



Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the grassmannian and their toric degeneration.

Laurent Rigal, Pablo Zadunaisky

► To cite this version:

Laurent Rigal, Pablo Zadunaisky. Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the grassmannian and their toric degeneration.. 2011. hal-00606927

HAL Id: hal-00606927

<https://hal.science/hal-00606927>

Preprint submitted on 7 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the grassmannian and their toric degeneration.

L. RIGAL, P. ZADUNAISKY

Abstract

In the present paper, we are interested in natural quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the type A grassmannians. To be more precise, the objects that we investigate are quantum analogues of the homogeneous coordinate rings of Richardson varieties which appear naturally in the theory of quantum groups. Our point of view, here, is geometric: we are interested in the regularity properties of these *non-commutative varieties*, such as their irreducibility, normality, Cohen-Macaulayness... in the spirit of *non-commutative algebraic geometry*. A major step in our approach is to show that these algebras have the structure of an Algebra with a Straightening Law. From this, it follows that they degenerate to some quantum analogues of toric varieties.

Introduction.

Let \mathcal{F} be a flag variety. As it is well known, the study of \mathcal{F} , both from the geometric and topological point of view, heavily relies on the study of its Schubert cells and Schubert varieties. For example, the former give a stratification of \mathcal{F} and the latter turn out to provide a nice understanding of the multiplicative structure of the cohomology ring of \mathcal{F} . In this context, it is important to understand how Schubert varieties and opposite Schubert varieties intersect. Such intersections are called Richardson varieties; they have been extensively studied for the last twenty years.

It is beyond the scope of the present paper to give a complete overview of these studies and we will restrict ourselves to a short indicative list of works related to our own interests and considerations in the present work. Further references on the subject may be found by the interested reader in the few papers that we quote.

Early works on Richardson varieties include [D] and [R], where fundamental properties are studied, including their irreducibility. More recently, the extension of standard monomial theory to Richardson varieties was investigated in [LLit] in connection with some K -theoretic issues. Related results in the case of the type A grassmannians may be found in [KL]. Further, these varieties were used as central tools in [BL] in order to pursue the goal of providing a more geometric understanding of standard monomial theory.

To finish this quick overview, let us mention the paper [M] where the existence of (semi-)toric degenerations of Richardson varieties is obtained, by means of representation theoretic methods based on canonical bases.

Beyond the classical case mentioned above, the theory of quantum groups provides natural analogues of Schubert varieties and, more generally, of Richardson varieties. Undoubtedly, the role of these quantum analogues in the study of quantum groups and quantum homogeneous

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16T20, 16S38, 17B37, 20G42. Secondary: 14M15.

Keywords and phrases: Quantum grassmannians, quantum Richardson varieties, quantum toric varieties, straightening laws, standard monomials, degeneration, Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein.

spaces will be as central as it is in the classical setting. The objective of the present article is to establish some fundamental results regarding these objects, in the case where the flag variety into consideration is a type A grassmannian.

To start with, let us briefly describe quantum Richardson varieties in the type A grassmannian case. Fix an arbitrary base field \mathbb{k} and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. Let m, n be integers such that $1 \leq m \leq n$. Consider the quantum analogue of the coordinate ring on the affine space of $n \times m$ matrices: $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ and let $\Pi_{m,n} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ be the set of m -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_m) of integers such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ equipped with the obvious product order inherited from \mathbb{N}^m . To any element $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$, we may associate the quantum minor, denoted $[I]$, of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ built on the rows with index in I and columns 1 to m of the generic matrix of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$. The subalgebra, $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$, of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ generated by these quantum minors is a natural analogue of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the grassmannian with respect to the Plücker embedding. It is then easy to associate to any element $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$ a quantum Schubert and quantum opposite Schubert variety by considering the factor algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \not\leq I \rangle$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \not\geq I \rangle$, respectively. A natural analogue of the Richardson variety associated to a pair (I, J) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ being then defined as the factor algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))/\langle [K], K \in \Pi_{m,n}, K \notin [I, J] \rangle$. (Notice the abuse of language: these algebras are actually quantum analogues of homogeneous coordinate rings rather than quantum analogues of varieties.) For the convenience of the reader, we have included a short appendix at the end of the paper where classical Richardson varieties in type A grassmannians are described in some details. The material in this appendix also provides a justification of the above definition of quantum Richardson variety.

It is worth noting, at this stage, that quantum analogues of Schubert and Richardson varieties in partial flag varieties attached to simple Lie algebras of any type may be defined in a natural way (see [LRes] for the case of Schubert varieties). This requires, however, a representation theoretic approach which forces to work under strong genericity hypotheses on the deformation parameter. The interested reader may consult the paper [Y1] by M. Yakimov for such an approach.

In contrast, in the present paper, we adopt a method which allows us to work over any base field and with no assumption on the deformation parameter. It is inspired by the standard monomial theory which originates in works of De Concini, Eisenbud, Procesi, Lakshmibai, in the classical setting, and has its roots in early investigations by Hodge on grassmannians. The interested reader may consult, in particular, the following references: [DEP], [GL], [LRag]. Indeed, we first show that quantum analogues of Richardson varieties may be endowed with the structure of a (symmetric quantum) Algebra with a Straightening Law. That is, we exhibit a standard monomial basis for this algebra, built from a finite ordered set of generators and show that straightening and commutation relations satisfying certain very particular combinatorial constraints hold among these generators. We then deduce that quantum analogues of Richardson varieties may be filtered in such a way that their associated graded ring is a tractable quantum analogue of coordinate ring of a toric variety. Hence proving that quantum analogues of Richardson varieties degenerate to quantum analogues of toric varieties. We then derive from this important results of quantum analogues of Richardson varieties such as their irreducibility and Cohen-Macaulayness in the sense of Artin and Shelter.

Actually, we work in a more general context: we first introduce the class of *symmetric quantum algebras with a straightening law* and then isolate a subclass, the objects of which can be degenerated to quantum toric rings. We then show that this machinery applies to quantum Richardson varieties. Needless to say, since we are working in the non-commutative setting, the aforementioned commutation relations are a main issue in the present work. Actually, these re-

lations are taken into account in the algebra with a straightening law structure.

We finish this introduction by stressing two main remarks.

First, similar results have been obtained in [LR1] and [LR2] in the case of quantum analogues of Schubert varieties (which are special cases of quantum Richardson varieties). However, to deal with the case of Richardson varieties is significantly harder. For example, to prove that quantum analogues of Richardson varieties are integral domains already requires the full strength of the results in the present paper. Namely, the fact that quantum analogues of Richardson varieties degenerate to quantum analogues of toric varieties. (A much more elementary proof of the fact that quantum analogues of Schubert varieties are integral domains is given in [LR2].)

Second, quantum Richardson varieties are investigated here from the point of view of non-commutative algebraic geometry. However, the results of [LR1] and [LR2] concerning the special case of quantum Schubert varieties have been used in [LLR] to study the latter from a different point of view. Namely, the non-commutative structure on quantum flag varieties actually comes from a Poisson structure on the corresponding classical flag variety, in the spirit of deformation theory. Recent works have shed light on the connection between certain torus-invariant prime ideals in quantum flag varieties, symplectic leaves of the corresponding classical objects and certain cell decompositions in their *totally positive* counterpart. The reader may also consult the references [GLL1], [GLL2], [Y2] and the survey article [LL] for more details on this point of view. We expect quantum Richardson varieties will be a strong tool to further study these connections.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 1, basic results that we need on distributive lattices are recalled. The material in this section is well known. We have summarized these results for the convenience of the reader. In section 2 a class of quantum algebras associated with any distributive lattice is introduced. These are quotients of quantum affine spaces by binomials defined on the basis of the attached lattice. They are natural quantum analogues of coordinate rings of toric varieties. In section 3, we introduce the notion of *Symmetric quantum graded algebra with a straightening law* (symetric quantum graded A.S.L., for short). It is a subclass of the class of quantum graded algebras with a straightening law defined in [LR1; Def. 1.1.1] designed in order to show that the quantum Richardson varieties enjoy such an A.S.L. structure. A subclass of the class of symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. is then defined, in section 4, by means of an extra condition (C) which is imposed. This class includes the quantum toric varieties introduced in section 2 which are particularly simple examples. Actually much more is true: the quantum toric varieties are "essential" such examples in the sense that any algebra in this class degenerate to such an algebra. In section 5 we reach our original motivation. We first show that quantum grassmannians are symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. satisfying condition (C). It follows that the same holds for quantum Richardson varieties. Several important properties of the latter are then derived.

Notation and conventions. Let A be a ring, Π an ordered set and $\iota : \Pi \longrightarrow A$ a map. To any finite increasing sequence $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_t$, of length $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of elements of Π we associate the element $\iota(\alpha_1) \dots \iota(\alpha_t)$, which we call the standard monomial of A associated to the sequence $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_t$. We adopt the convention that there exists a unique increasing sequence of elements of Π of length 0 to which we associate the standard monomial 1_A . Hence, we have the family of standard monomials on Π , that we will denote $\underline{\text{sm}}_\Pi(A)$. If any two distinct finite increasing sequences of elements of Π give rise to distinct standard monomials, there is a well defined notion of length for standard monomials. Namely, in this case, we define the length of a standard monomial as the length of its associated sequence.

Throughout, \mathbb{k} denotes a field. If S is a finite set, its cardinality will be denoted $|S|$.

1 Reminder on distributive lattices.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall well known facts on distributive lattices that we will use all along the text. For this exposition, we essentially follow the paragraphs 3.1 to 3.4 of [S]. However, the interested reader may also refer to the foundational book [B] of G. Birkhoff.

Ordered sets. By an ordered set, we will always mean a set endowed with a partial ordering. Let (Π, \leq) be an ordered set. We denote by $\text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi)$ the subset of $\Pi \times \Pi$ of elements (x, y) such that x and y are incomparable. The interval associated to a pair (α, β) of elements of Π is defined by $[\alpha, \beta] = \{\gamma \in \Pi \mid \alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta\}$. Clearly, it is non-empty if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta$. A subset Ω of Π will be called a Π -ideal (resp. Π^{opp} -ideal) provided it satisfies the following condition: for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $\pi \in \Pi$, if $\pi \leq \omega$, then $\pi \in \Omega$ (resp. for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $\pi \in \Pi$, if $\pi \geq \omega$, then $\pi \in \Omega$). Let (Π, \leq) be a finite ordered set. For any $x \in \Pi$, the rank of x , denoted $\text{rk}(x)$, is defined to be the greatest integer t such that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $x_0 < \dots < x_t = x$ in Π . Further, the rank of Π , denoted $\text{rk}(\Pi)$, is defined by $\text{rk}(\Pi) = \max\{\text{rk}(x), x \in \Pi\}$.

Distributive lattices. A lattice is an ordered set (Π, \leq) satisfying the following condition: for any pair (x, y) of elements of Π , there exists two elements $x \wedge y$ and $x \vee y$ in Π such that $x \wedge y \leq x, y \leq x \vee y$, and for all $z \in \Pi$, if $z \leq x, y$ (resp. $x, y \leq z$), $z \leq x \wedge y$ (resp. $x \vee y \leq z$); clearly, such elements are necessarily unique. Hence, if the ordered set (Π, \leq) is a lattice, we are given two maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \wedge & : & \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \Pi \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & x \wedge y \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \vee & : & \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \Pi \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & x \vee y \end{array} .$$

A finite lattice is a lattice whose underlying set is finite. Clearly, a finite lattice has a unique minimal and a unique maximal element. The lattice (Π, \leq) is said to be distributive if it satisfies the following property: for all $x, y, z \in \Pi$, $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ or, equivalently, the property: for all $x, y, z \in \Pi$, $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$. A sub-lattice of (Π, \leq) is a subset Π' of Π endowed with the restriction of \leq which is stable under the maps \wedge and \vee . A morphism of lattices is a morphism of ordered sets which commutes (in the obvious way) with the join and meet maps of each lattice.

