

Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for the serodiagnosis of infection

F. Montagnani, F. Paolis, E. Beghetto, N. Gargano

▶ To cite this version:

F. Montagnani, F. Paolis, E. Beghetto, N. Gargano. Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for the serodiagnosis of infection. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2010, 29 (11), pp.1377-1386. 10.1007/s10096-010-1010-3. hal-00608811

HAL Id: hal-00608811

https://hal.science/hal-00608811

Submitted on 15 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Editorial Manager(tm) for European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious

Diseases

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: EJCMID-D-10-00080R1

Title: Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection

Article Type: Article

Keywords: Mycoplasma pneumoniae; diagnosis; recombinant antigens; enzyme-linked immunosorbent

assay; ELISA

Corresponding Author: Dr. Francesca Montagnani, M.D., Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: Clinica di Malattie Infettive, Università di Siena

First Author: Francesca Montagnani, M.D, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Francesca Montagnani, M.D, Ph.D.; Francesca De Paolis; Elisa Beghetto; Nicola Gargano

Abstract: Purpose. We have evaluated the diagnostic utility of three antigenic regions of the Mycoplasma pneumoniae P1, P30, and MNP456 gene products in order to replace the soluble, whole-cell bacterial extract in serological assays. Antigenic regions, being previously identified B cell epitopes, were used individually or assembled in a recombinant chimeric antigen by genetic engineering. Methods. Paired serum samples from 47 patients with M. pneumoniae infection and from 39 subjects with a clinical picture of atypical pneumonia but without a defined diagnosis of M. pneumoniae infection, were included. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against epitopes carried by recombinant antigens were measured by performing recombinant enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (Rec-ELISA's). Rec-ELISA's results were compared to those obtained by a commercial assay using the whole-cell Mycoplasma antigen.

Results. Our study demonstrates that all IgG Rec-ELISA's using recombinant antigens have better sensitivity with respect to the commercial assay. Furthermore, we show that the use of chimeric antigens improve the performance of the assays.

Conclusions. The use of recombinant antigens is effective in distinguishing M. pneumoniae-infected from uninfected individuals and shows that immunoassays based on recombinant antigens could provide the basis for standardized commercial tests for the serodiagnosis of M. pneumoniae diseases.

Response to Reviewers: RE: Ms. No. EJCMID-D-10-00080

"Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection"

Dear Prof. Van Belkum,

Thank you very much for the comments to our paper. The manuscript has now been revised in accordance with the suggestions of the reviewers. We complied with all points raised and we believe that our manuscript is now more clear and complete.

Best regards, Francesca Montagnani

REVIEWER #2

1) Abstract lines 5-12. Sentence should be: We have evaluated,.....gene products in order to replace tjhe soluble, whole -cell bacterial extract in serological assays. Antigenic regions, being previously identified B cell epitopes, were used individually or assembled in a recombinant chimeric antigen by genetic engineering.

The sentence has been changed in accordance to reviewer's suggestion.

2) Line 20. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies. Which serum dilutions used in the different tests including the CF test? The sensitivity of the different recombinants tests and the commercial ELISA could have been evaluated by testing a serial dilution of well-known positive sera. I can't find this in the paper. It would give us an objective idea on the sensitivity of those ELISA's.

The serum dilutions used in the different tests, including the CF test, has been indicated in the methods section. To compare the sensitivity of the different ELISA's (recombinants versus commercial assay), the dilution employed by the commercial test (1:100 - information added in the methods section) has been used as the reference standard.

3) What about cross-reactivity against other respiratory pathogens. How sure are the authors on the specificity of the test. Have they tested sera of patients infected with other respiratory pathogens or even of patients infected with other non-respiratory mycosplasma species?

We agree with the reviewer observation. For this reason serum samples from patients infected with S. pneumoniae have been used as negative controls and none of them did react with the recombinant antigens. Unfortunately, blood samples from patients with other non-respiratory mycoplasma species were not available at the time of the study.

4) Abstract line 24-25: better: (Rec-ELISA's). Rec-ELISA results were compared to,....assay using the whole

According to reviewer's suggestion, the term "Rec-ELISAs" has been changed in "Rec-ELISA's" throughout the manuscript.

5) Results in the abstract; have performance characteristics significantly,....this is vague, please talk about sensitivity and specificity of the tests rather than about performance characteristics

The sentence has been changed in: "Our study demonstrates that all IgG Rec-ELISA's using recombinant antigens have better sensitivity with respect to the commercial assay."

6) Abstract: line 31-32, omit the word 'corresponding'; line 44 and throughout the text, use recombinant antigens rather than recombinant products. Introduction: line 20 pag 4, recombinant 'antigens' can not be challenged.

The text has been changed in accordance with the suggestions and the term "challenged" has been replaced with "tested".

Please mention already in the intro why those regions were chosen (refer to B cell epitopes). How was the B cell epitope mapping performed? Did the authors take in account the recently described P1 variants?

To explain the choice of B cell epitopes, the following sentences have been included at the end of the Introduction section: "We have previously shown the identification and characterization of immunodominant B-cell epitopes of M. pneumoniae antigens using the phage-display technology (Beghetto et al., 2009). We show here the usefulness of recombinant proteins containing epitopes of the P1, P30 and MPN456 antigens in M. pneumoniae serodiagnosis."

With regards to the recently described P1 variants, it should be noted that the B cell epitope employed in our work (residues 1307-1340) is located in a different region with respect to the variant-specific antigen regions described by Dumke and collaborators (Dumke et al., Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 2008, 298:483-491).

Materials and Methods: Line 5 -37, cloning of the chimeric antigen EC12. Location of the antigenic regions used: please refer to figure 1. PCR programs used? DNA extraction method? PCR reaction mixture? Please provide more details on pGEX-P30. Where does is come from? Cloning method? Briefly.

As requested by the reviewer, more details about chimeric antigen cloning have been added in the Methods section.

Pag 5 Line 46. bacterial cells. Which ones were used?

Pag 5 line 52. Should be: Luria Bertani medium and gene expression was induced using,..... Bacteria were centrifuged,....

The bacterial strain (E. coli AD202), the LB medium and other details have been specified.

Pag 6 line 8. Purification of proteins? Checked for remaining LPS as antibodies against LPS of other bacteria could cross react in the ELISA's.

It should be noted that the absence of immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens with negative control sera (collected from subjects with S. pneumoniae infection) demonstrates that bacterial contaminants, including LPS, were not present in purified proteins.

Pag 6 Serum samples. Groups are rather small.

We disagree with reviewer's observation since in our retrospective analysis most of the patients that meet selection criteria (hospitalized in one Centre during years 1999-2005, clinical symptoms compatible with atypical pneumonia, paired serum samples available) were included.

Group B on page 6 line 56. pneumonia group with diagnosis of M. pneumoniae negative with available methods or diagnosis not performed. Should you not exclude the ones where the diagnosis was not performed as they could be positive?

We wish to thank reviewer #2 for this observation. However, we would like to highlight that this analysis has been performed to better compare the sensitivity of Rec-ELISA's versus the whole bacterial extract (the commercial ELISA) and other diagnostic tools. Thus, we decided to include also the ones where the diagnosis was not performed.

