



HAL
open science

THE LEGACY OF ENHANCED N AND S DEPOSITION AS REVEALED BY COMBINING $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ IN TREE RINGS

Rossella Guerrieri, Maurizio Mencuccini, Lucy Sheppard, Matthias Saurer,
Mike Perks, Peter Levy, Mark A. Sutton, Marco Borghetti, John Grace

► **To cite this version:**

Rossella Guerrieri, Maurizio Mencuccini, Lucy Sheppard, Matthias Saurer, Mike Perks, et al.. THE LEGACY OF ENHANCED N AND S DEPOSITION AS REVEALED BY COMBINING $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ IN TREE RINGS. *Global Change Biology*, 2010, 17 (5), pp.1946. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02362.x . hal-00603438

HAL Id: hal-00603438

<https://hal.science/hal-00603438>

Submitted on 25 Jun 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**THE LEGACY OF ENHANCED N AND S DEPOSITION AS
REVEALED BY COMBINING $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ IN TREE
RINGS**

Journal:	<i>Global Change Biology</i>
Manuscript ID:	GCB-10-0627
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Primary Research Articles
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Jul-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Guerrieri, Rossella; University of Edinburgh, School of GeoSciences Mencuccini, Maurizio; University of Edinburgh, School of GeoSciences Sheppard, Lucy; Centre of Ecology and Hydrology, CEH Saurer, Matthias; Paul Scherrer Institute, Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry Perks, Mike; Forest Research, Centre for Forestry & Climate Change Levy, Peter; Centre of Ecology and Hydrology, CEH Sutton, Mark A.; Centre of Ecology and Hydrology, CEH Borghetti, Marco; University of Basilicata, Department of Crop Systems, Forestry and Environmental Sciences Grace, John; University of Edinburgh, School of GeoSciences
Keywords:	acid mist, CO ₂ assimilation, nitrogen, Sitka spruce, stable C-O-N isotopes, stomatal conductance, sulphur, WUEi
Abstract:	This study aimed to evaluate the effects of repeated aerial nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S) misting over tree canopies of a Sitka spruce plantation in Scotland. We combined $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree rings to evaluate the changes in CO ₂ assimilation (A) and stomatal conductance (gs) and to assess their contribution to variations in the intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUEi). Measurements of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ enabled shifts in the ecosystem N cycling following misting to be assessed. We found that: i) N applications, with or without S, increased the ratio between A and gs in favour of A, thus supporting a fertiliser effect of added N. ii) After the treatments have ceased, the trees quickly adjusted to the reductions of N deposition, but not to the reduction in S deposition, which had a negative effect on WUEi by reducing A. This indicates that the beneficial role of N deposition may be negated in forests that previously received a high load of acid rain. iii) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings seems to reflect the N dynamics caused by canopy retention, with the fingerprint also present in the litter, after the experiment

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	stopped. iv) Both our results (obtained using canopy N applications) and a collection of published data (obtained using soil applications) showed that generally WUE _i increased in response to an increase of N applications, with the magnitude of the changes related to soil conditions and the availability of other nutrients. The opposite directions of shifts in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings suggest that both the quantity of the applied N and its quality, mediated by processes occurring during canopy N retention, are important determinants of the interactions between N and C cycles, which occur in forests. Stable isotopes are useful probes to understand these processes and to put in the results of short-term experiments into context.

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

For Review Only

1
2
3 1 THE LEGACY OF ENHANCED N AND S DEPOSITION AS REVEALED BY
4
5 2 COMBINING $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ IN TREE RINGS
6
7
8 3
9

10 4 R. GUERRIERI^{1,5*}, M. MENCUCCINI¹, L.J. SHEPPARD², M. SAURER³, M.P.
11
12 5 PERKS⁴, P. LEVY², M. A. SUTTON, M. BORGHETTI⁵ and J. GRACE¹
13
14
15 6

16
17 7 (1) School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, West Mains Road, EH9 3JN Edinburgh,
18 8 United Kingdom.

19
20 9 (2) Centre of Ecology and Hydrology, CEH, Edinburgh, Bush Estate, Pentlands EH26 0QB Midlothian Scotland,
21 10 United Kingdom.

22
23 11 (3) Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232, Villigen PSI, Switzerland.

24
25 12 (4) Forest Research, Centre for Forestry and Climate Change, NRS, Bush Estate, Roslin, Midlothian, EH25 9SY,
26 13 United Kingdom,

27
28 14 (5) Department of Crop Systems, Forestry and Environmental Sciences, University of Basilicata, Viale
29 15 dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, I-85100 Potenza, Italy
30
31 16

32
33 17 ***Corresponding author:** R. Guerrieri

34
35 18 Current address:

36
37 19 School of Geosciences

38
39 20 University of Edinburgh

40
41 21 Crew Building, The King's Buildings

42
43 22 West Mains Road

44
45 23 Edinburgh EH9, 3JN

46
47 24 Tel +44 (0) 131 650 5096

48
49 25 Fax +44 (0) 131 662 0478

50
51 26 E-mail: Rossella.Guerrieri@ac.ed.ac.uk
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 27
28
29
30

1 Abstract

2 This study aimed to evaluate the effects of repeated aerial nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S)
3 misting over tree canopies of a Sitka spruce plantation in Scotland. We combined $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and
4 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree rings to evaluate the changes in CO_2 assimilation (A) and stomatal conductance
5 (g_s) and to assess their contribution to variations in the intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE_i).
6 Measurements of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ enabled shifts in the ecosystem N cycling following misting to be
7 assessed. We found that: i) N applications, with or without S, increased the ratio between A
8 and g_s in favour of A, thus supporting a fertiliser effect of added N. ii) After the treatments
9 have ceased, the trees quickly adjusted to the reductions of N deposition, but not to the
10 reduction in S deposition, which had a negative effect on WUE_i by reducing A. This indicates
11 that the beneficial role of N deposition may be negated in forests that previously received a
12 high load of acid rain. iii) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings seems to reflect the N dynamics caused by
13 canopy retention, with the fingerprint also present in the litter, after the experiment stopped.
14 iv) Both our results (obtained using canopy N applications) and a collection of published data
15 (obtained using soil applications) showed that generally WUE_i increased in response to an
16 increase of N applications, with the magnitude of the changes related to soil conditions and
17 the availability of other nutrients. The opposite directions of shift in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings
18 suggest that both the quantity of the applied N and its quality, mediated by processes
19 occurring during canopy N retention, are important determinants of the interactions between
20 N and C cycles, which occur in forests. Stable isotopes are useful probes to understand these
21 processes and to put in the results of short-term experiments into context.

22
23
24 *Key words: annual tree rings, acid mist, CO_2 assimilation, nitrogen, Sitka spruce, stable C-O-*
25 *N isotopes, stomatal conductance, sulphur, WUE_i ,*

1. Introduction

The extent to which forests mitigate climate change is affected by the increase in nitrogen deposition (N_{dep}) from the atmosphere, due to anthropogenic activities. Together with elevated atmospheric CO_2 , N_{dep} has been recognized as one of the main drivers enhancing the terrestrial carbon 'sink' (Norby 1998; Gruber & Galloway 2008). However, the carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycles interact in very complex ways, with mechanisms that can be grouped under two distinct scenarios. A beneficial role of N_{dep} has been hypothesized for N-limited systems (e.g., temperate forests), since N_{dep} can act as a low-level but sustained input of fertiliser, potentially increasing tree growth and C uptake (Magnani *et al.* 2007; Högberg 2007; Sutton *et al.* 2008; Thomas *et al.*, 2009). However, high levels of N_{dep} may result in soil acidification (Vitousek *et al.* 1997; Galloway *et al.* 2002), increased tree mortality (Magill *et al.* 2004) and declines in biodiversity (Phoenix *et al.* 2006).

The extent of the enhancement in carbon uptake by N-limited forests that can be attributed to N_{dep} has been hotly debated, with variable estimates provided (Magnani *et al.* 2008), which suggests that a deeper investigation of the crucial underlying mechanisms may be useful. One of the main issues relates to understanding whether the interactions between N_{dep} and tree canopies play an important role in linking the N and the C cycle. Most of our knowledge regarding the effects of N_{dep} on forests relies upon experiments carried out in managed fertilized forests, where the additional N was supplied as fertilizer to the soil for 1-2 years (Elhani *et al.* 2005; Brooks & Coulombe 2009) or for more than 10-years (Magill *et al.* 2004; Nadelhoffer *et al.* 2004; Högberg *et al.* 2006; Betson *et al.* 2007; Feng *et al.* 2008). The relevance of such investigations, which exclude the opportunity for canopy exchange and processing of N on the leaf surface, is debateable as it is well-known that canopy uptake occurs. The significance of the canopy uptake pathway has been shown in several studies:

1
2
3 1 Rennenberg & Gessler, 1999; Cape *et al.* 2001; Gaige *et al.* 2007; Sievering *et al.* 2007. This
4
5 2 provides an important source of N readily available for plant metabolism (Sparks 2009),
6
7 3 whereas N applied to the soil may be rapidly incorporated into microbial biomass instead.
8
9 4 Recently, Dezi *et al.* (2009) showed that inclusion of canopy uptake in an ecosystem level
10
11 5 model significantly changed ecosystem C storage capacity.
12
13 6

14
15
16
17 7 Another relevant point is that deposition of N onto forest canopies occurs in combination with
18
19 8 other pollutants, e.g., sulphur (S), which may negate the potential beneficial effects of N_{dep}
20
21 9 and accelerate tree decline. Even though S emissions have been significantly reduced in
22
23 10 Europe over recent years (ICP, 2009), Fowler *et al.* (2007) observed a non-linearity in the
24
25 11 relationship between emission and deposition changes, showing that S_{dep} in remote areas has
26
27 12 not decreased at the same rate, as closer to S sources.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 14 Only a few experiments have examined the contribution of atmospheric N, by spraying or
35
36 15 misting above tree canopies: the experiment at Deepsyke forest, in the UK (cf., Sheppard *et*
37
38 16 *al.* 2004, 2007) and at Howland Forest, in the USA (cf., Gaige *et al.* 2007; Dail *et al.* 2009).
39
40 17 Between the two experimental sites, the Deepsyke experiment is unique in providing the
41
42 18 opportunity to evaluate the effects of frequent (> 50 applications during the growing season)
43
44 19 aerial N and S misting onto the canopy of a Sitka spruce forest for a relatively long period
45
46 20 (*i.e.*, 5 to 8-years). At this experimental site, N treatments significantly increased tree stem
47
48 21 area increment, irrespective of whether N was added with or without S (Sheppard *et al.* 2004).
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 23 This study aimed to investigate how experimental applications of N_{dep} and S_{dep} affected the
56
57 24 ratio between canopy CO_2 assimilation (A) and stomatal conductance (g_s), known as intrinsic
58
59 25 water-use efficiency (WUE_i), for the Sitka spruce stand at Deepsyke. Sitka spruce is one of

1 the most widely planted tree species in the UK, succeeding well on in nutrient-poor soils. This
2 species is very tolerant of low-N availability, and at the same time very responsive to small N
3 additions (Chandler & Dale, 1995), so that a beneficial effect of N_{dep} on WUE_i , achieved
4 through an increase in A , can be hypothesised.

