus be explained by a combination of low initial shoot density and a rather moderate
12
13 increase in insulation, insufficient for initiating a positive feedback loop as
14
15 hypothesized by Sturm (2001a).
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 Furthermore, eight years of experimental warming in spring and/or summer did not
23
24 change the vegetation community composition either. This also contrasts with previous
25
26 findings of increased shrub abundance in response to (experimental) climate warming
27
28 (Tape *et al.* 2006; Walker *et al.* 2006; but see van Wijk *et al.* 2004; Olofsson *et al.*
29
30 2009), and with the commonly observed decrease in subarctic species diversity and
31
32 evenness (Press *et al.*, 1998; Walker *et al.*, 2006) as a result of such changes. The most
33
34 likely explanation for these discrepancies is that our study is the first study looking
35
36 closely at long-term effects of experimental warming on the vascular plant community
37
38 of a *Sphagnum*-dominated peatland.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 EFFECTS OF SUMMER AND WINTER TREATMENTS ON PLANT GROWTH RATES

47

48 Even in the absence of changes at the community level, we did expect to find positive
49
50 effects of the treatments at the plant level. Indeed, apical growth of *E. hermaphroditum*
51
52 increased in response to the spring warming treatment in year 7 and 8 of the
53
54 experiment, while it did not increase in response to summer warming. *Empetrum* is
55
56 somewhat drought sensitive (Tybirk *et al.*, 2000) and our summer warming treatment
57
58 slightly affected summer soil moisture (mean summer soil moisture by volume 26% in
59
60

1
2
3 the summer warmed plots vs. 34 % ambient; $P < 0.05$; Dorrepaal *et al.* 2009). The
4
5 direct effect of warming on *E. hermaphroditum* apical growth might thus have been
6
7 compensated by a negative growth response to drying of the peat. In contrast to
8
9
10 *Empetrum*, length growth of *B. nana* showed a sustained increase to summer warming
11
12 at the plant level, which is in line with previous reports (Bret-Harte *et al.*, 2001;
13
14 Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2006). Unlike summer warming, snow addition did not affect the
15
16 length growth of *B. nana*, *E. hermaphroditum* or *S. fuscum*, which again may be
17
18 explained by the modest increase in snow cover in our winter treatment. This indicates,
19
20 in addition to the absence of snow cover effects on the vascular plant community, that
21
22 in this experiment summer warming seems to be a more likely driver behind possible
23
24 future changes than increases in snow cover.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 The average difference in annual apical growth rates between the warmed and the
33
34 ambient treatments would add up to a cumulative difference over 8 years of 12 mm for
35
36 *E. hermaphroditum* and 64 mm for *B. nana*. These differences are remarkable, as the
37
38 ambient average height of the shoot tip above the moss is roughly 20 mm for *E.*
39
40 *hermaphroditum* and 60 mm for *B. nana* (with an average branch length of 90 mm, but
41
42 an inclination of approx. 45°). This 60% increase in shoot length of the two most
43
44 abundant vascular species should have been detected by the point-intercept data, if
45
46 these increased growths had not been masked by a persistent increase in *S. fuscum*
47
48 growth under warmed conditions.
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 THE ROLE OF *SPHAGNUM FUSCUM* IN STABILIZING VEGETATION COMPOSITION DURING
56
57
58 LONG-TERM CLIMATE MANIPULATIONS
59
60