Let Π be a lattice. An element $z \in \Pi$ is called join-irreducible provided it is not minimal and satisfies the following condition: if x, y are elements of Π such that $z = x \vee y$, then either $z = x$, or $z = y$. We will denote by $\text{irr}(\Pi)$ the set of join-irreducible elements of Π and by $\text{irr}^+(\Pi)$ the set of elements of Π which are either join-irreducible or minimal. Then, we have the following celebrated structure theorem due to Birkhoff. The reader is referred to [B; Theo. 3, p.59] or [S; §3.4] for a proof of this statement.

Theorem 1.1 – (Birkhoff) – *Let Π be a finite distributive lattice and let $\Pi_0 = \text{irr}(\Pi)$. Then, Π is isomorphic, as a distributive lattice, to $J(\Pi_0)$, where $J(\Pi_0)$ is the set of Π_0 -ideals of Π_0 , ordered by inclusion. Further, the rank of Π coincides with the cardinality of Π_0 .*

Finite chain products. Clearly, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, \mathbb{N}^d endowed with the obvious product order, is a distributive lattice where, for $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$ and $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ in \mathbb{N}^d , $i \wedge j = (\min\{i_1, j_1\}, \dots, \min\{i_d, j_d\})$ and $i \vee j = (\max\{i_1, j_1\}, \dots, \max\{i_d, j_d\})$.

The following example will be of crucial importance. For any integer $p \geq 2$, we let $\mathcal{C}_p = \{1, \dots, p\}$. Now, consider $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and d integers $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 2$. The subset $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ of \mathbb{N}^d is clearly a (distributive) sub-lattice of \mathbb{N}^d . Such distributive lattices will be called finite chain products. The following construction, associated to any finite chain product will be of central importance in the sequel. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, integers $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 2$ and put $N = 2 \max\{n_1, \dots, n_d\} + 1$. We consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \omega : \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ (i_1, \dots, i_d) &\mapsto \sum_{t=1}^d i_t N^{d-t} . \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, by definition, ω assigns to any element $I = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ the integer for which the coefficients of the N -adic expansion are the entries of I . Clearly, ω is strictly increasing and, in particular, injective.

Lemma 1.3 records an important property of ω that we will need latter on. To state it, we need the following notation and terminology. Let d be a positive integer and I, J be two elements of \mathbb{N}^d . We denote by $I \sqcup J$ the union of I and J as multisets. That is, $I \sqcup J$ records the elements of \mathbb{N} appearing as coordinates in I or J together with the sum of their number of occurrences in I and their number of occurrences in J .

Further, an element $I = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ will be termed increasing if $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_d$.

Lemma 1.2 – *Let d be a positive integer and s an integer such that $1 \leq s \leq d$. Let $I = (i_t)_{1 \leq t \leq d}, J = (j_t)_{1 \leq t \leq d}, K = (k_t)_{1 \leq t \leq d}, L = (l_t)_{1 \leq t \leq d}$ be increasing elements of \mathbb{N}^d such that $K \leq I \leq J \leq L$ and $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$. The following holds: if $j_t = l_t$ for all $1 \leq t < s$, then $i_t = k_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$.*

Proof. The proof is by finite induction on s . When $s = 1$, the hypothesis is empty and we must prove that $i_1 = k_1$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $k_1 < i_1$. Then, due to the fact that I and J are increasing and that $i_1 \leq j_1$, no entry in I and J may equal k_1 , contradicting $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$. Now, let s be an integer such that $2 \leq s \leq d$ and suppose the result is true for all integers up to $s - 1$. Suppose that $j_t = l_t$ for $1 \leq t < s$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have that $i_t = k_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s - 1$. Suppose now that $k_s < i_s$. Since I and J are increasing elements and $i_s \leq j_s$, the only possible occurrences of k_s in I (resp. J) are among i_1, \dots, i_{s-1} , (resp. j_1, \dots, j_{s-1}). But, since $(k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}) = (i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$, and $(l_1, \dots, l_{s-1}) = (j_1, \dots, j_{s-1})$, this violates the identity $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$. Hence, $k_s = i_s$ and the result holds for s . ■

Lemma 1.3 – *Let d, n_1, \dots, n_d and ω be as above. Consider increasing elements I, J, K, L of $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ such that $K < I, J < L$ and $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$, then:*

(i) $\omega(I) + \omega(J) \leq \omega(K) + \omega(L)$;

(ii) $\omega(I) + \omega(J) = \omega(K) + \omega(L)$ iff $K = I \wedge J$ and $L = I \vee J$.

Proof. We proceed in two steps.

1. Suppose, first, that $K < I \leq J < L$. Since $J < L$, there is an integer s , $1 \leq s \leq d$, such that $j_t = l_t$ for $1 \leq t < s$ and $j_s < l_s$. But then, by Lemma 1.2, we have $i_t = k_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. It follows that $i_t + j_t = k_t + l_t$ for $1 \leq t < s$ and $i_s + j_s < k_s + l_s$. On the other hand, by the choice of N ,

$$\omega(I) + \omega(J) = \sum_{t=1}^d (i_t + j_t) N^{d-t} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(K) + \omega(L) = \sum_{t=1}^d (k_t + l_t) N^{d-t}$$

and these are the N -adic expansions of $\omega(I) + \omega(J)$ and $\omega(K) + \omega(L)$, respectively. It follows at once that $\omega(I) + \omega(J) < \omega(K) + \omega(L)$.

2. We get back to the hypothesis of the lemma's statement. We have $K \leq I \wedge J \leq I, J \leq I \vee J \leq L$. Since $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$, we have either $K < I \wedge J \leq I, J \leq I \vee J < L$, or $K = I \wedge J \leq I, J \leq I \vee J = L$. In the first case, point 1 above shows that $\omega(I) + \omega(J) = \omega(I \wedge J) + \omega(I \vee J) < \omega(K) + \omega(L)$, while in the second case, we clearly have $\omega(I) + \omega(J) = \omega(I \wedge J) + \omega(I \vee J) = \omega(K) + \omega(L)$. This proves the claim. \blacksquare

We conclude this section by the following definition, to be used latter in the text.

Definition 1.4 – Let (Π, \leq) be a finite ordered set which is a distributive lattice. A realisation of (Π, \leq) in a finite chain product is a datum $(d; n_1, \dots, n_d; \iota)$ where $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n_1, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and ι is an injective morphism of lattices from Π to $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$.

We note, in passing, that any finite distributive lattice admits a realisation in a finite chain product. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1. Indeed, let Π be a finite distributive lattice, and put $\Pi_0 = \text{irr}(\Pi)$. Then, Birkhoff's theorem yields an isomorphism of lattices $\Pi \cong J(\Pi_0)$ (in the above notation). On the other hand, the ordered set $(\mathcal{P}(\Pi_0), \subseteq)$ of all subsets of Π_0 is a distributive lattice in which $J(\Pi_0)$ naturally embeds. It remains to notice that $(\mathcal{P}(\Pi_0), \subseteq)$ and $\mathcal{C}(2)^{|\Pi_0|}$ are isomorphic as distributive lattices. Indeed, composing all these lattice morphisms provides us with a lattice embedding of Π in $\mathcal{C}(2)^{|\Pi_0|}$.

2 A class of quantum toric algebras.

In the present section, we introduce a class of algebras associated to the datum consisting of a distributive lattice Π and two maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$.

These algebras will turn out (see sections 4 and 5) to be natural degenerations of quantum analogues of Richardson varieties. Further, we investigate their basic properties and, notably, we show that under some hypothesis they are integral domains. These results will turn out to be crucial later in the paper to derive properties of quantum Richardson varieties.

Let (Π, \leq) be a finite ordered set which is a distributive lattice. Suppose we are given maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}^* \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \mapsto & q_{\alpha, \beta} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{c} : \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}^* \\ (\alpha, \beta) & \mapsto & c_{\alpha, \beta} \end{array}.$$

To the data consisting of (Π, \leq) , \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{c} , we associate the \mathbb{k} -algebra, denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, with generators X_α , $\alpha \in \Pi$, and relations:

$$X_\alpha X_\beta = q_{\alpha, \beta} X_\beta X_\alpha, \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi,$$

and

$$X_\alpha X_\beta = c_{\alpha, \beta} X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_{\alpha \vee \beta}, \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \in \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi).$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is endowed a \mathbb{N} -grading where canonical generators all have degree one. It follows that the map $\Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}, \alpha \mapsto X_\alpha$, is injective.

Remark 2.1 – Let Π , \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{c} be as above. Further, assume that standard monomials on Π are linearly independent elements of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, endowed with its natural grading, is a quantum graded A.S.L. on (Π, \leq) in the sense of [LR1; Def. 1.1.1]. In particular, standard monomials on Π form a basis of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ (see [LR1; Prop. 1.1.4]).

Remark 2.2 – Let Π , \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{c} be as above. Further, assume that standard monomials on Π are linearly independent elements of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ (in particular, they must be non-zero).

(i) Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$. Then, clearly, $X_\alpha X_\beta$ is the product of some standard monomial by a non-zero scalar. It follows that $X_\alpha X_\beta \neq 0$.

(ii) Let $\alpha \in \Pi$. We have the relation $X_\alpha X_\alpha = q_{\alpha\alpha} X_\alpha X_\alpha$, so that $q_{\alpha\alpha} = 1$. Further, let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$, we have the relation $X_\alpha X_\beta = q_{\alpha\beta} X_\beta X_\alpha = q_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta\alpha} X_\alpha X_\beta$. It follows that $q_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta\alpha} = 1$.

(iii) Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)$. We have the relation $X_\alpha X_\beta = c_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_{\alpha \vee \beta}$ and $q_{\beta\alpha} X_\alpha X_\beta = X_\beta X_\alpha = c_{\beta\alpha} X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_{\alpha \vee \beta}$. Hence, arguing as above, we get $c_{\alpha\beta} = q_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta\alpha}$.

Example 2.3 – In the above notation, we may consider the case where the maps \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{c} are constant, equal to 1. In this case, putting $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{1}$, we get the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}}$ which is just the quotient of the (commutative) polynomial ring in the indeterminates $\{X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi\}$ by the ideal $\langle X_\alpha X_\beta - X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_{\alpha \vee \beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rangle$. This ring has been extensively studied. It has been proved that it is a (quantum) graded algebra with a straightening law. Hence standard monomials on Π form a \mathbb{k} -basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}}$. Further, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}}$ is an integral domain. All the relevant details may be found in Hibi's original paper; see [H; p. 100].

Our next aim is to show that, under the hypothesis that standard monomials are linearly independent elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain. For this, we need to introduce a kind of universal version of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, denoted \mathcal{A}_Π , designed in such a way that (under convenient hypotheses), the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ be quotients of \mathcal{A}_Π .

