

Computational study of the equilibrium geometry and anharmonic vibrational spectra of PbX2**NO and PbX2**ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes

Andrzej T Kowal

► To cite this version:

Andrzej T Kowal. Computational study of the equilibrium geometry and anharmonic vibrational spectra of PbX2**NO and PbX2**ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes. Molecular Physics, 2010, 108 (12), pp.1665-1675. 10.1080/00268976.2010.489519 . hal-00606289

HAL Id: hal-00606289 https://hal.science/hal-00606289

Submitted on 6 Jul 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Molecular Physics



Computational study of the equilibrium geometry and anharmonic vibrational spectra of PbX2••NO and PbX2••ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes

Journal:	Molecular Physics
Manuscript ID:	TMPH-2010-0075.R1
Manuscript Type:	Full Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Apr-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Kowal, Andrzej; Wrocław University of Technology, Chemistry
Keywords:	lead(II) halide, anharmonic, effective core potential, VSCF, nitrogen monoxide





Molecular Physics

Computational study of the equilibrium geometry and anharmonic vibrational spectra of PbX₂...NO and PbX₂...ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes

Andrzej T. Kowal

Chemistry Department

Wrocław University of Technology, Wyb. St. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail: <u>andrzej.t.kowal@pwr.wroc.pl</u> Phone: +48 071 3203874 Fax: +48 071 3202441

Abstract

Equilibrium geometry parameters of the open shell PbX₂··NO and PbX₂··ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes have been computed by second-order Z-averaged perturbation theory (ZAPT2) with Stevens-Basch-Krauss-Jasien-Cundari (SBKJC) scalar-relativistic effective core potentials (RECP) and basis sets on all atoms. Equilibrium geometries of both PbX₂. NO and PbX₂. ON bonding isomers conform to C_s symmetry structure with end-on ligand coordination, and are characterized by Pb-N bond length within 266.6 – 271.7 pm range, Pb-O distance of 267.8 – 275.8 pm, Pb-N-O angle within 109.2 – 120.7 deg range, and Pb-O-N angle of 117.1 – 127.8 deg. Anharmonic vibrational spectra of the PbX₂. NO and PbX₂. ON complexes have been calculated by direct correlation-corrected vibrational self-consistent field (CC-VSCF) method enhanced with second-order perturbative correction using potential energy surfaces (PESs) determined at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level in curvilinear (internal) coordinates. Fundamental of v(Pb-N) stretching mode has been computed at 232.8 to 209.0 cm⁻¹ within PbX₂. NO series whereas v(Pb-O) stretching mode fundamental evaluation in PbX₂··ON series afforded wavenumbers within 183.2 - 150.7 cm⁻¹ range. Blue shift of the v(N=O) stretching mode wavenumber upon PbX₂..NO complex formation, computed in anharmonic approximation, 15.8 - 14.6 cm⁻¹, correctly reproduces the effect observed in the

low-temperature Ar matrix spectra of PbX2...NO compounds. Influence of complex formation on the v_s (Pb-X) and v_{as} (Pb-X) fundamentals of PbX₂ halides has also been discussed. Twodimensional mapping of the $V_{i,j}^{\text{coup}}(Q_i \,,\, Q_j)$ mode-mode coupling potential has been used to rationalize the origin of mode coupling related anharmonic corrections.

Key words: lead(II) halide, effective core potential, anharmonic, nitrogen monoxide

Molecular Physics

1. INTRODUCTION

Blue shift of the intra-ligand stretching mode of σ -donors like CO, NO and N₂, observed in the infrared spectra of fourth row divalent metal halides MX₂ (M=Ca, Cr, Mn, Ni, Cu, Zn) upon $MX_2 \cdot L$ complex formation [1,2] prompted increased interest in such complexes. Subsequently, similar effect has been detected in the low temperature Ar matrix infrared spectra of the species formed by SnX₂ and PbX₂ halides (X=F, Cl, Br, I) with carbon monoxide, dinitrogen and nitrogen monoxide [3]. Analysis of their infrared spectra led to the conclusion that the structure of $SnX_2 \cdot L$ and $PbX_2 \cdot L$ complexes consists of a bent MX_2 moiety with end-on coordinated ligand molecule and the blue shift of intra-ligand stretching mode can be explained in terms of electron density transfer from anti-bonding σ^* orbitals of the ligand to empty d orbitals of the metal [3]. Ab initio study of $PbX_2 \cdot L$ compounds (L=CO, N₂; X=F, Cl, Br, I) at MP2 level with relativistic effective core potentials (RECP) and basis sets of SBKJC+(d) type afforded description of equilibrium geometry of these species and correctly reproduced, at anharmonic level, shift of v(N=N) and v(C=O) stretching modes to higher energy upon complex formation [4]. Electronic structure of nitrogen monoxide [5] and its complexes with transition metals [6,7] have been extensively studied both experimentally and by computational chemistry methods. Interaction of NO with silver [8], gold [9], and platinum [10] small metal clusters as well as with transition metal clusters embedded in zeolites [11] has also been a subject of considerable interest with relation to heterogeneous catalysis. Variety of binding modes of the NO molecule to metal atoms, resulting in linear Ncoordinated, linear O-coordinated, bent N- or O-coordinated, and side-on η^2 coordinated structures have been either observed experimentally [6-11] or investigated by quantum chemistry methods [6,12]. Amongst the computational chemistry methods employed to the elucidation of electronic structure and properties of open-shell systems like nitrogen monoxide complexes with metals and metal ions, perturbational treatment of electron

Molecular Physics

correlation based on restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock (ROHF) reference wave function has been preferred to unrestricted Möller-Plesset (MP) perturbation theory because of better convergence properties and lesser sensitivity to spin contamination [13-17]. Characteristics of Z-averaged perturbation theory (ZAPT) [13,14], based on ROHF reference wave function, appear particularly interesting as the computational demand of the method is comparable to that of the closed-shell MP method [14] and it is entirely devoid of spin contamination at second order (ZAPT2) [14]. Moreover, since the analytic gradient expressions for second order ZAPT theory have been derived [15] and implemented in revised form within distributed data interface [16], thus enabling parallel execution of the code on computer clusters, the method seems even more attractive for treatment of large open-shell molecular systems and detailed PES scans on such molecules. Binding mode of the NO molecule and geometry of the complexes have been commonly inferred from the infrared spectra of species trapped in low temperature rare gas matrices [3,6] aided with density functional theory (DFT) calculations of the harmonic approximation vibrational spectra [6]. Properties of metal cluster bound NO have been studied by DFT method [8,10,12] or first-principles based simulation packages like VASP [9,11]. In most cases, vibrational spectra computed in the harmonic approximation have been used to establish NO binding mode and provide support to experimental transition assignments, except for the most recent VASP study on nitric oxide adsorption on Pd clusters in mordenite [11], where one-dimensional treatment of NO anharmonicity has been applied. The importance of anharmonic treatment of nitric oxide complexes becomes evident from the analysis of the latter data [11], where the red shift of v(N=O) stretching mode of Pd cluster bound NO is contrasted with the blue shift of this mode in mordenite supported Pd clusters.

Vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF) theory [18-22], an analog of self-consistent field method in electronic structure theory, provides a route to computation of anharmonic

Page 5 of 29

Molecular Physics

approximation vibrational spectrum by taking into account intrinsic anharmonicity related to vibrational motion along single normal mode in the mean field of the remaining modes, as well as that originating in inter-mode coupling. PES points required by the direct VSCF method are computed on a rectangular grid derived from normal mode displacements in rectilinear [23,24] or curvilinear (internal) [25] coordinates along single modes and mode pairs (and possibly mode triples) by electronic structure method of choice. It has been shown that VSCF method based on PES grid derived from normal mode displacements in curvilinear coordinates provides better description of low energy large amplitude vibrations [25] as compared to that employing grid deduced from rectilinear coordinates [23,24]. Correlation corrected extensions of VSCF method, termed CC-VSCF, based on either virtual configuration interaction (VCI) including singly and doubly excited states [23,26] or secondorder non-degenerate [23,26] and degenerate [27,28] perturbation theory account for intermode correlation effects. CC-VSCF method has been successfully applied to weakly bound species like rare gas (Rg) hydrogen fluoride HRgF complexes [29], HXeI [30], HXeOH [31], as well as to $PbX_2 \cdot L$ complexes (X = F, Cl, Br, I; L = CO, N₂) [4]. Noticeably, VSCF method provided correct explanation of the anomalous isotope effect in the spectrum of HXeOH [31], and a blue shift of $v(N \equiv N)$ and v(C=O) stretching modes upon PbX₂··L complex formation [4]. Having this in mind, one can anticipate that anharmonic level description of open-shell PbX₂..NO and PbX₂..ON species (X=F, Cl, Br, I) vibrational spectra by correlation corrected VSCF method seems feasible.

Present work reports on ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level computation of equilibrium geometry parameters and CC-VSCF anharmonic vibrational spectra of PbX₂··NO and PbX₂··ON bonding isomers (X=F, Cl, Br, I). Anharmonic wavenumber shifts of v(N=O), v_s(Pb-X), and v_{as}(Pb-X) fundamentals upon PbX₂··NO complex formation are compared to those observed in the low temperature Ar matrix spectra [3] and correspondingly to those of PbX₂··ON isomer species. Influence of mode-mode coupling strength on anharmonic corrections is also discussed.

2. METHODS

All computations of equilibrium geometry, harmonic approximation vibrational spectra, CC-VSCF fundamentals and overtone transitions were done within electronic structure suite of programs GAMESS [32]. Equilibrium geometry parameters of PbX₂ ··NO and PbX₂··ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes were evaluated under C_s symmetry constraints using parallel implementation [16] of second-order Z-averaged perturbation theory (ZAPT2) [13-15] and Stevens-Basch-Krauss-Jasien-Cundari (SBKJC) scalar-relativistic effective core potentials (RECP) and valence basis sets on all atoms [33-36]. Replacement of all-electron basis sets by effective core potentials does not lead to significant loss of accuracy even in case of 2-nd and 3-rd row elements [37]. SBKJC basis set was augmented with single d-type polarization and single L-type diffuse functions, denoted as SBKJC+(d). Harmonic approximation vibrational spectra of the complexes were computed from Hessians evaluated by numerical differentiation of analytic ZAPT2 gradients. Two-mode representation of the VSCF system potential [23,26,27], including single mode (diagonal) $V_1^{diag}(Q_i)$ and mode-mode coupling $V_{ij}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ terms was assumed, based on adequate description of PbX₂··L anharmonicity [4] by such truncated expression:

$$V(Q_1,...,Q_N) = \sum_{j}^{N} V_j^{diag}(Q_j) + \sum_{i}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i}^{N} V_{ij}^{coup}(Q_i,Q_j)$$

where N is the number of normal modes and Q_i and Q_j are i-th and j-th normal coordinates. Potential energy and dipole moment surfaces were computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level on 16 point grid (diagonal potentials) and 16x16 point square grid (coupling potentials) derived from normal mode displacements in curvilinear (internal) coordinates within $[-4\omega_i^{-0.5}, +4\omega_i^{-1}]$

Molecular Physics

^{0.5}] range (where ω_i is the frequency of i-th normal mode). Direct CC-VSCF method required calculation of 9360 PES points for each species. Intensities of fundamental transitions were evaluated using ZAPT2 dipole moment surfaces and VSCF wavefunctions of the corresponding states,

$$I_{i} = \frac{8\pi^{3}N_{a}}{3hc}\omega_{i} \left| \left\langle \Psi_{i}^{(0)}(Q_{i}) \right| \vec{\mu}(Q_{i}) \left| \Psi_{i}^{(m)}(Q_{i}) \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

where $\psi_i^{(0)}$ and $\psi_i^{(m)}$ denote VSCF wavefunctions of the ground and the m-th excited vibrational states of i-th normal mode, ω_i is the CC-VSCF vibrational frequency of this mode, and the remaining symbols have their regular meaning [26].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Equilibrium geometry

It seems worth noting that equilibrium bond length of free NO computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level (Table 1) is longer than experimental bond distance of 115.1 pm [38] by 4.1 pm, and longer by 3.4 pm with relation to that calculated by ZAPT2 method using triple-zeta quality basis set TZ2P [14]. Although overestimation of r(N=O) distance appears significant, it seems of secondary importance in computation aimed at anharmonic level description of vibrational transitions in PbX₂ ··NO and PbX₂··ON complexes, including blue shift of v(N=O) stretching mode upon complex formation. On the other hand, equilibrium bond distances and angles of lead halides evaluated under C_{2v} symmetry by MP2 method in the same basis set (Table 1) overestimate experimental gas phase geometry parameters derived from electron diffraction [39] to a less significant extent with the exception of PbF₂, where r(Pb-F) distance is longer than experimental r_g value by 1.4 pm (Table 1). Nonetheless,