5
6 Our approach combined the analysis of the stable isotopes of carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$), oxygen ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$)
7 and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) in annual tree rings. Tree rings are very useful archives of information
8 concerning changes in tree growth in response to environmental conditions. The combination
9 of all three isotopes $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings can provide more specific information
10 on the underlying ecophysiological processes. The effect of different treatments on WUE_i , as
11 assessed through $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in tree rings, was investigated. Further, the combination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and
12 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ allowed us to suggest which physiological traits, *i.e.*, A and g_s respectively, contributed
13 most to the variations in WUE_i . Finally, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ provided indications of changes in ecosystem N
14 cycling in response to the different treatments.

15
16 We addressed the following main questions: *i)* Do canopy N additions increase the balance
17 between A and g_s (*i.e.*, WUE_i) in favour of A , and does the addition of S counteract the effect
18 of N? *ii)* Does $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings carry a signal of changes in the N cycle within the ecosystem
19 in response to canopy N addition? *iii)* When the experimental applications are terminated,
20 how fast do trees adjust to a reduction in N and S deposition? *iv)* Are the effects on tree
21 physiological traits and N dynamics caused by canopy addition different from those predicted
22 by studies that have employed direct application of N to the soil?

24 **2. Materials and methods**

25 *2.1 Stable isotope theory*

1 The relative abundance (R) of the stable isotopes, *i.e.*, $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$, $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$, in plant
 2 organic matter is expressed as the relative deviation from the international standards (V-PDB
 3 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, V-SMOW for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and atmospheric N_2 for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$), using delta (δ) notation, *i.e.*,

$$\delta_{\text{sample}} = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \bullet 1000.$$

6 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and its link to WUE_i

7 Plant material is generally depleted in the heavier isotope (^{13}C) compared to atmospheric CO_2 ,
 8 because of isotope fractionations taking place during the biochemical and diffusional
 9 processes leading to photosynthesis. Indeed, carbon isotope composition in tree rings ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$)
 10 reflects variation of the ratio between CO_2 concentration in leaf intercellular spaces and the
 11 atmosphere (*i.e.*, c_i/c_a ratio) related either to changes of CO_2 assimilation (A) or stomatal
 12 conductance (g_s). According to the simplified version of the Farquhar equation, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in plant
 13 material ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_p$) is described as follows (Farquhar *et al.* 1989):

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}_p = \delta^{13}\text{C}_a - a - (b - a) \frac{c_i}{c_a} \quad [1]$$

15 where $\delta^{13}\text{C}_a$ is the isotopic signature of atmospheric CO_2 , a is the fractionation for $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ as a
 16 result of diffusion through air (4.4‰), b is the fractionation during carboxylation (27‰) by
 17 the CO_2 -fixing enzyme Rubisco. Due to their reciprocal link to the c_i/c_a ratio, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ can be
 18 considered to infer changes in the intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE_i), which is defined as
 19 the ratio between A and stomatal conductance to water vapour ($g_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$), according to Ehleringer
 20 *et al.* (1993):

$$\text{WUE}_i = \frac{A}{g_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} = \frac{(c_a - c_i)}{1.6} \quad [2]$$

22 From eqn. [1] c_i can be derived as follows:

$$c_i = c_a \frac{\delta^{13}C_a - \delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}} - a}{b - a} \quad [3]$$

$\delta^{13}C_a$ and c_a for each year can be estimated according to the equations proposed by Feng (1999):

$$\delta^{13}C_a = -6.429 - 0.0060 \exp[0.0217(t - 1740)] \quad [4]$$

$$c_a = 277.78 + 1.350 \exp[0.01572(t - 1740)] \quad [5]$$

where t is the calendar year.

Finally, eqn. [2] can be solved as:

$$WUE_i = \frac{c_a}{1.6} \frac{b - \delta^{13}C_a + \delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}}}{b - a} \quad [6]$$

Hence, $\delta^{13}C$ in tree rings allows changes in the A/g_s ratio to be assessed taking into account the long-term variation of atmospheric CO_2 concentration and its isotopic signature. Although post-photosynthetic fractionations (Cernusak *et al.* 2009) may partially reduce the strength by which the primary isotope signal imprinted in leaf organic matter is recorded in heterotrophic tissues, $\delta^{13}C$ in tree rings still contains long-term information on changes of leaf physiological processes (Saurer *et al.* 2004; Gessler *et al.* 2009).

Link between $\delta^{18}O$ in tree rings and stomatal conductance

Variation in $\delta^{13}C$ can be related to changes in either A or g_s whereas $\delta^{18}O$ in organic material is specifically related to changes of $\delta^{18}O$ in leaf water in response to transpiration. Hence, when $\delta^{18}O$ of source water does not vary among studied sites, $\delta^{18}O$ in plant organic matter records changes in g_s . In fact, during transpiration molecules of water containing the lighter isotopes, $H_2^{16}O$, tend to diffuse faster from the sites of evaporation to the atmosphere than $H_2^{18}O$, so that water at the evaporative site becomes more enriched in the heavier isotopes ^{18}O

1 compared to water in the transpiration stream *i.e.*, coming from the soil (source of water).

2 Variations in the oxygen isotope signature in leaf water (*i.e.*, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{lw}}$) are linked to changes in

3 the ratio between water vapour in the atmosphere and in the intercellular spaces ($e_a/e_i \approx$

4 Relative humidity, Rh), according to Craig and Gordon (1965):

$$5 \quad \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{lw}} = \delta^{18}\text{O}_s + \varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_k + (\delta^{18}\text{O}_v - \delta^{18}\text{O}_s - \varepsilon_k) \frac{e_a}{e_i} \quad [7]$$

6 where $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_v$ are the oxygen isotopic compositions of soil water and atmospheric

7 water vapour, respectively, ε_k is the kinetic fractionation during evaporative water diffusion

8 through stomata and boundary layers, ε^* is the fractionation associated with the lower vapour

9 pressure of H_2^{18}O compared to that of H_2^{16}O . However, at increasing levels of transpiration,

10 the primary ^{18}O enrichment at the evaporative site is modified by the Péclet effect (Farquhar

11 & Lloyd 1993; Barbour *et al.* 2000a), which describes the mixing of enriched water at the

12 evaporative sites with unenriched water pools within leaves. The signal of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{lw}}$ is then

13 imprinted in the leaf organic molecules, due to the isotopic exchange between carbonyl

14 oxygen and water in which they are formed (Sternberg *et al.* 1986).

16 Several authors have reported a negative relationship between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in leaf organic matter and

17 g_s , due to their reciprocal link to vapour pressure deficit, which, in turn depends on Rh

18 (Barbour *et al.* 2000b; Grams *et al.* 2007; Sullivan & Welker 2007; Ripullone *et al.* 2009).

19 The signal of changes in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of leaves may also be dampened in tree rings, due to isotope

20 fractionation during translocation of sucrose and synthesis of cellulose in the tree stem

21 (Sternberg *et al.* 1986; Saurer *et al.* 1997; Gessler *et al.* 2009). However, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree rings

22 still carries information related to changes in g_s (McCarroll & Loader 2004; Barbour 2007).

24 *Combining $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in a conceptual model*

1 Scheidegger et al. (2000) proposed a conceptual model, which helps to clarify whether
2 changes in A , g_s or both accounted for the variations of WUE_i . The model inferred changes in
3 c_i and therefore A from $\delta^{13}C$, assuming a negative relationship between the two parameters,
4 according to Eqn. [1]. Further, changes in R_h were derived from $\delta^{18}O$ in tree organic matter,
5 which, in turn, allows variations in g_s to be predicted. As a further extension of the model,
6 Grams et al. (2007) showed that g_s can be inferred directly from $\delta^{18}O$ in leaf cellulose, which
7 was confirmed also by comparing stable isotopes with gas exchange measurements. In both
8 conceptual approaches, an important prerequisite is similar $\delta^{18}O$ of source water and vapour
9 water for the investigated trees.

11 $\delta^{15}N$ and ecosystem N cycle

12 The theoretical understanding of $\delta^{15}N$ in tree pools is not as comprehensive as for $\delta^{13}C$ and
13 $\delta^{18}O$. This is due to the complexity of the N cycle and the possibility for plants to use
14 different forms of N, *i.e.*, ammonium (NH_4^+), nitrate (NO_3^-) and dissolved organic N. Overall,
15 the $\delta^{15}N$ in tree pools reflects the isotopic signature of the main N sources (soil and
16 atmosphere) and isotope fractionations occurring during N uptake by roots and foliage, and
17 assimilation within plant compartments (Robinson *et al.* 1998; Comstock 2001). On the other
18 hand, $\delta^{15}N$ in the soil reflects the relative contribution of different N compounds and is
19 strongly affected by fractionations during biogeochemical processes. Indeed, nitrification
20 produces ^{15}N -depleted NO_3^- , leaving in the soil ^{15}N -enriched NH_4^+ . Ammonia volatilization
21 can also enhance the ^{15}N enrichment of the NH_4^+ remaining in the soil and therefore, available
22 for plants, while atmospheric deposition of NH_3 may correspondingly supply ^{15}N depleted N
23 (Tozer *et al.* 2005). NO_3^- can also be lost via denitrification and leaching, leaving ^{15}N -
24 enriched NO_3^- in the soil (Nadelhoffer & Frey, 1994; Högberg 1997). Fractionations during

1
2
3 1 mineralization of the substantial pool of soil organic matter have been reported to reduce
4
5 2 (Létolle, 1980), albeit not significantly (Högberg 1997), the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the product NH_4^+ .
6
7
8 3

9
10 4 Atmospheric N can be a significant source of N for trees. Before reaching the soil,
11
12 5 atmospheric N (e.g., NO_x and NH_x forms) interacts with tree canopies in such a way that a
13
14 6 consistent part can be retained and taken up by canopies, leading to a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal which
15
16 7 depends on the relative contribution of the exchanged N compounds (NH_4^+ vs. NO_3^-) (Heaton
17
18 8 *et al.* 1997). As a consequence, the amount of atmospheric N reaching the soil as wet and dry
19
20 9 deposition and the isotope signature are modified by the interaction with forest canopies.
21
22
23
24
25 10

26
27 11 Overall, it can be hypothesised that, at very low levels of N_{dep} , when mineralization is the
28
29 12 chief source of available N, and provided canopies have also reached full closure, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree
30
31 13 pools should be relatively stable and most closely related to soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ with little long-term
32
33 14 variation. By contrast, when an increase in available N occurs, e.g., an increase of N_{dep} from
34
35 15 the atmosphere or from fertilisation, variations of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree pools should reflect: *i*) the
36
37 16 contribution of the added N, according to its $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and *ii*) the variations in soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ because of
38
39 17 changes in the degree of closure of the N cycle (*i.e.*, losses caused by NO_3^- leaching,
40
41 18 denitrification and NH_3 volatilization), the prevailing effect of which is to enrich the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
42
43 19 signal of tree pools.
44
45
46
47
48
49 20

50 51 21 *2.2 Experimental site*

52
53 22 The study was carried out in a *Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr. plantation at Deepsyke (290 m
54
55 23 asl, latitude $55^\circ 46' \text{N}$, longitude $3^\circ 18' \text{W}$) in the Scottish Borders (Scotland, UK), with a low
56
57 24 background of N_{dep} ($8\text{-}10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). A mixture of provenances (identities unknown) was
58
59
60

1 planted in 1986 at 2 m spacing on drained deep peat soil, on mounds formed from the
2 inversion of organic horizon removed to form the drainage ditches (Sheppard *et al.* 1999).