Let Π be a finite ordered set. Consider the free \mathbb{k} -algebra, F_Π , on the set

$$S_\Pi = \{X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi\} \cup \{Q_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi\} \cup \{C_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)\}.$$

There is an \mathbb{N} -grading on F_Π for which elements of $\{X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi\}$ all have degree 1 and elements of $\{Q_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi\} \cup \{C_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)\}$ all have degree zero. Now, consider the ideal I_Π of F_Π generated by the following elements:

- (i) $Q_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\beta\alpha} - 1, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$;
- (ii) $C_{\alpha\beta} = Q_{\alpha\beta} C_{\beta\alpha}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)$;
- (iii) $Q_{\alpha\beta} a - a Q_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi, a \in S_\Pi$;
- (iv) $C_{\alpha\beta} a - a C_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi), a \in S_\Pi$;
- (v) $X_\alpha X_\beta - Q_{\alpha\beta} X_\beta X_\alpha, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$;
- (vi) $X_\alpha X_\beta - C_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_{\alpha \vee \beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)$.

We let

$$\mathcal{A}_\Pi = F_\Pi / I_\Pi.$$

Clearly, I_Π is generated by homogeneous elements of F_Π , so that \mathcal{A}_Π inherits from F_Π an \mathbb{N} -grading. Slightly abusing notation, we still denote by $X_\alpha, C_{\alpha\beta}, \dots$ the images of the corresponding elements of F_Π under the canonical surjection $F_\Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\Pi$. Using the obvious map $\Pi \longrightarrow F_\Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\Pi$, we get standard monomials on Π in \mathcal{A}_Π . Recall that

$$\underline{\text{sm}}_\Pi(\mathcal{A}_\Pi) = \{1\} \cup \{X_{\alpha_1} \dots X_{\alpha_\ell}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*, \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_\ell \in \Pi\}.$$

Lemma 2.4 – *Retain the above notation.*

(i) Consider maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. If the set of standard monomials on Π is linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, then there is a surjective \mathbb{k} -algebra morphism $\mathcal{A}_\Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ such that $X_\alpha \mapsto X_\alpha, C_\alpha \mapsto c_\alpha$ and $Q_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto q_{\alpha\beta}$. (This applies in particular when $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{1}$.)

(ii) The elements of $\underline{\text{sm}}_\Pi(\mathcal{A}_\Pi)$ are pairwise distincts.

Proof. Point (i) follows at once from Remark 2.2 and the universal property of free algebras. (See also Example 2.3). Point (ii) follows from point (i) and the fact that standard monomials form a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$. \blacksquare

Point (ii) of Lemma 2.4 shows that there is a well defined notion of length for standard monomials on Π in \mathcal{A}_{Π} (see the end of the introduction).

We denote by \mathcal{M}_{Π} the multiplicative sub-monoid of \mathcal{A}_{Π} generated by the set $\{Q_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi\} \cup \{C_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha, \beta) \in \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi)\}$.

Lemma 2.5 – *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ be s elements in Π . Then, there exist an element $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\Pi}$ and a standard monomial $\underline{m} \in \underline{\text{sm}}_{\Pi}(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi})$ of length s such that $X_{\alpha_1} \dots X_{\alpha_s} = \xi \underline{m}$ in \mathcal{A}_{Π} .*

Proof. We need to recall the notion of depth of an element of the finite ordered set Π . Let $\alpha \in \Pi$. The depth of α is defined to be the greatest integer t such that there exists a strickly increasing sequence $\alpha = \alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_t$ in Π .

We prove the statement by induction on s . The result is trivial when $s = 1$. Let us assume the result is true up to a certain integer s . We have to prove that any product of $s + 1$ elements of $\{X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Pi\}$ equals a standard monomial of length $s + 1$, up to multiplication by an element of \mathcal{M}_{Π} . To do this, we proceed by (finite) induction on the depth of the last of these $s + 1$ elements. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}$ be elements of Π such that α_{s+1} has depth 0. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\Pi}$ and $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_s \in \Pi$ such that $X_{\alpha_1} \dots X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = \xi X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}}$. On the other hand, α_{s+1} must be the unique maximal element of Π . Hence, $X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}}$ is a standard monomial and we are done. Suppose now the result is true whenever the depth of the last of these $s + 1$ elements does not exceed p , for some integer p . Consider $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}$, elements of Π such that α_{s+1} has depth $p + 1$. By the first induction hypothesis, there exist $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\Pi}$ and $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_s \in \Pi$ such that $X_{\alpha_1} \dots X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = \xi X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}}$. Three cases may then occur.

1. If $\beta_s \leq \alpha_{s+1}$, then $X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}}$ is a standard monomial, and we are done.
2. If $\beta_s > \alpha_{s+1}$, then $X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = Q_{\beta_s \alpha_{s+1}} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} X_{\beta_s}$. Hence, $X_{\alpha_1} \dots X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = \xi X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = \xi Q_{\beta_s \alpha_{s+1}} X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_{s-1}} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} X_{\beta_s}$. But, since $\beta_s > \alpha_{s+1}$, β_s has depth at most equal to p , so that we may apply the second induction hypothesis to the product $X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_{s-1}} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} X_{\beta_s}$. Again, we are done.
3. If β_s and α_{s+1} are not comparable, then $X_{\beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1}} = C_{\beta_s \alpha_{s+1}} X_{\alpha_{s+1} \wedge \beta_s} X_{\alpha_{s+1} \vee \beta_s}$. Using the fact that $\alpha_{s+1} \vee \beta_s > \alpha_{s+1}$, the same argument as in the second case allows to conclude.

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.6 – *Let γ be an element of Π .*

1. *Let \underline{m} be a standard monomial of \mathcal{A}_{Π} , of length $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists a unique standard monomial \underline{m}' of \mathcal{A}_{Π} such that there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{\Pi}$ satisfying $X_{\gamma} \underline{m} = \xi \underline{m}'$.*
2. *The map $\phi_{\gamma} : \underline{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi})$, $\underline{m} \mapsto \underline{m}'$ is injective.*
3. *Consider maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. If the set of standard monomials on Π is linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$, then the element X_{γ} of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is regular.*

Proof. 1. The existence follows at once from Lemma 2.5. Now, let \underline{m} be a standard monomial of length $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there exist distinct standard monomials \underline{m}' and \underline{m}'' of arbitrary length such that there exists $\xi', \xi'' \in \mathcal{M}_{\Pi}$ for which $X_{\gamma} \underline{m} = \xi' \underline{m}' = \xi'' \underline{m}''$. The image of these relations under the projection $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$ gives, in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$, an equality between two distinct standard monomials of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$. But, this contradicts the linear independence of standard monomials over Π in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$ (see Example 2.3). Hence, the required unicity.

2. Consider distinct standard monomials \underline{m}_1 and \underline{m}_2 of \mathcal{A}_Π . Suppose there exists a standard monomial \underline{m} of \mathcal{A}_Π and elements $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_\Pi$ such that $X_\gamma \underline{m}_1 = \xi_1 \underline{m}$ and $X_\gamma \underline{m}_2 = \xi_2 \underline{m}$. The image of these two relations under the projection $p_{1,1} : \mathcal{A}_\Pi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$ then provide an equality $X_\gamma p_{1,1}(\underline{m}_1) = X_\gamma p_{1,1}(\underline{m}_2)$. But, clearly, $p_{1,1}(\underline{m}_1)$ and $p_{1,1}(\underline{m}_2)$ are two distinct standard monomials over Π of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$. This contradicts the integrity of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,1,1}$ (see Example 2.3). Hence, the map ϕ_γ is injective.

3. By Remark 2.1, the set of standard monomials on Π forms a \mathbb{k} -basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$. Now, using points 1 and 2 above and the canonical projection $\mathcal{A}_\Pi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$, we see that left multiplication by X_γ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is a map which, up to scalar multiplication by elements of \mathbb{k}^* , sends injectively the standard monomial \mathbb{k} -basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ into itself. It follows that left multiplication by X_γ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is injective; that is, X_γ is left-regular. Since X_γ commutes, up to multiplication by elements of \mathbb{k}^* with any standard monomial, it follows that X_γ is also right-regular. This completes the proof. ■

Let us consider maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and assume that the set of standard monomials on Π is linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$. By Proposition 2.6, the elements X_γ , $\gamma \in \Pi$, of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ are regular and, clearly, they are normal. Hence, we may form the left quotient ring of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ with respect to the multiplicative set generated by $\{X_\gamma, \gamma \in \Pi\}$, that we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$, and we have a canonical injection

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ.$$

Hence, in order to prove that $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$ is an integral domain. It turns out that we can do even better. In fact, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$ is isomorphic to a quantum torus (that is, a quantum analogue of a Laurent polynomial ring), as we now proceed to show.

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ the \mathbb{k} -algebra generated by elements $X_\alpha^{\pm 1}$, $\alpha \in \underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$ subject to the relations $X_\alpha X_\beta = q_{\alpha\beta} X_\beta X_\alpha$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$. Then, clearly, $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is a quantum torus (see Remark 2.2.) In addition, there is a \mathbb{k} -algebra morphism as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}} : \mathcal{T}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ \\ X_\gamma &\mapsto X_\gamma \end{aligned}.$$

Theorem 2.7 *Let Π be a finite ordered set which is a distributive lattice and consider $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. Suppose, further, that the set of standard monomials on Π is linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$. Then, $j_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is a \mathbb{k} -algebra isomorphism. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. 1. Surjectivity. Let B be the \mathbb{k} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$ generated by the elements $X_\gamma^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma \in \underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$. To prove that $j_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is surjective, it suffices to show that $B = \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$. Let us show, by induction on the rank of γ , that for all $\gamma \in \Pi$, $X_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in B$. If γ has rank 0, then γ is the unique minimal element of Π , so that $\gamma \in \underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$. Hence $X_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in B$. Now, suppose the result true up to rank $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $\gamma \in \Pi$, of rank $p+1$. If γ is join-irreducible, then $\gamma \in \underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$, so that $X_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in B$. Otherwise, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$ such that $\gamma = \alpha \vee \beta$ and $\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha, \beta < \gamma$. Hence, the rank of the elements $\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha, \beta < \gamma$ is less than or equal to p and, $X_\alpha^{\pm 1}, X_\beta^{\pm 1}, X_{\alpha \wedge \beta}^{\pm 1} \in B$ by induction hypothesis. On the other hand, we have $X_\alpha X_\beta = c_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha \wedge \beta} X_\gamma$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$. Thus, $X_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in B$. This finishes the induction and the proof that $B = \mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}^\circ$.

2. Injectivity. As is well known, $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension equal to the cardinality of $\underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)$. On the other hand, as we saw earlier, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{c}}$ is a quantum graded A.S.L. on Π in the sense of [LR1], so that its Gelfand-Kirillov dimension equals the rank of Π

plus 1 by [LR1; Prop. 1.1.5] (beware, in [LR1] a different convention was adopted for the rank). Suppose $j_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ has a non trivial kernel J , which hence contains a regular element, then by [KLen; Lemma 3.1, Prop. 3.15], we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(\Pi) + 1 &= \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}) \\ &\leq \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}^\circ) \\ &= \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{T}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}/J) \\ &< \text{GKdim}(\mathcal{T}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}) \\ &= |\underline{\text{irr}}^+(\Pi)| \\ &= |\underline{\text{irr}}(\Pi)| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

But, this contradicts Birkhoff's theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1). Hence $J = \{0\}$ and we are done.

