

# REVIEW ARTICLE: CHRONIC HEPATITIS B -ANTIVIRAL OR IMMUNOMODULATORY THERAPY?

Vincent Rijckborst, Milan J Sonneveld, Harry L Janssen

## ► To cite this version:

Vincent Rijckborst, Milan J Sonneveld, Harry L Janssen. REVIEW ARTICLE: CHRONIC HEPATI-TIS B - ANTIVIRAL OR IMMUNOMODULATORY THERAPY?. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2010, 33 (5), pp.501. 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04555.x. hal-00604414

## HAL Id: hal-00604414 https://hal.science/hal-00604414

Submitted on 29 Jun 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

## REVIEW ARTICLE: CHRONIC HEPATITIS B - ANTIVIRAL OR IMMUNOMODULATORY THERAPY?

Journal:	Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics
Manuscript ID:	APT-0707-2010.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Review Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Oct-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Rijckborst, Vincent; Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Gastroenterology & Hepatology Sonneveld, Milan; Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Gastroenterology & Hepatology Janssen, Harry L; Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Gastroenterology & Hepatology
Keywords:	Viral hepatitis < Hepatology, Hepatitis B < Hepatology, X keyword = no topic , Y keyword = no topic



## REVIEW ARTICLE: CHRONIC HEPATITIS B - ANTIVIRAL OR IMMUNOMODULATORY THERAPY?

Vincent Rijckborst<sup>1</sup>, Milan J. Sonneveld<sup>1</sup>, Harry L.A. Janssen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Short title: Therapy for chronic hepatitis B

Key words: Chronic hepatitis B; Nucleos(t)ide analogues; Interferon

## **Contact information**

Prof. H.L.A. Janssen, MD PhD

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam

's Gravendijkwal 230, room Ha 204

3015 CE Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Tel: +31 (0) 10 703 5942

Fax: +31 (0) 10 436 5916

E-mail: h.janssen@erasmusmc.nl

#### SUMMARY

**Background:** First-line treatment options for chronic hepatitis B (CHB) consist of nucleos(t)ide analogues (NA) with a high barrier to resistance (entecavir and tenofovir) or the immunomodulatory agent peginterferon (PEG-IFN). The optimal choice for individual patients remains controversial.

**Aim:** To review treatment options for CHB, with a focus on deciding between prolonged NA therapy or a finite course of PEG-IFN.

**Methods:** A comprehensive literature search was undertaken.

**Results:** Long-lasting, treatment-maintained suppression of hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA without resistance is achievable in most patients by entecavir or tenofovir. A sustained off-treatment response is however unlikely and long-term therapy must be anticipated. PEG-IFN offers a higher rate of sustained response in a subgroup of patients, but is frequently complicated by side-effects. Pretreatment predictors of response, including HBV genotype, alanine aminotransferase and HBV DNA levels, aid in selecting patients for PEG-IFN therapy. Furthermore, on-treatment markers such as quantitative hepatitis B surface antigen may be applied to identify nonresponders early during the PEG-IFN treatment course, thereby preventing unnecessary treatment.

**Conclusions:** Both NA and PEG-IFN can be prescribed as first-line treatment options for CHB. However, PEG-IFN should only be considered for patients with a high chance of response based on pretreatment and on-treatment factors.

Word count: 199 (max. 200)

### INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) remains a major cause of liver disease worldwide. Although the global prevalence has fallen since the introduction of effective vaccination programmes, there are still more than 350 million people who are chronically infected with the hepatitis B virus (HBV).<sup>1</sup> Progression of HBV-related liver disease to cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is estimated to result in 0.5-1.2 million annual deaths.<sup>1</sup>

The immunomodulatory agent interferon (IFN-)alfa has been a mainstay in the treatment of CHB since it was licensed for this indication in the early 1990s. In the last decade great strides have been made in the treatment of CHB with the introduction of nucleos(t)ide analogues (NA) and pegylated forms of IFN (PEG-IFN). The increasing number of treatment options has added to the complexity of antiviral therapy for CHB, leading to the development of multiple international practice guidelines in recent years.<sup>2-4</sup> All of these guidelines support both NA and PEG-IFN as first-line treatment options, but the optimal choice for individual patients remains controversial. This review will focus on deciding between NA and PEG-IFN therapy.

## MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC HEPATITIS B

### Phases of infection

Patients with CHB may present in any one of four, not necessarily sequential, phases of infection.<sup>5</sup> During the immunotolerant phase, which is common among perinatally infected patients, the hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) is detectable and the serum HBV DNA level is high (>100,000 copies/mL or >20,000 IU/mL), while the serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) concentration is within the normal range and liver histology

shows minimal inflammation. In the immunoactive phase, the host immune response results in a decline in HBV DNA level, elevated ALT level and hepatic inflammation on liver biopsy. During this phase HBeAg loss and seroconversion to anti-HBe can occur. HBeAg seroconversion is often followed by the inactive carrier state characterized by a low serum HBV DNA level (<10,000 copies/mL or <2,000 IU/mL) and normalization of ALT. However, in a significant proportion of HBeAg-negative patients viral replication recurs or persists at higher levels, resulting in HBeAg-negative CHB. This phase of the infection develops through presence of viral strains harbouring mutations in the precore or basal core promoter region that reduce or abolish the expression of HBeAg.<sup>6</sup>

## Patients eligible for antiviral treatment

There continues to be a debate as to when antiviral treatment should be initiated in patients with precirrhotic HBV-related liver disease.<sup>7</sup> The guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) and those of the Asian-Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL) advocate treatment for patients who remain HBeAg positive with serum HBV DNA levels >20,000 IU/mL in combination with persistently elevated ALT levels (>2 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) over a 3-6 month period).<sup>3, 4</sup> According to the AASLD guidelines, the same HBV DNA and ALT criteria apply to HBeAg-negative patients, but it is emphasized that treatment should also be considered for these patients if the HBV DNA concentration is >2,000 IU/mL combined with a liver biopsy showing moderate to severe necroinflammation and/or fibrosis.<sup>4</sup> The APASL guidelines recommend to consider antiviral treatment for HBeAg-negative patients with an HBV DNA level >2,000 IU/mL and ALT levels persistently >2 x ULN.<sup>3</sup> According to the 2009 HBV guidelines of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) antiviral therapy should be considered, irrespective of HBeAg status,

if HBV DNA levels are >2,000 IU/mL, ALT levels are >1 x ULN and if a liver biopsy shows moderate to severe necroinflammation and/or fibrosis.<sup>2</sup>

### **Treatment goals**

Since complete eradication of HBV is rarely achieved due to persistence of covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) in host hepatocytes,<sup>8</sup> the main goal of antiviral treatment is to prevent progression to cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation and HCC.<sup>9</sup> Since these events develop only after decades of infection, clinical studies have focussed on various short-term, surrogate outcomes to assess treatment efficacy.<sup>4, 9</sup> The most widely used surrogate markers include suppression of HBV DNA levels and HBeAg loss with or without appearance of anti-HBe in HBeAg-positive patients (virologic response), normalization of serum ALT (biochemical response) and improvement of liver histology (histological response).<sup>4</sup>

Parameters used to assess treatment response differ between NA and PEG-IFN therapy because of their different modes of action. NA suppress viral replication by competitively inhibiting viral polymerase activity, while PEG-IFN has both immunomodulatory and weak antiviral properties.<sup>10, 11</sup> During NA therapy, maintained suppression of HBV DNA is the main goal given the risk of developing antiviral resistance associated with detectable HBV DNA levels.<sup>12</sup> The main goal of PEG-IFN therapy is to achieve immunological control over HBV resulting in a sustained response after a finite treatment course.