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 Within the forest stand, an area of 1.5 ha was divided into four replicated blocks, each
11 containing six different spraying treatments: nitrogen (N), sulphur (S), NS (as a single dose),
12 2NS (as a double dose of both N and S), wet control (e.g., only water), no treatment (e.g., no
13 spraying). Treatments increased the amount of precipitation by 20% (for N, NS and wet
14 control) or 40% (for 2NS). In this study, we considered only N, NS, 2NS and wet control
15 (Table 1, Fig. 1a-b). Acid mist was applied approximately twice weekly during the growing
16 season (> 50 applications from April until November) from 1996 to 2000, with four replicates
17 for each treatment. In a second phase (2001-2003), two plots (selected at random, irrespective
18 of the block) were maintained on the original treatment, while in the other two S or N addition
19 of the original treatment was removed in order to simulate the recovery from reduced S and N
20 (Table 1) (cf., Sheppard *et al.* 2004, 2008).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 Within each plot, five of the 10 trees were selected for sampling wood cores (two per tree) in
40 June 2009, using a 0.5 cm diameter increment borer. The five trees were selected according to
41 the diameter variation relative to the diameter distribution of the wet control. Therefore, trees
42 showing extreme growth rates (exceptionally low or high) were excluded. The undecomposed
43 litter and the organic soil (0-10 cm) were sampled from five locations in the furrows along the
44 planting lines.

45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 2.3 Sample preparation and isotope analyses

56
57
58 Before isotopic measurements, wood cores were subjected to a chemical pre-treatment in
59 order to remove N mobile compounds, which may affect the detection of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal. For
60

1
2
3 1 this purpose, we employed the rapid procedure proposed by Sheppard and Thomson (2000): 4
4
5 2 hours in a mixture of toluene: ethanol; 4 hours in ethanol; 4 hours in distilled water. After
6
7
8 3 drying, each wood core was dated and thereafter annual rings were identified and separated
9
10 4 from bark to pith under a stereoscope. Along a chronology of 15-years (1995-2009), annual
11
12 5 rings were split in to the following sections: **I**) the year 1995 (before the treatments); **II**) the
13
14 6 single annual rings from 1996 to 2003 (during the treatments); **III**) the group of annual rings
15
16 7 from 2004 to 2009 (after the treatments, *i.e.*, all six rings together with no annual separation).
17
18 8 Within a plot, all the samples from the five trees were ground with a centrifugal mill and
19
20 9 pooled by year, in order to get an average isotopic signal.
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 11 Soil and litter samples were dried in the oven for 12 hours at 105°C. After removing traces of
28
29 12 fine root and litter, soil samples were ground with a ceramic mortar. For litter samples, a
30
31 13 centrifugal mill was used to produce a fine powder for the analysis.
32
33
34
35

36 15 For $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements, 0.6-0.8 mg, 15-20 mg and 0.5-0.7 mg of wood
37
38 16 powder, respectively were weighed in tin capsules (silver capsules for oxygen). An amount of
39
40 17 4-5 mg of soil and litter samples was weighed into tin capsules. Further, 4-5 mg of the
41
42 18 ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) used for the spraying and stored from 1996 was weighed into
43
44 19 tin capsules ($n=6$) for the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analyses. We measured a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of $1.6\pm 0.42\text{‰}$.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 21 For $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analyses, each determined in a separate measurement, samples were
52
53 22 combusted under an excess of oxygen with an elemental analyzer (EA-1100, Carlo Erba,
54
55 23 Milano, Italy), connected to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta - S Finnigan MAT,
56
57 24 Bremen, Germany) through a variable open split interface (ConFlo II, Finnigan MAT,
58
59 25 Bremen, Germany). For $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, the weighed bulk organic material was decomposed to CO by
60

1 thermal pyrolysis at 1080 °C in a different elemental analyzer (EA-1108, Carlo Erba, Milano,
2 Italy), which was connected to the continuous flow mass spectrometer (Delta - S Finnigan
3 MAT, Bremen, Germany).

4
5 Ground bulk samples obtained from 1-year old needles collected in December 1997 and used
6 in previous investigation for measuring nutrient content in needles (e.g., Sheppard *et al.* 2004)
7 were used for determinations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. An amount of 3-4 mg of needle samples was
8 weighed into tin capsules for combustion in the CE Instruments NA2500 Elemental Analyzer
9 and for determination of both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by VG Prism III Isotope ratio mass spectrometer.

10
11 The isotope values were expressed in the δ -notation (in per mil; ‰) as relative deviation from
12 the international standard (atmospheric N_2 for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, V-PDB for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and V-SMOW for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$).

13 14 2.4 Meta-analysis

15 Among all the studies in the literature reporting on tree growth responses to increased N_{dep} ,
16 mainly simulated as ground fertilization, we selected those experiments that satisfied the
17 following main criteria: *i*) were carried out on tree species; *ii*) did not involve a ^{15}N -labelling
18 experiment; *iii*) were conducted in the field; *iv*) measured $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in either
19 needles or tree rings. These studies are summarised in Table 2. Most of the sites considered in
20 our meta-analysis were located in areas with low-level of N_{dep} . Elhani *et al.* (2005) reported
21 values ranging between 9 and 13 $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, for the years after the fertilization experiment.
22 The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal of the mineral N-fertiliser supplied during the experiments had values close
23 to that of the atmospheric N_2 (*i.e.*, ‰) (Nadelhoffer *et al.* 2004; Elhani *et al.* 2005). We
24 measured a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of the ammonium nitrate added during the spraying and found it to be

1 slightly enriched in ^{15}N , *i.e.*, 1.6‰. Overall, we assume no differences in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of added N
2 either in the soil or to tree canopies.

3
4 From each study, data points and corresponding error bars of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were
5 extracted using an image analysis programme (UTHSCSA Image Tool). We considered only
6 the years when the experimental treatments were undertaken. For given $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, WUE_i 's
7 were calculated, according to equation [6]. Values are presented as differences between
8 treated and control treatments, for both WUE_i and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, in order to highlight the effect
9 of the treatment and to account for differences in tree age and/or climate effects across
10 studies.

11 12 *2.5 Statistical analyses*

13 Pre-treatment (1995) and post-treatment (2004-2009) differences for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
14 across plots were tested by ANOVA, considering the assigned treatments as fixed factors. For
15 the pre-treatment year (1995) we found no significant effect of 'block' as the random factor,
16 so block was excluded from the subsequent analyses. The effect of different treatments was
17 evaluated by repeated-measures ANOVA for the years 1996-2000, when replication of the
18 experiment was balanced (four replicates for each treatment). Soil moisture was included as a
19 covariate (Sheppard *et al.* 2004) and with an interaction term with treatments. The year-by-
20 year differences between the treatments and the wet control were investigated by ANOVA,
21 using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. Due to the experimental design not being
22 balanced during the recovery phase (2001-2003), differences between recovery ($n=2$) and
23 treatment ($n=2$) for each treatment, were assessed using independent sample *t*-test.

24

1 The *t*-tests were employed for investigating the difference between needles collected in 1997
2 and the annual ring formed in the same year for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, while differences
3 among treatments for either $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ or $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in needles and annual rings were tested by ANOVA.
4 All statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS 16.0 statistical package (SPSS, Chicago,
5 IL). Where not differently specified, the level of significance for statistical tests was $\alpha = 0.05$.

6 7 **3. Results**

8 *3.1 Effect of treatments on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings*

9 Before the spray treatments began, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in 1995 showed similar values for all
10 plots with different assigned treatments (Tables 3, 4, 5). However, over the five years of the
11 experiment, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were most affected by the addition of nitrogen (N) alone or in
12 combination with sulphur (S). While $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ showed a decreasing trend over time in all the
13 treatments (Fig. 2, panels a, b, c), repeated-measures ANOVA from 1996 to 2000 also
14 revealed a significant effect of treatment, with 2NS and N having higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values than NS
15 and wet control (Table 3). The tests of between-subject effects showed a non-significant
16 effect of the covariate (*i.e.*, the variability in soil moisture among plots) on changes in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
17 ($F = 1.2$; $P = 0.3$).

18
19 The effect of simulated N and S deposition to the tree canopy for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ was not as clear as for
20 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (Fig. 2 panels d, e, f). Overall, there was a tendency for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ to decrease for the first few
21 years after the spraying started, with a trough in 1998, which was also the wettest year of the
22 experimental period. Repeated-measures ANOVA did not show any significant treatment
23 effect, even when variations in soil moisture were taken into account as a covariate (Table 4).

24

1
2
3 1 Overall, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values showed a slight tendency to increase over time (Fig. 2 g, h, i), with a
4
5
6 2 higher variability among years for the wet control compared to plots receiving the different
7
8 3 treatments. Changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were not affected by treatment, when N was in combination with
9
10 4 S, with trees in NS and 2NS showing similar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values to the wet control. By contrast, N
11
12 5 alone led to a more negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values compared to the wet control and the NS treatment
13
14 6 (Table 5). The covariate soil moisture was not significant for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($F=0.06$, $P=0.8$).
15
16
17
18 7

19
20 8 For the 1997 annual ring (*i.e.*, after one year's treatment), $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in wood
21
22 9 could be compared with the corresponding values measured in needles formed in the same
23
24 10 year (sampled in December 1997). When both values were plotted as differences from the wet
25
26 11 control (Fig. 3) a significant increase in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in needles, but not in annual rings was observed
27
28 12 which seemed to be dose related (Fig. 3a). By contrast, the effect of treatment was not
29
30 13 obvious for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measured in needles, while it was significant ($P<0.1$) in the case of the
31
32 14 corresponding annual ring, with the 2NS treatments showing an increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ within one
33
34 15 year of treatment (Fig. 3b). It is noteworthy that, the difference between the needle and annual
35
36 16 ring for C and N isotopes has a different direction, significant only for 2NS: for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, more
37
38 17 positive values were observed in needles compared to the annual ring, while the opposite was
39
40 18 true for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 20 The removal of S or N addition from the original treatment (Table 1) did not significantly
51
52 21 affect stable isotope trends in the second phase of the experiment, with similar values
53
54 22 measured for the recovery and the treatment plots (Tables 3, 4, 5). There was a tendency for
55
56 23 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in the wet control to increase, while $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ tended to decrease in 2NS, NS and N (Fig. 2 a,
57
58 24 b, c). Variation of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in treated plots followed the same time trend as in the wet control,
59
60

1 with the exception of 2003, when an increase of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, with particular reference to N and 2NS,
2 was observed.