As already mentioned, $\mathcal{T}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain, so that $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is also an integral domain. ■

3 Symmetric quantum algebras with a straightening law.

The aim of this section is to provide a general framework to study quantum Richardson varieties. More precisely, we introduce the notion of a symmetric quantum graded algebra with a straightening law on an ordered set Π . This is a subclass of the class of quantum graded algebras with a straightening law introduced in [LR1]. The main point here is that certain specific quotients of symmetric quantum graded algebras with a straightening law inherit the same structure from the original algebra. Examples of algebras within this class include the quantum Richardson varieties as will be shown in section 5.

Definition 3.1 – Let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, (Π, \leq) be a finite ordered set and $\Pi \longrightarrow A$ be a map whose image consists of homogeneous elements of A of positive degree which generate A as a \mathbb{k} -algebra. We say that A is a symmetric quantum graded algebra with a straightening law on Π (symmetric quantum A.S.L., for short) if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the set of standard monomials on Π is a linearly independent set;
- (ii) for any pair (α, β) of incomparable elements of Π , there exists a relation $\alpha\beta = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ where the sum extends over pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Pi^2$, with $\lambda < \alpha, \beta < \mu$ and where, for such a pair (λ, μ) , $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}$;
- (iii) for any pair (α, β) of elements of Π , there exists a relation $\alpha\beta - q_{\alpha\beta}\beta\alpha = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ where the sum extends over pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Pi^2$, with $\lambda < \alpha, \beta < \mu$, where, for such a pair (λ, μ) , $d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}$ and where $q_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$.

The following remarks aim at clarifying this definition.

Remark 3.2 – We retain the notation of Definition 3.1.

(i) By condition (i) of Definition 3.1, the image of Π under the map $\Pi \longrightarrow A$ must be linearly independent. It follows that the map $\Pi \longrightarrow A$ must be injective. For this reason, we will often identify Π with its image in A .

(ii) Clearly, a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. is a quantum graded algebra with a straightening law in the sense of [LR1; Def. 1.1.1]. In particular, standard monomials on Π actually form a \mathbb{k} -basis of a symmetric quantum A.S.L. (see [LR1; Prop. 1.1.4]).

Remark 3.3 clarifies the status of the relations required by conditions (ii) and (iii) above.

Remark 3.3 – We retain the notation of Definition 3.1.

1. *Straightening relations.* Given any pair (α, β) of incomparable elements of Π , by the linear independence of standard monomials, there is a unique relation as required in point (ii) of Definition 3.1. It will be called the straightening relation associated to the pair (α, β) .
2. *Commutation relations.* Let (α, β) be a pair of elements of Π . A relation as required in point (iii) of Definition 3.1 need not be unique. Any such relation will be called a commutation relation associated to the pair (α, β) .

The next remark shows that, for any symmetric quantum graded A.S.L., the straightening and commutation relations provide, actually, a presentation of the algebra. It also states an easy consequence which will be used latter.

Remark 3.4 – We retain the notation of Definition 3.1.

1. Let $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle$ be the free algebra on Π . Hence, $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle$ is freely generated, as an algebra, by elements $X_\pi, \pi \in \Pi$.

1.1. Let (α, β) be a pair of incomparable elements of Π . By hypothesis, there is a (unique) straightening relation $\alpha\beta = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ where the sum extends over pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Pi^2$, with $\lambda < \alpha, \beta < \mu$ and $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}$ are scalars. Put $S_{(\alpha, \beta)} = X_\alpha X_\beta - \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} X_\lambda X_\mu \in \mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle$.

1.2. Let (α, β) be any pair of elements of Π . By hypothesis, we can choose a (not necessarily unique) commutation relation $\alpha\beta - q_{\alpha\beta}\beta\alpha = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ where the sum extends over pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Pi^2$, with $\lambda < \alpha, \beta < \mu$, where, for such a pair (λ, μ) , $d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}$ and where $q_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For such a choice, let us put $C_{(\alpha, \beta)} = X_\alpha X_\beta - q_{\alpha\beta} X_\beta X_\alpha - \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} X_\lambda X_\mu \in \mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle$.

1.3. Let I denote the ideal of $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle$ generated by the elements $S_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $C_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of points 1.1 and 1.2 above. Then, clearly, there is a surjective algebra morphism $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I \longrightarrow A, X_\pi \mapsto \pi$. There is also an obvious injective map $\Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$ which allows to consider Π as a subset of $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$. We want to show that (with its usual grading where canonical generators all have degree one), $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$, is a quantum graded A.S.L. over Π . Notice first that the set of standard monomials on Π in $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$ maps onto the set of standard monomials on Π in A . Hence, the former has to be linearly independent, since the latter is. Second, observe that the straightening and commutation relations needed in $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$ indeed are available. It follows that $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. (with respect to its canonical grading). In addition, the set of standard monomials on Π in $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I$ form a basis and the projection $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I \longrightarrow A, X_\pi \mapsto \pi$ must be an isomorphism.

2. It follows from the algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{k}\langle\Pi\rangle/I \longrightarrow A, X_\pi \mapsto \pi$, that (apart from its original grading) A can be endowed with an alternative grading where elements of Π all are homogeneous of degree 1 and that A is a quantum graded A.S.L. over Π with respect to this grading. From this, it follows that if π_1, \dots, π_s are elements of Π ($s \in \mathbb{N}^*$), the expression of the product $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ as a linear combination of standard monomials involve only standard monomials which are ordered products of s elements of Π .

Next, we want to show that factors of a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. by certain classes of ideals, arising from certain subsets of Π , inherit from A a natural structure of symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. Recall the notion of Π -ideal and Π^{opp} -ideal from section 1.

Recall from [LR1] that, given $\alpha \in \Pi$, we say that a standard monomial of A on Π involves α provided it may be written as $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s$ ($s \in \mathbb{N}^*$) with $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_s \in \Pi$ and $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$. We then have the following result which will be useful latter.

Proposition 3.5 – *Let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, Π a finite generating subset of the \mathbb{k} -algebra A which consists in homogeneous elements of positive degree and suppose that Π is ordered in such a way that A be a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L.*

1. *Let Ω be a Π -ideal of Π . Then the set of standard monomials of A on Π involving an element of Ω is a \mathbb{k} -basis of the ideal $\langle \Omega \rangle$ of A .*
2. *Let Ω be a Π^{opp} -ideal of Π . Then the set of standard monomials of A on Π involving an element of Ω is a \mathbb{k} -basis of the ideal $\langle \Omega \rangle$ of A .*
3. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider subsets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_s$ of Π which are either Π -ideals or Π^{opp} -ideals of Π . Then, the set of standard monomials of A on Π involving an element of $\cup_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Omega_i$ is a \mathbb{k} -basis of the ideal $\langle \cup_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Omega_i \rangle$ of A .*

Proof. Recall that standard monomials of A on Π form a \mathbb{k} -basis of A .

1. This is Proposition 1.2.5 of [LR1].
2. This is proved in a similar fashion than point 1 above. Here is a sketch of proof, for the convenience of the reader. First, arguing as in [LR1; Lemma 1.2.1], one can show that any element $\alpha \in \Pi$ is normal modulo the ideal of A generated by $\{\pi \in \Pi, \pi > \alpha\}$. Second, if $<_{\text{tot}}$ is any total order on Π which respects \leq in the sense of [LR1; p. 676], then the elements of Π increasingly ordered following $<_{\text{tot}}$ form a normalising sequence, so that $\langle \Omega \rangle$ is the left ideal generated by Ω . Then, the same proof as in [LR1; Prop. 1.2.5] leads to the result.
3. This follows from points 1 and 2 above. ■

Corollary 3.6 – *Let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, Π a finite generating subset of the \mathbb{k} -algebra A which consists in homogeneous elements of positive degree and suppose that Π is ordered in such a way that A be a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. Further, let $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_s \subseteq \Pi$ be either Π -ideals or Π^{opp} -ideals of Π and put $\Omega = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Omega_i$. Then, $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on $\Pi \setminus \Omega$, equipped with the order induced from that of Π .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, it is clear that the obvious map $\Pi \setminus \Omega \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ is injective. In addition, $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ clearly inherits an \mathbb{N} -grading from that of A such that images of the above map are a generating set of the \mathbb{k} -algebra $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ which are homogeneous of positive degree. Further, using again Proposition 3.5 and the fact that the set of standard monomials of A on Π form a \mathbb{k} -basis of A , we get that standard monomials of $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ on $\Pi \setminus \Omega$ form a \mathbb{k} -basis of $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$. The existence of convenient straightening and commutation relations in $A/\langle \Omega \rangle$ follows immediately from the existence of such relations in A . ■

4 Toric degeneration for a certain class of symmetric A.S.L.

In this section, we show that a certain class of quantum graded symmetric A.S.L. may be endowed with a filtration in such a way that the corresponding associated graded ring be a quantum toric algebra (in the sense of section 2 above). This class is determined by a combinatorial condition that the underlying ordered set has to satisfy. Hence, we will heavily rely on material of section 1. Roughly speaking, this class consists of symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. whose underlying poset may be realised (in the sense of Definition 1.4) by means of a sub-lattice of a chain product consisting of increasing elements of \mathbb{N}^d and whose straightening and commutation relations must also satisfy certain combinatorial constraints.

Definition 4.1 – *Let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, Π be a finite subset of A which generates A as a \mathbb{k} -algebra and consists of homogeneous elements of positive degree and \leq be an order on Π .*

Suppose A is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on (Π, \leq) . We say that A satisfies condition (C) provided the following holds:

(iv) (Π, \leq) is a distributive lattice and there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, integers $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 2$ and a lattice embedding $\iota : \Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ whose images are all increasing elements of \mathbb{N}^d ;

(v) for any pair (α, β) of incomparable elements of Π , in the straightening relation associated to (α, β) , we have $c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ and, for all (λ, μ) such that $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$, $\alpha \sqcup \beta = \lambda \sqcup \mu$ (indentifying elements of Π with their image under ι);

(vi) for any pair (α, β) of elements of Π , there exists a commutation relation associated to (α, β) such that $d_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ and, for all (λ, μ) such that $d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$, $\alpha \sqcup \beta = \lambda \sqcup \mu$ (indentifying elements of Π with their image under ι).

Remark 4.2 – The present remark clarifies point (vi) in the conditions of Definition 4.1.

Assume A is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. and retain the notation of Definition 3.1.

1. Let (α, β) be a pair of comparable elements of Π . If $\alpha\beta - q_{\alpha\beta}\beta\alpha = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ is a commutation relation associated to (α, β) , then the condition $d_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ is automatically satisfied.

2. Suppose A satisfies condition (iv) and (v) of Definition 4.1. If (α, β) is a pair of incomparable elements of Π , then a commutation relation associated to this pair of the type required in Definition 4.1 always exists. Indeed, by condition (v), we have a straightening relation associated to both (α, β) and (β, α) with $c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ and $c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\beta, \alpha} \neq 0$. Combining them in the obvious way, we get the desired expansion of $\alpha\beta - c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} (c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\beta, \alpha})^{-1} \beta\alpha$.

