

IRF5 promotes inflammatory macrophage polarization and Th1/Th17 response

Irina A Udalova, Thomas Krausgruber, Timothy Smallie, Katrina Blazek, Helen Lockstone, Natasha Sahgal, Saba Azabin, Marc Feldmann, Tracy Hussell

► To cite this version:

Irina A Udalova, Thomas Krausgruber, Timothy Smallie, Katrina Blazek, Helen Lockstone, et al.. IRF5 promotes inflammatory macrophage polarization and Th1/Th17 response. Nature Immunology, 2011, 10.1038/ni.1990. hal-00608917

HAL Id: hal-00608917 https://hal.science/hal-00608917v1

Submitted on 16 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 IRF5 promotes inflammatory macrophage polarization and T_H1-T_H17 response 2

Thomas Krausgruber¹, Katrina Blazek¹, Timothy Smallie¹, Saba Alzabin¹, Helen
 Lockstone², Natasha Sahgal², Tracy Hussell³, Marc Feldmann¹, Irina A Udalova^{1&}

- 5
- ¹Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology Division, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College of
 Science, Technology and Medicine, 65 Aspenlea Road, London, W6 8LH, UK
- ²Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, 7 Roosevelt Drive,
 Oxford OX3 7BN, UK
- ³National Heart and Lung Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College of Science,
 Technology and Medicine, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ.
- 12
- 13 [&] corresponding author:
- 14 Irina A. Udalova: Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology Division, Faculty of Medicine,
- 15 Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, 65 Aspenlea Road, London, W6
- 16 8LH, UK; e-mail: i.udalova@imperial.ac.uk; Tel: 0208 383 4484; FAX: 0208 383 4499

17

19 Summary

20 Genetic polymorphisms in the IRF5 gene, leading to increased mRNA expression, are 21 associated with a number of autoimmune diseases. We show that expression of IRF5 in 22 macrophages is reversibly induced by inflammatory stimuli and contributes to plasticity 23 of macrophage polarization. High levels of IRF5 are characteristic of M1 macrophages, in 24 which it directly activates transcription of IL-12p40/p35, IL-23p19 genes and represses 25 IL-10 gene. Consequently, these macrophages set up the environment for a potent T_H1 -26 T_H17 response. Global gene expression analysis demonstrates that exogenous IRF5 up-27 or down-regulates expression of established phenotypic markers of M1 or M2 28 macrophages respectively. Our data suggest a critical role for IRF5 in M1 macrophage 29 polarization and defines a novel function for IRF5 as a transcriptional repressor. 30

- 31
- 32

33 Macrophages are a heterogeneous population of immune cells that are essential for the 34 initiation and resolution of pathogen- or tissue damage-induced inflammation¹. They 35 demonstrate remarkable plasticity that allows them to efficiently respond to 36 environmental signals and change their phenotype and physiology in response to 37 cytokines and microbial signals². These changes can give rise to populations of cells with 38 distinct functions, which are phenotypically characterised by production of pro-39 inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines ³. Based on the $T_H 1-T_H 2$ polarization 40 concept⁴ these cells are now referred to as M1 (classic) macrophages, that produce pro-41 inflammatory cytokines and mediate resistance to pathogens and tissue destruction, and 42 M2 (alternative) macrophages, that produce anti-inflammatory cytokines and promote 43 tissue repair and remodelling as well as tumour progression ^{3, 5}.

44

45 The activation of a subset defining transcription factor (TF) is characteristic of a 46 particular T cell lineage commitment: T-bet is associated with T_H1, GATA3 with T_H2, 47 FOXP3 with T regulatory (Treg) cells and ROR γ T with T_H17 cells ⁶. Dendritic cells (DCs) 48 also employ subset-selective expression of IRF4 and IRF8 for their commitment. IRF4 is 49 expressed at high levels in CD4⁺ dendritic cells (DCs) but low in plasmacytoid DC (pDCs). As a consequence, the CD4⁺ DC population is absent in $Irf4^{-/-}$ mice. Conversely, 50 51 IRF8 is expressed at high levels in pDCs and CD8⁺ DCs, thus $Irf8^{-/-}$ mice are largely 52 devoid of these DC subsets ⁷. However, transcription factors underlying macrophage 53 polarization remain largely undefined. Activation of NF-kB p50 has been previously 54 associated with inhibition of M1 polarizing genes⁸, whereas CREB mediated induction of 55 C/EBP β has been shown to up-regulate M2-specific genes ⁹. More recent evidence 56 suggests that, in mice, IRF4 controls M2 macrophage polarization by stimulating the 57 expression of selected M2 macrophage markers ¹⁰.

58

59 IRF5, another member of the IRF family, has diverse activities, such as activation of type 60 I interferon (IFN) genes, inflammatory cytokines, including TNF, IL-6, IL-12 and IL-23, and tumour suppressors ¹¹. Consequently, IRF5 deficient mice are resistant to lethal 61 62 endotoxic shock ¹². Human IRF5 is expressed in multiple splice variants with distinct cell 63 type-specific expression, cellular localization, differential regulation and functions ¹³. 64 Moreover, genetic polymorphisms in the human IRF5 gene, leading to expression of 65 several unique isoforms or increased expression of IRF5 mRNA, is implicated in 66 autoimmune diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid 67 arthritis (RA), Sjogren's syndrome, multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease ¹⁴⁻ 68 ¹⁸. Here we show a role for IRF5 in determining M1 macrophage lineage commitment. M1 69 macrophages are characterised by high level of IRF5, expression of which is induced 70 during their differentiation. Forced expression of IRF5 in M2 macrophages drives global

71 expression of M1-specific cytokines, chemokines and co-stimulatory molecules and leads 72 to a potent T_H1-T_H17 response. Conversely, the induction of M1-specific cytokines is 73 impaired in human M1 macrophages with levels of IRF5 expression reduced by siRNA 74 knock-down or in the peritoneal macrophages of the Irf5^{-/-} mice. Our data suggest that 75 activation of IRF5 expression defines macrophage lineage commitment by driving M1 76 macrophage polarization and, together with the results of Satoh et al demonstrating a 77 role for IRF4 in controlling M2 macrophage markers ¹⁰, establish a new paradigm for 78 macrophage polarization and highlight the potential for therapeutic interventions via 79 modulation of the IRF5-IRF4 balance.

81 **RESULTS**

82 IRF5 is highly expressed in human M1 macrophages

83 The M1 macrophage phenotype is induced by Interferon gamma (IFN- γ) followed by 84 stimulation with bacterial products like lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or by treatment of 85 monocytes with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Supplementary Fig 1a and Ref ¹⁹⁻²¹). IRF5 mRNA expression was elevated in primary 86 87 human monocytes or in monocyte-derived macrophages differentiated with GM-CSF (Fig 88 1a, Supplementary Fig 1b). Treatment of monocytes with GM-CSF but not 89 macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) – an M2 differentiating factor – resulted 90 in up-regulation of IRF5 mRNA expression within 4 h post stimulation (Fig 1b). To 91 account for possible differences in macrophage in vitro differentiation protocols, we 92 analysed the level of IRF5 in macrophages treated with either IFN- γ alone or in 93 combination with LPS for 24h and found that these were similar to the ones in GM-CSF 94 treated cells (Supplementary Fig 1c). The expression of IRF4, which controls M2 95 polarisation in mice ¹⁰, was equally induced during monocyte differentiation into M1 or 96 M2 macrophages (Fig 1a). The expression of IRF3, another member of the IRF family 97 central to the innate immune response, was not affected by differentiation into 98 macrophage subtypes (Fig 1a). Thus, IRF5 is induced in pro-inflammatory M1 99 macrophages independently of the *in vitro* differentiation protocol, whereas the mRNA 100 levels of IRF4 and IRF3 are comparable between the macrophage populations. There was 101 no significant difference between M1 and M2 macrophages in their basal or LPS-induced 102 levels of NF-kB p50 protein, previously implicated in macrophage polarisation towards 103 M2 phenotype ⁸ (Supplementary Fig 1d,e).