Pag 13 line 5. Gold standard not available. What about Western blotting although less sensitive often regarded as serological gold standard?

To avoid any debate about ELISA and Western blotting, the sentence of gold-standard in page 13 has been deleted in the revised manuscript.

Line 15 pag 13. By the commercial ELISA instead of with

Pag 13, line 44-50. Grammatically incorrect Pag 13 line 57: use as compared to instead of 'than'

The terms and the grammatical error have been corrected in accordance with the suggestions.

REVIEWER #3

Firstly, the control group was small paediatric patients.

It should be noted that the control group was chosen accordingly to the mean age of other groups. Since both groups A and B were mainly composed of paediatric patients, we thought that the best control group should be composed by paediatric patients. As a consequence, every group was comparable to each other.

Secondly, atypical CAP group was ill-defined. Thirdly, those with supposed M. pneumoniae CAP had elevated IgG titers, but IgM (acute) cold agglutinin titers not included. Although it was not the focus of their report, their conclusion would be more clinically relevant if they included data on key clinical findings of M. pneumoniae CAP i.e., loose stools, temp of less than 102 F wihout relative bradycardia, sore throat, etc. not included.

The retrospective nature of the study makes it difficult to meet the suggestions by reviewer #3. In fact, cold agglutinin titers are not routinely required by hospital clinicians (at least in Italian hospitals). Also, cold agglutinin titers were not assessed due to the low sensitivity and specificity of such analysis when frozen serum samples need to be employed.

We agree that specific key clinical findings were not available for all patients. However, since the focus of our report was the development of laboratory diagnostic tools, it seemed more suitable to screen patients by ill-definition and then to group them by laboratory data (serology and/or PCR). Thus, due to the main scope of our work (that is the evaluation of recombinant antigen immunoassays in order to replace the soluble, whole-cell bacterial extract in serological assays), a comparison of laboratory techniques seemed enough, in our opinion, to reach a valid conclusion.

RE: Ms. No. EJCMID-D-10-00080

"Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection"

Dear Prof. Van Belkum,

Thank you very much for the comments to our paper. The manuscript has now been revised in accordance with the suggestions of the reviewers. We complied with all points raised and we believe that our manuscript is now more clear and complete.

Best regards, Francesca Montagnani

.....

REVIEWER #2

1) Abstract lines 5-12. Sentence should be: We have evaluated,.....gene products in order to replace tiple soluble, whole -cell bacterial extract in serological assays. Antigenic regions, being previously identified B cell epitopes, were used individually or assembled in a recombinant chimeric antigen by genetic engineering.

The sentence has been changed in accordance to reviewer's suggestion.

2) Line 20. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies. Which serum dilutions used in the different tests including the CF test? The sensitivity of the different recombinants tests and the commercial ELISA could have been evaluated by testing a serial dilution of well-known positive sera. I can't find this in the paper. It would give us an objective idea on the sensitivity of those ELISA's.

The serum dilutions used in the different tests, including the CF test, has been indicated in the methods section. To compare the sensitivity of the different ELISA's (recombinants versus commercial assay), the dilution employed by the commercial test (1:100 - information added in the methods section) has been used as the reference standard.

3) What about cross-reactivity against other respiratory pathogens. How sure are the authors on the specificity of the test. Have they tested sera of patients infected with other respiratory pathogens or even of patients infected with other non-respiratory mycosplasma species?

We agree with the reviewer observation. For this reason serum samples from patients infected with *S. pneumoniae* have been used as negative controls and none of them did react with the recombinant antigens. Unfortunately, blood samples from patients with other non-respiratory *mycoplasma* species were not available at the time of the study.

4) Abstract line 24-25: better: (Rec-ELISA's). Rec-ELISA results were compared to,....assay using the whole

According to reviewer's suggestion, the term "Rec-ELISAs" has been changed in "Rec-ELISA's" throughout the manuscript.

5) Results in the abstract; have performance characteristics significantly,....this is vague, please talk about sensitivity and specificity of the tests rather than about performance characteristics

The sentence has been changed in: "Our study demonstrates that all IgG Rec-ELISA's using recombinant antigens have better sensitivity with respect to the commercial assay."

6) Abstract: line 31-32, omit the word 'corresponding'; line 44 and throughout the text, use recombinant antigens rather than recombinant products. Introduction: line 20 pag 4, recombinant 'antigens' can not be challenged.

The text has been changed in accordance with the suggestions and the term "challenged" has been replaced with "tested".

Please mention already in the intro why those regions were chosen (refer to B cell epitopes). How was the B cell epitope mapping performed? Did the authors take in account the recently described P1 variants?

To explain the choice of B cell epitopes, the following sentences have been included at the end of the Introduction section: "We have previously shown the identification and characterization of immunodominant B-cell epitopes of *M. pneumoniae* antigens using the phage-display technology (Beghetto et al., 2009). We show here the usefulness of recombinant proteins containing epitopes of the P1, P30 and MPN456 antigens in *M. pneumoniae* serodiagnosis."

With regards to the recently described P1 variants, it should be noted that the B cell epitope employed in our work (residues 1307-1340) is located in a different region with respect to the variant-specific antigen regions described by Dumke and collaborators (Dumke et al., Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 2008, 298:483-491).

Materials and Methods: Line 5-37, cloning of the chimeric antigen EC12. Location of the antigenic regions used: please refer to figure 1. PCR programs used? DNA extraction method? PCR reaction mixture? Please provide more details on pGEX-P30. Where does is come from? Cloning method? Briefly.

As requested by the reviewer, more details about chimeric antigen cloning have been added in the Methods section.

Pag 5 Line 46. bacterial cells. Which ones were used?

Pag 5 line 52. Should be: Luria Bertani medium and gene expression was induced using,..... Bacteria were centrifuged,....

The bacterial strain (E. coli AD202), the LB medium and other details have been specified.

Pag 6 line 8. Purification of proteins? Checked for remaining LPS as antibodies against LPS of other bacteria could cross react in the ELISA's.

It should be noted that the absence of immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens with negative control sera (collected from subjects with *S. pneumoniae* infection) demonstrates that bacterial contaminants, including LPS, were not present in purified proteins.

Pag 6 Serum samples. Groups are rather small.

We disagree with reviewer's observation since in our retrospective analysis most of the patients that meet selection criteria (hospitalized in one Centre during years 1999-2005, clinical symptoms compatible with atypical pneumonia, paired serum samples available) were included.

Group B on page 6 line 56. pneumonia group with diagnosis of M. pneumoniae negative with available methods or diagnosis not performed. Should you not exclude the ones where the diagnosis was not performed as they could be positive?

We wish to thank reviewer #2 for this observation. However, we would like to highlight that this analysis has been performed to better compare the sensitivity of Rec-ELISA's versus the whole

bacterial extract (the commercial ELISA) and other diagnostic tools. Thus, we decided to include also the ones where the diagnosis was not performed.

Pag 13 line 5. Gold standard not available. What about Western blotting although less sensitive often regarded as serological gold standard?

To avoid any debate about ELISA and Western blotting, the sentence of gold-standard in page 13 has been deleted in the revised manuscript.

Line 15 pag 13. By the commercial ELISA instead of with

Pag 13, line 44-50. Grammatically incorrect

Pag 13 line 57: use as compared to instead of 'than'

The terms and the grammatical error have been corrected in accordance with the suggestions.