3
4 After the experiment had ceased, in all the treatments $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values approached
5 those measured in the wet control (Tables 3, 4, 5), bearing in mind that stable isotopes were
6 measured in the pooled annual ring from 2004 to 2009. With particular reference to $\delta^{15}\text{N}$,
7 wood was ^{15}N -depleted compared to the soil samples, but ^{15}N -enriched compared to the litter
8 sampled in June 2009 (Fig. 4).

9 10 *3.2 Changes in WUE_i and its determinants*

11 For each treatment, variations of WUE_i were evaluated as differences from the wet control
12 (Fig. 5 a, b, c), without distinguishing between recovery and original treatments in the last 3-
13 years of the experiment. The three treatments (*i.e.*, 2NS, NS and N) showed different
14 temporal trends, with particular reference to the first phase of the experiment (*i.e.*, 1996-
15 2000). The 2NS treatment led to a rapid but short-lived increase in WUE_i , followed by a
16 decline from 1998. Furthermore, for the post-treatment phase (2004-2009) this decline
17 strengthened producing a larger reduction in WUE_i compared to the control (Fig. 5 a). In the
18 NS treatment, WUE_i showed a pattern similar to that observed for 2NS, but with a lower
19 magnitude of variation and only a small non-significant decrease post treatment (Fig. 5 b). By
20 contrast, for the N treatment, WUE_i kept increasing over the first phase of experiment and
21 also for the beginning of the second phase. However, during the post-treatment years, WUE_i
22 in the N treatment also decreased but only by a small amount, falling back to that measured in
23 the wet control (Fig. 5 c).

1
2
3 1 The combination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values, expressed in terms of their differences from the wet
4
5
6 2 control, is shown in the main panel of Fig. 6. Values relative to the 8-years of the experiment
7
8 3 (*i.e.*, 1996-2003) were averaged, without distinction between recovery and treatment periods.
9
10 4 This allows the different effects of N alone and N in combination with S to be assessed for the
11
12 5 combined variations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. N addition led to an increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, with a marginally
13
14 6 significant effect on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. By contrast, N combined with S (irrespective of the double or
15
16 7 single dose) led to an increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ combined with a decrease in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. For the post-
17
18 8 treatment phase (*i.e.*, treatment *vs.* post-treatment phase), we observed a tendency to decrease
19
20 9 for both isotopes, with a higher magnitude of change for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ than $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. Different trends are
21
22 10 discussed later in the paper in terms of variations in CO_2 assimilation and stomatal
23
24 11 conductance, according to the conceptual model of Scheidegger *et al.* (2000) (Fig. 6, side
25
26 12 panels).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 14 *3.3 Canopy vs. soil applications for WUE_i and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: a meta-analysis of data in the literature*

35
36
37 15 We compared our results with those reported in previous studies, where N was added to the
38
39 16 soil as either ammonium nitrate or urea, sometimes also in combination with S or other
40
41 17 nutrients (e.g., K, P, Ca).
42
43

44
45 18 All values were considered as differences from the corresponding control, to standardise the
46
47 19 differences in climate and soil conditions across sites. Overall, both above-canopy and below-
48
49 20 canopy fertilisation showed that addition of N leads to an increase in WUE_i , with a magnitude
50
51 21 that was equal to or greater for canopy compared to soil applications (Fig. 7). In two cases, no
52
53 22 change (Balster *et al.* 2009) or even a reduction (Heaton & Crossley 1995) of WUE_i was
54
55 23 observed with applied N. This latter study interestingly used the same NS combination as
56
57 24 employed here.
58
59
60

25

1 A different dynamic was observed for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, when the two different experimental approaches
2 (canopy vs. soil N applications) were compared (Fig. 8). With increasing N dose applied to
3 the soil, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings became more ^{15}N -enriched compared to the control, whereas
4 canopy applications seemed to lower the ^{15}N enrichment, although the low number of points
5 prevents statistical analysis.

6
7 Interestingly, irrespective of the approach considered, the combination of N and S appears to
8 restrict the difference between treatment and control for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. In fact, when N alone was
9 added either to the soil (Nadelhoffer *et al.* 2004) or to the canopy (*i.e.*, Deepseyke forest), the
10 difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from the control was always larger than in the case of combined N+S
11 applications, with a higher difference in the case of soil fertilization. Changes in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in
12 tree rings in response to N application seemed to be species-specific (conifer vs. deciduous
13 species) and compound-specific (ammonium nitrate vs. urea fertilizer). Conifers were more
14 sensitive than deciduous trees to N application. For the former group, the ^{15}N enrichment in
15 tree rings compared to control was lower when N was added in combination with other
16 nutrients (Elhani *et al.* 2005).

18 **4. Discussion**

19 *4.1 Changes in WUE_i and its determinants following N and S acid mist above tree canopies.*

20 One year after the experiment started (*i.e.*, 1997) a clear effect of the treatment on the C
21 isotope signal in the annual ring was already present, although no signal was detected in 1-
22 year old needles produced in the same year (Fig. 3 b). 5 years exposure to acid mist affected
23 the isotopic signature in tree rings significantly, leading to higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Table 3), thus a
24 higher WUE_i , for N and 2NS treatments. This result is consistent with the increase in stem
25 area increment reported by Sheppard *et al.* (2004) at the same site. Despite the similarity in all

1
2
3 1 treatments with the positive response for tree growth rates, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ enabled a treatment-specific
4
5 2 change in terms of WUE_i to be identified. In fact, although both N and 2NS showed an
6
7 3 increase of WUE_i , the different temporal trends (Fig. 5) demonstrate that the N application led
8
9 4 to a long lasting positive effect of N availability on the ratio between assimilation (A) and
10
11 5 stomatal conductance (g_s), whereas the 2NS application resulted in a short lived pulse only.
12
13 6 The short lived increase in WUE_i with the 2NS treatment was followed post-treatment by a
14
15 7 significant decrease during 2004-2009 compared with the control, a tendency which was also
16
17 8 apparent (but not significant) for the NS treatment, but not for the N treatment. Combined
18
19 9 with the lower WUE_i values for the NS compared with the N treatment during the experiment
20
21 10 (Fig. 5), this indicates that S deposition had a longer lasting detrimental effect on WUE_i . We
22
23 11 are aware that by grouping the annual rings from 2004 to 2009 we might have lost a year-to-
24
25 12 year trend of WUE_i after N and S were removed, hiding some nutrient “payback” effect due
26
27 13 to the treatments.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 15 The different patterns for WUE_i suggest different physiological mechanisms behind the
37
38 16 responses observed for the three treatments. Combining $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in a conceptual model
39
40 17 (Fig. 6), according to Scheidegger *et al.* (2000), enabled us to better understand how N
41
42 18 treatments with and without S affects N use in the tree. An important prerequisite of the
43
44 19 conceptual model is similar $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of source water and vapour water for the investigated trees.
45
46 20 In our study, trees were growing in the same area with closed canopies giving rise to similar
47
48 21 Rh and treated with rainwater collected on site, so we assume that possible differences in g_s ,
49
50 22 as inferred by $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree rings, can be attributed to the treatments alone.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 24 The increase in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ observed in all treatments during the experiment (*i.e.*, 1996-2003)
59
60 25 indicates a reduction in the c_i/c_a ratio, explained by either an increase in A , at constant g_s or by

1 a reduction of g_s at constant A . $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, combined to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, helps to clarify whether changes in A ,
2 g_s or both accounted for the variations of WUE_i .

3
4 In the case of N addition, only small changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ were observed (compared to the pre-
5 treatment time), therefore variation of WUE_i were mostly related to an increase of A , in
6 response to a fertiliser effect of N (Fig. 6, side panels). In another experiment where spruce
7 branches were sprayed with NH_4NO_3 , N was shown to contribute significantly not only to
8 enhance CO_2 assimilation but also to reduce the thermally dissipated light, suggesting an
9 increase of photosynthetic efficiency (Tomaszewski & Sievering, 2007).

10
11 In the case of 2NS and NS treatments, the reduction of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ compared with the control
12 suggests that together with A , g_s might have increased too. Acid mist has been shown to alter
13 cuticular wax structure (Fowler *et al.* 1980; Shepherd & Griffiths 2006 and references therein)
14 and to affect stomatal opening, with a mechanism related to changes in apoplastic pH and in
15 the electrochemical potential gradient across the guard cell plasmalemma (Eamus & Fowler
16 1990).

17
18 Based on our measurements, the beneficial effect of N on A tended to disappear the
19 experiment stopped (Fig. 6, sides panels), especially in the 2NS treatment. As suggested by
20 the larger reduction of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ compared to $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, g_s did not change as much as A , which
21 decreased after the experiment stopped. This means that excess of S in the system affected
22 negatively tree physiological traits even six years after the spray treatment stopped,
23 suggesting a low resilience of the forest to recover from excess S_{dep} to either tree canopies
24 and/or soil.

25

1
2
3 1 *Non-treatment effects on stable C and O isotope trends*

4
5 2 Long dendro-isotope chronologies have often reported an increase of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in tree rings during
6
7
8 3 the juvenile stage of tree growth (Duquesnay *et al.* 1998; McCarroll & Loader 2004), although
9
10 4 this was not observed in our study. In fact, variations in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ during the investigated period
11
12
13 5 were quite consistent, with a reduction of about 4‰ over a period of six years (Fig. 2a-c).
14
15 6 Since we considered a short chronology (*i.e.*, 15-years) data were not corrected for the ^{13}C -
16
17
18 7 depletion of atmospheric CO_2 , and thus may partially explain the decreasing trend for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in
19
20 8 tree rings. Another explanation could be related to the age of the plantation. In fact, when the
21
22
23 9 experiment started in 1996, trees were about 10-year old, in a stage of pre-canopy closure
24
25 10 (Sheppard *et al.* 2004), which could have played a significant role, by increasing shading,
26
27
28 11 which, in turn, reduced the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, by increasing the c_i/c_a ratio, due to a low CO_2 assimilation
29
30 12 rate for a given g_s (Farquhar, 1980).
31
32
33 13

34
35 14 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree rings reflects both $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of source water and the changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in leaf water
36
37 15 during transpiration, modified by the Péclet effect (Craig & Gordon 1965, Farquhar & Lloyd
38
39
40 16 1993, Barbour *et al.* 2000a), in response to changes in environmental factors, *e.g.*,
41
42 17 precipitation and changes in summer relative humidity conditions (McCarroll & Loader,
43
44 18 2004). Because the treatment plots were small and randomly distributed, we can assume no
45
46 19 differences among treatments for the oxygen isotopic signature of soil water. Further,
47
48
49 20 although high variability in soil moisture was reported between plots (Sheppard *et al.* 2004),
50
51 21 this did not significantly affect the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the tree rings. We also assume that the water used
52
53
54 22 for the spraying had a similar $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in all treatments, since it was derived from the same
55
56 23 source, *i.e.*, precipitation. Therefore, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in tree ring records changes in g_s due to variations
57
58
59 24 in atmospheric evaporative conditions.
60