the difference is almost negligible, even when $r_e < r_g$ relationship is taken into account [39]. A noticeable shortening of r(N=O) distance upon PbX₂. NO complex formation (0.2 – 0.3 pm) occurs in concord with halide dependent lengthening of r(Pb-X) distance, amounting to 1.4 pm (PbF₂··NO) – 2.3 pm (PbI₂··NO) (Table 1). Opposite change or no change in r(N=O) bond length is observed on formation of PbX_2 . ON species in accord with less pronounced increase in r(Pb-X) distance (0.7 - 1.0 pm) on going from fluoride to iodide. Equilibrium geometry of PbX₂..NO species (Figure 1), characterized by r(Pb-N) bond distance within 266.6 – 271.7 pm, and (Pb-N-O) angle of 109.2 - 120.7 deg, differs considerably from that of PbX₂··N₂ [4] complex by much shorter Pb-N bond length and less obtuse Pb-L-L angle (where L-L represents ligand molecule). Moreover, computed (Pb-N-O) angle in PbX₂. NO series nears that characteristic of N-coordinated NO molecule with sp² hybridized nitrogen atom in transition metal complexes [12]. Isomer complex molecule, PbX₂. ON (Figure 2) shows slightly longer Pb-O bond length (Table 1), varying between 267.8 and 275.8 pm, and more obtuse (Pb-O-N) angle, as compared to PbX₂...NO species. Despite remote similarity, it can be noted that the longest Pb-O bond distances of $Pb_4(OH)_4^{4+}$ (252 pm) [45] and $Pb_6O(OH)_6^{4+}$ (267.7 pm) [46] ions, computed at MP2 level in scalar relativistic ECP basis [45,46], are very close to those of PbX₂. ON series. One can also note that lengthening of r(Pb-L) distance and increase in (Pb-L-L) angle in both PbX2...NO and PbX2..ON isomers follows the order of decreasing electronegativity of halogen atoms and, in consequence, decreasing positive charge on Pb atom.

3.2. Anharmonic spectra of PbF₂..NO and PbF₂..ON complexes

Harmonic wavenumber of v(N=O) mode computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level (1890.1 cm⁻¹; Table 2) compares favorably against that computed by the same method in triple-zeta valence basis set, TZ2P (1895.8 cm⁻¹) [14]. VSCF computed fundamental of this mode (1869.1 cm⁻¹),

Page 9 of 29

Molecular Physics

including intrinsic (diagonal) anharmonicity, appears reasonably close to the transition wavenumber observed in the Ar matrix spectrum of NO (1875.0 cm⁻¹) [3], showing deviation typical of VSCF method for stretching modes [23-27]. Since there is no contribution to anharmonic correction from mean field effect or inter-mode correlation in the case of molecule with single vibrational mode, the aforementioned wavenumber of v(N=O)fundamental will be used to evaluate anharmonic shift of v(N=O) mode upon complex formation. VSCF computed anharmonic spectra of PbF₂··NO and PbF₂··ON complexes (Table 2) provide fundamentals corrected for intrinsic (diagonal) anharmonicity, mean field and mode-mode coupling (VSCF), and mode correlation at degenerate second order perturbational (DPT2-VSCF) level. For the reason stated above, v(N=O) fundamental of the coordinated NO, corrected for intrinsic anharmonicity, will be used to assess wavenumber shift due to complex formation. Fundamentals of PbF₂, computed at MP2/SBKJC+(d) level (Table 2), show negligible deviation from low temperature Ne matrix spectrum [42] whereas those of $v_s(Pb-F)$ and $v_a(Pb-F)$ modes in PbF_2 . NO complex overestimate transition wavenumbers measured in low temperature Ar matrix [3] by ~ 10 cm⁻¹, partly due to matrix polarizability effects [39]. It seems interesting to note that the harmonic approximation provides qualitatively incorrect description of v(N=O) mode shift on PbF₂..NO complex formation (-11.7 cm⁻¹) whereas the wavenumber difference of the respective fundamentals (+15.8 cm⁻¹) nears that deduced from experiment (+16.4 cm⁻¹) [3]. Although wavenumber shifts of $v_s(Pb-F)$ and $v_a(Pb-F)$ fundamentals on going from PbF_2 to PbF_2 . NO are lower than those estimated in the harmonic approximation, they are still larger than shifts assessed experimentally [3]. Wavenumber of high intensity v(Pb-N) fundamental at 232.8 cm⁻¹ is 10 cm⁻¹ lower than its harmonic counterpart, with majority of anharmonic correction coming from intrinsic anharmonicity and mean field / mode coupling effects. Same pattern of anharmonic corrections can be observed for all PbF2...NO fundamentals (Table 2), whereas

Molecular Physics

contribution to anharmonicity resulting from mode correlation appears significant only in the case of $v_a(Pb-F)$ mode, leading to 0.8 cm⁻¹ difference between VSCF and DPT2-VSCF wavenumbers. Analysis of the mode-mode coupling pattern of PbF₂. NO vibrational modes, represented as a two-dimensional mapping of $V_{i,j}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ mode coupling potential (Figure 3) on NxN PES grid,

$$P(Q_{i}, Q_{j}) = \begin{cases} N \sum_{p=1}^{N} |V(n_{ip})| \text{ if } i = j \\ \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} |V(n_{ip}, n_{jq})| \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where n_{ip} and n_{iq} are grid points and N is the number of grid points (N=16), reveals that intermode coupling contribution to VSCF anharmonic correction seems substantial for v_s (Pb-F) and $v_a(Pb-F)$, v(Pb-N) and $\rho_w(PbF_2)$, $\rho_w(PbF_2)$ and $\rho_t(PbF_2)$ mode pairs. Since no experimental evidence of PbX₂. ON complexes has been reported to date, their anharmonic spectra can be compared against those of PbX₂. NO isomer or structurally similar lead(II) compounds. Fundamental transitions of PbF2. ON isomer (Table 2) can be viewed as characteristics of O-coordinated NO molecule, as evidenced by 33.4 cm^{-1} red shift of v(N=O) stretching mode upon complex formation and less pronounced shifts of $v_s(Pb-F)$ and $v_a(Pb-F)$ fundamentals on going from PbF_2 to PbF_2 . ON. Wavenumber of v(Pb-O) fundamental, computed at 183.2 cm⁻¹, shows significant anharmonic correction due to intrinsic anharmonicity and mean field / mode coupling and appears remarkably close to the lowest energy v(Pb-O) stretching mode of $Pb_6O(OH)_6^{4+}$ ion (179 cm⁻¹) [46], evaluated in the harmonic approximation at MP2/ECP level. Anharmonic corrections of PbF₂...ON modes lack significant contribution from mode correlation with the exception of $\rho_t(PbF_2)$ twisting mode, where DPT2-VSCF fundamental appears 2.8 cm⁻¹ above its VSCF analog. Mapping of PbF₂..ON coupling potentials (Figure 4), evaluated at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level, indicates that $v_s(Pb-F)$ and $v_a(Pb-F)$, v(Pb-O) and $\rho_w(PbF_2)$, $\rho_w(PbF_2)$ and $\rho_t(PbF_2)$ mode pairs are strongly