REVIEWER #3

Firstly, the control group was small paediatric patients.

It should be noted that the control group was chosen accordingly to the mean age of other groups. Since both groups A and B were mainly composed of paediatric patients, we thought that the best control group should be composed by paediatric patients. As a consequence, every group was comparable to each other.

Secondly, atypical CAP group was ill-defined. Thirdly, those with supposed M. pneumoniae CAP had elevated IgG titers, but IgM (acute) cold agglutinin titers not included. Although it was not the focus of their report, their conclusion would be more clinically relevant if they included data on key clinical findings of M. pneumoniae CAP i.e., loose stools, temp of less than 102 F wihout relative bradycardia, sore throat, etc. not included.

The retrospective nature of the study makes it difficult to meet the suggestions by reviewer #3. In fact, cold agglutinin titers are not routinely required by hospital clinicians (at least in Italian hospitals). Also, cold agglutinin titers were not assessed due to the low sensitivity and specificity of such analysis when frozen serum samples need to be employed.

We agree that specific key clinical findings were not available for all patients. However, since the focus of our report was the development of laboratory diagnostic tools, it seemed more suitable to screen patients by ill-definition and then to group them by laboratory data (serology and/or PCR). Thus, due to the main scope of our work (that is the evaluation of recombinant antigen immunoassays in order to replace the soluble, whole-cell bacterial extract in serological assays), a comparison of laboratory techniques seemed enough, in our opinion, to reach a valid conclusion.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

TITLE: Use of recombinant chimeric antigens for serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma

pneumoniae infection

Francesca Montagnani, Francesca De Paolis, Elisa Beghetto, Nicola Gargano

F. Montagnani (Corresponding author)

Clinic of Infectious Diseases,

University of Siena,

Viale Bracci 16,

53100 - Siena, Italy

Phone: +39 0577 586562- Fax: +39 0577 233462

e-mail: montagnani2@unisi.it

F. De Paolis, E. Beghetto, N. Gargano

Kenton laboratories, Kenton S.r.l., Rome, Italy

Present affiliation: Sigma-tau Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite s.p.a.,

Via Pontina Km 30.400,

00040 - Rome, Italy

ABSTRACT

Purpose. We have evaluated the diagnostic utility of three antigenic regions of the *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* P1, P30, and MNP456 gene products, used individually or further assembled in a recombinant chimeric antigen by genetic engineering, in order to replace the soluble, whole-cell bacterial extract in serological assays. Antigenic regions, being previously identified B cell epitopes, were used individually or assembled in a recombinant chimeric antigen by genetic engineering.

Methods. Paired serum samples from 47 patients with *M. pneumoniae* infection and from 39 subjects with a clinical picture of atypical pneumonia but without a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection, were included. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against epitopes carried by recombinant antigens were measured by performing recombinant enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (Rec ELISAsRec-ELISA's). Rec ELISAsRec-ELISA's results were, and compared to results those obtained by a commercial assay with using the whole-cell *Mycoplasma* antigen.

Results. Our study demonstrates that all IgG Rec-ELISA's using recombinant antigens have better sensitivity with respect to the commercial assay. Our study demonstrates that all IgG Rec-ELISAs using recombinant antigens have performance characteristics sensitivity significantly better than those of the corresponding commercial assay. Furthermore, we show that the use of chimeric antigens improve the performance of the assays.

Conclusions. The use of recombinant antigens is effective in distinguishing *M. pneumoniae*-infected from uninfected individuals and shows that immunoassays based on recombinant products antigens could provide the basis for standardized commercial tests for the serodiagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* diseases.

Keywords: *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, diagnosis, recombinant antigens, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ELISA

INTRODUCTION

M. pneumoniae is the leading cause of atypical pneumonia in children and young adults; it is responsible for 10-20% of cases of community acquired pneumonia, giving rise to extrapulmonary complications in 25% of infected patients[1-3].

Infected individuals show flu-like symptoms, but characteristically there is an insidious onset with chronic recovery [4,2]. Misdiagnosis and subsequent inappropriate antibiotic treatment pose a serious threat to infected individuals [5,6]. Although clinicians may suspect infections during epidemics, an aetiological diagnosis cannot be formulated on the basis of clinical appearance, since other bacterial and viral infections often share symptoms and clinical features [7,2].

Diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection can be established by isolating bacteria or detecting *mycoplasma*-specific DNA sequences and immunoglobulins in body fluids and sera from infected individuals, respectively. Serological methods play a predominant role in routine clinical practice, mainly due to the ease of specimen collection and the widespread availability of serologic tests [8].

Host antibody response to *M. pneumoniae* infection can be detected after 1 week of illness, but it generally peaks three to six weeks after acute infection, followed by a gradual decay of antibody production over months to years [1,9]. As a result of the long incubation period, the antibody response is often evident by the time symptoms appear. A simultaneous testing for both IgG and IgM in paired serum sample collected 2 to 3 weeks apart is usually required to achieve a proper diagnosis.

Serodiagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection can be performed by use of complement fixation tests (CF), indirect immunofluorescent assays (IFA) and enzyme-linked immunoassays (EIA) to detect the presence of the various classes of anti-*Mycoplasma*

immunoglobulins (IgG, IgM) [9]. All of the commercially available assays use the whole *M. pneumoniae* soluble extract or sub-cellular fractions as the antigen. However, these assays have limited sensitivity and specificity since cross-reactions of the antigen with antibodies to other *Mycoplasma* species and other bacterial pathogens may occur [1]. Also, the available assays may vary in their ability to detect serum immunoglobulins [10,11], due to the lack of a purified standardized antigen or standard methods for preparing the antigen.

The aim of this study was to improve the performance of enzyme-linked immunoassays for the serodiagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection using recombinant productsantigens, which were challengedehallengetested with sera from a cohort of patients with respiratory tract diseases clinically compatible with "atypical" bacterial aetiology. We have previously shown the identification and characterization of immunodominant B-cell epitopes of *M. pneumoniae* antigens using the phage-display technology [12]. We show here the usefulness of recombinant proteins containing epitopes of the P1, P30 and MPN456 antigens in *M. pneumoniae* serodiagnosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning the chimeric antigen EC12

The DNA coding for the antigenic regions of *M. pneumoniae* P1 (residues 1307-1340), P30 (residues 194-241) and MPN456 (residues 765-846) open reading frames [12] were used for the construction of the chimeric antigen EC12. DNA fragments of P1 and MPN456 were amplified by PCR from *M. pneumoniae* DNA, FH strain (DNA commercially available and purchased from Abionline, USA), using specific oligonucleotides as follows:

P1-for, 5'-GGGGATCCTTCACATTGGCAGTGTGCTTAG-3';

P1-rev, 5'-AGGCTACCGCCACCAGAACTATTCCCACCACCTCCA-3';

MPN456-for 5'-GTTCTGGTGGCGGTAGCCTGTTAGCTGCGAGTCAAAA-3';

MPN456-rev 5'-ACTAGTGCTACCGCCACCAGATGCTTTAGCATCACCAAAGTTC-3'.