104

105 Expression of IRF5 in human macrophages is plastic

106 To examine whether IRF5 contributes to plasticity of macrophage polarisation, we 107 attempted to convert one population into another by culturing M2 macrophages with GM-108 CSF and M1 macrophages with M-CSF. As expected, treatment of M2 macrophages with 109 GM-CSF or IFN-y led to production of M1 phenotypic markers upon LPS stimulation (M2-110 >M1) (Supplementary Fig 2a). Conversely, treatment of M1 macrophages with M-CSF 111 led to production of M2 phenotypic markers upon LPS stimulation (M1->M2) 112 (Supplementary Fig 2b). M2->M1 conversion of macrophages increased IRF5 protein 113 levels (Fig 1c, Supplementary Fig 2c), whereas M1->M2 macrophages had reduced 114 levels of IRF5 (Fig 1d, Supplementary Fig 2d). Once again, the levels of IRF4 or IRF3 115 were unchanged (Figs 1c, d). These results demonstrate that expression of IRF5 is 116 quickly adapted to environmental stimuli, and suggests that it participates in establishing 117 macrophage plasticity.

119 IRF5 influences human M1 macrophage polarization

120 We next investigated whether IRF5 would directly induce expression of M1 macrophage 121 phenotypic markers. Bioactive IL-12p70 and IL-23 were detected in M2 macrophages 122 infected with the adenoviral expression construct encoding for human IRF5 (variant 3/4) 123 ¹³, but minimal with IRF3 or an empty vector ²² (**Fig 2a**). The up-regulation of IL-12p70 124 and IL-23 was reflected by a vast increase in secretion of the p40 subunit shared by the 125 two cytokines (Fig 2a). The secretion of both IL-12p70 and IL-23 peaked at 24h post 126 LPS stimulation and remained sustained up to at least 48h (Supplementary Fig 3a). 127 We also observed a significant increase in production of other key pro-inflammatory 128 cytokines such as IL-1 β and TNF by IRF5-expressing macrophages (**Supplementary Fig** 129 **3b**). Remarkably, IL-10 production in the IRF5-expressing cells was noticeably reduced 130 (Fig 2a). We also observed IL-10 protein inhibition in cells over-expressing IRF3 (Fig 131 2a), which might represent a negative feedback regulation of IL-10 expression ²³, since 132 the main direct target of IRF3, IFN- β , induces IL-10 ²⁴. The complementary experiment 133 targeting endogenous IRF5 in M1 macrophages by RNA interference (RNAi) 134 (Supplementary Fig 3c) resulted in significant inhibition of IL-12p70 and IL-23 and 135 increase of IL-10 (Fig 2b). Secretion of IL-12p40 was also reduced in these cells (Fig 136 **2b**), consistent with the data obtained in mouse myeloid cells deficient in *Irf5*¹². Taken 137 together, IRF5 influences M1 macrophage polarisation by equipping the cells with an IL-138 12^{hi}, IL-23^{hi}, IL-10^{lo} cytokine profile.

139

140 IRF5 promotes human T_H1-T_H17 response

141 One of the hallmarks of M1 macrophage polarisation is acquired antigen presenting features leading to efficient $T_{H}1$ response ^{20, 21}. To examine whether IRF5-aided the 142 143 polarisation of T lymphocyte proliferation, fate or activation state, human M2 144 macrophages were infected with IRF5, IRF3 viral expression constructs or an empty 145 vector and exposed to human T lymphocytes extracted and purified from peripheral 146 blood of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) unmatched donors in a mixed 147 lymphocyte reaction (MLR). Total T lymphocyte proliferation was determined 3 days after 148 infection, while activation of specific T cell subsets was analysed by flow cytometry 149 (Supplementary Fig 4a). Proliferation of T lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig 4b) was 150 considerably higher when co-cultured with IRF5-expressing macrophages. Furthermore, 151 only IRF5-expressing macrophages provided the cytokine environment necessary for T_{H1} 152 expansion and activation, assessed by increased number of IFN- γ producing CD4⁺ cells 153 (Fig 3a and Supplementary Fig 4c) and mRNA (Supplementary 4d) and protein (Fig 154 **3b**) expression of IFN- γ . In these cultures we also observed expansion and activation of 155 $T_{H}17$ cells, assessed by increased number of IL-17 producing CD4⁺ cells (Fig 3d and

156 Supplementary Fig 4e), secretion of IL-17A (Fig 3e) and mRNA expression of IL-17A, 157 IL-17F, IL-21, IL-22, IL-26 and IL-23R (Supplementary 4f). In line with recent studies 158 demonstrating that IL-23 enhanced the emergence of a IL-17⁺IFN- γ^+ population of T 159 cells 25 , about 25% of IL-17⁺ cells were also positive for IFN- γ (data not shown), 160 supporting a close developmental relationship of human $T_{\rm H}17$ and $T_{\rm H}1$ cells ²⁶. mRNA 161 expression of the T_H1 and T_H17 specifying transcription factors T-bet and ROR γt was 162 significantly induced in T cells co-cultured with IRF5 expressing macrophages (Figs 3c 163 and 3f). Of interest, expression of GATA3 and FOXP3 mRNA was reduced in the presence 164 of IRF5 expressing macrophages (data not shown). Hence, IRF5 promotes T lymphocyte 165 proliferation and activation of the T_H1 and T_H17 lineages, but does not induce T_H2 or T_{rea} 166 cell lineages.

167

168 IRF5 directly induces transcription of human M1 genes

169 IRF5 is a transcription factor which can bind to the regulatory regions of target genes 170 and modulate their expression. We next determined whether the role of IRF5 in 171 differential regulation of IL-12p70, IL-23 and IL-10 cytokine secretion was a direct 172 consequence of its function as a transcription factor. mRNA expression of IL-12p40, IL-173 12p35 and IL-23p19 was strongly induced in M2 macrophages infected with adenoviral 174 vector constructs encoding for IRF5, but not IRF3 or an empty vector (Fig 4a). 175 Moreover, the IRF5-driven IL-12p40 mRNA expression was sustained until at least 16h 176 post LPS stimulation (Supplementary Fig 5a). Consistent with the protein secretion 177 data, expression of IL-10 mRNA was inhibited by IRF5 (Fig 4a). However, expression of 178 IL-10 mRNA was not altered by IRF3, suggesting the lack of a direct role for IRF3 in IL-179 10 transcription. RNAi-mediated inhibition of endogenous IRF5 in M1 macrophages 180 reduced IL-12p40, p35 and IL23p19 mRNA expression 8h post LPS stimulation in cells 181 from multiple blood donors (Fig 4b). IL-12p40 was strongly inhibited throughout the 182 analysed time course, even 16h post LPS stimulation (Supplementary Fig 5b). The 183 expression of IL-10 mRNA was increased in the cells with knocked-down levels of IRF5 184 (Fig 4b).