For the rest of this section, we fix the following notation. We let A be an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, Π be a subset of A which generates A as a \mathbb{k} -algebra and consists of homogeneous elements of positive degree and \leq be an order on Π and we assume that A is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on (Π, \leq) which satisfies condition (C) for a fixed choice of a realisation of (Π, \leq) by means of $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, integers $n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 2$ and a lattice embedding $\iota : \Pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ whose images are all increasing elements of \mathbb{N}^d . We may then associate to the distributive lattice $\mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d}$ a map ω as defined in section 1 and consider the composition

$$\Pi \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_{n_d} \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{N}$$

which we still denote ω for simplicity. This map allows to associate to any element of Π , and more generally to any standard monomial, an integer which we call its weight. For this purpose, we let

$$M = \max\{\omega(\pi), \pi \in \Pi\}.$$

Definition 4.3 – Retain the above notation.

1. The weight of an element $\pi \in \Pi$ is the positive integer, denoted $\text{wt}(\pi)$, and defined by:

$$\text{wt}(\pi) = M + 1 - \omega(\pi).$$

2. Let $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_s$ be elements of Π , the weight of the standard monomial $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ is the positive integer, denoted $\text{wt}(\pi_1 \dots \pi_s)$, and defined by $\text{wt}(\pi_1 \dots \pi_s) = \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s)$. Moreover, we let the weight of the standard monomial 1 be 0.

The following lemma states some properties of weights.

Lemma 4.4 – Retain the above notation.

(i) If α, β are elements of Π such that $\alpha < \beta$, then $\text{wt}(\alpha) > \text{wt}(\beta)$.

- (ii) Any element of Π whose weight is one is maximal.
- (iii) For elements $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$, $\text{wt}(\alpha) + \text{wt}(\beta) = \text{wt}(\alpha \wedge \beta) + \text{wt}(\alpha \vee \beta)$.
- (iv) Let (α, β) be a pair of incomparable elements of Π . For any pair (λ, μ) different from $(\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta)$ appearing in the straightening relation associated to (α, β) , we have $\text{wt}(\lambda\mu) < \text{wt}(\alpha) + \text{wt}(\beta)$.
- (v) Let (α, β) be a pair of elements of Π and consider any commutation relation associated to this pair and satisfying condition (vi) in Definition 4.1. For any pair (λ, μ) appearing on the right hand side of this commutation relation, we have $\text{wt}(\lambda\mu) < \text{wt}(\alpha) + \text{wt}(\beta)$.

Proof. As noticed earlier, the map ω is strictly increasing, which proves (i) and (ii). Point (iii) is clear by point (ii) of Lemma 1.3. Finally, Lemma 1.3, gives (iv) and (v). ■

Using weights, we can filter the \mathbb{k} -vector space A . For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_i the \mathbb{k} -subspace of A with basis the set of standard monomials of weight less than or equal to i . Clearly, for $i < 0$, $\mathcal{F}_i = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathbb{k} \cdot 1$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an ascending, exhaustive (i.e. $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_i = A$) and separated (i.e. $\cap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_i = \{0\}$) filtration of the \mathbb{k} -vector space A . The next proposition shows it is also a filtration of A as a \mathbb{k} -algebra.

Lemma 4.5 – Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and π_1, \dots, π_s be elements of Π . Then, $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s \in \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \text{wt}(\pi_i)}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on s . The result is obvious if $s = 1$. Fix now an integer $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and assume that the result holds for s . We must show that, given any $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{s+1} \in \Pi$, the product $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{s+1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s+1} \text{wt}(\pi_i)}$. For this, we proceed by induction on $\text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})$. Suppose that $\text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) = 1$. Then π_{s+1} is the unique maximal element of Π (recall that Π is a distributive lattice). On the other hand, by the induction hypothesis and point 2 of Remark 3.4, $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ is a linear combination of standard monomials $\pi'_1 \dots \pi'_s$ such that $\text{wt}(\pi'_1 \dots \pi'_s) = \text{wt}(\pi'_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi'_s) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s)$. Hence, $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{s+1}$ is a linear combination of terms $\pi'_1 \dots \pi'_s \pi_{s+1}$ which are all standard monomials of weight $\text{wt}(\pi'_1 \dots \pi'_s \pi_{s+1}) = \text{wt}(\pi'_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi'_s) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})$ and we are done. Consider now an integer $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and assume the result is true for any product of $s+1$ elements of Π whose last element has weight less than or equal to r . Consider $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{s+1} \in \Pi$ such that $\text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) = r+1$. Again, by the first induction hypothesis and point 2 of Remark 3.4, $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s = \sum_j f_j \pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j}$, where, for all j , $f_j \in \mathbb{k}$, $\pi_{1,j} \leq \dots \leq \pi_{s,j} \in \Pi$ and $\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j}) = \text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j}) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s)$. Hence, we have

$$\pi_1 \dots \pi_s \pi_{s+1} = \sum_j f_j \pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1}.$$

The right hand side summands in the above equation fall into three possible cases.

First case: $\pi_{s,j} \leq \pi_{s+1}$. For such a j , $\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1}$ is a standard monomial of weight $\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})$. So, $\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s+1} \text{wt}(\pi_i)}$.

Second case: $\pi_{s,j} > \pi_{s+1}$. But, we have a commutation relation of the form

$$\pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1} = d \pi_{s+1} \pi_{s,j} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu} d_{\lambda, \mu} \lambda \mu$$

where the sum extends over pairs (λ, μ) of elements of Π such that $\lambda < \pi_{s,j}, \pi_{s+1} < \mu$. Hence,

$$\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1} = d \pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s-1,j} \pi_{s+1} \pi_{s,j} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu} d_{\lambda, \mu} \pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s-1,j} \lambda \mu.$$

On the other hand, since $\pi_{s,j}, \mu > \pi_{s+1}$, we have $\text{wt}(\pi_{s,j}), \text{wt}(\mu) < \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})$, by Lemma 4.4. The second induction thus yields

$$\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s-1,j} \pi_{s+1} \pi_{s,j} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1,j}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j})}$$

and

$$\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s-1,j} \lambda \mu \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1,j}) + \text{wt}(\lambda) + \text{wt}(\mu)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1,j}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j})},$$

the last inclusion being provided by Lemma 4.4 which asserts that $\text{wt}(\lambda) + \text{wt}(\mu) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})$. At this stage, we have that

$$\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1,j}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1}) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j})} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1}) + \text{wt}(\pi_s) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})},$$

since $\text{wt}(\pi_{1,j}) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s,j}) \leq \text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_s)$.

Third case: $\pi_{s,j}$ and π_{s+1} are not comparable. Proceeding as in case two by means of the straightening relation associated to the pair $(\pi_{s,j}, \pi_{s+1})$, we get also that $\pi_{1,j} \dots \pi_{s,j} \pi_{s+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\pi_1) + \dots + \text{wt}(\pi_{s-1}) + \text{wt}(\pi_s) + \text{wt}(\pi_{s+1})}$.

Summing up the results of the three cases, we end up with $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{s+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s+1} \text{wt}(\pi_i)}$, as desired to complete the second induction and the proof. ■

Proposition 4.6 – *In the above notation, \mathcal{F} is a filtration of the \mathbb{k} -algebra A .*

Proof. This follows at once from Lemma 4.5. ■

Our next aim in this section is to describe the associated graded ring, $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$, of A with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F} . Hence,

$$\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is separated and exhaustive, any non-zero element $x \in A$ has a *principal symbol* denoted $\text{gr}(x)$. Namely, for any non-zero $x \in A$ there is a least integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{F}_i$; then we let $\text{gr}(x) = x + \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \in \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Notice that, for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$, $\text{gr}(x) \neq 0$.

It is clear, by definition of \mathcal{F} , that two distinct elements of Π have distinct principal symbols. Hence, $\text{gr}(\Pi) = \{\text{gr}(x), x \in \Pi\} \subseteq \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ identifies with Π and inherits an order from it, together with a natural lattice structure such that $\Pi \longrightarrow \text{gr}(\Pi), x \mapsto \text{gr}(x)$, be a lattice isomorphism. As a consequence, we get a natural realisation of $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ in a finite chain product by means of that of Π .

Our next aim is to show that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ satisfying condition (C). Notice that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is naturally \mathbb{N} -graded. Notice, further, that for any standard monomial x in A , then $\text{gr}(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $\text{wt}(x)$.

Lemma 4.7 – *Retain the above notation. For $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\pi_1 \leq \dots \leq \pi_s \in \Pi$, we have*

$$\text{gr}(\pi_1 \dots \pi_s) = \text{gr}(\pi_1) \dots \text{gr}(\pi_s).$$

Proof. If w_1, \dots, w_s denote the weights of π_1, \dots, π_s , respectively, and if $w = w_1 + \dots + w_s$, then by definition of the ring structure on $\text{gr}(A)$, we have $\text{gr}(\pi_1) \dots \text{gr}(\pi_s) = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s + \mathcal{F}_{w-1}$. On the other hand, the standard monomial $\pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ is in $\mathcal{F}_w \setminus \mathcal{F}_{w-1}$ since it has weight w ; so that $\text{gr}(\pi_1 \dots \pi_s) = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s + \mathcal{F}_{w-1}$. Hence the result. ■

Proposition 4.8 – *Retain the above notation.*

1. The set $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ generates $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ as a \mathbb{k} -algebra.
2. Standard monomials of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ on $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ are linearly independent.
3. For any pair (α, β) of incomparable elements of Π , there exists $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$ such that the following relation holds in $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$:

$$\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = c_{\alpha, \beta} (\text{gr}(\alpha) \wedge \text{gr}(\beta))(\text{gr}(\alpha) \vee \text{gr}(\beta)).$$

4. For any pair (α, β) of elements of Π , there exists $q_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$ such that the following relation holds in $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$:

$$\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = q_{\alpha, \beta} \text{gr}(\beta)\text{gr}(\alpha).$$

5. The algebra $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is a symmetric quantum graded algebra on $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ satisfying condition (C).

Proof. Points 1 and 2 follow easily from Lemma 4.7.

Let (α, β) be a pair of incomparable elements of Π . By condition (v) of Definition 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we have that $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\alpha)+\text{wt}(\beta)} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\alpha)+\text{wt}(\beta)-1}$. So that, the straightening relation corresponding to these elements together with Lemma 4.7 leads to a relation $\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = \text{gr}(\alpha\beta) = c_{\alpha, \beta} \text{gr}((\alpha \wedge \beta)(\alpha \vee \beta)) = c_{\alpha, \beta} \text{gr}(\alpha \wedge \beta) \text{gr}(\alpha \vee \beta) = c_{\alpha, \beta} (\text{gr}(\alpha) \wedge \text{gr}(\beta))(\text{gr}(\alpha) \vee \text{gr}(\beta))$, where $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$. Further, by condition (vi) of Definition 4.1, and using the above, we get point 4 of the proposition for such a pair.

Let now $\beta \leq \alpha \in \Pi$. Using condition (vi) of Definition 4.1 for the pair (α, β) , we see that $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\alpha)+\text{wt}(\beta)} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\text{wt}(\alpha)+\text{wt}(\beta)-1}$. Arguing as above, it follows that $\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = \text{gr}(\alpha\beta) = q_{\alpha, \beta} \text{gr}(\beta\alpha) = q_{\alpha, \beta} \text{gr}(\beta)\text{gr}(\alpha)$, where $q_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{k}^*$.

Points 3 and 4 are now proved. Point 5 is an obvious consequence. \blacksquare

We now come to the description of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$.

Theorem 4.9 – *Let A be a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on Π satisfying condition (C). Then, there exist maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and a filtration \mathcal{F} of the \mathbb{k} -algebra A such that:*

- (i) $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is isomorphic (as a \mathbb{k} -algebra) to $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$;
 - (ii) standard monomials on Π in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ are linearly independent.
- Further, A is an integral domain.