1
2
3 The cumulative difference in vertical growth of *S. fuscum* in warmed versus ambient
4 plots was approximately 18 mm over 8 years. Direct evidence for a 'race for space' is
5 provided by the enhanced encroachment of *E. hermaphroditum* by *S. fuscum* in the
6 summer warmed plots (Fig. 4), in correspondence to the higher growth of *S. fuscum*
7 upon summer warming. Also for *B. nana*, the advancement of *S. fuscum* on the shrubs
8 as a result of summer warming masked the increased apical growth of the shrub (Fig.
9 2) as a consequence of which no changes in height (i.e. vertical distance of the shoot
10 tips to the moss) were detected (Fig. 4). Hence, the long-term absence of changes in
11 net shrub height in response to the summer warming treatment exemplifies the role of
12 the elevating moss surface: *S. fuscum*-mediated effects are long-term and strong
13 enough to prevent vascular plant growth responses from becoming apparent at the
14 community level.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 In other, non-*Sphagnum*-dominated ecosystems, strong responses of *B. nana*
35 abundance to either increased winter snow or summer warming have been ascribed to
36 its high biomass production at a relatively low nitrogen investment, by which it may
37 outcompete other species when subject to increased nitrogen availability (Shaver *et al.*,
38 2001; Sturm *et al.*, 2005). Reasons for the absence of this response to climatic changes
39 in our *S. fuscum*-dominated system may be twofold: firstly, inorganic nitrogen pool
40 sizes do not differ among our treatments, whereas the microbial-nitrogen pool is
41 significantly higher in the warming (WA) and snow addition (WS+) treatment (J.T.
42 Weedon, unpublished results). Hence, nutrient availability may not increase in
43 response to climatic warming or snow addition because *Sphagnum* itself is a strong
44 competitor for (and immobilizer of) nutrients, or because of a strong microbial sink for
45 nutrients in organic (sub)arctic soils (cf. Jonasson *et al.* 1996; Schmidt *et al.* 1999).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Secondly, and crucial to our findings, while greater biomass production by *B. nana* in
4 non-*Sphagnum*-dominated systems often gives it competitive superiority through out-
5 shading neighbouring plants, in a *Sphagnum*-dominated system the shrubs appear to
6 only just keep pace with *Sphagnum* in their race for space.
7

8
9 Although some other studies also found discrepancies between responses at the plant-
10 level and at the community level, these seemed idiosyncratic and have so far lacked a
11 clear mechanistic explanation (Wahren *et al.*, 2005; Hudson *et al.*, 2010). In our
12 system, we have provided mechanistic evidence that the overall unaltered vascular
13 species composition may be a consequence of the dominant positive growth responses
14 of *S. fuscum* (the 'living soil') to experimental warming. This key finding adds another
15 important new contributor to the list of mechanisms by which mosses, as key
16 ecosystem engineers (*sensu* Lawton 1994), tightly regulate soil hydrology,
17 biogeochemical cycling and climate (Van Breemen, 1995; Beringer *et al.*, 2001;
18 Gornall *et al.*, 2007; Cornelissen *et al.*, 2007; Gavazov *et al.*, 2010.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 NORTHERN *SPHAGNUM* PEATLANDS RESISTANT TO CLIMATE CHANGE?