PCR conditions were 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C and 60 sec at 72°C for 30 cycles. PCR products of P1 and MPN456 fragments were purified, mixed and then used as templates for DNA amplification using oligonucleotides P1-for and MPN456-rev. The PCR protocol was 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C and 60 sec at 72°C for 25 cycles. The resulting DNA was purified by standard methods and cloned into the plasmid pGEX-P30 [12], which containeding the antigenic region of the P30 protein-antigen (amino acids 194-241) fused at the N-terminus of the GST protein[12], to generate the plasmid pGEX-EC12 (schematically shown in Fig. 1).

Purification of recombinant antigens

Four *M. pneumoniae* antigens were used: P1, P30, MPN456 [13] and EC12. Recombinant antigens were expressed in bacterial cells as fusion proteins with glutathione Stransferase (GST) and purified by affinity chromatography as described previously [13].

Briefly, recombinant *Escherichia coli* strain AD202 were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) medium and gene expression was induced with using isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Bacteria were, centrifuged at 2000 x g for 30 min at 4° C₅ and then suspended in STE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl) containing 100 µg mL⁻¹ of lysozyme and protease inhibitors (Boehringer, Germany). The mixture was sonicated, and Triton X-100 was added to a final concentration of 1%. After centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 30 min at 4° C, the supernatant was incubated with glutathione-Sepharose beads (BD Biosciences, USA), and the GST-fusion proteins were eluted following the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -20°C.

The recombinant antigens were efficiently expressed and purified in large amounts from the cytoplasm of bacterial cells, with a <u>purity >90% and a yield</u> of purified proteins of 5-15 mg per liter of bacterial cultures.

Serum samples

One hundred eighty-four serum samples collected from 98 patients hospitalized for pulmonary diseases at the Clinic of Infectious Diseases of Siena University, Italy, during years 1999 to 2005, were used. A clinical picture compatible with atypical pneumonia [2] was defined by the presence of the following features: insidious onset, malaise, fever, cough ≥ 1 week; signs of interstitial pneumonia \pm wheezing; extra-pulmonary manifestations compatible with Mycoplasma infection (e.g. arthralgia, skin eruption); radiological findings suggestive of interstitial involvement \pm minimal pleuritis; lack of responsiveness to previous beta-lactams therapy.

Accordingly to the results obtained by clinical and diagnostic examinations, serum samples were divided into three groups as follows:

Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

- group A (*n*=94), was composed of samples from 42 paediatric patients (males, 52.4%; median age, 10 years; interquartile range [IQR], 8-12) and 5 adults (males, 20%; median age, 21 years; IQR, 20-28) who had a clinical picture compatible with atypical pneumonia and a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection by the CF test and/or PCR assays on pharyngeal swab or sputum;
- group B (*n*=78), was composed of samples from 35 paediatric patients (males, 60%; median age 4 years, IQR 3-6) and 4 adults (males, 25%; median age, 24 years; IQR, 22-30), who had a clinical picture compatible with atypical pneumonia, but with: (i) a *M. pneumoniae* infection not previously defined (available methods with negative results or not performed); (ii) a direct or indirect diagnostic investigation for *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and respiratory viruses with negative results; (iii) no evidence of infection by other pulmonary bacterial pathogens (e.g. *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*);
- group C (n=12), was composed of control sera from 12 paediatric patients affected by
 Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia and that resulted negative for M. pneumoniae-specific serology and PCR analysis.

Two sera were collected from each patient of groups (A) and (B); the first sample at the time of recovery and the second after dismissing (usually 2-3 weeks after hospitalization).

Whole-cell Mycoplasma antigen immunoassays

Analysis of *Mycoplasma*-specific IgG antibodies were done by the whole-cell, *Mycoplasma* antigen assay ELISA-IgG (IBL Hamburg, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions (e.g. sera diluted 1:100). All serum samples were analyzed in a blinded fashion.

Recombinant protein enzyme immunoassays (Rec-ELISA)

Maxisorb plates (Nunc) were adsorbed with recombinant proteins at a concentration of 10 μg mL⁻¹ in 50 mM NaHCO₃, pH 9.6. Each protein (GST-P1, GST-P30, GST-MPN456 and GST-EC12) was coated separately onto individual microtiter wells. After incubation overnight at 4°C, plates were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk and 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS (blocking buffer) and subsequently incubated for 1 h at 37°C with serum samples diluted 1:100 in blocking buffer. The plates were washed with 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS, and antihuman IgG alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to each well. Finally, incubating plates with the chromogenic substrate *p*-nitrophenylphosphate (pNPP; Sigma-Aldrich) revealed the enzymatic activity. The results were recorded as the difference between the absorbance (optical density, OD) at 405 nm and that at 620 nm, as detected by an automated ELISA reader (Labsystem Multiskan, Finland).

For each serum, the assay was done in duplicate and average values were calculated. For every GST-fusion product, the cut-off value was determined as the mean plus three times the standard deviation of the absorbance readings obtained from the *Mycoplasma*-negative sera (group C).

Statistical analysis

The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of the enzymelinked immunosorbent assays are given. Proportions were compared by the chi-square test or the Fisher exact test (two tailed), with a p value <0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In a retrospective study, 184 sera from 98 patients hospitalized for pneumonia were analyzed. Paired sera from 86 cases of atypical pneumonia were available and were further divided into two groups, as follows: group (A), from 47 subjects with a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection, group (B), from 39 subjects without a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection but with a clinical picture compatible with atypical pneumonia. Twelve sera from 12 patients affected by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* pneumonia with negative results for *M. pneumoniae*-specific serology and PCR analysis were also assayed as negative controls (group C).

To set up an immunoassay based on recombinant productsantigens, three regions of the *M. pneumoniae* P1, P30 and MPN456 gene products, which had been shown previously to contain B-cell epitopes [12], were chosen for the investigation. The antigen fragments were produced in *E. coli* as GST fusion products (namely GST-P1, GST-P30 and GST-MPN456). Also, the antigenic regions of P1, P30 and MPN456 proteins were assembled to give the chimeric antigen GST-EC12 (Figure 1).

The immunoreactivity of GST-P1, GST-P30, GST-MPN456 and GST-EC12 with IgG antibodies in sera from patients with a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection (group A) was determined. Each of the antigens was coated separately in individual wells and tested in the IgG Ree ELISAsRec-ELISA's with paired sera from the 47 subjects of group A (Table 1). Overall, the proportion of IgG-reactive sera were 54%, 48%, 25% and 57% by use of recombinant GST-P1, GST-P30, GST-MPN456 and GST-EC12 antigens, respectively. None of the *Mycoplasma*-negative sera reacted with the GST fusion products. GST-MPN456, GST-P1, GST-P30 and GST-EC12 specifically reacted with IgG antibodies present in at least one of the paired sera from 40%, 87%, 81% and 94% of infected patients, respectively. Also, 40

out of 47 patients (85%) developed specific IgG antibodies against at least two distinct *M. pneumoniae* antigens along hospitalization.