Proof. By the hypotheses, A is an \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra, equipped with a finite generating subset Π consisting of homogeneous elements of positive degree, endowed with an order such that A is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. Further, we suppose that A satisfies condition (C). Then, by Proposition 4.6, A admits a filtration \mathcal{F} which gives rise to an associated graded ring $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$. Now, using Proposition 4.8 and the notation therein, consider the maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto q_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto c_{\alpha, \beta}$. By Proposition 4.8, there is a surjective \mathbb{k} -algebra morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}} & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) \\ X_{\alpha} & \mapsto & \text{gr}(\alpha) \end{array}.$$

Further, Proposition 4.8 ensures that standard monomials on $\text{gr}(\Pi)$ are linearly independent elements of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$, so that standard monomials on Π are linearly independent elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$. In addition, by Remark 2.1, standard monomials on Π form a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$. Hence, the above map is an isomorphism. From this and Theorem 2.7, we get that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is an integral domain. By well known results, it follows that A is an integral domain. \blacksquare

The following remark clarifies the non-unicity of commutation relations in symmetric quantum graded A.S.L satisfying condition (C).

Remark 4.10 – Let A and Π be as in Definition 4.1 and assume A satisfies condition (C) with respect to a realisation $(d; n_1, \dots, n_d; \iota)$ of Π (see Definition 1.4).

1. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi^2$. Suppose that in A there exist two commutation relations as required by point (vi) of Definition 4.1, namely $\alpha\beta - q_{\alpha\beta}\beta\alpha = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} \lambda\mu$ and $\alpha\beta - q'_{\alpha\beta}\beta\alpha = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu)} (d_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta})' \lambda\mu$. Then, by Proposition 4.8 (and its proof), in $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$, we get the two relations: $\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = q_{\alpha\beta}\text{gr}(\beta)\text{gr}(\alpha)$ and $\text{gr}(\alpha)\text{gr}(\beta) = q'_{\alpha\beta}\text{gr}(\beta)\text{gr}(\alpha)$. Hence, $0 = (q_{\alpha\beta} - q'_{\alpha\beta})\text{gr}(\beta)\text{gr}(\alpha)$. But, $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is an integral domain (see Proposition 4.9 and its proof). So, we must have $q_{\alpha\beta} - q'_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. From this, we get that commutation relations as required by point (vi) of Definition 4.1 are actually unique.

2. By point 1 above, Remark 3.3 and Proposition 4.8, we may canonically associate to A two maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^* & \text{and} & \quad \mathbf{c} : \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^* \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto q_{\alpha\beta} & & (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} \end{aligned}$$

using the relevant non-zero scalars appearing in straightening relations and commutation relations as required by Definitions 3.1 and 4.1, in such a way that the associated graded ring of A with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F} of Proposition 4.6 be isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$. Using Remark 2.2, we then get that,

- (i) $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi \times \Pi$, $q_{\alpha\beta}q_{\beta\alpha} = 1$ and $q_{\alpha\alpha} = 1$;
- (ii) $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi \times \Pi)$, $c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\alpha, \beta} = q_{\alpha\beta}c_{\alpha \wedge \beta, \alpha \vee \beta}^{\beta, \alpha}$.

We conclude this section by an easy observation, which will allow us latter to apply the above results to interesting classes of quantum algebras.

Let Π be an ordered set, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Pi^2$ and $[\alpha, \beta]$ the corresponding interval (see section 1). Letting

$$\Pi_{\alpha} = \{\gamma \in \Pi \mid \alpha \not\leq \gamma\}, \quad \Pi^{\beta} = \{\gamma \in \Pi \mid \gamma \not\leq \beta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \Pi_{\alpha} \cup \Pi^{\beta},$$

we have that $[\alpha, \beta] = \Pi \setminus \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta}$.

Corollary 4.11 – Let A be a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set Π and suppose that A satisfies condition (C). Then, for any pair (α, β) of elements of Π such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, the quotient \mathbb{k} -algebra $A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$, which satisfies condition (C). In particular, $A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$ is an integral domain.

Proof. Clearly, Π_{α} is a Π -ideal, Π^{β} is a Π^{opp} -ideal and the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ is the complement of Π_{α}^{β} in Π . Hence, by Corollary 3.6 and its proof, the \mathbb{k} -algebra $A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$ by means of the natural map $[\alpha, \beta] \xrightarrow{\subseteq} A \xrightarrow{\text{can. proj.}} A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$. Further, $[\alpha, \beta]$ clearly inherits from Π a distributive lattice structure as well as a realisation as required by Definition 4.1. It is then obvious that the straightening and commutation relations in $A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$, as required by Definition 4.1, may be obtained by applying the canonical projection $A \rightarrow A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$ to the corresponding relations in A . It remains to apply Theorem 4.9 to conclude that $A/\langle \Pi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rangle$ is an integral domain. \blacksquare

5 Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties.

In this section, we investigate quantum analogues of coordinate rings of Richardson varieties in the grassmannians of type A. The final aim is to show that these are symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. satisfying condition (C) and to derive from this some of their important properties.

Consider positive integers u, v and a scalar $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. Following [LR1; sect. 3.1], we let $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$ denote the quantum analogue of the affine coordinate ring of the space of $u \times v$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{k} . This is the \mathbb{k} -algebra with generators X_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq u$ and $1 \leq j \leq v$ and relations as in [LR1; Def. 3.1.1]. If $u = v$, we put $\mathcal{O}_q(M_v(\mathbb{k})) = \mathcal{O}_q(M_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$. Recall that there is a *transpose* automorphism of algebras $\text{tr}_v : \mathcal{O}_q(M_v(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(M_v(\mathbb{k}))$, $X_{ij} \mapsto X_{ji}$. Recall in addition that, if u', v' are positive integers such that $u' \leq u$ and $v' \leq v$, then the assignment $X_{ij} \mapsto X_{ij}$ defines an injective algebra morphism from $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{u',v'}(\mathbb{k}))$ to $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$. To any index sets I, J of cardinality $t \leq u, v$ with $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, u\}$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, v\}$ we may associate a quantum minor, denoted $[I|J]$, and defined as in [LR1; section 3.1]. Then, it is well known that the transpose automorphism sends $[I|J]$ to $[J|I]$.

Suppose now we are given integers u, v such that $1 \leq u \leq v$. We let $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$ denote the quantum analogue of the coordinate ring of the grassmannian of u -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{k}^v . It is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{v,u}(\mathbb{k}))$ generated by the $u \times u$ quantum minors of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{v,u}(\mathbb{k}))$. Notice that we adopt here a convention exchanging rows and columns with respect to the convention of [LR1] and [LR2]. However, embedding all the relevant algebras in $\mathcal{O}_q(M_v(\mathbb{k}))$ and using the transpose automorphism introduced above shows that the two different conventions lead to isomorphic algebras. Hence, we are in position to use all of the results in the aforementioned papers.

Finally, we denote by $\Pi_{u,v}$ the subset of \mathbb{N}^u of elements (i_1, \dots, i_u) such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_u \leq v$ endowed with the restriction of the natural product order of \mathbb{N}^u . It is easy to see that $\Pi_{u,v}$ is a (distributive) sub-lattice of \mathbb{N}^u . Clearly, an element $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_u\}$ of $\Pi_{u,v}$ determines a $u \times u$ quantum minor of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{v,u}(\mathbb{k}))$ by sending I to the minor built on rows i_1, \dots, i_u ; we denote this minor by $[I]$. The corresponding map $\Pi_{u,v} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(G_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$ turns out to be injective (with image the canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{u,v}(\mathbb{k}))$).

5.1 On the quantum grassmannians.

We first prove some results on the quantum analogue of the coordinate ring of the grassmannians. Namely, we show that this \mathbb{k} -algebra is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. satisfying condition (C). Part of this result is already contained in [LR1], where it is shown that this \mathbb{k} -algebra is a quantum graded A.S.L. in the sense of [LR1; Def. 1.1.1].

Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and let $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. Recall that to each elements $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$ we may associate the $m \times m$ quantum minor $[I]$ in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ and that the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_{m,n} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) \\ I & \mapsto & [I] \end{array}$$

is injective. We will often identify an element of $\Pi_{m,n}$ with its image in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. By definition of $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$, the set $\{[I], I \in \Pi_{m,n}\}$ is a set of generators of the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ since any $m \times m$ quantum minor of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ equals $[I]$ for some $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$. Recall, further, that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ has an \mathbb{N} -grading with respect to which the elements $[I]$, $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$, are homogeneous of degree 1.

It is proved in [LR1; sect. 3] that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ is a quantum graded A.S.L. on $\Pi_{m,n}$. More precisely, the following is proved:

(**ASL-1**) standard monomials on $\Pi_{m,n}$ form a basis of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$;

(**ASL-2**) for any $(I, J) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n})$, there exists a (necessarily unique) relation

$$[I][J] = \sum_{(K,L)} c_{K,L}^{I,J} [K][L],$$

where the sum extends over pairs (K, L) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $K \leq L$ and $K < I, J$ and where, for such a pair, $c_{K,L}^{I,J} \in \mathbb{k}$.

(**ASL-3**) for any $(I, J) \in \Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n}$, there exists a relation

$$[I][J] - q^{f_{I,J}} [J][I] = \sum_{(K,L)} d_{K,L}^{I,J} [K][L],$$

where $f_{I,J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and where the sum extends over pairs (K, L) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $K \leq L$ and $K < I, J$ and where, for such a pair, $d_{K,L}^{I,J} \in \mathbb{k}$.

We now establish a series of results allowing to get further information on the relations appearing in conditions (ASL-2) and (ASL-3) above. This will allow us to prove that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. satisfying condition (C) on $\Pi_{m,n}$. Notice that $\Pi_{m,n}$ is a distributive sub-lattice of \mathbb{N}^m included in the finite chain product $\mathcal{C}_n \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_n$ (m copies). Hence, the data $(m; n, \dots, n; \iota)$ is a realisation of $\Pi_{m,n}$ in a finite chain product in the sense of Definition 1.4, where $\iota : \Pi_{m,n} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}_n)^m$ is the obvious inclusion map. Further, the elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ are all increasing elements of \mathbb{N}^m . Hence, in order to prove that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ satisfies condition (C), we will use this realisation.

In view of the defining relations of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$, it is easy to check that there exists a \mathbb{N}^n -grading on $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, X_{ij} be of degree ϵ_i . (Here, $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ is the canonical basis of the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}^n .) Clearly, for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \Pi_{m,n}$, $[I]$ is an homogeneous element of degree $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \epsilon_{i_j}$. Hence, this \mathbb{N}^n -grading on $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ induces by restriction an \mathbb{N}^n -grading on $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$.

Proposition 5.1.1 – *Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$.*

(i) *Let $(I, J) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n})$. In the straightening relation associated to (I, J) in (ASL-2), if $c_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$, then $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$.*

(ii) *Let $(I, J) \in \Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n}$. In any commutation relation associated to (I, J) as in (ASL-3), if $d_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$, then $K \sqcup L = I \sqcup J$.*

Proof. Using the \mathbb{N}^n -grading of $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ introduced above, the result follows from the linear independence of standard monomials in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. ■

We now need a technical lemma.