In HBeAg-positive patients treated with PEG-IFN, HBeAg seroconversion is frequently used as a primary endpoint since it is associated with increased survival and a reduced risk of developing HCC.<sup>13-15</sup> In contrast, suppression of serum HBV DNA below the detection limit of a sensitive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay is often applied for NA therapy in HBeAg-positive CHB. In HBeAg-negative patients, suppression of HBV DNA levels in combination with normalization of ALT is considered the most important

treatment goal. Whilst HBV DNA undetectability is pursued during NA treatment, an HBV DNA level <2,000 IU/mL (~10,000 copies/mL) is often used to define a sustained offtreatment response to PEG-IFN therapy.<sup>2</sup> Large population-based studies have shown that an HBV DNA concentration below this threshold is associated with a low risk of cirrhosis and HCC.<sup>13, 16, 17</sup> Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) seroclearance is the ultimate surrogate endpoint as it is associated with improved outcomes, provided that it occurs at a younger age and precedes the development of cirrhosis.<sup>18</sup>

## Approved therapies

Current treatment options can be summarized into NA therapy (lamivudine (LAM), adefovir (ADV), telbivudine (LdT), entecavir (ETV) and tenofovir (TDF)) and IFN-based therapy (conventional IFN and PEG-IFN), which have all been investigated in randomized clinical trials. Virologic and biochemical response rates for HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB at 1 year of therapy are summarized in table 1. These trials used different virologic assays and were not head-to-head comparisons for all the agents. Efficacy results of up to 5 years of continuous treatment are available for several NA. Furthermore, long-term off-treatment follow-up results for PEG-IFN have been reported.

### ANTIVIRAL AGENTS

## Low barrier to resistance

*Lamivudine* LAM was the first NA approved for the treatment of CHB in the late 1990s. Early randomized controlled trials evaluated its use against placebo, while LAM has also served as control arm in trials with newer antiviral agents. In the treatment of HBeAgpositive disease, HBeAg seroconversion occurred in 18% of patients and HBV DNA was

suppressed to undetectable levels (<300 copies/mL) in 36% of patients at 1 year of therapy.<sup>19</sup> Extended therapy resulted in increasing cumulative rates of HBeAg seroconversion, reaching 47% at 4 years 20-22. In HBeAg-negative CHB, HBV DNA undetectability (<300 copies/mL) was achieved in as much as 72% of patients after 1 vear of LAM therapy.<sup>23</sup> However, virologic response rates (HBV DNA <1000 copies/mL) progressively decreased during longer-term continuous LAM therapy to 34% at 4 years.<sup>24</sup>

Amelioration of necroinflammatory and fibrosis scores on liver biopsy was observed in patients with LAM induced viral suppression,<sup>25</sup> and the risk of hepatic decompensation and HCC in patients with advanced liver disease (bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis) was reduced.<sup>26</sup> However, LAM is not considered a first-line agent for CHB anymore because of its low barrier to resistance resulting in a high rate of drug-resistant mutations in the tyrosine-methionine-aspartate-aspartate (YMDD) motif of the HBV polymerase. LAM resistance occurred in up to 70% of patients after 5 years of therapy (figure 1).<sup>2</sup> The development of antiviral resistance is usually followed by a rise in HBV DNA and 4.6 reactivation of disease.<sup>12, 25, 27</sup>

## Intermediate barrier to resistance

Adefovir ADV was the second agent licensed for the treatment of CHB. Treatment with ADV 10 mg daily for 1 year resulted in HBeAg seroconversion in 12% of HBeAg-positive patients and 21% achieved HBV DNA negativity by PCR (<400 copies/mL).<sup>28</sup> At 5 years on ADV therapy, HBeAg seroconversion was observed in 48% of patients and 39% had an HBV DNA level <1000 copies/mL.<sup>29</sup> After 1 year of ADV therapy in HBeAg-negative CHB, 51% of patients became negative for HBV DNA (<400 copies/mL).<sup>30</sup> The percentage of patients receiving prolonged ADV therapy who had HBV DNA <1000

copies/mL increased to 67% at 5 years and improvement of histological findings was observed in >70% of patients.<sup>31, 32</sup>

The rate of selection for ADV resistant HBV is lower compared with LAM: ADV resistant mutations were not present after 1 year. However, following 5 years of ADV therapy resistant mutations were detected in up to 29% of patients, which, in addition to the low antiviral potency of ADV, precludes its use as a first-line agent (figure 1).<sup>2</sup>

*Telbivudine* LdT demonstrated significantly greater antiviral efficacy compared with LAM for the treatment of both HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB.<sup>33</sup> In HBeAg-positive patients, HBeAg seroconversion was observed in 23% and 30% of patients after 1 and 2 years of LdT therapy, respectively.<sup>33, 34</sup> HBV DNA suppression (<300 copies/mL) was observed in 56% of patients at year 2.<sup>33</sup> In HBeAg-negative patients, HBV DNA became undetectable in 88% of patients after 1 year of LdT.<sup>33</sup> The corresponding rate at 2 years decreased to 82%.<sup>34</sup>

Despite the high potency of LdT, virologic response rates deteriorate over time due to the emergence of LdT resistance. LdT resistance mutations are cross-resistant with LAM and were found in up to 22% of patients after 2 years of therapy (figure 1).<sup>2, 12</sup> The role of LdT is therefore limited in the treatment of patients with CHB.

#### High barrier to resistance

*Entecavir* ETV showed greater antiviral potency than LAM in the treatment of patients with CHB. In HBeAg-positive patients, HBV DNA was suppressed to below the limit of detection (300 copies/mL) in 67% of patients and HBeAg seroconversion occurred in 21% of patients after 1 year.<sup>19</sup> Cumulative rates of HBV DNA undetectability increased to 80% and 94% at 2 and 5 years of ETV therapy, respectively.<sup>35, 36</sup> In patients with HBeAg-negative CHB, HBV DNA was undetectable in 90% of patients after 1 year of therapy.<sup>23</sup> The efficacy of ETV in NA naïve patients was recently confirmed in two large

#### Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

investigator-initiated studies. A European cohort study showed that a virologic response (HBV DNA <400 copies/mL or 80 IU/mL) was achieved in 79% of patients even through a short-term follow-up.<sup>37</sup> Preliminary results of an Italian study demonstrated that ETV therapy resulted in undetectable levels of HBV DNA in 91% and 97% of HBeAg-negative patients at 1 and 2 years, respectively.<sup>38</sup>

Very low rates of ETV resistance have been reported in NA-naïve patients,<sup>2</sup> but resistance developed in up to 53% of LAM-experienced patients after 5 years of treatment (figure 1). Only one additional mutation in the HBV polymerase is required to develop resistance in the presence of LAM-resistant mutations.<sup>12, 39</sup>