185

To formally define the global expression profile induced by IRF5, we carried out genomewide expression analysis, in which M2 macrophages transduced with ectopic IRF5 were compared to previously-defined human M1 and M2 macrophage subsets ^{21, 27}. We found that expression of about 90% of known human polarization-specific markers was driven by IRF5 (**Fig 4c**). IRF5 induced 20 M1-specific and inhibited 19 M2-specific genes encoding cytokines, chemokines, co-stimulatory molecules and surface receptors (**Fig 4c**) resulting in higher or lower production of corresponding proteins (**Supplementary**

Figs 5c,d). Moreover, we identified a number of novel IRF5-regulated genes that are
likely to contribute to the main functional features of macrophage subsets, such as
phagocytosis and antigen presentation (Supplementary Table 1).

196

197 Next, we investigated the LPS-induced recruitment of IRF5 to the respective promoter 198 loci. All IRF family members share a well-conserved N-terminal DNA binding domain 199 (DBD) that recognises IFN-stimulated response elements (ISREs). A computational 200 analysis of the regions -2000 nt 5' upstream and +1000 nt downstream of the 201 transcription start site (TSS) of IL-12p40, IL-12p35, IL-23p19, IL-10 and other IRF5-202 regulated genes (Fig 5 and Supplementary Table 1) led to the identification of several 203 ISREs (Supplementary Table 2). Primers, encompassing these ISREs, were designed 204 and used in quantitative ChIP experiments in M1 macrophages stimulated with LPS for 0, 205 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 h. We observed LPS-induced enrichment of IRF5 to the IL-12p40, IL-206 12p35 and IL-23p19 promoter regions up to 8h post stimulation, matching the kinetics 207 of Pol II recruitment to the genes (Fig 5a-c). On the contrary, at the IL-10 promoter 208 region LPS-induced IRF5 recruitment took place between 1 and 4h post stimulation, 209 whereas Pol II could bind to the region only 8h post stimulation (Fig 5d), suggesting a 210 new inhibitory role for IRF5 in transcriptional regulation of selected genes. Taken 211 together, IRF5 regulates transcription of IL-12p40, IL-12p35, IL-23p19 and IL-10 genes 212 via recruitment to their promoter regions. It also influences the expression of the 213 majority of human lineage defining cytokines.

214

215 IRF5 inhibits transcription of the human IL-10 gene

216 To investigate whether IRF5 can directly repress transcription of the IL-10 gene, we used 217 an adenovirus construct with a gene-reporter in which the luciferase-reporter construct 218 was flanked with 195 nt 5' upstream of the IL-10 gene (IL-10-luc wt)²⁸. The IL-10-luc 219 wt construct was co-infected with HA-tagged IRF5 or empty vector pENTR into M2 220 macrophages and luciferase activities were quantified. IRF5 expressing cells showed a 221 significant decrease in luciferase activity in both un-stimulated and 4h post LPS (Fig 6a). 222 To confirm the importance of IRF5 binding to the IL10 promoter, we generated a mutant 223 of IRF5 lacking the DNA binding domain (IRF5 ΔDBD). The IRF5 ΔDBD was no longer 224 able to inhibit the IL-10-luc wt reporter (Fig 6a). To further explore the molecular 225 mechanism of IRF5-mediated suppression of *IL10* transcription, we introduced point 226 mutations into the identified ISRE (-182/-172 nt relative to the TSS) and co-infected the 227 IL-10-luc ISRE mut construct together with HA-tagged IRF5 and empty vector pENTR 228 into M2 macrophages. The IL-10-luc ISRE mut showed a different response to the wild 229 type in that ectopic IRF5 was no longer able to suppress luciferase activity (Fig 6b), 230 suggesting that IRF5 inhibits IL-10 by direct binding to the IL-10 promoter ISRE. This is

opposite to the positive regulatory activity of IRF5 at the *TNF*²² and *IL12p35* promoters
(Supplementary Fig 6). Therefore IRF5 can act not only as a transcriptional activator,
but also as a suppressor of selected target genes, in this case the anti-inflammatory
mediator IL-10. The mode of inhibition is mediated by direct binding of IRF5 to the
promoter region of *IL10* and likely engagement of yet to be identified novel co-factors.

236

237 IRF5 plays a key role in mouse model of M1 inflammation

238 Similar to their human counterparts, GM-CSF-differentiated mouse bone marrow derived 239 macrophages (GM-BMDMs) had higher levels of IRF5 protein expression compared to the 240 M-CSF-derived cells (M-BMDMs) (Fig 7a) and were the only cells secreting IL-12p70 and 241 IL-23 (data not shown). Consequently, GM-BMDMs from Irf5^{-/-} animals secreted 242 significantly less IL-12p70, IL-23 or more IL-10 in response to LPS stimulation (Fig 7b). 243 No difference in IL-10 secretion was observed in M-BMDMs from wild type or Irf5^{-/-} 244 animals (data not shown). To investigate the functional role of IRF5 in an in vivo model 245 of M1-polarized inflammation, Irf5^{-/-} mice were challenged with sub-lethal dose of intra-246 peritoneal LPS. Within 3h there was a significant difference in the serum level of selected cytokines between wild type and $Irf5^{-/-}$ mice. Responses were consistent with the human 247 248 data i.e. in the Irf5^{-/-} mice there was reduced serum concentrations of IL-12p40, IL-23 249 (Fig 7c), TNF, as well as IL-6 (Supplementary Fig 7), but elevated IL-10 (Fig 7c). 250 Mice injected with PBS secreted no cytokines. The number of macrophages recruited in 251 the peritoneal cavity of LPS-challenged mice was similar in wild type and Irf5^{-/-} animals 252 (data not shown), but the expression of genes encoding M1 macrophage markers, i.e. 253 II12p35, II12p40, II23p19, II1b, Tnf and II6, was significantly impaired in these cells (Fig **7d**). The expression of genes encoding M2 markers in $Irf5^{-/-}$ animals, i.e. *II10*, arginase 254 255 1 (Arg1), Fizz1 and Ym1, was either significantly increased or showed a positive trend 256 (Fig 7d and data not shown). In addition, in splenocytes from the LPS-challenged Irf5^{-/-} 257 animals cultured ex vivo for an additional 48h we observed significantly reduced 258 production of IFN- γ and IL-17 (**Fig 7e**). In summary, our data together with the 259 previously reported role of IRF5 in LPS-induced lethal endotoxic shock ¹², support a 260 major role of IRF5 in establishing pro-inflammatory macrophage phenotype in animal 261 models of M1-polarizing inflammation.

263 Discussion

264 Macrophages are key mediators of the immune response during inflammation. Plasticity 265 and functional polarization are hallmarks of the macrophages resulting in phenotypic 266 diversity of macrophage populations²⁹. Taking into account that the deficiency of IRF5 in mice leads to diminished production of IL-12p40 and IL-23p19^{11, 12}, universal markers of 267 268 M1 macrophage subsets, we investigated whether IRF5 is involved in macrophage 269 polarisation. We demonstrate that IRF5 is indeed a major factor defining macrophage 270 polarization: it is highly expressed in M1 macrophages and induces a characteristic gene 271 expression and cytokine secretion profile, and promotes robust $T_H 1-T_H 17$ responses. We 272 also unravel a new regulatory role for IRF5 as an inhibitor of M2 macrophage marker 273 expression. Finally, IRF5 contributes to macrophage plasticity, i.e. modulation of its levels 274 leads to the conversion of one macrophage subset phenotype into the other.