40
41 Our key finding, that the vascular plant community composition of a *S. fuscum*-
42 dominated peatland was resistant to the imposed climatic changes, does not imply that
43 these northern peatlands are dormant players in the global carbon cycle under a
44 changing climate. First, the observed growth responses of both the predominant
45 vascular plants and *S. fuscum* itself indicate that carbon sequestration must have
46 increased in response to warming. Secondly, the unaltered aboveground vascular
47 community does not reflect responses of belowground processes, which were shown to
48 be significant and of great relevance in the light of climate change (*e.g.* total ecosystem
49 respiration rates accelerated by up to 60 % in response to 1°C warming in our
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 experiment) (Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2009). Finally, the resistance of the vegetation
4
5 composition in direct response to different climate change scenarios imposed in this
6
7 study does not mean that climate change does not threaten *S. fuscum*-dominated
8
9 peatlands. Disturbances invoked by climate change, such as thawing permafrost and
10
11 subsequently altered hydrology, may severely threaten these systems, as has already
12
13 been observed in various peatlands in northern Sweden during this decade (Christensen
14
15 *et al.*, 2004; Johansson *et al.*, 2006; Akerman *et al.*, 2008; Limpens *et al.*, 2008).
16
17 Moreover, if the structure of the faster growing moss changes (Dorrepaal *et al.*, 2004),
18
19 this may also affect small-scale local hydrology in the longer term. As local hydrology
20
21 is in this type of ecosystem a strong determinant of moss survival (Granath *et al.*,
22
23 2010), moss species interactions (Sonesson *et al.*, 2002) and of vascular plant
24
25 composition (Lang *et al.*, 2009), climate change induced changes in hydrology may
26
27 have a more pronounced effect on the vascular plant community than was observed
28
29 under the experimentally altered climatic conditions in the current study. However,
30
31 paleo records show that ombrotrophic bogs in general have been persistent in their
32
33 presence ever since the Holocene (*e.g.* Kuhry, 1998; Sannel *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore,
34
35 we know that our bog has undergone changes in mean annual temperature of 2.5°C
36
37 over the last 100 years without major shifts in vegetation (Sonesson, 1974; Callaghan
38
39 *et al.*, 2010). Our study might very well be a step forwards in explaining the resistance
40
41 of subarctic *S. fuscum*-dominated peat bogs and their vascular plant community to the
42
43 prevailing climatic changes. In a still broader context, by revealing the mechanisms of
44
45 peatland species interactions in response to climate change, our findings also
46
47 contribute to fundamental ecological theory, revealing important new insights into the
48
49 mechanisms of ecosystem resistance and resilience to global changes. Specifically, we
50
51 show that resistance to climate change, here in terms of stable community composition,
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 does not necessarily mean vegetation inertia (*cf.* Grime *et al.*, 2000, Hudson *et al.*,
4
5
6 2010), but that community stability can also be achieved in a dynamic ecosystem, in
7
8 our case with strong growth response to warming.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank M. Berg, M. de Beus, S. Bokhorst, E. Cruz Reno, D. Edo Matas, E. Krab, C. KanTERS, M. Makkonen, G. Venhuizen and several students and field assistants for help with the vegetation measurements. We would like to thank the staff of the Abisko Scientific Research Station, especially M. Kardefeldt, L. Ericsson, A. Kristofersson and A. Eriksson, for snow measurements and other technical assistance. The County Administrative Board at Luleå gave permission to perform the field experiments in the Abisko National Park. We thank J. Akkerman, S.I. Lang, and W.G. Keuper for helpful discussions. Funding for this research was provided to R. Aerts by the VUA-USF (grant 98.24), the Darwin Centre for Biogeosciences (grant 142.161.042), the Dutch Polar Program (ALW-NPP grant 851.30.023) and the EU-ATANS (grant FP6 506004); and to F. Keuper by ESF (ClimMani Short Visit Grant 2009) and the Abisko Scientific Research Station (Scholarship 2008).

References

- ACIA (2004) *Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Aerts R (2009) Nitrogen-dependent recovery of subarctic tundra vegetation after simulation of extreme winter warming damage to *Empetrum hermaphroditum*. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 1071-1081.
- Aerts R, Cornelissen JHC, Dorrepaal E (2006) Plant performance in a warmer world: General responses of plants from cold, northern biomes and the importance of winter and spring events. *Plant Ecology*, **182**, 65-77.
- Aerts R, Wallen B, Malmer N (1992) Growth-limiting nutrients in *Sphagnum*-dominated bogs subject to low and high atmospheric nitrogen supply. *Journal of Ecology*, **80**, 131-140.
- Akerman HJ, Johansson M (2008) Thawing permafrost and thicker active layers in sub-arctic Sweden. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, **19**, 279-292.
- Beringer J, Lynch AH, Chapin FS, Mack M, Bonan GB (2001) The representation of arctic soils in the land surface model: The importance of mosses. *Journal of Climate*, **14**, 3324-3335.
- Borner AP, Kielland K, Walker MD (2008) Effects of simulated climate change on plant phenology and nitrogen mineralization in Alaskan arctic Tundra. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*, **40**, 27-38.
- Bret-Harte MS, Shaver GR, Zoerner JP, *et al.* (2001) Developmental plasticity allows *Betula nana* to dominate tundra subjected to an altered environment. *Ecology*, **82**, 18-32.
- Callaghan TV, Bergholm F, Christensen TR, Jonasson C, Kokfelt U, Johansson M (2010) A new climate era in the sub-Arctic: Accelerating climate changes and multiple impacts *Geophysical Research Letters* (*in press*).
- Chapin FS, Shaver GR (1985) Individualistic growth-response of tundra plant-species to environmental manipulations in the field. *Ecology*, **66**, 564-576.
- Christensen TR, Johansson TR, Akerman HJ, *et al.* (2004) Thawing sub-arctic permafrost: Effects on vegetation and methane emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **31**.
- Clymo RS (1970) Growth of *Sphagnum* - Methods of measurement. *Journal of Ecology*, **58**, 13-&.
- Cornelissen JHC, Callaghan TV, Alatalo JM, *et al.* (2001) Global change and arctic ecosystems: is lichen decline a function of increases in vascular plant biomass? *Journal of Ecology*, **89**, 984-994.
- Cornelissen JHC, Lang SI, Soudzilovskaia NA, During HJ (2007) Comparative cryptogam ecology: A review of bryophyte and lichen traits that drive biogeochemistry. *Annals of Botany*, **99**, 987-1001.
- Dorrepaal E, Aerts R, Cornelissen JHC, Callaghan TV, van Logtestijn RSP (2004) Summer warming and increased winter snow cover affect *Sphagnum fuscum* growth, structure and production in a sub-arctic bog. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 93-104.
- Dorrepaal E, Aerts R, Cornelissen JHC, Van Logtestijn RSP, Callaghan TV (2006) *Sphagnum* modifies climate-change impacts on subarctic vascular bog plants. *Functional Ecology*, **20**, 31-41.
- Dorrepaal E, Toet S, van Logtestijn RSP, Swart E, van de Weg MJ, Callaghan TV, Aerts R (2009) Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate warming in the subarctic. *Nature*, **460**, 616-U679.