Page 11 of 29

Molecular Physics

coupled, which leads in consequence to sizable VSCF anharmonic corrections of the respective fundamentals. Similarity of mode coupling patterns of PbF₂··NO and PbF₂··ON implies that interaction between mode pairs does not depend substantially on NO coordination mode, but rather involves fundamentals originating in analogous normal mode displacements, like $\rho_w(PbF_2)$ and $\rho_t(PbF_2)$ mode pair of both isomers. Same characteristics of the two-dimensional mapping of mode coupling potential pertain to the remaining members of PbX₂··NO and PbX₂··ON series, so that their coupling patterns are not reported here for the sake of brevity. VSCF computation predicts the most intense overtone and combination transitions of PbF₂··NO and PbF₂··ON complexes (Table 2a) to originate in v_s(Pb-F), v_a(Pb-F), v(Pb-N), v(Pb-O), $\rho_w(PbF_2)$, and τ (N=O) modes, which display high intensity fundamentals and are mutually coupled to an appreciable extent (Figures 2 and 3). Anharmonic corrections of overtones and combination transitions (Table 2a) almost exclusively stem from diagonal anharmonicity and mean field / coupling effects whereas contribution of inter-mode correlation appears nearly negligible.

3.3. Anharmonic spectra of PbCl₂···NO and PbCl₂··ON complexes

Fundamentals of PbCl₂, computed at MP2/SBKJC+(d) level, are reported in Table 3 as a reference enabling evaluation of wavenumber shift of v_s (Pb-Cl) and v_a (Pb-Cl) modes resulting from complex formation. Their wavenumbers overestimate experimental Ar matrix spectrum [3] by 12 – 29.7 cm⁻¹ due to matrix polarizability effects as well as inaccuracy of the VSCF method itself. Vibrational transitions of PbCl₂···NO and PbCl₂···ON isomers (Table 3) are weakly anharmonic with the exception of v(Pb-N), v(Pb-O), ρ_w (PbCl₂), ρ_t (PbCl₂), and τ (N=O) modes, whose DPT2-VSCF fundamentals are 4 – 10 cm⁻¹ lower in energy than their harmonic equivalents. Anharmonic corrections of PbCl₂··NO and PbCl₂··ON modes originate

Molecular Physics

for the most part in diagonal anharmonicity and mean field / coupling effects with minor contribution from mode-mode correlation. Wavenumber shift of v(N=O) fundamental on PbCl₂..NO complex formation is smaller than that calculated for PbF₂..NO species and amounts to 14.2 cm⁻¹, in accord with reduced σ donation from NO molecule to less positively charged lead ion [3]. Similar effect can be observed in the VSCF spectrum of PbCl₂..ON complex, where O-coordination of NO molecule generates oppositely signed shift of v(N=O)fundamental by -30.7 cm⁻¹ as compared to -33.4 cm⁻¹ in PbF₂...ON (Table 6). For the same reason the change in energy of v_s (Pb-Cl) and v_a (Pb-Cl) fundamentals on going from PbCl₂ to PbCl₂..NO, amounting to -8.9 cm⁻¹ and -8.6 cm⁻¹, respectively, is much smaller than that computed for the fluoride analog. The same effect is also responsible for the decreased wavenumber shifts of v_s(Pb-Cl) and v_a(Pb-Cl) fundamentals upon PbCl₂··ON complex formation. Discernible diminution of the wavenumbers of v(Pb-N) and v(Pb-O) fundamentals in PbCl₂..NO and PbCl₂..ON complexes with relation to fluoride analogs (Table 3) can be attributed to the weakening of Pb-N and Pb-O bonds on fluoride to chloride substitution caused by diminished σ donation from NO molecule, mentioned above. Anharmonic corrections of τ (N=O) torsional mode in PbCl₂··NO and PbCl₂··ON isomers stem for the most part from mean field / mode coupling effects and appear coordination mode dependent, leading to 18.6 cm⁻¹ difference between harmonic and VSCF wavenumber of this mode in the case of O-coordinated NO molecule.

3.4. Anharmonic spectra of PbBr₂··NO and PbBr₂··ON complexes

The assignment of $v_s(Pb-Br)$ and $v_a(Pb-Br)$ streching modes in the gas phase spectrum of PbBr₂, placing antisymmetric mode at higher energy than symmetric one [43] contradicts the order observed in other PbX₂ halides [3] and also that of VSCF computed fundamentals [4]

Molecular Physics

(Table 4). Therefore, a reversal of experimental assignment placing v_s (Pb-Br) mode higher in energy than v_a(Pb-Br) would be consistent with VSCF calculation and VSCF computed intensity pattern of these two fundamentals (Table 4). Fundamentals of PbBr2..NO and PbBr₂..ON isomers are weakly anharmonic with no significant contribution to anharmonicity from correlation correction. Fundamental of v(N=O) mode shows blue shift of 14.6 cm⁻¹ on PbBr₂···NO complex formation whereas creation of O-coordinated PbBr₂···ON species results in -28.0 cm⁻¹ red shift of this mode. It can also be noted (Table 4) that significant coupling of v(Pb-N) mode of PbBr₂. NO to $v_s(Pb-Br)$ symmetric stretch results in sizable increase of v_s (Pb-Br) fundamental intensity, making it almost indistinguishable from that of v_a (Pb-Br) antisymmetric stretching fundamental. Wavenumbers of $v_s(Pb-Br)$ and $v_a(Pb-Br)$ fundamentals in PbBr₂··NO are lower than those of the analogous modes in PbBr₂ by -3.0 and -6.3 cm⁻¹, respectively, while formation of PbBr₂. ON complex shifts both modes to lower energy by -3.1 cm⁻¹. A decrease in the energy of v(Pb-N) and v(Pb-O) fundamentals in PbBr₂..NO and PbBr₂..ON isomers with relation to those of the fluoride and chloride analogs follows the order of decreasing positive charge on lead(II) ion and, in consequence, decreasing Pb-N and Pb-O bond strengths. Interestingly, sensitivity of the vibrational modes involving PbBr₂ moiety as a whole, ρ_w (PbBr₂) and ρ_t (PbBr₂), to the NO coordination mode can be viewed as a demonstration of difference in Pb-N and Pb-O bond strengths.