**Tenofovir** TDF is the most recently approved NA for the treatment of CHB after demonstrating superior antiviral efficacy compared with ADV for both HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative disease.<sup>40</sup> In the treatment of HBeAg-positive CHB, HBeAg seroconversion and HBV DNA undetectability (<400 copies/mL) were observed in 21% and 76% of patients at 1 year of therapy, respectively.<sup>40</sup> At 3 years of therapy, the rate of HBeAg seroconversion increased to 26% and 78% of patients had undetectable HBV DNA.<sup>41</sup> In HBeAg-negative patients, HBV DNA undetectability was achieved in 93% of patients after 1 year of TDF therapy,<sup>40</sup> and 88% after 3 years (intention-to-treat analysis).<sup>42</sup> However, when considering all patients on-treatment at year 3, 99% of patients had undetectable HBV DNA. Recently, a large investigator-initiated study showed that 79% of patients who failed previous NA treatment had HBV DNA levels <400 copies/mL after a median follow-up of almost 2 years. However, the presence of ADV, but not LAM, resistance impaired TDF efficacy.<sup>43</sup>

Furthermore, it was recently shown that TDF resistance is absent after 3 years of continuous therapy (figure 1), although solid conclusions based on these data are hampered by the study protocol that allowed viremic patients to add emtricitabine at week 72.<sup>41, 42</sup>

## IMMUNOMODULATORY AGENTS

IFN has been used for the treatment of CHB for almost three decades. Modification of IFN through the attachment of a polyethyleneglycol (PEG) molecule improved pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties. PEG-IFN is administered onceweekly by subcutaneous injection, which results in a relatively continuous drug exposure during the dosing interval. Two types of PEG-IFN have been developed: PEG-IFN alfa-2a and PEG-IFN alfa-2b.<sup>44</sup> PEG-IFN alfa-2a proved to be at least as effective as conventional IFN in HBeAg-positive patients, with a comparable tolerability profile.<sup>45</sup>

#### Peginterferon

The efficacy of PEG-IFN alfa-2a and PEG-IFN alfa-2b for HBeAg-positive CHB has been evaluated in 2 pivotal trials.<sup>46, 47</sup> PEG-IFN alfa-2a monotherapy during 1 year resulted in HBeAg seroconversion in 27% of patients, whereas this was 22% in patients treated with PEG-IFN alfa-2b. Six months after treatment discontinuation, HBeAg seroconversion rates were 32% and 29%, respectively. Sustained off-treatment viral suppression (serum HBV DNA <400 copies/mL) was achieved in 7-14% of patients. In both studies, PEG-IFN monotherapy was compared with PEG-IFN and LAM combination therapy. Although combination therapy was associated with more potent viral suppression during the treatment phase, sustained off-treatment response rates were comparable with monotherapy.<sup>46, 47</sup> At 6 months after discontinuation of PEG-IFN therapy, HBsAg seroconversion occurred in 3-5% of HBeAg-positive patients.

Long-term follow-up (mean duration 3 years) results of 172 HBeAg-positive patients treated with PEG-IFN alfa-2b  $\pm$  LAM showed that among initial responders (defined as HBeAg negativity 26 weeks after treatment) HBeAg clearance was sustained in 81% of

cases and the rate of HBsAg loss increased to 30%. Overall, HBsAg loss was achieved in 11% of patients at the last visit.<sup>48</sup>

In HBeAg-negative patients, PEG-IFN alfa-2a monotherapy for 1 year resulted in a sustained off-treatment response (HBV DNA <20,000 copies/mL and normal ALT at 6 months after treatment) in 36% of patients.<sup>49</sup> Serum HBV DNA was <400 copies/mL in 19% of patients and 4% had lost HBsAg at 6 months after treatment. In parallel with HBeAg-positive disease, addition of LAM to PEG-IFN was beneficial in terms of on-treatment viral suppression, but did not result in higher sustained off-treatment response rates.<sup>49</sup> While PEG-IFN may be given for less than 1 year for HBeAg-positive CHB without reducing its efficacy,<sup>45</sup> extending therapy beyond 1 year may result in lower relapse rates after treatment discontinuation in HBeAg-negative disease. A recent study showed that a sustained off-treatment response to PEG-IFN alfa-2a, defined as HBV DNA <2000 IU/mL at 1 year after treatment discontinuation, was achieved in 31% of genotype D infected HBeAg-negative patients treated for 2 years compared with 10% of those treated for 1 year (p=0.01).<sup>50</sup>

Results of long-term follow-up studies have shown that the off-treatment sustainability of response to IFN-based therapy is lower in patients with HBeAg-negative compared with HBeAg-positive CHB. A virologic response, defined as HBV DNA <10,000 copies/mL at 6 months after PEG-IFN alfa-2a treatment, was durable in 43% of patients with an initial response after 3 years of follow-up. The rate of HBsAg loss increased to 9%.<sup>51</sup>

#### SAFETY

Assessment of safety of both NA and PEG-IFN therapy should consider their mode of action and typical duration of use. NA generally have favorable side-effect profiles. However, all NA theoretically pose a risk for most inhibit not only viral, but also human

DNA polymerases.<sup>52</sup> In addition, some agents inhibit human mitochondrial DNA polymerases which may result in a clinical syndrome including lactic acidosis, neuropathy and myopathy.<sup>52</sup> Fortunately, most NA used for CHB have shown little adverse events during the first years of therapy. Adverse events did not occur more frequently during LAM treatment compared with placebo,<sup>53</sup> and the side-effect profile of ETV was indistinguishable from that of LAM.<sup>19</sup> In contrast, ADV is known to be nephrotoxic in up to one third of patients.<sup>54</sup> Renal toxicity has also been described in HIV-infected patients treated with TDF, necessitating creatinine monitoring especially during prolonged treatment.<sup>52</sup> Nevertheless, TDF was well-tolerated and safe during the first year of therapy in patients with CHB.<sup>40</sup>

One year of PEG-IFN therapy is associated with considerable side-effects. The most frequently reported are flu-like syndrome, headache, myalgia, fatigue and local reactions at the site of injection.<sup>46, 47, 49</sup> These symptoms typically present early during therapy, while neuropsychiatric side-effects such as depression and agitation tend to present in the later stages.<sup>55</sup> Other clinically relevant side effects, including anorexia, thyroid dysfunction and hepatitis flares, occur less frequently. Decompensated cirrhosis is an absolute contraindication, but PEG-IFN is generally well-tolerated and effective in patients with early cirrhosis.<sup>56</sup> PEG-IFN has myelosuppressive effects, but neutropenia and thrombocytopenia rarely result in clinically significant infections and bleedings.<sup>55</sup> Of particular note is the recently described neuropathy syndrome described in patients treated with a combination of PEG-IFN and LdT, which precludes clinical use of this combination regimen.<sup>57</sup> In general, NA show favorable side-effect profiles in comparison to PEG-IFN, but long-term safety data for the new agents are lacking.