Notice that, for positive integers $u \leq v$, there is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between elements of $\Pi_{u,v}$ and subsets of $\{1, \dots, v\}$ of cardinality u . Given $I \in \Pi_{u,v}$, we will call the corresponding subset the *underlying set of I* .

Lemma 5.1.2 – Let h, m, n be integers such that $1 \leq h \leq m \leq n$.

(i) Let I, J be elements of $\Pi_{m,2m}$. Denote by I^c (resp. J^c) the element of $\Pi_{m,2m}$ whose underlying set is $\{1, \dots, 2m\} \setminus I$ (resp. $\{1, \dots, 2m\} \setminus J$). The following holds: if $I \leq J$, then $I^c \geq J^c$.

(ii) Let $I, K \subseteq \Pi_{h,n}$ and $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality $m - h$ be such that $I \cap S = K \cap S = \emptyset$. The following holds: if $I \leq K$ in $\Pi_{h,n}$, then $I \sqcup S \leq K \sqcup S$ in $\Pi_{m,n}$.

Proof. Let $u \leq v$ be positive integers. Observe that, for $I, J \in \Pi_{u,v}$, we have: $I \leq J$ if and only if, for all $1 \leq i \leq v$, $|I \cap \{1, \dots, i\}| \geq |J \cap \{1, \dots, i\}|$. (Here, we identify an element in $\Pi_{u,v}$ and its underlying set.) The lemma follows at once. ■

Proposition 5.1.3 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$.

(i) Let $(I, J) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n})$. In the straightening relation associated to (I, J) in (ASL-2), if $c_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$, then $K < I, J < L$.

(ii) Let $(I, J) \in \Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n}$. There exists a commutation relation associated to (I, J) as in (ASL-3) and such that, if $d_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$, then $K < I, J < L$.

Proof. (i) To prove the first point, we proceed in several steps.

First case: $n = 2m$ and I, J are elements of $\Pi_{m,2m}$ whose underlying sets have empty intersection. The straightening relation associated to (I, J) in (ASL-2) is of the form

$$[I][J] = \sum_{(K,L)} c_{K,L}^{I,J} [K][L],$$

where the sum extends over pairs (K, L) of elements of $\Pi_{m,2m}$ such that $K \leq L$ and $K < I, J$ and where, for such a pair, $c_{K,L}^{I,J} \in \mathbb{k}$. Now, let (K, L) be a pair such that $c_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$. By point (i) of Proposition 5.1.1, the underlying sets of K and L are disjoint (and cover $\{1, \dots, 2m\}$). Hence, in the notation of Lemma 5.1.2, $K^c = L$ and $L^c = K$. But, since $K < I$, Lemma 5.1.2 gives that $L = K^c > I^c = J$. And we get that $L > I$ in the same way. Thus point (i) holds in this case.

Second case: n is arbitrary and I, J are elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ whose underlying sets have empty intersection (hence $n \geq 2m$). Letting \mathcal{A} denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ generated by the X_{ij} with $i \in I \cup J$, we have the obvious \mathbb{k} -algebra map $\mathcal{O}_q(M_{2m,m}(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(M_{n,m}(\mathbb{k}))$ which is an embedding with image \mathcal{A} . Further, this map induces an embedding $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,2m}(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. Now, a convenient choice of elements $I_0, J_0 \in \Pi_{m,2m}$ provides, using the first case above, a relation whose image under this last embedding is the desired relation in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$.

Third case: n is arbitrary and I, J are arbitrary elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ (i.e. the general case). Let S stand for the intersection of the sets I and J . So, there exists an integer h such that $1 \leq h \leq m$ and elements $I_0, J_0 \in \Pi_{h,n}$ satisfying $I = S \sqcup I_0$ and $J = S \sqcup J_0$. By definition, I_0 and J_0 do not intersect. Hence, the second case above provides a relation

$$[I_0][J_0] = \sum c_{K_0,L_0} [K_0][L_0]$$

in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{h,n}(\mathbb{k}))$, where the sum extends on the pairs (K_0, L_0) of elements of $\Pi_{h,n}$ such that $K_0 \leq L_0$ and $K_0 < I_0, J_0 < L_0$ and where, for such a pair, $c_{K_0,L_0} \in \mathbb{k}$. Then, using Muir's law of extension of minors (see [LRu; Prop. 2.4]), we get a relation

$$[I][J] = [I_0 \sqcup S][J_0 \sqcup S] = \sum c_{K_0,L_0} [K_0 \sqcup S][L_0 \sqcup S]$$

in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. Using Lemma 5.1.2, we see that this is the desired relation.

The proof of point (i) is now complete.

(ii) The same reasoning as in point (i) may be applied. ■

Proposition 5.1.4 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For all $(I, J) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n})$, there exists $e_{I,J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, in the straightening relation associated to (I, J) in (ASL-2), we have $c_{I \wedge J, I \vee J}^{I,J} = \pm q^{e_{I,J}}$.

Proof. We will have to use quantum grassmannians defined over arbitrary commutative integral domains as defined in [LR1; Def. 3.1.4] and will make extensive use of [LR1; Rem. 3.1.5]. Put $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$.

Let I, J be non comparable elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$. By [LR1; Theo. 3.3.8], in $\mathcal{O}_t(G_{m,n}(\mathcal{A}))$, we have a relation

$$[I][J] = \sum_{(K,L)} c_{K,L}(t)[K][L]$$

where the sum extends over pairs (K, L) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $K < I, J$ and $K \leq L$ and where, for such a pair, $c_{K,L}(t) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let u be any non-zero complex number and consider the obvious morphism $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where the second map is evaluation at u . It induces a map $\mathcal{O}_t(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_t(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ under which the image of the above straightening relation is

$$[I][J] = \sum_{(K,L)} c_{K,L}(u)[K][L].$$

Now, let $\delta = I \wedge J$ and $\mu = I \vee J$. We consider the quantum Schubert variety associated to δ . This is the factor ring, $\mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))_\delta$ of $\mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ as defined in [LR1; Def. 3.1.7] and [LR2; Def. 1.1]. By Proposition 5.1.1, the image of the above relation in $\mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))_\delta$ is

$$[I][J] = c_{\delta,\mu}(u)[\delta][\mu].$$

But, by [LR2; Cor. 3.1.7], $\mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))_\delta$ is a domain and by [LR1; Cor. 3.4.5] it is a quantum graded A.S.L. on the subset $\{\pi \in \Pi_{m,n} \mid \pi \geq \delta\}$, so that the images of $[I]$ and $[J]$ in $\mathcal{O}_u(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))_\delta$ are non-zero. Hence, we must have $c_{\delta,\mu}(u) \neq 0$.

This shows that there exist integers d, e such that $c_{\delta,\mu}(t) = dt^e$. Now, suppose that d is divisible by some prime number $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, put $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and consider the ring morphism $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, where the first map is evaluation at 1 and the second is the canonical projection. We then have a natural ring morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_t(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}))_\delta$$

(the first arrow is obtained from the former morphism via [LR1; Rem. 3.1.5] and the second is the canonical projection). Applying this morphism to the above straightening relation would lead to the equation $[I][J] = 0$ in the (quantum) Schubert variety $\mathcal{O}_1(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}))_\delta$ which would violate [LR2; Cor. 3.1.7] and [LR1; Cor. 3.4.5], as we argued above. So, $d = \pm 1$.

Consider now the obvious ring morphism $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, t \mapsto q$. It induces a map $\mathcal{O}_t(G_{m,n}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ under which the image of the above straightening relation gives a straightening relation in $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ which establishes the claim. ■

Remark 5.1.5 – Actually, Proposition 5.1.4 can be strengthened as follows. Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For all $(I, J) \in \underline{\text{inc}}(\Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n})$, there exists $e_{I,J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, in the straightening relation associated to (I, J) in (ASL-2), we have $c_{I \wedge J, I \vee J}^{I,J} = q^{e_{I,J}}$. Indeed, it is known that in the classical case where $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and $q = 1$, the coefficient $c_{I \wedge J, I \vee J}^{I,J}$ equals 1; see [GL; Cor. 7.0.4, p. 236]. Using specialisation methods as in the proof of Proposition 5.1.4, and using the notation therein, this easily leads to $d = 1$. The result follows.

Theorem 5.1.6 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. The \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on $\Pi_{m,n}$, which satisfies condition (C).

Proof. We have already mentioned that standard monomials on $\Pi_{m,n}$ form a basis of the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. Together with Proposition 5.1.3, this shows that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. Further, recall that we have the obvious realisation $(m; n, \dots, n; \iota)$ of $\Pi_{m,n}$ in a finite chain product discussed at the beginning of this subsection. Let us show that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ satisfies condition (C) with respect to this realisation. The existence of straightening relations as required by condition (v) of Definition 4.1 is proved by Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.4. It remains to show, for each pair $(I, J) \in \Pi_{m,n} \times \Pi_{m,n}$, the existence of commutation relations as required by condition (vi) of 4.1. If I and J are not comparable, this follows from the above, by point 2 in Remark 4.2. Suppose now I and J comparable. By Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.3, there exists a commutation relation as in (ASL-3) such that, if $d_{K,L}^{I,J} \neq 0$, then $K < I, J < L$ and $I \sqcup J = K \sqcup L$. But, since I and J are comparable, we have in particular that $d_{I \wedge J, I \vee J}^{I,J} = 0$. This finishes the proof. ■

5.2 Quantum Richardson varieties.

In this subsection, we are interested in some quotients of the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$. These rings are natural quantum analogues of coordinate rings on Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian $G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})$ (see the introduction).

In the sequel, we use the notation introduced before Corollary 4.11.

Definition 5.2.1 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. To each pair (α, β) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, we associate the quantum analogue of the homogeneous coordinate ring on the Richardson variety corresponding to (α, β) also called, to simplify, the quantum Richardson variety associated to (α, β) , defined as the quotient:

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$$

of $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k}))$ by the ideal generated by the complement $\Pi_\alpha^\beta = \Pi_{m,n} \setminus [\alpha, \beta]$ of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ in $\Pi_{m,n}$.

Theorem 5.2.2 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For any pair (α, β) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, the quantum Richardson variety $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$, which satisfies condition (C). In particular, it is an integral domain.

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem 5.1.6 and Corollary 4.11. ■

Theorem 5.2.3 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For any pair (α, β) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \leq (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \beta$,

$$\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\beta_k - \alpha_k) + 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.2.2, $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$. In particular, it is a quantum graded A.S.L. (in the sense of [LR1; Def.1.1.1])

on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$. Thus, its Gelfand-Kirillov dimension is $r_{\alpha, \beta} + 1$, where $r_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the rank of the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$; see [LR1; Prop. 1.1.4]. (Beware, the rank of an ordered set as defined in [LR1] differ from the definition adopted in the present paper by one.) But, it is easy to show that $\text{rk}([\alpha, \beta]) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\beta_k - \alpha_k)$. We are done. \blacksquare

Remark 5.2.4 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For any positive integer d , we let S_d denote the d -th symmetric group. Identify $S_m \times S_{n-m}$ in the natural way with a subgroup of S_n . Then, it is well known that $\Pi_{m,n}$ identifies with the quotient set $S_n / (S_m \times S_{n-m})$. More precisely, each coset of S_n modulo $S_m \times S_{n-m}$ has a unique minimal element (with respect to the Bruhat ordering). Then, $\Pi_{m,n}$ identifies with the set of minimal coset representatives via the assignement sending $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ to the permutation $w_\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-m})$, where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-m}$ are the elements of the complement of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ arranged in ascending order. It is then easily verified that, for each $\alpha \in \Pi_{m,n}$, the length of w_α is

$$\ell(w_\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2}m(m+1).$$

It follows that, for $\alpha \leq \beta \in \Pi_{m,n}$, the formula of Theorem 5.2.3 may be rewritten as:

$$\text{GKdim}(\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle) = \ell(w_\beta) - \ell(w_\alpha) + 1.$$

This applies in particular when the deformation parameter q equals 1 and shows that the Krull dimension of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the classical Richardson variety determined by α and β (under the Plücker embedding) is $\ell(w_\beta) - \ell(w_\alpha) + 1$ from which it follows that, as a projective variety, it has dimension $\ell(w_\beta) - \ell(w_\alpha)$. Hence, we recover a well known result (see for example [LLit; Theo. 16]).