- 1
2
3 Freschet GT, Cornelissen JHC, van Logtestijn RSP, Aerts R (2010) Evidence of the
4 'plant economics spectrum' in a subarctic flora. *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 362-
5 373.
6
7 Gavazov KS, Soudzilovskaia NA, Logtestijn RSPv, Braster M, Cornelissen JHC
8 (2010) Isotopic analysis of cyanobacterial nitrogen fixation associated with
9 subarctic lichen and bryophyte species. *Plant and Soil Online First*.
10
11 Gorham E (1991) Northern peatlands - Role in the carbon-cycle and probable
12 responses to climatic warming. *Ecological Applications*, **1**, 182-195.
13
14 Gornall JL, Jonsdottir IS, Woodin SJ, Van der Wal R (2007) Arctic mosses govern
15 below-ground environment and ecosystem processes. *Oecologia*, **153**, 931-941.
16
17 Granath G, Strengbom J, Rydin H (2010) Rapid ecosystem shifts in peatlands: linking
18 plant physiology and succession. *ESA preprint*.
19
20 Grime JP, Brown VK, Thompson K, *et al.* (2000) The response of two contrasting
21 limestone grasslands to simulated climate change. *Science*, **289**, 762-765.
22
23 Hobbie SE, Shevtsova A, Chapin FS (1999) Plant responses to species removal and
24 experimental warming in Alaskan tussock tundra. *Oikos*, **84**, 417-434.
25
26 Hudson JMC, Henry GHR (2010) High Arctic plant community resists 15 years of
27 experimental warming. *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 1035-1041.
28
29 IPCC (2007) In *Climate Change 2007 - The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge
30 University Press, Cambridge.
31
32 Johansson M, Callaghan TV, Akerman HJ, Jackowicz-Korczynsky M, Christensen,
33 T.R. (2009) Rapid response of active layer thickness and vegetation in sub-
34 arctic Sweden to experimentally increased snow cover. In *In: Changing*
35 *lowland permafrost in northern Sweden: multiple drivers of past and future*
36 *trends (PhD thesis)*. Lund.
37
38 Johansson T, Malmer N, Crill PM, Friberg T, Akerman JH, Mastepanov M,
39 Christensen TR (2006) Decadal vegetation changes in a northern peatland,
40 greenhouse gas fluxes and net radiative forcing. *Global Change Biology*, **12**,
41 2352-2369.
42
43 Jonasson S (1988) Evaluation of the point intercept method for the estimation of plant
44 biomass. *Oikos*, **52**, 101-106.
45
46 Jonasson S, Michelsen A, Schmidt IK, Nielsen EV, Callaghan TV (1996) Microbial
47 biomass C, N and P in two arctic soils and responses to addition of NPK
48 fertilizer and sugar: Implications for plant nutrient uptake. *Oecologia*, **106**,
49 507-515.
50
51 Karlsson PS, Callaghan TV (1996) Plant ecology in the subarctic Swedish Lapland. In
52 *Ecol. Bull.* Vol. 45, pp. 1-227.
53
54 Kohler J, Brandt O, Johansson M, Callaghan T (2008) A long-term Arctic snow depth
55 record from Abisko, northern Sweden, 1913-2004 (vol 25, pg 91, 2006). *Polar*
56 *Research*, **27**, 94-95.
57
58 Kuhry P (1998) Late Holocene permafrost dynamics in two subarctic peatlands of the
59 Hudson Bay Lowlands (Manitoba, Canada). *Eurasian Soil Science*, **31**, 529-
60 534.
Lang SI, Cornelissen JHC, Holzer A, ter Braak CJF, Ahrens M, Callaghan TV, Aerts R
(2009) Determinants of cryptogam composition and diversity in *Sphagnum*-
dominated peatlands: the importance of temporal, spatial and functional scales.
Journal of Ecology, **97**, 299-310.
Lawton JH (1994) What do species do in ecosystems. *Oikos*, **71**, 367-374.