275

276 The rapid and potent transcriptional response developed by macrophages encountering 277 microbial stimuli, such as LPS, or subsequently cytokines, is orchestrated by many TFs. 278 Among them are class III TFs, such as PU.1, C/EBPb, RUNX1, IRF8, which are lineage-279 specific transcriptional regulators turned on during macrophage differentiation ³⁰. The 280 combinatorial expression of these proteins specifies the macrophage phenotype via 281 constitutive activation or repression of genes and chromatin remodelling at inducible loci. 282 For instance, PU.1 is required for maintaining H3K4me1 enhancer marks at macrophage-283 specific enhancers ³¹. But only a small proportion of the macrophage transcriptome is 284 altered by cell polarization ²⁷ and among the genes differentially expressed between the 285 M1 and M2 subsets are those regulated by IRF5, such as IL12p40, IL12p35, IL23p19, 286 IL1B, TNF, MIP1a, RANTES, CD1a, CD40, CD86, CCR7. Another member of the IRF 287 family, IRF4, known to inhibit IRF5 activation by competing for interaction with Myd88 288 ³², has been recently reported to control the expression of prototypical mouse M2 289 macrophage markers ¹⁰. In human cells we found that the expression of IRF4 is equally 290 induced by M-CSF or GM-CSF differentiation and is further enhanced by exposure to IL-4 291 ³³. IRF5 expression, on the other hand, is specifically induced by GM-CSF or IFN- γ , but is 292 unresponsive to IL-4. Thus, IRF5 and IRF4 may be classified as class III TFs but with a 293 caveat that they define specific macrophage subsets rather than the global macrophage 294 lineage. NF-kB proteins, in particularly c-Rel and RelA, are important for expression of 295 M1-specific cytokines ^{34, 35}. IRF5 and ReIA cooperate in induction of the TNF gene ²². It is 296 interesting to speculate whetehr the genes encoding IL-12, IL-23 subunits and other M1-297 specific markers might be under similar joint transcriptional control. Thereby, IRF5 may 298 participate in the combinatorial assembly with macrophage-specific TFs, e.g. PU.1, and 299 environmentally induced NF- κ B³¹, to define the activity of specifically M1 enhancers. 300

302 The role of IRF5 in the inhibition of IL-10 gene transcription is novel and important in 303 view of its well documented immunosuppressive activity. II10^{-/-} mice develop 304 spontaneous autoimmune diseases and show increased resistance to infection ³⁶. IL-10 305 represses immune responses by down-regulating inflammatory cytokines like TNF ³⁷ and 306 is important for generation of T_{reg} cells, that act to suppress activation of the immune 307 system and thereby maintain immune system homeostasis and tolerance to self-antigens 308 ³⁸. Major producers of IL-10 include M2 macrophages, B cells and T cells ³⁹, whereas M1 309 macrophages and DCs are only weak producers²¹. Ectopic expression of IRF5 in M2 310 macrophages reduces IL-10 secretion upon LPS stimulation and also affects mRNA 311 expression of IL-10 and a number of other markers of human M2 macrophage 312 phenotype, such as mannose receptor C type I, insulin-like growth factor 1, CCL2, 313 CCL13, CD163, M-CSF receptor and macrophage scavenger receptor 1. Consistent with 314 other studies ⁴⁰ we find no expression of the most widely used prototypical mouse M2 315 markers (Arg1, Ym1, Fizz 1) in human macrophages (data not shown), while their 316 expression in mouse LPS-elicited peritoneal macrophages showed a positive trend in the 317 absence of IRF5. Expression of some chemokines, defined as M1 (CXCL10) or M2 318 (CCL17, CCL18, CCL22) markers in mouse macrophages did not follow the expected 319 pattern of IRF5 dependence, i.e. induction for M1 and inhibition for M2, possibly 320 reflecting on the species-specific gene repertoire ⁴¹. While human M1 but not M2 321 macrophages have been shown to secrete high levels of CCL22²¹, there is some 322 controversy in the literature as to whether CXCL10 is a marker of M1 or M2 macrophage 323 phenotypes ^{21, 24, 27}, our data agree more with the latter model.

324

325 The swift modulation of IRF5 expression and cytokine production by CSFs can help to 326 explain the remarkable plasticity of macrophages in adjusting their phenotype in 327 response to environmental signals². M-CSF is constitutively produced by several cell 328 types, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, stromal cells and osteoblasts. It is likely 329 that this steady state production of M-CSF polarizes macrophages towards the M2 330 phenotype by keeping IRF5 expression low. By contrast, GM-CSF production by the 331 same cell types requires stimulation and occurs usually at a site of inflammation or 332 infection, which is also characterised by high levels of IFN-γ. Resolution of inflammation 333 may once again coincide with predominance of M-CSF and switch in IRF5-driven 334 cytokine production, as treatment of M2->M1 macrophages with M-CSF restores the 335 original M2 phenotype (M2->M1->M2). Activation of both the GM-CSF and IFN- γ 336 receptors stimulates the Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription 337 (JAK-STAT) pathway ²⁹, and an ISRE element within the IRF5 promoter can bind STAT1 338 and STAT2 13 , suggesting a possible mechanism for GM-CSF- and IFN- γ -induced IRF5

expression. Consequently, high levels of IRF5 results in macrophage phenotype
polarization towards M1. IRF5 induces expression of IFN-γ mRNA pointing to an
autocrine loop in macrophage polarization.

342

343 IRF5-expressing macrophages promote T lymphocyte proliferation and activation and 344 drive them towards T_H1 and T_H17 phenotypes via secretion of IL-12 42 and IL-23/IL-1 β 43 345 respectively. T_{H1} cells constitutively express IFN- γ and T-bet, whereas T_{H17} express 346 RORyT, IL-23R, IL-17A and IL-17F, IL-21, IL-22 and IL-26. All these $T_{H}1$ or $T_{H}17$ markers 347 are up-regulated in the presence of IRF5-expressing macrophages. Human $T_{\rm H}17$ cells 348 seem to exhibit different features from murine $T_H 17$ cells: while murine $T_H 17$ originate 349 from a precursor common to T_{reg} cells when IL-6 is produced in combination with TGF- β , 350 human $T_H 17$ cells originate from CD161⁺CD4⁺ precursors in the presence of IL-23 and 351 IL-1 β , with little involvement of IL-6 and indirect role for TGF- β ⁴³. Perhaps not 352 surprisingly, dependence of IL-6 expression on IRF5 is much greater in mouse 353 macrophages.

354

355 Both T cell subsets promote cellular immune function and have the capacity to cause 356 inflammation and autoimmune diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease and 357 collagen-induce arthritis ^{44, 45}. Significantly, higher levels of *Irf5* mRNA have been found 358 in splenic cells from certain autoimmune-prone mouse strains than in non-autoimmune 359 mice 46 , while IRF5 deficient mice show impaired production of T_H1 or T_H17 cytokines. 360 This points towards a possible broad effect of therapies targeting the induction of IRF5 361 expression by macrophages, for example by targeting IRF5-inducing stimuli. Related to 362 this, GM-CSF deficient mice fail to develop arthritis despite making a normal humoral immune response to the arthritogenic stimulus ⁴⁷ and the blockade of GM-CSF in wild-363 364 type mice controls disease activity and levels of pro-inflammatory mediators in the joints 48 365

366

In summary, a distinct systemic role of IRF5 in macrophages is the orchestration of transcriptional activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and co-stimulatory molecules leading to efficient effector T cell response, rather than induction of a type I IFN-induced transcriptional network ⁴⁹. Our data establish a new paradigm for macrophage polarization and designate the IRF5-IRF4 regulatory axis as a new target for therapeutic intervention: inhibition of IRF5 activity would specifically affect proinflammatory cytokine expression and decrease the number of effector T cells.