25

1
2
3 1 It is worth underlining that treatments (including the wet control) supplied an additional 20%
4
5 2 (e.g., NS, N and wet control) and 40% (e.g., 2NS) of water to the precipitation. Therefore, the
6
7 3 general decreasing trend observed (Fig. 2d-f) for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in all treatments during the first years
8
9 4 of the experiment might be a spraying-related effect. In fact, frequent spraying (> 50
10
11 5 applications) over the growing season contributed to maintaining a low vapour pressure
12
13 6 deficit, enabling the needles to maintain optimal hydration states, with no limitation to
14
15 7 stomata opening.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 9 Despite the fact that the additional water added during the spray treatments having possibly
23
24 10 reduced the detection of the climatic signal, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ proved to be a valuable archive for changes
25
26 11 in evaporative demand from the atmosphere. In fact, the trough of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values in 1998,
27
28 12 corresponding to the wettest year of the experiment, may reflected “over watering” conditions
29
30 13 for trees, with particular reference to NS and 2NS. Moreover, the response recorded in 2003
31
32 14 for both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ might be related to drought conditions all over Europe, with particular
33
34 15 reference to trees in the treatment plots where recovery phase started (*i.e.*, the misting with S
35
36 16 had ceased), suggesting that S might have affected tree responsiveness to seasonal changes in
37
38 17 weather conditions.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 19 *4.2 Generalization of our findings: comparison with other studies*

47
48

49 20 Only a few studies met the most relevant conditions for inclusion in the meta-analysis:
50
51 21 fertilizer response was assessed using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings. It was beyond our aim to
52
53 22 provide an estimation of the changes of absolute values of WUE_i and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for soil *vs.* canopy
54
55 23 N application, rather we were interested in the extent of variations in relation to the two
56
57 24 approaches, in order to account for differences in the underlying mechanisms.
58
59
60

25

1
2
3 1 *Changes in WUE_i: same direction and magnitude?*
4

5 2 We are conscious that different parameters might account for variations of WUE_i at each of
6
7 3 the sites considered in the meta-analysis, such as age, tree species, and different climatic and
8
9 4 environmental conditions. However, by considering the difference from the control, we
10
11 5 should be able to extract the influence of the treatment on WUE_i, through an effect on *A*, at a
12
13 6 given *g_s*, given that the contribution of ambient N_{dep} is similar in all the sites considered.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 8 The increase in N availability, through either soil or canopy application, enables trees to
21
22 9 enhance the efficiency by which they assimilate CO₂, thus confirming the “almost axiomatic
23
24 10 and strongly positive relationship” (Warren and Adams, 2005) between *A* and N supply.
25
26 11 Overall, there seems to be a steep increase of WUE_i up to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Fig. 7). At higher
27
28 12 doses, the ratio between *A* and *g_s* tends to stabilize, though it must be noted that this
29
30 13 conclusion is contradicted by the results of Balster *et al.* (2009), where urea was used as a
31
32 14 fertiliser supply.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 16 Although results for both above-canopy and below-canopy fertilisation followed a similar
40
41 17 trend for WUE_i, the magnitude tended to be higher in the case of canopy applications. In fact,
42
43 18 for a similar dose of N applied (e.g., 48-50 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), the difference from the control for
44
45 19 WUE_i was higher for trees receiving N as mist application over tree canopies (Fig. 8),
46
47 20 compared to those receiving the same amount supplied to the soil (Betson *et al.* 2007). At
48
49 21 higher dose (e.g., 96-100 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), the increase of WUE_i compared with the control was
50
51 22 in the same range reported by Elhani *et al.* (2005), where however, N was added in
52
53 23 combination with other nutrients (e.g., K, Ca and P). Lower responses were observed by
54
55 24 Elhani *et al.* (2005) when N was added alone (Fig. 8).
56
57
58
59
60

1 It must be acknowledged that differences in the N use efficiency and N-C allocation in young
2 (*i.e.*, the present study) and mature (Betson *et al.*, Elhani *et al.*) trees might account for the
3 differences in the WUE_i following N additions.

4
5 In the case of Balster *et al.* (2009) and Heaton and Crossley (1995), values deviated from
6 others reported in Fig. 7. In the latter investigation, the spraying with acid mist resulted in a
7 reduction of CO_2 assimilation (as assessed by the more negative $\delta^{13}C$ in the treated trees
8 compared to the control), which was supported also by a decrease of radial growth increment
9 during the experiment. However, in the investigation of Heaton and Crossley, the Sitka spruce
10 at the Glencorse forest (Scotland), was potentially P limited, as a result of the acid treatment,
11 which mobilized Al (Carreira *et al.* 1997). This result has important implication for forest
12 management, indicating that in general, at low-level of N availability, an increase of N_{dep} can
13 hardly match with a fertiliser effect on CO_2 assimilation and growth if other conditions are
14 not met (e.g., other nutrients or water availability).

15
16
17 *Footprint of N applications on $\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings: what do they tell us about the N cycle in*
18 *forests?*

19 The fertiliser applied to both soil and canopy had a similar $\delta^{15}N$ values, thus we can assume
20 that the differences between the treatment and the control for $\delta^{15}N$ measured in the tree rings
21 reflect changes in soil and canopy processes, rather than differences in isotope signature of the
22 N applied.

23
24 Results of our meta-analysis show an enrichment in the heavier isotope for $\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings,
25 with cumulative added N in the soil (Fig. 8 a). Although data in our meta-analysis are scarce,

1 we observed a tendency for conifers to respond more strongly than deciduous trees to N
2 supply (Nadelhoffer *et al.* 2004), suggesting a difference in the NH_4^+ vs. NO_3^- uptake
3 (Gebauer *et al.* 2000) and their specific N isotope signature. The differences observed for the
4 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values measured in tree subjected to ammonium nitrate and urea applications could
5 reflect a different isotopic signature of these compounds as well as different N processing.

6
7 Soil N applications speed up many biogeochemical processes related to N, *i.e.*, N microbial
8 immobilization and/or losses, which in turn not only modify the immobilized N in a form
9 more readily available to trees, but also its isotopic signature. In particular, losses of ^{15}N -
10 depleted compounds, through denitrification, NO_3^- leaching or ammonia volatilization, which
11 increase when the N dose exceeds the requirements of the trees', are reflected in plant
12 material as an increase in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Johannison & Högberg 1994) (Fig. 8b).

13
14
15 If N losses had occurred also at our experimental site in response to the spraying, they would
16 have resulted in a significant enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings compared to the control.
17 However, this was not the case. In fact, spraying of N over tree canopies tended to lower the
18 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings compared to the wet control and the NS treatments (Fig. 2 g-i, Fig. 8 a). We
19 can exclude a difference in the isotopic signature of the ammonium nitrate salt used during
20 the experiment, which had a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of 1.6‰. Likewise, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of the treatment
21 was unlikely to have been diluted by the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of background N_{dep} , given that the rate of
22 background N deposition ($8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) was much smaller than the added rates (48 and 96
23 $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Also, based on $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of NH_4^+ ($-3.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ ‰}$) and NO_3^- ($-3.8 \pm 2.4 \text{ ‰}$) in
24 rainfall, measured for six different sites across Scotland (Heaton, pers. comm.), only a small
25 dilution effect would be expected. Hence, assuming no changes in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the atmospheric

1 N source, the long-term trend measured for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the different treatments reflect different
2 dynamics of canopy N exchange rather than changes of N in the soil. This suggests that soil
3 applications fail to capture all the dynamics and isotope fractionation that occur when
4 deposition takes place on the canopy.

5
6 Before reaching the soil, the atmospheric N can be modified by the interaction with tree
7 canopies, which can retain most of the N added by the spray treatments, leaving only a small
8 amount to reach the soil. Chiwa *et al.* (2004) reported significant levels of canopy N retention
9 at our experimental site, with 2NS and N showing higher N retention than NS (*i.e.*, around
10 80%). Further, the dynamics of canopy N exchange varied according to whether the N
11 addition also contained S. In fact, the absolute amount of N retained as NH_4^+ and NO_3^- was
12 similar in the 2NS treatment, whereas tree canopies in the N treatment retained more NH_4^- -
13 N than NO_3^- -N (Chiwa *et al.* 2004). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in needles (for the year 1997) and tree
14 rings at each treatment would therefore reflect the proportion of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions taken up
15 and their relative isotope signature, which can be very different (Heaton *et al.* 1997).

16
17 The substantial level of canopy N retention would have restricted the amount of N washed
18 through to the soil, limiting any soil N accumulation or loss, thus explaining why the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
19 shift goes in the opposite direction to that found when N fertilizer was added directly to the
20 soil. In fact, no clear indication of excess N was apparent after 5 years, except in the 2NS
21 treatment where needle fall was significantly increased (reducing canopy N uptake) and N_2O
22 emissions were recorded (Sheppard *et al.* 2004).

23
24 Six years after the experiment stopped, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the soil did not reveal any clear signal of the
25 treatments (Fig. 4). This further suggests that only a fraction of the N reached the soil, which

1 eventually was diluted in relation to the large soil N pool. Treatment effects, with particular
2 reference to the N addition, were not detectable in tree rings once treatment ceased (Fig. 2 g-i,
3 Fig. 4). However, it must be recognized that pooling the annual rings from 2004 to 2009
4 precluded our ability to track the rate of loss of the treatment signal. Interestingly, a carry-
5 over effect of the treatment-specific contribution to canopy N dynamics was still present in
6 undecomposed litter sampled 5 years after the experiment stopped, *i.e.* more negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
7 values in the plot that received N treatment.

9 **Conclusions**

10 The combination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings enhanced our understanding of how
11 variations in atmospheric N and S deposition affect some key processes underpinning the C
12 and N cycle in a Sitka spruce. Specifically we have shown that:

- 14 *i.* N independent of the presence of S, increased the ratio between assimilation (A) and
15 stomatal conductance (g_s) in favour of A , thus supporting the fertiliser effect of N.
16 However, the combination of N and S, as a double dose, counteracted the duration and
17 the strength of the positive effect of N on WUE_i , likely related to a negative effect of S
18 on stomatal control.
- 19 *ii.* Based on a mean value of WUE_i , trees largely returned to pre-treatment values within
20 5 years (2004-2009) after the cessation of N_{dep} . However, this was not the case for
21 S_{dep} , where WUE_i continued to fall. A carry-over effect of S excess could affect
22 negatively the balance between A and g_s , by reducing A for trees subjected to 2NS.
23 This suggests that the beneficial role of N_{dep} is counteracted by the negative effect of
24 S, which may still be operating in forests that have received high loads of acid rain. It
25 is possible that such a 'payback' effect after reduction in NS deposition was also

1 present for the N treatment, but this was not clear from the bulk measurements for the
2 6-year period (2004-2009).