### TREATMENT STRATEGIES

## When to use peginterferon therapy?

Both treatment modalities have substantial advantages and limitations (table 2).<sup>58</sup> PEG-IFN therapy should always be considered for HBeAg-positive CHB, because a sustained off-treatment response is achieved in approximately one third of patients.<sup>46-48</sup> Furthermore, HBsAg loss, which approximates clinical cure, is more likely to be achieved during PEG-IFN therapy compared with NA.<sup>48, 51</sup> However, the clinical use of PEG-IFN is compromised by its side-effects and costs.<sup>55</sup> Selection of patients with the highest probability of achieving a response is therefore essential. This is even more critical in HBeAg-negative disease, where a sustained off-treatment response is achieved in not more than 20% of patients.<sup>51</sup>

Patients should ideally be selected for PEG-IFN therapy based on their individual probability of response. Pros and cons of PEG-IFN can then be weighed on an individual basis. In case of a low baseline probability of response to PEG-IFN, it should be advised to initiate NA therapy. In addition, NA are the treatment regimen of choice for several patient groups, such as patients presenting with hepatic decompensation, those receiving chemotherapy, immunocompromised patients, and pregnant women with a very high level of viral replication (HBV DNA >10<sup>9</sup> copies/mL).<sup>59</sup>

**Baseline prediction of response to peginterferon in HBeAg-positive patients** In recent years, many reports demonstrated the importance of HBV genotypes for IFN-based therapy.<sup>60, 61</sup> HBV has been classified into 8 genotypes (A-H) defined by divergence of more than 8% in the entire genome.<sup>62</sup> The main HBV genotypes (A-D) have a distinct distribution throughout the world, with a predominance of A and D in Europe and North America and B and C in Asia, and appear to have a strong effect on the outcome of HBV infection.<sup>63-65</sup> The association between HBV genotypes and responsiveness to IFN was confirmed in our study that pooled the data from the 2 large trials investigating the efficacy of PEG-IFN in HBeAg-positive CHB (N=721).<sup>66</sup> Baseline

factors associated with an increased likelihood of a sustained off-treatment response (HBeAg loss and HBV DNA <2,000 IU/mL 6 months after treatment) included genotype A, older age, female sex, higher serum ALT levels and lower serum HBV DNA levels (table 3). A multivariable prediction model was constructed which allowed for individual prediction of a sustained off-treatment response to PEG-IFN based on the aforementioned baseline data.<sup>66</sup> The so-called *PEG-IFN treatment index* can be found at http://www.liver-gi.nl/peg-ifn, and can guide the clinician when considering patients for PEG-IFN therapy. General recommendations on whether to consider PEG-IFN therapy for patients with HBeAg-positive CHB, based on a predicted probability of response >30%, are shown in table 4.<sup>66</sup>

Nonetheless, considerable uncertainty remains on the individual level and additional predictors of response may augment the baseline prediction model. In parallel with HBV DNA, quantitative assays for HBeAg and HBsAg have become available.<sup>67</sup> Fried et al. reported that patients with lower baseline HBeAg levels have a higher probability of sustained off-treatment HBeAg seroconversion.<sup>68</sup> HBsAg, another HBV marker, is secreted from the hepatocyte during viral replication as part of the HBV nucleocapsid, or as part of noninfectious viral particles.<sup>67</sup> Serum HBsAg levels correlate with the amount of intrahepatic cccDNA, at least in HBeAg-positive patients.<sup>69-72</sup> However, baseline serum HBsAg levels were not associated with a sustained off-treatment response in the 2 large PEG-IFN studies for HBeAg-positive CHB.<sup>73, 74</sup>

Baseline prediction of response to peginterferon in HBeAg-negative patients Currently, data on baseline predictors of response to PEG-IFN in HBeAg-negative CHB are limited (table 3). A post-hoc analysis of HBeAg-negative patients participating in the registration trial of PEG-IFN alfa-2a found that younger age, female gender, higher baseline ALT and lower baseline HBV DNA levels were associated with a higher probability of achieving a sustained off-treatment response (HBV DNA levels <20,000

#### **Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic**

copies/mL combined with normal ALT at 6 months after treatment).<sup>75</sup> Unfortunately, the authors did not provide tools for easy clinical application of their findings and calculation of the probability of response for individual patients is therefore cumbersome. Moreover, several studies showed that serum HBsAg levels were comparable between responders and non-responders to PEG-IFN therapy.<sup>76-78</sup>

## Which nucleos(t)ide analogue to use?

ETV and TDF are potent NA with a high barrier to resistance and are therefore recommended as first-line treatment options by the practice guidelines of EASL and AASLD.<sup>2, 4</sup> In support of these recommendations, a recent study demonstrated that ETV and TDF are the most effective treatment options for CHB during the first year of treatment.<sup>79</sup> Furthermore, it was estimated that long-term liver-related mortality may be reduced by 80% if all patients with high HBV DNA and ALT levels are treated with NA with a high barrier to resistance, compared with only 47% if NA with a low barrier to resistance would be used.<sup>80</sup> Nevertheless, both AASLD and EASL guidelines do not take into consideration the cost-effectiveness ratio of these agents. A recent study from Spain suggested that TDF has a superior cost-effectiveness profile compared with other NA including ETV, although the cost-effectiveness ratio would be similar in countries with similar costs for both agents.<sup>81</sup> For less potent antiviral agents, the so-called road map model may be applied to adapt therapy in patients at high risk for the development of resistance after a set duration of treatment (detectable HBV DNA at 24 weeks for NA with a low barrier to resistance).<sup>3, 82</sup> Nevertheless, even patients with undetectable HBV DNA at 24 weeks of treatment with NA with a low barrier to resistance may develop resistance,<sup>83</sup> which is a major drawback for using this approach.

## Treatment adaptation

*Nucleos(t)ide analogues* Previous studies have shown that the development of antiviral resistance is mainly related to the level of ongoing viral replication.<sup>83, 84</sup> Since long-term oral therapy appears to be necessary in a majority of patients treated with NA, treatment adaptation at an early stage in case of suboptimal suppression of HBV DNA levels is required to prevent antiviral resistance, in particular when NA with a low barrier to resistance are used.<sup>85</sup> In case treatment adaptation is required, a treatment strategy associated with minimal risk of the development of multidrug resistant HBV by taking into account cross-resistance profiles should be adopted.<sup>12</sup> Nevertheless, it is currently unknown whether the road map concept also applies to NA with a high barrier to resistance as evidence for the advantages of adapting treatment in patients with detectable serum HBV DNA levels during therapy with ETV or TDF is lacking.<sup>86</sup>

According to current guidelines for the treatment of CHB, finite treatment with NA may be a reasonable option in HBeAg-positive patients.<sup>2-4</sup> Treatment may be stopped after the achievement of HBeAg seroconversion combined with undetectable HBV DNA and an additional 6–12 months of consolidation therapy.<sup>2-4</sup> However, studies on the durability of HBeAg seroconversion during NA therapy have reported conflicting results.<sup>87, 88</sup> A recent study conducted in our center showed that no more than 31% of patients achieved a durable remission (HBeAg negativity and HBV DNA <10,000 copies/mL) after HBeAg seroconversion.<sup>89</sup> Furthermore, among patients who discontinued NA therapy after HBeAg seroconversion and at least 6 months of consolidation therapy, irrespective of the achievement of HBeAg seroconversion, appears to be necessary. NA therapy should thus at least be continued until the occurrence of HBsAg loss, both in HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative disease, although HBsAg loss is rarely observed during NA therapy.

#### Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

**Peginterferon** Even in the face of careful selection of patients for PEG-IFN, a considerable number of patients fail to achieve a response. On-treatment predictors of response to PEG-IFN may guide clinicians in their decision of whether to continue PEG-IFN or switch to NA therapy in specific patients.