- 1
2
3 Limpens J, Berendse F, Blodau C, *et al.* (2008) Peatlands and the carbon cycle: from
4 local processes to global implications a synthesis (vol 5, pg 1475, 2008).
5 *Biogeosciences*, **5**, 1739-1739.
6
7 Marion GM, Henry GHR, Freckman DW, *et al.* (1997) Open-top designs for
8 manipulating field temperature in high-latitude ecosystems. *Global Change*
9 *Biology*, **3**, 20-32.
10
11 Olofsson J, Oksanen L, Callaghan T, Hulme PE, Oksanen T, Suominen O (2009)
12 Herbivores inhibit climate-driven shrub expansion on the tundra. *Global*
13 *Change Biology*, **15**, 2681-2693.
14
15 Press MC, Potter JA, Burke MJW, Callaghan TV, Lee JA (1998) Responses of a
16 subarctic dwarf shrub heath community to simulated environmental change.
17 *Journal of Ecology*, **86**, 315-327.
18
19 Rydin H, Jeglum J (2006) *The Biology of Peatlands*. Oxford university Press, New
20 York.
21
22 Sælthun NR, Barkved, L. (2003) Climate Change Scenarios for the SCANNET
23 Region, pp. 74. Norwegian Institute of Water Research, Oslo.
24
25 Sannel ABK, Kuhry P (2008) Long-term stability of permafrost in subarctic peat
26 plateaus, west-central Canada. *Holocene*, **18**, 589-601.
27
28 Schmidt IK, Jonasson S, Michelsen A (1999) Mineralization and microbial
29 immobilization of N and P in arctic soils in relation to season, temperature and
30 nutrient amendment. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **11**, 147-160.
31
32 Shaver GR, Bret-Harte SM, Jones MH, Johnstone J, Gough L, Laundre J, Chapin FS
33 (2001) Species composition interacts with fertilizer to control long-term change
34 in tundra productivity. *Ecology*, **82**, 3163-3181.
35
36 Sonesson M (1974) Late quaternary forest development of Tornetrask area, North
37 Sweden, 2. Pollen analytical evidence. *Oikos*, **25**, 288-&.
38
39 Sonesson M, Carlsson BA, Callaghan TV, Halling S, Bjorn LO, Bertgren M, Johanson
40 U (2002) Growth of two peat-forming mosses in subarctic mires: species
41 interactions and effects of simulated climate change. *Oikos*, **99**, 151-160.
42
43 Sturm M, McFadden JP, Liston GE, Chapin FS, Racine CH, Holmgren J (2001a)
44 Snow-shrub interactions in Arctic tundra: A hypothesis with climatic
45 implications. *Journal of Climate*, **14**, 336-344.
46
47 Sturm M, Racine C, Tape K (2001b) Climate change - Increasing shrub abundance in
48 the Arctic. *Nature*, **411**, 546-547.
49
50 Sturm M, Schimel J, Michaelson G, *et al.* (2005) Winter biological processes could
51 help convert arctic tundra to shrubland. *Bioscience*, **55**, 17-26.
52
53 Tape K, Sturm M, Racine C (2006) The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern
54 Alaska and the Pan-Arctic. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 686-702.
55
56 Tybirk K, Nilsson MC, Michelson A, *et al.* (2000) Nordic *Empetrum* dominated
57 ecosystems: Function and susceptibility to environmental changes. *Ambio*, **29**,
58 90-97.
59
60 Van Breemen N (1995) How *Sphagnum* bogs down other plants. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution, **10**, 270-275.
van Wijk MT, Clemmensen KE, Shaver GR, *et al.* (2004) Long-term ecosystem level
experiments at Toolik Lake, Alaska, and at Abisko, Northern Sweden:
generalizations and differences in ecosystem and plant type responses to global
change. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 105-123.
Wahren CHA, Walker MD, Bret-Harte MS (2005) Vegetation responses in Alaskan
arctic tundra after 8 years of a summer warming and winter snow manipulation
experiment. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 537-552.