3.5. Anharmonic spectrum of PbI₂··ON complex

Fundamental transitions of PbI₂ computed by DPT2-VSCF method (Table 5) are weakly anharmonic and their wavenumbers do not differ appreciably from Ar and Kr matrix spectra extrapolated to zero matrix polarizability [39]. Since the VSCF procedure did not converge in the case of PbI₂··NO complex, only anharmonic spectrum of PbI₂··ON species is reported in Table 5. The red shift of v(N=O) fundamental on PbI₂··ON complex formation (-30.4 cm⁻¹) exceeds that calculated in the harmonic approximation (-25.5 cm⁻¹), revealing the importance of anharmonic correction in the case of v(N=O) mode. The wavenumber of v(Pb-O) fundamental (150.7 cm⁻¹) differs from its harmonic counterpart by 8.6 cm⁻¹ due to nearly equal diagonal and mean field / mode coupling contributions to anharmonic correction. The energy of that mode is the lowest in PbX₂··ON series, in agreement with the trend observed for other members of the series. VSCF computed shifts of v_s(Pb-I) and v_a(Pb-I) fundamentals upon complex formation, -1.4 and -2.1 cm⁻¹, respectively, are significantly lower than those evaluated for the other PbX₂··ON species, due to dramatically weakened σ -donation from the ligand. In line with the characteristics of PbF₂··ON and PbCl₂··ON anharmonic spectra, the lowest energy τ (N=O) torsional mode fundamental shows exceptionally large anharmonic correction, stemming mostly from intrinsic anharmonicity with smaller contributions arising from VSCF and mode correlation effects.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Equilibrium geometry parameters of the open-shell PbX₂··NO and PbX₂··ON species, evaluated at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level, depict both isomers as NO molecule end-on coordinated to PbX₂ halide with NO molecular axis nearly parallel to the PbX₂ plane (Figures 1 and 2). Computation of the anharmonic approximation vibrational spectra of PbX₂··NO (X=F, Cl, Br) and PbX₂··ON (X=F, Cl, Br, I) complexes by DPT2-VSCF method at the same level of theory afforded satisfactory description of the experimental Ar matrix spectrum of PbF₂··NO species [3] and provided sensibly consistent predictions of the spectra of the remaining compounds. Harmonic approximation based wavenumber shift of the v(N=O) mode upon PbX₂··NO complex formation (Table 6) appears qualitatively incorrect as being oppositely signed to the experimental one, whereas that computed from VSCF fundamentals

Molecular Physics

agrees with experiment within a fraction of a wavenumber (PbF₂··NO). VSCF computed wavenumbers of v(Pb-N) and v(Pb-O) stretching mode fundamentals of the respective bonding isomers decrease in accord with the decreasing ionic character of Pb-X bond, following the order: F > Cl > Br > I. Energy changes of v_s(Pb-X) and v_a(Pb-X) fundamentals on going from PbX₂ to PbX₂··NO and PbX₂··ON species conform to the same trend (Table 6), with VSCF computed values being closer to the experiment. Despite substantial overestimation of r(N=O) bond length at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level, the fundamental of v(N=O) mode, corrected for intrinsic anharmonicity, provided satisfactory description of coordination mode dependent changes in v(N=O) mode wavenumber upon complex formation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A generous grant of the computer time from the Wrocław Center for Networking and Supercomputing (WCSS) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. D.A. Van Leirsburg, C. W. DeKock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 3235 (1972)
- 2. D.A. Van Leirsburg, C. W. DeKock, J. Phys. Chem. 78, 134 (1974)
- 3. D. Tevault, K. Nakamoto, Inorg. Chem. 15, 1282 (1975)
- 4. A. T. Kowal, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 761, 119 (2006)
- 5. Ch. A. Arrington, T. H. Dunning, Jr., D. E. Woon, J. Phys. Chem. A 111, 11185 (2007)
- 6. L. Andrews, A. Citra, Chem. Rev. 102, 885 (2002)
- 7. P. Hummel, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Gray, Theor. Chem. Account 119, 35 (2008)
- 8. J. Zhou, F. Xiao, W-N. Wang, K-N. Fan, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 818, 51 (2007)
- 9. W. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Luo, J. Yang, J. Chem. Phys. 129, 134708 (2008)
- 10. N. U. Zhanpeisov, H. Fukumura, J. Chem. Theory. Comput. 2, 801 (2006)
- 11. R. Grybos, L. Benco, T. Bučko, J. Hafner, J. Chem. Phys. 130, 104503 (2009)
- K. R. Sawyer, R. P. Steele, E. A. Glascoe, J. F. Cahoon, J. P. Schlegel, M. Head-Gordon, Ch. B. Harris, J. Phys. Chem. A 112, 8505 (2008)
- 13. T. J. Lee, D. Jayatilaka, Chem. Phys. Lett. 201, 1 (1993)
- 14. T. J. Lee, A. P. Rendell, K. G. Dyall, D. Jayatilaka, J. Chem. Phys. 100, 7400 (1994)
- 15. G. D. Fletcher, M. S. Gordon, R. S. Bell, Theor. Chem. Acc. 107, 57 (2002)
- 16. C. M. Aikens, G. D. Fletcher, M. W. Schmidt, M. S. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 014107 (2006)
- 17. S. E. Wheeler, W. D. Allen, H. F. Schaefer III, J. Chem. Phys. 128, 074107 (2008)
- 18. J. M. Bowman, J. Chem. Phys. 69, 608 (1978)
- 19. R. B. Gerber, M. A. Ratner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 68, 195 (1979)
- 20. H. Romanowski, J. M. Bowman, L. B. Harding, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 4155 (1985)
- 21. J. M. Bowman, Acc. Chem. Res. 19, 202 (1986)

3
4
5
6
7
8
à
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
∠∪ 21
∠ I 20
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
10
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
50
29
60