Sera from group A patients were also analyzed with a commercial IgG ELISA assay, which employs the whole cell extract of *M. pneumoniae* as the antigen (Table 1). A low proportion of IgG-positive sera was observed (19%), allowing to obtain a correct diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection in only 17 out of 47 infected patients (36.2%). Also, only 12 out of 59 serum samples having specific anti-*Mycoplasma* antibodies, as assessed by the CF test, were found to be positive with the commercial ELISA (patients A1-A39), allowing a positive diagnosis in only 12 out of 39 subjects (31%).

The performance of IgG assays employing the whole cell extract or recombinant antigens for the serological diagnosis of M. pneumoniae infection is summarized in Table 2. All of the assays based on recombinant antigens showed a significantly better performance when compared to the commercial test. In particular, the assay based on the chimeric antigen EC12 revealed a significantly higher sensitivity with respect to the commercial assay (93.6% versus 36.2 %, respectively; p < 0.001).

Next, the immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens was assessed with IgG antibodies in sera from patient with a clinical picture of atypical pneumonia but without a defined diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection (group B), and the results of Rec ELISAsRec-ELISA's were compared with those obtained using the commercial assay. Detailed results of all IgG assays performed with paired sera collected from the 39 patients of group B are shown in Table 3. Overall, 23 out of 78 sera specifically reacted with at least one recombinant antigen, allowing serological diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection in 13 patients (33%). In particular, a constant IgG titre towards GST-EC12 and other recombinant antigens was revealed in 5 patients (B2, B28-B31), while an increase of IgG titre towards single antigens and/or EC12 was revealed in 3 patients (B32-B34) with a negative diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection

on the basis of the CF assay and in 5 patients (B35-B39) who were not checked for *M. pneumoniae* infection. By contrast, none of the sera displayed a positive result when the commercial assay was used.

DISCUSSION

M. pneumoniae infection occurs endemically and epidemically worldwide, hence it should be considered in the differential diagnosis of upper and lower respiratory tract diseases [1,2,9]. Moreover, *M. pneumoniae* colonization is believed to be strongly implicated in the pathogenesis and exacerbation of asthma, and it seems of certain concern in other chronic diseases [9, 15-17, 19-21]. The clinical management of this "atypical" pathogen requires aimed therapy but a standardized and rapid diagnostic test is lacking, due to inherent limitations of current microbiological, molecular and serological assays [9].

The present study evaluated the diagnostic utility of serological assays employing recombinant proteins, composed by distinct antigenic regions of *M. pneumoniae* gene products. The main objective of our work was, therefore, the provision of "artificial antigens" and their use for the development of selective diagnostic immunoassays. Three distinct antigenic regions of *M. pneumoniae* proteins [12] were used individually (P1, P30 and MNP456) or further assembled into a chimeric product (EC12). By using a standard bacterial expression system, all recombinant antigens were purified under native condition in large amounts and were found to have good solubility and elevated purification yield, which are fundamental prerequisites for the commercial use of recombinant productsantigens.

The immunoreactivity of EC12 as well as the single antigenic regions composing the chimera was assessed with IgG antibodies present in sera from patient hospitalized for *M. pneumoniae* infection. All IgG assays employing recombinant antigens showed excellent sensitivity and specificity, with the EC12-based assay reaching the best sensitivity (93.6%), based on the available sera used in this study. The results of the chimeric antigen immunoassay were in agreement with those obtained using the CF assay since 92% of the

patients who received a positive diagnosis by the CF analysis were found to be positive in the EC12 Rec-ELISA.

Because a "gold standard" for the serological diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* infection is currently unavailable, the The results of the CF test were also compared to those obtained with a commercial assay which utilizes the whole *M. pneumoniae* cell extract as the antigen. Surprisingly, only 31% of subjects having specific anti-*Mycoplasma* antibodies, as measured by the CF test, were found to be positive with by the commercial ELISA.

The immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens was then investigated with sera from patients without a defined etiological diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection but with a clinical picture compatible with atypical pneumonia. Noteworthy, the presence of IgG towards EC12 was revealed in serum samples from six patients who received a negative diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection by the CF test. Moreover, IgG antibodies against the recombinant antigens were detected in sera from seven patients who were not checked for *M. pneumoniae* infection. By contrast, none of these sera positively reacted with the commercial ELISA, thus further highlighting the better sensitivity of assays based on recombinant antigens.

Previous studies have demonstrated the clinical usefulness of recombinant antigens for the serological diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection in humans [22-26]. Also, the use of recombinant productsantigens has been evaluated for different types of infections, particularly those regarding veterinary *Mycoplasma* species [27-29]. However, the composition of the protein cocktail representative of the *M. pneumoniae* antigenic repertoire and usable for antibody detection in humans remains an open question requiring further investigations. The present study demonstrates that the use of recombinant antigens is effective in distinguishing *M. pneumoniae*-infected individuals from uninfected individuals and that assays with such antigens have improved performance characteristics than compared to those using the whole-

cell bacterial antigen, thus providing the basis of standardized assays for the serodiagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Carla Cellesi for very helpful suggestion and advice during this work. We acknowledge Luca Bruno and Andrea Spadoni for skillful technical assistance.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

.

REFERENCES

- Waites KB, Talkington DF (2004) Mycoplasma pneumoniae and its role as a human pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 17:697-728. doi: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.697-728.2004
- Baum SG (2010) Mycoplasma pneumoniae and atypical pneumonia. In: Mandell GL, Bennet JE, Dolin R. (eds) Principles and practice of infectious diseases, 7th edn. Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, pp 2481-2489
- Sánchez-Vargas FM, Gómez-Duarte OG (2008) Mycoplasma pneumoniae an emerging extra-pulmonary pathogen. Clin Microbiol Infect 14:105-115. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01834
- 4. Clyde WA Jr (1983) *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* respiratory disease symposium: summation and significance. Yale J Biol Med 56:523-527.
- Levine DP, Lerner AM (1978) The clinical spectrum of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infections. Med Clin North Am 62:961-978.
- 6. Tully JG, Baseman JB (1991) Mycoplasma. Lancet 337:1296.
- 7. Saikku P (1997) Atypical respiratory pathogens. Clin Microbiol Infect 3:599-604.
- Daxboeck F, Krause R, Wenisch C (2003) Laboratory diagnosis of *Mycoplasma pnemoniae* infection. Clin Microbiol Infect 9:263-273. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0691.2003.00590
- Atkinson TP, Balish MF, Waites KB (2008) Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, pathogenesis and laboratory detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infections. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:956-973. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00129
- 10. Beersma MF, Dirven K, van Dam AP, Templeton KE, Claas EC, Goossens H (2005) Evaluation of 12 commercial tests and the complement fixation test for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies, with PCR used as

- the "gold standard". J Clin Microbiol 43:2277-2285. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.5.2277-2285.2005
- 11. Yoo SJ, Oh HJ, Shin BM (2007) Evaluation of four commercial IgG- and IgM-specific enzyme immunoassays for detecting *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* antibody: comparison with particle agglutination assay. J Korean Med Sci 22:795-801. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2007.22.5.795
- 12. Beghetto E, De Paolis F, Montagnani F, Cellesi C, Gargano N (2009) Discovery of new *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* antigens by use of a whole genome lambda-display library. Microbes Infect 11:66-73. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2008.10.004
- 13. Beghetto E, Nielsen HV, Del Porto P, Buffolano W, Guglietta S, Felici F, Petersen E, Gargano N (2005) A combination of antigenic regions of *Toxoplasma gondii* microneme proteins induce protective immunity against oral infection with parasite cysts. J Infect Dis 191:637-645. doi: 10.1086/427660
- 14. Chen W, Li D, Paulus B, Wilson I, Chadwick VS (2001) High prevalence of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in intestinal mucosal biopsies from patients with inflammatory bowel disease and controls. Dig Dis Sci 46:2529-2535. doi: 10.1023/A:1012352626117
- 15. Johnson SM, Bruckner F, Collins D (2007) Distribution of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Mycoplasma salivarium in the synovial fluid of arthritis patients. J Clin Microbiol 45:953-957. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01973-06
- 16. Kraft M, Cassel GH, Henson JE, Watson H, Williamson J, Marmion BP, Gaydos CA, Martin RJ (1998) Detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in the airways of adults with chronic asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 158:998-1001.