- 374
- 375
- 376

379 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

380 The research leading to these results was supported by MRC collaborative grant 82189 381 to IAU and has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework 382 Programme FP7/2007-2013 under grant agreement number 222008 and Arthritis 383 Research UK. We are grateful to Dr Mary Cavanach (NHLI, London, UK) for assistance 384 with cell characterisation experiments, Dr Dilair Barban (Oxford, UK) for conducting 385 micro array hybridizations, Dr Fui G Goh, Dr David G. Saliba and Dr Scott Thomson (KIR, 386 London, UK) for advice and useful suggestion on RNAi and ChIP. We also thank Prof 387 Xiaojing Ma (Cornell University, USA) for providing us with IL-12p35 promoter driven 388 luciferase constructs and Drs Claudia Monaco and Michael E Goddard (KIR, London, UK) 389 for support with animal experiments.

- 390
- 391

392 AUTHORSHIP

Contributions: T.K., T.S., K.B., and S.A. performed research; T.K., H.L., N.S., and I.A.U
designed research and analysed data; T.K., M.F., T.H., and I.A.U. wrote the paper.
Conflict of interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

396

398 Figure Legends

399

400 **Figure 1**:

401 IRF5 is highly expressed in M1-like macrophages and up-regulated by GM-CSF

402 Total protein extracts were subjected to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against
403 IRF5, IRF4, or IRF3. Actin was used as a loading control. Representative blots of at least
404 4 independent experiments, each using cells derived from a different donor are shown.

405 (a) Monocytes (Mono) were collected at day 0 or differentiated into M1-like macrophages
406 with GM-CSF (50ng/ml) (GM-CSF) or M2-like macrophages with M-CSF (100ng/ml) (M407 CSF) for 5 days. Cells were either left untreated or simulated with LPS for 24h.

(b) Monocytes were stimulated with GM-CSF (50ng/ml) or M-CSF (100ng/ml) for 2, 4, 8,
24 and 48h or left untreated. The level of IRF5 mRNA was measured by RT-PCR with a
corresponding TaqMan probe. Data shown are the mean ± SEM of 5 independent
experiments each using monocytes derived from a different donor: *p<0.001 (Two-way
ANOVA).

413 (c) For M2->M1 polarization, M2 macrophages were treated with or without GM-CSF
414 (50ng/ml) for 24h.

(d) For M1->M2 polarization, M1 macrophages were treated with or without M-CSF
(100ng/ml) for 24h.

417

418 Figure 2:

419 IRF5 influences the production of macrophage lineage specific cytokines

420 (a) M2 macrophages were infected with adenoviral vectors encoding IRF5, IRF3 or 421 empty vector (pENTR) and stimulated with LPS for 24h. The amount of secreted IL-422 12p70, IL-23, IL-12p40 or IL-10 protein was determined by ELISA. Data show the trend 423 of cytokine secretion in 7-9 independent experiments each using M2 macrophages 424 derived from a different donor: *p<0.01, **p<0.001 (One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's 425 Multiple Comparison Post Test).

426 (**b**) M1 macrophages were transfected with siRNA targeting IRF5 (siIRF5) and stimulated 427 with LPS (10ng/ml) plus IFN- γ (50ng/ml) for 24h. IL-12p70, IL-23, IL-12p40 or IL-10 428 secretion was compared to control cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siC). Data 429 shown are the mean ± SEM of 6-8 independent experiments each using M1 430 macrophages derived from a different donor: *p<0.01, **p< 0.001, (Student's t-test).

431

432 Figure 3:

433 IRF5 promotes lymphocyte proliferation and T_H1-T_H17 response

(a, d) M2-like macrophages were infected with adenoviral vectors encoding IRF5, IRF3
 or empty vector (pENTR) and cultured with T lymphocytes from unmatched donors. After

436 4 days, cells were stimulated for 3h with PMA, ionomycin and Brefeldin A and IFN- γ and 437 IL-17 expression determined by immunocytochemistry staining. Data are shown as the 438 mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ± SEM of 7 independent experiments.

439 (**b**, **e**) Supernatants after 4 days of co-culture were analysed for IFN- γ (**b**) and IL-17A 440 (**d**) production. Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM of 6 (**b**) or 4 (**c**) independent 441 experiments. ~200pg/ml of IFN- γ and no detectable IL-17 was produced by M2 442 macrophages infected with adenoviral vectors encoding IRF5, IRF3 or empty vector 443 (pENTR).

444 (c, f) M2-like macrophages were infected with adenoviral vectors encoding IRF5, IRF3 or

empty vector (pENTR) and cultured with T lymphocytes from unmatched donors. T-bet

446 (TBX21) (c) and RORγT (RORC2) (f) mRNA expression was analysed after 2 days of co-

447 culture. Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM of 6 independent experiments each using

448 cells derived from a different donor.

449 (**a-f**) *p< 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 (One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple

- 450 Comparison Post Test).
- 451

452 **Figure 4**:

453 IRF5 regulates mRNA expression of macrophage lineage specific cytokines

- (a) M2 macrophages were infected with adenoviral vectors encoding IRF5 or IRF3 and basal cytokine mRNA expression was compared to empty vector (pENTR) control infected cells. IL-12p40, IL-12p35, IL-23p19 or IL-10 mRNA levels in unstimulated cells were analysed by q-PCR. Data shown are the mean \pm SEM of 3-6 independent experiments each using M2 macrophages derived from a different donor: *p< 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 (One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Post Test).
- 460 (b) M1 macrophages were transfected with siRNA targeting IRF5 (siIRF5) and stimulated 461 with LPS (10ng/ml) for 8h or left untreated (IL-10). IL-12p40, IL-12p35, IL-23p19 or IL-462 10 mRNA expression was compared to control cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA 463 (siC). Data shown are the mean \pm SEM of 5-6 independent experiments presented as a 464 % of reduction in cytokine mRNA levels by siIRF5: **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001 (Student's t-465 test).

466 (c) M2- macrophages from 4 different donors were infected with adenoviral vectors 467 encoding IRF5 or empty vector (pENTR) and global mRNA expression was analysed using 468 Illumina HumanHT-12 Expression BeadChips. Heatmaps showing the fold change in 469 M2+IRF5 cells relative to M2 cells at Ohr for sets of M1 and M2-specific genes described 470 in ^{21,27}. Red indicates higher expression in M2+IRF5 and green indicates higher 471 expression in M2 (scale shows the log2 fold change). M1-specific genes tend to be more 472 highly expressed in M2+IRF5 cells whereas M2-specific genes are downregulated by 473 IRF5.

475 **Figure 5**:

476 IRF5 is directly involved in transcriptional regulation of lineage specific 477 cytokines

(a-d) M1 macrophages were left unstimulated or stimulated with LPS (10ng/ml) for 1, 2,
4, 8 or 24h followed by ChIP with antibodies specific to IRF5 (black bars), PoIII (grey
bars), or IgG control (white bars). Protein recruitment to the promoters of IL-12p40 (a),
IL-12p35 (b), IL-23p19 (c) or IL-10 (d) was measured and presented as mean % input
relative to genomic DNA (gDNA) ± SD of a representative experiment.