In HBeAg-positive disease, the usefulness of HBV DNA levels in prediction of response during the first months of PEG-IFN therapy is limited. Different patterns of decline were observed during PEG-IFN alfa-2b therapy and even patients with a late or post-treatment decline of HBV DNA had a considerable chance of achieving a response.<sup>90</sup> High HBeAg levels after 24 weeks of PEG-IFN alfa-2a therapy had a somewhat greater negative predictive value upon HBeAg seroconversion in comparison with HBV DNA (96% versus 86%).<sup>68</sup> Recent studies have explored the role of on-treatment HBsAg levels for prediction of response to PEG-IFN in HBeAg-positive CHB. A preliminary report showed that PEG-IFN alfa-2a treated patients with HBsAg levels <1500 IU/mL at week 12 had 51% chance of sustained off-treatment HBeAg seroconversion compared with only 16% of those with HBsAg levels >20,000 IU/mL.<sup>73</sup> Unfortunately, a considerable proportion of responders would be lost if one would discontinue therapy in patients with HBsAg levels >20,000 IU/mL. We recently reported that HBeAg-positive patients treated with PEG-IFN alfa-2b who experienced no HBsAg decline from baseline at week 12 of the treatment course had only 3% chance (negative predictive value (NPV) 97%) of a sustained offtreatment response (HBeAg loss and HBV DNA <10.000 copies/mL at 26 weeks after treatment) and no chance of HBsAg loss (figure 2A).<sup>74</sup> These patients should therefore be advised to discontinue PEG-IFN therapy.

In parallel with HBeAg-positive disease, prediction of response to PEG-IFN using HBV DNA levels is difficult in HBeAg-negative patients.<sup>91</sup> Recent studies have focussed on the predictive value of on-treatment HBsAg levels, the only viral marker that remains detectable in HBeAg-negative patients with suppressed HBV DNA levels. Low HBsAg

levels at the end of 1 year of PEG-IFN treatment were associated with the highest probability of achieving a sustained off-treatment response, suggesting that HBsAg decline during therapy may allow discrimination between responders and nonresponders.<sup>77</sup> Indeed, high predictive values for on-treatment HBsAg declines at weeks 12 and 24 on sustained off-treatment response (HBV DNA <70 copies/mL at 24 weeks after treatment) were reported in a cohort of 48 patients treated with PEG-IFN alfa-2a for 1 year: only 10% of patients who did not achieve a 0.5 log decline in serum HBsAg from baseline to week 12 of therapy achieved a response (NPV 90%).<sup>78</sup> We recently showed that on-treatment decline of HBsAg at week 12 alone was of limited value in prediction of sustained off-treatment response (HBV DNA <10,000 copies/mL and normal ALT 24 weeks after treatment).<sup>76</sup> Nevertheless, it was possible to establish a stopping rule by combining early on-treatment declines of HBsAg and HBV DNA (figure 2B). None of the patients (20% of the study population) without HBsAg decline combined with <2 log HBV DNA decline achieved a sustained off-treatment response (NPV 100%).<sup>76</sup> The majority of patients in our study were Caucasians infected with genotypes D and A, so these findings need to be confirmed in patients with genotypes B and C.

## **COMBINATION THERAPY**

Currently available agents for CHB are licensed for use as monotherapies and also guidelines recommend to start with monotherapy.<sup>2, 4</sup> Combination therapy could however offer advantages in the treatment of CHB, including a combination of immunomodulatory and antiviral effects, synergistic antiviral effects and a higher barrier towards resistance. Furthermore, combination therapy has improved treatment outcomes in many other chronic infections.<sup>92</sup> Combining PEG-IFN with NA is the most appealing approach, but the addition of LAM, ADV and ribavirin to PEG-IFN therapy did not result in higher

#### Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

sustained response rates.<sup>46, 47, 49, 93, 94</sup> New trials on a combination of PEG-IFN with ETV or TDF are therefore needed. In addition, studies exploring different ways of combining PEG-IFN with NA are indicated since most studies started and ended combination therapy at the same time. Pilot studies have suggested that lowering HBV DNA by NA before PEG-IFN therapy may improve outcomes, but contradictory results have been reported.<sup>95, 96</sup>

Studies on the efficacy of de novo combination therapy with NA are scarce and only combinations of NA which are no longer considered as first-line treatment were investigated.<sup>97-99</sup> Although it was shown that combination therapy can delay the development of resistance,<sup>97</sup> there are no data to support de novo combination therapy with NA that have a high barrier to resistance in NA-naïve patients. Moreover, a recent study in patients with incomplete viral suppression during therapy with ADV showed that TDF monotherapy and the combination of TDF and emtricitabine, which is structurally similar to LAM, had similar efficacy.<sup>100</sup>

Overall, there is insufficient evidence to recommend de novo combination therapy in CHB as first-line therapy. Nevertheless, current guidelines recommend that a combination of NA may be considered in patients at high risk of complications in the event of virologic breakthrough such as those with advanced liver disease.<sup>2,4</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of CHB has greatly improved over the past decade with the introduction of potent NA with a high barrier to resistance and PEG-IFN. The advantages and limitations of both treatment options should be considered when a patient has an indication to initiate antiviral therapy. NA can be prescribed to all adult CHB patients in whom treatment is indicated and offer easy daily oral dosing, are well tolerated and NA with a high barrier to resistance can maintain suppression of viral replication for prolonged

periods of time. However, an off-treatment sustained response is unlikely in a majority of patients. A sustained off-treatment response is more likely to be achieved in a subgroup of patients with a finite course of PEG-IFN therapy, but treatment is frequently complicated by the occurrence of side-effects.

Both NA and PEG-IFN can be given as first-line treatment option for CHB. However, PEG-IFN should only be considered for patients with a high chance of response. Predictors of response at baseline, such as HBV genotype, ALT and HBV DNA levels, aid in selecting patients for PEG-IFN therapy, especially in HBeAg-positive disease. Furthermore, recent studies suggest that on-treatment viral markers, in particular quantitative HBV DNA and HBsAg, may be applied to identify patients who are unlikely to benefit from PEG-IFN early during the treatment course, thereby avoiding unnecessary treatment. Patients who are not eligible for PEG-IFN or who have a low probability of response to PEG-IFN based on baseline or on-treatment factors should be advised to initiate or switch to NA therapy.

## REFERENCES

1. Liaw YF, Chu CM. Hepatitis B virus infection. *Lancet* 2009;**373**(9663):582-92.

2. European Association For The Study Of The L. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2009;**50**(2):227-42.

3. Liaw YF, Leung N, Kao JH, *et al.* Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2008 update. *Hepatol Int* 2008;**2**(3):263-283.

4. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B: update 2009. *Hepatology* 2009;**50**(3):661-2.

5. Wong SN, Lok AS. Treatment of hepatitis B: who, when, and how? *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**(1):9-12.

6. Hadziyannis SJ, Vassilopoulos D. Hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis
B. *Hepatology* 2001;**34**(4 Pt 1):617-24.

7. Sorrell MF, Belongia EA, Costa J, *et al.* National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement: management of hepatitis B. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;**150**(2):104-10.

Locarnini S. Molecular virology of hepatitis B virus. Semin Liver Dis 2004;24
 Suppl 1:3-10.