- Kraft M, Cassel GH, Pak J, Martin RJ (2002) Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in asthma: effect of clarithromycin. Chest 121:1782-1788. doi: 10.1378/chest.121.6.1782
- 18. Oen K, Fast M, Postl B (1995) Epidemiology of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in Manitoba, Canada, 1975-92: cycles in incidence. J Rheumatol 22:745-750.
- 19. Ramirez AS, Rosas A, JA Hernandez-Beriain, Orengo JC, Saavedra P, de la Fe C, Fernández A, Poveda JB (2005) Relationship between rheumatoid arthritis and Mycoplasma pneumoniae: a case-control study. Rheumatology 44:912-914. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keh630
- Roediger WE (2004) Intestinal mycoplasma in Crohn's disease. Novartis Found Symp 263:85-93.
- 21. Sutherland ER, Martin RJ (2007) Asthma and atypical bacterial infection. Chest 132:1962-1966. doi: 10.1378/chest.06-2415
- 22. Chaudhry R, Nisar N, Hora B, Chirasani SR, Malhotra P (2005) Expression and immunological characterization of the carboxy-terminal region of the P1 adhesin protein of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. J Clin Microbiol 43:321-325. doi: doi:10.1128/JCM.43.1.321-325.2005
- 23. Drasbek M, Nielsen PK, Persson K, Birkelund S, Christiansen G (2004) Immune response to *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* P1 and P116 in patients with atypical pneumonia analyzed by ELISA. BMC Microbiol 4:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-4-7
- 24. Duffy MF, Whithear KG, Noormohammadi AH, Markham PF, Catton M, Leydon J, Browning GF (1999) Indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of immunoglobulin G reactive with a recombinant protein expressed from the gene encoding the 116-kilodalton protein of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. J Clin Microbiol 37:1024-1029.

- 25. Dumke R, Schurwanz N, Jacobs E (2008) Characterisation of subtype- and variant-specific antigen regions of the P1 adhesin of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. Int J Med Microbiol 298:483-491. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2007.06.002
- 26. Varshney AK, Chaudhry R, Kabra SK, Malhotra P (2008) Cloning, expression, and immunological characterization of the P30 protein of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. Clin Vaccine Immunol 15:215-220. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00283-07
- 27. Ben Abdelmoumen Mardassi B, Béjaoui A, Oussaeif L, Mlik B, Amouna F (2008) A recombinant antigen-based ELISA for the simultaneous differential serodiagnosis of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, *Mycoplasma synoviae* and *Mycoplasma meleagridis* infections. Avian Dis 52:214-221.
- 28. Büyüktanir O, Yildirim T, Yakicier C, Genç O, Yurdusev N (2008) A recombinant PvpA protein-based diagnostic prototype for rapid screening of chicken *Mycoplasma* gallisepticum infections. Vet Microbiol 129:139-149. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.11.028
- 29. Fusco M, Corona L, Onni T, Marras E, Longheu C, Idini G, Tola S (2007)

 Development of a sensitive and specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay based on recombinant antigens for rapid detection of antibodies against *Mycoplasma* agalactiae in sheep. Clin Vaccine Immunol 14:420-425. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00439-06

Table 1 Immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens with IgG antibodies in sera from patients with M. pneumoniae infection (group A)

Patient	Age (years)		Days from first sample	PCR ^a	$\begin{matrix} \mathbf{IgG} \\ \mathbf{CF^b} \end{matrix}$	IgG ELISA ^c	IgG Rec-ELISA ^d				
							GST-P1	GST-P30	GST-MP456	GST-EC12	
A1	11	Ala		n.d.	NEG	0.075	0.191	0.077	0.081	0.173	
		A1b	+ 12	n.a.	1/128	0.222	2.942	0.972	2.469	2.774	
A2	15	A2a		n.d.	NEG	0.121	0.092	0.106	0.094	0.125	
		A2b	+ 21	II.u.	1/128	0.538	0.760	2.990	0.092	2.809	
A3	13	A3a		n.d.	<1/512	0.175	1.308	0.579	0.122	0.815	
		A3b	+ 17	n.u.	>1/102	0.202	2.354	1.174	0.567	1.713	
A4	12	A4a		n.d.	NEG	0.084	0.060	0.066	0.060	0.124	
		A4b	+ 15	II.u.	>1/102	0.104	0.045	0.048	0.052	0.103	
A5	12	A5a		n.d.	<1/16	0.188	0.055	0.064	0.071	0.161	
		A5b	+ 17	n.a.	1/512	0.468	2.257	0.398	2.923	2.942	
A6	10	A6a		n.d.	NEG	0.100	0.161	0.202	0.140	0.185	
		A6b	+ 36	II.u.	1/64	0.564	1.949	2.958	0.088	2.459	
A7	10	A7a		n d	1/256	0.075	0.073	0.140	0.071	0.129	
		A7b	+ 16	n.d.	1/1024	0.317	0.435	1.174	0.063	0.626	
A8	12	A8a		n d	NEG	0.073	0.073	0.075	0.069	0.120	
		A8b	+ 38	n.d.	1/64	0.639	0.351	2.123	0.084	0.613	
A9	9	A9a		n.d.	NEG	0.105	0.064	0.066	0.069	0.128	
		A9b	+ 17		1/128	0.395	1.508	2.400	0.888	1.040	
A10	11	A10a		n.d.	1/512	0.301	0.477	0.140	0.076	0.294	
		A10b	+ 15		1/512	0.468	1.032	1.737	0.137	1.175	
A11	7	A11a		n.d.	NEG	0.562	0.112	0.124	0.093	0.157	
		A11b	+ 13		1/128	0.269	0.864	1.253	0.070	0.962	
A12	5	A12a		n.d.	>1/102	0.379	2.906	3.013	0.083	2.992	
		A12b	+ 12		>1/102	0.443	2.954	2.880	0.085	2.846	
A13	10	A13a		n.d.	NEG	0.077	0.056	0.055	0.059	0.110	
		A13b	+ 24		1/1024	0.234	2.527	0.115	0.990	1.832	
A14	20	A14a		n.d.	1/256	0.151	0.075	0.309	0.058	0.309	
		A14b	+ 16		>1/102	0.348	0.338	2.213	0.347	1.365	
A15	2	A15a		n.d.	NEG	0.179	0.093	0.076	0.069	0.136	
		A15b	+ 20		1/32	0.201	0.543	1.045	0.085	0.934	
A16	1.3	A16a		n.d.	1/512	0.086	0.054	0.052	0.053	0.118	
		A16b	+ 11		>1/102	0.126	0.519	0.683	0.061	1.181	
A17	13	A17a		n.d.	1/32	0.146	0.105	0.127	0.115	0.171	
		A17b	+ 14		>1/102	0.033	0.055	0.116	0.074	0.238	
A18	10	A18a		n.d.	>1/102	0.138	0.368	0.281	0.294	0.587	
		A18b	+ 13		>1/102	0.431	1.193	1.053	0.456	2.263	
A19	12	A19a		n.d.	1/64	0.240	0.077	0.240	0.062	0.209	
		A19b	+ 16		1/128	0.219	0.333	1.115	0.080	1.022	
A20	15	A20a		n.d.	NEG	0.133	0.068	0.090	0.062	0.123	
0		A20b	+ 15		1/128	0.226	0.354	1.312	0.094	1.418	
A21	7	A21a		n.d.	NEG	0.045	0.095	0.092	0.088	0.125	
A21	,	A21b	+ 14		1/32	0.041	0.189	0.109	2.952	2.938	
4.00				- 1	NEG	0.028	0.066	0.063	0.059	0.054	
A22	10	A22a		n a	MEG				0.059	0.034	
A22	10	A22a A22b	+ 13	n.d.	1/152	0.028	0.332	0.003	0.039	0.034	