22. R. B. Gerber, M. A. Ratner, Adv. Chem. Phys. 70, 97 (1988)

- 23. J.O. Jung, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 10332 (1996)
- 24. Y. Miller, G. M. Chaban, and R. B. Gerber, Chem. Phys. 313, 213 (2005)
- 25. B. Njegic, M. S. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 224102
- 26. G.M. Chaban, J.O. Jung, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 111, 1823 (1999)
- 27. N. Matsunaga, G.M. Chaban, R.B. Gerber, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 3541 (2002)
- 28. K. Yagi, S. Hirata, K. Hirao, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10, 1781 (2008)
- 29. J. Lundell, G. M. Chaban, R. B. Gerber, Chem. Phys. Lett. 331, 308 (2000)
- 30. J. Lundell, M. Pettersson, L. Khriachtchev, M. Räsänen, G. M. Chaban, R. B. Gerber, Chem. Phys. Lett. 322, 389 (2000)
- 31. L. Khriachtchev, J. Lundell, M. Pettersson, H. Tanskanen, M. Räsänen, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 4758 (2002)
- M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. J. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. Su, T. L. Windus, M. Dupuis, J. A. Montgomery, J. Comput. Chem. 14, 1347 (1993)
- 33. W. J. Stevens, H. Basch, M. Krauss, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 6026 (1984)
- 34. W. J. Stevens, M. Krauss, H. Basch, P. G. Jasien, Can. J. Chem. 70, 612 (1992)
- 35. T. R. Cundari, W. J. Stevens, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5555 (1993)
- 36. B. M. Bode, M. S. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 111, 8778 (1999)

- 38. K. P. Huber, G. Herzberg, "Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules", Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York 1979
- 39. M. Hargittai, Chem. Rev. 2000, 2233 (2000)
- 40. M. Benavides-Garcia, K. Balasubramanian, J. Chem. Phys. 100, 2821 (1994)
- 41. S. Escalante, R. Vargas, A. Vela, J. Phys. Chem. A 103, 5590 (1999)
- 42. R. H. Hauge, J. W. Hastie, J. L. Margrave, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 45, 420 (1973)
- 43. L. Brewer, G. R. Somayajulu, E. Brackett, Chem. Rev. 63, 111 (1963)
- 44. G. Schaftenaar, J. H. Noordik, J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Design 14, 123 (2000)
- 45. J. O. Jensen, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 587, 111 (2002)
- 46. J. O. Jensen, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 635, 11 (2002)

Figure captions

Figure 1. Equilibrium geometry of PbX₂···NO complexes computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level (Molden [44] drawing).

Figure 2. Equilibrium geometry of PbX₂···ON complexes computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level (Molden [44] drawing).

Figure 3. Two-dimensional mapping of $V_{i,j}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ mode coupling potential of PbF₂··NO at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level. Modes are labeled in the order of decreasing harmonic wave number (first column of Table 2) and the relative strength of the potential is coded in shades of gray (0, white and 255, black).

Figure 4. Two-dimensional mapping of $V_{i,j}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ mode coupling potential of PbF₂··ON at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level. Modes are labeled in the order of decreasing harmonic wave number (first column of Table 2) and the relative strength of the potential is coded in shades of gray (0, white and 255, black).

Table 1. Structural parameters of PbX₂ halides and PbX₂··L (X=F, Cl, Br, I; L=NO)

complexes computed at MP2/SBKJC+(d) and ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level, respectively.

	r(Ph-X	[] [nm]	<(X	$-\mathbf{Ph}_{\mathbf{X}}$ [⁰]	r(Pb-L)	<(Pb-L)	$r(L-L)^e$
Compound) [piii]	S(21		[pm]	[°]	[pm]
	Calcd.	Exptl.	Calcd.	Exptl.	Calcd.	Calcd.	Calcd.
NO							119.2
PbF ₂	205.0	203.6^{a}	98.0	97.8 ^c , 98.5 ^a			
PbF ₂ NO	206.4		97.3		266.6	109.2	119.0
PbF ₂ ON	205.7		98.1		267.8	117.1	119.3
PbCl ₂	244.1	244.5^{a}	98.3	$96\pm3^{d}, 100.8$			
PbCl ₂ NO	245.7		98.6		269.1	115.5	119.0
PbCl ₂ ··ON	244.8		98.8		270.7	124.5	119.2
PbBr ₂	258.6	257.9 ^b	99.5	98.8 ^d			
PbBr ₂ ··NO	260.6		99.9		270.7	117.8	118.9
PbBr ₂ ON	259.5		100.1		273.5	125.5	119.2
PbI ₂	279.9	280.7^{a}	100.6	99.7 ^d			
PbI ₂ NO	282.1		101.1		271.7	120.7	118.9
PbI ₂ ··ON	280.9		101.1		275.8	127.8	119.2

Table 2. Vibrational transitions (cm⁻¹) of PbF₂, and PbF₂...NO, PbF₂...ON complexes calculated

at MP2/SBKJC+(d), ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level by direct VSCF method.

	Mode	Harmonic	Diagonal	VSCF	DPT2-VSCF	Intensity [km/mole]	Exptl. ^a	Assignment ^c
PbF ₂	1	550.7	549.0	548.1	546.7	88.8	545.7 ^b 531.4	v _s (Pb-F)
	2	527.5	529.4	524.2	523.5	132.0	522.5 ^b 507.2	$v_a(Pb-F)$
	3	163.7	163.8	162.6	162.6	14.1	170 ^b	δ(F-Pb-F)
NO	1	1890.1	1869.1			12.4	1875.0	v(N-O)
$PbF_2 \cdot \cdot NO$	1	1878.4	1884.9	1879.8	1879.8	3.0	1891.4	v(N-O)
	2	535.1	533.4	533.1	532.7	79.2	522.6	$v_{s}(Pb-F)$
	3	513.0	515.1	510.3	509.5	127.2	498.7	$v_a(Pb-F)$
	4	243.6	238.6	232.8	232.8	109.7		v(Pb-N)
	5	168.3	168.2	166.8	166.8	16.4		δ(F-Pb-F)
	6	128.2	127.2	123.4	123.7	1.2		$\rho_w(PbF_2)$ ip
	7	97.8	96.8	94.5	94.2	44.7		$\rho_w(PbF_2)$ op
	8	92.1	93.7	87.1	87.2	0.9		$\rho_t(PbF_2)$
	9	68.2	66.5	60.0	59.9	119.7		τ(N-O)
$PbF_2 \cdot \cdot ON$	1	1861.6	1835.7	1836.5	1836.4	28.6		v(N-O)
	2	542.6	540.9	540.7	540.3	81.1		$v_{s}(Pb-F)$
	3	519.9	521.9	517.3	516.5	130.0		$v_a(Pb-F)$
	4	196.3	190.6	183.1	183.2	91.0		v(Pb–O)
	5	165.1	165.0	163.9	163.9	13.9		δ(F-Pb-F)
	6	105.0	103.6	98.4	98.8	0.9		$\rho_w(PbF_2)$ ip
	7	79.1	78.2	76.2	75.8	51.6		$\rho_w(PbF_2)$ op
	8	75.9	70.6	61.3	58.4	123.0		τ(N-O)
	9	74.9	76.4	69.8	72.6	15.3		$\rho_t(PbF_2)$