We now investigate homological properties, namely the AS-Cohen-Macaulay and AS-Gorenstein properties. For an overview on these notions as well as details useful in the sequel, the reader is referred to [LR1; section 2] where, moreover, a list of further references is available.

Theorem 5.2.5 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers and $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$. For any pair (α, β) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is AS-Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2.2, $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. on the ordered set $[\alpha, \beta]$. Further, the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ is a distributive lattice, hence a wonderful poset in the sense of [LR1; Def. 2.2.3]. So, the result follows from a direct application of [LR1; Theo. 2.2.5]. \blacksquare

Remark 5.2.6 – Let $m \leq n$ be positive integers, $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$ and consider a pair (α, β) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$. We already argued that the \mathbb{N} -graded connected \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is a quantum graded A.S.L in the sense of [LR1; Def.1.1.1]. It follows from Lemma 1.2.3 and Remark 2.1.4 of [LR1] that $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is a noetherian algebra with enough normal elements (see [LR1; section 2.1]). Since, further, it is a domain and an AS-Cohen-Macaulay algebra, the fact that it is AS-Gorenstein or not can be read off from its Hilbert series. The interested reader may use section 4 of [LR1] to get details on this. However, the Hilbert series of $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is independant of the value of $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$ since the standard monomials form a basis of this algebra consisting of homogeneous elements. Hence, the \mathbb{k} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{k})) / \langle \Pi_\alpha^\beta \rangle$ is AS-Gorenstein if and only if the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Richardson variety X_α^β is Gorenstein.

Remark 5.2.7 – It is worth noting, at this point, that in the proof of Theorem 5.2.5 as well as in Remark 5.2.6, the degeneration of quantum analogues of Richardson varieties to quantum toric varieties is not used. Indeed, the proof of these results only relies on the notion of a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. as developed in section 3.

Remark 5.2.8 – We finish this work with a note concerning the normality of quantum Richardson varieties and, more generally, of symmetric quantum graded algebras with a straightening law satisfying condition (C).

1. Let R be a noetherian domain, and denote by Q its division ring of fractions. Then R is a maximal order in Q if, whenever T is a subring of Q such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq Q$ and there are elements $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ with $aTb \subseteq R$, then $T = R$. Recall that if, in addition, R is commutative, the above notion coincides with the usual notion of normality. Hence, we will say that a ring R is normal if it is a noetherian domain which is a maximal order in its division ring of fractions.
2. Let Π be a distributive lattice and consider maps $\mathbf{q} : \Pi \times \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$ and $\mathbf{c} : \text{inc}(\Pi \times \Pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^*$. Suppose further that standard monomials on Π are linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$. As shown in Theorem 2.7, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is an integral domain. Further, $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is noetherian by [LR1; Lemma 1.2.3], since it is a quantum graded A.S.L. It can be shown that $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ is a normal ring. The natural way to prove this goes beyond the scope of the present paper. Actually $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ turns out to belong to a class of natural quantum analogues of normal affine semigroup rings which can be shown to be normal domains. We intend to study this larger class of rings somewhere else.
3. Now, recall from Theorem 4.9 that if A is a symmetric quantum graded A.S.L. satisfying condition (C), then A can be filtered by an exhaustive separated filtration \mathcal{F} such that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is isomorphic to an algebra of type $\mathcal{A}_{\Pi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{c}}$ as in point 2 above. It follows that A is a normal ring by standard results on the maximal order property concerning filtrations and associated graded rings (see [MR; Chapter X] or [McCR; §5.1.6]).
4. As a consequence of point 3 above, quantum Richardson varieties are normal rings (see Theorem 5.2.2).

Appendix.

In the present appendix, we briefly recall the definition of Richardson varieties in the classical setting. For the sake of simplicity, we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and confine ourselves to Richardson varieties in the type A grassmannians. For this, we use results and notation from [GL; chap. 6]. Let m, n be positive integers such that $1 \leq m < n$. We let $G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the grassmannian of m dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $U \in G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$. To an arbitrary basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ of U we may associate the element $a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_m \in \bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n$ and its image $[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_m]$ in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$. Clearly, $[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_m]$ is independent of the choice of the basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ of U . Hence, we have defined a map $p : G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$; the famous Plücker map. As is well known, p is an embedding and its image is a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$, so that $G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ acquires the structure of a projective variety. We put $G = SL(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Recall the natural action of G on $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Identifying G with $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, we get the subgroups T, B, B^- of G corresponding to diagonal, upper-triangular and lower-triangular matrices. Denote by $\Pi_{m,n}$ the set of m -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_m) of integers such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$. Further, for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \Pi_{m,n}$, let $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m}$ (of course $[e_I] \in G_{m,n}$). It is easy to see that $\{[e_I], I \in \Pi_{m,n}\}$ is the set of fixed points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$ for the natural action of T . For $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$, the Schubert cell associated to I is defined as the B -orbit of $[e_I]$: $C_I = B.[e_I]$, while the Schubert variety, X_I , associated to I is defined as the Zarisky closure of C_I in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$: $X_I = \overline{C_I}$. It is

not difficult to show that Schubert cells partition $G_{m,n}$. Of course, we can do the same looking at B^- -orbits rather than B -orbits. We then get opposite Schubert cells and varieties: $C^I = B^-.[e_I]$ and $X^I = \overline{C^I}$, for all $I \in \Pi_{m,n}$. At this point, we may define the Richardson variety X_J^I associated to a pair (I, J) of elements of $\Pi_{m,n}$ as: $X_J^I = X^I \cap X_J$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[p_I, I \in \Pi_{m,n}]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$. The image by p of the grassmannian $G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of points in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying the Plücker relations. In addition, the algebra morphism from $\mathbb{C}[p_I, I \in \Pi_{m,n}]$ to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[X_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m]$ sending p_I to the $m \times m$ (formal) minor $[I]$ of the generic matrix (X_{ij}) built on rows i_1, \dots, i_m , where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$, induces an isomorphism of algebras between the homogeneous coordinate ring of $p(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ and the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[X_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m]$ generated by the elements $[I], I \in \Pi_{m,n}$.

Let us now endow $\Pi_{m,n}$ with the obvious product order induced by $\Pi_{m,n} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$. Consider $I, J \in \Pi_{m,n}$. It can be shown that the variety X_J is the intersection of $p(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ with the closed set of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by the vanishing of p_K , for $K \not\leq J$. Similarly, the variety X^I is the intersection of $p(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ with the closed set of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by the vanishing of p_K , for $I \not\leq K$. Hence, the variety X_J^I is the intersection of $p(G_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}))$ with the closed set of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by the vanishing of p_K , for $K \in \Pi_{m,n} \setminus [I, J]$. Notice that Schubert and opposite Schubert varieties are special cases of Richardson varieties since $\Pi_{m,n}$ has a lowest and a greatest element.

References.

- [B] G. Birkhoff. Lattice theory. Third edition. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXV American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1967.
- [BL] M. Brion, V. Lakshmibai. A geometric approach to standard monomial theory. Represent. Theory 7 (2003), 651–680.
- [DEP] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi. Hodge algebras. Astérisque, 91. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982.
- [D] V. Deodhar. On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells. Invent. Math. 79 (1985), no. 3, 499–511.
- [GLL1] K.R. Goodearl, S. Launois, T.H. Lenagan. Totally nonnegative cells and Matrix Poisson varieties. Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 779–826.
- [GLL2] K.R. Goodearl, S. Launois, T.H. Lenagan. Torus-invariant prime ideals in quantum matrices, totally nonnegative cells and symplectic leaves. To appear in Math. Z.
- [GL] N. Gonciulea, V. Lakshmibai. Flag varieties. Travaux en Cours, 63. Hermann, 2001.
- [H] T. Hibi. Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws. Commutative algebra and combinatorics (Kyoto, 1985), 93–109, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [KLen] G.R. Krause, T.H. Lenagan. Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [KL] V. Kreiman, V. Lakshmibai. Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian. Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 573–597, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.
- [LLit] V. Lakshmibai, P. Littelmann. Richardson varieties and equivariant K -theory. Special issue celebrating the 80th birthday of Robert Steinberg. J. Algebra 260 (2003), no. 1, 230–260.
- [LRag] V. Lakshmibai, K. Raghavan. Standard monomial theory. Invariant theoretic approach. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 137. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

- [LRes] V. Lakshmibai, N. Reshetikhin. Quantum flag and Schubert schemes. Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics (Amherst, MA, 1990), 145–181. Contemp. Math., 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [LL] S. Launois, T.H. Lenagan. From totally nonnegative matrices to quantum matrices and back, via Poisson geometry. To appear in the Proceedings of the Belfast Workshop on Algebra, Combinatorics and Dynamics 2009.
- [LLR] S. Launois, T.H. Lenagan, L. Rigal. Prime ideals in the quantum Grassmannian. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 13 (2008), no. 4, 697–725.
- [LR1] T.H. Lenagan, L. Rigal. Quantum graded algebras with a straightening law and the AS-Cohen-Macaulay property for quantum determinantal rings and quantum Grassmannians. J. Algebra 301 (2006), no. 2, 670–702.
- [LR2] T.H. Lenagan, L. Rigal. Quantum analogues of Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian. Glasg. Math. J. 50 (2008), no. 1, 55–70.
- [LRu] T.H. Lenagan, E.J. Russell. Cyclic orders on the quantum Grassmannian. Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues 33 (2008), no. 2, 337–350.
- [MR] G. Maury, J. Raynaud. Ordres maximaux au sens de K. Asano. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 808. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [McCR] J.C. McConnell, J.C. Robson. Noncommutative Noetherian rings. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [M] S. Morier-Genoud. Geometric lifting of the canonical basis and semitoric degenerations of Richardson varieties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 1, 215–235.
- [R] R. Richardson. Intersections of double cosets in algebraic groups. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 3 (1992), no. 1, 69–77.
- [S] R.P. Stanley. Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Y1] M. Yakimov. A classification of H -primes of quantum partial flag varieties. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 4, 1249–1261.
- [Y2] M. Yakimov. Invariant prime ideals in quantizations of nilpotent Lie algebras. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 101 (2010), no. 2, 454–476.

Laurent RIGAL,
 Université Paris 13, LAGA, UMR CNRS 7539, 99 avenue J.-B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse,
 France; e-mail: rigal@math.univ-paris13.fr

Pablo ZADUNAISKY,
 Universidad de Buenos Aires, FCEN, Departamento de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria -
 Pabellón I - (C1428EGA) - Buenos Aires, Argentina; e-mail: pzadub@dm.uba.ar