483

484 **Figure 6**:

485 IRF5 inhibits transcriptional activation of the human IL-10 gene

486 M2 macrophages were co-infected with (a) IL-10 wild type (IL-10-Luc wt) reporter

487 plasmid or (b) the IL-10 plasmid in which site-specific mutations were introduced into

488 the ISRE site at -180 to -173 (IL-10-Luc ISRE mut) and constructs coding for IRF5 (black

489 bars), IRF5 DNA-binding mutant (IRF5∆DBD) (grey bars) or empty vector (pENTR)

490 (white bars). 24h post-infection, cells were left unstimulated (Un) or stimulated with LPS

491 (10ng/ml) for 4h and luciferase activity was measured. Data are presented as the mean

492 ± SEM from 3 independent experiments each using M2 macrophages derived from a
 493 different donor: *p<0.01 (One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Post

494

495

496 **Figure 7**:

Test).

497 Impaired production of M1 and $T_H 1-T_H 17$ cytokines in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice

498 (a) Bone-marrow cells from C57BL/6 mice were differentiated into M1 macrophages with
 499 GM-CSF (50ng/ml). On day 8, total protein extracts from adherent cells were subjected
 500 to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against IRF5.

501 (b) M1 macrophages from C57BL/6 mice (n=8) were stimulated with LPS (100ng/ml) for

502 24h and the amount of secreted IL-12p70, IL-23 and IL-10 was determined by ELISA. Data

503 shown are the mean \pm SEM of 8 samples from 3 independent experiments: *p< 0.05,

- 504 **p<0.01 (Student's t-test).
- 505 (c) Littermate wild type (n = 10) and $Irf5^{-/-}$ (n = 10) mice were intraperitoneally

506 injected with LPS (20ug/ml). Mice were sacrificed after 3h and serum concentrations of

- 507 IL-12p40, IL-23 and IL-10 were measured by either ELISA (IL-12p40, IL-23) or BD^{TM}
- 508 cytrometric bead assay (IL-10). Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM of 8-10 serum
- 509 samples from 3 independent experiments: *p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (Student's t-test).

- 510 (d) mRNA levels of selected M1 and M2 markers were analysed in peritoneal cells from
- 511 LPS-injected mice in (c). Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM of 10 samples from 3
- 512 independent experiments: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, *p<0.05 (Student's t-test).
- 513 (e) Spleen cells from LPS-injected mice in (c) were cultured in the presence of anti-CD3
- 514 antibodies for 48h. The amount of secreted IFN- γ and IL-17a was determined by ELISA.
- 515 Data are shown as the mean \pm SEM of 4-5 spleen cultures from two independent
- 516 experiments: *p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (Student's t-test).
- 517

519 MATERIALS AND METHODS

520 Plasmids

521 Expression constructs encoding full length human IRF3, IRF5v3/v4, and IRF5ΔDBD were 522 described in ²². The vectors encoding IRF5 and IRF3 expressed similar levels of proteins, 523 but only IRF5 resulted in a significant increase in TNF secretion, while only IRF3 induced 524 type III IFNs ²². IL-10 promoter driven luciferase-reporter constructs were previously 525 described ²⁸. The IL-12p35 wild-type and IL-12p35 ISRE mutant promoter constructs ⁵⁰ 526 were a kind gift from Prof Xiaojing Ma (Cornell University, USA). The sequences and 527 restriction maps are available upon request.

528

529 Mice

- 530 The generation of $Irf5^{-/-}$ mice has been described ¹². For generation of BMDMs/GM-CSF,
- 531 bone marrow of wild-type or *Irf5^{-/-}* was cultured in RPMI (PAA, USA) supplemented with
- 532 50ng/ml recombinant mouse GM-CSF (Preprotech, UK). After 8 days, adherent cells
- 533 were washed with PBS, re-plated and stimulated with 100ng/ml LPS (Alexis
- 534 Biochemicals, USA). For *in vivo* experiment, littermate wild-type and IRF5^{-/-} mice were
- 535 intraperitoneally injected with 20ug LPS in 200ul sterile PBS. Mice were sacrificed after
- 536 3h and serum was collected. Spleens were removed and cultured in DMEM supplemented
- 537 with 10ng/ml anti-CD3 antibodies (BD Bioscience, USA) for 48h.
- 538

539 Cell culture

- Enriched populations of human monocytes were obtained from the blood of healthy
 donors by elutriation as described previously ²². M1 and M2 macrophages were obtained
- 542 after 5 days of culturing human monocytes in RPMI 1640 (PAA, USA) supplemented with
- 543 50ng/ml GM-CSF or 100ng/ml M-CSF (Peprotech, UK). Cells were stimulated with
- 544 10ng/ml LPS (Alexis Biochemicals, USA) or 10ng/ml LPS plus 50ug/ml IFN-γ (Peprotech,
- 545 UK). For "priming" experiments M1 macrophages at day 5 were simulated for 24h with
- 546 M-CSF (100ng/ml). Similarly, M2 macrophages at day 5 were stimulated for 24h with
- 547 GM-CSF (50ng/ml); IFN- γ (50ng/ml) or LPS (10ng/ml) plus IFN- γ (50ng/ml).
- 548

549 Measurement of cytokine production

- 550 Cytokine secretion was quantified with specific ELISAs for human IL-12p40, IL-12p70,
- 551 IL-10, IFN-γ, TNF, CXCL10, IL-1β (BD Bioscience, USA); IL-23, CCL2 (eBioscience);
- 552 CCL5, CCL13, CCL22 (R&D Systems) and IL-17A, IL-4 (Insight Biotechnology). Mouse
- 553 cytokine secretion was quantified with specific ELISAs for II-12p70, II-23 and II-10
- (eBioscience); IFN- γ , IL-17a (BD Bioscience, USA) and serum levels of mouse IL-1 β ,
- 555 TNF, IL-6 and IL-10 were determined by BD[™] cytrometric bead assay (BD Bioscience, USA)
- on a FACS Canto II (BD Bioscience).

558 Mixed lymphocyte reaction

559 Human macrophages were plated in 96-well plate at 2 x 10⁴ cells/well. T lymphocytes 560 were isolated from the blood of healthy donors by elutriation, analysed by FACS and 561 used if purity was >90%. T lymphocytes were added to macrophages at 5 x 10^5 562 cells/well. Control cultures contained medium, T lymphocytes or M2 macrophages alone. 563 After 72-96h of co-culture supernatants were collected for detection of cytokines. For 564 proliferation experiments, cells were pulsed with 37,000 Bg of [3H]thymidine 565 (Amersham Biosciences, USA) 16h before harvest and DNA synthesis was measured by 566 [3H]thymidine incorporation using a Beckman beta scintillation counter (Beckman

- 567 Instruments, USA).
- 568

569 **RNA interference**

- 570 siRNA-mediated knockdown was performed using On-target plus SMART pool reagent
- 571 (Dharmacon, USA) designed to target human IRF5. DharmaFECT I® (Dharmacon, USA)
- 572 was employed as the siRNAs transfection reagent according to manufacturers'
- 573 instructions.
- 574

575 Adenoviral infection

576 Infections of M2 macrophages were performed as described previously ²².

577

578 RNA extraction and quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells using a QiaAmp RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Germany)
according to manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesised from total RNA using
SuperScript® III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA) and 18-mer oligo dTs
(Eurofins MWG Operon, UK). The gene expression was analysed by ΔΔCt method based

- 583 on the guantitative real-time PCR with TagMan primer sets for human IL-12p35, IL-
- 584 12p40, IL-23p19, IL-10, IFN-γ, IL-17A/F, IL-21, IL-22, IL-26, IL-23R, TBX21 (for T-bet),
- 585 Mrc1, Arg1, Rentla (for Fizz1) and PO (Applied Biosystems) in an ABI 7900HT machine
- 586 (Applied Biosystems, USA). RORyt was detected by SybrGreen with the primer set for the
- 587 human RORC2 gene (RORC2_F1: TGAGAAGGACAGGGAGCCAA; RORC2_R1:
- 588 CCACAGATTTTGCAAGGGATCA).