^a – Ar matrix data Ref. [3]

^b – Ne matrix data Ref. [42]

 c – v- stretching, δ - deformation, ρ_{r} – rocking, ρ_{w} – wagging, ρ_{t} – twisting, τ – torsional, ip –

in-phase mode, op – out-of-phase mode

Table 2a. Most intense (I > 1.0 km/mole) overtone and combination transitions (cm⁻¹) of

PbF₂, and PbF₂..NO, PbF₂..ON complexes calculated at MP2/SBKJC+(d),

	Harmonic	Diagonal	VSCF	DPT2-VSCF	Intensity [km/mole]	Assignment ^a
PbF ₂	1078.2	1078.4	1071.8	1069.9	1.2	$v_{s}(Pb-F) + v_{a}(Pb-F)$
PbF ₂ NO	1048.2	1048.5	1042.6	1040.8	1.2	$v_{s}(Pb-F) + v_{a}(Pb-F)$
	603.3	599.9	593.3	592.9	2.9	$v_{s}(Pb-F) + \tau(N-O)$
	581.2	581.5	570.5	569.7	4.7	$v_a(Pb-F) + \tau(N-O)$
	487.2	471.9	458.1	458.1	1.6	2 v(Pb-N)
	371.8	365.8	353.5	353.5	2.8	$v(Pb-N) + \rho_w(PbF_2)$ ip
	341.4	335.4	325.2	325.2	1.1	$v(Pb-N) + \rho_w(PbF_2)$ op
	311.8	305.1	291.3	291.3	1.2	$v(Pb-N) + \tau(N-O)$
	196.4	193.6	181.9	181.9	4.6	$\rho_w(PbF_2)$ ip + $\tau(N-O)$
	136.3	131.8	117.8	117.7	3.3	2 τ(N-O)
PbF ₂ ON	1062.5	1062.8	1057.3	1055.4	1.2	$v_{s}(Pb-F) + v_{a}(Pb-F)$
	618.4	611.5	602.4	602.0	2.8	$v_a(Pb-F) + \tau(N-O)$
	595.8	592.5	579.0	578.3	5.3	$v_a(Pb-F) + \tau(N-O)$
	392.6	374.9	357.5	357.5	2.5	2 v(Pb–O)
	301.4	294.2	276.7	276.6	2.3	$v(Pb-O) + \rho_w(PbF_2)$ ip
	275.5	268.9	257.0	257.0	1.6	$v(Pb-O) + \rho_w(PbF_2)$ op
	180.9	174.2	156.9	156.9	4.1	$\rho_{\rm w}({\rm PbF}_2)$ ip + $\tau({\rm N-O})$
	158.3	155.5	150.7	150.7	1.3	$2 \rho_w(PbF_2) op$
	155.0	148.8	136.8	136.8	6.0	$\rho_{\rm w}({\rm PbF}_2)$ op + $\tau({\rm N-O})$
	151.7	137.0	116.8	116.8	3.3	2 T(N-O)
	150.8	147.0	126.4	126.4	1.2	τ (N-O) + ρ_t (PbF ₂)

^a – v - stretching, δ - deformation, ρ_w – wagging, ρ_t – twisting, τ – torsional, ip – in-phase

mode, op – out-of-phase mode

Table 3. Vibrational transitions (cm⁻¹) of PbCl₂ and PbCl₂...NO, PbCl₂..ON complexes

calculated at MP2/SBKJC+(d), ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level by direct VSCF method.

	Mode	Harmonic	Diagonal	VSCF	DPT2-VSCF	Intensity [km/mole]	Exptl ^a	Assignment ^c
PhCl	1	340.1	348.2	347.0	347.0	50.5	321.6	(Dh Cl)
r oci ₂	1	221.1	222.1	220.6	220.6	106.6	200.0	$V_{\rm s}(\rm Pb-Cl)$
	2	1115	111 5	111.0	111.0	2.0	299.9	$V_a(PD-CI)$
NO	3	111.5	111.5	111.0	111.0	3.9	99	ð(CI-Pb-CI)
NO	1	1890.1	1869.1			12.4	1875.0	v(N-O)
PbCl ₂ ··NO	1	1886.0	1883.3	1881.9	1881.9	4.1		v(N-O)
	2	340.0	339.2	339.0	339.0	47.6		$v_s(Pb-Cl)$
	3	322.3	323.3	321.0	321.0	99.0		v_a (Pb-Cl)
	4	228.3	223.9	219.5	219.5	106.6		v(Pb-N)
	5	122.1	121.8	118.2	118.3	0.9		$\rho_w(PbCl_2)$ ip
	6	107.3	107.2	106.1	106.1	10.5		δ(Cl-Pb-Cl)
	7	79.4	80.1	76.2	76.8	7.2		$\rho_t(PbCl_2)$
	8	76.6	76.2	74.2	74.1	21.2		$\rho_w(PbCl_2)$ op
	9	36.7	40.5	34.9	34.1	128.3		τ(N-O)
PbCl ₂ ON	1	1864.3	1838.4	1838.7	1838.7	25.6		v(N-O)
	2	344.9	344.1	344.0	344.0	46.3		v_s (Pb-Cl)
	3	327.3	328.3	326.1	326.1	102.5		v_a (Pb-Cl)
	4	180.6	174.9	170.4	170.4	79.9		v(Pb-O)
	5	111.6	111.7	111.0	111.0	3.4		δ(Cl-Pb-Cl)
	6	93.9	92.4	88.6	88.8	10.9		$\rho_w(PbCl_2)$ ip
	7	67.0	68.0	63.4	63.5	8.5		$\rho_t(PbCl_2)$
	8	63.2	62.8	61.8	61.5	27.1		$\rho_w(PbCl_2)$ op
	9	53.9	41.8	35.3	35.2	138.6		τ(N-O)

^a – Ar matrix data Ref. [3]

^b – gas phase data Ref. [43]

 c – v - stretching, δ - deformation, ρ_{r} – rocking, ρ_{w} – wagging, ρ_{t} - twisting, τ – torsional, ip –

in-phase mode, op – out-of-phase mode

Table 4. Vibrational transitions (cm⁻¹) of PbBr₂ PbBr₂··NO, PbBr₂··ON complexes calculated