9. Feld JJ, Wong DK, Heathcote EJ. Endpoints of therapy in chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(5 Suppl):S96-S102.

10. Ghany MG, Doo EC. Antiviral resistance and hepatitis B therapy. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(5 Suppl):S174-84.

11. Peters M. Actions of cytokines on the immune response and viral interactions: an overview. *Hepatology* 1996;**23**(4):909-16.

12. Zoulim F, Locarnini S. Hepatitis B virus resistance to nucleos(t)ide analogues. *Gastroenterology* 2009;**137**(5):1593-608 e1-2.

13. Fattovich G, Olivari N, Pasino M, D'Onofrio M, Martone E, Donato F. Long-term outcome of chronic hepatitis B in Caucasian patients: mortality after 25 years. *Gut* 2008;**57**(1):84-90.

14. Niederau C, Heintges T, Lange S, *et al.* Long-term follow-up of HBeAg-positive patients treated with interferon alfa for chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**334**(22):1422-7.

15. van Zonneveld M, Honkoop P, Hansen BE, *et al.* Long-term follow-up of alphainterferon treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2004;**39**(3):804-10.

16. Chen CJ, Yang HI, Su J, *et al.* Risk of hepatocellular carcinoma across a biological gradient of serum hepatitis B virus DNA level. *Jama* 2006;**295**(1):65-73.

17. Iloeje UH, Yang HI, Su J, Jen CL, You SL, Chen CJ. Predicting cirrhosis risk based on the level of circulating hepatitis B viral load. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**130**(3):678-86.

18. Fattovich G, Bortolotti F, Donato F. Natural history of chronic hepatitis B: special emphasis on disease progression and prognostic factors. *J Hepatol* 2008;**48**(2):335-52.

19. Chang TT, Gish RG, de Man R, *et al.* A comparison of entecavir and lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**354**(10):1001-10.

20. Liaw YF, Leung NW, Chang TT, *et al.* Effects of extended lamivudine therapy in Asian patients with chronic hepatitis B. Asia Hepatitis Lamivudine Study Group. *Gastroenterology* 2000;**119**(1):172-80.

21. Chang TT, Lai CL, Chien RN, *et al.* Four years of lamivudine treatment in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;**19**(11):1276-82.

22. Leung NW, Lai CL, Chang TT, *et al.* Extended lamivudine treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis B enhances hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion rates: results after 3 years of therapy. *Hepatology* 2001;**33**(6):1527-32.

23. Lai CL, Shouval D, Lok AS, *et al.* Entecavir versus lamivudine for patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**354**(10):1011-20.

24. Papatheodoridis GV, Dimou E, Dimakopoulos K, *et al.* Outcome of hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B on long-term nucleos(t)ide analog therapy starting with lamivudine. *Hepatology* 2005;**42**(1):121-9.

25. Dienstag JL, Goldin RD, Heathcote EJ, *et al.* Histological outcome during long-term lamivudine therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**(1):105-17.

26. Liaw YF, Sung JJ, Chow WC, *et al.* Lamivudine for patients with chronic hepatitis B and advanced liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**(15):1521-31.

27. Lok AS, Lai CL, Leung N, *et al.* Long-term safety of lamivudine treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**125**(6):1714-22.

28. Marcellin P, Chang TT, Lim SG, *et al.* Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**348**(9):808-16.

29. Marcellin P, Chang TT, Lim SG, *et al.* Long-term efficacy and safety of adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2008;**48**(3):750-8.

30. Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, *et al.* Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**348**(9):800-7.

31. Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, *et al.* Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**(26):2673-81.

32. Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, *et al.* Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B for up to 5 years. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**131**(6):1743-51.

33. Lai CL, Gane E, Liaw YF, *et al.* Telbivudine versus lamivudine in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**(25):2576-88.

34. Liaw YF, Gane E, Leung N, *et al.* 2-Year GLOBE trial results: telbivudine Is superior to lamivudine in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 2009;**136**(2):486-95.

35. Gish RG, Lok AS, Chang TT*, et al.* Entecavir therapy for up to 96 weeks in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 2007;**133**(5):1437-44.

36. Chang TT, Lai CL, Kew Yoon S, *et al.* Entecavir treatment for up to 5 years in patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology*;**51**(2):422-30.

37. Reijnders JG, Deterding K, Petersen J, *et al.* Antiviral effect of entecavir in chronic hepatitis B: influence of prior exposure to nucleos(t)ide analogues. *J Hepatol* 2010;**52**(4):493-500.

38. Lampertico P, Vigano M, Facchetti F, *et al.* Effectiveness of entecavir for NUCnaïve, HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B patients in clinical practice: A 2-year multicenter cohort study in 311 patients. *J Hepatol* 2010;**52**(Suppl 1):S389-90.

39. Tenney DJ, Rose RE, Baldick CJ, *et al.* Long-term monitoring shows hepatitis B virus resistance to entecavir in nucleoside-naive patients is rare through 5 years of therapy. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(5):1503-14.

40. Marcellin P, Heathcote EJ, Buti M, *et al.* Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate versus Adefovir Dipivoxil for Chronic Hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**(23):2442-2455.

41. Heathcote EJ, Gane EJ, De Man RA, *et al.* Three years of tenofovir disoproxil (TDF) treatment in HBeAg-positive patients (HBeAg+) with chronic hepatitis B (study 103), preliminary analysis. *Hepatology* 2009;**50**(4 Suppl):533A-534A.

#### Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutic

42. Marcellin P, Buti M, Krastev Z, *et al.* Three years of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) treatment in HBeAg-negative patients with chronic hepatitis B (study 102); preliminary analysis. *Hepatology* 2009;**50**(4 Suppl):532A-533A.

43. van Bommel F, de Man RA, Wedemeyer H, *et al.* Long-term efficacy of tenofovir monotherapy for hepatitis B virus-monoinfected patients after failure of nucleoside/nucleotide analogues. *Hepatology* 2010;**51**(1):73-80.

44. Craxi A, Cooksley WG. Pegylated interferons for chronic hepatitis B. *Antiviral Res* 2003;**60**(2):87-9.

45. Cooksley WG, Piratvisuth T, Lee SD, *et al.* Peginterferon alpha-2a (40 kDa): an advance in the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *J Viral Hepat* 2003;**10**(4):298-305.

46. Janssen HL, van Zonneveld M, Senturk H, *et al.* Pegylated interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2005;**365**(9454):123-9.

47. Lau GK, Piratvisuth T, Luo KX, *et al.* Peginterferon Alfa-2a, lamivudine, and the combination for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**(26):2682-95.

48. Buster EH, Flink HJ, Cakaloglu Y, *et al.* Sustained HBeAg and HBsAg loss after long-term follow-up of HBeAg-positive patients treated with peginterferon alpha-2b. *Gastroenterology* 2008;**135**(2):459-67.

49. Marcellin P, Lau GK, Bonino F, *et al.* Peginterferon alfa-2a alone, lamivudine alone, and the two in combination in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**(12):1206-17.

50. Lampertico P, Vigano M, Di Costanzo G, *et al.* Extended (2 years) treatment with peginterferon alfa-2a [40kD] improves sustained response rates in genotype D patients with HBeAg negative chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2010;**52**(Suppl 1):S45.