- 1
2
3 Walker MD, Wahren CH, Hollister RD, *et al.* (2006) Plant community responses to
4 experimental warming across the tundra biome. *Proceedings of the National*
5 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **103**, 1342-1346.
6
7 Wipf S, Rixen C (2010) A review of snow manipulation experiments in Arctic and
8 alpine tundra ecosystems. *Polar Research*, **29**, 95-109.
9
10 Wookey PA, Aerts R, Bardgett RD, *et al.* (2009) Ecosystem feedbacks and cascade
11 processes: understanding their role in the responses of Arctic and alpine
12 ecosystems to environmental change. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 1153-1172.
13
14 Wullschleger SD, Strahl M (2010) Climate change: a controlled experiment. *Scientific*
15 *American*, **302**, 78-83.
16
17 Zar ZH (1999) *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Tables**Table 1.** Experimental design and treatment codes used for the climate manipulations

Winter treatment	Summer treatment	
	Ambient	Warming
Ambient	AA	WA
Snow addition	AS	WS
Snow addition plus spring warming	AS+	WS+

For Review Only

Table 2. *F*- statistics for repeated-measures ANCOVAs for the effects of summer (ambient, warming: SUM) and winter treatments (ambient, snow addition, snow addition plus spring warming: WIN) and initial values (INI) on the **community parameters**: abundance (number of point-intercept hits), Shannon's diversity and Shannon's evenness; and on the **gross growth parameters**: annual stem length increments of *Empetrum hermaphroditum* and *Betula nana*, and vertical growth of *Sphagnum fuscum*. The summer and winter treatments (SUM & WIN) were taken as between-subject factors, year as within-subject factor and initial densities (INI) as a covariate