		A23b	+ 15		1/512	0.233	0.580	0.684	0.335	0.428
A24	8	A24a		n.d.	1/16	0.271	0.093	0.100	0.093	0.068
		A24b	+ 12		1/512	0.134	0.209	0.176	0.087	0.181
A25	10	A25a		n.d.	1/32	0.080	0.072	0.079	0.061	0.091
		A25b	+ 12		1/256	0.233	1.857	2.710	0.093	1.664
A26	15	A26a		n.d.	1/128	0.090	0.070	0.067	0.066	0.065
		A26b	+ 14		1/256	0.167	0.165	0.536	0.177	0.276
A27	7	A27a		n.d.	1/32	0.062	0.087	0.217	0.082	0.187
		A27b	+ 22		1/1024	0.406	1.599	2.849	2.007	1.752
A28	15	A28a		n.d.	NEG	0.095	0.075	0.080	0.076	0.070
		A28b	+ 19		1/512	0.185	1.544	0.317	0.078	0.244
A29	7	A29a		n.d.	1/32	0.065	0.127	0.114	0.108	0.089
		A29b	+ 11		1/256	0.160	1.139	0.546	0.085	0.838
A30	11	A30a		n.d.	NEG	0.048	0.091	0.095	0.087	0.068
		A30b	+ 16		1/1024	0.051	0.195	0.088	0.083	0.183
A31	9	A31a		n.d.	NEG	0.035	0.146	0.067	0.064	0.068
		A31b	+ 37		1/256	0.210	2.790	0.957	0.145	0.896
A32	16	A32a		n.d.	NEG	0.113	0.090	0.170	0.493	0.143
		A32b	+ 11		1/64	0.727	2.879	0.431	0.639	1.894
A33	8	A33a		n.d.	1/1024	0.109	0.320	0.540	1.672	0.308
		A33b	+ 17		1/256	0.229	0.358	2.192	2.906	1.521
A34	7	A34a		n.d.	<1/102	0.036	0.071	0.146	0.070	0.078
		A34b	+ 24		1/128	0.280	2.778	2.636	0.550	1.196
A35	12	A35a		n.d.	NEG	0.046	0.079	0.075	0.074	0.095
		A35b	+ 19		1/512	0.238	0.866	0.507	0.163	0.274
A36	14	A36a		n.d.	1/32	0.358	0.116	0.122	0.094	0.102
		A36b	+ 14		1/512	0.705	0.262	0.819	0.085	0.631
A37	39	A37a		+	1/1024	0.414	0.635	0.432	0.105	0.515
		A37b	+ 34		n.d.	0.233	0.266	1.182	0.083	0.643
A38	3	A38a		n.d.	1/256	0.089	0.413	0.358	0.247	0.318
		A38b	+ 28		1/512	0.448	3.081	2.953	0.182	2.114
A39	9	A39a		n.d.	NEG	0.034	0.104	0.097	0.089	0.051
		A39b	+ 14		1/64	0.091	0.239	0.188	2.895	2.339
A40	12	A40a		+	n.d.	0.142	0.082	0.093	0.114	0.085
		A40b	+ 28		n.d.	0.180	0.803	0.581	0.112	0.658
A41	28	A41a		+	n.d.	0.177	1.192	0.117	0.088	0.078
		A41b	+ 16		n.d.	0.656	3.075	0.141	0.505	1.873
A42	21	A42a		+	n.d.	0.156	0.071	0.093	0.066	0.079
		A42b	+ 30		n.d.	0.481	1.508	1.664	1.983	1.655
A43	14	A43a		+	n.d.	0.042	0.102	0.072	0.089	0.074
		A43b	+ 26		n.d.	0.170	0.760	0.560	0.098	0.607
A44	13	A44a		+	n.d.	0.207	0.290	0.172	3.208	3.165
		A44b	+ 13		n.d.	0.374	1.168	1.865	3.098	3.062
A45	12	A45a		+	n.d.	0.037	0.135	0153	0.120	0.161
		A45b	+ 18		n.d.	0.060	0.316	0.332	0.336	0.284
A46	6	A46a		+	n.d.	0.036	0.070	0.204	0.072	0.189
-		A46b	+ 19		n.d.	0.075	0.317	0.734	0.070	0.393
A47	10	A47a		+	n.d.	0.122	0.599	0.246	0.170	0.406
		A47b	+ 25		n.d.	0.207	0.646	0.557	0.179	0.470

^a PCR, Polymerase Chain Reaction on sputum and/or pharyngeal swab.

^bCF, Complement Fixation test expressed in serum dilution.

^c Cut off value for the commercial IgG ELISA was 0.358.

^d Cut off values for the IgG Rec-ELISA's were 0.232 (GST-P1), 0.268 (GST-P30), 0.209 (GST-MPN456), 0.219 (GST-EC12).

Bold-face type, value greater than cut off; n.d., not determined; NEG, negative.

Table 2Diagnostic performance of the IgG ELISAs with sera from *M. pneumoniae* infected individuals

IgG	No. of positive	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV ^b	NPV ^b	p ^c
assay	diagnosis ^a	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	p
GST-P1	41	87.2	100	100	66.7	< 0.001
GST-P30	38	80.9	100	100	57.1	< 0.001
GST-MP456	19	40.4	100	100	30.0	0.005
GST-EC12	44	93.6	100	100	80.0	< 0.001
IBL assay	17	36.2	100	100	27.3	0.125

^a Patients with at least one positive result by analysing paired sera.