3 *iii.* Treatment-specific variations in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in tree rings carried the effect of different
4 canopy nitrogen dynamics, either in both the short (in needles) and the long-term (tree
5 rings), with an isotope signal imprinted also in the litter, even after treatment stopped.

6 *iv.* Soil and canopy N applications showed an increase of WUE_i in response to increased
7 N_{dep} . However, for the same N dose applied, spraying over tree canopies led to a larger
8 change in WUE_i compared to soil applications. By contrast, the two application
9 methods produced different results with regard to the changes in the N dynamics. This
10 suggests, on one hand, that experiments considering soil application might
11 underestimate the contribution of N_{dep} on the ecosystem N cycle, since they exclude
12 possible N exchanges at the canopy level. On the other hand, they might overestimate
13 the effect of N_{dep} to the soil, since canopy N retention tends to reduce the quality and
14 quantity of N reaching the soil, thus contributing to slowing down soil bioactivity and
15 preventing N accumulation and losses.

16
17 **Acknowledgement.** RG was supported during field and lab activities (May-August 2009) by
18 the European Science Foundation (ESF), within the programme Nitrogen in Europe, grant n
19 2221. RG is currently supported by a Newton International Fellowship funded by the Royal
20 Society, the British Academy and the Royal Academy of Engineering. Stable isotopes
21 analyses were funded by the project 'Greenhouse gas management in European land use
22 systems (GHG EUROPE) awarded to MAS, PL and LJS for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and by Research funding
23 within the Newton International fellowship for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. MM acknowledges NERC for
24 their support via the grant n. NE/G00725X/1. We would like to thank C. McEvoy (Northern
25 Research Station of the Forestry Commission) and A. Crossley (Centre for Ecology and

1 Hydrology) for their logistic support during the field work; M. Jarvis (University of Glasgow)
2 for providing facilities for wood cores chemical pre-treatment and C. Chilcott (University of
3 Edinburgh) for measuring stable isotopes in needles. R.G. acknowledges R. Siegwolf (Paul
4 Scherrer Institute) and T. Heaton (NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory) for stimulating
5 discussions.

7 **References**

- 8 Balster NJ, Marshall JD, Clayton M (2009) Coupling tree-ring $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to test the effect
9 of fertilization on mature Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *glauca*) stands across the
10 Interior northwest, USA. *Tree Physiology*. **29**, 1491-1501.
- 11 Barbour MM, Schurr U, Henry BK, Wong SC, Farquhar GD (2000a) Variation in the oxygen
12 isotope ratio of phloem sap sucrose from castor bean: evidence in support of the Péclet effect.
13 *Plant Physiology*. **123**, 671-679. doi: 10.1104/pp.123.2.671.
- 14 Barbour MM, Fischer RA, Sayre KD, Farquhar GD (2000b) Oxygen isotope ratio of leaf and
15 grain material correlates with stomatal conductance and yield in irrigated, field-grown wheat.
16 *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*. **27**, 625-637.
- 17 Barbour MM (2007) Stable oxygen isotope composition of plant tissue: a review. *Functional*
18 *Plant Biology*. **34**, 83-94.
- 19 Betson NR, Johannisson C, Löfvenius MO, Grip H, Granström A, Högberg P (2007)
20 Variations in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of foliage of *Pinus sylvestris* L. in relation to climate and addition of
21 nitrogen: analysis of 32-years chronology. *Global Change and Biology*. **13**, 2317-2328.
- 22 Brooks JR, Coulombe R (2009) Physiological responses to fertilization recorded in tree rings:
23 isotopic lessons from a long-term fertilization trial. *Ecological Applications*. **19** (4), 1044-
24 1060.

- 1
2
3 1 Cape JN, Dunster A, Crossley A, Sheppard LJ, Harvey FJ (2001) Throughfall, chemistry in a
4
5 2 Sitka spruce plantation in response to six different simulated polluted mist treatments. *Water,*
6
7 3 *Air and Soil Pollution*,. **130**, 619-624.
8
9
10 4 Carreira JA, Harrison AF, Sheppard LJ (1997) Reduced P soil availability in a Sitka spruce
11
12 5 (*Picea Sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr) plantation induced by applied acid-mist: significance in forest
13
14 6 decline. *Forest Ecology and Management*. **92**, 153-166.
15
16
17 7 Cernusak LA, Tcherkez G, Keitel C, *et al.* (2009) Why are non-photosynthetic tissues
18
19 8 generally ¹³C enriched compared to leaves in C3 plants? Review and synthesis of current
20
21 9 hypotheses. *Functional Plant Biology*. **36**, 199-213.
22
23
24 10 Chandler JW, Dale JE (1993) Photosynthesis and nutrient supply in needles of Sitka spruce
25
26 11 (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). *New Phytologist*. **125**, 101-111.
27
28
29 12 Chiwa M, Crossley A, Sheppard LJ, Sakugawa H and Cape JN (2004) Throughfall chemistry
30
31 13 and canopy interactions in a Sitka spruce plantation sprayed with six different simulated
32
33 14 polluted mist treatments. *Environmental Pollution*. **127** (1), 57-64.
34
35
36 15 Choi J-W, Chang XS, Allen HL, Kelting DL, Ro H-M (2005) Irrigation and fertilization
37
38 16 effects on foliar and soil carbon and nitrogen isotope ratio in a loblolly pine stand. *Forest*
39
40 17 *Ecology and Management*. **213**, 90-101.
41
42
43 18 Comstock JP (2001) Steady-state isotopic fractionation in branched pathways using plant
44
45 19 uptake of NO₃ as an example. *Planta*. **214**, 220-234.
46
47
48 20 Craig H, Gordon LI (1965) Deuterium and oxygen-18 variations in the ocean and the marine
49
50 21 atmosphere, in: *Proceedings of Conference on stable isotopes on oceanographic studies and*
51
52 22 *paleotemperatures* (ed Tongiorgi T). pp. 9-130. Spoleto, Italy.
53
54
55 23 Dail DB, Hollinger DY, Davidson EA, Fernandez I, Sievering HC, Scott NA, Gaige E (2009).
56
57 24 Distribution of nitrogen-15 tracers applied to the canopy of a mature spruce-hemlock stand,
58
59 25 Howland, Maine, USA. *Oecologia*. **160**, 589-599.
60