	Mode	Harmonic	Diagonal	VSCF	DPT2-VSCF	Intensity [km/mole]	Exptl.	Assignment ^c
PbBr ₂	1	232.2	231.8	231.6	231.6	22.6	247 ^a	v _s (Pb-Br)
_	2	222.8	223.3	222.1	222.1	60.0	251 ^a	$v_{a}(Pb-Br)$
	3	71.7	71.7	71.5	71.5	1.1	59 ^a	δ(Br-Pb-Br)
NO	1	1890.1	1869.1			12.4	1875.0 ^b	v(N-O)
PbBr ₂ ··NO	1	1888.6	1883.7	1881.4	1881.3	4.7		v(N-O)
	2	231.2	230.7	228.6	228.6	55.4		$v_{s}(Pb-Br)+\langle (Pb-N) \rangle$
	3	216.4	216.8	215.8	215.8	55.7		v _a (Pb-Br)
	4	213.9	213.4	209.0	209.0	65.0		$v(Pb-N)+v_s(Pb-Br)$
	5	114.6	113.4	109.8	109.8	4.8		$\rho_{\rm w}({\rm PbBr}_2)$ ip
	6	72.7	72.7	71.8	71.8	6.6		δ(Br-Pb-Br)
	7	62.0	62.7	59.8	61.1	5.0		$\rho_t(PbBr_2)$
	8	58.1	58.0	56.9	56.8	8.5		$\rho_w(PbBr_2)$ op
	9	12.9	31.9	26.5	24.9	119.0		τ(N-O)
$PbBr_2 \cdot \cdot ON$	1	1863.9	1841.1	1840.0	1840.0	25.7		v(N-O)
	2	229.4	228.9	228.5	228.5	21.3		$v_{s}(Pb-Br)$
	3	219.8	220.3	219.0	219.0	57.6		$v_a(Pb-Br)$
	4	170.2	166.9	165.1	165.2	75.6		v(Pb-O)
	5	90.3	91.0	89.2	89.2	15.4		$\rho_w(PbBr_2)$ ip
	6	71.1	71.1	70.9	70.9	4.1		$\delta(Br-Pb-Br)$
	7	55.2	57.7	52.8	53.1	77.9		$\rho_t(PbBr_2)$
	8	48.5	48.3	47.3	47.3	13.9		$\rho_w(PbBr_2)$ op
	9	47.8	47.3	47.2	47.1	65.3		τ(N-O)
^a – gas ph ^b - Ar mat	ase dat	ta Ref. [43	·]		10			

^b - Ar matrix data Ref. [3]

 c - ν - stretching, δ - deformation, ρ_{r} - rocking, ρ_{w} - wagging, ρ_{t} - twisting, τ - torsional, ip -

in-phase mode, op – out-of-phase mode

Molecular Physics

 Table 5. Vibrational transitions (cm⁻¹) of PbI₂ and PbI₂...ON complex calculated at

MP2/SBKJC+(d), ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level by direct VSCF method.

	Mode	Harmonic	Diagonal	VSCF	DPT2-VSCF	Intensity [km/mole]	Exptl.	Assignment ^c
PbI ₂	1	176.7	176.5	176.3	176.3	13.2	168 ^a	v _s (Pb-I)
	2	171.8	172.1	171.3	171.3	46.0	163 ^a	v _a (Pb-I)
	3	54.3	54.3	54.1	54.1	0.4	43 ^a	δ(I-Pb-I)
NO	1	1890.1	1869.1			12.4	1875.0 ^b	v(N-O)
PbI ₂ ON	1	1864.6	1838.7	1838.9	1838.9	22.4		v(N-O)
	2	175.5	175.3	174.8	174.9	17.1		$v_{s}(Pb-I)$
	3	169.4	169.7	169.2	169.2	45.0		$v_a(Pb-I)$
	4	159.4	155.7	150.8	150.7	57.0		v(Pb-O)
	5	85.9	83.4	79.3	79.4	11.8		$\rho_w(PbI_2)$ ip
	6	54.8	54.8	54.6	54.6	2.9		δ(I-Pb-I)
	7	48.6	48.7	43.8	44.8	51.9		$\rho_t(PbI_2)$
	8	42.3	42.3	41.6	41.4	7.9		$\rho_w(PbI_2)$ op
	9	40.5	30.7	25.1	23.9	72.5		τ(N-O)

^a – Ar and Kr matrix data extrapolated to zero polarizability Ref. [39]

^b – Ar matrix data Ref. [3]

^c – v - stretching, δ - deformation, ρ_r – rocking, ρ_w – wagging, ρ_t - twisting, τ – torsional, ip –

in-phase mode, op - out-of-phase mode

Table 6. Effect of complex formation on wavenumbers of v(N-O) and v(Pb-X) stretching modes computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level in harmonic (Harm) and DPT2-VSCF (Anh) approximation.

Complex	$\Delta v (N-O)^b$			2	$\Delta v_{s}(Pb-X)$) ^c	$\Delta v_a (Pb-X)^c$			
-	Harm	Anh	Exptl ^a	Harm	Anh	Exptl ^a	Harm	Anh	Exptl ^a	
PbF ₂ ··NO	-11.7	15.8	16.4	-15.6	-14.0	-8.8	-14.5	-14.0	-8.5	
PbF ₂ ON	-28.5	-33.4		-8.1	-6.4		-7.6	-7.0		
PbCl ₂ NO	-4.1	14.2		-9.1	-8.9		-8.8	-8.6		
PbCl ₂ ON	-25.8	-30.7		-4.2	-3.9		-3.8	-3.5		
PbBr ₂ NO	-1.5	14.6		-1.0	-3.0		-6.4	-6.3		
PbBr ₂ ON	-26.2	-28.0		-2.8	-3.1		-3.0	-3.1		
PbI ₂ ON	-25.5	-30.4		-1.2	-1.4		-2.4	-2.1		

^a – Ar matrix spectra Ref. [3]

^b - $\Delta v(N-O) = v(N-O)_{complex} - v(N-O)$; anharmonic shifts computed from wavenumbers

corrected for single mode (diagonal) anharmonicity

^c - Δv (Pb-X)= v(Pb-X)_{complex} - v(Pb-X)_{halide}



Figure 1. Equilibrium geometry of PbX₂···NO complexes computed at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level (Molden [44] drawing).



URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph





Figure 3. Two-dimensional mapping of $V_{i,j}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ mode coupling potential of PbF₂··NO at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level. Modes are labeled in the order of decreasing harmonic wave number (first column of Table 2) and the relative strength of the potential is coded in shades of gray (0, white and 255, black).



Figure 4. Two-dimensional mapping of $V_{i,j}^{coup}(Q_i, Q_j)$ mode coupling potential of PbF₂··ON at ZAPT2/SBKJC+(d) level. Modes are labeled in the order of decreasing harmonic wave number (first column of Table 2) and the relative strength of the potential is coded in shades of gray (0, white and 255, black).

For peer Periew only

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/tmph