^b PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

^c p values were determined by two–tailed Fisher exact test by comparison of the results for sera from patients with M. pneumoniae infection (n=47) and sera from patients with pneumococcal pneumonia (n=12)

Table 3 Immunoreactivity of recombinant antigens with sera from patients with a suspected M. pneumoniae infection (group B)

Patient	Age (years)	Serum sample	Days from first sample	IgG CF ^a	IgG ELISA ^b		Rec	IgG e-ELISA ^c	
						GST-P1	GST-P30	GST-MP456	GST-EC12
B1	4	B1a		n.d.	0.040	0.058	0.062	0.100	0.069
		B1b	+ 12		0.041	0.062	0.063	0.098	0.071
B2	5	B2a		NEG	0.050	0.062	0.107	0.056	0.220
		B2b	+ 10		0.055	0.089	0.114	0.070	0.277
В3	4	B3a		NEG	0.092	0.103	0.111	0.091	0.081
		B3b	+ 12		0.066	0.104	0.097	0.067	0.068
B4	3	B4a		n.d.	0.053	0.091	0.091	0.084	0.078
		B4b	+ 14		0.049	0.063	0.066	0.121	0.058
В5	6	B5a		NEG	0.041	0.086	0.075	0.057	0.052
		B5b	+ 16		0.092	0.071	0.081	0.033	0.066
В6	4	B6a		NEG	0.046	0.135	0.092	0.090	0.157
		B6b	+ 11		0.039	0.097	0.061	0.051	0.082
В7	4	B7a		NEG	0.044	0.057	0.056	0.051	0.052
		B7b	+ 15		0.079	0.057	0.050	0.051	0.047
В8	2.3	B8a		n.d.	0.046	0.055	0.052	0.059	0.047
		B8b	+ 30		0.048	0.049	0.046	0.049	0.052
В9	2	B9a		NEG	0.041	0.081	0.069	0.066	0.069
27	-	B9b	+ 16		0.041	0.068	0.057	0.066	0.058
B10	1.7	B10a	-	n.d.	0.039	0.062	0.058	0.062	0.206
ы	1.7	B10b	+ 26	11.0.	0.065	0.076	0.066	0.065	0.168
B11	8	B11a		NEG	0.050	0.059	0.072	0.042	0.063
	o	B11b	+ 11	TILO	0.075	0.073	0.084	0.053	0.062
B12	3	B12a		n.d.	0.041	0.113	0.080	0.063	0.091
	3	B12b	+ 18	n.a.	0.036	0.117	0.097	0.063	0.071
B13	3	B13a		n.d.	0.038	0.112	0.086	0.067	0.077
D 13	3	B13b	+ 31	n.a.	0.039	0.102	0.086	0.062	0.063
B14	5	B14a		n.d.	0.043	0.089	0.081	0.087	0.064
D14	3	B14b	+ 15	II.u.	0.043	0107	0.077	0.080	0.067
B15	4	B15a	1 13	n.d.	0.159	0.123	0.117	0.119	0.108
ыз	4	B15b	+ 12	II.u.	0.139	0.123	0.088	0.072	0.082
B16	5	B16a	1 12	n.d.	0.045	0.079	0.070	0.068	0.066
Б10	3	B16b	+ 9	II.u.	0.035	0.067	0.064	0.059	0.063
B17	23	B17a		n.d.	0.033	0.058	0.084	0.107	0.132
В1/	23	B17a	+ 17	II.u.	0.077	0.055	0.034	0.086	0.132
D10	1.6	B18a	T 17	n.d.	0.037	0.097	0.074	0.091	0.112
B18	1.6	B18b	+ 8	II.u.	0.037	0.097	0.079	0.069	0.104
D10		B19a	+ 0	n.d.	0.043	0.068	0.074	0.065	0.087
B19	2		+ 12	n.a.					
DCC		B19b	+ 14	1	0.038	0.084	0.066	0.059	0.059
B20	5	B20a	+ 34	n.d.	0.034	0.097		0.061	0.060
		B20b	+ 34		0.037	0.093 0.118	0.072	0.067	0.077
B21	2	B21a	. 12	n.d.	0.063			0.064	
		B21b	+ 13		0.041	0.133	0.066	0.102	0.111
B22	5	B22a	. 24	n.d.	0.048	0.089	0.072	0.078	0.064
		B22b	+ 24		0.037	0.059	0.064	0.069	0.066
B23	3	B23a	4.0	n.d.	0.031	0.065	0.071	0.070	0.064
		B23b	+ 10		0.039	0.078	0.083	0.050	0.062

B24	9	B24a		n.d.	0.067	0.069	0.066	0.052	0.069
		B24b	+ 16		0.039	0.070	0.063	0.065	0.058
B25	1.6	B25a		n.d.	0.034	0.113	0.115	0.100	0.075
		B25b	+ 11		0.039	0.114	0.078	0.077	0.067
B26	4	B26a		n.d.	0.036	0.083	0.063	0.082	0.069
		B26b	+ 10		0.035	0.075	0.062	0.056	0.060
B27	2.5	B27a		n.d.	0.161	0.106	0.077	0.066	0.078
		B27b	+ 15		0.075	0.125	0.081	0.061	0.092
B28	18	B28a		NEG	0.109	0.086	0.157	0.084	0.318
		B28b	+ 23		0.142	0.083	0.152	0.083	0.290
B29	43	B29a		NEG	0.069	0.057	0.303	0.063	0.491
		B29b	+ 15		0.075	0.059	0.260	0.058	0.511
B30	4	B30a		n.d.	0.045	0.072	0.073	1.289	2.425
		B30b	+ 18		0.040	0.064	0.064	1.515	2.740
B31	10	B31a		n.d.	0.204	3.482	1.980	0.063	3.161
		B31b	+ 15		0.202	3.342	1.642	0.065	3.148
B32	25	B32a		NEG	0.048	0.083	0.111	0.066	0.096
		B32b	+ 17		0.117	1.548	0.269	0.044	2.940
B33	7	B33a		NEG	0.241	1.334	2.502	0.128	3.064
		B33b	+ 20		0.184	2.451	2.756	0.079	3.138
B34	8	B34a		NEG	0.146	2.285	0.221	0.134	2.792
		B34b	+ 18		0.200	3.000	1.976	0.504	3.149
B35	5	B35a		n.d.	0.051	0.292	0.241	0.375	0.584
		B35b	+ 19		0.103	1.699	1.534	0.448	2.993
B36	11	B36a		n.d.	0.037	0.072	0.069	0.066	0.052
		B36b	+ 7		0.114	0.526	0.255	0.073	0.720
B37	6	B37a		n.d.	0.035	0.059	0055	0.140	0.206
	-	B37b	+ 17		0.260	2.866	0.845	0.226	3.086
B38	9	B38a		n.d.	0.059	0.105	0.113	0.085	0.518
		B38b	+ 14		0.092	0.921	0.380	0.135	2.708
B39	1.5	B39a		n.d.	0.120	0.367	0.366	0.059	1.491
		B39b	+ 13		0.160	0.511	1.083	0.060	2.944

^a CF. Complement Fixation test.

0.209 (GST-MPN456). 0.219 (IgG EC12)

Bold-face type. value greater than cut off; n.d.. not determined; NEG. negative.

^bCut off value for the commercial IgG ELISA was 0.358.

^c Cut off values for IgG Rec ELISAsRec-ELISA's were 0.232 (GST-P1). 0.268 (GST-P30).

Figure 1
Schematic representation of the chimeric antigen GST-EC12