589

590 Luciferase gene reporter assay

591 Infections of M2 macrophages were performed in 96-well plates in triplicate at a 592 multiplicity of infection of 50:1. Cells were seeded in serum-free, antibiotics-free RPMI 593 containing the desired number of viral particles in a final volume of 50µl. Cells were

594 infected with expression constructs coding for IRF5, IRF5△DBD or empty vector and after

595 6 h followed by infection with IL-10 luciferase constructs. Cells were allowed to recover 596 for 24 hours before experimental assay. Co-transfections of HEK-293-TLR4/MD2 cells 597 with the IL-12p35 wild-type and IL-12p35 ISRE mutant constructs were performed as 598 described previously ²².

599

600 Total protein extracts and immunoblots

Total protein extracts were prepared as previously described ²². Equal amounts of

602 proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analysed with antibodies against IRF5 (ab2932

603 or ab21689, Abcam, UK), IRF3 (sc-9082x), IRF4 (sc-28696), p50 (sc-114x), ReIA (sc-

604 372x), all form Santa Cruz, USA, and actin (A5541, Sigma, USA).

605

606 Flow cytometry

- 607 For surface staining of T cells, cells were stained for 30 min at 4 °C with anti-CD4-FITC 608 and anti-CD8-Per-CP-Cy5 (BD Bioscience). For intracellular cytokine staining (ICC), cells
- 609 were stimulated for 3-4h with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), ionomycin and Brefeldin
- 610 A (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were stained for cell surface markers, fixed in Cytofix (BD
- 611 Bioscience) and permeabilized using PBS containing 1% FCS, 0.01% sodium azide, and
- 612 0.05% saponin and stained with anti-IFN-γ-PB and anti-IL-17-PE (eBioscience). For
- 613 surface staining, macrophages were incubated for 30 at 4 °C with anti-CD40-APC
- 614 (eBioscience) and anti-CD163-PE (R&D Systems). Samples were run on a FACS Canto II
- 615 (BD Bioscience) and analysed using FlowJo software (TreeStar).
- 616

617 Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

- 618 ChIP assays were carried out essentially as previously described ²² using antibodies
- against IRF5 (ab2932, Abcam, UK), Pol II (sc-899, Santa Cruz, USA) or IgG control
- 620 (PP64, Milipore, USA). The immuno-precipitated DNA fragments were then interrogated
- 621 by real-time PCR using SYBR®Premix Ex Taq II[™] master mix (Takara Bio, USA) and the
- 622 following primers for IL12-p35 locus: (TCATTTTGGGCCGAGCTGGAG and
- 623 TACATCAGCTTCTCGGTGACACG); IL-12p40 locus: (TCCAGTACCAGCAACAGCAGCAGA and
- 624 GTAGGGGCTTGGGAAGTGCTTACCTT); IL-23p19 locus:
- 625 (ACTGTGAGGCCTGAAATGGGGAGC and ACTGGATGGTCCTGGTTTCATGGGAGA) and IL-10
- 626 locus: (CCTGTGCCGGGAAACCTTGATTGTGGC and
- 627 GTCAGGAGGACCAGGCAACAGAGCAGT). Data were analysed using an ABI 7900HT
- 628 software (Applied Biosystems, USA).
- 629
- 630 Microarray, statistics and bioinformatics analyses. Microarray, statistics and
- bioinformatic analyses are described in **Supplementary Methods**.
- 632
- 633

634	References		
635			
636			
637	1.	Gordon, S. & Taylor, P.R. Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. Nat Rev	
638	-	Immunol 5, 953-964 (2005).	
639	2.	Mosser, D.M. & Edwards, J.P. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage	
640	0	activation. Nat Rev Immunol 8, 958-969 (2008).	
041 642	3.	Gordon, S. Alternative activation of macrophages. <i>Nat Rev Immunol</i> 3 , 23-35	
042 643	4	(2003). Domognani D. Annunziato E. Dissinni M.D. Maggi E. & Domognani S. T. 1/T. 2	
643 644	4.	cells their associated molecules and role in pathophysiology. Eur Cytakine Netw	
645		11 510-511 (2000)	
646	5.	Martinez, F.O., Sica, A., Mantovani, A. & Locati, M. Macrophage activation and	
647	0.	polarization. <i>Front Biosci</i> 13 , 453-461 (2008).	
648	6.	Korn, T., Bettelli, E., Oukka, M. & Kuchroo, V.K. IL-17 and T _H 17 Cells. Annu Rev	
649		Immunol 27 , 485-517 (2009).	
650	7.	Tamura, T. et al. IFN regulatory factor-4 and -8 govern dendritic cell subset	
651		development and their functional diversity. J Immunol 174 , 2573-2581 (2005).	
652	8.	Porta, C. et al. Tolerance and M2 (alternative) macrophage polarization are	
653		related processes orchestrated by p50 nuclear factor kappaB. Proc Natl Acad Sci	
654	_	<i>U S A</i> 106 , 14978-14983 (2009).	
655	9.	Ruffell, D. <i>et al.</i> A CREB-C/EBPbeta cascade induces M2 macrophage-specific	
656		gene expression and promotes muscle injury repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A	
03/ 659	10	106, 1/4/5-1/480 (2009). Setab T, et al. The Imid2 Infl. axis regulates M2 measureheas relarization and	
650	10.	Saton, T. <i>et al.</i> The Jinju3-1114 axis regulates M2 macrophage polarization and host responses against holminth infection. <i>Nat Immunol</i> 11 , 026,044 (2010)	
660	11	Ouvang X at al Cooperation between MyD88 and TPLE nathways in TLP synergy	
661	11.	via IRE5 activation <i>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</i> 354 1045-1051 (2007)	
662	12	Takaoka A <i>et al.</i> Integral role of IRE-5 in the gene induction programme	
663		activated by Toll-like receptors. <i>Nature</i> 434 , 243-249 (2005).	
664	13.	Mancl, M.E. et al. Two discrete promoters regulate the alternatively spliced	
665		human interferon regulatory factor-5 isoforms. Multiple isoforms with distinct cell	
666		type-specific expression, localization, regulation, and function. J Biol Chem 280,	
667		21078-21090 (2005).	
668	14.	Dideberg, V. et al. An insertion-deletion polymorphism in the interferon	
669		regulatory Factor 5 (IRF5) gene confers risk of inflammatory bowel diseases. Hum	
670		<i>Mol Genet</i> 16 , 3008-3016 (2007).	
6/1	15.	Dieguez-Gonzalez, R. <i>et al.</i> Association of interferon regulatory factor 5	
672		napiotypes, similar to that found in systemic lupus erythematosus, in a large	
674			
675	16	(2000). Graham P.P. <i>et al.</i> A common hanlotype of interferon regulatory factor 5 (IDE5)	
676	10.	regulates splicing and expression and is associated with increased risk of systemic	
677		lupus ervthematosus <i>Nat Genet</i> 38 , 550-555 (2006)	
678	17.	Kristiansdottir, G. <i>et al.</i> Interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRE5) gene variants are	
679		associated with multiple sclerosis in three distinct populations. J Med Genet 45,	
680		362-369 (2008).	
681	18.	Miceli-Richard, C. et al. Association of an IRF5 gene functional polymorphism with	
682		Sjogren's syndrome. Arthritis Rheum 56, 3989-3994 (2007).	
683	19.	Fleetwood, A.J., Lawrence, T., Hamilton, J.A. & Cook, A.D. Granulocyte-	
684		macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF) and macrophage CSF-dependent	
685		macrophage phenotypes display differences in cytokine profiles and transcription	
686		tactor activities: implications for CSF blockade in inflammation. <i>J Immunol</i> 178 ,	
08/	20	5245-5252 (2007).	
000 680	20.	Hoeve, M.A. et al. Divergent effects of IL-12 and IL-23 on the production of IL-17	

by human T cells. Eur J Immunol 36, 661-670 (2006).