- 1
2
3 1 Dezi S, Medlyn BE, Tonon G, Magnani F (2009) The effect of nitrogen deposition on forest
4 carbon sequestration: a model-based analysis. *Global Change and Biology*. **16**, 1470-1486,
5 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02102.x.
6
7
8
9
10 4 Duquesnay A, Breda N, Stievenard M, Dupouey JL (1998). Changes of tree-ring $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and
11 water-use efficiency of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in north-eastern France during the past
12 century. *Plant Cell and Environment*. **21**, 565-572.
13
14
15
16
17 7 Eamus D, Fowler D (1990) Photosynthetic and stomatal conductance responses to acid mist
18 of red spruce seedlings. *Plant Cell and Environment*. **13**, 349-357.
19
20
21
22 9 Ehleringer JR, Hall AE, Farquhar GD (1993) Water use in relation to productivity. In *Stable*
23
24
25 10 *Isotopes and Plant Carbon-Water Relations* (eds Ehleringer JR, Hall AE & Farquhar GD) pp.
26
27 11 3-8. Academic Press, New York.
28
29
30 12 Elhani S, Guehl JM, Nys C, Picard JF, Dupouey JL (2005) Impact of fertilization on tree-ring
31 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in beech stands: a retrospective analysis. *Tree Physiology*. **25**, 1437-1446.
32
33
34 14 Farquhar GD (1980) Carbon isotope discrimination by plants: effects of carbon dioxide
35 concentrations and temperature via the ratio of intercellular and atmospheric CO_2
36 concentration. In: *Carbon Dioxide and Climate: Australian Research* (ed. Pearman GI) pp.
37 16 105-10. Australian. Academy of Science, Canberra.
38
39
40
41
42
43 18 Farquhar GD, Ehleringer JR, Hubick KT (1989) Carbon isotope discrimination and
44 Photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*. **40**, 503-
45 19 537.
46
47
48
49
50
51 21 Farquhar GD, Lloyd L (1993) Carbon and oxygen isotope effects in the exchange of carbon
52 dioxide between plants and the atmosphere. In: *Stable isotope and plant carbon-water*
53 22 *relations* (eds Ehleringer JR, Hall AE, Farquhar GD) pp. 47-70. Academic Press, New York,
54 23 USA.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 Feng XH (1999) Trends in intrinsic water-use efficiency of natural trees for the past 100-200
4
5 2 years: a response to atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*. **63**,
6
7 3 1891-1903.
8
9
10 4 Feng Z, Brumme R, Xu X-J, Lamersdorf N (2008) Tracing the fate of mineral N compounds
11
12 5 under high ambient N deposition in a Norway spruce forest at Solling/Germany. *Forest*
13
14 6 *Ecology and Management*. **255**, 2061-2073.
15
16
17 7 Fowler D, Cape JN, Nicholson IA, Kinnaird JW, Paterson IS (1980) The influence of a
18
19 8 polluted atmosphere on cuticle degradation in scots pine. in: ecological impact of acid
20
21 9 precipitation (eds Drabløs D, Tollan R) p. 146. SNSF project, Oslo.
22
23
24 10 Fowler D, Smith R, Müller J, Cape JN, Sutton M, Erismann JW, Fagerli H (2007) Long-term
25
26 11 trends in sulphur and nitrogen deposition in Europe and the cause of nonlinearities. *Water Air*
27
28 12 *Soil Pollution*. **7**, 41-47.
29
30
31 13 Gaige E, Dail DB, Hollinger DY, Davidson EA, Fernandez IJ, Sievering H, White A,
32
33 14 Halteman W (2007) Changes in canopy processes following whole-forest canopy nitrogen
34
35 15 fertilization of a mature spruce-Hemlock forest. *Ecosystems*. **10**, 1133-1147.
36
37
38 16 Galloway JN, Cowling EB, Seitzinger SJ, Socolow R (2002) Reactive nitrogen: Too much of
39
40 17 a good thing? *Ambio*. **31**, 60-63.
41
42
43 18 Gebauer G, Zeller B, Schmidt G, *et al.* 2000 The fate of ¹⁵N-labelled nitrogen inputs to
44
45 19 coniferous and Broadleaf forests. In: *Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling in European Forest*
46
47 20 *Ecosystems* (ed Schulze E-D) pp. 144-170. Ecological Studies, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg.
48
49
50 21 Gessler A, Brandes E, Buchmann B, Helle G, Rennenberg H, Barnard RB (2009) Tracing
51
52 22 carbon and oxygen isotope signals from newly assimilated sugars in the leaves to the tree-ring
53
54 23 archive. *Plant Cell & Environment*. **32** (7), 780-795.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 Grams TEE, Kozovitz AR, Häberle KH, Matyssek R, Dawson TE (2007). Combining $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
4
5
6 2 and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analyses to unravel competition CO_2 and O_3 effects on the physiological
7
8 3 performance of different-aged trees. *Plant Cell & Environment*. **30**, 1023-1034.
9
10 4 Gruber N, Galloway JN (2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle.
11
12 5 *Nature*. **451**, 293-296.
13
14 6 Heaton THE, Crossley A (1995) Carbon isotope variations in a plantation of Sitka spruce, and
15
16 7 the effect of acid mist. *Oecologia*. **103**.109-117.
17
18 8 Heaton THE (1997) Potential canopy influences on the isotopic composition of nitrogen and
19
20 9 sulphur in atmospheric deposition. *Oecologia*. **109**, 600-607.
21
22 10 Högberg P (1997) ^{15}N natural abundance in soil-plant systems. *New Phytologist*. **137**, 179-
23
24 11 203.
25
26 12 Högberg P, Fan H, Quist M, Binkley D and Tamm CO (2006) Tree growth and soil
27
28 13 acidification in response to 30 years of experimental nitrogen loading on boreal forest. *Global*
29
30 14 *Change Biology*. **12**, 489-499.
31
32 15 Högberg P (2007) Nitrogen impacts on forest carbon. *Nature*, **447**, 781-782.
33
34 16 ICP Forests-Executive Report UNECE/LRTRAP (2009) The Condition of Forests in Europe.
35
36 17 ECEDG LIFE Unit Hamburg and Brussels, Germany, pp18.
37
38 18 Johannisson C, Högberg P (1994) ^{15}N abundance of soils and plants along an experimentally
39
40 19 induced forest nitrogen supply gradient. *Oecologia*. **97**, 322-325.
41
42 20 Létolle R (1980) Nitrogen-15 in the natural environment. In: *Handbook of Environmental*
43
44 21 *Isotope Geochemistry* (eds Fritz P, Fontes JC) pp. 407-33, vol. 1.4. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
45
46 22 Magill AH, Aber JD, William SC, Nadelhoffer KJ, Martin ME, McDowell WH, Melillo JM,
47
48 23 Steudler P (2004) Ecosystem response to 15 years of chronic nitrogen additions at the
49
50 24 Harvard Forest LTER, Massachussets, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management*. **196**, 7-28.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 Magnani F, Mencuccini M, Borghetti M *et al.* (2007). The human footprint in the carbon
4
5 2 cycle of temperate and boreal forests. *Nature* **447**, (7146), 848-850.
6
7
8 3 Magnani F, Mencuccini M, Borghetti M *et al.* (2008). Replying to: A. De Schrijver *et al.*
9
10 4 *Nature* 451, doi:10.1038/nature06578; W. de Vries *et al.* *Nature* 451,
11
12 5 doi:10.1038/nature06579 (2008). *Nature*. **451**, E3-E4.
13
14
15 6 McCarroll, D. and Loader, N.J. (2004). Stable isotope Dendroclimatology. *Quaternary*
16
17 7 *Science Reviews*. **23**, 771-801.
18
19
20 8 Nadelhoffer KJ, Fry B (1994) Nitrogen isotope studies in forest ecosystems. In: *Stable*
21
22 9 *isotopes in ecology and environmental science* (eds Lajtha K, Michener R), pp 22-44.
23
24 10 Blackwell, London.
25
26
27 11 Nadelhoffer KJ, Benjamin PC, Colman P, Currie WS, Magill A, Aber J (2004) Decadal-scale
28
29 12 fates of ^{15}N tracers added to oak and pine stands under ambient and elevated N inputs at the
30
31 13 Harvard Forest (USA). *Forest Ecology and Management*. **196**, 89-107.
32
33
34 14 Norby RJ (1998) Nitrogen deposition: a component of global change analyses. *New*
35
36 15 *Phytologist*. **139**, 189-200.
37
38
39 16 Phoenix GK, Hicks WK, Cinderby S *et al.* (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world
40
41 17 biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition
42
43 18 impacts. *Global Change Biology*. **12** (3), 470-476.
44
45
46 19 Rennenberg H and Gessler A (1999) Consequences of N deposition to forest ecosystems-
47
48 20 recent results and future research needs. *Water Air Soil Pollution*. **116**, 47-64.
49
50
51 21 Ripullone F, Guerrieri MR, Saurer M, Siegwolf R, Jäggi M, Guarini R, Magnani F (2009)
52
53 22 Testing a dual isotope model to track carbon and water gas exchanges in a Mediterranean
54
55 23 forest. *iForest- Biogeoscience and Forestry*. **2**, 59-66 doi: 10.3832/ifor0491-002.
56
57
58 24 Robinson D, Handley LL, Scrimgeour CM (1998) A theory for $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ fractionation in
59
60 25 nitrate-grown vascular plants. *Planta*. **205**, 397-406.

- 1
2
3 1 Saurer M, Aellen K, Siegwolf R (1997) Correlating $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in cellulose of trees. *Plant*
4
5 2 *Cell Environment*. **20**, 1543-1550.
6
7
8 3 Saurer M, Siegwolf RTW, Schweingruber FH (2004) Carbon isotope discrimination indicates
9
10 4 improving water-use efficiency of trees in northern Eurasia over the last 100 years. *Global*
11
12 5 *Change Biology*. **10**, 2109-2120.
13
14
15 6 Scheidegger Y, Saurer M, Bahn M, Siegwolf RTW (2000) Linking stable oxygen and carbon
16
17 7 isotopes with stomatal conductance and photosynthetic capacity: a conceptual model.
18
19 8 *Oecologia*. **125**, 350-357.
20
21
22 9 Shepherd T, Griffiths DW (2006) The effects of stress on plant cuticular waxes. *New*
23
24 10 *Phytologist*. **171**, 469-499
25
26
27 11 Sheppard LJ, Crossley A, Cape JN, Harvey F, Parrington L, White C (1999) Early effects of
28
29 12 acid mist on Sitka spruce planted on acid peat, *Phyton*. **39**, 1-25.
30
31
32 13 Sheppard PR, Thompson TL (2000) Effect of extraction pre-treatment on radial variation of
33
34 14 nitrogen concentration in tree rings. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. **29** (6), 2037-2042.
35
36
37 15 Sheppard LJ, Crossley A, Harvey FJ, Skiba U, Coward P, Ingleby K (2004) Effects of five
38
39 16 years of frequent N additions, with or without acidity, on the growth and below-ground
40
41 17 dynamics of a young Sitka spruce stand growing on an acid peat: implications for
42
43 18 sustainability. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. **8**, 377-391.
44
45
46 19 Sheppard LJ, Crossley A, Ingleby K, Woods C (2008) Implications of acidified S inputs on
47
48 20 the fate and consequences of N deposition: results from a field manipulation of a Sitka spruce
49
50 21 canopy in southern Scotland. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*. **65** (3), 411-
51
52 22 432.
53
54
55 23 Sievering H, Tomaszewski T, Torizzo J (2007) Canopy uptake of atmospheric N deposition at
56
57 24 a conifer forest: part I-canopy N budget, photosynthetic efficiency and net ecosystem
58
59 25 exchange. *Tellus B*. **59B**, 483-492.
60

- 1
2
3 1 Sparks JD (2009) Ecological ramifications of the direct foliar uptake of nitrogen. *Oecologia*.
4
5 2 **159**, 1-13.
6
7
8 3 Sternberg LSL, DeNiro MJ, Savidge RA (1986) Oxygen isotope exchange between
9
10 4 metabolites and water during biochemical reactions leading to cellulose synthesis. *Plant*
11
12 5 *Physiology*. **82**, 423-427.
13
14
15 6 Sullivan PF, Welker JM (2007) Variation in leaf physiology of *Salix arctica* within and across
16
17 7 ecosystems in the High Arctic: test of a dual Delta C-13 and Delta O-18 conceptual model.
18
19 8 *Oecologia*. **151**, 372-386.
20
21
22 9 Sutton MA, Simpson D, Levy PE, Smith RI, Reis S, van Oijenn M, De Vries W (2008)
23
24 10 Uncertainties in the relationship between atmospheric nitrogen deposition and forest carbon
25
26 11 sequestration. *Global Change and Biology*. **14**, 1-7.
27
28
29 12 Thomas RQ, Canham CD, Weathers KC, Goodale CL (2009) Increased tree carbon storage in
30
31 13 response to nitrogen deposition in the US. *Nature Geoscience*, **3**, 13- 17.
32
33
34 14 Tomaszewski T, Sievering H (2007) Canopy uptake of atmospheric N deposition at a conifer
35
36 15 forest: Part II- response of chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange parameters. *Tellus B*.
37
38 16 **59B**, 493-501.
39
40
41 17 Tozer WC, Hackell D, Miers DB, Silvester WB (2005) Extreme isotopic depletion of nitrogen
42
43 18 in New Zealand lithophytes and epiphytes; the result of diffusive uptake of atmospheric
44
45 19 ammonia? *Oecologia*. **144**, 628-635.
46
47
48 20 Vitousek PM, Farrington H (1997) Nutrient limitation and soil development: experimental
49
50 21 test of a biogeochemical theory. *Biogeochemistry*. **37**, 63-75.
51
52
53 22 Warren CR, Adams MA (2006). Internal conductance does not scale with photosynthetic
54
55 23 capacity: implications for carbon isotope discrimination andn the economics of water and
56
57 24 nitrogen use in photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **29**, 192-201.
58
59
60 25

Table 1. Treatments of acid mist applied on a young Sitka spruce plantation at Deepsyke forest (Scotland, UK) from 1996 to 2003. In the first phase of the experiment (1996-2000) all treatments had 4 replicates, while in the second phase (2001-2003), two plots were maintained with the original treatments, while in the other two the recovery from acidity was started. Adapted from Sheppard *et al.* 2004.

Number of plots	Treatments (1996-2000)	Treatments (2001-2003)	Components	Doses (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)		pH
	[I]	[II]		N	S	
2	NSacid	NSacid	NH ₄ NO ₃ +H ₂ SO ₄ [I-II]	48	50	2.5
2	NSacid	NS-recovery (N)	NH ₄ NO ₃ +H ₂ SO ₄ [I] NH ₄ NO ₃ [II]	48	50	2.5 4.5
2	2NSacid	2NSacid	NH ₄ NO ₃ +H ₂ SO ₄ [I-II]	96	100	2.5
2	2NSacid	2NS-recovery (2N)	NH ₄ NO ₃ +H ₂ SO ₄ [I] NH ₄ NO ₃ [II]	96	100	2.5 4.5
2	N	N	NH ₄ NO ₃ [I-II]	48		4.5
2	N	N-recovery (wet control)	NH ₄ NO ₃ [I] None [II]	48		4.5 4.5
4	Wet control	No changes	None	-	-	4.5

Table 2 Research papers included in the meta-analysis.