Variable and species	Source								
	SUM	WIN	INI	SUM x WIN	Year	Year x SUM	Year x WIN	Year x SUM x WIN	Year x INI
Community parameters									
Years (0), 2, 6 & 8									
Abundance^a									
Total number of hits	0.769	0.444	25.9	3.42 *	1.25	2.32	0.434	0.691	1.16
<i>Empetrum</i>	0.259	0.924	9.53	0.544	0.041	2.88 ⁺	0.334	0.855	0.029
<i>Betula nana</i>	1.14	0.737	54.0	0.081	1.26	0.293	0.775	1.04	0.760
<i>Calamagrostis lapponica</i>	1.24	3.21	62.0	0.150	1.52	0.638	1.01	0.101	0.173
<i>Rubus chamaemorus</i>	1.28	0.686	32.5	2.90 ⁺	1.12	2.49	1.35	0.732	1.11
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i>	0.003	0.509	92.9	1.01	4.01 *	1.16	0.479	0.141	3.79 *
<i>Andromeda polifolia</i>	1.16	0.248	36.6	1.16	0.933	1.61	1.69	1.18	2.00
Diversity & Evenness^b									
Shannon's Diversity (H)	0.410	1.44	33.6	0.759	1.94	0.356	0.362	1.08	
Shannon's Evenness (J)	0.314	1.21	18.7	2.50	1.34	0.040	0.679	2.59 *	
Gross growth parameters									
Apical growth^{a, c}									
<i>Empetrum</i>									
Years 1, 2, 7 & 8	2.61	3.13	-	0.071	16.5	0.959	1.33	0.480	-
Years 1 & 2	2.50	0.550	-	0.060	44.7	0.530	0.892	0.639	-
Years 7 & 8	1.57	4.24	-	0.110	11.6	2.00	0.310	1.02	-
<i>Betula nana</i>									
Years 1, 2 & 8	12.1	0.559	-	0.019	4.82	0.211	0.199	0.864	-
Years 1 & 2	7.77	0.850	-	0.139	1.26	0.137	0.235	1.28	-
Year 8 ^d	6.04	0.021	-	0.510	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical growth^{b, c}									
<i>Sphagnum fuscum</i>									
Years 1, 2, 9	6.42	0.235	-	1.69	24.8	1.59	1.21	2.78 *	-

Years 1 & 2	7.11	0.132	-	2.00	41.4	0.037	2.53	1.19	-
Year 9 ^d	2.03	0.391	-	2.41	-	-	-	-	-

6

7 Notes indicate: ^a: data ln-transformed; ^b: data untransformed; ^c: RM ANOVA; ^d: Two-way ANOVA. Asterisks indicate significance level of
 8 treatment effects: ⁺: $P < 0.1$; *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$; ***: $P < 0.001$.

For Review Only

1
2
3 9 **Figures**
4
5
6 10

7
8 11 **Fig. 1** Mean (\pm 1SE) abundances (expressed as mean number of hits) of all vascular
9
10 12 plants and three important individual species after 2, 6 and 8 years of simulated climate
11
12 13 change compared to initial abundances (data from year 0 are without SE since these
13
14 14 values were used as a covariate). There were no significant main effects of summer or
15
16 15 winter treatments or year of sampling (Table 2). For treatment codes see Table 1.
17
18 16





19
20 17 **Fig. 2** Apical annual growth (mean \pm 1SE) of *Empetrum hermaphroditum* and *Betula*
21
22 18 *nana* in response to simulated environmental change after 1, 2, 7 and 8 years of
23
24 19 simulated climate change. Tukey's post-hoc test at $P < 0.05$ on RM-ANOVA with
25
26 20 between subjects-factors: summer (A, W) and winter (A, S, S+) indicated that
27
28 21 AS+/WS+ vs. AS/WS differed significantly for *E. hermaphroditum*. *B. nana* growth
29
30 22 was significantly affected by summer warming (Table 2). For treatment codes see
31
32 23 Table 1.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 25 **Fig. 3** *Sphagnum fuscum* vertical annual growth (mean \pm 1SE) after 1, 2 and 9 years
42
43 26 of simulated climate change. Only summer warming affected growth significantly
44
45 27 (RM-ANOVA with between subjects-factors: summer (A, W) and winter (A, S, S+)
46
47 28 followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test at $P < 0.05$). For treatment codes see Table 1.
48
49 29

50
51
52 30 **Fig. 4** Measures of overgrowth by *S. fuscum* of two key species after 8 years of
53
54 31 simulated environmental change. a) *E. hermaphroditum*: percentage of branches with
55
56 32 less than one or than two year-segments visible above the moss-surface. Summer
57
58 33 warming increased the percentage of overgrown branches (χ^2 -test, AA/AS/AS+ vs
59
60

1
2
3 34 WA/WS/WS+, $P < 0.001$) and b) *B. nana* net shrub height above the moss-surface
4
5 35 remained unaltered in response to all treatments. Error bars indicate SEM. For
6
7
8 36 treatment codes see Table 1.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



For Review Only




Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Review Only



For Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Review Only