- 690 21. Verreck, F.A., de Boer, T., Langenberg, D.M., van der Zanden, L. & Ottenhoff, 691 T.H. Phenotypic and functional profiling of human proinflammatory type-1 and 692 anti-inflammatory type-2 macrophages in response to microbial antigens and 693 IFN-gamma- and CD40L-mediated costimulation. J Leukoc Biol 79, 285-293 694 (2006).695 22. Krausgruber, T. et al. IRF5 is required for late-phase TNF secretion by human 696 dendritic cells. Blood 115, 4421-4430 (2010). 697 23. Hammer, M. et al. Dual specificity phosphatase 1 (DUSP1) regulates a subset of 698 LPS-induced genes and protects mice from lethal endotoxin shock. J Exp Med 699 203, 15-20 (2006). 700 24. Fleetwood, A.J., Dinh, H., Cook, A.D., Hertzog, P.J. & Hamilton, J.A. GM-CSF- and 701 M-CSF-dependent macrophage phenotypes display differential dependence on 702 type I interferon signaling. J Leukoc Biol 86, 411-421 (2009). 703 25. Ahern, P.P. et al. Interleukin-23 drives intestinal inflammation through direct 704 activity on T cells. Immunity 33, 279-288 (2010). 705 Nistala, K. et al. $T_{H}17$ plasticity in human autoimmune arthritis is driven by the 26. 706 inflammatory environment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 14751-14756 (2010). 707 27. Martinez, F.O., Gordon, S., Locati, M. & Mantovani, A. Transcriptional profiling of 708 the human monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and polarization: new 709 molecules and patterns of gene expression. J Immunol 177, 7303-7311 (2006). 710 28. Ziegler-Heitbrock, L. et al. IFN-alpha induces the human IL-10 gene by recruiting 711 both IFN regulatory factor 1 and Stat3. J Immunol 171, 285-290 (2003). 712 29. Hamilton, J.A. Colony-stimulating factors in inflammation and autoimmunity. Nat 713 Rev Immunol 8, 533-544 (2008). 714 30. Medzhitov, R. & Horng, T. Transcriptional control of the inflammatory response. 715 Nat Rev Immunol 9, 692-703 (2009). 716 31. Ghisletti, S. et al. Identification and Characterization of Enhancers Controlling the 717 Inflammatory Gene Expression Program in Macrophages. *Immunity* (2010). 718 32. Negishi, H. et al. Negative regulation of Toll-like-receptor signaling by IRF-4. Proc 719 Natl Acad Sci U S A 102, 15989-15994 (2005). 720 33. El Chartouni, C., Schwarzfischer, L. & Rehli, M. Interleukin-4 induced interferon 721 regulatory factor (Irf) 4 participates in the regulation of alternative macrophage 722 priming. Immunobiology 215, 821-825 (2010). 723 34. Sanjabi, S., Hoffmann, A., Liou, H.C., Baltimore, D. & Smale, S.T. Selective 724 requirement for c-Rel during IL-12 P40 gene induction in macrophages. Proc Natl 725 Acad Sci U S A 97, 12705-12710 (2000). 726 35. Mise-Omata, S. et al. A proximal kappaB site in the IL-23 p19 promoter is 727 responsible for ReIA- and c-ReI-dependent transcription. J Immunol 179, 6596-728 6603 (2007). 729 Saraiva, M. & O'Garra, A. The regulation of IL-10 production by immune cells. Nat 36. 730 *Rev Immunol* **10**, 170-181 (2010). 731 Fiorentino, D.F., Zlotnik, A., Mosmann, T.R., Howard, M. & O'Garra, A. IL-10 37. 732 inhibits cytokine production by activated macrophages. J Immunol 147, 3815-733 3822 (1991). 734 38. Wing, K. & Sakaguchi, S. Regulatory T cells exert checks and balances on self 735 tolerance and autoimmunity. Nat Immunol 11, 7-13 (2010). 736 39. Mosser, D.M. & Zhang, X. Interleukin-10: new perspectives on an old cytokine. 737 Immunol Rev 226, 205-218 (2008). 738 40. Schneemann, M. & Schoeden, G. Macrophage biology and immunology: man is 739 not a mouse. J Leukoc Biol 81, 579; discussion 580 (2007). 740 41. Ponting, C.P. The functional repertoires of metazoan genomes. Nat Rev Genet 9, 741 689-698 (2008). 742 42. Oppmann, B. et al. Novel p19 protein engages IL-12p40 to form a cytokine, IL-743 23, with biological activities similar as well as distinct from IL-12. Immunity 13, 744 715-725 (2000). 745 43.
- Romagnani, S., Maggi, E., Liotta, F., Cosmi, L. & Annunziato, F. Properties and origin of human T_H17 cells. *Mol Immunol* 47, 3-7 (2009).

747	44.	Murphy, C.A. et al. Divergent pro- and antiinflammatory roles for IL-23 and IL-12
748		in joint autoimmune inflammation. J Exp Med 198, 1951-1957 (2003).
749	45.	Yen, D. et al. IL-23 is essential for T cell-mediated colitis and promotes
750		inflammation via IL-17 and IL-6. J Clin Invest 116 , 1310-1316 (2006).
751	46.	Shen, H. et al. Gender-dependent expression of murine Irf5 gene: implications for
752		sex bias in autoimmunity. J Mol Cell Biol 2, 284-290 (2010).
753	47.	Campbell, I.K. et al. Protection from collagen-induced arthritis in granulocyte-
754		macrophage colony-stimulating factor-deficient mice. <i>J Immunol</i> 161 , 3639-3644
755		(1998).
756	48.	Cook, A.D., Braine, E.L., Campbell, I.K., Rich, M.J. & Hamilton, J.A. Blockade of
757		collagen-induced arthritis post-onset by antibody to granulocyte-macrophage
758		colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF): requirement for GM-CSF in the effector
759		phase of disease. Arthritis Res 3, 293-298 (2001).
760	49.	Lacaze, P. et al. Combined genome-wide expression profiling and targeted RNA
761		interference in primary mouse macrophages reveals perturbation of
762		transcriptional networks associated with interferon signalling. BMC Genomics 10,
763		372 (2009).
764	50.	Liu, J., Cao, S., Herman, L.M. & Ma, X. Differential regulation of interleukin (IL)-
765		12 p35 and p40 gene expression and interferon (IFN)-gamma-primed IL-12
766		production by IFN regulatory factor 1. <i>J Exp Med</i> 198 , 1265-1276 (2003).
767		
768		
769		





























Figure 3















IRF5 🔜 Polli 🗔 IgG



b

а









**







Figure 7