Authors	Location	N_{dep} ($kg\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$)	Species	Age (years)	Fertiliser	Application	Dose applied ($kg\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$)	Duration of the experiment	Isotope
Balster <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Interior NW, USA	N/A	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	85	Urea	Soil	178 357	Dose applied twice over a 6- years interval	$\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings
Betson <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Norrleden, Sweden	3	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	~50	Ammonium nitrate	Soil	30 60 90	32 years: dose applied from 1971 to 2002	$\delta^{13}C$ in needles
Brooks & Coulombe (2009)	Washington, USA	N/A	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	85	Ammonium nitrate	Soil	157 314 471	1 year: dose applied only once, in April 1964	$\delta^{13}C$, WUEi in tree rings
Choi <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Scotland County, NC, USA	N/A	<i>Pinus taeda</i>	15	Urea	Soil	101	7 years: dose applied every year from 1992 to 1998	$\delta^{13}C$ in needles
Elhani <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Brittany, France	9-13	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	80	Ammonium nitrate	Soil	100 100with PKCa	2 years: dose applied once (e.g., in May 1973 and 1974)	$\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings
Heaton & Crossley (1995)	Scotland, UK	10	<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	20	Ammonium nitrate	Canopy	48	3 years: dose applied twice per week during the growing season from 1991 to 1993.	$\delta^{13}C$ in needles
Nadelhoffer <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Massachusetts, USA	8	Deciduous species	~80	Ammonium nitrate	Soil	8 58 158	16 years: 6 monthly additions during the growing season from 1988 to 2004	$\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings
Nadelhoffer <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Massachusetts, USA	8	<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	~80	Ammonium nitrate	Soil	8 58 158		

Table 3. Results of the statistical analyses of the differences between treatments in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for the period 1995, 1996-2000, 2001-2003 and 2004-2009. Differences for the first and last period were tested by univariate ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. The effect of different treatments during the second period was explored by repeated-measures ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. Finally, differences in the recovery period were assessed by independent sample *t*-tests, with two replicates for each treatment.

Treatments	1995 Pre-treatment	1996-2000 Treatment I	2001-2003 Treatment II		2004-2009 Post-treatment
			Recovery	Treatment	
2NS	-25.91 a (± 0.24)	-27.85 a (± 0.14)	-29.43 (± 0.13)	n.s. -29.02 (± 0.20)	-29.56a (± 0.11)
NS	-26.05a (± 0.15)	-28.15 a (± 0.15)	-29.47 (± 0.19)	n.s. -29.27 (± 0.29)	-29.29a (± 0.32)
N	-26.14a (± 0.09)	-27.74 b (± 0.12)	-28.99 (± 0.12)	n.s. -29.29 (± 0.14)	-29.12a (± 0.02)
Wet control	-26.14a (± 0.11)	-28.46 b (± 0.12)			-29.05a (± 0.15)
Statistical tests	ANOVA $F=0.479$; $P=0.7$	Repeated-measures $F=5.912$ $P<0.05$	Independent <i>t</i> -test $t=-1.73, P=0.11$ $t=-0.60, P=0.56$ $t=1.63, P=0.13$		ANOVA $F=1.6$ $P=0.239$

Table 4. Results of the statistical analyses of the differences between treatments in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for the periods 1995, 1996-2000, 2001-2003 and 2004-2009. Differences for the first and last period were analysed using univariate ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. The effect of different treatments during the second period was explored by repeated-measures ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. Finally, differences in the recovery phase were assessed by independent sample *t*-test, with two replicates for each treatment. For the treatment I (1996-2000), estimated marginal mean are provided (*i.e.*, adjusted for the variability in the soil relative water content among plots).

Treatments	1995	1996-2000	2001-2003		2004-2009
	Pre-treatment	Treatment I	Recovery	Treatment	Post-treatment
2NS	24.89a (± 0.18)	24.31a (± 0.14)	24.26 (± 0.15)	n.s. 24.06 (± 0.07)	24.07a (± 0.09)
NS	25.0a (± 0.07)	24.26a (± 0.14)	24.15 (± 0.14)	n.s. 24.08 (± 0.17)	24.12a (± 0.10)
N	25.02a (± 0.18)	24.38a (± 0.15)	24.46 (± 0.16)	n.s. 24.37 (± 0.13)	24.33a (± 0.12)
Wet control	24.91a (± 0.22)	24.54a (± 0.14)			24.22a (± 0.13)
Statistical tests	ANOVA $F=0.145$ $P=0.9$	Repeated-measures $F=0.793$ $P=0.5$	Independent <i>t</i> -test $t=1.156, P=0.8$ $t=0.319, P=0.3$ $t=0.409, P=0.7$		ANOVA $F=1.587$ $P=0.2$

Table 5. Results of the statistical analyses of the differences between treatments in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for the periods 1995, 1996-2000, 2001-2003 and 2004-2009. Differences for the first and last period were analysed using univariate ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. The effect of different treatments during the second period was explored by repeated-measures ANOVA, with four replicates for each treatment. Finally, differences in the recovery phase were assessed by independent sample *t*-test, with two replicates for each treatment.

Treatments	1995 Pre-treatment	1996-2000 Treatment I	2001-2003 Treatment II		2004-2009 Post-treatment	
			Recovery	Treatment		
2NS	-3.63a (± 0.31)	-2.89ab (± 0.15)	-2.85 (± 0.13)	n.s.	-2.78 (± 0.27)	-2.32a (± 0.37)
NS	-3.28a (± 0.36)	-2.64a (± 0.57)	-1.64 (± 0.49)	*	-3.29 (± 0.17)	-2.33a (± 0.62)
N	-3.49a (± 0.31)	-3.46 b (± 0.12)	-3.06 (± 0.12)	n.s.	-2.94 (± 0.12)	-2.25a (± 0.54)
Wet control	-3.08a (± 0.359)	-2.43a (± 0.18)				-2.77a (± 0.23)
Statistical tests	ANOVA $F=0.504$ $P=0.69$	Repeated-measures $F=2.92$ $P=0.07$	Independent <i>t</i> -tests $t=-2.17, P=0.83$ $t=3.22, P=0.009$ $t=-0.30, P=0.77$		ANOVA $F=0.198$ $P=0.89$	

1
2
3 **Figure 1. (a)** Distribution of replicates for each treatment, modified from Chiwa et al. (2004). **(b)**
4
5 Picture of the experimental site with spraying system set up. The different colours visible in panel
6
7 (a) refer to different treatments: black=2NS, red=NS, green=N and blue=Wet control. Black lines
8
9 delimitate the 4 replicated blocks designed South, West, North and East. Picture in the panel (b) was
10
11 provided by Dr. Alan Crossley (CEH).
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Figure 2.** Trend of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (panels a, b, c), $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (panels d, e, f) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (panels g h i) in tree rings from 1995
19
20 to 2009 for the different treatments (NS, 2NS, N and wet control) applied at Deepyske forest. For the years
21
22 1995-2000 each points is the mean \pm SE of $n=4$, while for years 2001-2003, means were calculated over $n=2$
23
24 plots for the recovery and $n=2$ plots for the original treatment. Please note that for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ relative to the year
25
26 2002, in NS we show only a single value measured in one plot. Shaded areas mark the pre-treatment and
27
28 post-treatment periods and the vertical line, the start of the recovery period. A year-to-year significant
29
30 ($P<0.1$) effect of the treatment as assessed by LSD test is indicated by the star. The trough in 1998 for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$
31
32 in all the treatments and in the wet control corresponds to the wettest year of the experiment.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Figure 3.** Differences between treatments (2NS, NS and N) and control for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (panel a) and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
41
42 (panel b) measured in needles (open points) and the corresponding annual ring (closed points) in
43
44 1997. For each isotope, stars ((*) for $P<0.1$ and (***) for $P<0.05$) indicate significant differences
45
46 between annual rings and needles. Capital and small letters refers to ANOVA tests of differences
47
48 across treatments for needles and tree rings, respectively. Each point represents the mean \pm
49
50 confidence interval ($n=4$).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Figure 4.** $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values measured in soil and litter sample collected in June 2009 and in the group of
59
60 annual rings from 2004 to 2009. Each value is the mean \pm SE for $n=4$ plots.

1
2
3 **Figure 5.** Differences from the wet control (*i.e.*, treatment-control) for WUE_i values measured in
4 2NS (panel a), NS (panel b) and N (panel c) treatments. Each point represents the mean of the
5 values measured in *n*=4 plots for each treatments; bars are confidence interval. Here, we averaged
6 the values measured for each year from 1996 to 2003 in *n*=4 plots within each treatment, without
7 distinguishing between recovery and original treatment in the last 3-years of the experiment. Shaded
8 areas indicate the pre and post-treatment periods.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20


21 **Figure 6. Main panel.** Combination of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values for 2NS, NS and N treatment,
22 evaluated as difference from the wet control (treatment-wet control). For the pre-treatment year
23 (e.g., 1995), we considered the mean of the differences from the wet control for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$
24 calculated over all the values measured in each treatments (*n*=12). Bars represent the confidence
25 intervals. Values relative to the eight years of the experiment (*i.e.*, 1996-2003) were averaged for
26 each treatment, without separating recovery from the original treatment. Post-treatment refer to the
27 grouped annual rings from 2004 to 2009 in each treatment (*n*=4). **Side panel.** Interpretation of the
28 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ - $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ trends based on the conceptual model (Scheidegger *et al.* 2000). According to the model,
29 variations of c_i and therefore A are derived from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, while changes in g_s were predicted
30 from $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Figure 7.** Difference between treatment and control for WUE_i calculated from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values
50 measured in either tree rings or needles. Soil and canopy N application are indicated with brown
51 and blue symbols, respectively. Full symbols refer to deciduous species while open symbols to
52 conifers. We used crossed squares and circles when WUE_i was calculated from $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in needles.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59 Each point is the mean calculated from values measured during the fertilization experiments
60

1
2
3 considered in the meta-analysis and published in the papers indicated in the legend. Bars are
4
5 confidence intervals.
6
7
8
9


10
11 **Figure 8. (a)** Difference between treatment and wet control for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in tree rings,
12 compared across five studies. Soil and canopy N addition are indicated with brown symbols and
13 blue colours, respectively. Full symbols refer to deciduous species while open symbols to conifers.
14 Each point is the mean calculated over the values measured during the fertilization experiments
15 considered in the meta-analysis and published in the papers indicated in the legend. **(b)** Example of
16 ^{15}N - enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in plant (full symbols) and soil (open symbols) at increasing
17 level of N supplied to the soil (source: Johannison & Högberg 1994). The picture in the panel (b) is
18 provided as valuable example of the ^{15}N -enrichment of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in plant and soil at higher doses of N
19 supplied to the soil, mainly related to the increase of loss of ^{15}N -depleted N compounds through
20 denitrification, ammonia volatilization or nitrate leaching (Johannison & Högberg 1994).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



297x209mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Preview Only




234x160mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Wet Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



156x110mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




156x110mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



82x180mm (150 x 150 DPI)




246x148mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Pre-Only


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



156x110mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only



156x110mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60