51. Marcellin P, Bonino F, Lau GK, *et al.* Sustained response of hepatitis B e antigen-negative patients 3 years after treatment with peginterferon alpha-2a. *Gastroenterology* 2009;**136**(7):2169-2179 e1-4.

52. Fontana RJ. Side effects of long-term oral antiviral therapy for hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(5 Suppl):S185-95.

53. Dienstag JL, Schiff ER, Wright TL, *et al.* Lamivudine as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis B in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 1999;**341**(17):1256-63.

54. Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Launay-Vacher V, *et al.* Renal safety of adefovir dipivoxil in patients with chronic hepatitis B: two double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies. *Kidney Int* 2004;**66**(3):1153-8.

55. van Zonneveld M, Flink HJ, Verhey E, *et al.* The safety of pegylated interferon alpha-2b in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B: predictive factors for dose reduction and treatment discontinuation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;**21**(9):1163-71.

56. Buster EH, Hansen BE, Buti M, *et al.* Peginterferon alpha-2b is safe and effective in HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B patients with advanced fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2007;**46**(2):388-94.

57. Marcellin P, Avila C, Wursthorn K, *et al.* Telbivudine (LdT) plus peg-interferon (PEG-IFN) in HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B - very potent antiviral efficacy but risk of peripheral neuropathy. *J Hepatol* 2010;**52**(Suppl. 1):S6-7.

58. Sonneveld MJ, Janssen HL. Pros and Cons of Peginterferon Versus Nucleos(t)ide Analogues for Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis B. *Curr Hepat Rep* 2010;**9**(2):91-98.

59. Terrault NA, Jacobson IM. Treating chronic hepatitis B infection in patients who are pregnant or are undergoing immunosuppressive chemotherapy. *Semin Liver Dis* 2007;**27 Suppl 1**:18-24.

60. Erhardt A, Blondin D, Hauck K, *et al.* Response to interferon alfa is hepatitis B virus genotype dependent: genotype A is more sensitive to interferon than genotype D. *Gut* 2005;**54**(7):1009-13.

61. Wai CT, Chu CJ, Hussain M, Lok AS. HBV genotype B is associated with better response to interferon therapy in HBeAg(+) chronic hepatitis than genotype C. *Hepatology* 2002;**36**(6):1425-30.

62. Fung SK, Lok AS. Hepatitis B virus genotypes: do they play a role in the outcome of HBV infection? *Hepatology* 2004;**40**(4):790-2.

63. Livingston SE, Simonetti JP, Bulkow LR, *et al.* Clearance of hepatitis B e antigen in patients with chronic hepatitis B and genotypes A, B, C, D, and F. *Gastroenterology* 2007;**133**(5):1452-7.

64. Sanchez-Tapias JM, Costa J, Mas A, Bruguera M, Rodes J. Influence of hepatitis B virus genotype on the long-term outcome of chronic hepatitis B in western patients. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**123**(6):1848-56.

65. Chu CJ, Hussain M, Lok AS. Hepatitis B virus genotype B is associated with earlier HBeAg seroconversion compared with hepatitis B virus genotype C. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**122**(7):1756-62.

66. Buster EH, Hansen BE, Lau GK, *et al.* Factors that predict response of patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B to peginterferon-alfa. *Gastroenterology* 2009;**137**(6):2002-9.

67. Nguyen T, Desmond P, Locarnini S. The role of quantitative hepatitis B serology in the natural history and management of chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatol Int* 2009;**3**:S5-15.

68. Fried MW, Piratvisuth T, Lau GK, *et al.* HBeAg and hepatitis B virus DNA as outcome predictors during therapy with peginterferon alfa-2a for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2008;**47**(2):428-34.

69. Thompson AJ, Nguyen T, Iser D, *et al.* Serum hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatitis B e antigen titers: disease phase influences correlation with viral load and intrahepatic hepatitis B virus markers. *Hepatology* 2010;**51**(6):1933-44.

70. Chan HL, Wong VW, Tse AM, *et al.* Serum hepatitis B surface antigen quantitation can reflect hepatitis B virus in the liver and predict treatment response. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;**5**(12):1462-8.

71. Werle-Lapostolle B, Bowden S, Locarnini S, *et al.* Persistence of cccDNA during the natural history of chronic hepatitis B and decline during adefovir dipivoxil therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2004;**126**(7):1750-8.

72. Wursthorn K, Lutgehetmann M, Dandri M, *et al.* Peginterferon alpha-2b plus adefovir induce strong cccDNA decline and HBsAg reduction in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2006;**44**(3):675-84.

73. Lau GKK, Marcellin P, Brunetto M, *et al.* On-treatment monitoring of HBsAg levels to predict response to peginterferon alfa-2a in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2009;**50**(Suppl 1):S333.

74. Sonneveld MJ, Rijckborst V, Boucher CA, Hansen BE, Janssen HL. Prediction of sustained response to peginterferon alfa-2b for hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B using on-treatment hepatitis B surface antigen decline. *Hepatology* 2010;**52**(4):1251-7.

75. Bonino F, Marcellin P, Lau GK, *et al.* Predicting response to peginterferon alpha-2a, lamivudine and the two combined for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Gut* 2007;**56**(5):699-705.

76. Rijckborst V, Hansen BE, Cakaloglu Y, *et al.* Early on-treatment prediction of response to peginterferon alfa-2a for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B using HBsAg and HBV DNA levels. *Hepatology* 2010;**52**(2):454-61.

77. Brunetto MR, Moriconi F, Bonino F, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus surface antigen levels: a guide to sustained response to peginterferon alfa-2a in HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(4):1141-50.

78. Moucari R, Mackiewicz V, Lada O, *et al.* Early serum HBsAg drop: a strong predictor of sustained virological response to pegylated interferon alfa-2a in HBeAg-negative patients. *Hepatology* 2009;**49**(4):1151-7.

79. Woo G, Tomlinson G, Nishikawa Y, *et al.* Tenofovir and Entecavir Are the Most Effective Antiviral Agents for Chronic Hepatitis B: A Systematic Review and Bayesian Meta-analyses. *Gastroenterology* 2010;**139**:1218-29.

80. Toy M, Veldhuijzen IK, de Man RA, Richardus JH, Schalm SW. Potential impact of long-term nucleoside therapy on the mortality and morbidity of active chronic hepatitis
B. *Hepatology* 2009;**50**(3):743-51.

81. Buti M, Brosa M, Casado MA, Rueda M, Esteban R. Modeling the costeffectiveness of different oral antiviral therapies in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2009;**51**(4):640-6.

Lui YY, Tsoi KK, Wong VW, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness analysis of roadmap models in chronic hepatitis B using tenofovir as the rescue therapy. *Antivir Ther* 2010;**15**(2):145-55.

83. Zeuzem S, Gane E, Liaw YF, *et al.* Baseline characteristics and early ontreatment response predict the outcomes of 2 years of telbivudine treatment of chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2009;**51**(1):11-20.

84. Yuen MF, Sablon E, Hui CK, Yuan HJ, Decraemer H, Lai CL. Factors associated with hepatitis B virus DNA breakthrough in patients receiving prolonged lamivudine therapy. *Hepatology* 2001;**34**(4 Pt 1):785-91.

85. Keeffe EB, Zeuzem S, Koff RS, *et al.* Report of an international workshop: Roadmap for management of patients receiving oral therapy for chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;**5**(8):890-7.

86. Janssen HL, Reijnders JG. Treatment with nucleos(t)ide analogues in chronic hepatitis B: where does the road map lead us? *J Hepatol* 2009;**51**(1):1-3.

87. Dienstag JL, Cianciara J, Karayalcin S, *et al.* Durability of serologic response after lamivudine treatment of chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2003;**37**(4):748-55.

88. Song BC, Suh DJ, Lee HC, Chung YH, Lee YS. Hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion after lamivudine therapy is not durable in patients with chronic hepatitis B in Korea. *Hepatology* 2000;**32**(4 Pt 1):803-6.

89. Reijnders JG, Perquin MJ, Zhang N, Hansen BE, Janssen HL. Nucleos(t)ide analogues only induce temporary hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion in most patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 2010;**139**(2):491-8.

90. ter Borg MJ, van Zonneveld M, Zeuzem S, *et al.* Patterns of viral decline during PEG-interferon alpha-2b therapy in HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B: relation to treatment response. *Hepatology* 2006;**44**(3):721-7.

91. Farci P, Marcellin P, Lu ZM, Diago M, Lai MY, Gurel S. On-treatment predictors of sustained biochemical and virological response in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B (CHB) treated with peginterferon alpha-2a (40kDa) (Pegasys(R)). *J Hepatol* 2005;**42**(Suppl 2):S175.

92. Delta: a randomised double-blind controlled trial comparing combinations of zidovudine plus didanosine or zalcitabine with zidovudine alone in HIV-infected individuals. Delta Coordinating Committee. *Lancet* 1996;**348**(9023):283-91.

93. Piccolo P, Lenci I, Demelia L, *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of pegylated interferon-alpha2a plus adefovir dipivoxil for hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Antivir Ther* 2009;**14**(8):1165-74.

94. Rijckborst V, Ter Borg MJ, Cakaloglu Y, *et al.* A randomized trial of peginterferon alfa-2a with or without ribavirin for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010.

95. Sarin SK, Sood A, Kumar M, *et al.* Effect of lowering HBV DNA levels by initial antiviral therapy before adding immunomodulator on treatment of chronic hepatitis B. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;**102**(1):96-104.

96. Chan HL, Wong VW, Chim AM, *et al.* Virological response to different combination regimes of peginterferon alpha-2b and lamivudine in hepatitis B e antigen positive chronic hepatitis B. *Antivir Ther* 2007;**12**(5):815-23.

97. Sung JJ, Lai JY, Zeuzem S, *et al.* Lamivudine compared with lamivudine and adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol* 2008;**48**(5):728-35.

98. Hui CK, Zhang HY, Bowden S, *et al.* 96 weeks combination of adefovir dipivoxil plus emtricitabine vs. adefovir dipivoxil monotherapy in the treatment of chronic hepatitis
B. *J Hepatol* 2008;**48**(5):714-20.

99. Lai CL, Leung N, Teo EK, *et al.* A 1-year trial of telbivudine, lamivudine, and the combination in patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology* 2005;**129**(2):528-36.

100. Berg T, Marcellin P, Zoulim F, *et al.* Tenofovir Is Effective Alone or with Emtricitabine in Adefovir-Treated Patients with Chronic-Hepatitis B Virus Infection. *Gastroenterology* 2010;**139**(4):1207-17.

Table 1. Overview of response rates in HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients after 1 year of antiviral therapy.

Agent	HBeAg positive			HBeAg negative	
	HBeAg	HBV DNA	Normal ALT	HBV DNA	Normal ALT
	seroconversion	undetectability		undetectability	
Peginterferon	22-27%	10-25%	34-39%	63%	38%
Lamivudine	18%	36%	60%	72%	71%
Adefovir	12%	21%	48%	51%	72%
Telbivudine	23%	60%	77%	88%	74%
Entecavir	21%	67%	68%	90%	78%
Tenofovir	21%	76%	68%	93%	76%

These trials were not head-to-head comparisons and different virologic assays were used. HBV DNA undetectability: HBV DNA <300 er. ev.

- 400 copies/mL.

**Table 2.** Pros and cons of nucleos(t)ide analogues versus peginterferon for thetreatment of chronic hepatitis B.

Nucleos(t)ide analogues	Peginterferon
Daily oral dosing	Weekly subcutaneous injection
Well tolerated in the medium term	Frequent side-effects
Potent HBV DNA suppression	Modest HBV DNA suppression
Limited increase in rate of HBsAg loss	Increase in rate of HBsAg loss
Response hardly durable after therapy	Response durable after therapy
Risk of antiviral resistance	Absence of antiviral resistance
Long-term or indefinite therapy may be required	Finite duration of therapy
Less expensive during first year, possibly equally or more costly after long-term therapy	Expensive



**Table 3.** Baseline predictors of response to peginterferon therapy.

HBeAg-positive patients	HBeAg-negative patients
Older age	Younger age
Female sex	Female sex
Lower HBV DNA level (≤9 log copies/mL)	Lower HBV DNA level
Higher ALT level <mark>(≥2 x ULN)</mark>	Higher ALT level
HBV genotype A	

Baseline parameters associated with higher response rates to 1 year of peginterferon therapy. Data for HBeAg-negative patients are from single studies and are unconfirmed.

**Table 4.** Recommendations for considering HBeAg-positive patients for peginterferon.

HBV genotype	Consider peginterferon in HBeAg-positive patients with:
A	Either high ALT (≥2 x ULN) or low HBV DNA (≤9 log copies/mL)
B or C	Both high ALT ( $\geq$ 2 x ULN) and low HBV DNA ( $\leq$ 9 log copies/mL)
D	Peginterferon generally not recommended

Recommendations are based on an average probability of a sustained off-treatment response (HBeAg loss and HBV DNA <2000 IU/mL 6 months after treatment) of more than 30%. Probabilities for individual patients may vary, and other characteristics (including age and sex) should be taken into consideration.

## Figure legends.

**Figure 1.** Rates of antiviral resistance in nucleos(t)ide analogue naïve patients treated with the 5 registered nucleos(t)ide analogues within randomized controlled trials and subsequent follow-up studies. The bars represent years of therapy. These trials used different virologic assays and were not head-to-head comparisons for all the agents.

**Figure 2A.** Flowchart showing the chance of a sustained off-treatment response (HBeAg negativity and HBV DNA <10,000 copies/mL 26 weeks after treatment) based on the presence of any HBsAg decline at week 12 of peginterferon alfa-2b therapy for 52 weeks in HBeAg-positive patients.<sup>74</sup> \*SR: sustained response.

**Figure 2B.** Flowchart for the probability of a sustained off-treatment response (HBV DNA <10,000 copies/mL and normal ALT 24 weeks after treatment) based on (1) the presence of any HBsAg decline and (2) HBV DNA decline ≥2 log at week 12 of peginterferon alfa-2a therapy for 48 weeks in HBeAg-negative patients.<sup>76</sup> \*SR: sustained response.

## Statement of interests

Vincent Rijckborst and Milan J. Sonneveld have nothing to disclose. Prof. H.L.A. Janssen received grants from and is a consultant for: Bristol Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Novartis, Roche, Merck (Schering Plough).





